

**Interpretation of vertical and lateral seismic  
profiles: some case histories**

by

Ronald Clifford Hinds

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

**Doctorate in Science**  
(Exploration Geophysics)

in the

**DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

**PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA**

**DECEMBER 1994**

## ABSTRACT

### **Interpretation of vertical and lateral seismic profiles: some case histories**

By: Ronald C. Hinds  
Leader: Prof. C. P. Snyman  
Co-leaders: Dr. N. L. Anderson  
Dr. R. J. Kleywegt

The integrated processing and interpretation of VSP data are developed to work together in order to enhance the final VSP interpretation. Furthermore, the interpretive processing of the VSP data within the case histories are reviewed along with the incorporation of the final VSP results (both near and far offset data) into the integrated geological/geophysical interpretations presented in the case studies. This thesis has attempted to personify the term "interpreter/processor" as first highlighted in Hardage (1985).

The case histories pertain to oil and gas exploration in carbonate reef and sandstones in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin (WCSB). The Lanaway case history (Hinds et al., 1994a) pertains to the exploration of the Lanaway/Garrington oil field located in central Alberta, Canada. The surface seismic interpretation over the reef crest differed dramatically from the isopach of the reef-encasing shales derived from the geological logs of a borehole drilled into the reef crest. To understand the discrepancy, a VSP survey was performed and the data were interpretatively processed. The results were integrated with the known geology of the field area to uncover possible reasons for the surface seismic anomaly.

The Ricinus case history (Hinds et al., 1993c) is a study in reef hunting within the Ricinus

field in central Alberta, Canada, using the far offset VSP survey. Existing surface seismic was used to infer that a well drilled into the interpreted North-east corner of the Ricinus reef would be successful in penetrating oil bearing carbonate reef. The well was drilled; however, the well missed the reef and a near and far offset VSP survey was used to seismically image possible reef buildups in an area around the well.

The Fort St. John Graben case history (Hinds et al., 1991a; Hinds et al., 1993a) highlights exploration of a gas-filled channel sandstone using near and far offset (lateral) VSP surveys. An exploration well was drilled within the study area which intersected the target zone sandstone (the basal Kiskatinaw of the Upper Carboniferous). The target sandstone had a high shale content and was not reservoir quality. A near offset and two far offset VSP surveys were run in the exploration well to image out to a distance of 350 m to the North-west and to the East of the well. The VSP, surface seismic and geology results (from the geological logs of the exploration and surrounding wells) are integrated to infer a clearer picture of the sand/shale relationships of the basal Kiskatinaw and detailed faulting of the Carboniferous strata around the well and within the surface seismic line area.

The Simonette field case history (Hinds et al., 1991b; Hinds et al., 1993b) involves using VSP results to image the slope of a low-relief carbonate reef. The low-relief reef examined using the VSP data is located at the extreme end of a North-east reef spur of the Simonette Reef located in North-west Alberta, Canada. An exploration well drilled in the low-relief reef penetrated the edge of the reef. The VSP surveys were run in order to infer details of the reef slope. The interpretation of the VSP data was integrated with all other exploration data to infer the location of the crest of the low-relief reef and to assist in determining whether to whipstock the exploration well or not.

## Samevatting

### Interpretasie van vertikale en laterale seismiese profiele: enkele gevallestudies

Deur: Ronald C. Hinds

Leier: Prof. C. P. Snyman

Mede-leiers: Dr. N. L. Anderson

Dr. R. J. Kleywegt

Die geïntegreerde verwerking en interpretasie van vertikale seismiese profileringsdata (VSP) word ontwikkel om saam te werk ten einde die finale VSP - interpretasie te verbeter. Verder word 'n oorsig gegee van die interpretatiewe prosessering van VSP - gegewens aan die hand van gevalle - studies waarin die finale VSP - resultate ( naby - en verafstande ) as 'n geïntegreerde geologiese\ geofisiese interpretasie gegee word. Die proefskrif poog ook om die term "interpreteerder\ prosesseerder" soos deur Hardage (1985) uitgelig, te verpersoonlik.

Die gevallestudies het betrekking op olie- en gaseksplorاسie in karbonaatrief en sandsteen in die Wes - Kanadese Sedimentere Kom (WCSB). Die Lanaway - geval (Hinds et al., 1994a) verwys na die eksplorاسie van die Lanaway\Garrington olieveld in Sentraal - Alberta , Kanada. Die oppervlak - seismiese interpretasie oor die rifkruin het dramatiese verskil van die isopag van die skalies wat die rif omsluit, soos verkry van die geologiese staat van 'n boorgat in die rifkruin. Om die verskil te verstaan is 'n VSP - opname gemaak en die gegewens is interpretatief verwerk. Die resultate is geïntegreer met die bekende geologie van die gebied

om moontlike verklarings te vind vir die oppervlak - seismiese anomalie.

