



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

IMMITTANCE IN INFANTS 0 – 12 MONTHS: MEASUREMENTS USING A 1000 Hz PROBE TONE

**BY
SONIA VAN ROOYEN**

**SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE
MCOMMUNICATION PATHOLOGY
IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATION PATHOLOGY,
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES, UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

OCTOBER 2006



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

*Dedicated to my loving parents -
Who always encouraged me to aim higher...*



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Sincere gratitude and appreciation especially to

- ~ Dr De Wet Swanepoel for his continued guidance and support throughout the entire process of this study and for sharing in highlights along the way...
- ~ Dr Maggi Soer for valuable contributions in the final completion of this script
- ~ Rina Owen for statistical support and analysis of the data
- ~ Caroline Munro, Advisory Teacher of the Deaf, Nuffield Hearing and Speech Centre, London, UK for proofreading an earlier version of this script
- ~ My family and friends for their devoted support and prayers
- ~ My husband, Danny, for his unconditional love, understanding and immeasurable support during trialling times
- ~ My heavenly father who gave me the strength to complete this project –
“...our sufficiency is of God” (2 Cor 3:5b)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	i
LIST OF TABLES	iii
ABSTRACT	v
OPSOMMING	vii
1. INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE OF STUDY	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 BACKGROUND	2
1.3 RATIONALE	8
1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT	10
1.5 DIVISION OF CHAPTERS	11
1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS	12
1.7 SUMMARY	13
2. CRITICAL REVIEW OF MIDDLE EAR ASSESSMENT IN INFANTS	14
2.1 INTRODUCTION	15
2.2 CURRENT ISSUES IN THE ASSESSMENT OF MIDDLE EAR FUNCTIONING IN INFANTS	16
2.3 ANATOMICAL STRUCTURE AND PHYSIOLOGY RELEVANT TO THE ASSESSMENT OF THE INFANT MIDDLE EAR	18
2.3.1 Middle ear structure and function	19
2.3.2 Tympanic membrane	20
2.3.3 Eustachian tube and its relation to middle ear function	21
2.4 FACTORS AFFECTING MIDDLE EAR STATUS IN INFANTS	23

2.5	CRITICAL REVIEW OF MIDDLE EAR ASSESSMENT IN INFANTS	26
2.5.1	Otoscopy	26
2.5.2	Otoacoustic Emissions (OAEs)	28
2.5.3	Immittance measurement	30
2.6	CRITICAL REVIEW OF IMMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS: PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATION IN INFANTS	32
2.6.1	Fundamentals and principles of acoustic immittance measurements	32
2.6.2	The middle ear as a mechanical system	36
2.6.3	Mass, stiffness and resistance components of the middle ear	36
2.6.4	Clinical application of tympanometry	40
2.6.4.1	Vector tympanometry	40
2.6.4.2	Multi-component tympanometry	42
2.6.4.3	Tympanometric peak pressure (TPP)	42
2.6.5	Classification systems in tympanometry	43
2.6.6	Multi-frequency tympanometry	45
2.6.7	Acoustic reflex testing	46
2.6.8	Conventional tympanometry versus high frequency tympanometry	48
2.7	IMPLICATIONS FOR INFANT TESTING	52
2.8	SUMMARY	54
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	56
3.1	INTRODUCTION	56
3.2	HYPOTHESIS	57
3.3	AIMS OF RESEARCH	57
3.3.1	Main aim	57
3.3.2	Sub-aims	58
3.4	RESEARCH APPROACH	58
3.4.1	Research design	59

3.5	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	61
3.5.1	Potential harm to research subjects	61
3.5.2	Informed consent	61
3.5.3	Research fieldworkers	62
3.6	RESEARCH SUBJECTS	62
3.6.1	Selection criteria	63
3.6.2	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for compilation of norms	64
3.6.3	Subject selection procedures	64
3.6.4	Description of subjects	65
3.7	MATERIAL AND APPARATUS	66
3.8	DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES	68
3.9	DATA PREPARATION AND ORGANISATION PROCEDURES	72
3.9.1	Division of case sample into two subgroups based on middle ear function	73
3.9.2	Procedures for classification of tympanograms	75
3.10	DATA ANALYSIS	79
3.11	SUMMARY	79
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	80
4.1	INTRODUCTION	80
4.2	DESCRIPTION OF ADMITTANCE (Y_a) TYMPANOGRAM SHAPES AND CHARACTERISTICS WITHIN SUBGROUPS A AND B	82
4.2.1	Associations between results of OAE-testing and tympanogram shape	84
4.2.2	Maximum admittance and tympanometric peak pressure values for Y_a tympanograms within subgroups A and B	87
4.2.3	Double peaked tympanograms	92
4.3	SUSCEPTANCE (B_a) AND CONDUCTANCE (G_a) TYMPANOGRAM ANALYSIS AND DESCRIPTION	96



4.4	ACOUSTIC REFLEXES USING A HIGH FREQUENCY PROBE TONE	102
4.4.1	Comparison of acoustic reflex measurement results with OAE and tympanometry results	103
4.5	HIGH FREQUENCY (1000 Hz) IMMITTANCE NORMS	105
4.6	SUMMARY	119
5.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	121
5.1	INTRODUCTION	121
5.2	CONCLUSIONS	122
5.3	IMPLICATIONS OF FINDINGS	125
5.4	CRITICAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH PROJECT	127
5.5	CLINICAL GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETATION OF HIGH FREQUENCY IMMITTANCE	128
5.6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	128
5.7	FINAL COMMENTS	129
5.8	SUMMARY	129
	REFERENCE LIST	130
	APPENDICES	141
	Appendix A: DATA SHEET	141
	Appendix B: ETHICAL CLEARANCE	148

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Graphic illustration of impedance (Z) vs. admittance (Y) tympanograms	33
		34
Figure 2.2	Diagram of electroacoustic immittance instrumentation	38
Figure 2.3	Influence of frequency on admittance vector system	44
Figure 2.4	Vanhuyse classification model	
Figure 3.1	Research methods representing the research design of the current study	60
		65
Figure 3.2	Age distribution of infants (n = 510)	69
Figure 3.3	Three stage data collection procedure.....	72
Figure 3.4	Procedures performed (n = 1020 ears)	74
Figure 3.5	OAE results acclaimed for division of groups	77
Figure 3.6	Flowchart of research process	
Figure 3.7	Illustration of research process according to main and sub-aims	78
		83
Figure 4.1	Results of admittance (Y_a) tympanometry (n = 936)	
Figure 4.2	Relationships between OAE and Tympanometry results (n = 936)	85
Figure 4.3	Independent relationships between OAE results and peak or flat tympanograms (n = 936)	86

Figure 4.4	Distribution of maximum Y_a -admittance values for ears with OAE pass and refer results (n = 934)	89
Figure 4.5	Distribution of tympanic peak pressure for ears with OAE pass and refer results (n = 917)	90
Figure 4.6	Gender relation of ears displaying double peaked tympanograms (n = 41)	92
Figure 4.7	OAE test results of ears that displayed double peaked tympanograms (n = 42)	93
		95
Figure 4.8	Examples of double peaked tympanograms	
Figure 4.9	Random examples of tympanograms recorded during this study at age groups of 1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 20, 36, 40 and 44 weeks	98
		.
Figure 4.10	1000 Hz acoustic reflex results using a 1000 Hz probe tone (n = 914)	102
		103
Figure 4.11	Distribution of acoustic reflex compared to OAE results.....	
Figure 4.12	Relationship of tympanometry to acoustic reflex results (n = 882)	104
Figure 4.13	Distribution of admittance results for Y_a tympanograms (n = 809)	108
Figure 4.14	Mean values for Y_a tympanometric variables across age groups: (A) peak admittance in mmho, (B) tympanometric peak pressure in daPa	116
		117
Figure 4.15	Peak admittance and pressure norms	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Outline and description of chapters.....	11
Table 1.2	Terminology list.....	12
Table 2.1	Normative Tympanometric Values from 1kHz Tympanograms by Margolis <i>et al.</i> , (2003:385)	50
Table 2.2	Normative data for 1000 Hz tympanometry by Kei <i>et al.</i> , (2003:25)	51
Table 2.3	Comparison of methods for middle ear assessment in infants	53
Table 3.1	OAE stimulus parameters (DPOAE 2)	68
Table 4.1	P-values for differences between left and right ears	81
Table 4.2	1000 Hz Admittance (Y_a), Susceptance (B_a) and Conductance (G_a) tympanometry norms (Total sample)	107
Table 4.3	Comparison between results obtained from the current study and results by Margolis <i>et al.</i> , (2003:386)	109
Table 4.4	1000 Hz tympanometry norms (Female ears)	110
Table 4.5	1000 Hz tympanometry norms (Male ears)	110
Table 4.6	Comparison between peak admittance values in Y_a tympanograms in male and female ears	111
Table 4.7	Norms for 1000 Hz admittance tympanometry across four age groups	115

Table 4.8	1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflex norms (n = 727)	118
Table 5.1	Conclusions according to sub-aims	122
Table 5.2	Strengths and limitations of the current study	127



ABSTRACT

TITLE: Immittance in infant 0 – 12 months: Measurements using a 1000 Hz probe tone

NAME: Sonia van Rooyen

SUPERVISOR: Dr DCD Swanepoel

CO-SUPERVISOR: Dr M Soer

DEPARTMENT: Communication Pathology, University of Pretoria

DEGREE: M Communication Pathology

Rapid implementation of universal newborn hearing screening programs has exposed a need for a reliable test of middle ear function for timely identification of middle ear pathology and for differentiation between true sensorineural and conductive hearing losses. Use of higher probe tone frequencies for the assessment of immittance measures have proven to be more reliable and accurate in identifying MEE in infants. However a lack of classification-guidelines and age specific normative data exists. This study investigated the characteristics and normative values of high frequency tympanometric and acoustic reflex results for infants ($n = 936$ ears). Participants were 510 infants (262 male, 248 female) aged 0 – 12 months (mean age = 12.8 weeks) recruited from primary health care and immunization clinics in a South African community. A three-part procedure was performed on each test ear: 1) OAEs were recorded and pass results served as control variable for normal middle ear functioning; 2) 1000 Hz probe tone admittance, susceptance and conductance tympanograms were recorded and analysed in terms of shape, tympanometric peak pressure and maximum (peak) admittance; 3) 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflexes, measured with a 1000 Hz ipsilateral stimulus, were recorded and thresholds determined. Significant associations were observed between tympanogram shape, and OAE pass or fail results. 93% of ears with an OAE pass result displayed peaked tympanograms, while 79% of ears with absent OAE's displayed flat tympanograms. Single peaked tympanograms were recorded in 782 ears (84%), double peaked tympanograms in 41 (4%) ears and flat sloping tympanograms in 112 (12%) ears. Admittance (Y_a) tympanograms for the total sample displayed a mean admittance value of 2.9 mmho, with a standard deviation of 1.1 mmho. The 90th percent range was determined at 1.5 mmho (5th percentile) to 4.9 mmho (95th percentile). Mean tympanometric peak pressure in Y_a tympanograms was 0.1 daPa, with a standard deviation of 61 daPa. The 90th percent range was -110 daPa to 90 daPa for the 5th and 95th percentiles respectively. Gender specific norms indicated a higher admittance for male ears. Age specific norms indicate a gradual increase in admittance indicating the need for age specific normative classification systems. Ipsilateral 1000 Hz stimuli acoustic reflex measurement proved successful with a 1000 Hz

probe tone and present reflexes were recorded in 84% of ears tested. Significant association between acoustic reflex presence, OAE pass and peaked tympanogram results were observed. The normative tympanometric values derived from the cohort may serve as a guide for identification of middle ear effusion in neonates. High frequency tympanometry in combination with acoustic reflexes proves a useful measure for verifying middle ear functioning in young infants.

Key words: *acoustic reflex, admittance, conductance, high frequency probe tone, immittance, middle ear effusion, neonatal hearing screening, peak admittance, susceptance, tympanometric peak pressure, tympanometry*



OPSOMMING

TITEL: Immittansie in kinders 0 – 12 maande: Metings met 'n 1000 Hz meettoon

NAAM: Sonia van Rooyen

PROMOTOR: Dr DCD Swanepoel

MEDE-PROMOTOR: Dr M Soer

DEPARTEMENT: Kommunikasie Patologie, Universiteit van Pretoria

GRAAD: M Kommunikasie Patologie

Die implementering van universele neonatale gehoortoetsing het 'n behoefte onthul aan 'n betroubare toets vir middelloor funksionering vir die tydigse identifikasie van middelloor patologie, en om sensories-neurale en konduktiewe gehoorverliese te kan onderskei. Die gebruik van 'n hoër frekwensie meettoon is as meer betroubaar en akkuraat bewys. 'n Gebrek aan klassifikasie-riglyne en ouderdom-spesifiek normatiewe waardes bestaan egter tans. Hierdie studie het die eienskappe en normatiewe waardes van hoë frekwensie timpanometrie en akoestiese refleks resultate vir kinders ($n = 936$ ore) wat OAE toetsing geslaag het, beskryf. Deelnemers was 510 kinders (262 manlik, 248 vroulik) tussen die ouderdom van 0 – 12 maande (gemiddeld = 12.8 weke) wat gewerf is vanaf 6-week primêre gesondheidsorg en immuniserings klinieke in 'n Suid-Afrikaanse gemeenskap. 'n Drievoudige prosedure is op elk van die deelnemers se ore uitgevoer: 1) OAE toetsing is uitgevoer en 'n slaag resultaat het gedien as kontrole vir normale middelloorfunksionering; 2) 1000 Hz meettoon admittansie-, susceptansie- en konduktansie timpanogramme is opgeneem en geanaliseer na aanleiding van vorm, piek timpanometriese druk en maksimum (piek) admittansie; 3) 1000 Hz meettoon akoestiese refleks toetsing is uitgevoer met 'n 1000 Hz ipsilaterale stimulus en drempels is vasgestel. Betekenisvolle assosiasies is waargeneem tussen timpanogram vorm en OAE slaag of verwys resultate. 93% van ore met OAE slaag resultate het timpanogramme met duidelike pieke getoon, terwyl 79% wat ore met OAE verwys resultate plat timpanogramme vertoon het. Enkel-piek timpanogramme is in 782 (84%) van ore waargeneem, dubbel-piek timpanogramme in 41 (4%) van ore en plat timpanogramme in 112 (12%) ore. Admittansie (Y_a) timpanogramme vir die totale groep het 'n gemiddelde admittansie waarde van 2.9 mmho, met 'n standaard afwyking van 1.1 mmho getoon. Die 90 % omvang was waargeneem tussen 1.5 mmho (5de persentiel) en 4.9 mmho (95ste persentiel). Gemiddelde timpanometriese piek druk in Y_a timpanogramme was 0.1 daPa, met 'n standaard afwyking van 61 daPa. Die 90 % omvang was -110 daPa tot 90 daPa vir die 5de en 95ste persentiel afsonderlik. Geslag-spesifieke norme het 'n hoër gemiddelde admittansie waarde vir manlike ore getoon. Ouderdom-spesifieke norme dui op 'n geleidelike verhoging in admittansie wat



die behoefte aan ouderdom-spesifieke normatiewe klassifikasie-sisteme bevestig. Ipsilaterale 1000 Hz stimulus akoestiese refleks metings het getoon dat reflekse suksesvol waargeneem word wanneer 'n 1000 Hz meettoon aangewend word. Reflekse is waargeneem in 84% van die toetsore. Betekenisvolle assosiasies tussen die teenwoordigheid van 'n akoestiese refleks, OAE slaag resultaat en duidelik gepiekde timpanogramme is aangedui. Die normatiewe waardes kan dien as 'n riglyn vir die identifkasië van middeloor effusie in kinders. Hoë frekwensie timpanometrie tesame met akoestiese reflekse blyk 'n waardevolle metode vir evaluering van middeloor funksionering in jong kinders te wees.

Sleuteltermes: *admittansie, akoestiese refleks, hoë frekwensie meettoon, immittansie, konduktansie, middeloor effusie, neonatale gehoortoetsing, piek admittansie, susceptansie, timpanometriese piek druk, timpanometrie*



1. INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

The aim of this chapter is to present the effects of hearing loss as rationale to hearing screening and the need to develop standardized procedures and criteria for differentiating middle ear effusion from sensorineural hearing loss in early infancy. A breakdown of all the chapters included will also be provided.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

“With the rapid implementation of universal newborn hearing screening (UNHS) programs, there is a need for a test of middle-ear function to distinguish sensori-neural hearing loss from middle ear pathology.” (Margolis, Bass-Ringdahl, Hanks, Holte & Zapala, 2003:384)

Hearing loss is often described as an invisible condition as it offers no obvious indications and is often asymptomatic. It may merely be overlooked or be mistaken for developmental delay or attention deficit disorder (Hogan, Stratford & Moore, 1997:350; Roush, 2001:3). Permanent hearing loss in infants almost always affects the development of speech and language and may leave them with deficits in the ability to communicate with an effective language system (Roush, 2001:3; Rossetti, 1996:35; Mauk & White, 1995:6). Furthermore, eighty percent of a child’s ability to develop speech, language and related cognitive skills is established by the time the child is thirty-six months of age, with hearing being vitally important for the healthy development of such skills. Therefore hearing loss can result in impaired academic achievement and social-emotional development (Luterman 1999:41; JCIH, 2000:798,800; Northern & Downs, 2002:81). The degree of hearing loss, age of identification and intervention and each individual’s unique characteristics interact to determine

the consequences of a hearing loss. In general, the greater the degree of hearing loss, the greater the implication for oral language development (Roush, 2001:18; Luterman, 1999:107).

The negative consequences of severe bilateral sensorineural hearing loss, have long been recognized, but it has only been in recent years that the damaging consequences of mild bilateral and unilateral sensorineural hearing loss, or *conductive* hearing loss have been realised (Mauk & White, 1995:6). Persistent conductive hearing loss, often caused by the presence of middle ear effusion, is common in infants. Untreated chronic middle ear effusion, although rare, can result in serious medical complications including cholesteatoma, meningitis, and sensorineural hearing loss (Roush, 2000:18). Conductive hearing loss secondary to middle ear effusion early in life, may impact on the development of auditory processing skills, and understanding of speech in the presence of competing noise. The relationship between middle ear effusion and communication skills has been well documented (Northern & Downs 2002:66). It is also recognized that persistent or recurrent middle ear effusion has potentially detrimental long-term consequences, especially for children already experiencing communicative disorders related to learning disabilities or developmental delays (Roush, 2001:19). Bearing this in mind, early detection, diagnosis, and habilitation of hearing loss, both sensorineural and conductive, is crucial to forestall delays in speech, language and general development (JCIH, 2000:798; Koivunen, Uhari, Laitakari, Alho and Luotonen, 2000:216; Luterman, 1999:35).

1.2 BACKGROUND

Research has shown that children who are identified with hearing loss, and given appropriate intervention before six months of age, maintain language development consistent with their cognitive abilities (Yoshinaga-Itano, 2001). The positive outcomes of early identification and management of hearing loss in infants, has lead to the development of Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Programs (EHDI) (JCIH, 2000:799; Northern & Downs, 2002:259).

In the USA, according to the Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH, 2000:798), all infant's hearing should be screened using objective, physiologic measures in order to identify those with congenital or neonatal onset hearing loss and such procedures should be in progress with identification of hearing loss by three months of age and intervention initiated by six months of age.

Newborn hearing screening protocols typically include the use of Otoacoustic Emission (OAE) and Automated Auditory Brainstem Response (AABR) procedures to detect hearing loss (Kei, Allison-Levick, Dockray, Harrys, Kirkegard, Wong, Maurer, Hegarty, Young and Tudehope, 2003:21; Mencher *et al.* 2001:4; Roush, 2001:50; JCIH, 2000:802). OAEs (low-intensity sounds emitted by the ear that can be detected by a sensitive microphone placed in the ear canal) can be recorded reliably in response to auditory stimuli (Roush, 2001:49). The AABR reflect electrical activity of the VIIIth (auditory) nerve and brainstem, in response to auditory stimuli (Roush, 2001:60; Martin & Clark, 2000:165). ABR screenings are usually performed using automated instruments, reporting a pass or refer result after comparing acquired responses to normal ABR responses stored in computer memory (Roush, 2001:61).

However, successful recording of OAEs and AABR responses not only require a healthy cochlea and intact auditory nerve, but also *necessitates normal or near normal middle ear functioning* (Roush, 2001:50; Fowler and Shanks, 2002:202; Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212; Sutton, Gleadle and Rowe, 1996:10; Thornton, Kimm, Kennedy and Cafarelli-Dees, 1993:319). The recording of OAEs from neonates and infants can also be influenced and affected by external and middle ear pathology leading to 'false positive' test results (Thornton *et al.*, 1993:322).

False positive test results refer to ears with normal underlying hearing but who do not have sufficiently strong OAE and / or ABR responses at the time of the newborn hearing screening test (Keefe, Zhao, Neely, Gorga and Vohr, 2003:389, Roush, 2000:19). OAE and ABR results are known to be sensitive to outer ear canal obstruction and middle ear effusion, causing temporary

conductive dysfunction. Transient middle ear dysfunction can cause high false-positive test outcomes in the presence of normal cochlear function (Keefe *et al.*, 2003:389; Doyle, Burggraaff, Fujikawa, Kim and MacArthur, 1997:598; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:9; Thornton *et al.*, 1993:319).

It is clear therefore that the results of OAE and auditory brainstem response testing depend, to a large extent, on the condition of the middle ear. Abnormal middle ear functioning can be a major reason for failure of neonatal hearing screening (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:9). As universal screening for hearing in infants develops, it becomes increasingly important to distinguish and separate middle-ear pathology from pathology of the cochlea and brainstem to ensure appropriate follow-up procedures are initiated (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:202). As transient middle ear dysfunction may be more prevalent in neonatal and infant ears than cochlear or sensori-neural hearing losses, there is a critical need for a better understanding of middle ear functioning in neonates and infants (Keefe, Folsom, Gorga, Vohr, Bulen & Norton, 2000:443).

A key contributing factor on the condition of the middle ear and in turn on the outcome of OAE and ABR test procedures is the presence of middle ear effusion (Yeo, Park, Park & Suh, 2002:798; El-Refaie, Parker & Bomford, 1996:3; Wada, Ohyama, Kobayashi, Koike and Noguchi, 1995:162; Thornton *et al.*, 1993:319; Trine, Hirsch & Margolis, 1993:401). MEE is characterized by fluid in the middle ear without evidence of infection. A number of synonyms are used to describe this condition, including serous otitis, secretory otitis, nonsuppurative otitis, fluid ear and middle ear effusion (MEE) (Northern & Downs, 2002:75). As OAEs are transmitted from the cochlea to the ear canal via the middle ear, the transmission properties of the middle ear directly influence the OAE characteristics (Yeo *et al.*, 2002:794). Middle ear effusion (MEE) has a very high prevalence, affecting nearly all children at least once during the early months or years of life (Northern & Downs, 2002:65; Roush, 2001:11; Hogan *et al.*, 1997:350). In contrast, sensorineural hearing loss occurs far less frequently with an incidence of approximately three per 1000 for all newborn infants (Roush, 2001:20).

MEE creates a mild to moderate conductive hearing loss that averages 20 dB, but can be as great as 50 dB (Hogan *et al.*, 1997:350; Northern & Downs, 2002:66). The fluctuating hearing loss caused by MEE, which is often recurrent, can lead to the inability to hear short unstressed words and low intensity speech sounds, distortion of sound and inconsistent auditory reception (Northern & Downs, 2002:66). Unlike acute episodes of middle ear disease, which are readily apparent from pain and other overt symptoms, non-infected middle ear fluid and hearing loss are often overlooked without appropriate screening procedures (Roush, 2001:14, Hogan *et al.*, 1997:350).

In the clinical setting MEE is generally identified and diagnosed by means of an array of examinations and electrophysiological test-procedures. These include otoscopic examination, pneumatic otoscopy, acoustic immittance measures, and Otoacoustic emissions (Casselbrant, Gravel, Margolis & Marchisio, 2002:95; Roush, 2001:35; Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212). Acoustic immittance measures, specifically tympanometry, have proved over the years to be the best single audiologic procedure for assessing middle ear functioning (Hall & Mueller, 1997:177). Added advantages of acoustic immittance measures when acoustic reflexes are also recorded include the possibility for differential diagnosis between middle ear, cochlea, eighth nerve and lower auditory brainstem pathology (Hall & Mueller, 1997:177). Acoustic immittance measurements have evolved from a specialty procedure, to a fundamental and routine part of the audiological test battery (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1). Its contribution to clinical diagnosis has become well accepted as an objective tool for diagnosing middle ear pathologies (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:175; Petrak, 2002:1; Palmu, Puhakka, Rahko & Takala, 1999:178). The high sensitivity of acoustic immittance measures in screening for middle ear disorders forms the basic rationale for the use of such measures in screening protocols (Roush, 2001:66; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1).

Acoustic immittance refers to acoustic admittance or acoustic impedance of the middle ear structures, or both (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1). Acoustic impedance and admittance are reciprocal terms. Admittance

is the ease with which sound energy flows through the acoustic middle ear system, whereas impedance refers to the opposition to the flow of energy (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161; Hall & Mueller, 1997:178; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:22). An acoustic transmission system, such as the human ear, that offers high acoustic admittance to the flow of sound has low acoustic impedance. Although both terms have been used to describe acoustic measures of middle ear function, current commercially available acoustic immittance instruments typically provide measures of admittance (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161). Clinical admittance measures can be broadly separated into two general areas: tympanometry and acoustic reflex measures (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:168). Tympanometry and recording stapedius reflexes for acoustic signals are the two procedures that form the primary set of acoustic immittance measurements used in most audiology clinics (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1). As previously mentioned, acoustic immittance measures are sensitive to middle ear pathologies and require no behavioral response on the part of the patient. Thus, the measures can be obtained in clinical patients for whom behavioral response techniques are not always feasible, such as very young children.

Tympanometry involves measures of the acoustic admittance in a hermetically sealed ear canal as air pressure is varied above (+) and below (-) atmospheric level in the ear canal (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2). In tympanometry the mobility of the tympanic membrane is measured while the membrane is exposed to an acoustic energy, commonly referred to as the probe tone (Gruber, 2002:1; GSI-TympStar Manual, 2002). In the ear, the tympanic membrane is mechanically coupled with the middle ear ossicles to the oval window; the interface between the middle and inner ear. It is this entire system (membrane, middle ear and oval window) that is forced into oscillation when tympanometric measurements are being performed (Gruber, 2002:1). The probe unit provides the acoustic signal (probe signal) that serves as the reference for acoustic immittance measures. For the vast majority of instruments, the probe signal is a tone of a specified frequency and sound pressure level. Since the sound pressure level of the probe tone within the ear canal varies as a function of mobility, it is possible to record these changes in mobility as a function of pressure (Wiley &

Fowler, 1997:8). Currently there are instruments that provide for multiple probe frequencies (i.e. 226 Hz, 678 Hz, 1000 Hz).

