

Materials and Methods (Unabridged)

Isolation of bacterial strains from a national onion disease survey and preliminary identification using 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

P. agglomerans strains conforming to the naming convention YYST####, indicating the two-digit year, two letter U.S.A. state abbreviation, and sequential isolate number (e.g., 20WA0189), were isolated as part of a United States national survey of bacteria associated with diseased onion plants and bulbs conducted during 2020 and 2021 in 11 onion-growing states (CA, CO, GA, ID, NM, NY, OR, PA, TX, UT, and WA). Isolates were recovered from symptomatic onion foliage and bulbs using standardized protocols. Briefly, small sections of leaf or bulb tissue (2 mm x 2 mm) were excised along the margin of the necrotic tissues using a sterile scalpel. The excised tissue was macerated in 100 µl of sterile water, and a sterile inoculation loop was used to streak macerate suspension onto nutrient agar (beef extract 3 g/L, peptone 5 g/L, agar 15 g/L, pH 7.0) or onion extract agar medium [1]. After 48 hours of incubation at 28°C, pure cultures were generated through re-streaking and sub-culturing, as necessary. Pure cultures were preserved from nutrient broth (NB) cultures as 15% glycerol cryostocks stored at -80°C. Initial genus identification of isolates was conducted by 16S rRNA gene PCR assay and sequencing. DNA template for the PCR assay was prepared by combining 10 µl of overnight NB culture with 100 µl of sterile dH₂O, followed by 95°C incubation for 10 minutes, and centrifugation of cell debris. See Table S1 for 16S rRNA primer sequences and annealing temperatures. 16S rRNA gene amplicons sequences were determined by Sanger sequencing using either the forward or reverse PCR primer (Table S1). Following sequencing and quality trimming, the bacterial strains were classified to genus using the SILVA Alignment, Classification and Tree service (<https://www.arb-silva.de/aligner/>). Strains were phenotyped further using the red onion scale necrosis (RSN) assay [2]. The study included 382 survey isolates representing a diversity of geographic locations in the U.S.A., sampling dates, onion tissue types, and RSN phenotypes, as well as 47 strains isolated from onion plants and bulbs and water sources near onion fields donated from the collection of Steven Beer at Cornell University, and 73 *Pantoea* strains previously isolated from onion or other sources by coauthors

29 of this study. *Pantoea* strains (Table S4) were screened using a species-specific PCR assay [3]
30 (Table S1) to identify *P. agglomerans* strains. PCR amplification and sequencing of the *infB* gene
31 was used for secondary species confirmation for 32 *Pantoea* strains [3, 4].

32 **Bacterial culturing**

33 *P. agglomerans* and *Escherichia coli* strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (containing
34 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 5 (University of Georgia, UGA) or 10 (U.S. Department
35 of Agriculture, USDA) g/L NaCl) or agar (with 15 (UGA) or 16 (USDA) g/L agar) at temperatures
36 of 28°C and 37°C, respectively. Antibiotics were added to the medium at the following final
37 concentrations: gentamicin (Gm) at 10 µg/mL, kanamycin (Kan) at 50 µg/mL, streptomycin (Sm)
38 at 100 µg/mL and rifampicin (Rp) at 50 µg/mL.

39 **Whole genome sequencing and assembly**

40 Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from overnight LB liquid cultures using a modification of
41 the protocol from [5] or either the Puregene Yeast/Bact. Kit B (Qiagen) or the Wizard HMW
42 DNA Extraction Kit (Promega), following the manufacturer's recommended Gram-negative
43 bacterial DNA extraction protocol. For strain AR1a, DNA was extracted using a phenol:
44 chloroform: isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation as in [6]. Genomic DNA was
45 submitted to MicrobesNG, SeqCenter, or the Biotechnology Resource Center (BRC) Genomics
46 Facility (RRID:SCR_021727) at the Cornell Institute of Biotechnology for Illumina short read
47 sequencing. Oxford Nanopore long read sequencing was conducted either by Plasmidsaurus or
48 in house at the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) in Ithaca, New York. Genome
49 sequencing at different facilities was conducted as described below.

50 **1. MicrobesNG**

51 The samples were sequenced paired-end on an Illumina sequencer to generate 250 bp reads
52 that were put through a standard analysis pipeline established at MicrobesNG (Birmingham,
53 U.K). Briefly, the reads were mapped to the closest available reference genome identified by
54 Kraken (<https://github.com/DerrickWood/kraken>), and assembled *de novo* using SPAdes

55 (<https://github.com/ablab/spades>) to ensure quality assembly and 30X coverage. Resulting
56 assemblies were annotated using Prokka (<https://github.com/tseemann/prokka>).