Die Ricinus - geval (Hinds et al., 1993c) is 'n studie in rifopsoring in die Ricinus - veld in Sentraal - Alberta, Kanada, waarin 'n verafstand VSP - opname gebruik is. Bestaande oppervlak - seismiese gegewens is gebruik om af te lei dat 'n boorgat in die noordoostelike hoek van die Ricinus - rif oliedraende karbonaatrief sou tref. Die boorgat het egter die rif gemis en naby - en verafstand VSP - opnames is gebruik om moontlike rif - opbou naby die boorgat seismies af te beeld.

Die Fort St. John Graben - gevallestudie (Hinds et al., 1991a; Hinds et al., 1993a) belig die eksplorاسie van 'n gasge vulde kanaalsandsteen deur middel van naby - en verafstand VSP opnames. 'n Eksplorاسieboorgat in die studiegebied het die teiken sandsteensone ( die onderste Kiskatinaw van die Bo - Karboon) getref, maar die sandsteensone het 'n hoë skalie - inhoud en was nie van reservoir gehalte nie. 'n Naby - en twee verafstand VSP - opnames is in die eksplorاسie - boorgat gemaak om die geologie tot op 'n afstand van 350m noordwes en oos van die boorgat vas te stel. Die resultate van VSP -, oppervlak - seismiese en geologiese gegewens (van geologiese state van die eksplorاسie - en omliggende boorgate) is geïntegreer om 'n duidelike beeld af te lei van die sandsteen\skalie - verhouding van die onderste Kiskatinaw en van detail verskuiwings van die Karboon - strata rondom die boorgat en naby die oppervlak - seismiese lyn.

Die Simonetteveld - gevallestudie (Hinds et al., 1991b; Hinds et al., 1993b) behels die gebruik van VSP - resultate om die helling van 'n lae - reliëf karbonaat vas te stel. Die lae - reliëf rif wat deur middel van VSP - opnames ondersoek is, is geleë aan die einde van 'n noordoostelike rif - uitloper van die Simonette - rif in Noorwes - Alberta, Kanada 'n

Eksplorasiëboorgat in die lae - reliëf rif het die rand van die rif getref. Die VSP - opname is gedoen om die besonderhede van die rihelling af te lei. Die interpretasie van die VSP - data is geintegreer met al die ander eksplorasiëgegewens om die kruin van die lae - reliëf rif vas te stel en om te help in die besluit of die eksplorasiëboorgat gedeflekteer moet word of nie.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1.1	Vertical seismic profiling (VSP)	1
1.2	Aims and objectives of this study	3
1.3	Acknowledgements	5
1.4	VSP fundamentals	7
1.4.1	Near and far offset (lateral) VSP surveys	7
1.4.2	Primary and multiple up- and downgoing waves	9
1.4.3	Far offset geometries	21

### **CHAPTER 2**

#### **INTERPRETIVE PROCESSING**

2.1	Processing runstreams	38
2.2	Near offset data processing IPP's	41
2.2.1	Separation of up- and downgoing waves	41
2.2.2	Median filtering	43
2.2.2.1	Review of the median filter	45
2.2.2.2	Median filtering and multiple contaminated VSP	46

	data	
2.2.2.3	Median filtering and tubewave contaminated data	51
2.2.2.4	Difference panels to aid in filter length	53
	determination	
2.2.3	Karhunen-Loeve (K-L) filtering	55
2.2.3.1	Review of the K-L transform	57
2.2.3.2	K-L filtering and multiple contaminated data	59
2.2.4	2-D Fourier transform filtering	61
2.2.4.1	Review of F-K filtering	62
2.2.4.2	F-K filtering and multiple contaminated VSP data	67
2.2.4.3	F-K filtering and tubewave contaminated VSP data	79
2.2.4.4	F-K filtering in other wavefield separation	84
	methods	
2.2.4.5	F-K filtering using interactive screen processing	84
2.2.4.5.1	Using interactive F-K filtering to wavefield	86
	separate	
2.2.4.5.2	The effect of changes in the F-K mute zones	91
2.2.5	$\tau$ -P filtering	99
2.2.5.1	Review of $\tau$ -P filtering	101
2.2.5.2	Route A: $\tau$ -P transformation on only the upgoing	104
	events	
2.2.5.3	Route B: Subtraction of $Z_{\text{down}}(\text{FRT})$ from the	108
	$Z(\text{FRT})$ data	
2.2.5.4	Route C: $\tau$ -P spatial interpolation during	108