The most commonly used probe tone in conventional tympanometry has been a 226 Hz probe signal (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:175; Roush, 2001:67). This probe tone has some definitive advantages when testing the adult ear as the adult middle-ear system is stiffness-dominated (compliance) at this frequency and the effects of mass and friction are minor (Kei *et al.*, 2003:21; Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187; Petrak, 2002:1; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9). However when higher probe tone frequencies are used, the middle ear no longer acts as a mass-controlled system and mass components, particularly of the eardrum and ossicles, become more significant (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:192). It has been found that tympanograms collected from infant ears progress differently than those collected from adult ears especially when a low frequency probe tone is used (Keefe *et al.*, 2000:444; Purdy *et al.*, 2000:9; Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:10; Holte, Margolis & Cavanaugh, 1991:20).

A review of the literature reveals that there is controversy and debate among professionals at which age tympanometry becomes reliable for detecting MEE in infants (Northern & Downs, 2002:80; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:189). The choice of probe tone, most sensitive to the detection of MEE, is also not fully agreed on (Northern & Downs, 2002:80; Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187; Petrak, 2002:2; Purdy *et al.*, 2000:9; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:189). For some years it has been recognised that conventional low-frequency probe tone tympanometry is inappropriate for infants below 7 months of age, because of poor sensitivity and high false positive as well as false negative results (Petrak, 2002:1; Purdy & Williams, 2002:9; Sutton, 2000:1; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:189).

Studies have shown that infants below four months of age may demonstrate what appear to be normal 226 Hz tympanograms with confirmed MEE, and abnormal tympanograms in normal ears (Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Paradise *et al.*, 1976 in Meyer *et al.*, 1997:189; Keefe *et al.*, 1996:372). It was originally

believed that infant ear canal walls were so compliant that a movement of the entire wall occurred that imitated the type A-tympanograms (Holte *et al.*, 1991:20). The reason for false positive and false negative results are unclear although it has been speculated that the anatomical differences of the adult and infant middle ear transmission system, may be contributory factors (Petrak, 2002:2; Keefe & Levi, 1996:362; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Holte *et al.*, 1991:20). During development of the infant ear, several anatomical changes take place, which influence the mechanical properties of the ear canal. These changes in acoustic response have been attributed to these physical changes in the external and middle ear after birth by some researchers (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Keefe & Levi, 1996:362).

1.3 RATIONALE

A number of studies have shown that there may be a better correlation between the presence of middle ear effusion and the shape of the tympanogram when a high-frequency probe tone is used in young infants (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27; Keefe *et al.*, 2000:461; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:15). Thornton *et al.* (1993:320) found that the percentage of successful admittance measurements obtained using a 226 Hz probe frequency was 68%, but when a 1000 Hz probe tone was used, this figure rose to 87%. Meyer *et al.*, (1997:194) and Sutton *et al.*, (1996:10) supports the argument that a high frequency probe tone is able to identify the presence of pathology that is unrecognized by conventional 226 Hz tympanometry. In a study by LaRossa, Mitchell and Cardinal (1993:34) 226 Hz tympanometry results were not repeatable or reliable in identifying MEE in infants in the Neonatal Intensive Care Units. According to Petrak (2002:2) a higher frequency probe tone is needed to collect tympanograms that will be useful in identifying middle ear effusion in infants. Other research comparing high frequency tympanometry to OAE and/or auditory brainstem response results (Rhodes, Margolis, Hirsch & Napp, 1999:806; Hirsch, Margolis & Rykken, 1992:181) indicates better sensitivity to conductive pathology for 678 Hz and 1000 Hz tympanograms than conventional low frequency probe tone tympanometry.

Despite the advantages of high frequency tympanometry in identifying mass-related middle ear pathology, high frequency / multi-frequency probe tones are not commonly used. The major barrier to incorporating high-frequency tympanometry into the routine test battery is that the measures are more complex and not as easily understood as 226 Hz tympanograms (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:186). There are no accepted guidelines on classifying, interpreting high-frequency tympanograms or validated criteria for distinguishing normal from abnormal tympanograms in infants (Petrak, 2002:1; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:11). According to Petrak (2002:2) and Sutton (2000:2), as further research continues to support high frequency tympanometry, the best choice for a tympanometric probe frequency in infants under four months of age is 1000 Hz. Currently there are still many questions regarding the sensitivity and specificity of 1000 Hz tympanometry to the presence of MEE in infants (Purdy & Williams, 2000:24). To date, there is no universal normative data classification system in place for high frequency probe tone measures, as for the 226 Hz probe tone in adults (Petrak, 2002:2). Normative values have mainly been determined for adults and children from three to sixteen years of age (Palmu *et al.*, 2001:178). Thus there is a dearth of research on tympanometry in infants, especially those with the highest risk and incidence of otitis media, i.e. those under 2 years of age (Sininger 2003:380; Northern & Downs, 2002:80; Palmu *et al.*, 2001:178). Fowler and Shanks (2002:202) emphasize the need for additional studies on infants with normal ears and confirmed middle ear pathology before guidelines can be established for the reliable use of high frequency tympanometry in distinguishing normal from pathologic ears in this population. In a recent study by Kei *et al.* (2003:20), characteristics of 1000 Hz tympanograms for neonates with normal Transient Evoked OAEs, and a single peaked admittance tympanogram, were described. This may serve as a guide for detecting middle ear effusion in infants.

As newborn hearing screening programs are rapidly expanding, a need exists for a simple and objective method to evaluate and interpret middle ear status in infants. As previously mentioned, the results of otoacoustic emissions and auditory brainstem responses depend on the condition of the middle ear.

Middle ear pathology must therefore be separated from pathology of the cochlea and brainstem for appropriate follow-up and referrals (Fowler and Shanks, 2002:202). According to Kei *et al.*, (2003:27) there is potential for high frequency tympanometry to be utilized in neonatal hearing screening programs to identify possible middle ear dysfunction. It may also serve to decrease the number of infants in which a definite audiological diagnosis is delayed due to chronic MEE.

1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT

“Because transient middle-ear dysfunction may be more prevalent in neonatal ears than cochlear or sensorineural hearing losses, there is a critical need for a better understanding of middle-ear functioning in neonates” (Keefe *et al.*, 2000:443). Tympanometry is effective in identifying various forms of middle ear dysfunction, and can be used to help interpret OAE and ABR measurements in the same ear (Keefe *et al.*, 2000:444). It has been suggested that because middle ear effusion is likely to reduce or eradicate OAEs and elevate AABR thresholds, it may be a major reason for failure of neonatal hearing screening in infants and account for much of the false positive results (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:16).

Conventional tympanometric measurement in neonatal ears has been found to be unreliable due to anatomical differences between the adult and infant ear (Petrak, 2002:2; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; La Rossa, Mitchell & Cardinal, 1993:32). Tympanometry using a higher frequency probe tone appears to overcome this problem. However validation of high frequency probe tone tympanometry for measuring middle ear status in neonates and young infants has not yet been established (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:175; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:10).



1.5 DIVISION OF CHAPTERS

A description of the sections included in this study is provided in Table 1.1.

TABLE 1.1 Outline and description of chapters

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE
	Chapter one provides a background and rationale for the present study and highlights the need for a clinical tool to differentiate between conductive and sensori-neural hearing loss in early infancy.
CHAPTER 2	CRITICAL REVIEW OF MIDDLE EAR ASSESSMENT IN INFANTS
	Chapter two provides an overview of the structures involved in the assessment of the middle ear system. Knowledge thereof, and a thorough understanding of the principles of immittance measures, is essential to critically evaluate the procedures currently used for the assessment of the infant middle ear. Included is a comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of these methods.
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
	A detailed description of the methodological approach and research design followed during this study will be given. A description of the sample, materials and apparatus used, procedures used for assembling and analysing data will be discussed.
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
	The results obtained from the empirical research will be presented in accordance with the main- and sub-aims formulated for the study. The findings of this study will be discussed within the broader framework outlined in the first three chapters. Present results will be compared to other studies.
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
	The study will be concluded by a summary of the significant findings. This chapter will also include a critical evaluation, the clinical implications and recommendations of this study.

1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS

A definition of the most important terms relevant to the context of this study is provided in Table 1.2.

TABLE 1.2 Terminology list

<i>IMMITTANCE</i>	Measures of acoustic impedance (opposition to flow of energy) or acoustic admittance (ease with which energy flows) within the middle ear system. Refers to both tympanometry and recording of stapedial reflexes.
<i>TYMPANOMETRY</i>	Measures of a) opposition to energy flow or b) ease of energy flow in the ear canal as a function of changes in air pressure. Performed by introduction of an acoustic signal and measurement of sound pressure level of the signal in the ear canal as pressure is varied above (+) and below (-) atmospheric pressure.
<i>ACOUSTIC REFLEX</i>	Stapedial muscle reflex, elicited in response to an acoustic signal of sufficient intensity, which causes an increase in acoustic impedance measured at the eardrum.
<i>PROBE TONE</i>	A continuously delivered signal into the ear by the probe speaker. Acoustic immittance is analysed by monitoring of probe-tone sound pressure level in the ear canal by means of the probe microphone. Low (226 Hz) or high (678 / 1000 Hz) tones can be used to measure middle ear mobility. <i>A 1000 Hz probe tone was utilized for tympanometry and acoustic reflex measurements during this study.</i>
<i>PEAK ADMITTANCE</i>	The point of maximum mobility on a tympanogram which indicates the degree of energy flow within the middle ear system. In the current study this was measured at the point of maximum positive deflection on the tympanogram.
<i>TYMPANOMETRIC PEAK PRESSURE</i>	The pressure value where maximum mobility occurs (peak of the tympanogram) and which approximates pressure within the middle ear space. In the current study this was measured at the corresponding peak admittance point.

TABLE 1.2 continued...

ACOUSTIC SUSCEPTANCE (B_a)	Interaction between compliance elements and mass elements of a system. Susceptance is positive at lower frequencies when a system is stiffness-controlled and negative when it is controlled by mass at higher frequencies. Recorded simultaneously as G_a tympanograms in the current study and analysed in terms of peak admittance and tympanometric peak pressure. Number of extrema also determined and shape classified as mass or stiffness dominated.
ACOUSTIC CONDUCTANCE (G_a)	Relates to the impact of friction elements on susceptance and refers to the resistance to energy flow. Recorded simultaneously as B_a tympanograms in the current study and analysed in terms of peak admittance and tympanometric peak pressure. Number of extrema also determined and shape classified as mass or stiffness dominated.
ACOUSTIC ADMITTANCE (Y_a)	Represent ease of flow of acoustic energy and is determined by susceptance (B) and conductance (G) components of the middle ear system. Peak admittance and tympanometric peak pressure values obtained from Y_a tympanograms used for compilation of normative values.

1.7 SUMMARY

Chapter one has provided an orientation and rationale for the current study by presenting relevant information on the effect of middle ear effusion on general development and the necessity for inclusion of a test for middle ear function as part of a screening protocol. The lack of research in the literature in the area of high frequency immittance in infants has been highlighted and considered in the formulation of the rationale and problem statement for the study. An outline of the chapters to follow has been included.



2. CRITICAL REVIEW OF MIDDLE EAR ASSESSMENT IN INFANTS

The main aim of this chapter is to provide an overview of the controversies surrounding the assessment of the infant middle ear. An outline of the structures involved in the assessment of middle ear functioning, the physics underlying middle ear measurements, and the developmental changes that occur in the infant middle ear will be reviewed. Based on this theoretical foundation, current methods for assessment of middle ear functioning in infants will be critically reviewed in support of the search for an accurate, objective test method.

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The accurate assessment of middle-ear status in newborns and young infants is becoming increasingly important due to the widespread implementation of universal newborn hearing screening (UNHS) programs. Newborn hearing screening initiatives and legislation for implementation thereof has received extensive attention in research and in practice over the past ten years. In the 1994 Position Statement, the Joint Commission on Infant Hearing endorsed the goal of universal detection of infants with hearing loss and encouraged continuing research to develop and to improve methodologies for identification and intervention of hearing loss (JCIH, 1994:3). This was extended by the Year 2000 Position Statement, which stated that all infants' hearing should be screened using *objective, physiologic measures* in order to identify those with congenital or neonatal onset hearing loss (JCIH, 2000:6). The targeted hearing loss for UNHS programs was defined by the JCIH as, "permanent bilateral or unilateral, sensory or conductive hearing loss, averaging 30 – 40 dB or more in the frequency region important for speech recognition (approximately 500 through 4000 Hz)" (JCIH, 2000:7). Current newborn hearing screening protocols typically include the use of Otoacoustic Emissions (OAE) and

Automated Auditory Brainstem Response (AABR) procedures to detect hearing loss in infants (Keefe *et al.*, 2003:389; Kei *et al.*, 2003:21; Mencher *et al.* 2001:5; Roush, 2001:58,63; JCIH, 2000:7; Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212). However, successful recording of these measures *necessitates normal or near normal middle ear functioning* and can therefore be affected by middle ear pathologies or temporary conductive dysfunction, not otherwise detected (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:122; Roush, 2002:19; Yeo *et al.*, 2002:797; Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212; Sutton, *et al.*, 1996:9; Thornton *et al.*, 1993:319). Hence, a significant issue in newborn hearing screening programs is the problem of false positive test results, referring to ears with normal hearing that do not have sufficiently strong OAE and/or ABR responses at the time of the newborn screening test.

A widely held view is that middle ear dysfunction, most often transient in nature during the neonatal and perinatal periods, is responsible for such false-positive outcomes (Keefe *et al.*, 2003:389). False-positive test results can have costly financial implications due to unnecessary follow-up and re-testing expenses. For developing countries this may have a significant impact on sustaining newborn screening programs. Margolis, Bass-Ringdahl, Hanks, Holte and Zapala (2003:384), also highlight this point, stating that there is an urgent need for a test of middle ear function in infants to distinguish sensorineural hearing loss from middle ear pathology in order to (a) identify screening fails caused by transient external- or middle ear conditions, (b) to determine the need for medical management of middle ear disease and (c) to determine the need and timing of follow-up procedures such as auditory brainstem response testing.

As acoustic immittance measurements can objectively identify, and are sensitive to, middle ear disorders, it is widely used in audiologic assessment procedures (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:116). Since acoustic immittance measurement is not a behavioural test, is not time consuming, is non-invasive, and is relatively easy to administer, it can be used to assess middle ear functioning in the difficult-to-test and paediatric population (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2; Silman & Silverman, 1991:71). However, the clinical utility of

tympanometry to assess middle ear functioning has been clearly established for all populations except infants less than 6 months of age (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:186; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Holte, Margolis & Cavanaugh, 1991:1). More recent research has aimed to provide clarification on the issue of middle ear assessment in infants (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27, Margolis *et al.*, 2003:391, Meyer *et al.*, 1997:193, Sutton *et al.*, 1996:15). However, due to the underlying difficulties in assessment of the infant ear, there are still no clear guidelines and generally accepted standards or norms for assessment of the infant middle ear. These issues and underlying fundamentals of the assessment of the infant middle ear will be reviewed in the following sections.

2.2 CURRENT ISSUES IN THE ASSESSMENT OF MIDDLE EAR FUNCTIONING IN INFANTS

Objective measurement of middle ear function continues to be refined and currently technology offers the opportunity for improved diagnosis of middle ear disorders by using multi-frequency and multi-component tympanometry (Lilly, 2005:6). Can tympanometry however be used reliably in the assessment of the infant middle ear? This has been questioned extensively in literature dating back from the 1970's, when Paradise, Smith and Bluestone (1976, in Meyer *et al.*, 1996:189) were the first to cast suspicion over tympanometric use with infants younger than seven months. These authors found that normal tympanograms could co-exist with confirmed middle ear effusion when a conventional low frequency probe tone is used.

Research has consistently demonstrated that tympanograms collected from infant ears progress differently than those collected from adult ears and that the acoustic response of the external and middle ear systems vary significantly over the first years after birth (De Chicchis, Wendell Todd & Nozza, 2000:101; Holte *et al.*, 1991:2; Keefe & Levi, 1996:363; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194; Petrak, 2002:2). As an answer to the question of the most suitable probe tone for infant middle ear measures, contradictory results were reported in earlier research. Holte *et al.*, (1991:23) concluded that the probe tone frequency of choice for infants

below four months of age is 226 Hz because it is least affected by individual maturational differences and tympanometric patterns are more interpretable than at higher frequencies. In contrast, Meyer *et al.*, (1997:194) reported that the use of a high frequency probe tone may have greater diagnostic sensitivity to middle ear pathology than conventional 226 Hz tympanometry.

Attempts to explain contradicting and varying results have been made, as is seen in Keefe and Levi, (1996:367) and Keefe *et al.*, (2000:444) who noted that a confounding factor in neonatal tympanometry is that the introduction of positive or negative pressure into the neonatal ear canal, increases or decreases the ear-canal volume, respectively, and due to extremely compliant ear canal walls in the infant ear, this in turn affects acoustic immittance measurements. Similar results were obtained by Holte *et al.*, (1991:21) who indicated that prior to one month of age, many tympanograms recorded in the negative to positive (- / +) direction of air pressure change resulted in ear canal collapse and subsequent uninterpretable tympanograms. This was overcome and avoided by the use of a positive to negative (+ / -) direction of air pressure change. As a result, recording in the + / - direction was recommended as an important procedural step in tympanometry in infants (Holte *et al.*, 1991:21).

It is evident therefore, that the choice of probe tone for performing tympanometry in neonates was not fully agreed on. However, a number of studies have shown that there may be a better correlation between the presence of middle ear effusion and the shape of the tympanogram when a high-frequency probe tone is used in young infants (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27; Keefe *et al.*, 2000; Purdy & Williams, 2000:10; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194; Marchant *et al.*, 1984:593), and in recent year it has become widely accepted that conventional tympanometry is not an effective test for middle ear function in young infants (Kei *et al.*, 2003:21; Lantz, Petrak & Prigge, 2004:3; Marchant, McMillan, Shurin, Johnson, Turczyk, Feinstein & Panek, 1984:594; Margolis *et al.*, 2003:384; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:9,10).

Evidence of this is seen in Thornton *et al.* (1993:320) who found that the percentage of successful admittance measurements obtained using a 226 Hz probe frequency was 68%, but when a 1000 Hz probe tone was used, this figure rose to 87%. Meyer *et al.*, (1997:194) and Sutton *et al.*, (1996:10) support the argument that a high frequency probe tone is able to identify the presence of pathology that is unrecognized by conventional 226 Hz tympanometry.

There is increasing interest in high frequency tympanometry, motivated by the need to have an objective test of middle ear function in newborn hearing screening programs. More research is however needed to establish the reliability and validity of high frequency tympanometry for assessment of the infant middle ear system, and to establish guidelines and norms for accurate interpretation of results (Purdy & Williams, 2000:22). In order to understand this controversy and the respective effects of low versus high frequency probe tone immittance measures on infant middle ear assessment, knowledge of the fundamental underlying physics and anatomical background of the infant ear is required. The anatomical structures and developmental changes, relevant to the assessment of the infant middle ear, will therefore be reviewed in the following sections.

Knowledge of the underlying structures and physical principles involved in acoustic measures of middle ear functioning is fundamental to understand the assessment of the infant middle ear and the questions surrounding it. The following section provides this information.

2.3 ANATOMICAL STRUCTURE AND PHYSIOLOGY RELEVANT TO THE ASSESSMENT OF THE INFANT MIDDLE EAR

Anatomical differences between the infant and adult middle ear transmission system have been regarded as the reason for the varying acoustic responses, and this has also been regarded as the reason for high false positive and false negative results when using conventional tympanometry in the infant population

(Petrak, 2002:2; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Keefe and Levi, 1996:361; Holte, *et al.*, 1991:1).

2.3.1 Middle ear structure and function

The middle ear is part of an interrelated system including the nose, nasopharynx, Eustachian tube and mastoid cavities. The close communication of the middle ear with these surrounding structures impacts on both the cause of middle ear disease and the assessment thereof (Silman & Silverman, 1991:128). The middle ear itself consists essentially of the tympanic membrane and the middle ear cavity, which contains the three middle ear ossicles and is essential in the clinical diagnosis of middle ear pathologies (Martin & Clark, 2000:251).

The middle ear, or tympanic cavity, is a small air-filled, mucosa-lined cavity within the petrous portion of the temporal bone. It is flanked laterally by the tympanic membrane and medially by a bony wall with two openings, the superior oval (vestibular) window and the inferior round (cochlear) window. The superior boundary of the epitympanic recess forms the 'roof' of the middle ear cavity. The middle ear space contains a mechanical system composed of three ossicles, the *malleus*, *incus* and *stapes*, which collectively transmit the mechanical energy of sound from the tympanic membrane to the fluid-filled inner ear via the oval window (Marieb, 1995:527; Martin & Clark, 2000:251). During development of the infant ear, several physical changes take place, which influence the mechanical properties of the ear canal. Various authors regard these as contributory factors to tympanometry outcome differentials in adult and infant populations (Petrak, 2002:2; Holte *et al.*, 1991:2; Silman & Silverman, 1991:121). The factors include

- An increase in size of the external ear, middle ear cavity and mastoid. A typical ear-canal diameter for a one month old infant is 4,4 mm compared with 8mm for an adult (Keefe & Levi, 1996:362). The stiffness component of the middle ear is largely determined by the

tympanic cavity volume and it is postulated that there is an overall decrease in stiffness with an increase in cavity size and maturation (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190).

- Decrease in the overall mass due to changes in bone density and gradual loss of mesenchyme clinging to middle ear ossicles (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190). The osseous portion of the ear canal is not completely formed until about 1 year of age. As previously mentioned pressurising the ear canal may result not only in movement of the tympanic membrane, but also in distension of the ear canal walls (Holte *et al.*, 1991:2).
- Change in the orientation of the tympanic membrane. The eardrum in the infant ear canal is orientated at a flatter angle with respect to the ear-canal axis (Keefe & Levi, 1996:362).
- Tightening of the ossicular joints and close coupling of the stapes to the annular ligament results in changes in resistance (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190).
- Early changes include the fusing of the tympanic ring. This process involves mechanical changes, which influence the tympanogram shape in neonates.

2.3.2 Tympanic membrane

The tympanic membrane forms the boundary between the external auditory canal and middle ear cavity and is therefore the most visible structure of the middle ear. The membrane is a thin, translucent, connective tissue membrane, covered by skin on its external face and by a mucosa lining internally. It is shaped like a flattened cone, with its apex protruding medially into the middle ear. It has both a tense portion (*pars tensa*) as well as a smaller, more flaccid portion known as *pars flaccida* (Roush, 2001:5; Marieb, 1995:527). The

orientation of the structures of the tympanic membrane and middle ear changes from infancy to adulthood. The infant tympanic membrane is almost horizontal, the lateral process of the malleus is most prominent and the pars flaccida is thicker and more vascular. Conversely the adult membrane is more vertical, has a less prominent lateral process of the malleus, and the pars flaccida appears more vascular (Roush, 2001:36). When sound waves enter the external meatus, the tympanic membrane moves in response to incoming sound waves and transmit the vibratory patterns to the middle ear ossicles (Marieb, 1995:528). Pathological conditions, such as middle ear effusion, affect the movement of the ossicles and in turn that of the tympanic membrane, causing a decrease in the transmission capability.

2.3.3 Eustachian tube and its relation to middle ear function

The middle ear space behind the tympanic membrane in a healthy ear is normally filled with air. This air space connects to the nasopharynx (the superior-most part of the throat), by the Eustachian tube running obliquely downward to link the middle ear cavity with the nasopharynx. In an adult, the tube is between 30mm and 40mm in length and comprises one-third bone at the middle ear end and two-thirds cartilage. The adult Eustachian tube lies in a relatively vertical position providing protection for the middle ear. (Marieb, 1995:528). In contrast, an infant Eustachian tube is short, horizontal and composed of relatively flaccid cartilage. The nearly horizontal position for the infant's Eustachian tube allows for retrograde reflux of bacteria from the nasopharynx into the middle ear (Northern & Downs, 2002:65). Because transient middle ear dysfunction is more prevalent in neonatal ears than cochlear or sensorineural hearing losses, there is a need for a better understanding of the middle ear functioning in neonates. In the following section a brief review of the functioning of the Eustachian tube will be given.

The Eustachian tube is thought to have a number of functions of which the most important is that of equalizing the pressure between the middle ear and the external air pressure, and to ventilate the middle ear system (Wiley & Fowler,

1997:67). The mucosa of the middle ear absorbs oxygen from the contained air thereby reducing the middle ear pressure. The Eustachian tube facilitates the passage of air from the nasopharynx to replace the absorbed oxygen. The Eustachian tube opens momentarily during swallowing, yawning or jaw movements (active opening) or if the pressure gradient existing between the aural and pharyngeal cavities overcome the closing forces of the tube (passive opening). If, due to obstruction or dysfunction, the Eustachian tube is unable to open, air in the middle ear will be absorbed by the blood vessels in the lining of the middle ear (Northern & Downs, 2002:65). As no air is able to go up the Eustachian tube to replace the decreasing air in the middle ear, a vacuum develops. A non-functioning Eustachian tube may therefore lead to negative middle ear pressure resulting in retraction of the tympanic membrane. This will in turn cause fluid, which is normally secreted by the mucous membrane lining, to be sucked into the middle ear space resulting in the formation of middle ear effusion (Martin & Clark, 2000:255). This is known as serous otitis media and is often associated with middle ear disorders and conductive loss of hearing (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:68). As equalizing pressure changes in the middle ear cavity is the primary role of the Eustachian tube, the development of negative middle ear pressure can be viewed as a marker of Eustachian tube dysfunction (Palmu *et al.*, 2001:62).

The ability to equilibrate over- and under-pressure by swallowing or jaw movement has been shown to be poorer in children than adults and markedly poorer in infants. Poorer muscle control has been suggested as the reason for the reduced occurrence of opening of the Eustachian tube resulting in reduced pressure equalisation in children (Northern & Downs, 2002:65). Furthermore, due to the anatomical differences between the adult and infant Eustachian tube, as previously discussed, the higher incidence of middle ear disorders in the early years of life implies that Eustachian tube immaturity can be linked to the development of these disorders (Northern & Downs, 2002:65). A more detailed review of pathological conditions of the middle ear, linked to Eustachian tube dysfunction, will therefore be provided.