57 **2. SeqCenter (Previously MiGS)**

58 The Illumina DNA Prep kit and IDT 10 bp UDI indices were used to prepare sample libraries that
59 were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000. The 151-bp-long, paired-end reads were
60 demultiplexed and subjected to quality control and adapter trimming with BCL Convert (v.
61 3.9.3) (Illumina).

62 **3. Long-read sequencing performed by Plasmidsaurus**

63

64 The Oxford Nanopore Technologies Ligation Sequencing Kit version SQK-LSK114 was used to
65 prepare samples for sequencing on GridION 10.4.1 flowcells (FLO-MIN114) with the "super
66 accuracy" basecaller in MinKNOW.

67 **4. Short read sequencing performed by Cornell BRC Genomics Facility**

68 For strains BH6c, SUH 1, and CB1, Illumina libraries were prepared using NEBNext Multiplex
69 Oligos for Illumina (New England Biolabs), NEBNext Ultra FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina
70 (New England Biolabs), and AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) according to manufacturer's
71 directions. Quality control of libraries was performed using BioAnalyzer (Cornell Biotechnology
72 Resource Center Genomics Core) to calculate average library size and relative concentration of
73 primers. Libraries were quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
74 were pooled and submitted to Cornell BRC. For other strains sequenced at the BRC, purified
75 genomic DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay and submitted. The BRC used the
76 Nextera Flex kit (Illumina) to generate sequencing libraries that were sequenced using the
77 MiSeq or NextSeq 500 instruments (Illumina) to generate ~150-bp paired end reads.

78 **5. Long-read sequencing performed by Mt. Sinai Medical Center**

79 AR1a was sequenced on a PacBio PSII (Pacific Bioscience) at the Icahn School of Medicine,
80 Mount Sinai, using methods described in [7] and [6].

81 **6. Long-read sequencing performed by USDA-ARS**

82 Genomic DNA quality was checked for potential degradation by agarose gel electrophoresis.
83 DNA was quantified using a Qubit dsDNA BR Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific). Oxford Nanopore
84 long-read DNA sequencing was conducted using the Spot-ON Flow Cell R9 version in a MinION
85 Mk1B sequencing device. The library was prepared using the Rapid Barcoding Kit SQK-RBK and
86 the flow cell was primed using the Flow Cell Priming Kit EXP-FLP002, according to the
87 manufacturer's instructions (Oxford Nanopore Technologies).

88 Basecalling was performed on the raw Nanopore data using Guppy
89 (<https://community.nanoporetech.com/downloads/guppy/>). Indexed libraries were
90 demultiplexed with "qcat" (<https://github.com/nanoporetech/qcat>). The specific version of
91 each program used for each strain can be found with the NCBI SRA record for each sequence
92 deposited.

93 **Genome Assembly and Annotation**

94 **Performed by Plasmidsaurus**

95 Resulting raw reads were filtered with Filtrlong (<https://github.com/rrwick/Filtrlong>) to remove
96 the lowest quality 5% of reads as well as reads with a length <2000 nucleotides. The filtered
97 reads were subsampled to 400 Mb using rasusa (<https://github.com/mbhall88/rasusa>) and the
98 bacterial genome assembly was carried out using the flye assembler
99 (<https://github.com/fenderglass/Flye>) with -nano-hq and -read-error .02. Subsequent to
100 assembly, polishing of the reconciled consensus was performed using medaka
101 (<https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka>). Finally, the genome annotations were made with
102 bakta (<https://github.com/oschwengers/bakta>).

103 **Performed at the University of Georgia (UGA)**

104 FastQC (v. 0.11.8) [8] was used to evaluate the quality of the raw reads, and Trimmomatic (v.
105 0.39) [9] was employed to filter out low-quality reads. The filtered paired-end reads were then
106 assembled into contigs using SPAdes (v3.15.3) [10], and the quality and completeness of the
107 assemblies were assessed using QUAST (v. 5.0.2) [11] and BUSCO (v. 5.2.2) [12].