	wavefield separation	
2.2.5.5	Route D: $\tau$ -P spatial interpolation of Z(FRT) before wavefield separation	114
2.2.5.6	Future directions for $\tau$ -P filtering in wavefield separation	118
2.2.6	VSP deconvolution and corridor stacks	120
2.2.6.1	Deconvolution IPP	121
2.2.6.2	Corridor stack IPP's	123
2.2.6.3	$Z_{up}(+TT)$ Corridor stack IPP	125
2.2.6.4	$Z_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ Corridor stack IPP	128
2.3	Far offset data processing IPP's	131
2.3.1	Time invariant polarization: isolation of the downgoing P-wave	133
2.3.2	Time variant polarization: isolation of the upgoing P-wave	138
2.3.3	VSP-CDP transformation and migration	142
2.3.4	Far offset deconvolution	144
2.3.5	Problematic far offset interpretive processing	150
2.3.5.1	Time variant polarization of the Ricinus case study data	152
2.3.5.2	Far offset deconvolution of the Ricinus case study data	158
2.4	Integrated displays	162

2.4.1	Integrated Log Display (ILD)	162
2.4.2	Integrated Seismic Display (ISD)	165
2.4.3	Integrated Interpretive Display (IID)	165

### CHAPTER 3

#### THE LANAWAY FIELD CASE STUDY

3.1	Carbonate reef development in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin	175
3.1.1	Lanaway Field	178
3.2	Well Nomenclature	180
3.3	Lanaway Field (at the VSP well)	181
3.4	VSP data acquisition	186
3.5	VSP interpretive processing	190
3.5.1	P-wave separation to output $Z_{up}(+TT)$ data	191
3.5.2	VSP deconvolution	193
3.5.3	Inside and outside corridor stacks	196
3.6	Integrated interpretation	199
3.7	Discussion on integrated interpretation	211

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **THE RICINUS FIELD CASE STUDY**

4.1	The Ricinus Field	215
4.2	Ricinus Leduc reef	218
4.3	VSP data acquisition	222
4.4	Interpretive processing of near offset (199 m) VSP data	225
4.4.1	Upgoing P-wave event separation	225
4.4.2	VSP deconvolution	229
4.4.3	Inside and outside corridor stacks	233
4.5	Interpretive processing of the far offset (1100 m) VSP data	236
4.5.1	Hodogram-based rotation	238
4.5.2	Time-variant model-based rotation	241
4.5.3	Deconvolution of the far offset data	245
4.5.4	VSP-CDP mapping	247
4.6	Integrated interpretation	252
4.7	Interpretation discussion	254

## CHAPTER 5

### CASE STUDY OF THE FORT ST. JOHN GRABEN AREA

5.1	Introduction	258
5.2	Geological overview	262
5.2.1	Tectonic and depositional history of the Peace River Embayment	262
5.2.2	Lower Carboniferous: Fort St. John Graben Area	266
5.3	Original interpretation and well results	271
5.4	VSP interpretation	275
5.5	Near offset (149 m) VSP interpretive processing	276
5.5.1	P-wave event separation	277
5.5.2	Near offset VSP deconvolution	279
5.5.3	Inside and outside corridor stacks	281
5.6	Far offset VSP interpretive processing	284
5.6.1	Far offset data from offset FSJG1	284
5.6.2	Hodogram-based rotation: offset FSJG1	285
5.6.3	Time-variant model-based rotation: offset FSJG1	288
5.6.4	VSP-CDP mapping: offset FSJG1	290
5.6.5	Far offset data from offset FSJG2	292
5.7	Integrated interpretation	297
5.8	Conclusion	302

## CHAPTER 6

### SIMONETTE REEF CASE HISTORY

6.1	Simonette Field	306
6.2	Simonette low-relief reef	312
6.3	VSP interpretation	316
6.4	Near offset (252 m) VSP interpretive processing	318
6.4.1	P-wave separation	318
6.4.2	Near offset VSP deconvolution	320
6.4.3	Inside and outside corridor stacks	323
6.5	Interpretive processing of the far offset	326
	VSP data	
6.5.1	Hodogram based rotation	327
6.5.2	Time-variant model-based rotation	329
6.5.3	VSP-CDP mapping of the far offset VSP data	331
6.6	Integrated interpretive display	336
6.7	Conclusions	340