2.4 FACTORS AFFECTING MIDDLE EAR STATUS IN INFANTS

Middle ear effusion (MEE), otherwise known as otitis media with effusion (OME), is a common condition infants experience at some time during the early years of life (Northern & Downs, 2002:65; Roush, Drake & Sexton, 1992:63). The presence of middle ear effusion can cause persistent conductive hearing loss and although rare, untreated chronic otitis media can result in serious medical conditions such as cholesteatoma, meningitis, and sensorineural hearing loss (Martin & Clark, 2000:258).

As previously mentioned, the Eustachian tube plays an important role in the ventilation of the middle ear, and is often associated with the development of otitis media, when dysfunctional (Northern & Downs, 2002:71). *Otitis media*, or inflammation of the middle ear, is highly prevalent in young children, especially during the first two years of life (Northern & Downs, 2001:65; Roush, 2001:11). Although it has been classified in many ways, Roush (2001:11) describes two basic forms: *Acute otitis media* and *serous otitis media*. Other authors have included terms such as secretory, suppurative and non-suppurative otitis media (Northern & Downs, 2002:66; Martin & Clark, 2000:258; Hogan *et al.*, 1997:1).

Acute otitis media usually presents with sudden onset as the result of viral or bacterial agents invading the middle ear tissues, causing otalgia, fever and general discomfort. Acute otitis media often occurs during upper respiratory tract infections, as the mucous lining of the middle ear is continuous with that of the pharynx. Acute upper respiratory tract illnesses are frequently treated with prescription antimicrobials, and over use is known to occur, which is associated with the development and spread of bacteria with antimicrobial resistance. In the United States evidence-based guidelines to improve the judicious use of antimicrobial agents in children have been developed by the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (Garbutt, Jeffe and Shackelford, 2003:143). In a study to assess compliance with the judicious use of antimicrobials in children with acute otitis media, Garbutt *et al.*, (2003:144) hypothesized that as acute otitis media accounts for 30% of all pediatric antimicrobial prescriptions, over

diagnosis of acute otitis media and over treatment with antimicrobials is thought to occur (Garbut *et al.*, 2003:143).

The second form, *Serous otitis media* or *otitis media with effusion (OME)*, involves secretion of fluid from the mucous membrane lining of the middle ear. In contrast to acute otitis media, OME is generally characterized by non-infected (serous) effusion drawn into the middle ear cavity as a result of poor Eustachian tube function. Thus, OME is defined as inflammation of the middle ear with fluid present, but without obvious signs of ear infection (Roush, 2001:12). It is important to note that OME is more likely to escape detection than acute otitis media as it is usually asymptomatic, except for the temporary hearing loss caused by the middle ear effusion (Roush 2001:12).

The nature and prevalence of middle ear disorders is also of specific relevance to the implementation of newborn hearing screening programs, as these are among the most common diseases affecting young children (Roush *et al.*, 1992:63). As previously noted, objective measures used in newborn hearing screening (such as evoked otoacoustic emission or ABR testing) can be adversely affected by the presence of MEE (Kei *et al.*, 2003:384, Sininger, 2003:380, Thornton *et al.*, 1993:319) and can result in false positive referrals due to transient middle ear conditions (Margolis *et al.*, 2003:384. As noted by Trine, Hirsch and Margolis (1993:401), the middle ear is involved in the delivery of the evoking stimulus to the cochlea and in the transmission of the OAE to the ear canal. The recording of an otoacoustic emission therefore has a twofold dependence upon the transmission characteristics of the middle ear.

Estimates of prevalence of MEE in infants vary, but many studies substantiate that most children experience at least one episode of otitis media in early childhood with peaking in prevalence between 6 and 12 months of age (Northern & Downs, 2002:65; Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:77; Roush *et al.*, 1992:63). Gliddon and Sutton (2001:84) reported a rise in the prevalence of abnormal tympanometry from 2% neonatally to 39% at eight months of age. In view of the context of the current study it is important to note that race, birth

history and home environment has been identified as increased risk factors for otitis media (Woods, 2003:687; Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:78). There is also evidence that higher numbers of siblings, male sex and lower maternal socio-economic status are associated with more persistent MEE (Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:78). A study to determine prevalence of middle ear disorders in black children in a specific South African context has shown a prevalence of 13% among four to five year old children (Bhoola & Hugo, 1995:19); however as prevalence is known to be higher in the first years of life, an elevated incidence can be predicted in the infant population generally.

Identification of children with OME should therefore be one of the primary objectives of screening procedures to identify screening failures caused by transient external- or middle ear conditions, to establish the need for medical management and to determine the need and timing of follow-up procedures (Margolis *et al.*, 2003:384). As correct diagnosis dictates treatment, the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (Garbut *et al.*, 2003:143) recommend the use of specific diagnostic criteria to prevent the over diagnosis and treatment of otitis media. These criteria include the presence of otorrhea of middle ear origin, or the presence of middle ear effusion and signs or symptoms of acute local or systematic illness. Pneumatic otoscopy is recommended to confirm the presence of middle ear effusion (Dowell, Marcy, Phillips, Gerber & Schwartz, 1998 in Garbutt *et al.*, 2003:143). Various other methods have also been employed to assess middle ear functioning and to aid in the diagnosis of otitis media. Questions regarding the reliability, suitability and merit of performing different methods of middle ear assessment on the infant ear have been raised in various reports (Casselbrant, Gravel, Margolis & Marchisio, 2002:95; Holte & Margolis, 2002:387; Kei *et al.*, 2003:21; Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Sininger, 2003:378). A critical review of methods of middle ear assessment, with specific relevance to assessment of the infant middle ear, will be given in the following section.

2.5 CRITICAL REVIEW OF MIDDLE EAR ASSESSMENT METHODS FOR INFANTS

“There is still no better, quicker, or less expensive single audiologic procedure for assessing the status of the middle ear, cochlea, eighth nerve, and lower auditory brainstem than performing a complete immittance test battery.” Hall and Mueller (1997:177)

This classic quote by Hall and Mueller (1997:177) clearly points out that the most commonly used and reliable tool in the identification and diagnosis of middle ear pathology, is *acoustic immittance measures* (Holte & Margolis, 2002:387; Northern & Downs, 2002:210). Immittance measurement has widespread clinical use and has an established role in the audiological assessment of hearing and in the diagnosis of middle ear pathology (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207).

In infant testing, however, use has been controversial as previously described. Alternative test methods and procedures have been investigated in an attempt to identify a test protocol with both high sensitivity (rate of correct classification for *affected* individuals) and specificity (rate of correct classification for *unaffected* individuals) in the diagnosis of middle ear effusion in infants. Factors, such as age and developmental status, state of alertness and available instrumentation also influence the selection of methods to assess middle ear functioning (Roush, 2001:33). Prior to a review of immittance measures, an overview of two other methods to establish middle ear status in infants, *otoscopy and Otoacoustic emission testing* will be described. Practical implications for their application in neonatal and infant populations are included.

2.5.1 Otoscopy

Visualisation of the major landmarks of the tympanic membrane and middle ear signs of external ear disease and canal obstruction can aid in the diagnosis of middle ear dysfunction (Roush, 2001:36). The tympanic membrane is the most visible structure of the middle ear, and is consequently the most important in making clinical judgements about middle ear disease via otoscopy (Govender,

1998:33). Sutton *et al.*, (2002:3), recommend that otoscopy should be carried out on all infants prior to tympanometry to rule out occlusion of the external auditory canal by wax, vernix or debris. However, otoscopic investigation is very difficult to perform in newborns and infants because the tympanic membrane is often obscured from view by vernix, the neonatal ear canal is very narrow, and the tympanic membrane has a different orientation and appearance (Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:78). Difficulty in visualizing the infant tympanic membrane is often also increased by lack of infant co-operation (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:208).

Assessment of otoscopic results relies purely on subjective evaluation, skill, and experience of the examiner (Govender, 1998:39). While disorders of the ear canal are often visible through otoscopic inspection, disorders of the middle ear are often not apparent based on otoscopic inspection of the tympanic membrane and thus, require more advanced evaluation techniques (Casselbrant *et al.*, 2002:388, Wiley and Fowler, 1997:11).

Pneumatic otoscopy allows application of positive and negative pressures in the ear canal to visually assess the movements of the tympanic membrane (Casselbrant *et al.*, 2002:95). In a study to determine the usefulness of pneumatic otoscopy in predicting middle ear effusion in children, Govender (1998:101), compared the sensitivity and specificity of pneumatic otoscopy and tympanometry as compared to myringotomy to confirm the presence of middle ear effusion. Results indicated that, when compared to myringotomy, pneumatic otoscopy showed good sensitivity (80%) and moderate specificity (60%) while tympanometry showed excellent sensitivity (96%) and good specificity (80%) (Govender, 1998:103). This finding is consistent with the reports of others who noted that tympanometry was more accurate than pneumatic otoscopy in detection of middle ear effusion (Casselbrant *et al.*, 2002:95; Govender, 1998:103; Holte & Margolis, 2002:388). Nozza *et al.*, (1994:310) also found that otoscopy alone had good sensitivity but only fair specificity. Roberts *et al.*, 1995 (in Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:78) found that inter-observer agreement was poor for pneumatic otoscopy during the first three

days of life, but that by 2 weeks it had returned to acceptable levels, suggesting that this test is not valid for use on very young infants.

Otoscopy therefore does not prove to be a sufficient single diagnostic indicator, which is both sensitive and specific, for the identification of middle ear effusion in infants and should be used as an adjunct to other screening procedures (DeConde Johnson, 2001:485). Furthermore, as middle ear effusion is most difficult to detect with otoscopy in the paediatric population (Pellet, Cox & MacDonald, 1997:181) and is a technique that requires significant experience for reliable interpretation of results, it is not always routinely performed on neonates (Northern & Downs, 2002:79). Therefore it is necessary to turn to other procedures for the assessment of middle ear functioning in the infant population. As OAEs are frequently used in newborn hearing screening programs, this proves a viable option to be reviewed as a method of middle ear assessment in addition to the assessment of hearing.

2.5.2 Otoacoustic Emissions (OAEs)

Successful recording of OAEs not only requires a normal functioning cochlea, but also necessitates normal or near-normal middle ear function (Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212). Consequently present OAEs are a useful indication of normal middle ear function and transmission, whilst absent OAEs can be an indication of either a middle ear or a sensory pathology. When used in conjunction with ABR screening, the OAE becomes very useful, since the OAE is more sensitive to middle ear effusion than the ABR screening (El-Refaie *et al.*, 1996:7). Before being measured in the external auditory canal, all OAEs must pass through the middle ear and hence changes in sound conduction of the middle ear, such as middle ear effusion and negative pressure within the middle ear, affect the detection of otoacoustic emissions in the external auditory canal. This is due to the fact that the presence of middle ear effusion and negative pressure within the middle ear, changes elasticity and suppresses conduction of sounds through the middle ear (Yeo *et al.*, 2002:794). OAE measurement relies on the middle ear conductive mechanism first to transmit the stimuli to the inner ear

and then to convey the cochlear emissions, by way of reverse transmission, back into the ear canal where recording takes place (Yeo *et al.*, 2002:797, Sutton *et al.*, 1996:10). Middle ear effusion therefore affects OAEs both by reducing their transmission from the cochlea through the middle ear and by attenuating the stimulus reaching the cochlea.

Results of recent studies have confirmed that middle ear effusion affects the expression rate of Spontaneous OAEs, Transient evoked OAEs and Distortion Product OAEs and would aid in evaluating the middle ear condition. (Yeo *et al.*, 2002:798). Strong statistical significance has been found between abnormal tympanometry results and OAE failure (Thornton *et al.*, 1993:322; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:10). Casselbrant *et al.*, (2002:96) also pointed out that since some ears with MEE have minimal hearing loss, the presence of MEE reduces the specificity of OAEs as a screening technique for detection of significant hearing impairment. However, OAEs may be effective as a combined screen for middle ear disease and sensori-neural hearing loss.

Using OAEs as a combined test for middle ear functioning and cochlear integrity appears promising, yet, passing an OAE test cannot serve as a “gold-standard” (Kei *et al.*, 2003:26) for normal middle ear function as OAEs have been found to be present in ears with middle ear dysfunction in children and adults (Margolis *et al.*, 2003:385, Thornton *et al.*, 1993:320). Furthermore an absent recording of OAEs does not provide exact information regarding the degree or configuration of the hearing loss and is unable to differentiate between a pure sensory-neural hearing loss, and conductive hearing loss with underlying middle ear conditions, and consequently it is of limited value in the diagnosis of middle ear function when no OAEs are recorded (Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:216, Northern & Downs, 2002:234; Sininger 2003:380). Because transient middle-ear dysfunction may be more prevalent in neonatal ears than cochlear or sensori-neural hearing losses, there is a critical need for a better understanding of middle ear functioning in infants (Keefe *et al.*, 2000:443).

Although AABR and OAE testing are widely used in newborn hearing screening programs, they do not provide diagnostic information in their screening mode. ABR and OAE screening does not effectively distinguish between mild sensorineural hearing loss and conductive hearing loss. More complicated diagnostic ABR procedures that compare air-and-bone conduction can be used effectively to differentiate conductive hearing loss from sensorineural hearing impairment. However, the analysis time and level of expertise that this type of instrumentation demands of the user makes it too difficult, costly, and therefore inappropriate as a screening tool (Shahnaz, 2002:1). Furthermore, as previously mentioned passing an OAE test in the strictest sense, cannot guarantee normal middle ear function as OAEs have been found to be present in some ears with middle ear effusion (Kei *et al.*, 2003:26; Thornton *et al.*, 1993:323). Therefore an objective method for middle ear assessment is essential for use in conjunction with OAE and AABR screening procedures for correct diagnosis and identification of otitis media.

As a test of middle ear function, immittance measurements have proven to be the best single diagnostic indicator for the identification of middle ear pathology Hall and Mueller (1997:177). Immittance measures have widespread clinical use and are established to accurately identify middle ear pathology in adults and older children (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207). A review of immittance measurements, as a technique for middle ear assessment, will therefore be provided in the following section.

2.5.3 Immittance measurement

Impedance is a generic term that encompasses tympanometry and acoustic reflex measurements. Current commercially available impedance instrumentation measures acoustic admittance and / or its components, acoustic susceptance and acoustic conductance (Lilly, 2005:24). Tympanometry, as currently defined, refers to measures of acoustic admittance that are taken at various pressure points, and is an objective procedure used to evaluate the mechanical characteristics of the tympanic membrane and middle

ear as pressure changes are created in the ear canal (Roush, 2001:67). All determinations of middle ear function are indirectly made by measurements made in the plane of the tympanic membrane (Martin & Clark, 2000:152). These values are graphed to form a tympanogram (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:175).

Tympanometry and recording of acoustic reflexes are objective tests of tympanic membrane mobility, middle ear pressure and of brainstem auditory activation (Sininger 2003:37) and the primary rationale for the clinical use of immittance measures is that they are sensitive to middle ear disorders. Additionally an acoustic immittance procedure requires no behavioural response from the patient and is a feasible method of middle ear assessment in the infant population (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2). Immittance measures have potential for improving diagnostic accuracy of otitis media in clinical practice, and have long been accepted as a reliable and valid method of assessing middle ear function in children above the age of approximately seven months of age, however, suspicion has been cast over the usefulness thereof for infants below this age in earlier reports (Gliddon & Sutton, 2001:78; Palmu et al., 2001:178; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Meyer et al., 1997:189, 190).

The following sections will expand on the current outlook, as found in recent literature, on the use of immittance measurements. The implications for the infant population will be reviewed with renewed interest in high frequency tympanometry. An in-depth discussion of the fundamentals of immittance is however necessary to evaluate its application in infants critically and this will follow in section 2.6.1. A discussion of the underlying physical and acoustic principles of acoustic immittance will also be included. Knowledge of the underlying structures and physical principles involved in acoustic measures of middle ear functioning is important to understand the assessment of the infant middle ear and the controversies surrounding it. These aspects are therefore reviewed in the following section.

2.6 CRITICAL REVIEW OF IMMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS: PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATION IN INFANTS

Developmental changes in the infant middle ear influence reliable and valid use of immittance measures in this population. In order to understand the effects of these developmental changes and other influencing factors, a discussion of the underlying physical and acoustic principles of acoustic immittance is provided in the subsequent sections.

2.6.1 Fundamentals and principles of acoustic immittance measurements

Acoustic immittance is a collective term that is used to describe the transfer of acoustic energy, whether measured in terms of acoustic admittance (flow of energy, Y_a) or acoustic impedance (opposition to the flow of acoustic energy, Z_a) or both terms (Ferekidis, 2003:59; Roush, 2001:66; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1,8; Silman & Silverman, 1991:71,77). The character of the energy system under measurement is signified by the associated term, *acoustic*, and is indicated by the subscript, *a*, appended to each abbreviation (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:8). Acoustic admittance (Y_a) refers to the *ease* with which sound energy flows through an acoustic system, while acoustic impedance (Z_a) refers to the *opposition* to the flow of sound. Acoustic admittance and impedance are direct reciprocals and therefore if an acoustic transmission system, such as the human middle ear, has a high acoustic admittance, it has low acoustic impedance. Conversely if the middle ear has a low acoustic admittance, it has high acoustic impedance (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1). Both terms have been used to describe acoustic measurements of middle ear function, although current commercially available acoustic immittance instruments typically provide measures in terms of acoustic admittance (Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:161; Shahnaz, 2002:1; Roush, 2001:66; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:7; Jerger & Northern, 1980:1). An example of how impedance and admittance tympanograms are graphically recorded and illustrated by current commercially available

typanometers is given in Figure 2.1. Note that because impedance and admittance are reciprocal quantities, the phase relations are reversed, and therefore a mirror image is obtained (Fowler & Shanks 2002:163).




Figure 2.1 Graphic illustration of impedance (Z) vs. admittance (Y) tympanograms (Adapted from Wiley & Fowler, 1997:3)

In order to effectively understand and use acoustic immittance measures, knowledge of the fundamental physical and acoustic principles, coupled with knowledge of the basic anatomy and physiology of the middle ear structures involved in these measures, is essential.

Regardless of the specific instrument used to assess acoustic admittance or impedance, the basics of measurements are the same and require at least three primary subsystems as illustrated in Figure 2.2: a) a sound pressure source, b) a means of varying and monitoring air pressure changes in the ear

canal and c) an analysis system for monitoring the SPL of the probe signal (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:8). If acoustic reflex measures are performed, a fourth subsystem (d) providing a test signal source for eliciting of the acoustic reflex would be included in the measurement system.




Figure 2.2 Diagram of electroacoustic immittance instrumentation

(Adapted from Wiley & Fowler 1997:9 and Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:168)

The measurements of acoustic immittance is performed by introducing an acoustic signal (probe tone) to the ear and measuring the sound pressure level (SPL) of the signal in the ear canal as air pressure changes are varied and monitored (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:8). The SPL of the probe tone, measured at the probe tip, serves as an indirect index of acoustic admittance or impedance as it is directly proportional to the acoustic impedance offered by the ear at the point of measure (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:8). Higher SPL measurements of the probe tone indicate higher levels of acoustic impedance or conversely lower levels of acoustic admittance, offered by the ear under measurement.

Although the ideal point of measure is the tympanic membrane, it is not feasible to place a probe tip next to the eardrum using commercially available instruments. Hence, the tip of the probe unit is the point at which the acoustic immittance analysis system receives input and implies plane of measurement (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:11). Measures are therefore termed compensated acoustic measure, referring to the extraction of ear canal effects (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:12).

Acoustic immittance measures in the human ear can be explained on the bases of mechanical, electrical, and mathematical principles. In the human ear, a specified peak compensated acoustic *impedance* value would represent the total opposition to sound flow offered by the ear under test at the lateral surface of the tympanic membrane. This measure represents the acoustic impedance at the input to the middle ear (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:13).

The middle ear system is composed of different mechanical structures. These react to sound and pressure waves in a variety of ways, transferring energy and causing changes in state of the mechanical structures of the middle ear system. These do not always occur instantaneously when a force is applied. An acoustic impedance measure is determined by the complex relation of the applied force (sound pressure) to the velocity (or sound flow) (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:13). The way in which different structures oppose sound flow is complex across middle ear components. The overall acoustic impedance or admittance measured at the probe tip is determined by the multifaceted contributions of the volume of the external ear canal, the tympanic membrane, the interconnected cavities of the middle ear, the ossicular chain, and the coupling of the stapes footplate to the oval window of the cochlea. For example, the introduction of substantial (positive or negative) ear canal pressure effectively stiffens the tympanic membrane and middle ear transmission system which affects immittance measures proportionately (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:14). It is clear therefore that a number of mechanical variables within the middle ear affect immittance measurements. A brief discussion of the mechanical variables within the middle ear is presented below.

2.6.2 The middle ear as a mechanical system

In the ear, the tympanic membrane is mechanically coupled with the middle ear ossicles to the oval window – the interface between the middle and inner ear. It is this entire system (membrane, middle ear and oval window) that is forced into oscillation when sound waves enter the ear canal (Gruber, 2002:1). Under normal circumstances, when a sound wave enters the ear canal, it progresses inward until it reaches the tympanic membrane where some of its energy sets the membrane into vibration and some is reflected. The tympanic membrane is stimulated by sound energy, which in turn, sets the ossicular chain into motion. Movement of the malleus, which is attached to the tympanic membrane, sets the incus into motion, which in turn triggers the stapes to move. The stapes is set in the opening of the inner ear, the oval window. Sound is then conducted via the stapes through the oval window into the cochlea. The mobility of the ossicular chain therefore dictates to a great extent, the quantity of sound perceived by an individual. If the middle ear system is stiffened or disturbed for any reason, the amount of energy transmitted to the oval window will be altered (Martin & Clark, 2000:253).

The tympanic membrane and ossicular chain also act as an impedance-matching device to bring sound from the air into the cochlea. Since the cochlea is fluid-filled, it presents a reflective barrier to airborne sound. The impedance-matching capability of the middle ear is primarily related to the ratio of the area of the tympanic membrane to that of the stapes of the footplate (Martin & Clark, 2000:254). There are three factors that determine how much energy is accepted or reflected and in what frequency ranges. These factors are the *mass* of the system, the *stiffness* of the system, and its *resistance* (Jerger and Northern, 1980:3).

2.6.3 Mass, stiffness and resistance components of the middle ear

The acoustic impedance measured at the tympanic membrane is controlled by the mass of the middle ear ossicles, the stiffness of the ossicular ligaments and

muscles, the stiffness of the tympanic membrane and round window membrane, the stiffness of the air contained in the tympanum, the mass and friction that result from air movement within the tympanum, and, finally, the impedance, primarily resistance, offered by the coupling of the stapes footplate to the cochlea at the oval window (Lilly, 1993 and Zwislocki, 1976 in Wiley & Fowler, 1997:14).

In terms of the relative contributions of the mass, stiffness and resistance components to the overall opposition to energy flow, the components may be categorized as *in-phase* (those that occur simultaneously with the applied force) and *out-of-phase* (those that precede or lag behind the applied force). The in-phase component of impedance is known as *resistance* (R) and the out-of-phase component is known as *reactance* (X). The complex relations between resistive and reactive components determine the total acoustic impedance (Fowler and Shanks, 2002:162).

In the human ear energy transfer is initiated when sound waves are presented to the ear canal and sound pressure is applied to the tympanic membrane (Fowler and Shanks, 2002:162). The effects of each of the mechanical components are determined by the frequency of the sound entering into the ear canal. Sound waves, entering the ear canal and impinging upon the tympanic membrane, have both inward and outward motions that exert forces on the middle ear structures. Consequently we have to consider the incoming force not only in the positive direction, but also in the negative direction, and all points in time between maximum positive and maximum negative deflection. The acceptance or reflection of energy in the middle ear is, largely, *frequency dependent* (Jerger & Northern, 1980:3). Mathematically, the impedance equation can be expressed as follows, indicating the effect of frequency (Jerger and Northern 1980:3):

$$Z = \sqrt{R^2 + 6.28 f M - \frac{k^2}{6.28 f}}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}$
Resistance

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}$
Mass

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}$
Stiffness

Z = impedance
R = Resistance
f = frequency M = Mass k = stiffness

An admittance Cartesian plot is presented in Figure 2.3 to illustrate the effect of frequency of measurement tone on the resultant acoustic admittance.




Figure 2.3 Influence of frequency on admittance vector system (adapted from Wiley & Stoppenbach, 2002:166, 189)

If the stiffness of a given system increases, the effect of the increased stiffness will be to weaken transmission in the low frequencies. If we increase the mass of the system, the effect will be to weaken transmission in the high frequencies. Thus measures made using low frequency probe tone provide information on the stiffness (reciprocal = compliance) characteristics of the middle ear, while measures made with high frequency probe tones provide information regarding the mass characteristics of the middle ear, particularly of the eardrum and ossicles (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187). This proves to be especially useful in testing of the infant middle ear, which is a **mass-dominated system** (Holte *et al.*, 1991:20). Meyer *et al.*, (1997:193) found the use of a 226 Hz probe tone in the neonate and infant to be less sensitive to measuring the acoustic characteristics of the middle ear as it is more sensitive to measuring the stiffness-dominated system of the adult-ear. Further findings indicated that the use of a high frequency probe tone was more suitable for use in infants as it is

more sensitive to changes in mass-dominated systems (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194). Despite the advantages of high frequency tympanometry in identifying mass-related middle ear pathology, high frequency and multi frequency tympanometry probe tones are not commonly used. The major barrier to incorporating high frequency tympanometry into the routine test battery is that the measures are more complex and not as easily understood as 226 Hz tympanometry (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187).

Developmental changes that occur in the infant ear canal (see section 2.3.1) are postulated to contribute and have an effect on the stiffness, mass and resistance components of the infant middle ear (Petrak, 2002:1; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Holte *et al.*, 1991:20). As previously described *stiffness* of the middle ear controls the low frequency responses and is largely determined by the tympanic volume and to a lesser extent the fibrous nature of the tympanic membrane (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190). It is postulated that there is an overall decrease in the stiffness with an increase in cavity size and maturation. The orientation of the neonatal tympanic membrane also changes post-natally due to inward displacement of the tympanic ring to the more vertical position of the adult tympanic membrane. The ossicles predominately determine the *mass* of the middle ear and controls high frequency responses. Developmentally there is a net overall decrease in the mass components in the middle ear due to the decreased density of the stapes through internal bone erosion, and the gradual loss of mesenchyme clinging to the ossicles. The *resistive* component of admittance comprises mainly the frictional forces opposing motion. There is a change in resistance during maturation due to tightening of the ossicular joints, closer coupling of the stapes to the annular ligament and a resultant change in the reactive force exerted by the cochlear fluids (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190). The developmental changes result in a *mass-governed* middle ear transmission system gradually changing to the more adult-like stiffness-dominated system.

