

## Constructing concept lattices and compressed pseudo-lattices

by

F.J. (Dean) van der Merwe

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree M.Sc. Computer Science in the Faculty Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology

University of Pretoria Pretoria, South Africa May 2003



### Constructing concept lattices and compressed pseudo-lattices

By F.J. (Dean) van der Merwe Supervisor: Prof. D.G. Kourie Department of Computer Science University of Pretoria M.Sc. Computer Science

### Summary

Concept lattices are well-defined mathematically structures used in a variety of areas of application. Concept lattices are exponential in size in the worst case and therefore constructing concept lattices may be time consuming. This dissertation approaches the efficiency problems associated with constructing concept lattices from two points of view and proposes complementary solutions for each. Firstly, a new lattice construction algorithm, called AddAtom, unrelated to well known and published algorithms is proposed. Secondly, a data structure called a compressed pseudo-lattice is proposed. Compressed pseudo-lattices involve the construction of sublattices instead of the complete lattice of all concepts.

The AddAtom algorithm efficiently constructs lattices and is proposed as a general purpose lattice construction algorithm. The algorithm is an incremental algorithm and has a theoretic complexity bound of  $O(\|L\|.\|O\|^2.max(\|O'\|))$  when constructing the concept lattice, L of a context with a set of objects O each of which can possess a maximum of  $max(\|O'\|)$  attributes from a set of attributes A. In experimental comparisons AddAtom outperformed other published algorithms in a range of contexts. In randomly generated contexts that had very high or very low densities its performance was the second best. It was however the best performing incremental lattice construction algorithm.

The notion of a compressed pseudo-lattice is introduced and suggested as a data structure in areas of application related to Formal Concept Analysis (FCA). It is closely related to the line diagram of a lattice and its use as a computational tool in applications such as machine learning, information retrieval and knowledge discovery in databases is discussed. The data structure, essentially a bipartite graph that incorporates an embedded sublattice, combines desirable features of concept lattices in a structure that allows for a flexible mechanism of scaling the size of the embedded sublattice, using defined operations that compress and expand it by removing or adding atoms and coatoms. A compressed pseudo-lattice essentially represents a complete sublattice from which a number of atoms and/or coatoms have been removed. Additionally the relation of the sublattice to the context from which it was derived is preserved. An application-dependent compression strategy or criterion is required to guide this process. The intentand extent representative operations of a lattice are defined as substitutes for the infimum and supremum operations in compressed pseudo-lattices. It is argued that the removal of concepts from a concept lattice may hold advantages over traditional approaches.



## Acknowledgements

#### My sincere thanks to:

- My family for their support and encouragement.
- Deon Oosthuizen for introducing me to the subject during many enthusiastic discussions and his support and assistance during his tenure as an associate professor at the University of Pretoria during which he also acted as supervisor.
- Prof. Derrick Kourie for his assistance, patience and invaluable advice as supervisor.
- Sergei Obiedkov for his collaborative assistance and for making available the data of his experimental comparisons of AddAtom and other lattice construction algorithms as well as helpful comments and suggestions.



# Table of contents

Acknowledgements	
Table of contents	
Chapter 1: Introduction	
Chapter 2: Order theoretic and FCA lattice definitions and notation	
2.1 List and set notation	
2.2 Order theoretic lattice definitions	
2.3 FCA definitions	
2.4 Why EA-lattices?	
2.5 EA-lattice definition	
2.6 Boolean lattices	
2.7 Augmented lattices	19
2.8 Different views of a lattice	
2.8.1 Set-theoretic view of lattices	
2.8.2 Graph-theoretic view of lattices	
2.9 Intent and extent operations	21
2.10 Summary	23
Chapter 3: Lattice construction	
3.1 Algorithmic lattice construction	
3.2 Incremental vs. batch lattice construction algorithmns	
3.3 Constructing the line diagram	24
3.4 An inefficient batch lattice construction algorithm	25
Chapter 4: The AddAtom lattice construction algorithm	
4.1 Informal description	28
4.2 Intent- and extent representative operations and lattice construction	33
4.3 Definition of the AddAtom algorithm	36
4.4 AddAtom example	39
4.5 An algorithm for AIR and EIR	
4.6 Efficient AddAtom algorithm	
4.7 Discussion	48
Chapter 5: AddAtom algorithmic performance	49
5.1 A survey of concept lattice construction algorithms	
5.2 A Theoretical performance bound for AddAtom	
5.2.1 Notation	
5.2.2 Concept lattice size formulae	
5.2.3 Complexity of set operations	57
5.3 Empirical performance: pilot study	
5.5 Conclusions	
Chapter 6: Compressed pseudo-lattices	
6.1 A bipartite database and query operation	
6.2 A concept lattice database and query operation	
6.3 An adapted sublattice database and query operation	70
6.4 Removing concepts from a lattice	80
6.5 The use of the intent- and extent representative operations	83
6.6 The CompressLattice operation	84
6.7 Definition and properties of compressed pseudo-lattices	85
6.8 The ExpandLattice operation	88
6.9 Interpretation of compressed pseudo-lattices	
6.10 Why compressed pseudo-lattices?	
6.11 Compression strategies and criteria	
6.12 Implementation and discussion of preliminary results	
Chapter 7: AddAtom implementation	



7.1 Evolution of code	98
7.2 Set class	
7.3 Lattice class	
7.4 Compressed pseudo-lattice implementation	
7.5 Implementation issues	
7.5.1 Time	
7.5.2 Space / memory	102
7.5.3 Object-oriented implementation	
7.5.4 UNIX and Windows	
7.5.5 Testing	
7.5.6 User interface	
7.5.7 Continued advances in hardware	105
7.6 Comparison with other lattice construction algorithms	105
Chapter 8: Summary and future work	
8.1 Positioning and related research	106
8.2 Further work	108
References	
Appendix A: Further optimised AddAtom algorithm	
Appendix B: AddAtom algorithmic complexity bounds	118