## CHAPTER 7

	Conclusions and discussions	341
--	-----------------------------	-----

## APPENDIX

A.1	Median filtering	347
A.2	Karhunen-Loeve (K-L) filtering	349
A.3	F-K filtering	352
A.4	$\tau$ -P filtering	354
A.5	VSP deconvolution	356
A.6	Hodogram-based single angle polarizations	359
A.7	Time-variant polarization	
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>361</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The field layout of the (zero) near and far offset VSP surveys (from Hinds et al., 1989a).	8
Figure 1.2	The up- and downgoing waves propagating to the wellbore geophone sonde can be compressional P-waves that vibrate in the direction of travel or Shear SV- or SH-waves that vibrate normal to the direction of travel, either in the plane of the source and receiver or out of the plane (from Hinds et al., 1989a).	10
Figure 1.3	Examples of the up- and downgoing raypaths (A) and depth-traveltime diagrams (B) for both primary and multiple reflections (from Hinds et al., 1989a).	11
Figure 1.4	The near offset data correction used to place the data into pseudo-two-way traveltime (+TT).	13
Figure 1.5	Upgoing wave events in (+TT) time (left) and downgoing waves in (-TT) time (right) are displaced to align the upgoing primary event generated at the depth $Z_2$ (labelled U-P on the left) with the horizontally aligned event first break curve (labelled D-P on the right; from Hinds et al., 1989a).	15
Figure 1.6	The constant time shift between the up- and downgoing primaries and multiples for the surface-generated (A) and interbed multiples (Hardage, 1985).	17
Figure 1.7	Synthetic VSP seismograms illustrating surface generated (panel 1) and interbed (panel 2) up- and downgoing multiple events (from Hinds et al., 1994c).	19
Figure 1.8	The splitting of the downgoing shear wave into a fast and slow (polarized) shear waves due to linear cracks.	22

Figure 1.9	The location of a fault that could cause near offset VSP downgoing waves to be recorded on all three channels, <b>X</b> , <b>Y</b> and <b>Z</b> .	23
Figure 1.10	The orthogonal coordinate system of the local <b>X</b> , <b>Y</b> , and <b>Z</b> geophones along with the coordinate axis ( <b>HMIN</b> , <b>HMAX</b> , <b>Z'</b> and <b>HMAX'</b> ) that will be used as the principal axis in the hodogram (non-time variant) analysis (from Hinds et al., 1989a)	25
Figure 1.11	For the case of a deviated borehole, the triaxial geophone package receives contributions of P-, SV-, and SH- waves on all geophones.	27
Figure 1.12	The geometry for time-variant rotations.	28
Figure 1.13	Synthetic VSP seismograms for the up- and downgoing primary and surface-generated multiple events for offsets of 0, 200, 400, 600 and 800 m (panel 1-5, respectively).	31
Figure 1.14	Synthetic VSP seismograms for the up- and downgoing primary and interbed generated multiple events for offsets of 0, 200, 400, 600 and 800 m (panel 1-5, respectively).	32
Figure 2.1	Wavefield separation IPP of the Fort St. John Graben case study (Hinds et al., 1993a) data using the median filter and subtraction method (Hinds et al., 1989a).	47
Figure 2.2	Wavefield separation IPP of the tubewave contaminated data using the median filter and subtraction method (Hinds et al., 1989a).	52
Figure 2.3	Downgoing event panels separated from <b>Z(-TT)</b> data using a 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 point median filter (panels 1-5, respectively).	54



Figure 2.4	Wavefield separation IPP of the Fort St. John Graben case study (Hinds et al., 1993a) data using the K-L filter and subtraction method (Hinds et al., 1986).	60
Figure 2.5	Depth-FRT time and F-K domain plots for the Fort St. John Graben case study (Hinds et al., 1993a) near offset data.	64
Figure 2.6	Categorization of the types of F-K mutes.	66
Figure 2.7	$Z(\mathbf{FRT})$ and $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data wavefield separated using the median filter and various F-K operations for the Fort St. John Graben data (Hinds et al., 1993a) near offset multiple contaminated data.	68
Figure 2.8	F-K plot of the $Z(\mathbf{FRT})$ Fort St. John Graben case study data (Hinds et al., 1993a) shown in panel 1 of Figure 2.7.	69
Figure 2.9	F-K plot of the median filter and subtraction method derived $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data (shown in panel 2 of Figure 2.7).	71
Figure 2.10	F-K plot of the $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data shown in panel 3 of Figure 2.7.	73
Figure 2.11	F-K plot of the $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data shown in panel 4 of Figure 2.7.	74
Figure 2.12	F-K plot of the $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data shown in panel 5 of Figure 2.7.	76
Figure 2.13	F-K plot of the $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data shown in panel 6 of Figure 2.7.	77
Figure 2.14	F-K based wavefield separation IPP of the tubewave contaminated data using surgical muting of both the downgoing P-wave and tubewave F-K events.	80
Figure 2.15	F-K based wavefield separation IPP of the tubewave contaminated data using F-K quadrant attenuation.	81