The preceding overview of the mechanical properties of the middle ear, which included the effects of mass and stiffness elements in the adult and infant

middle ear, serves as background to a review of the clinical application of tympanometry as a method of middle ear function in infants.

2.6.4 Clinical application of tympanometry

The primary rationale for performing tympanometry on a patient is to assess the functioning of the middle ear system and to determine the existence and potential causes of middle ear disorders (Petrak, 2002:1; Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Gaihede & Ovesen, 1997:215; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:1,39; LaRossa *et al.*, 1993:32). Consequently tympanometry has an established role in the audiological assessment of hearing and in otological studies as an objective tool for diagnosing middle ear pathologies (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:207; Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2). There are several tympanometric methods ranging from **vector** (single-frequency, single component) tympanometry to **multi-component and multi-frequency tympanometry**. A brief description of these methods will follow.

2.6.4.1 Vector tympanometry

Vector (single frequency, single component) tympanometry involves the measurement of one component, generally the acoustic admittance vector. Conventionally a single, low frequency probe tone (typically 226 Hz) has been used. By using only one probe-tone frequency, the view of the middle ear is restricted, almost as if you were to try to estimate hearing sensitivity by testing thresholds at only one frequency. Disorders that exert an influence on middle ear mechanics only at the high frequencies, such as those adding mass to the system, will not be evident using only the low-frequency probe tone (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:40).

Measurement of vector tympanograms involves the application of pressure, which is varied from a positive pressure to a negative pressure. The resulting changes in acoustic admittance are measured and plotted as a tympanogram. At the extremes of pressure, the tympanic membrane and middle ear system

stiffen and the ear canal effectively becomes a hard-walled cavity. This necessitates specific consideration when testing the infant ear, as ear canal wall distensibility has been held responsible for unusual tympanometric shapes (Holte *et al.*, 1991:19). The infant ear canal and tympanic membrane are more vulnerable to changes in middle ear pressure and therefore a high negative pressure could cause a collapse of the canal whilst a high positive pressure could result in distension of the ear canal walls (Holte *et al.*, 1991:21). Wiley and Fowler (1997:59) supported this notion stating that as the infant ear canal is cartilaginous, it cannot be modeled as a hard-walled cavity as it is in adults. Little acoustic energy passes through the tympanic membrane and the majority of acoustic energy is reflected into the ear canal. At the pressure extremes, therefore, the acoustic admittance of the middle ear is minimal. As the ear canal pressure approaches atmospheric pressure (0 daPa) less energy is reflected and more pass into the middle ear. Near atmospheric pressure, the normal tympanic membrane passes most of the acoustic energy into the middle ear; therefore, the acoustic admittance is at its highest value.

The normal vector tympanogram, therefore, has a single, positive peak near atmospheric pressure. Because the normal middle ear system is dominated by compliant acoustic susceptance, manufacturers commonly call the single component *compliance*, although in reality the single component is the vector component, *acoustic admittance*. (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:40,41). The susceptance (B) and conductance (G) components fully determine the admittance (Y) of the middle ear system. The conductance component is in phase with the delivered probe tone, whereas the susceptance is an out-of-phase component. Consequently the susceptance and conductance elements can be separated by analysis of the phase of the reflected probe tone (Lantz, Petrak & Prigge, 2004:38). This is used by middle ear analysers to plot B/G tympanograms and simultaneous recording of B and G components is known as multi-component tympanometry. An overview of multi-component tympanometry is provided in the following section.

2.6.4.2 Multi-Component tympanometry

Multi-component tympanometry refers to measurement of the two components, susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a), which provide an adequate view of the magnitude and direction of the admittance. Evaluation of these two components is more important for the interpretation of tympanograms measured with high frequency probe tones than for tympanograms measured with a 226 Hz probe tone because of the variety of tympanometric shapes that occur in normal as well as abnormal ears at higher probe frequencies (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:56). The various shapes indicate the relative contribution of mass and stiffness to the admittance tympanogram and are used to separate normal from pathological tympanograms and to determine the cause of the abnormalities reflected in the tympanogram. Knowing whether a tympanogram has increased mass or stiffness allows the clinician to identify the probable cause of the middle ear disorder that has resulted in the changes in the tympanogram (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:56). Holte *et al.*, (1991:23), suggesting that the complex components of admittance must be measured to calculate the meaningful values of static admittance magnitude, also noted the importance of performing multi-component tympanometry.

Pathologic conditions alter the shape of tympanograms and therefore the goal of the diagnostic use of tympanometry is to separate the changes that are caused by pathologic conditions from the changes that are associated with normal variability (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:44). Infant testing, however, has been a controversial issue because of ambiguous results. Mass effects are better evaluated with the component tympanometry and at high probe tone frequencies.

2.6.4.3 Tympanometric peak pressure (TPP)

A rough estimate of the resting pressure within the middle ear is indicated from the pressure location of the tympanograms peak (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2). Acoustic admittance is at its highest value when the pressures on both sides of

the tympanic membrane are equal. The diagnostic value of measuring TPP is that it can detect the presence of negative pressure in the middle ear. If the Eustachian tube becomes blocked by disease, negative pressure develops in the middle ear before the development of effusion as the liquids from the tissues surrounding the middle ear are drawn into the middle ear. The presence of negative middle ear pressure can therefore indirectly indicate a problem with Eustachian tube function, often associated with the initial stages of otitis media (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:2). Tympanometric peak pressure is considered to have little clinical value for screening purposes, due to amount of normal pressure variation, which may lead to over-referral rates in screening programs (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:49). This is in contrast to studies by Thornton *et al.*, (1993:320) who suggested that in assessment of infant middle ear function by high frequency tympanometry, positive middle ear pressure was the best indicator of middle ear dysfunction as correlated to OAE pass / fails results. Further limitations are the fact that an unique tympanometric pattern does not exist for every possible middle ear disorder, and thus clinical expertise and classification systems for different tympanometric shapes are necessary for correct diagnosis.

2.6.5 Classification systems in tympanometry

Various classification systems have been developed for conventional low frequency tympanometry. However, due to the complex notching patterns that can occur when the infant middle ear is assessed at different probe frequencies, no universal classification system exists at present. According to the Vanhuyse classification system (Vanhuyse *et al.* 1975 in Fowler & Shanks, 2002:191), tympanometric shapes can be classified according to the number of extrema in the susceptance and conductance tympanograms and assumptions can be made about the contributions of mass and stiffness elements. As notching is a more prevalent in neonatal and infant ears compared to adult ears at similar frequencies (Holte *et al.*, 1991:12), this classification system appears useful for application in the infant population. Figure 2.4 provides a graphic illustration of the Vanhuyse classification system and how mass and stiffness dominance can

be derived from tympanograms shape. As the infant middle ear is a mass-dominated system with a lower resonant frequency, tympanograms will progress differently compared to tympanograms collected from an adult middle ear, which is stiffness controlled (Lantz *et al.*, 2004:3, Purdy & Williams, 2000:12). Therefore the Vanhuyse model is a useful classification scheme at higher probe frequencies close to middle ear resonance where complex multi-peaked tympanograms are normal.




Figure 2.4 Vanhuyse classification model (Adapted from Fowler & Shanks, 2002:189,191)

Figure 2.4 illustrates that as frequency increases, the shapes of component tympanograms progress from single peaked to notched tympanograms. Vanhuyse *et al.*, (in Fowler & Shanks, 2002:191) developed a model to explain the variety of shapes for susceptance and conductance tympanograms. Between 90° and 45° both susceptance and conductance tympanograms are single peaked and this pattern is designated 1B1G. As the acoustic admittance vector rotates between 45° and 0°, the susceptance (B_a) tympanogram notches, but conductance (G_a) remains single peaked and this pattern is designated 3B1G. When the admittance vector rotates between 0° and -45°, both the

susceptance and conductance tympanograms notch; this forms the 3B3G pattern (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:191).

As the tympanometry probe tone frequency is increased and approaches the point of middle ear resonance (where mass and stiffness are equal) the shape of the tympanogram becomes more complex and notching occurs (Purdy & Williams, 2000:10). The resonant frequency is the probe tone frequency where the mass and stiffness components are in balance, and susceptance becomes zero due to the counteractive forces of its components (Ferekidis, 2003:60). A normal value for resonant frequency for adult ear is around 900 – 1000 Hz (Ferekidis, 2003:60; Purdy & Williams, 2000:11). Below this value the middle ear system is stiffness-controlled and above the normal value mass-controlled according to the more prominent component of susceptance (Petrak, 2002:1). Another method of middle ear assessment that therefore merits investigation is multi-frequency tympanometry. This is an advanced, sweep frequency method of acoustic impedance measurement and provides values for the resonant frequency of the middle ear.

2.6.6 Multi-frequency tympanometry

Multi-frequency tympanometry allows for middle ear function to be tested over a wide frequency range (226 – 2000 Hz) to determine the resonant characteristics of the middle ear. By means of multi-frequency tympanometry it is possible to directly assess the resonant frequency of the middle ear system where mass and stiffness elements are in balance (Ferekidis, 2003:60). Meyer *et al.*, (1997:194) hypothesized that the initial use of multiple frequency tympanometry to determine the middle ear resonance frequency may enable the audiologist to make appropriate probe frequency selection depending on the characteristics of each individual middle ear. Changes in resonant frequency are used to assess the pathology of the middle ear system, especially those of the ossicular chain as any ossicular chain discontinuity lowers the resonant point and any stiffness (for example otosclerosis) makes it higher (Ferekidis, 2003:60, Holte & Margolis, 2002:389). Multi-frequency tympanometry has been shown to

improve test sensitivity in some cases of otitis media (Holte & Margolis, 2003:389), however due to increased testing time and required duration of infant co-operation and the need for enhanced operation and interpretation skills, it does appear favourable as a screening tool for middle ear function in infants. However, acoustic reflexes measured with a high frequency probe tone, appear a more valuable adjunct to high frequency tympanometry in infants as part of the assessment of middle ear functioning.

2.6.7 Acoustic reflex testing

Gelfand (2002:205) defines the acoustic reflex as the reflexive contraction of the middle ear muscles as a result of sound stimulation. The stapedial muscle is attached to the stapes. When contracted, this muscle pulls the stapes posteriorly, thus impeding its movement into the oval window (Martin & Clark, 2000:215). The most important parameter of the acoustic reflex is the acoustic reflex threshold (ART). This is referred to as the lowest stimulus intensity at which a contraction of the stapedius muscle can reliably be recorded. Acoustic reflex measurement also has a high sensitivity for the detection of middle ear pathology (Neumann, Uppenkamp & Kollmeier, 1996:359).

The acoustic reflex is detected as a change of middle ear compliance. Two stimuli are presented to the subject: typically a low frequency tone of 226 Hz is used to measure the compliance of the middle ear, and an additional high level tone of limited duration is used to elicit the acoustic reflex (Neumann *et al.*, 1996:360). If the level of the additional sound is high enough to elicit the acoustic reflex, the acoustic compliance decreases and the level of the measurement tone increases. The increase of the measurement tone level can be detected with a microphone placed in the ear canal. The acoustic reflex can be a very useful part of the audiologic evaluation in infants as a present acoustic reflex is added support for normal middle ear function in infants (Sininger, 2003:380).

Studies with newborns have demonstrated that the acoustic reflex is typically not reliably detectable when a low frequency (226Hz) probe tone is used (McMillan, Marchant & Shurin, 1985:145; Purdy *et al.*, 2000:21). Reflexes have however been recorded with reasonable reliability in infants when a high frequency probe tone and an ipsilateral stimulus in the mid-frequency range is used to activate the reflex (Rhodes, Margolis, Hirsch & Napp, 1999:802). Marchant *et al.*, (1984:593) have also demonstrated that when a 660 Hz probe tone is used for acoustic reflex measurements, absent or elevated ipsi-lateral reflexes were closely associated with middle ear effusion.

Sutton *et al.*, (1996:12) reported that acoustic reflexes were absent in over half of the ears tested in their study-population of 84 special care neonates. Although they found OAE failure to be highly associated with acoustic reflex absence, they found that reflexes were also absent in most of the ears with normal tympanograms and in most of the ears passing OAEs. Thus they concluded that acoustic reflex absence alone is not a very specific indicator of middle ear effusion (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:12). Hirsch, Margolis and Rykken (1992:186) found that the high correspondence between elevated reflex thresholds and delayed ABR wave V latencies suggests that the combined information from acoustic reflex thresholds and ABR-testing may be valuable in the early detection of abnormal middle ear dysfunction.

It is apparent therefore that the ability to measure acoustic reflexes in infants depends to a large extent on the probe tone frequency that is utilized. Similarly, as previously mentioned, the outcome of tympanometric measures in the infant population depends largely on the choice of probe tone frequency. A discussion of the conventional (226 Hz) probe tone tympanometry in comparison to high frequency (1000 Hz) probe tone tympanometry and the implications for infant testing follows in the next section.

2.6.8 Conventional tympanometry versus high frequency tympanometry

The diagnosis of middle ear effusion in neonates and infants presents specific problems and the age at which tympanometry becomes reliable for the detection of middle ear effusion is not fully agreed on (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190). In infants under 7 months of age, the interpretation of tympanometry is controversial, and for some years it has been recognised that conventional low-frequency probe tone tympanometry is inappropriate because of poor sensitivity to middle ear disease in young infants (Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187). Paradise, Smith and Bluestone (1976, in Meyer *et al.*, 1997:189), were the first to cast suspicion over the use of tympanometry with infants younger than 7 months of age. They demonstrated that normal tympanograms could coexist with confirmed middle ear effusion in that age group. Results reported by Holte *et al.*, (1991:388) also reported that low frequency; single-component tympanometry can produce spurious patterns in neonates.

Questionable results and reasons for the high false negative rate when using low frequency 226 Hz tympanometry in the infant population are unclear, although it has been speculated that the anatomical differences and significant variations in the acoustic response properties, between the infant and adult middle ear transmission system may be a contributory factor (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Keefe *et al.*, 1996:361; Holte *et al.*, 1991:1).

Anatomical development of the external ear canal has been suggested as a contributor to tympanometric shape in neonates (Holte *et al.*, 1991:1; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:190; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9). The osseous portion of the ear canal is not completely formed until about 1 year of age. Thus, in the first few months of life, pressurizing the ear canal during tympanometry may result not only in movement of the tympanic membrane, but also in the distention of the ear canal walls.

Thornton *et al.*, (1993:320) reported that the percentage of successful recordings using a 220 Hz probe tone was 68%, but when a 1000Hz probe tone was used, the figure rose to 87%, supporting the notion that high frequency tympanometry is more effective in infants. Sutton *et al.*, (1996:16) found OAE screening results strongly related to tympanometry when a high frequency probe tone is used. Similar results were found by Meyer *et al.*, (1997:193), suggesting that the use of a high frequency probe tone is more sensitive to the identification of middle ear effusion in the infant population. The high frequency probe tone was found to be able to identify the presence of pathology that was unrecognised by conventional 226 Hz tympanometry (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194). As a result it was concluded that high frequency tympanometry is a useful indicator of middle ear status, and that middle ear effusion strongly affects OAEs in neonatal years. Negative middle ear pressure also has a significant effect on OAE results. Therefore, as middle ear effusion has a confirmed impact on the successful recording of OAEs, an objective measure, such as high frequency tympanometry, is necessary to differentiate between true cochlear and middle ear pathology. The high degree of association between high frequency tympanometry and presence or absence of OAEs as reported by Sutton *et al.*, (1996:15), supports the validity of high-frequency tympanometry as an indicator of middle-ear status in neonates.

Currently there are no universally accepted guidelines or normative data system on classifying or interpreting high-frequency tympanograms in neonates and young infants (Petrak, 2002:2; Sutton *et al.*, 1995:10). Recent studies by Kei *et al.* (2003:25) and Margolis *et al.*, (2003:385) have provided preliminary normative values for 1000 Hz tympanometry performed on infants. Though this provides eminent guidelines for clinical practise in high frequency tympanometry, more results and normative values are imperative for the development of a standardised classification or interpretation system. Normative values from 1000 Hz tympanograms reported by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:385, 386) and Kei *et al.*, (2003:25) are presented in tables 2.1 and 2.2.

TABLE 2.1 Normative tympanometric values from 1kHz tympanograms by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:385)

	Birth GA (wks)	Test CA (wks)	Test CA (wks)	TPP (daPa)	Y +200	Y -200	Y Peak	Comp Y (+200)	Comp Y (-400)
Mean	32.8	3.9	36.7	-9	1.4	0.6	2.2	0.8	1.5
SD	4.2	3.8	2.7	48	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.7
Max	41.0	20.1	44.7	145	2.9	1.4	5.4	3.4	4.7
Min	23.0	0.1	31.3	-188	0.8	0.4	1.0	0.1	0.3
5 th Percentile	26.0	0.4	32.6	-93	0.9	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.6
50 th Percentile	32.4	2.1	36.6	-5	1.3	0.6	2.1	0.8	1.5
95 th Percentile	40.0	10.9	41.0	53	1.9	1.0	3.4	1.6	2.7

Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386) reported descriptive statistics for characteristics and tympanometric measures of 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry measurements. In contrast to previous reports of complex high frequency tympanometry patterns, Margolis *et al.*, (2003:388) reported that tympanograms recorded in their study were almost always single peaked and free of irregular patterns. Margolis *et al.*, (2003:388) found static admittance values to be substantially higher for infants who passed OAE screening and suggested that due to the strong relationship between OAE pass-fail status on static admittance, many screening failures may result from middle ear rather than inner-ear factors. Therefore, as previously discussed, passing OAE screening suggests normal middle ear function and given the invasive constraint of using surgical procedures to confirm middle ear function, OAEs can be used as a control variable in studies investigating normative immittance measures. This method was applied in both studies by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:387) and Kei *et al.*, (2003:22).

TABLE 2.2 Normative Data for 1000 Hz tympanometry by Kei *et al.*, (2003:25)

Variable	N (ears)	Mean	SD	5 th Percentile	95 th Percentile
Peak Compensated Static Admittance Y _{pc} (mmho)					
(Left ear)	106	1.04	0.51	0.39	1.95
(Right ear)	106	1.16	0.58	0.39	2.28
Admittance at +200 daPa, Y ₂₀₀ (mmho)					
(Left ear)	106	3.20	1.11	1.54	5.09
(Right ear)	106	3.06	1.07	1.40	5.01
Gradient					
(Left ear)	57	0.51	0.13	0.33	0.71
(Right ear)	62	0.48	0.13	0.27	0.75
Tympanometric width, TW (daPa)					
(Left ear)	57	97.7	30.1	46.1	144.2
(Right ear)	62	107.6	28.0	56.6	154.0
Ear Canal Pressure, P _{ec} (daPa)					
(Ears combined)	212	18.3	41.6	-58.0	86.6
Downward Admittance, Y _d (mmho)					
(Ears combined)	212	2.13	0.77	0.13	3.54

Kei *et al.*, (2003:27) reported that single-peaked 1000 Hz tympanograms were recorded in 92.2% of neonatal ears. Normative data reported by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386) and Kei *et al.*, (2003:25) (Tables 2.1 and 2.2) provide criterion for identifying middle ear dysfunction in newborns and infants and may be helpful for distinguishing between screening fails caused by sensorineural hearing loss and those caused by transient external or middle ear conditions. However, as these studies were limited in sample size (170 neonates participated in the study by Kei *et al.*, (2003:22) and normative values from 65 NICU and 30 full-term babies respectively, were reported by Margolis *et al.*, 2003:385), results cannot be applied universally and large scales studies are necessary for the compilation of representative normative data.

Despite the advantages of high frequency tympanometry in identifying mass-related middle ear pathology, high frequency / multi-frequency probe tones are not commonly used. The major barrier to incorporating high-frequency tympanometry into the routine test battery is that the measures are more complex and not as easily understood as low frequency 226 Hz tympanometry (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:187).

2.7 IMPLICATIONS FOR INFANT TESTING

The preceding sections commenced with a discussion of otoscopy, OAEs and immittance measures as tests of middle ear function. As otoscopy is often very difficult to perform in newborns and infants, and has several other disadvantages, it does not appear advantageous as a specific diagnostic indicator for the identification of OME in infants (Holte & Margolis, 2002:388). OAE testing is sensitive to MEE and is often abolished by it (Yeo *et al.*, 2002:797) and therefore may serve a secondary role for the identification of potential middle ear pathology. However, as no discrimination between middle ear pathology and sensory hearing impairment can be made with absent OAE results in isolation it is clearly an imperfect isolated test of middle ear function.

Immittance measures therefore prove to be the best single diagnostic technique for the assessment of middle ear function in infants. Supported by current literature it is recommended that high frequency (1000 Hz) immittance measurements should be included in a battery of tests to identify any abnormality in an infant's hearing system (Lantz, Petrak & Prigge, 2004:4). Research has indicated that there may be a better correlation between the presence of middle ear effusion and the shape of the tympanogram when a high frequency probe tone is used (Marchant *et al.*, 1984:593). Acoustic reflex measurements complement tympanometry in the identification of middle ear effusion and acoustic reflexes should be present in healthy infant ears when using a 1000 Hz probe tone and ipsilateral stimulus (Sininger 2003:380).

A summary of the advantages and disadvantages of the methods typically used for middle ear assessment in infants, as discussed in the preceding sections, is provided in Table 2.3.

TABLE 2.3 Comparison of methods for middle ear assessment in infants

METHOD	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES	REFERENCES
OTOSCOPY	✓ Useful in identifying complete occlusion of ear canals and foreign bodies ✓ Inexpensive	× Skill and experience is valuable adjunct × Subjective – variability among otoscopists × Difficult to perform, particularly in young neonates × No golden standard – actual verification only by myringotomy × Low sensitivity × No objective record – must be manually documented	~ Sutton <i>et al.</i>, 1996:9 ~ Roush <i>et al.</i>, 1992: 66 ~ Pellet <i>et al.</i>, 1997: 181,187 ~ Govender, 1998:68
OAEs	✓ Dependent on middle ear state – useful in detecting middle ear effusion ✓ Sensitive to middle ear pathology ✓ Objective ✓ Quick administration ✓ Permanent record is available to print out for record and comparison	× Does not distinguish cochlear from middle ear pathology × Have been found to be present in ears with middle ear pathology × Expensive cost involved	~ Thornton <i>et al.</i>, 1993:319 ~ Koivunen <i>et al.</i>, 2000:212
IMMITTANCE • Tympanometry • Acoustic reflexes	✓ Fairly quickly and easily performed ✓ Objective ✓ Easy to obtain ✓ High sensitivity ✓ Permanent record is available to print out for record and comparison	× No accepted guidelines on classifying or interpreting high frequency tympanograms in young infants × Low specificity × Criteria developed for one group / population may not be suitable for use with another × Cost involved	~ Sutton <i>et al.</i>, 1996:11 ~ Roush <i>et al.</i>, 1992:63, 64 ~ Nozza <i>et al.</i>, 311 ~ Govender, 1998:68 ~ Palmu <i>et al.</i>, 1999:210; 2000:264; 2002:141

Advantages and disadvantages of different methods used in the assessment of the infant middle ear are summarized in Table 2.3. Lack of objectivity and intricacy to perform otoscopy makes this the least resilient method of middle ear assessment. Though OAEs are objective and sensitive to middle ear pathology it cannot distinguish cochlear from middle ear pathology and therefore are an imperfect test of middle ear function. It is therefore clear from Table 2.3 and the above discussion that immittance measurements currently appears to the best

single diagnostic indicator for the assessment of middle ear function in neonates and infants. High frequency immittance measurement indicates significant promise and requires further investigation in the infant population.

2.8 SUMMARY

This chapter provided a critical discussion on the current issues in the assessment of the infant middle ear in terms of the physical properties and the use of different instrumentation and test protocols. Procedures were compared with regards to their effectiveness and utility in the identification of middle ear effusion in the infant population. There is evidence in the literature supporting the use of high frequency tympanometry, though various limitations currently confine clinical use. High frequency tympanometry currently indicates significant promise in the infant population and there is potential for it to be utilized in neonatal hearing screening programs to screen for middle ear dysfunction (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27).

Preliminary normative values may be helpful to differentiate between screening failures caused by sensorineural hearing loss and those caused by transient external and middle ear conditions (Margolis *et al.*, 2003:389). However in light of Newborn Hearing Screening Programs and the number of false positive failures that can occur due to middle ear effusion, more detailed and large scale investigations of high frequency immittance, as a test of middle ear function in young infants are necessary.

The need for normative value classification systems for 1000 Hz probe tone immittance, serve as the primary rationale and motivation for conduction of the present study. The theoretical aspects covered in this chapter, serve as background to the development of the research methodology, which will be discussed in the subsequent chapter.

The literature supports the use of high frequency probe tone immittance measures in infants in identifying middle ear effusion. However, to be a reliable

tool for clinical use it is necessary to have knowledge of the characteristics and normative values for 1000 Hz immittance measures. That raises the question:

“What characterizes normal high frequency (1000 Hz) probe tone tympanometry and acoustic reflexes in infants and what are the variables that need to be taken into account when interpreting these results?”



3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Chapter 3 describes the main and sub-aims formulated for this study. The methodological approach is discussed and a description of research subjects provided. Procedures for data-collection and analysis are included.

3.1 INTRODUCTION

In its simplest form research can be defined as the collection of methods used to systematically produce knowledge (Neuman, 1997:6). The research methodology is the strategic framework for action that serves as a bridge between the research questions and the execution of the research. It is the precisely designed and planned nature of observation that distinguishes research from other forms of everyday observation (Durrheim, 1999:29). When the ultimate goal of the research is to generalise findings to a specific context in order to assist decision-making, this “knowledge” is developed to convert existing knowledge into products, processes and technologies (Durrheim, 1999:41).