108 **Performed by the USDA-ARS in Ithaca, NY**

109 The AR1a genome was assembled using Flye 2.6 [13] as described by [6].

110 The details of the assembly of each genome varied among strains. However, in general, each
111 method followed the one suggested in the Tricycler documentation
112 (<https://github.com/rrwick/Tricycler>): First, FiltLong (<https://github.com/rrwick/Filtlong>) was
113 used to trim and filter the Nanopore reads. Next, filtered reads were subsampled randomly to
114 50x coverage (assuming a 5 Mb genome) to make 15 read subsets, and 5 draft assemblies each
115 were generated using Flye [13], MiniPolish [14], and Raven [15] for a total of 15 draft
116 assemblies. Tricycler [16] was used to cluster and reconcile the 15 assemblies into a single
117 consensus assembly. Medaka (<https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka>) was used to polish
118 the consensus assembly with the trimmed and filtered long reads. FASTP [17] was used to trim
119 and filter the raw Illumina reads, and NextPolish [18] was used to polish the assembly with
120 trimmed and filtered Illumina reads. Custom scripts were used to “normalize” the final
121 assembly: (a) instances of the phi-X phage genome were removed; (b) the contigs were
122 renamed, “chromosome”, “plasmidA”, “plasmidB”, etc., based on sequence length; (c)
123 sequences were rotated to put, e.g., DnaA near position 1 on the positive strand.

124 The quality of each genome was assessed by annotating it with PGAP [19] and evaluating its
125 proteome with BUSCO [12]. Finally, an independent, “bottom-up” assembly was generated
126 using Unicycler [20]. The Tricycler and Unicycler assemblies were compared using “dnadiff”
127 from Mummer to identify potential assembly anomalies.

128 The specific version of each program used for each strain and can be found with the NCBI
129 Assembly record for that genome.

130 **Data availability of genomes**

131 The sequencing and assembly details, metadata, genome assemblies and corresponding
132 annotations as well as the raw read data were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under
133 BioProject numbers PRJNA1069770 (UGA) and PRJNA642846 (USDA). The accessions of the
134 deposited genomes can be found in Table S16.

135 **Roary**

136 In addition to 81 assembled genomes resulting from this study, 100 publicly available *P.*
137 *agglomerans* genome assemblies were obtained from the NCBI GenBank database. The
138 selection of these additional assemblies was based on their BUSCO scores and the availability of
139 strain information, including the source, date, and location of isolation. The strain information
140 for all 81 *P. agglomerans* genomes sequenced for this analysis can be found in Table S16, and
141 information for the 100 publicly available genomes used for this analysis can be found in Table
142 S3. Following the assembly, all 181 genomes were annotated for Gram negative bacteria using
143 Prokka (v. 1.14.5) [21].

144 The core genes of the 181 annotated *P. agglomerans* genomes were identified with Roary (v.
145 3.13.0) [22]. The concatenated nucleotide sequences of core genes were aligned with MAFFT
146 [23] which was used to construct a phylogenetic tree using FastTree (v. 2.1.11) [24]. The general
147 time reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model was used to construct an approximately
148 maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree rooted at the midpoint, and the reliability of the internal
149 branches was assessed by approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) as the bootstrap method.
150 The resulting tree was visualized and edited in iTOL (v. 6.8) [25] available at
151 <https://itol.embl.de/>.

152 **Average nucleotide identity**

153 FastANI (v. 1.3.3) [26] was employed to compute the pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANI)
154 across the 187 *P. agglomerans* genomes. In addition to the Roary-analyzed 181 strains, six
155 additional genomes of *P. agglomerans* from Steven Beer's collection at Cornell University were

156 included in the analysis. Following calculation, the ANI values were clustered hierarchically
157 using the average linkage method and the one-minus Pearson correlation metric. Subsequently,
158 a correlation plot was generated for all genomes using the ANI values by utilizing the Pearson
159 correlation matrix option within Morpheus, a tool accessible at:
160 <https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/>.