Figure 2.16	<b>Z(FRT)</b> (panel 1) and <b>Z<sub>up</sub>(FRT)</b> wavefield separated data using the median filter plus subtraction method (panel 2) and the various F-K muting methods (panels 3-6) on the <b>Z(FRT)</b> tube wave contaminated data.	83
Figure 2.17	F-K plot of data resulting from the application of F-K surgical muting to the median filter-based wavefield separation results (prefiltered data is shown in panel 6 of Figure 2.2).	85
Figure 2.18	Single operation interpretive processing initial screen display showing the input <b>Z(FRT)</b> data of the Fort St. John Graben case study (Hinds et al., 1993a).	88
Figure 2.19	F-K plot of the data shown in Figure 2.18.	89
Figure 2.20	The "results" interactive processing display following the muting (reject filtering) of the F-K data inside the mute polygon shown in Figure 2.19.	90
Figure 2.21	The Fort St. John Graben <b>Z<sub>up</sub>(FRT)</b> data (Hinds et al., 1993a) resulting from median filter-based wavefield separation (plus subtraction).	93
Figure 2.22	F-K plot of the data shown in Figure 2.19 illustrating the surgical mute reject polygons enveloping both the up- and downgoing F-K events (aliased and non-aliased portions included).	94
Figure 2.23	The filtered output of the data in Figure 2.21 following the application of the F-K polygon surgical mutes shown in Figure 2.22.	96
Figure 2.24	F-K plot of the data shown in Figure 2.21 illustrating the surgical mute reject polygons enveloping both the up- and downgoing tubewave F-K events (aliased and non-aliased portions included), however, excluding the muting of any upgoing P-wave F-K events.	97

Figure 2.25	The filtered output of the data in Figure 2.21 after the application of the polygon surgical mutes shown in the F-K plot of Figure 2.24.	98
Figure 2.26	The concept of the forward and inverse $\tau$ - <b>P</b> transform (after Hardage, 1985).	102
Figure 2.27	$\tau$ - <b>P</b> (route A) based wavefield separation IPP of the Fort St. John Graben (Hinds et al., 1993a) multiple contaminated data.	105
Figure 2.28	The equivalent F-K surgical mute pass zone to the $\tau$ - <b>P</b> filter used to create the resultant data in panel 3 of Figure 2.27.	107
Figure 2.29	$\tau$ - <b>P</b> (route B) based wavefield separation IPP of the tubewave contaminated data using $\tau$ - <b>P</b> downgoing event separation and then subtraction of the $Z_{\text{down}}(\mathbf{FRT})$ from the $Z(\mathbf{FRT})$ to output the $Z_{\text{up}}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data.	109
Figure 2.30	F-K plot of the tubewave contaminated data showing the aliased tubewave F-K event intersecting (at the crossing of the red lines) the upgoing P-wave F-K event at $0.00833 \text{ m}^{-1}$ spatial frequency (K) and 37 hz.	111
Figure 2.31	$\tau$ - <b>P</b> (route C) wavefield separation IPP using $\tau$ - <b>P</b> upgoing event separation on $Z(\mathbf{FRT})$ with 30 m trace separation and inverse $\tau$ - <b>P</b> transformation using trace interpolation to create 15 m trace spacing.	112
Figure 2.32	F-K plot of the interpolated tubewave contaminated $Z_{\text{up}(\text{interp})}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data where the aliased tubewave F-K event intersects the upgoing P-wave F-K event at $0.00833 \text{ m}^{-1}$ spatial frequency (K) and 37 hz.	113
Figure 2.33	$\tau$ - <b>P</b> based wavefield separation IPP of the tubewave contaminated data using $\tau$ - <b>P</b> upgoing wave isolation (filtering out P values outside the range of the upgoing P-wave events).	115

Figure 2.34A	Panels 1 to 4 of the $\tau$ - $P$ based wavefield separation IPP using the interpolated $Z(\text{FRT})$ tubewave contaminated data.	116
Figure 2.34B	Panels 5 to 7 of the $\tau$ - $P$ based wavefield separation IPP using the interpolated $Z(\text{FRT})$ tubewave contaminated data.	117
Figure 2.35	F-K plot of the interpolated $Z(\text{FRT})$ data shown in panel 1 of Figure 2.34.	119
Figure 2.36	Deconvolution IPP for the Fort St. John Graben data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	122
Figure 2.37	The schematic definition of the outside and inside corridor stack.	124
Figure 2.38	Ray and depth/time plots, $Z_{\text{down}}(-\text{TT})$ and $Z_{\text{up}}(+\text{TT})$ , showing the top and bottom generating interfaces for interbed multiples (modified from Hinds et al., 1989a).	126
Figure 2.39	Corridor stack IPP of the Fort. ST John Graben $Z_{\text{up}}(+\text{TT})$ data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	127
Figure 2.40	Corridor stack IPP of the Fort. ST John Graben $Z_{\text{up}(\text{decon})}(+\text{TT})$ data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	129
Figure 2.41	Hodogram-based polarization IPP for the Fort St. John Graben (FSJG1) far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	135
Figure 2.42	The reflection angle for upgoing raypaths emerging at the geophone at A from deeper interfaces decreases.	139
Figure 2.43	Time-variant polarization IPP for the Fort St. John Graben (FSJG1) far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	140
Figure 2.44	VSP-CDP and Kirchhoff migration IPP for the Fort St. John Graben (FSJG1) far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	143