It is generally recognised that conventional low frequency tympanometry is not a reliable measure of middle ear functioning in infants and higher frequency probe tones are advocated when assessing the infant middle ear (Sutton *et al.*, 2002:2; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9). However, there is currently no universal criterion or classification system for identification of middle ear disorders in infants and neonates when high frequency immittance is employed (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:186). To aid the classification and use of high frequency immittance in clinical practice, the need for further research have recently been highlighted by authors (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27; Margolis *et al.*, 2003:384; Purdy & Williams, 2000:23).

Founded on the dearth in current literature, this study aimed to describe the characteristics of high frequency (1000 Hz) acoustic immittance results in normal neonates and infants to establish normative data for this population. The normative data, derived from the sample of infants with normal middle ear functioning, may serve as a guide for identifying middle ear dysfunction in infants and neonates.

This chapter outlines the research question and design and describes the methodological approach to obtaining, recording and analysing of data.

3.2 HYPOTHESIS

As successful recording of OAEs are known to be sensitive to middle ear pathology, it is hypothesized that infants who pass DPOAE hearing screening have normal middle ear functioning, and will show a peaked 1000 Hz tympanogram. The hypothesis was tested by comparing results obtained from OAE testing to results of 1000 Hz tympanometry and acoustic reflex measurement. Since this hypothesis was confirmed, results from 1000 Hz immittance measures could be used to derive normative data.

3.3 AIMS OF RESEARCH

The aims of the current research study are as follows:

3.3.1 Main aim

The main aim of the study is to determine and describe the characteristics and normative values of high frequency (1000 Hz) acoustic immittance measures in a sample of infants between the ages of 0 – 12 months.

3.3.2 Sub-aims

The following sub-aims were formulated to achieve the main aim of the study:

1. To describe the shape and characteristics of high frequency **admittance (Y_a)** tympanograms within subgroups A and B and to determine associations between OAE results and measured tympanometric variables.
2. To describe examples and characteristics of **susceptance (B_a)** and **conductance (G_a)** tympanograms within subgroups A and B.
3. To describe results of 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflexes, measured with an ipsilateral 1000 Hz stimulus, for all test ears and to compare results with OAE and tympanometry results.
4. To describe high frequency immittance norms for the subgroup of infants demonstrating both OAE pass results in addition to peaked 1000 Hz admittance tympanograms.

3.4 RESEARCH APPROACH

The nature of the present research study and the type of data that was obtained primarily necessitated a *quantitative research approach* (Terre Blanche & Durrheim 1999:42). Quantitative research is objective and aims to classify features, count them, and construct statistical models in an attempt to explain what is observed and therefore a qualitative approach involves analysis of numerical data (Durrheim 1999:96). The results obtained from immittance measurements performed during this study provided a range of variables that were statistically analysed to describe and interpret the data (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:252).

In contrast, qualitative research is more subjective and the aim of *qualitative analysis* is to obtain a complete, detailed description of data not represented by

numerical values (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:147). For the purpose of this study a secondary qualitative approach was used to lesser degree for the purpose of subjective description and analysis of tympanogram shapes.

3.4.1 Research design

The research design addresses the planning of scientific inquiry and the formulation of a strategy for obtaining information to answer the research questions (Goddard & Melville, 2005:32; Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:91). Terre Blanche & Durrheim (1999:29) describes the research design as a bridge between the research questions and the execution or implementation of the research.

The research design that was implemented in the present study comprised of analytical, descriptive, and exploratory research (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:148, Neuman 1997:19). Terre Blanche and Durrheim (1999:29) describes the advantages of employing multiple research methods as a way of addressing different, but complimentary questions within a study. It can also be used to enhance interpretability of statistical analysis of a primarily quantitative study, by a qualitative narrative account. A graphical illustration of the primary research design and methods utilized in the present study are presented in Figure 3.1 (compiled from Durrheim, 1999:39, 40).




Figure 3.1 Research methods representing the research design of the current study

As illustrated in Figure 3.1 the research design utilised in this study consisted of analytical, descriptive and exploratory elements. The rationales for following these designs are as follows:

- 1) The research design is **analytical** due to the quantitative nature of the data, which were obtained from results of physical audiologic measurements. Inferential statistics was used to analyze the data to obtain a representation of which immittance values can be classified as normal for infants.
- 2) The research design is **descriptive** in nature due to the fact that certain aspects of the data (e.g. the shape of the tympanogram-forms) will be described in terms of similarities and differences. A descriptive approach will also be followed in the description of values and ranges of normative values (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:259).

- 3) The research design can also be classified as **exploratory**. Exploratory studies are used to make preliminary investigations into relatively unknown areas of research and when new knowledge is sought (Neuman 1997:19; Terre Blanche & Durrheim, 1999:39). Literature on high frequency immittance measurements is limited and universal normative values for 1000 Hz probe tone measurements have been specified.

As the findings derived from the current research have the potential for practical application, and aims to contribute towards the resolving of practical issues and difficulties in decision making when utilizing and interpreting high frequency tympanometry results in infants, the current study can be defined as *applied research* (Durrheim, 1999:41).

3.5 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Whenever the focus of investigation is human beings, ethical implications of what is proposed must be carefully considered (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:107). Ethical clearance for conducting the current study was obtained from the Research Proposal and Ethics Committee, Faculty of Humanities, University of Pretoria (Appendix B) and the Ethical Committee of the District Health Department of North West Province. Specific ethical issues that were considered during the execution of the current study are as follows:

3.5.1 Potential harm to research subjects

The collection procedures utilised for the current study were non-invasive and mothers or caregivers were informed verbally and in written format about the full bearing of the investigation.

3.5.2 Informed consent

According to Leedy and Ormrod (2001:107) research subjects must be informed about the nature of the study to be conducted and must be given a choice of

whether they want to participate in the study or not. Furthermore they must be aware that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time. A verbal explanation of the nature of the research project and the involvement required from the participants were provided to all possible research subjects. As two of the fieldworkers were fluent in more than three of the national languages, this information was conveyed in a language native to the subjects and ensured comprehension of the investigation. Parents and/or caregivers brought their infants for the assessment on a voluntary basis.

3.5.3 Research fieldworkers

The researcher and three other fieldworkers who had previous experience in the research context and with the research material performed data collection. All of the fieldworkers were qualified audiologists, apart from one fieldworker, who was a final year audiology student.

3.6 RESEARCH SUBJECTS

Wisker (2001:23) describes a sample as a selected group upon which research is conducted to indicate the larger whole. For this study, infants between the ages of 0 and 12 months were selected. This age group was selected firstly as the current study formed part of a PhD study investigating the feasibility of infant hearing screening at maternal and child health clinics in a developing South African community for infants 0 – 12 months of age (Swanepoel, 2004) and secondly as this provided the opportunity to describe age specific normative data over an extensive age range as there is discrepancy in the literature at which age conventional 226 Hz tympanometry can be successfully used to assess the infant middle ear .

The subjects used for the description of normal immittance values were required to have normal middle ear functioning. Subjects were recruited from the patients visiting the specified health clinics during the course of the data collection period.

3.6.1 Selection criteria

Selection of subjects in the study fulfilled the following criterion:

➤ **Health clinic**

All infants who attended the Refentse or the Eersterust health clinic in the Hammanskraal region during the specified data collection period were possible subjects for inclusion in the current study. The researcher and fieldworkers visited these clinics and data were obtained by performing an audiometric test protocol, developed for the purpose of this study, on infants at the clinic.

➤ **Age**

For the purpose of this study all subjects had to be between the ages of 0 and 12 months.

➤ **Co-operation**

Subjects had to preferably be in natural sleep or demonstrate a low state of alertness at the time of testing. In infants that were awake, general co-operation was required to the extent that the probe or electrode placement was tolerated for the full duration of the test. Lack of infant co-operation complicated testing, as movement and crying resulted in the recording of artifacts. Due to the importance of obtaining reliable data, only infants, who were co-operative and not resistant to testing, were included in the study sample.

➤ **Mothers or caregivers**

Subjects had to be accompanied by their mothers or caregivers to provide biographical information and a case file had to be available for each subject to ensure that the medical history and other important information on the subject, which the mother or caregiver was unable to supply, was available. The health clinic files also served as a means to record when an infant had been included in the study, to ensure that subjects were not included in the study more than once.

3.6.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for compilation of norms

For the compilation of normative values only ears displaying a clearly discernable peaked admittance tympanogram, in combination with an OAE pass result were included. If a subject failed OAE screening, displayed a flat tympanogram response curve or if it was not possible to complete both OAE and tympanometry procedures for the same ear, the data were excluded from the statistical analysis and were only used for a descriptive analysis.

As a result of the data exclusions, tympanometry and OAE results were statistically analysed for rendering of normative values from 809 (79%) of infants (424 males and 385 females). Data of infants included in the final analysis passed OAE testing in one or both ears, maintained an acceptable probe seal for tympanometric measurement in the corresponding ear or ears, and displayed a peaked tympanogram response curve in the same ear.

3.6.3 Subject selection procedures

Non-probability sampling (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:218) was used in selecting research subjects. This method of subject-selection is also often referred to as random sampling Wisker (2001:23) and is motivated as a means of sampling as it ensures that all possible candidates have an equal chance of being selected. Subjects were selected according to the selection criteria set out for the purpose of this research project.

Subjects were recruited from the patient toll visiting the 6 week-immunization clinics at the specified locations selected for sampling. These locations were selected because of the large number of infants receiving services on a daily basis at these centres. Parents or caregivers of infants were informed about the research study and the opportunity to have their infant's hearing and middle ear status assessed, and infants were brought for assessment on a voluntary basis. All infants who were brought for assessment and who fulfilled the selection criteria were included in the research study. As previously mentioned

lack of infant co-operation complicated testing and had the potential to impair reliable recording of results, therefore infants who were in natural sleep or in a low state of alertness enjoyed preference in the selection of subjects over infants who were awake and crying.

3.6.4 Description of subjects

Due to the geographical representation of the research subjects, the majority of infants were of black ethnic origin, except for two infants. 510 infants, ranging in age from 0 – 52 weeks participated in the study. A distribution of the ages of the infants is presented in Figure 3.2.




Figure 3.2 Age distribution of infants (n = 510)

A greater number of infants were found to attend at the age-groups of zero to three weeks, four to seven weeks, twelve to fifteen weeks, twenty four to twenty seven weeks, and thirty six to thirty nine weeks. This can be attributed to the fact that infants are generally brought to the maternal health care clinics for check-up and immunization procedures at 6 week time intervals. 26 % (132) of

infants were between the ages of zero to three weeks. The mean chronological age of infants that participated in the study was 12.8 weeks, with a standard deviation of 12.58 weeks. The gender distribution of the subjects was approximately equal with 248 (49%) female infants and 262 (51%) male infants included in the study. Statistical analysis indicated that no statistically significant gender-effect ($p > 0.5$) was evident in results obtained from 1000 Hz tympanometry.

3.7 MATERIAL AND APPARATUS

The material and apparatus used for the collection of data in this current study included apparatus for the recording of quantitative audiologic measures (dual OAE and AABR screening device and a GSI® Tymstar middle ear analyzer), in addition to a recording sheet (Appendix A) that was compiled on which all these variables were documented.

The material and apparatus are listed and discussed below:

□ *Data recording sheet*

A recording sheet (see Appendix A) was compiled to record all variable acoustic immittance and OAE measurement values, and biographical information obtained from a short structured interview with the mother or caregiver who accompanied the infants.

□ *Middle-Ear Analyzer*

A GSI® TymStar Version 2 Middle-Ear Analyzer was used to perform acoustic immittance measurements. The GSI® TymStar was calibrated in January 2003 before research commenced and re-calibrated after 300 infants were tested. As the middle ear analyzer was transported to the specified health clinics for recording of data, calibration checks were performed according to specifications provided by the manufacturer in the hard-walled test cavities prior to commencement of testing each day. Data from immittance measurements, performed with the GSI® TymStar, were recorded on the recording sheet

(Appendix A, section C) and a printout of all tympanograms and reflexes were made.

Test parameters for tympanometry and acoustic reflex measurements made with the GSI® TympStar were as follows:

Tympanometry

- ~ For recording of tympanograms the probe tone frequency of the tympanometer was set to 1000 Hz with a positive to negative pressure sweep of 200daPa per second as recommended for infants (Holte *et al.*, 1991:23) and to reduce recording time.
- ~ For each infant a Y_a (admittance) tympanogram, and a simultaneous B_a (susceptance) and G_a (conductance) tympanogram, was recorded in the left and right ear.
- ~ The point of maximum deflection was marked on each tympanogram to obtain the uncompensated peak admittance value with the corresponding pressure value at this point.

Reflexes

- ~ Acoustic reflexes were recorded with a 1000 Hz ipsilateral stimulus using a 1000 Hz probe tone. Present reflex thresholds were defined as the lowest intensity eliciting a reflex response with a deviation greater than 0.02. To be accepted as a true, the deviation had to be repeatable and had to indicate reflex growth with increase in intensity and a decrease in amplitude at lower intensities.

☐ *OAE and AABR screener*

A handheld dual OAE and AABR GSI AUDIOscreener™ was used for hearing screening measurements. This device does not require the use of a computer and uses a single probe tone to conduct OAE and ABR measurements. The system uses 'real-ear' calibration to allow calibration within the test ear. Distortion Product (DP) OAE and click evoked ABR measurements were made

with this device. OAE measurements were used as the first screening step and AABR as the second screening step for those subjects who failed the first OAE screen. As OAEs are also known to be sensitive to middle ear functioning, the OAE pass / fail results was used as a cross-validation to identify infants with normal middle ear functioning.

The test parameters that were used for OAE testing with the GSI AUDIOScreener™ were as follows:

The default screening protocol, setting 'DPOAE 2', was selected on the GSI AUDIOScreener™ for screening neonates and infants in this study. Five frequencies were assessed for each ear and a pass criterion was based on passing at least four of the five frequencies evaluated. The stimulus parameters are in agreement with the guidelines by the American Speech-Language and Hearing Association (ASHA, 1997) and are presented in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1 OAE stimulus parameters (DPOAE 2)

	2000 Hz	3000 Hz	4000 Hz	5000 Hz	6000 Hz
L1/L2 ratio	65/55	65/55	65/55	65/55	65/55
F1 (Hz)	1750	2550	3250	4250	4950
F2 (Hz)	2100	3100	3950	4950	6000
Fdp (Hz)	1400	2000	2550	3550	3900
F1/F2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2

The recordings of OAEs were based on a F2 centre method and the frequencies were measured in a downward order starting with the highest and ending with the lowest using a linear averaging method of analysis.

3.8 DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Research subjects were recruited from the specified health clinics. The health clinics were visited by the researcher and a three-stage procedure was performed to obtain data. The three stages are illustrated in Figure 3.3:




Figure 3.3 Three-stage data collection procedure

As two infants were occasionally tested simultaneously with the OAE and immittance equipment, OAE and immittance testing was performed in randomized order with immittance measures conducted first in certain cases and OAE testing first in other cases.

Stage 1: Biographical information

Mothers or caregivers of infants were informed that a voluntary hearing screening service was available to test their infant's hearing sensitivity and middle ear functioning and that the information would form part of a research project. If the mother/caregiver did not fully understand English or Afrikaans, this information and further explanation of procedures was provided by one of the two fieldworkers able to speak other African languages.

The following procedure was followed to obtain biographical information:

- ✓ Mothers who wished to have their infant's hearing screened brought their infants to the test rooms for a hearing screening.
- ✓ Caregivers were requested to bring their infants preferably if he / she was asleep or quiet and settled.

- ✓ The testing procedures were explained to mothers / caregivers and testing only commenced if consent was obtained.
- ✓ In a short structured interview with the mother or caregiver, biographical information and a medical case history was obtained from the mother/caregiver, supplemented by the infant's medical file. Data were recorded on the data sheet (Appendix A, sections A & B).

Stage 2: Otoacoustic emission (OAE) testing

The subsequent procedures were followed during OAE testing:

- ✓ The infant was placed in a comfortable position.
- ✓ After investigation of the infant's ear an appropriate sized disposable probe tip was selected and inserted into the infant's ear.
- ✓ The OAE screening module on the GSI AUDIOSCREENER™ was selected and testing commenced for the test ear. This procedure was repeated for the other ear.
- ✓ If an infant did not pass the initial DPOAE-hearing screening, an Automated Auditory Brainstem Response Test was performed as a second phase hearing screening (not included in analysis and description of results for this study).
- ✓ Results were recorded on the data recording sheet (Appendix A) and also in the infant's medical file.

Stage 3: High frequency immittance measures

Immittance measurements were performed according to the following procedures:

- ✓ An appropriate sized probe tip was selected and inserted into the infant's ear to ensure a good seal was obtained

- ✓ The probe tone frequency of the tympanometer was set to 1000 Hz with a positive to negative pressure sweep of 200 daPa per second to reduce recording time.
- ✓ For each infant a Y_a (admittance) tympanogram, and a simultaneous B_a (susceptance) and G_a (conductance) tympanogram was recorded in the left and right ear.
- ✓ The cursor option on the GSI® TymStar was used to mark the peak (measured at point of maximum positive deflection) of every tympanogram to provide the points of maximum peak admittance (mmho) and corresponding peak pressure (daPa) in each tympanogram.
- ✓ In the case of double peaked tympanograms measures were taken from the highest peak.
- ✓ If no peak was present, this was recorded as a “flat” tympanogram response curve.
- ✓ Recording of acoustic reflexes followed successful recording of tympanograms. Reflexes were recorded with a high frequency probe tone of 1000 Hz and at an ipsilateral test frequency of 1000 Hz.
- ✓ Reflex testing commenced at 70 dB HL and reflex threshold seeking was conducted by a 10 dB increase and 5 dB decrease in intensity level. Maximum testing level was 110 dB.
- ✓ Tympanometry and reflex results were printed for each subject.

Cross-infection precautions were maintained and all equipment was frequently cleaned with a sterilising solution. Sterile gloves were worn by all examiners during testing procedures.

The different procedures that were successfully performed within the total case sample, in addition to procedures that were not successfully completed are presented in Figure 3.4.




Figure 3.4 Procedures performed (n = 1020 ears)

As illustrated in Figure 3.4, OAEs were performed in 962 out of the total number of 1020 ears (94%). OAE and tympanometry testing were performed in randomised order, but due to state of alertness or lack of co-operation, it was not possible to obtain tympanometric measures from all infants. OAE testing and tympanometry was performed on 936 (92%) ears. Within this group an OAE pass result, in combination with a peaked tympanogram, was recorded from **809** (79%) of ears. Data derived from the last mentioned group was analyzed for the compilation of normative tympanometric values. Acoustic reflex measurement was performed in 763 (75%) ears.

3.9 DATA PREPARATION AND ORGANISATION PROCEDURES

Data obtained from OAE and immittance measures, in addition to biographical information, was recorded onto a data recording sheet (Appendix A). This raw quantitative data was coded to organise data into a suitable format which could be transformed into a data set in machine-readable format (Durrheim, 1999:98). This data capturing allowed for computerised analysis of data. The coded data

was entered into a statistical computer program (SAS statistical package) for statistical analysis.

3.9.1 Division of case sample into two subgroups based on middle ear function

The main aim of the study was addressed by an initial process of differentiating the sample of infants into two subgroups, based on judgment of immittance- and OAE measurement results. As discussed in Chapter 2, the recording of evoked otoacoustic emissions is dependent on the status of the middle ear and can be adversely affected by the presence of middle-ear effusion and negative middle ear pressure (Roush, 2001:50). As a screening tool, the technology consequently also appears to be useful for the detection of middle ear effusion in the infant population (Koivunen *et al.*, 2000:212; Thornton *et al.*, 1993:319). For the purpose of this study, results yielded from a DPOAE screening were acclaimed to differentiate the sample of infants into a group with normal middle ear functioning (OAE pass result) and a group with postulated middle ear effusion (OAE refer result). It was beyond the purpose of this study to clinically confirm the presence of middle ear effusion by invasive methods such as myringotomy, however as successful recording of OAEs are known to be sensitive to middle ear pathology, it was hypothesized that infants who pass DPOAE screening had **normal middle ear functioning** and infants who failed DPOAE screening had possible **middle ear pathology** (not excluding the possibility of sensory loss).

The validity of this method is supported by results by Sutton *et al.* (1996:10), in which the relationship between OAEs and tympanometry was investigated. Results indicated that OAEs are sensitive to middle ear effusion and are usually abolished by it, and it was concluded that abnormal tympanometry is strongly associated with OAE failure (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:13,14). A similar classification method was used by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:387).

OAEs were successfully recorded from 964 ears, signifying that it was not possible for OAE measurement in 56 (5,5%) of infant ears. A combination of OAE measurement and successful recording of 1000 Hz admittance tympanograms was achieved in **936 ears** of 510 healthy infants between the age of 0 and 52 weeks (Mean CA = 12.8 weeks). Lack of infant co-operation and state of alertness was the foremost reason that deterred the recording of OAEs in addition to tympanometry measurements in all infant ears. Only cases, in which a combination of an OAE and tympanometry result could be obtained in the same ear or in both ears, were included in the analysis of results.

A graphic representation of the procedure followed for the division of the groups is given in Figure 3.5




Figure 3.5 OAE results acclaimed for division of groups
(* OAEs and tympanograms recorded)

For the purpose of discussion of results in the subsequent chapters, the group with normal middle ear functioning is referred to as Group A, and the group with postulated middle ear effusion is referred to as Group B.

In summary, as the main aim of the current study was to determine and describe characteristics and normative values for 1000 Hz probe tone immittance measures, it was essential for infants used in the compilation of normative values to have normal middle ear functioning. A screening OAE procedure was used in conjunction with immittance measurements to identify infants with normal middle ear functioning. As the recording of an OAE is dependent on middle ear state, it also appears to be useful for detecting MEE in infants. The first phase of the study therefore set out to differentiate the sample into a group of infants with normal and abnormal middle ear functioning, as correlated with an OAE pass or fail result. The total case sample of 936 ears was divided into two groups, depending on outcome of OAE testing. Group A (869 ears) was classified as cases with normal middle ear functioning corresponding to an OAE pass result. Group B (67 ears) was classified as infants with postulated middle ear effusion, corresponding to an OAE fail result. Discussion of subsequent results will be based on results obtained within these subgroups. An initial comparison between results of OAE testing and tympanogram shape will be pursued to determine associations between OAE results and tympanogram shape.

3.9.2 Procedures for classification of tympanograms

Comparable to the procedure used for the division of the case sample according to OAE results, a similar process was used to differentiate the sample into a group with normal and a group with abnormal tympanograms. Recorded tympanograms were classified in terms of their shape and configuration. Results were subsequently compared to results of OAE testing to determine the relationship and agreement between results of the two procedures.

A visual inspection of the configuration of admittance (Y_a) tympanograms was applied as assessment for pass-fail differentiation of tympanograms. As there are still no generally accepted guidelines on the classification or interpretation of high frequency tympanograms in neonates (Kei *et al.*, 2003:27; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:11) classification of admittance (Y_a) tympanograms was based on whether

a discernable peak was present or not. The presence of a single peak or notching (double peak) was accepted as normal, while tympanograms with flat, even traces were considered to be suggestive of effusion (Purdy & Williams, 2000:16; Sutton *et al.*, 1996:11).

The characteristics of normal 1000 Hz probe tone Y-admittance tympanograms were determined and described in terms of peak admittance and corresponding tympanometric peak pressure values. Only ears displaying both a pass OAE result in addition to a peaked 1000 Hz probe tone admittance tympanogram in the same ear, were included in the compilation of normative values.

A flowchart to illustrate the research process is provided in Figure 3.6. This is supplemented by a graphic illustration of the research process and use of data categorized according to the main and sub-aims in Figure 3.7.




Figure 3.6 Flowchart of research process

MAIN AIM:

To describe normative values for high frequency (1000Hz) acoustic immittance measures in a sample of infants, between 0 – 12 months of age.




Figure 3.7 Illustration of research process according to main and sub-aims

3.10 DATA ANALYSIS

According to Leedy and Ormrod (2001:252) data analysis is a process of attempts to answer the question “What do the data mean?” Statistical procedures are used to analyse quantitative data allows for extraction of meaning and inferences about the characteristics of the data (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001: 258; Durrheim, 1999:96). This process involves various ways of organising data. The quantitative data obtained in the study were analysed by statistical methods to provide a means through which numerical data could be made more meaningful.

Descriptive and inferential statistics (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:259) were used to describe the data and allowed for the drawing of conclusions about the sample population. Statistical methods that were utilised to test for differences between the mean values of variables, (i.e. gender, left and right ears, age effects and differences in measures between subgroup A and group B) included *t-test analysis* (Durrheim, 1999:119), ANOVA and Mann-Whitney analysis (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:278). Descriptive statistics in the form of measures of variation, dispersion and standard deviations (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:268) were used to determine and describe normative values for 1000 Hz probe tone tympanograms and acoustic reflexes.

3.11 SUMMARY

This chapter provided a description of the methodological approach implemented for the collection and analysis of the data to realise the main and sub-aims of this study. The research design and ethical considerations were described, followed by a description of the context where the research was conducted. Details of the selection criteria and research subjects included in the study were provided. A delineation of the material and apparatus, including specific test parameters was given and procedures for data-collection and analysis were included.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chapter 4 presents the results obtained from analysis of data acquired during the study. Results are discussed according to the main aim and sub-aims formulated at the onset of the study. Significant findings are discussed and recommendations made based on the current research.

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The main aim of the study was to determine and describe the characteristics and normative values of high frequency (1000 Hz) acoustic immittance measurement results in a sample of infants (N = 510) attending maternal health care clinics. Methodological and data collection procedures, as described in Chapter 3, served as the basic structure for accrual and analyses of information to answer the research questions posed at the onset of this study. The large sample of infant ears on which OAE, high frequency tympanometry and acoustic reflexes were performed, allowed for the compilation of comprehensive normative data for 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic immittance measures.

Results from the test procedures were initially analysed for left and right ears separately but as no statistically significant difference was observed, ears were viewed as independent variables. P-values of Paired T-test analysis (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:278; Durrheim, 1999:119) to test for differences between left and right ears are shown in Table 4.1.

TABLE 4.1 P-values for differences between left and right ears

Variable analysed for statistically significant difference between Left and Right ears	P-value	Statistically significant difference?
• Peak admittance (mmho) of Y_a tympanograms	P = 0.7451	No
• Peak pressure (daPa) of Y_a tympanograms	P = 0.1301	No
• Peak admittance (mmho) of B_a tympanograms	P = 0.5980	No
• Peak pressure (daPa) of B_a tympanograms	P = 0.6885	No
• Peak admittance (mmho) of G_a tympanograms	P = 0.6071	No
• Peak pressure of G_a tympanograms	P = 0.0743	No
• Acoustic reflex threshold value	P = 0.7917	No

As is evident from Table 4.1, paired *t*-tests revealed no statistically significant differences in the immittance data for the left and right ears individually as all *p*-values were > 0.05. Consequently the data of immittance measures were combined and results for left and right ears will be discussed as *independent variables*. Onwards the *n*-value will refer to the number of ears upon which the specific test procedures were performed as opposed to the number of infants (N).