161 **Phylogenetic analysis of a housekeeping gene, *gyrB***

162 Phylogenetic analysis of *gyrB* (DNA gyrase β -subunit) was conducted for 181 *P. agglomerans*
163 strains by constructing a maximum-likelihood tree. The full-length nucleotide sequences of the
164 *gyrB* gene (2,409 bp) were extracted from the 181 whole genome sequenced (WGS) *P.*
165 *agglomerans* strains using the BLAST function in Geneious Prime (v. 2013.1.2). The *gyrB* gene
166 sequences from other *Pantoea* species were obtained from NCBI GenBank, with corresponding
167 GenBank accession numbers provided in Table S16. The *gyrB* gene sequences were aligned
168 using the MAFFT alignment tool (v. 7.48), followed by trimming the alignment in Geneious
169 Prime. The trimming process specifically targeted the regions corresponding to the partial *gyrB*
170 sequence used for analysis by [27] (745 bp) or [4] (688 bp). The nucleotide substitution model
171 for each alignment was chosen based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using the Smart
172 Model Selection function in the online PhyML [28] 3.0 tool. Branch support was evaluated
173 through 1,000 replicate bootstrap analysis, and the tree was rooted with an outgroup,
174 *Tatumella ptyseos* NCTC 11468^T. The tree was visualized and edited in iTOL (v. 6.8). The partial
175 *gyrB* sequence of *P. agglomerans* strains without genome sequences (non-WGS) was
176 determined by PCR amplification and sequencing, as previously described [27]. See Table S1 for
177 primer sequences.

178 **Identification of characterized secondary metabolite and disease-associated** 179 **gene clusters in sequenced genomes.**

180 Using BLAST+ [29] (v. 2.11.0), the nucleotide sequences of the virulence and secondary
181 metabolite gene clusters such as HiVir [30], allicin tolerance (*alt*) gene cluster [2], copper
182 tolerance gene cluster (this study) were searched against the genomes of 181 *P. agglomerans*

183 strains to elucidate the genomic signatures of onion-pathogenicity. We also examined well-
184 characterized secondary metabolite gene clusters known to be present in *Pantoea* species [31],
185 including pantocin A (U81376.2) [32], *Pantoea* Natural Product 3 (MN807451.1) [33] and
186 herbicolin (CP068441.1) [34]. A cluster was considered present if 80% of the total gene cluster
187 length was covered in the queried genome with a minimum of 70% nucleotide similarity.
188 Following these criteria, we compiled a metadata table (Table S14) indicating the presence and
189 absence of these clusters. This table was then used as an input annotation file for iTOL (version
190 6.8, available at <https://itol.embl.de/>) to integrate it with the phylogenetic tree constructed
191 using core genes.

192 **Selection of phylotype strains**

193 To compute a set of potential representative strains for each phylogroup, we first computed
194 the MASH [35] distance for each pair of genomes in the phylogroup using mashtree v. 1.4.6
195 [36]. Then, for each genome, we computed the average MASH distance to all other genomes
196 within the phylogroup, generating a list of 10 strains. In a separate analysis, the gene group
197 presence/absence matrix generated by the Roary analysis described above was used to
198 compute the average Manhattan distance between each pair of closed genomes within each
199 phylogroup. Within each phylogroup, strains with small MASH to other phylogroup strains, with
200 large sets of shared orthologs, and that were also available in public culture collections were
201 considered phylotype strains.

202 **Design and use of HiVir detection 'PanHiVir' primers.**

203 The full-length HiVir gene clusters (from *hvrA* to *hvrK*) from 31 HiVir-positive *P. agglomerans*
204 strains with whole genome sequencing data, as well as from the genomes of other *Pantoea*
205 species, such as *P. ananatis* 97-1R (GCA_002952035.2), LMG 2665^T (GCF_000710035.2), *P. allii*
206 20TX0020 (GCA_022585405.1), and *P. stewartii* subspecies *indologenes* pv. *cepacicola* PNA 03-3
207 (GCA_003201175.1), were downloaded from NCBI GenBank. The extraction and alignment were
208 conducted using BLAST and MAFFT alignment functions in Geneious Prime (version 2013.1.2).
209 Regions of perfect conservation within the HiVir gene cluster across these four *Pantoea* species

210 were identified manually. Subsequently, we designed PanHiVir primers (Table S1) targeting the
211 *hvrD* gene to detect the HiVir gene cluster in these *Pantoea* species. These primers were used in
212 colony PCR assays for a total of 502 *Pantoea* strains (Table S4). The colony PCR reaction setup
213 and protocol were similar to the *P. agglomerans*-specific PCR assay, with the exception of the
214 annealing temperature (Table S1).