Figure 2.45	Hodogram-based polarization IPP for the Fort St. John Graben (FSJG2) far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	145
Figure 2.46	Time-variant polarization IPP for the Fort St. John Graben (FSJG2) far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	146
Figure 2.47	VSP-CDP and Kirchhoff migration IPP for the Fort St. John Graben FSJG2 far offset data (Hinds et al., 1993a).	147
Figure 2.48	VSP-CDP and migration IPP for the Simonette far-offset non-deconvolved data (Hinds et al., 1993b).	149
Figure 2.49	VSP-CDP and Kirchhoff migration IPP for the Simonette far-offset deconvolved data (Hinds et al., 1993b).	151
Figure 2.50	Time-variant polarization IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994b) using the example far-offset processing initially presented in the "processing runstreams" section of chapter 2.	153
Figure 2.51	VSP-CDP IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c) using the $Z''_{up}$ data shown in Figure 2.50.	154
Figure 2.52	Modified time-variant polarization and wavefield separation IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1993c) following processing decisions made using interpretive processing.	156
Figure 2.53	VSP-CDP IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994b) using the $Z''_{up}$ data shown in Figure 2.52.	157
Figure 2.54	VSP-CDP IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1994b) with the $Z''_{up}$ data shown in Figure 2.51 as input and data enhancement using a 9-point median filter.	159

Figure 2.55	VSP-CDP IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1994b) with the $Z''_{up}$ data shown in Figure 2.51 as input and data enhancement using a 11-point median filter.	160
Figure 2.56	Far offset deconvolution IPP for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1994b).a Note the deconvolution induced noise by comparing panels 4 and 5.	161
Figure 2.57	VSP-CDP IPP for the Ricinus $Z''_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ data (Hinds et al., 1994b).	163
Figure 2.58	Integrated log display (ILD) for the Ricinus data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994b).	164
Figure 2.59	Integrated seismic display (ISD) for the Ricinus case study data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994b).	166
Figure 2.60	Integrated Interpretive Display (IID) of the Lanaway case study data (Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994a).	167
Figure 3.1A	Stratigraphy from the Quaternary (Cenozoic) to the Upper Jurassic (Mesozoic) periods of the Central Plains area of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (after AGAT Laboratories, 1988; Anderson et al., 1989d; Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	171
Figure 3.1B	Stratigraphy from the Upper Jurassic (Mesozoic) to the Upper Devonian (Paleozoic) periods of the Central Plains area of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (after AGAT Laboratories, 1988; Anderson et al., 1989d; Hinds et al., 1994a and c)	172
Figure 3.1C	Stratigraphy from the Upper Carboniferous (Paleozoic) period to the Precambrian of the Central Plains area of Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (after AGAT Laboratories, 1988; Anderson et al., 1989d; and Hinds et al., 1994a and c)	173

Figure 3.2	Regional location map of the Lanaway study area (with permission of Talisman Resources Inc.; from Hinds et al., 1994a and 1994c).	174
Figure 3.3	Detailed map of the Lanaway study area displaying the seismic section traverse for the seismic data shown in Figures 3.7, 3.8, 3.14 and 3.15 and the locations of the wells used in the geological cross-sections shown in Figures 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 (from Hinds et al., 1994a and 1994c).	179
Figure 3.4	West-east geologic cross-section A-A' (refer to Figure 3.3 for well locations).	182
Figure 3.5	West-east geologic cross-section B-A' (refer to Figure 3.3 for location).	183
Figure 3.6	North-south geologic cross-section C-C' (refer to Figure 3.3 for location).	185
Figure 3.7	North-south oriented example seismic line showing the geophysical interpretation at the VSP well site prior to drilling (refer to Fig. 3.3 for location).	187
Figure 3.8	Enlarged version of the apparent time-structural anomaly at the Leduc level (shown in Fig. 3.7).	188
Figure 3.9	Interpretive processing panel depicting the wavefield separation of the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994a and c)	192
Figure 3.10	Interpretive processing panel depicting the deconvolution of the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	195
Figure 3.11	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the nondeconvolved inside and outside corridor stacks for the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	197