Results will be presented according to the sub-aims formulated at the onset of the study and an interpretation and discussion follows each presentation of the results.

4.2 DESCRIPTION OF ADMITTANCE (Y_a) TYMPANOGRAM SHAPES AND CHARACTERISTICS WITHIN SUBGROUPS A AND B

As discussed in Chapter 3 (Figure 3.5) results rendered from OAE testing were utilized to divide the data in subgroups A and B. 869 ears (93%) that passed the initial OAE-screening, were considered to have normal middle ear functioning and were assigned to Group A. 67 ears (7%) failing OAE screening were assigned to Group B. Though sensory hearing loss could not be excluded at this time, research has shown that a high incidence of false-positive test results can occur in hearing screening programs due to transient middle ear effusion (Kei *et al.*, 2003:21, Roush, 2001:26). Furthermore, as the incidence of confirmed sensory-neural hearing loss is relatively low, (one to two out of every 1000 in the USA), one in 67 subjects will have a negligible effect on the result. Thus 93% of the total sample of ears were therefore assumed to have *normal middle ear* functioning (OAE pass result), in addition to 7% of ears with possible middle ear effusion.

1000 Hz probe tone admittance tympanograms were analysed in terms of shape and characteristics, and compared to OAE results. Conclusions were drawn from associations between OAE pass or fail and corresponding tympanometric results to indicate normal or abnormal middle ear functioning.

Pass-fail results obtained for the recorded 1000 Hz probe tone admittance tympanograms are presented in Figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1 Results of admittance (Y_a) tympanometry (n = 936)

Figure 4.1 shows the relationship between ears in which normal, peaked tympanograms (pass) were recorded and ears displaying tympanograms suggestive of middle ear effusion (flat, with no discernable peak). 88% (n = 823) of the ears of the total case sample displayed normal, peaked tympanograms, while flat tympanograms, were recorded in 12% (n = 112) of the test ears.

Similar results for classification of tympanograms by shape were described by Sutton *et al.* (1996:11), although the authors further sub-classified tympanograms in terms of measurements from maximum peak compliance, raw data revealed 91% (153/168) peaked tympanograms and 9% (15/168) flat tympanograms (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:11). In describing characteristics of 1000 Hz tympanograms, Kei *et al.*, recorded single peaked tympanograms in 92% (225/244) of their test sample. Pass-fail results for 1000 Hz tympanometry described by Margolis *et al.* (2003:388) varied for infants of different age groups. Test subjects of at least two weeks chronological age, revealed higher pass rates for tympanometry compared to subjects tested in the neonatal period. Margolis *et al.*, (2003:389) found that although pass results for newborns may be lower than for older infants, the pass

rates are sufficiently high that 1000 Hz tympanometry may be useful for identifying potential middle ear problems.

4.2.1 Associations between results of OAE-testing and tympanogram shape

As there is no “gold standard” for the identification of middle ear effusion in infants, tympanometry as identification method of pathology could be validated by comparing results of tympanometry with other tests of auditory function. The effect of middle ear effusion on recording of OAEs has been described in earlier chapters and hence a correlation between test results obtained for tympanometric measurements and OAE-test results was performed. A significant concurrence between OAE and tympanometry results (pass associated with pass and conversely) would suggest the effect middle ear effusion can have on OAEs in addition to the sensitivity of 1000 Hz tympanometry to identify middle ear effusion. Similar analysis was described by Sutton *et al.*, (1996:10) in a study investigating the relationship between OAEs and tympanometry. A comparison between reported and current results will be given.

Associations between results obtained from OAE-testing and tympanogram shape are presented in Figure 4.2 and Figure 4.3.




Figure 4.2 Relationships between OAE and Tympanometry results (n = 936)

As can be derived from Figure 4.2, OAE pass results were recorded in 869 ears and OAE fail results were recorded in 67 ears. Of the ears that passed OAE testing (n = 869), 93% (809) of ears also produced a *peaked tympanogram*; whilst OAE pass results were obtained in 7% (60) of ears that displayed *flat tympanograms*. Of the ears in which an OAE *fail* result (n = 67) was recorded, 79% (53) displayed *flat tympanograms*, while 21% (14) of ears with an OAE fail result displayed peaked tympanograms. Mann-Whitney (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:278) and *t*-test analysis (Durrheim, 1999:119) verified statistically significant differences between the group with OAE pass and peaked tympanogram results, and the group with OAE fail combined with flat tympanogram results ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 4.3 more prominently illustrates the associations between OAE pass and peaked tympanogram results, and between OAE fail and flat tympanogram results.




Figure 4.3 Independent relationships between OAE results and peak or flat tympanograms (n = 936)

It is clear within the separate subgroups that an OAE pass result was highly associated with a peaked tympanogram result, and conversely an OAE fail result was highly associated with a flat tympanogram response curve. This indicates that high frequency (1000 Hz) tympanometry shows good sensitivity and specificity to the assessment and identification of normal and abnormal middle ear functioning.

These results are in agreement to results by Sutton *et al.*, (1996:12), who concluded that a 1000 Hz probe tone showed greater sensitivity for identification of middle ear pathology, as opposed to a 678 Hz probe tone. Sutton *et al.*, (1996:12) found that when comparing 678 Hz probe tone tympanometry to OAE results, about half (16/33) of the OAE fails had abnormal tympanograms. In contrast, however, this study indicated a significantly higher association of 79% (53/67) between OAE-fail, and abnormal tympanogram results. This supports the finding that 1000 Hz tympanometry shows greater to the identification of middle ear pathology. Similar results were also published by Kei *et al.*, (2003:26), who reported 92.3% of ears passing OAE testing displayed single peaked 1000 Hz tympanograms. A disparity was noted between current results and that of

Thornton *et al.*, (1993:320) who did not find tympanogram shape to have a significant effect on the outcome of OAE measurement. Though significant associations between OAE pass results and peaked tympanograms, and between OAE fail results and flat tympanograms were obtained, there was evidence from results of the current research to confirm the notion that passing OAEs cannot serve as a gold standard for normal middle ear function (Kei *et al.*, 2003:26) as 7% (60) of ears with OAE pass results, displayed flat 1000 Hz tympanogram response curves.

In agreement to Sutton *et al.*, (1996:15), results obtained during this study are consistent with the conclusion that OAEs are sensitive to middle ear effusion and are usually abolished by it. Significant associations were found between *OAE pass* and *peaked* tympanograms results, and also between *OAE fail* and *flat* tympanograms results. It can therefore be concluded that the outcome of OAE testing is highly associated with tympanogram shape when a 1000 Hz probe tone is employed.

To assess the effect of peak pressure and admittance values in admittance tympanograms on OAE outcome, a discussion of these values, as related to OAE results will follow in the next section.

4.2.2 Maximum admittance and tympanometric peak pressure values for Y_a tympanograms within subgroups A and B

Maximum (peak) uncompensated acoustic admittance and corresponding tympanometric peak pressure were analysed for admittance (Y_a) tympanograms within subgroups A and B. Statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) (Durrheim 1999:119) were observed between results obtained from measurements for Group A, classified to have normal middle ear functioning and Group B, classified to have middle ear effusion. Mann-Whitney analysis (Leedy & Ormrod, 2001:278) of

results from Group A and Group B revealed highly significant differences for peak admittance ($p = 0.000$) and for tympanometric peak pressure ($p = 0.000$).

OAE results were considered the criterion for the initial classification of ears into Group A (normal middle ear functioning) or Group B (abnormal middle ear functioning). As discussed in section 4.2.1, 93% of ears with an OAE pass result, also displayed a peaked tympanograms, whilst 7% of ears with an OAE pass result displayed no discernable tympanogram peak. Of the total number of ears in which peaked tympanograms were recorded ($n = 823$ ears), 809 presented with a corresponding OAE pass result, whilst only 14 ears corresponded to an OAE fail result.

Values obtained and analysed from admittance (Y_a) tympanograms are presented in the following section. In ears with discernable tympanograms peaks values were measured at the point of maximum displacement on the peak, whilst for the 7% of tympanograms where no discernable peaks were present, the highest point on the tympanogram was marked to obtain a maximum admittance value (mmho) with a corresponding pressure value (daPa). In the case of double peaked tympanograms, measures were taken from the highest peak.

The distribution of admittance and tympanometric peak pressure (TPP) values for the recorded tympanograms in Groups A and B are presented in Figures 4.4 and 4.5.




Figure 4.4 Distribution of maximum Y_a -admittance values for ears with OAE pass and refer results (n = 934)

The mean value for uncompensated acoustic admittance for peaked Y_a tympanograms was recorded at 2.85 mmho, with a standard deviation of 1.13 mmho. Maximum peak admittance corresponding to an OAE *pass* result was recorded at 9.64 mmho and minimum peak admittance relating to OAE *pass* result was 0.86 mmho. For the OAE pass group, the majority (77%) of the admittance values were 2 mmho and greater, compared to the majority (81%) of admittance values for the OAE refer group being less than 2 mmho. Although an overlap of results is visible, it is evident from Figure 4.8 that there is a clear trend toward lower Y_a -admittance values for the OAE refer group. Though the range for

acoustic admittance relating to an OAE pass result was quite big, it is evident from Figure 4.8, that 75% of ears ($n = 606$) displayed admittance values between 1.6 and 3.5 mmho.




Figure 4.5 Distribution of tympanic peak pressure values for ears with OAE pass and refer results ($n = 917$)

Figure 4.5 shows the distribution of middle ear pressure results for ears with OAE pass and refer results. The mean pressure value for ears *passing* OAE testing was 0.13 daPa with a standard deviation of 60.93 daPa. The highest extreme pressure value related to an OAE pass resulted was 185 daPa, and the lowest extreme was measured at -275 daPa. For the OAE refer group the majority (62%) of tympanic peak pressure values were greater than 105 daPa, compared to the majority (62%) of tympanic peak pressure values for the OAE pass group being between -45 and 50 daPa. It is evident from Figure 4.5 that compared to the OAE

pass group, there is clear trend indicating more positive pressure values for the OAE refer group. Additionally 8% of the OAE refer group indicated peak pressure values less than -200 daPa, compared to a 1% incidence in the OAE pass group. This indicates that positive peak pressure values exceeding 105 daPa, as well as negative pressure values of ≤ -200 daPa are more prone to OAE refer results, compared to pressure values for the OAE pass group. Similar results were reported by Thornton *et al.*, (1993:321) who found that high positive middle ear pressures (>150 daPa) correspond with OAE failures.

OAE pass results were highly associated with tympanic peak pressures values *greater than -100 daPa and smaller than 100 daPa*, with 87% of ears in the OAE pass group falling within this region. These results are in agreement with Trine, Hirsch & Margolis (1993:406) who reported that negative middle ear pressure ≤ -100 daPa reduced the overall amplitude and reproducibility of TEOAEs. They concluded that middle ear pressure affects the amplitude, reproducibility, and spectral characteristics of TEOAEs (Trine *et al.*, 1993:406). Thornton *et al.*, (1993:321) found vast overlapping in middle ear pressures for groups that failed and passed OAE testing and concluded that though the effect of middle ear pressure was statistically significant, it seems unlikely that it could account for more than a small percentage of cases that fail OAE testing. Results from the current study and that of previous reports are however consistent with the finding that there is an apparent relationship between middle ear pressure, measured using a 1000 Hz probe tone, and OAE pass or fail results in neonatal and infant ears (Thornton *et al.*, 1993:322).

Among the group of ears with peaked tympanograms, a number of double peaked tympanograms were recorded. A description of results from double peaked tympanograms is presented in the next section.

4.2.3 Double peaked tympanograms

The number of double peaked tympanograms recorded in this study revealed that 5% of ears ($n = 41$) in which a peaked tympanograms ($n = 823$) were recorded, displayed double peaked admittance response curves. This is notably higher than the number of double peaked tympanograms recorded by Kei *et al.*, (2003:24) who reported recording of double peaked 1000 Hz tympanograms for only 3 out of 224 ears (1%). The discrepancy in results between the present study and that of Kei *et al.* (2003:24) may be attributed to differences in sample size (122 neonates in study by Kei *et al.* in comparison to 512 neonates in present study) and possibly even more so to age differences between samples (mean age of 3.26 days in study by Kei *et al.* compared to a mean age of 15.74 weeks in the present study). Holte *et al.*, (1991:13) found that as probe frequency increased more tympanometric types and shapes were recorded for all age groups, but that by the age of 4 months, the majority of infants had single peaked tympanograms. The oldest subject in whom a double peaked tympanogram was recorded in the present study was aged 44 weeks.

The relationship between male to female infants with double peaked tympanograms is illustrated in Figure 4.6.




Figure 4.6 Gender relation of ears displaying double peaked tympanograms ($n = 41$)

As is evident in Figure 4.6, double peaked tympanograms were recorded in 64% (n=27) male infants compared to 36 (n=15) female infants. This *gender difference* is an interesting finding which may validate further research as the number of male to female ears with double peaked tympanograms, displayed a ratio of almost two to one. As double peaked tympanograms are generally less common, no large scale study has been performed to assess gender relations in double peaked tympanograms.

According to Thornton *et al.*, (1993:320), when using a high frequency probe tone with an infant's small ear canals, double peaked tympanograms are quite normal. Consequently tympanograms which displayed a double peak were judged as normal and included in the group of 'peaked' tympanograms, classified as normal. Double peaked tympanograms were also included in the case sample used for compiling normative values for 1000Hz tympanometry by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:387).

Further validation for judging double peaked tympanograms as normal, was performed by exploration of OAE results in the group displaying double peaked tympanograms. Results are illustrated in Figure 4.7.




Figure 4.7 OAE test results of ears that displayed double peaked tympanograms (n = 42)

Congruent to reports by Thornton *et al.*, (1993:320) double peaked tympanograms can be judged as normal, and current results revealed that, compared to OAE testing, 88% (n = 37 out of 42) of ears with double peaked tympanograms showed OAE pass results. 12% (n = 5 out of 42) of ears displaying double peaked tympanograms failed OAE testing. Further comparisons between OAE test results and the presence of double peaked tympanograms, revealed that within the total case sample of OAE pass results (n=869), 37 *double peaked* tympanograms were recorded, while 5 out of the 67 ears with an OAE fail result displayed a double peaked tympanogram.

Due to the greater contribution of elements of mass in the newborn middle ear system, as opposed to elements of stiffness in the adult middle ear, tympanometric patterns observed in newborn infants do often not conform to the classic patterns found in older infants, children, and adults. Low frequency probe tone tympanograms are more likely to show notching or complex patterns in infants (Purdy *et al.*, 2000:10), while more informative tympanometric recordings in neonates are derived using higher probe tone frequencies (Shahnaz, 2003:3). Shahnaz (2002 in Shahnaz 2003:3) found that at 1000Hz admittance tympanograms had a single peak for 74% of infant ears. The author concluded that in the infant ear, admittance tympanograms become simpler in shape as probe frequency increases, the reverse of what is found in adult ears, where admittance tympanograms become more complex as probe frequency increases. Holte *et al.*, (1991:13), found that when using the Vanhuyse model of tympanometric shapes to classify tympanograms according to the number of extrema in the susceptance and conductance tympanograms, *more tympanometric types* were recorded for all age groups, and the types were *more complex*, as probe frequency increased. Examples of double peaked tympanograms recorded during this study are presented in Figure 4.8.




Figure 4.8 Examples of double peaked tympanograms

a) Double peaked tympanogram recorded from the left ear of an infant aged 36 weeks. A single peaked tympanogram was recorded from the right ear. OAE pass result was recorded from left (and right) ear and acoustic reflex was present bilaterally. b) Double peaked tympanogram recorded from the right ear of an infant aged 40 weeks. A single peaked tympanogram was recorded from the left ear. OAE pass result was recorded from right (and left) ear and acoustic reflex was present bilaterally. c) Double peaked tympanogram recorded from the right ear of an infant aged 9 days. A single peaked tympanogram was recorded from the left ear. OAE pass result was recorded from right (and left) ear. Acoustic reflex not recorded. d) Double peaked tympanograms recorded from right and left ears of the same infant aged 36 weeks. OAE refer results were recorded bilaterally and acoustic reflexes were absent. A pass result was obtained on AABR screening for the right and left ear.

Figure 4.8 depicts examples of double peaked tympanograms obtained during this study. Though it was beyond the scope of this study to investigate the significance and occurrence thereof, it was of interest to note that in all these examples (and the majority of all double peaked tympanograms obtained) notching occurred in the G_a (conductance) tympanograms at approximately the same pressure point as in the Y_a (admittance) tympanograms. When classified according to the Vanhuyse classification system the double peaked tympanograms showed a frequent 5B3G type tympanogram. Figure 4.8 a), b), and c) were all associated with an OAE pass result, while d) was associated with an OAE fail result. OAE failure in Figure 4.8 d) can be related to the considerable negative pressure at peak admittance, measured as -210 daPa at point of maximum displacement in the admittance

tympanogram. This association is in agreement with Sutton *et al.*, (1996:13) who concluded that negative pressure, as measured with high frequency tympanometry, had a marked effect on OAEs.

4.3 SUSCEPTANCE (B_a) AND CONDUCTANCE (G_a) TYMPANOGRAM ANALYSIS AND DESCRIPTION


As discussed in Chapter 2, *component* tympanometry refers to measurement of the susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a) components of a tympanogram, which provides an adequate view of the magnitude and direction of the admittance. Because of the variety of tympanometric shapes that can occur in normal as well as abnormal ears at higher probe frequencies, evaluation of these two components is important for the interpretation of tympanograms measured with high frequency probe tones than for tympanograms measured with a 226 Hz probe tone (Wiley & Fowler, 1997:56).

The immittance measurement instrument utilized in this study allowed for simultaneous measurement of susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a) components. B_a and G_a tympanograms were successfully recorded from 889 ears (96%). Though it was beyond the scope of this study to statistically analyse and classify the shape of susceptance and conductance tympanograms, a number of examples of recorded tympanograms are presented in Figure 4.9. Specifically note the difference in notching patterns in the B/G tympanograms that are evident across all age groups depicted. Notching was mainly evident in the susceptance (B_a) tympanograms and this pattern was in agreement with Holte *et al.*, (1991:9) who found that reactance shifts towards mass with high probe frequencies and towards asymmetrical resistance, with the interactions resulting in notched susceptance (B_a) tympanograms. Similar results were seen for all tympanograms recorded throughout this study with a general trend for single peaked conductance (G_a) tympanograms and notching occurring in the susceptance (B_a) tympanograms only. As also illustrated in Figure 4.9, a second frequent shape across the age


range of subjects was a single peaked conductance tympanogram, in conjunction with a single peaked susceptance tympanogram. With reference to the Vanhuyse *et al.* (1975 in Fowler & Shanks, 2002:191) classification system (see Chapter 2, Figure 2.5), these shapes can be classified according to the number of extrema in the susceptance and conductance tympanograms, and hence the most frequent shapes observed can be classified as *3B1G* and *1B1G*. It is evident from the presented examples that a great range of variation of tympanogram shape occurs across all age groups with the most frequent shape being the 3B1G and 1B1G Vanhuyse type. Initially resistance is greater than reactance and the B_a tympanogram is expected to show a double peak gradually changing to a single peak as the resistance-reactance relationship changes (Vanhuyse *et al.*, 1974 in Meyer *et al.*, 1997:192). When the height of the susceptance (B_a) tympanogram is greater than the height of the conductance (G_a) tympanogram and both are single peaked (1B1G), the acoustic admittance vector is between 90° and 45° (see Figure 2.4) and relates to a stiffness-controlled middle ear (Fowler and Shanks, 2002:191). This shape can be seen in Figure 4.9 - ii) d; iii) d; iv) c; v) c; vi) b; vii) d; viii) a and b; ix) b. More complex configuration and notching patterns were observed in susceptance and conductance tympanograms, while 95% of ears displayed single peaked admittance (Y_a) tympanograms. Highly significant associations between OAE pass results and peaked tympanograms and between OAE fail results and flat admittance tympanograms, suggest that admittance tympanograms have good sensitivity and specificity for middle ear status.



Figure 4.9 Random examples of tympanograms recorded during the study at age groups of 1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 20, 36, 40 and 44 weeks




ii) Age: 2 weeks






III) Age: 6 weeks




iv) Age: 10 weeks






v) Age: 14 weeks







vi) Age: 20 weeks









vii) Age: 36 weeks



viii) Age: 40 weeks



ix) Age: 44 weeks



4.4 ACOUSTIC REFLEXES USING A HIGH FREQUENCY PROBE TONE

The acoustic reflex can be a very useful part of the audiologic evaluation of infants. A present acoustic reflex is added support for normal middle-ear function, and as the reflex arc involves the seventh and eighth nerve and the low brainstem, a normal or present reflex can be useful in ruling out abnormalities such as Auditory Neuropathy (Sininger *et al.*, 2003:380).

Acoustic reflexes using a 1000 Hz probe tone were evaluated at 1000 Hz in 840 ears of infants who tolerated the entire test battery. Results of reflex measurement from the present study are illustrated in Figure 4.10.




Figure 4.10 1000 Hz acoustic reflex results using a 1000 Hz probe tone (n = 914)

Figure 4.10 shows the results of acoustic reflexes recorded in the total case sample and results for male versus female, and left versus right ears. As is clearly evident from Figure 4.10, no significant differences were observed between left and right, and male and female ears ($p > 0.05$). Present acoustic reflexes were

recorded in 86% (n=785) of the ears tested. In 14% (n=129) of the ears no reflexes were recorded. In view of the fact that present acoustic reflexes were recorded in a significantly high proportion (86%) of the test subjects, the above results are consistent with Weatherby and Bennett (1980:106), and support the validity of high frequency probe tones for measurement of acoustic reflexes in infants. Weatherby and Bennett (1980:107) demonstrated that neonatal acoustic reflexes were not measurable using 226 Hz probe tones, but when employing high frequency probe tones, acoustic reflexes were obtained at levels comparable to adults. *This suggests that the use of a high frequency probe tone for measurement of acoustic reflexes is more sensitive in infants and shows promise for inclusion in audiology assessment protocols in infants.* To arbitrate this application, a discussion of the degree of association between acoustic reflexes and OAE's, and between acoustic reflexes and tympanometry will follow.

4.4.1 Comparison of acoustic reflex measurement results with OAE and tympanometry results

A comparison of reflex measurement- to OAE results is provided in Figure 4.11 to indicate the relationship between OAE and acoustic reflex testing results.




Figure 4.11 Distribution of acoustic reflex compared to OAE results

As presented in Figure 4.11 acoustic reflex arcs were recorded in 92% (n=763) of ears who passed OAE testing, while 8% (n=66) of ears obtaining and OAE pass result displayed absent acoustic reflexes. In the group of infants failing OAE testing, 89% (n=58) also had absent acoustic reflexes, though reflexes were present in 11% (n=7) of this group. As OAE and acoustic reflex measurement require normal middle ear transmission (Casselbrandt *et al.*, 2002:96; Gelfand, 2002:208), acoustic reflex results obtained in the current study were compared with results of 1000 Hz tympanometry. Results are presented in Figure 4.12.




Figure 4.12 Relationship of tympanometry to acoustic reflex results
(n = 882)

Results of acoustic reflex measurement with a 1000 Hz probe tone indicate a high percentage of present acoustic reflexes (86%). McMillan *et al.*, (1985:146) reported similar findings and recorded present acoustic reflexes in 95% of infant ears when a 660 Hz probe tone was used. Contrary to current findings, Sutton *et al.*, (1996:12) reported acoustic reflexes, measured with a 678 Hz probe tone, to be

absent in most of the ears with *normal* tympanograms and in most of the ears *passing* OAE testing. This difference in findings can be attributed to the risk status and young age of the neonates, as well as to the use of a 678 Hz probe tone as opposed to a 1000 Hz probe tone. Current findings are also in contrast to reports on poor reliability of recording present reflexes using a low frequency probe tones in infants (Gelfand, 2002:212). This can be attributed to the fact that: 1) a 1000 Hz probe tone was used, 2) an ipsilateral stimulus was used, and 3) a mid-frequency (1000 Hz) stimulus was used to activate the reflex.

Significant associations between OAE pass results, peaked tympanograms and present acoustic reflexes were evident. Normative values for present 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflex measures, corresponding to an OAE pass result and a peaked tympanogram, will be discussed in the final section of this chapter.

Preliminary normative data for 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry compared to OAE results have recently been reported, although previous studies were limited in sample size and encompassed a limited age range (Kei *et al.*, 2003:23 – 25; Margolis *et al.*, 2003:385 – 388). The current study therefore presents data that can be used to establish normative data for 1000 Hz tympanometry and acoustic reflexes within a large sample of infants ranging in age from one day to one year. This normative data will be discussed in the following section.

4.5 HIGH FREQUENCY (1000 Hz) IMMITTANCE NORMS

Various authors have highlighted the need to perform further studies to establish guidelines for interpretation of high frequency immittance results, and for distinguishing normal from pathological ears in neonates and infants (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:202; Purdy & Williams, 2000:9; De Chicchis, 2000:98). This chapter concludes with a description of normative values derived from the cohort of neonates and infants included in the final analysis of the current study. The large sample of infant ears on which OAE and high frequency tympanometry and

acoustic reflexes were performed allowed for compilation of comprehensive normative data for 1000 Hz probe tone immittance measures. The criteria for inclusion will be discussed, followed by a description of 1000 Hz tympanometry norms.