215 ***copC* detection PCR assay and phylogenetic analysis**

216 The nucleotide sequences of *copABCD* genes from a total of 65 *P. agglomerans* strains that
217 contained copper tolerance gene clusters were aligned using MAFFT in Geneious Prime (v.
218 2013.1.2). For the design of *copC* detection primers, we selected conserved regions flanking the
219 *copC* gene. Subsequently, we performed colony PCR assays using these *copC* primers to screen
220 an additional 43 *P. agglomerans* strains that lacked whole genome sequencing. The details of
221 the *copC* primer sequences and the annealing temperatures can be found in Table S4. A total of
222 67 *copC* gene sequences (381 bp) from 65 *P. agglomerans* strains (comprising 53 with whole
223 genome sequencing data and 12 without) were extracted and subjected to phylogenetic
224 analysis following the procedure previously described for the *gyrB* gene. However, unlike the
225 *gyrB* maximum-likelihood tree, the *copC* tree was rooted at its midpoint without an outgroup.

226 **Clinker diagrams**

227 The annotated HiVir and copper tolerance gene clusters from the closed genome strains of *P.*
228 *agglomerans* were extracted and exported in GenBank format using Geneious Prime (v.
229 2013.1.2). The exported files were uploaded to the online comparative gene cluster analysis
230 toolbox (available at: <https://cagecat.bioinformatics.nl/tools/clinker>) to generate a Clinker [37]
231 diagram. The minimum alignment sequence identity was set to a default value of 0.3 and the
232 coloring scheme of diagram was changed manually.

233 **Analysis of plasmid sequences**

234 The NCBI dataset program v. 15.23.0 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets>) was used to
235 download all “complete” *P. agglomerans* (taxon = 549) genomes. In addition, the complete

236 genomes assembled as part of this study were included to produce a single pool of complete
237 genomes for analysis. To ensure that the genome annotations were consistent, each genome in
238 the pool was reannotated with Prokka v. 1.14.6 [21]. In order to improve the quality of the
239 output, each genome's RefSeq or locally-generated PGAP [19] annotations, as appropriate,
240 were provided to Prokka (--proteins GENOME.gbk) to use as a set of primary annotations.
241 Next, BUSCO v. 5.5.0 [12] was used to evaluate the quality of each genome and its Prokka
242 annotation using the enterobacterales lineage database. Any genome with a "completeness"
243 (C) score of < 95% or a "duplicated single-copy" (D) score of > 5% was removed from the pool.
244 In order to ensure the genomes in the pool were identified correctly as *P. agglomerans*, a pair-
245 wise ANI analysis of all genomes in the pool was performed using FastANI v. 1.33 [26]. Any
246 genome that did not have an ANI score \geq 95%, with respect to the *P. agglomerans* type strain
247 (GCF_019048385.1), was removed from the pool.

248 Having constructed a pool of 35 high-quality, complete, consistently-reannotated *P.*
249 *agglomerans* genomes (Table S17), each genome's sequence (.fna), proteome (.faa), and
250 annotation (.gff) file was split using custom scripts into separate files for the chromosome and
251 each individual plasmid. The MASH [35] distance between each pair of replicons was computed
252 using Mashtree v. 1.4.5 [36]. In addition to producing a table of the MASH distance between
253 each part, Mashtree also produces a tree in Newick format. The mashtree_bootstrap.pl
254 script with 100 reps was used to assign bootstrap values to the branches of the tree.

255 Using the MASH distances, replicons were assigned to plasmid clusters using the following
256 method: a graph was constructed in which each replicon was represented by a node, and edges
257 were created between pairs of nodes when the MASH distance was less than or equal to an
258 arbitrary cutoff. For this analysis, we used a MASH distance of 0.05, which very loosely
259 corresponds to a 95% identity. A custom script was used to compute connected components
260 within this graph; each connected component represents a cluster of plasmids with similar *k*-
261 mer statistics. Hereafter, we refer to these plasmid clusters as "plasters" and this analysis as the
262 "plaster analysis".

263 Some circular plasmid figures were generated using BRIG (BLAST Ring Image Generator) [38].

264 Combined hierarchical clustering and heatmap diagrams of MASH distances (Figures S5, S6, S7,
265 S8, S9, and S10) were produced using R v. 4.3.1 [39] with the ComplexHeatmap v. 2.14.0
266 library [40].

267 **Predictions of phage of plasmids**

268 Phage predictions were generated with PHASTER [41] and PHAST [42].

269 **Prediction of conjugative and mobilizable plasmids**

270 For each of the 35 closed, high-quality *P. agglomerans* genomes, we used a custom script
271 (gbk2gembase) to convert the annotation generated by Prokka into a proteome data file
272 specifically formatted for MacSyFinder. Next, for each genome, we used this proteome data file
273 as input to MacSyFinder v. 2.1.2 [43, 44]. We analyzed each proteome with the
274 CONJScan/Plasmids v. 2.0.1 models [45]. We specified that all submodels should be
275 considered. We also specified that all replicons were circular.