Figure 3.12	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the deconvolved inside and outside corridor stacks for the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	198
Figure 3.13	Integrated interpretive display (IID) showing the interpretation of the available exploration data for the Lanaway Field case study (from Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	200
Figure 3.14	Post-VSP interpretation of the north-south oriented seismic line (location shown in Figure 3.3).	203
Figure 3.15	Enlarged version of the post-VSP interpretation of the north-south oriented seismic line (shown in Fig. 3.14).	204
Figure 3.16	Shunda isopach map showing the absence of Shunda at the VSP well (from Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	207
Figure 3.17	Ireton isopach map showing the drape of the Ireton shales along the example seismic line (shown in Figs. 3.14 and 3.15; from Hinds et al., 1994a and c).	208
Figure 4.1	Detailed map of Ricinus study area showing the location of the wells used in the geological schematic section shown in Figures 4.2 and 4.4, the seismic data shown in Figures 4.3, 4.5 and 4.17, and locations for Leduc Formation level wells in the Ricinus Field area (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	214
Figure 4.2	Schematic section depicting the envisioned subsurface geology at the VSP well site prior to the drilling of the VSP well (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	216
Figure 4.3	Interpretation of the example seismic data prior to the drilling of the VSP well (at CDP 258; from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	220



Figure 4.4	Schematic section depicting the subsurface geology at the VSP well site, and the relationships between wells 6-9 and 7-15 (locations shown in Figure 4.1) and the VSP well (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	221
Figure 4.5	Current, preferred interpretation of the example seismic data shown within the integrated log display (ILD; see chapter 2; from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	223
Figure 4.6	Interpretive processing panel depicting the wavefield separation of the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	226
Figure 4.7	Interpretive processing panel depicting the deconvolution of the near offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	230
Figure 4.8	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the nondeconvolved inside and outside corridor stacks for the Ricinus near offset $Z_{up}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	234
Figure 4.9	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the deconvolved inside and outside corridor stacks for the Ricinus near offset $Z_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	235
Figure 4.10	Interpretive processing panel depicting the hodogram-based rotation of the far offset Ricinus VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c)	239

Figure 4.11	Interpretive processing panel depicting the time-variant model-based rotation of the far offset Ricinus VSP data resulting from the suggested processing runstream in chapter 2 (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	242
Figure 4.12	Interpretive processing panel depicting the time-variant model-based rotation of the far offset Ricinus VSP data resulting from interpretive processing (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	244
Figure 4.13	Interpretive processing panel depicting the far offset deconvolution of the Ricinus VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	246
Figure 4.14	Interpretive processing panel showing VSP-CDP transformation of the non-deconvolved far offset Ricinus VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	248
Figure 4.15	Interpretive processing panel showing the VSP-CDP transformed results for the far offset Ricinus VSP data resulting from the suggested "normal" runstream in chapter 2 (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	250
Figure 4.16	Interpretive processing panel showing the VSP-CDP transformed results for the deconvolved far offset Ricinus VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	251
Figure 4.17	Integrated seismic display showing the VSP-CDP transformed far offset $Z''_{up}(+TT)$ data merged with the surface seismic data (from Hinds et al., 1989a; Hinds et al., 1993c; Hinds et al., 1994c).	253
Figure 5.1	Stratigraphy of the Fort St. John Graben study area (modified from Richards, 1989).	259

Figure 5.2	Map of Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin tectonic elements showing the Peace River Embayment, Prophet Trough, Sukunka Uplift, cratonic platform and Fort St. John Graben (Barclay et al., 1990)	260
Figure 5.3	Detailed area map of the Fort St. John Graben showing the Bear Canyon, Josephine, Bonanza and George faults (from Richards et al., 1994).	261
Figure 5.4	Diagrammatic summary of the depositional and tectonic history of the Peace River Embayment area (from Cant, 1988) from the Cambrian to the Cretaceous periods.	264
Figure 5.5A	Block diagram showing the Fort St. John Graben by the end of the Golata Formation time (from Barclay et al., 1990).	269
Figure 5.5B	Block diagram showing the Fort St. John Graben by the end of the Kiskatinaw Formation time (from Barclay et al., 1990).	269
Figure 5.5C	Block diagram showing the Fort St. John Graben by the end of the Taylor Flat Formation time (from Barclay et al., 1990).	270
Figure 5.5D	Block diagram showing the Fort St. John Graben by the end of the Belloy Formation time (from Barclay et al., 1990).	270
Figure 5.6	Location of the Fort St. John Graben as outlined by the Belloy Formation isopach map (from Barclay et al., 1990).	272
Figure 5.7	Peace River Embayment stratotectonic elements including the Fort St. John Graben (from Barclay et al., 1990).	272
Figure 5.8	Example surface seismic data displaying the original interpretation of the owners of the 9-24 well (preceding the drilling of the well; from Hinds et al., 1993a).	273