As normative studies of tympanometry in infants are often complicated by a lack of an independent method for assuring only normal ears are included in the study (Margolis *et al.*, 2003:384), two criteria were set out for classification of normal middle ear functioning. The first criterion was based on results from OAE-testing. As previously discussed, an initial division was made where cases, in which an OAE pass result was obtained, were considered to have normal middle ear functioning (Group A). The hypothesis as described in Chapter 3 was tested by comparing results obtained from OAE testing to results of 1000 Hz tympanometry and acoustic reflex measurement. Current results from 1000 Hz tympanometry indicated that the majority of (93%) of ears with an OAE pass result displayed a discernable tympanogram peak and therefore confirmed that the hypothesis was true. However, as reported by Sutton *et al.*, (1996:11) and Thornton *et al.*, (1993:322), emissions can occasionally be recorded from ears with middle ear disease and hence OAEs alone cannot be considered as the only decisive factor for normal middle ear functioning. In 7% of ears with an OAE pass result no discernable peak could be identified in the current study. Based on reports in literature that 1000 Hz probe tone tympanograms with a discernable peak can be accepted as representative of normal middle ear functioning, this was specified as a second criterion alongside an OAE pass result (Kei *et al.*, 2003:22; Purdy & Williams, 2000:18, Sutton *et al.*, 1996:13). Accordingly only ears displaying both a pass OAE result in addition to a peaked 1000 Hz probe tone admittance tympanogram in the same ear, were included in the compilation of normative values. Ears classified as 'abnormal' indicated a fail result in either OAE-testing or tympanometry, or both measures.

According to the two criteria, normative data were compiled for 809 ears. Normative values for 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry are presented for maximum peak uncompensated admittance and tympanometric peak pressure (TPP) values, measured from admittance (Y_a), susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a) tympanograms. Norms for 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflexes are also presented.

Uncompensated admittance values for Y_a , B_a and G_a tympanograms were measured at point of maximum positive displacement, and corresponding middle ear pressure was measured at this point. When double peaks occurred, the peak admittance, as described here, was obtained from the higher peak.

Table 4.2 presents norms for 1000 Hz probe tone admittance (Y_a), susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a) tympanograms recorded for all ears included in the final analysis of this study.

TABLE 4.2 1000Hz Admittance (Y_a), Susceptance (B_a) and Conductance (G_a) tympanometry norms (Total sample)

	Y_a (n=809 ears)		B_a (n=791 ears)		G_a (n=791 ears)	
Variables	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)
Mean	2.9	0.13	1.8	43.8	2.6	-8.7
Std Deviation	1.1	60.93	0.8	69.1	1.2	62.1
Max	9.6	185	6.1	195	9.9	180
Min	0.9	-275	0.4	-235	0.2	-285
5th Percentile	1.5	-110	0.9	-80	1.2	-120
50th Percentile Median	2.60	5	1.7	45	2.3	-5
95th Percentile	4.9	90	3.1	155	4.9	80

Though a number of studies have reported preliminary normative data for admittance 1000 Hz tympanometry, there are very limited data on results obtained from susceptance and conductance tympanograms. Most studies investigating high frequency tympanometry in infants have measured admittance or susceptance tympanograms (Kei *et al.*, 2003:20; Margolis *et al.*, 2003:383; Purdy & Williams, 2000:14). A distribution curve of the normative values (as shown in Table 4.2) for admittance tympanograms is provided in Figure 4.13.




Figure 4.13 Distribution of admittance results for Y_a tympanograms (n= 809)

Figure 4.13 shows the distribution of *uncompensated* peak admittance values recorded for the total case sample (n = 809). The mean admittance value is 2.85 mmho. As indicated in Figure 4.13 the admittance values that account for normality between the 5th and 95th percentile, ranges from 1.53mmho to 4.95mmho, with a median of 2.60 mmho. These results correspond highly to normative values from 1000 Hz admittance tympanograms for full-term babies

reported by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386). A comparison between results from the present study and results reported by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386) is presented in Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.3 Comparison between results obtained from the current study and results by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386)

	This study	Results by Margolis <i>et al.</i> , 2003:386
Mean	2.8	2.7
SD	1.2	1.3
5 th Percentile	1.5	1.2
50 th Percentile	2.6	2.5
95 th Percentile	4.9	4.8

It is clear that results obtained in the current study showed similar results to that of Margolis *et al.*, (2003:389). Margolis *et al.*, (2003:389) also reported a single cutoff value of 0.6 mmho for static admittance (peak-to-negative tail difference), though this was not compared in the current study.

As peak admittance values, as presented for the total sample in Table 4.2, indicated statistically significant differences between male and female ears, gender specific norms are presented separately in Table 4.4 and Table 4.5. A comparison between Y_a admittance normative data male and female ears is provided in Table 4.6.



TABLE 4.4 1000Hz tympanometry norms (Female ears)

<i>FEMALE</i>	<i>Y_a (n=385 ears)</i>		<i>B_a (n=376 ears)</i>		<i>G_a (n=376 ears)</i>	
<i>Variables</i>	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)
<i>Mean</i>	2.6	-4.9	1.7	35.9	2.3	-17.4
<i>Std Deviation</i>	0.8	60.5	0.7	69.4	0.9	61.2
<i>Max</i>	8.7	185	5.6	195	9.0	165
<i>Min</i>	0.9	-205	0.5	-235	0.2	-280
<i>5th Percentile</i>	1.4	-115	0.75	-85	1.1	-135
<i>50th Percentile Median</i>	2.4	5	1.6	35	2.1	-15
<i>95th Percentile</i>	4.2	90	2.7	155	4.2	75

TABLE 4.5 1000 Hz tympanometry norms (Male ears)

<i>MALE</i>	<i>Y_a (n=424 ears)</i>		<i>B_a (n=415 ears)</i>		<i>G_a (n=415 ears)</i>	
<i>Variables</i>	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)
<i>Mean</i>	3.1	4.7	1.9	50.8	2.9	-1.0
<i>Std Deviation</i>	1.3	60.9	0.8	68.1	1.3	61.9
<i>Max</i>	9.6	160	6.1	190	9.9	180
<i>Min</i>	1.1	-275	0.4	-210	0.9	-285
<i>5th Percentile</i>	1.7	-100	0.9	-70	1.3	-110
<i>50th Percentile Median</i>	2.9	10	1.8	55	2.5	5
<i>95th Percentile</i>	5.4	95	3.3	150	5.4	85

TABLE 4.6 Comparison between peak admittance values in Y_a tympanograms in male and female ears

Variable	Ya Peak admittance (mmho)	
	MALE	FEMALE
Mean	3.1	2.6
Std deviation	1.3	0.8
Max	9.6	8.7
Min	1.1	0.9
5 th Percentile	1.7	1.4
50 th Percentile Median	2.9	2.4
95 th Percentile	5.4	4.2

Peak admittance values presented in Tables 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 indicated a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.00$) between male and female ears for maximum uncompensated acoustic admittance, with admittance being higher for male infants than female infants. No significant difference was observed for peak pressure values ($p = 0.16$) in Y_a tympanograms between genders.

In contrast to Kei *et al.*, (2003:20) who reported a significant ear effect with right ears showing significantly higher mean peak compensated static admittance and who found no significant gender effects or interaction with results, this present study revealed *no* statistical significant differences between right and left ears for peak uncompensated acoustic admittance ($p = 0.75$) or peak pressure values ($p = 0.13$) in Y_a tympanograms, whilst a significant difference between male and female ears for peak uncompensated acoustic admittance were found. Similar results

have been reported by Palmu *et al.*, (2001:182) who investigated infant ears at 7 and 24 months of age with 226 Hz probe tone tympanometry. They found a statistically significant difference between acoustic admittance values for male and female ears, with the admittance values for males being significantly higher than for female ears. Differences in middle ear and tympanic membrane sizes were impeached for these results (Palmu *et al.*, 2001:183). In the adult population differences between male and female ears have been widely reported for 226 Hz probe tone tympanometry (Fowler & Shanks, 2002:178). This is of important consideration when assessing infant ears, as peak admittance values for 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry are significantly lower in female in comparison to male ears.

Several investigations have provided some preliminary data with regards to various acoustic admittance parameters in the assessment of middle ear function in infants. There is evidence from those studies and others that these variables are age dependant (De Chicchis *et al.*, 2000:97, Holte *et al.*, 1991:20). When assessing the infant middle ear *age-related changes* in acoustic admittance values need careful consideration. These changes have shown to increase with age and therefore necessitate age specific normative data to avoid false-positive results due to inappropriate normative values (Meyer *et al.*, 1997:192; Holte *et al.*, 1991:12). A study by De Chicchis *et al.*, (2000:97) investigating developmental changes in acoustic admittance measurements of children aged 6 months to 5 years, indicated statistically significant effects showing increases in acoustic admittance and ear canal volume as ages increased (De Chicchis *et al.*, 2000:99). In another study Palmu *et al.*, (1999:210, 211) investigated the diagnostic value of tympanometry using a 226 Hz probe tone in infants and found that middle ear compliance increases with age and is quite low in infants. They concluded that the compliance limit of >0.2 ml for normal ears is too high for the infant population, and that normal infant ears commonly have compliance values of less the 0.2 ml when a 226 Hz probe tone is used (Palmu *et al.*, 1999:211).

Normative data were therefore calculated for neonates and infants across four age groups: 1) 0 weeks of age, 2) 0 – 4 weeks of age, 3) 5 – 28 weeks of age and 4) 29 – 52 weeks of age. Means and standard deviations for the acoustic admittance variables across these four age groups are shown in Table 4.7. Statistically significant differences were obtained for peak admittance values between all age groups. In comparison to the older age groups, infants in the 0 – 4 week age group presented with much lower mean and standard deviations for peak admittance. The range of values from the 5th to the 95th percentile for the 0 – 4 weeks age group was 2.2 mmho, compared to 2.7 and 3.4 mmho for infants 5 – 28 weeks and 29 – 52 weeks of age, respectively. Y_a admittance values increased in a rather orderly manner from the youngest group, those zero weeks of age, to the oldest group, those infants who range in age from 29 to 52 weeks. Additionally an increasing range of variability accompanies increasing age, illustrated by the higher standard deviation values as infants become older. A graphic representation of the increase in mean admittance values and standard deviations as a function of age is provided in Figure 4.14A.

Figure 4.14 illustrates the mean values for peak admittance (Figure 4.14A) and tympanometric peak pressure (Figure 4.14B) across the four age groups. The error bars in Figure 4.14 represent the standard deviations observed within these groups.

Mean tympanometric peak pressure data are graphically represented in Figure 4.14B. No significant differences or age-related trends were observed for this measurement variable and the mean pressure values were very similar across all age groups approximating 0 daPa. Higher standard deviations for tympanometric peak pressure were however noted in the older age groups (5 – 28 weeks and 29 – 52 weeks), indicating increased variability in peak pressure with increase in age. These results are similar to pressure values for 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry reported by Margolis *et al.*, (2003:386) with a 90% range for tympanometric peak pressure of -133 daPa (5th percentile) to 113 daPa (95th percentile). The lower

standard deviation and 90% range for peak pressure observed in the 0 – 4 weeks age group (-75 daPa to 80 daPa / 5th – 95th percentile), compared to -120daPa to 90 daPa (5th – 95th percentile) and -130 daPa to 105 daPa (5th to 95th percentile) in the 5 – 28, and 29 – 52 week age groups respectively, indicate more stringent criteria for normality in the younger age groups.

A summary of the normative tympanometric data for the different age groups is presented in Figure 4.15.

TABLE 4.7 Norms for 1000 Hz admittance tympanometry across four age groups

Variables	AGE							
	0 WEEKS (n = 73 ears)		0 – 4 WEEKS (n = 250 ears)		5 – 28 WEEKS (n = 457 ears)		29 – 52 WEEKS (n = 98 ears)	
	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)	Peak admittance	TPP (daPa)
Mean	2.2	-2	2.4	-1	2.9	0.1	3.8	3
Std Deviation	0.9	48	0.8	49	1.0	65	1.5	72
Max	7.7	185	7.7	185	8.5	160	9.6	170
Min	1	-130	1	-185	0.86	-275	1	-205
5th Percentile	1.2	-70	1.4	-75	1.7	-120	2.1	-130
50th Percentile Median	2.0	-10	2.2	-5	2.7	10	3.4	15
95th Percentile	3.4	70	3.7	80	4.97	90	7	105




Figure 4.14 Mean values for Y_a tympanometric variables across age groups: (A) peak admittance in mmho, (B) tympanometric peak pressure in daPa. (Error bars represent plus and minus one standard deviation)




Figure 4.15 Peak admittance and pressure norms

Normative values for acoustic reflexes were investigated in the current study. As previously indicated in Figure 4.12, acoustic reflexes were recorded in 94% of ears displaying peak 1000 Hz tympanograms. Normative values for 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflexes were however compiled for 727 ears that displayed both a peaked tympanogram, in addition to an OAE pass result. These norms are presented in Table 4.8.

TABLE 4.8 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflex norms (n = 727)

	<i>MALE & FEMALE (n=727 ears)</i>	<i>MALE (n=379 ears)</i>	<i>FEMALE (n=438 ears)</i>
VARIABLES	Reflex threshold (dB)	Reflex Threshold (dB)	Reflex Threshold (dB)
Mean	93	92	94
Std Deviation	9	9	9
Max	110	110	110
Min	60	65	60
5 th Percentile	80	75	80
50th Percentile (median)	95	95	95
95 th Percentile	105	110	105

As is evident from Table 4.8, no significant difference was observed between reflex thresholds obtained for male and female ears. All ears considered together indicated a mean reflex threshold of 93dB, with a standard deviation of 9dB. The 90% range varied from 80dB to 105dB. Though the usefulness and diagnostic significance of these preliminary normative values may be limited until further research is conducted which include ears with pathology, the presence or absence of acoustic reflexes still remain a useful part in the audiological evaluation in

infants. This can aid in the differential diagnosis of infants who failed newborn hearing screening and who display abnormal results on other tests of hearing function. However, the normative values for acoustic reflexes indicated a 95th percentile of 105dB (Table 4.8), which is very close to the maximum output of the equipment (110dB). Therefore the diagnostic significance is limited and the *absence* of acoustic reflexes alone cannot confirm the presence of abnormality in any age (McMillan *et al.*, 1985:148)

Ipsilateral acoustic reflex measurement, as utilized in this study, offers advantages over contralateral testing in infants, as results cannot be confounded by contralateral ear factors, and testing is easier as an earphone need not be positioned in the contralateral ear. Further studies are however needed to define the role of ipsilateral acoustic reflexes, with different stimuli in the infant population.

4.6 Summary

This chapter presented the results obtained from the clinical research investigation as described in Chapter 3. Systematic analysis of data was employed to derive meaning from raw data. Results and findings were presented and critically discussed according to the main and sub-aims formulated for this study within the context of previous research.

1000 Hz acoustic immittance results were described for infants failing and passing OAE testing. A high incidence of peaked tympanograms and present acoustic reflexes, suggestive of normal middle ear function, could be obtained in infants using a 1000 Hz probe tone. Double peaked tympanograms were recorded in 4.5% of the total sample, and 64% of these were recorded from male ears. For ears passing OAE testing and displaying a peak 1000 Hz tympanogram normative values were compiled. Age appropriate and gender specific normative data were also described and merit consideration when assessing the infant middle ear.

Peaked tympanograms and present acoustic reflexes were highly associated with OAE pass results and conversely flat tympanograms and absent reflexes were more associated with OAE fail results. High frequency (1000 Hz) immittance measures therefore prove to be a valid measure of middle ear function in infants. Current normative values and that of previous studies on 1000 Hz tympanometry provide criteria for identifying middle ear dysfunction in infants and can assist in distinguishing between screening fails caused by sensorineural hearing loss and those caused by transient middle ear conditions.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Chapter 5 presents conclusions drawn from the current research results and recommendations for clinical practice and for future research. A critical evaluation of the study is included,

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Objective measurement of middle-ear function continues to be refined and in recent years high frequency tympanometry has enjoyed added awareness and is increasingly being implemented in neonatal and infant hearing assessment protocols. In accordance with a dearth in current research, this study explored and validated the use of high frequency acoustic immittance measures in a large group of infants and described age- and gender related normative values.

To date, no large scale studies have been reported on high frequency tympanometry and acoustic reflex testing in the neonatal and infant population and limitations in subject size and the shortfall of age appropriate normative data in previous studies have been identified. Although the use of high frequency tympanometry has generally been proven and accepted as superior over conventional 226 Hz tympanometry in infants below 7 months of age (Lilly, 2005:24; Kei *et al.*, 2003:27; Margolis *et al.*, 2003:391; Purdy & Williams, 2000:10; Meyer *et al.*, 1997:194:); the need exists for classification systems and norms for interpreting these results to ensure effective diagnosis of middle ear pathology in infants (Sutton *et al.*, 1996:15).

Conclusions from the research findings in the current study will be presented in the following section to ascertain the significance of the obtained results and to determine implications for clinical practise.

5.2 CONCLUSIONS

This study has investigated and highlighted the use of high frequency probe tone (1000 Hz) tympanometry as a method of middle ear assessment in infants. Conclusions are discussed in Table 5.1 against the framework of the main and sub-aims formulated for the study.

TABLE 5.1 Conclusions according to sub-aims

• ***Sub aim 1 – Admittance (Y_a) tympanogram shape and characteristics within subgroups***

- ~ The total case sample of 936 ears was divided into two groups, depending on outcome of OAE testing. 869 ears (93%) that passed OAE-screening were considered to have normal middle ear functioning and were assigned to Group A. 67 ears (7%) failed OAE screening, were postulated to have possible middle ear pathology and were assigned to Group B.
- ~ 88% (n = 823 ears) of ears in the total case sample displayed *peaked* admittance tympanograms and in conjunction with an OAE pass, this was considered confirmation of normal middle ear functioning. *Flat* tympanograms, considered indicative of middle ear pathology, were recorded in 12% (n = 112) of the test ears.
- ~ Significantly high associations were observed between tympanogram shape and OAE outcome: 93% of ears with OAE-pass results (n = 869) displayed *peaked* 1000 Hz admittance tympanograms. In 7% of ears (60/869) in which flat tympanograms were recorded, OAE's were however still found to be present. 79% of ears failing OAE testing displayed *flat* (abnormal) tympanograms. Results of this study showed greater agreement between OAE failure and abnormal 1000 Hz tympanograms compared to results by Sutton *et al.*, (1996:12) who reported only about half of OAE fails (16/33) to correspond with abnormal 1000 Hz tympanograms.
- ~ This study has confirmed that abnormal 1000 Hz tympanometry is strongly associated with OAE failure, and that normal, peaked 1000 Hz tympanometry is strongly associated with OAE pass results.

- ~ 88% of Y_a tympanograms displayed discernable peaks. Double peaked tympanograms were recorded in 4.5% of the total sample and comprised 5% of ears (41) with peaked tympanograms ($n = 823$). A considerable *gender* effect was observed within the group displaying double peaked tympanograms, and 64% were male. The incidence of double peaked tympanograms were much higher in the present study compared with results by Kei *et al.*, (2003:24) who reported recording of double peaked tympanograms for only 3 out of 224 ears (1%). Differences in sample size and age of subjects could account for discrepancy in results.
 - ~ Statistically significant differences ($p = 0.00$) were observed in Y_a tympanograms between the group passing OAE testing (mean admittance 2.5 mmho) and the group failing OAE testing (mean admittance 1.78 mmho)
 - ~ For ears displaying a peaked Y_a tympanogram in conjunction with an OAE pass result, the mean value for uncompensated acoustic admittance (Y_a) tympanograms was recorded at 2.85 mmho, with a standard deviation of 1.13 mmho. Maximum admittance values corresponding to an OAE *pass* result were recorded at 9.64 mmho and minimum admittance relating to OAE *pass* result was 0.86 mmho.
 - ~ The mean pressure value in admittance tympanograms for ears *passing* OAE testing and displaying a peaked Y_a tympanogram, was 0.13 daPa with a standard deviation of 60.93 daPa. The highest extreme pressure value related to an OAE pass resulted was 185 daPa, and the lowest extreme was measured at -275 daPa. 87% of ears passing OAEs displayed peak pressure values bigger than -100 daPa and smaller and equal to 100daPa.
- **Sub aim 2 – Characteristics of susceptance (B_a) and conductance (G_a) tympanograms**
 - ~ Notching was mainly evident in the susceptance (B_a) tympanograms and this pattern was in agreement with Holte *et al.*, (1991:9) who found that reactance shifts towards mass with high probe frequencies and towards asymmetrical resistance, with the interactions resulting in notched susceptance (B_a) tympanograms.
 - ~ A great range of variation of B/G tympanogram shapes occurred across all age groups with the most frequent shape being the 3B1G and 1B1G Vanhuyse type.

- ~ Complex configuration and notching patterns were observed in susceptance and conductance tympanograms, making interpretation of B/G tympanograms more difficult (Holte *et al.*, 1991:22).
- ~ Mass and stiffness related elements can be assessed by 1000 Hz B/G tympanograms, but prior to more definite results and large scale investigations on the interpretation of B/G tympanograms, the use of **Y-admittance** tympanograms appear superior as a screening tool for middle ear functioning in infants to ease interpretation and classification. Highly significant associations between OAE pass results and single peaked admittance tympanograms (93%) and between OAE fail results and flat admittance tympanograms (79%) obtained in the current study suggest that admittance tympanograms have good sensitivity and specificity for assessment of middle ear status in infants. Kei *et al.*, (2003:27) reported single-peaked tympanograms, indicative of normal middle ear functioning, in 92.2 % of neonate ears.
- **Sub aim 3 – 1000 Hz probe tone acoustic reflexes**
 - ~ Ipsilateral acoustic reflexes at 1000 Hz were evaluated with a high frequency (1000 Hz) probe tone. Reflex thresholds were found to be present in 86% (n=760) of the ears tested.
 - ~ A mean threshold of 93 dB with a standard deviation of 9 dB and a 90% range of 80 – 105 dB was obtained.
 - ~ The higher percentage of present acoustic reflexes obtained in the current study compared to previous studies in infants was attributed to the fact that a 1000 Hz probe tone and an ipsilateral mid-frequency (1000 Hz) stimulus was used to activate the reflexes (Wetherby & Bennet 1980:107).
 - ~ Good agreement was observed between acoustic reflex presence or absence and normal and abnormal OAE and tympanometry results. This validated the use of OAE pass results and peaked admittance tympanograms as a control variable for normal middle ear functioning with identification of the subgroup of subjects used for the description of normative tympanometric values.
 - ~ Acoustic reflexes appear a good adjunct to 1000 Hz tympanometry for the confirmation of middle ear functioning in infants below seven months of age.

- **Sub aim 4 – High frequency immittance norms**

- ~ Age and gender specific normative values for 1000 Hz acoustic admittance, susceptance and conductance tympanograms were compiled for ears displaying peaked tympanograms in conjunction with OAE pass results.
- ~ An increase in mean admittance and standard deviation values were observed across age groups. Mean admittance for infants aged 0 – 4 weeks was 2.4 mmho, with a standard deviation of 0.8, compared to 2.9 mmho with standard deviation 1.0 and 3.8 mmho with standard of deviation of 1.5 for infants aged 5 – 28 and 29 – 52 weeks respectively.
- ~ In agreement to Palmu *et al.*, (2001:181) age specific normative values are needed for the interpretation of tympanometry obtained from infants and young children. Results obtained from this study may serve as a preliminary normative data for the interpretation of age specific tympanograms.

5.3 IMPLICATIONS OF FINDINGS

This study has provided normative values and results for 1000 Hz tympanometry and this may serve as a guide to further research and as preliminary norms for the identification of normal and abnormal high frequency tympanograms. However, as this study did not include results of abnormal tympanometry, more research on data of abnormal ears is needed to fully understand the effect of abnormal middle ear functioning on tympanograms recorded with a high frequency probe tone.

The prospect of employing high frequency tympanometry for timely identification and treatment of middle ear effusion in infants may serve as an important adjunct to tests currently used for audiological diagnosis in the infant population, to differentiate between middle ear pathology and sensori-neural hearing loss. This may also serve as a means to reduce high false positive test outcomes during neonatal hearing screening program relating to transient middle ear effusion. Thus high frequency tympanometry demonstrates promise for inclusion in neonatal audiological assessment procedures and hearing screening programs.

Previous studies investigating developmental changes and characteristics of high frequency tympanometry in infants have utilized susceptance and conductance tympanograms (Holte *et al.*, 1991:3, Meyer *et al.*, 1997:191) while others have utilized admittance tympanograms (Kei *et al.*, 2003:23, Margolis *et al.*, 2003:385, Meyer *et al.*, 1997:191, Sutton *et al.*, 1996:11, Thornton *et al.*, 1993:320) in analysis and description of results. Purdy and Williams (2000:19) reported that the majority of studies investigating high frequency tympanometry measured admittance (Y_a) or susceptance (B_a) tympanograms and that conductance (G_a) tympanograms had a limited diagnostic role in infants. Their recommended test protocol consequently suggested the use of susceptance or admittance tympanograms (Purdy *et al.*, 2000:22) for measurement of high frequency tympanograms in infants.

Conductance (G_a) tympanograms recorded in the present study showed notable similarities with admittance (Y_a) tympanograms when a simple visual analysis was applied (see Figure 4.12 for examples). Analysis of B/G tympanograms in terms of number of extrema can determine contribution of mass and stiffness related systems in the middle ear. The most frequent shapes observed in the current study were classified as 3B1G and 1B1G. The diagnostic value of the assessment of the mass- and stiffness related elements in the infant middle ear still remains to be determined (Purdy & Williams, 2000:22).

Owing to high associations observed between results of admittance tympanograms and OAE outcome, with a significant association of 93% between OAE pass results and peaked admittance tympanograms and of 79% between OAE fail results and flat admittance tympanograms, total admittance (Y_a) appears a valid method of categorisation of 1000 Hz tympanograms in infants. Due to greater variation in notching patterns that occur in susceptance and conductance tympanograms and complicates interpretation, an additional benefit of the use of admittance tympanograms is that it facilitates and simplifies interpretation as less variability in notching patterns occur.

5.4 CRITICAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH PROJECT

A critical evaluation in the form of strengths and limitations of the current study is provided in Table 5.2.

TABLE 5.2 Strengths and limitations of the current study

STRENGTHS
④ The study encompassed a large sample of subjects on which high frequency immittance measurements were performed, which allows for increased sensitivity of normative values that were compiled. ④ 1000 Hz probe tone tympanometry and acoustic reflexes were analyzed and age and gender specific norms were reported. ④ The use of an OAE pass result as a control variable for normal middle ear functioning proved successful and useful for identification of normal middle functioning and correlated well to peaked tympanogram results and present acoustic reflexes.
LIMITATIONS
④ Poor infant co-operation prevented the whole test procedure to be performed on all infants. Breast or bottle feeding to pacify distressed infants in addition to visual distraction proved successful in enhancing infant co-operation, though 100% success rate could not be obtained. ④ Due to failure of subjects to return for follow-up OAE screening it had not been possible to confirm or reject sensori-neural hearing loss in cases with a combination of a peaked tympanogram and absent OAEs. A further limitation was due to the fact that ABR screening results were not considered in the analysis of the results for subjects that underwent AABR testing, ④ Uncompensated acoustic admittance and tympanometric peak pressure were the only variables analysed in this study. For more detailed analysis and for comparison between studies tympanometric width, compensated static admittance and tympanometric gradient could be included as measurement variables in subsequent investigations.

5.5 CLINICAL GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETATION OF HIGH FREQUENCY IMMITTANCE MEASURES

- ~ High frequency tympanometry in combination with acoustic reflexes proves a useful and sensitive measure of middle ear functioning in infants. *Peaked tympanograms and present acoustic reflexes strongly indicate normal middle ear functioning.*
- ~ High frequency admittance (Y_a) tympanograms are easier to interpret and proves a suitable measure if the assessment of mass and stiffness-related elements are not the primary objective.
- ~ In agreement with previous reports, double peaked tympanograms indicate good agreement with OAE pass results, and can therefore be interpreted as normal.
- ~ OAE pass results were highly associated with tympanic peak pressures values *greater than -100 daPa and smaller than 100 daPa*, with 87% of ears in the OAE pass group falling within this region. Positive middle ear pressure >150 daPa may be an important indicator of the presence of middle ear effusion in infants.
- ~ Age related normative data described in this study offer guidelines for the interpretation of high frequency tympanometry and reflexes in infants.

5.6 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- Further research is needed on larger subgroups with abnormal middle ear functioning for comparisons to be made between results of normal and abnormal measures of high frequency tympanometry.
- More research on the validation of admittance tympanograms in relation to OAE outcome and other tests of middle ear assessment is necessary to further validate the use high frequency (1000 Hz) Y_a tympanograms as a single indicator of middle ear functioning in infants.

- Classification systems based on single component admittance tympanograms should be explored and developed to ease classification and interpretation of 1000 Hz tympanograms. This could provide a more scientific base for interpretation of high frequency tympanometry opposed to the current practise employing a simple pass criteria based on the presence of any peaked tympanometric pattern.

5.7 FINAL COMMENTS

The prospect of employing high frequency tympanometry to assess middle ear functioning in infants, addresses one of the major challenges of early identification and differentiation between middle ear pathology and sensori-neural hearing loss in infants. High frequency admittance tympanograms prove useful as part of a paediatric audiologic test battery for infants less than twelve months of age, though it is important to remember that concerns about hearing sensitivity after referral from newborn hearing screen cannot be dismissed on the basis of a flat tympanogram (Holte & Margolis, 2002:390). 1000 Hz admittance tympanometry proves a valid and useful method for identification middle ear pathology in infants. Normative values, as described in this study, offer guidelines for further research into universal normative values and a classification system for high frequency acoustic immittance measures in infants.

5.8 SUMMARY

Chapter 5 provided conclusions and recommendations based on the results obtained in this study. Significant findings were highlighted and recommendations for clinical practise provided. High frequency tympanometry shows great promise for timely diagnosis of middle ear dysfunction in infants and for differentiation between true and false positive results from hearing screening.



REFERENCE LIST

1. Babbie, E. & Mouton, J. 1998. *The Practice of Social Research*. Oxford University Press Southern Africa, Cape Town
2. Bhoola, D. & Hugo, R. 1995, 'Prevalence: outer and middle ear disorders in black and Indian preschool children from Durban', *South African Journal of Communication Disorders*, vol. 42, pp. 19 – 27
3. Boone, R.T., Bower, C.M. & Martin, P.F. 2005, 'Failed newborn Hearing Screens as presentation for Otitis Media with Effusion in the Newborn population', *International Journal of Paediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 69, pp. 393 – 397
4. Casselbrant, M.L., Gravel, J.S., Margolis, R.H. & Marchisio, P. 2002, 'Diagnosis and Screening', *The Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 95 – 101
5. Davies, A., Bramford, J. & Stevens, J. 2001, 'Performance of neonatal and infant hearing screens: sensitivity and specificity', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 3 – 15
6. De Chicchis, A.R., Wendell Todd, N. & Nozza, R.J. 2000, 'Developmental Changes in Aural Acoustic Admittance Measurements', *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 97 – 102
7. DeConde Johnson, C. 2002, In Katz, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*, 5th ed., Lippincot Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Chapter 24
8. Doyle, K., Burggraaff, B., Fujikawa, S., Kim, J., & MacArthur, C. 1997, 'Neonatal hearing screening with otoscopy, auditory brainstem response and oto-acoustic emissions', *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 116, pp. 597-603

9. Durrheim, K. 1999, *Research in Practice: Applied Methods for the Social Sciences*, University of Cape Town Press, South Africa, Chapter 3 & 6

10. El-Refaie, A., Parker, D.J. & Bamford, J.M. 1996, 'Otoacoustic emission versus ABR screening: The effect of external and middle ear abnormalities in a group of SCBU neonates', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 30, pp. 3 – 8

11. Engel, J., Mahler, E., Anteunis, L., Marres, E. & Zielhuis, G. 2001, 'Why are NICU infants at risk for chronic otitis media with effusion?', *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 57, pp. 137 – 144

12. Feeney, M.P. 2005, 'Wideband Energy Reflectance', *ASHA Leader*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 6-7, 24-25

13. Feeney, M.P. & Grant, I.L. 2003, 'Wideband Energy Reflectance Measurements in Adults with Middle-Ear Disorders', *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, vol. 46, pp. 901 – 911

14. Feeney, M.P, Keefe, D.H. & Sanford, C.A. 2004, 'Wideband Reflectance Measures of the Ipsilateral Acoustic Stapedius Reflex Threshold', *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 25, pp. 421 – 430

15. Ferekidis, E. 2003, 'The Use of Advanced Tympanometry Techniques in the Differential Diagnosis of Middle Ear Pathology', *ENT News*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 59 - 60

16. Fowler, C.G. & Shanks, J.E. 2002, 'Tympanometry', In Katz, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*, 5th ed., Lippincot Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Chapter 12

17. Gaihede, M. & Ovesen, T. 1997, 'Precision of Tympanometric Measurements', *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research*, vol. 40, pp. 215 – 222
18. Gelfand, S.A. 2002, 'The Acoustic Reflex', In Katz, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*, 5th ed., Lippincot Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Chapter 13
19. Gliddon, M.L. & Sutton, G.J. 2001, 'Prediction of 8-month MEE from neonatal risk factors and test results in SCBU and full-term babies', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 77 – 85
20. Goddard, W. & Melville, S. 2005, *Research Methodology: An Introduction*, 2nd edition, Juta & Co. Ltd, Lansdowne
21. Govender, R. 1998, *Pneumatic otoscopy and tympanometry in the identification of middle ear effusion*, Masters Thesis, University of Pretoria, South Africa
22. Gruber, J. 2002, 'Basic Multifrequency Tympanometry: The Physical Background' pp. 1 - 21 available online at <http://www.Lymenet.de/symptoms/tympanom/basicitym.htm>
23. Grason-Stadler, 2000, *GSI®TympStar Version 2 Middle-Ear Analyzer Reference Instruction Manual*, Madison
24. Hall III, J.W. & Mueller III, H.G. 1997, *Audiologists' Desk Reference Vol. 1*, Singular Publishing Group, Inc. London
25. Hirsch, J.E., Margolis, R.H. & Rykken, J.R. 1992, 'A Comparison of Acoustic Reflex and Auditory Brain Stem Response Screening of High-Risk Infants', *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 181 – 186

26. Hogan, S.C., Stratford, K.J. & Moore, D.R. 1997, 'Duration and recurrence of otitis media with effusion in children from birth to 3 years: prospective study using monthly otoscopy and tympanometry', *British Medical Journal*, vol. 314, no. 7077, pp. 350 – 356
27. Holte, L., & Margolis, R.H. 2002, 'Contemporary research in tympanometry', *Current Opinion in Otolaryngology & Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 387 – 391
28. Holte, L., Margolis, R.H. & Cavanaugh, Jr. R.M. 1991, 'Developmental Changes in Multifrequency Tympanograms', *Audiology*, vol. 30, pp. 1 – 24
29. Jerger, J. & Northern, J.L. 1980, *Clinical Impedance Audiometry*, 2nd Edition, American Electromedics Corporation
30. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing 2000, *Year 2000 position statement: Principles and Guidelines for Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Program*, *Audiology Today*, Special Issue August 2000, pp. 6 - 27
31. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, *1994 Position Statement*, available online at <http://www.jcih.org>, pp. 1 - 4
32. Keefe, D.H. & Levi, E. 1996, 'Maturation of the Middle and External Ears: Acoustic Power-Based Responses and Reflectance Tympanometry', *Ear & Hearing*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 361 – 373
33. Keefe, D.H., Folsom, R.C., Gorga, M.P., Vohr, B.R., Bulen, J.C. & Norton, S.J. 2000, 'Identification of Neonatal Hearing Impairment: Ear-Canal Measurements of Acoustic Admittance and Reflectance in Neonates', *Ear & Hearing*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 443 – 461

34. Keefe, D.H., Zhao, F., Neely, S.T., Gorga, M.P. & Vohr, B.R. 2003, 'Ear-canal acoustic admittance and reflectance effects in human neonates. I. Predictions of otoacoustic emission and auditory brainstem responses', *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp 389 – 406
35. Kei, J., Allison-Levick, J., Dockray, J., Harrys, R., Kirkegard, C., Wong, J., Maurer, M., Hegarty, J., Young, J. & Tudehope, D. 2003, 'High-Frequency (1000Hz) Tympanometry in Normal Neonates', *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 20 – 28
36. Koivunen, P., Uhari, M., Laitakari, K., Alho, O-P. & Luotonen, J. 2000, 'Otoacoustic Emissions and Tympanometry in Children with Otitis Media', *Ear & Hearing*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 212 – 217
37. Lantz, J., Petrak, M. & Prigge, L. 2004, 'Using the 1000 Hz probe tone for immittance measurements in infants', *The Hearing Journal*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 34 – 42
38. La Rossa, M. M., Mitchell, S. & Warnecke Cardinal, J. 1993, 'Tympanometry as a Screening Tool in the NICU: Is it Effective?' *Neonatal Network*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 32 – 34
39. Leedy, P.D. 1993, *Practical Research: Planning and Design*, 5th ed., Macmillan Publishing Company, USA
40. Leedy, P.D. & Ormrod, J.E. 2001, *Practical Research: Planning and Design*, 7th ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc. USA
41. Lilly, D. 2005, 'The Evolution of Aural Acoustic-Immittance Measurements', *ASHA Leader*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 6, 24
42. Luterman, D.M., Kurtzer-White, E. & Seewald, R.C. 1999, *The Young Deaf Child*, York Press, Inc. Baltimore, Maryland

43. Marchant, C.D., McMillan, P.M., Shurin, P.A., Johnson C.E., Turczyk, V.A., Feinstein, J.C. & Panek, D.M. 1984, 'Objective diagnosis of otitis media in early infancy by tympanometry and ipsilateral acoustic reflex thresholds', *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 109, pp. 590 – 595
44. Margolis, R.H., Bass-Ringdahl, S., Hanks, W.D., Holte, L. & Zapala, D.A. 2003 'Tympanometry in Newborn Infants – 1 kHz Norms', *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 383 – 392
45. Marieb, E.N. 1995, *Human Anatomy and Physiology*, 3rd Edition, The Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company Inc., California
46. Martin, F.N. & Clark, J.G. 2000, *Introduction to Audiology*, 7th Edition, Allyn & Bacon, Pearson Education, USA
47. Mauk, G.W. & White, K.R. 1995, 'Giving Children a Sound Beginning: The Promise of Universal Newborn Hearing Screening', *The Volta Review*, vol. 97, pp. 5 – 32
48. McMillan, P.M., Marchant, C.D. & Shurin, P.A. 1985, 'Ipsilateral acoustic reflexes in infants', *Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology*, vol. 94, no.2, pp. 145 – 148
49. Mencher, G.T., Davis, A. C., DeVoe, S.J. & Beresford, D. 2001, 'Universal Neonatal Hearing Screening: Past, Present, and Future', *American Journal of Audiology*, vol. 10, pp. 3 – 12
50. Meyer, S. E., Jardine, C.A. & Deverson, W. 1997, 'Developmental changes in tympanometry: A case study', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 31, pp. 189 – 195

51. MRC Multi-Centre Otitis Media Study Group. 1999, 'Sensitivity, Specificity and predictive value of tympanometry in predicting a hearing impairment in otitis media effusion', *Clinical Otolaryngology*, vol. 24, pp. 294 – 3000

52. Neuman, W.L., 1997, *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*, 3rd edition, Boston: Allyn and Bacon

53. Neumann, J., Uppenkamp, S. & Kollmeier, B. 1996, 'Detection of the acoustic reflex below 80 dB HL', *Audiology and Neurotology*, vol. 1, pp. 359 – 369

54. Northern, J.L. & Downs, M.P. 1984, *Hearing in Children*, 3rd ed. Baltimore, Lippincot Williams & Wilkens

55. Northern, J.L. & Downs, M.P. 2002, *Hearing in Children*, 5th ed. Baltimore, Lippincot Williams & Wilkens

56. Nozza, R.J., Bluestone, C.D., Kardatzke, D. & Bachman, R. 1994,' Identification of Middle Ear Effusion by Aural Acoustic Admittance and Otoscopy', *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 15, issue 4, pp. 310 – 323

57. Palmu, A., Puhakka, H., Huhtala, H., Takala, A.K. & Kilpi, T. 2001, 'Normative Values for Tympanometry in 7- and 24-month old Children', *Audiology*, vol. 40, pp. 178 – 184

58. Palmu, A., Puhakka, H., Rahko, T. & Takala, A. 1999, 'Diagnostic value of tympanometry in infants in clinical practice', *Internation Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 49, pp. 207 – 213

59. Palmu, A., Puhakka, H., Rahko, T., Takala, A. & Kilpi, T. 2002, 'Predicting the development and outcome of otitis media by tympanometry', *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 62, pp. 135 – 142

60. Palmu, A., Rahko, T., Puhakka, H. & Takala, A.K. 2000, 'Interrator Agreement on tympanometry in infants', *Scandinavian Audiology*, vol. 29, pp. 260 – 265
61. Pellet, F.S., Cox, L.C. & MacDonald, C.B. 1997, 'Use of Acoustic Reflectometry in the Detection of Middle Ear Effusion', *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, vol. 8, pp. 181 – 187
62. Petrak, M. 2002. *Tympanometry beyond 226 Hz – What's different in Babies?*, ICS Medical, Illinois.
63. Purdy, S.C. & Williams, M. J. 2000, 'High frequency tympanometry: A valid and reliable immittance test protocol for young infants?', *The New Zealand Audiological Society Bulletin*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 9 – 24
64. Reynolds, L. & Morton, L.P. 1995, 'Acoustic reflex measures at high probe frequency: a phasor diagram approach', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 144 – 152
65. Rhodes, M.C., Margolis, R.H., Hirsch, J.E. & Napp, A.P. 1999, 'Hearing Screening in the Newborn Intensive Care Nursery: Comparison of Methods', *Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 120, pp. 799 – 808
66. Rossetti, L.M. 1996, *Communication Intervention: Birth to Three*, Singular Publishing Group Inc, San Diego
67. Roush, J. 2001, *Screening for Hearing Loss and Otitis Media In Children*, Singular Thomson Learning, Canada.
68. Roush, J., Drake, A. & Sexton, J.E. 1992, 'Identification of Middle Ear Dysfunction in Young Children: A Comparison of Tympanometric Screening Procedures', *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 63 - 69

69. Shahnaz, N. 2002, *Immittance Principles*, University of British Columbia, School of Audiology and Speech Sciences, available online at <http://www.audiospeech.ubc.ca/school/faculty/navid/Imported%20Files/MFT.doc>
70. Shahnaz, N. 2003, *Immittance in Newborns*, University of British Columbia, School of Audiology and Speech Sciences, available online at <http://www.audiospeech.ubc.ca/school/faculty/navid/Imported%20Files/Newborn.doc>
71. Shapiro, N.L. & Novelli, V. 1998, 'Otitis media in children with vertically-acquired HIV infection: the Great Ormond Street Hospital experience', *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 45, pp. 69 – 75
72. Silman, S. & Silverman, C.A. 1991, *Auditory Diagnosis: Principles and Applications*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California
73. Sininger, Y.S. 2003, 'Audiologic assessment in infants', *Current Opinion in Otolaryngology & Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 11, pp. 378 – 382
74. Sutton, G.J. (ed.) 2000, 'Newborn Hearing Screening Programme. Tympanometry in neonates and infants under 4 months: A recommended Test Protocol', available online at <http://www.unhs.org.uk/documents/workbook/Chapter3/03TympanometryProtocol>
75. Sutton, G.J., Gleadle, P. & Rowe, S.J. 1996, 'Tympanometry and otoacoustic emissions in a cohort of special care neonates', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 30, pp. 9 – 17
76. Swanepoel, D.C.D, 2004, *Infant hearing screening at maternal and child health clinics in a developing South African community*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Pretoria, South Africa
77. Terre Blanche, M. & Durrheim, K. 1999, *Research in Practice: Applied Methods for the Social Sciences*, University of Cape Town Press, South Africa

78. Thornton, A.R.D., Kimm, L., Kennedy, C.R. and Cafarelli-Dees, D. 1993, 'External- and middle ear factors affecting evoked acoustic emissions in neonates', *British Journal of Audiology*, vol. 27, pp. 319 – 327

79. Trine, M.B., Hirsch, J.E. & Margolis, R.H. 1993, 'The Effect of Middle Ear Pressure on Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions', *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 401 – 407

80. Wada, H., Ohyama, K., Kobayashi, T., Koike, T. & Noguchi, S. 1995, 'Effect of Middle Ear on Otoacoustic Emissions', *Audiology*, vol. 34, pp. 161 – 176

81. Weatherby, L.A. & Bennett, M.J. 1980, 'The Neonatal Acoustic Reflex', *Scandinavian Audiology*, vol. 9, pp. 103 – 110

82. Wiley, T.L. & Fowler, C.G. 1997, *Acoustic Immittance Measures in Clinical Audiology: A Primer*, Singular Publishing Group, Inc., California

83. Wiley, T.L. & Stoppenbach, D.T. 2002, 'Basic Principles of Acoustic Immittance Measures', In Katz, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*, 5th ed., Lippincot Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Chapter 11

84. Wisker, G. 2001. *The Postgraduate Research Handbook*. Palgrave, Hampshire.

85. Woods, C.R. 2003, 'Lack of Association of Race / Ethnicity and Otitis Median in the First 2 Years of Life', *Clinical Pediatrics*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 687 – 696

86. Yeo, S.W, Park, S.N., Park Y.S. & Suh, B.D. 2002, 'Effect of middle ear effusion on otoacoustic emissions', *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*, vol. 116, pp. 794 – 799

87. Yoshinaga-Itano, C. 2001. Universal Newborn Hearing Screening: Speech, Language and Social-Emotional Outcomes for Children with Congenital Hearing Loss, Presentation at the Phonak International Paediatric Conference, South Africa



APPENDIX A: Data recording sheet

DATA SHEET

ID NO.

Respondent no V1 1-4

SECTION A ~ IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

a) Gender

Male 1 Female 2

V2 5

b) Child's Age

Weeks

V3 6-7

c) Mother's Age

Years

V4 8-9

d) Home Language

Tswana	1
Sepedi	2
Shangaan	3
Zulu	4
English	5
Afrikaans	6
Other	7

V5 10

e) Race

Black	1
Coloured	2
Indian	3
White	4

V6 11

f) Primary Caregiver

Mother	1
Father	2
Both	3
Grandparents	4
Extended family	5
Foster parents	6

V7 12



g) Educational Qualifications

i. *Biological Mother*

< St. 6	1
St. 6-8	2
St. 8-10	3
Diploma/Degree	4
Postgraduate	5

V8 13

ii. *Biological Father*

< St. 6	1
St. 6-8	2
St. 8-10	3
Diploma/Degree	4
Postgraduate	5

V9 14

h) Average Household Income (p/m)

<R500	1
R501 – R1000	2
R1001 – R2000	3
R2001 – R 5000	4
R5000+	5

V10 15

i) No. of children (Biological mother)

Born		
Still living		

V11 16-17
V12 18-19

j) Marital status of Biological parents

Married	1
Never married	2
Divorced	3
Widow	4

V13 20

k) Housing

Own house/flat	1
Informal housing	2
Renting	3
With others	4

V14 21

SECTION B ~ RISK INDICATORS

a) Family History of childhood Hearing loss

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V15 22



b) Hyperbilirubinemia

Levels requiring blood transfusion/exchange

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V16 23

If levels are known, are they in excess of the following amounts,

Birth weight (grams)	Bili level
≤ 1000	10.0
1001 – 1250	10.0
1251 – 1500	13.0
1501 – 2000	15.0
2001 – 2500	17.0
2500 +	18.0

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V17 24

c) Congenital infections

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V18 25

If 'Yes', specify:

	YES	NO
Toxoplasmosis	1	2
Cytomegalovirus	1	2
Syphilis	1	2
Herpes	1	2
Rubella	1	2
Measles	1	2
HIV	1	2
Malaria	1	2

V19 26

V20 27

V21 28

V22 29

V23 30

V24 31

V25 32

V26 33

d) Craniofacial defects (Head and neck)

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V27 34

e) Birth weight < 1500g

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V28 35

f) Bacterial meningitis

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V29 36

g) Asphyxia

Apgar 0-4 at 1min and/or 0-6 at 5min

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V30 37

If 'Yes' specify at:

1 min		
5 min		

V31 38-39

V32 40-41

h) Ototoxic medications

Used for more than 5 days (e.g. gentamycin, tobramycin, kanamycin, streptomycin, aminoglycosides and loop diuretics combined with amino's)

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V33 42



i) Persistent pulmonary hypertension / persistent fetal circulation. Prolonged mechanical ventilation ≥ 5 days

Yes	1	No	2	Info unavailable	3
-----	---	----	---	------------------	---

V34		43
-----	--	----

j) Syndrome present

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V35		44
-----	--	----

If 'yes', specify syndrome:

--

V36			45 - 46
-----	--	--	---------

k) Admitted to the NICU

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V37		47
-----	--	----

If 'Yes', for how long?

No of days			
------------	--	--	--

V38				48-50
-----	--	--	--	-------

SECTION C ~ IMMITTANCE

a) 1000 Hz Tympanogram

i. Y – Admittance

	RIGHT		LEFT	
i.i Performed	Yes	No	Yes	No
i.ii Discernable peak	Yes	No	Yes	No
i.iii Admittance (mmho)		,		,
i.iv Pressure (daPa)				
i.v Double peak	Yes	No	Yes	No
i.vi Time taken (min)				

V39R		51	
V39L		52	
V40R		53	
V40L		54	
V41R		,	55-58
V41L		,	59-62
V42R			63-66
V42L			67-70
V43R		71	
V43L		72	
V44R		73-74	
V44L		75-76	

ii. B – Susceptance

	RIGHT		LEFT	
ii.i Performed	Yes	No	Yes	No
ii.ii Admittance (mmho)		,		,
ii.iii Pressure (daPa)				

V45R		77	
V45L		78	
V46R		,	79-82
V46L		,	83-86
V47R			87-90
V47L			91-94



iii. G– Conductance

	RIGHT		LEFT	
iii.i Performed	Yes	No	Yes	No
iii.ii Admittance (mmho)				
iii.iii Pressure (daPa)				

V48R		95
V48L		96
V49R		97-100
V49L		101-104
V50R		105-108
V50L		109-112

b) 1000 Hz Probe Tone Reflex

	RIGHT		LEFT	
i. Performed	Yes	No	Yes	No
ii. Threshold present	Yes	No	Yes	No
iii. Threshold value (dB)				

V51R		113
V51L		114
V52R		115
V52L		116
V53R		117-119
V53L		120-122

SECTION D ~ HEARING SCREENING

a) First Screen

	RIGHT		LEFT	
i. OAE	Pass	Refer	Pass	Refer
ii. AABR	Pass	Refer	Pass	Refer

V54R		123
V54L		124
V55R		125
V55L		126

iii. Time taken:

iii.i OAE

		min
--	--	-----

iii.ii AABR

		min
--	--	-----

V56		127-128
-----	--	---------

V57		129-130
-----	--	---------



b) Follow-up Screen

i. Returned?

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V58		131
-----	--	-----

	RIGHT		LEFT	
ii. OAE	Pass	Refer	Pass	Refer
iii. AABR	Pass	Refer	Pass	Refer

V59R		132
V59L		133
V60R		134
V60L		135

SECTION E ~ DIAGNOSTIC ASSESSMENT

a) Returned?

Yes	1	No	2
-----	---	----	---

V61		136
-----	--	-----

b) Hearing loss?

None	1	Bilateral	2	Unilateral	3
------	---	-----------	---	------------	---

V62		137
-----	--	-----

c) Type of hearing loss?

S/N	1
Conductive	2
Mixed	3
AN	4

V63		138
-----	--	-----

d) Ear

Left	1	Right	2	Both	3
------	---	-------	---	------	---

V64		139
-----	--	-----

e) Degree of hearing loss?

i. Right ear

Mild (15-30 dB)	1
Moderate (31-50dB)	2
Severe (51-70dB)	3
Profound (71dB+)	4

V65		140
-----	--	-----

ii. Left ear

Mild (15-30 dB)	1
Moderate (31-50dB)	2
Severe (51-70dB)	3
Profound (71dB+)	4


V66		141
-----	--	-----



COMMENTS



APPENDIX B: Ethical Clearance



University of Pretoria

Pretoria 0002 Republic of South Africa Tel: 012-420-2357
/ 012-420-2816 Fax: 012-420-3517 <http://www.up.ac.za>

Department of Communication Pathology
Speech, Voice and Hearing Clinic

18 November 2003

To: Chair Research Proposal and Ethics Committee, Faculty of Humanities,
University of Pretoria

Re: M. Communication Pathology research project: Ms. S Werner (9807345)

The student participated in a larger research project initiated by Mr. DCD Swanepoel for which ethical clearance was obtained at the end of 2002 (See attached clearance letter). Ms Werner collected a sub-set of data for her research project that was included in the research proposal and ethical applications form submitted by Mr Swanepoel. The project entailed data collection at maternal child health clinics in the Hammanskraal district and ethical clearance was therefore also obtained from the North West Province Department of Health for the Moretele District (See attached clearance letter). The title registration for Ms Werner is attached for registration purposes.

Thank you,

Mr. DCD Swanepoel

DEPARTMENT COMMUNICATION PATHOLOGY