Figure 5.9	Interpretive processing panel depicting the wavefield separation of the near offset Fort St. John Graben VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	278
Figure 5.10	Interpretive processing panel depicting the deconvolution of the near offset Fort St. John Graben VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	280
Figure 5.11	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the inside and outside corridor stacks of the $Z_{up}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	282
Figure 5.12	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the inside and outside corridor stacks of the $Z_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	283
Figure 5.13	Interpretive processing panel depicting the hodogram-based rotation of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG1 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	286
Figure 5.14	Interpretive processing panel depicting the time-variant model-based rotation of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG1 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	289
Figure 5.15	Interpretive processing panel depicting the VSP-CDP transformed and Kirchhoff migrated results of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG1 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	291
Figure 5.16	Interpretive processing panel depicting the hodogram-based rotation of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG2 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	294
Figure 5.17	Interpretive processing panel depicting the time-variant model-based rotation of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG2 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	295

Figure 5.18	Interpretive processing panel depicting the VSP-CDP transformed and Kirchhoff migrated results of the Fort St. John Graben FSJG2 far offset VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	296
Figure 5.19	Integrated interpretive display of the Fort St. John Graben exploration data (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	298
Figure 5.20	Current, preferred surface seismic interpretation (from Hinds et al., 1993a).	299
Figure 5.21	Geologic cross-section incorporating information from the surface seismic, VSP and geologic well log results (from Hinds et al., 1994c).	301
Figure 5.22	Plan map of the FSJG1 offset source area showing the fault locations as interpreted from the VSP and surface seismic data (from Hinds et al. 1994c).	303
Figure 6.1	Ireton isopach map of the main and low-relief Simonette reef within the eastern Woodbend depositional realm (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	308
Figure 6.2	Geologic cross-section A-A' traversing the wells shown in Figure 6.1 (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	309
Figure 6.3	Example surface seismic data over the low-relief reef displaying the original interpretation of the owner of the data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	311
Figure 6.4	Ireton to Leduc isochron map resulting from the original interpretation of the seismic lines within the area of the low-relief reef (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	313
Figure 6.5	The preferred Ireton to Leduc isochron resulting from the updated interpretation (using the VSP results) of the seismic lines in the area of the low-relief reef, the VSP results and geologic borehole data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	314

Figure 6.6	Interpretive processing panel depicting the wavefield separation of the near offset (252 m) offset Simonette VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	319
Figure 6.7	Interpretive processing panel depicting the deconvolution of the near offset (252 m) Simonette VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	321
Figure 6.8	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the inside and outside corridor stacks of the near offset (252 m) Simonette $Z_{up}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	324
Figure 6.9	Interpretive processing panel illustrating the utility of the inside and outside corridor stacks of the near offset (252 m) Simonette $Z_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	325
Figure 6.10	Interpretive processing panel depicting the hodogram-based rotation of the far offset (524 m) Simonette VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	328
Figure 6.11	Interpretive processing panel depicting the time-variant model-based rotation of the far offset (524 m) Simonette VSP data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	330
Figure 6.12	Interpretive processing panel showing the VSP-CDP transformed and Kirchhoff migrated far offset (524 m) $Z''_{up}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	332
Figure 6.13	Interpretive processing panel showing the VSP-CDP transformed and Kirchhoff migrated far offset (524 m) $Z''_{up(decon)}(+TT)$ data (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c).	335

- Figure 6.14 Integrated interpretive display showing the interpretation of the available exploration data for the Simonette reef case study (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c). 337
- Figure 6.15 Current, preferred interpretation of the example seismic section. The Z-marker is laterally continuous at the 13-15 well location and the reef slope has been reinterpreted to be at least 150 m to the East of well 13-15 (from Hinds et al., 1993b and 1994c). 339

## LIST OF FLOWCHARTS

Flowchart 1	An example of the interpretive processing decision-making flowchart for the median filter plus subtraction wavefield separation processing (Hinds et al., 1994c).	34
Flowchart 2	An example of the interpretive processing flowchart for the median filter plus subtraction wavefield separation processing (Hinds et al., 1989a).	44
Flowchart 3	An example of the interpretive processing flowchart for the K-L wavefield separation processing (Hinds et al., 1994c).	56
Flowchart 4	An example of the interpretive processing flowchart for the interactive F-K wavefield separation processing used for event identification (Hinds et al., 1994c).	87
Flowchart 5	An example of the interpretive processing flowchart for the interactive F-K wavefield separation processing used for the attenuation of tubewave events on $Z_{up}(\mathbf{FRT})$ data (Hinds et al., 1994c).	92
Flowchart 6	An example of the interpretive processing flowchart for the $\tau$ -P wavefield separation processing (Hinds et al., 1994c).	100