276 **Panreplicon analysis**

277 In order to determine whether or not the replicons in each plaster share a set of common, or
278 core, genes, we ran Roary v. 3.13.0 [22] with the set of individual replicons (not genomes) as
279 input. In order to produce results for every gene group across all replicons, regardless of
280 cardinality, Roary was run with the parameter, -cd 0.0. A custom script was used to post-
281 process the Roary output in order to compute the percentage inclusion of each gene group
282 within each plaster.

283 In the full-genome context, genes in the pangenome typically are classified based on the
284 percentage of strains found to have a copy. For instance, the *hard core* of the pangenome may
285 be defined as the set of genes found in 99 - 100% of the analyzed genomes, the *soft_core* as
286 genes found in 95% or more of the genomes, the *shell* as the genes found in 15% or more of the
287 genomes, and the rest of the genes as the *cloud*. To understand the gene complement of each
288 plaster group's replicons, we extended this definition as follows: the *hard core* of a plaster
289 group is a set of genes found in 99 - 100% of the replicons that are members of this plaster

290 group; similarly, the *soft core* of a plaster group are the genes found in 95% of the members of
291 the plaster group; the definitions of *shell* and *cloud* for plaster groups are similar.

292 **Genetic manipulation**

293 Spontaneous rifampicin resistant isolates were recovered by plating approximately 10^8 CFU
294 (200-300 μ l of overnight shaken cultures in LB broth or a suspension in sterile water of cells
295 recovered from LB plate cultures) onto LB rifampicin (LB Rp) amended agar medium. Antibiotic
296 resistance was confirmed by dilution spotting suspensions onto both LB and LB Rp media and
297 counting colonies to confirm similar growth rates. The rifampicin resistant strains also were
298 assessed for RSN phenotypes compared to the wildtype (WT) strains prior to use in further
299 experiments.

300 The in-frame deletion of the *hvrA* (phosphoenopyruvate mutase) gene from *P. agglomerans*
301 AR1a was conducted following the established allelic exchange protocols previously utilized for
302 *P. ananatis* [3, 46] to exchange the *hvrA* gene with a synthesized DNA fragment (Table S1)
303 corresponding to the *hvrA* deletion cloned into pR6KT2G using BP clonase II. The Δ *hvrA* mutant
304 was recovered in a two-step process by selection of GmR pR6KT2G single-crossover
305 exconjugants followed by sucrose counter-selection to recover double-crossover mutants. The
306 *P. agglomerans hvrA* deletion mutant strains were genotyped using PCR assays and verified by
307 PCR amplicon sequencing (Table S1).

308 Two KanR marked derivatives of the pCB1C plasmid in *P. agglomerans* CB1 were generated by
309 allelic exchange using the pKNG101 vector [47] to insert the kanamycin resistance gene from
310 pBS44 [48] either between LD072_23365 and LD072_23370 (pCB1C-Akan) or replacing pCB1C
311 LD072_23445 and LD072_23450 (pCB1C-BKan). DNA fragments were amplified by PCR assays
312 and then joined and cloned into pBC SK⁻ using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (Table S1). After
313 sequencing confirmation, the correctly assembled fragments were sub-cloned into pKNG101 by
314 Sall-HF and SpeI-HF restriction digest and T4 ligation. The KanR double cross-in mutants of CB1
315 pCB1C were recovered in a two-step process using selection of KanR SmR pKNG101 *P.*
316 *agglomerans* CB1 single-crossover exconjugants, followed by sub-culturing without
317 streptomycin selection, and screening for KanR SmS putative double-crossover mutants and

318 PCR genotyping (Table S1). Successful creation of *P. agglomerans* CB1 pCB1C-AKan and CB1
319 pCB1C-BKan was confirmed by Illumina sequencing at the Cornell Biotechnology Resource
320 Center, as described above.

321 **Native mobilization of the Kan marked pCB1C virulence plasmid.**

322 *P. agglomerans* CB1 pCB1C-AKan or CB1 pCB1C-BKan donor strains and Rp-resistant AR8b,
323 MMD61212-C, FC61912-B, and J22c recipient strains were suspended from fresh LB plate
324 cultures to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 in sterile water. Approximately 10⁸ CFU of each donor and recipient
325 strain were combined, and the bacterial suspensions were then pelleted by centrifugation and
326 re-suspended in 1 mL of LB. After 19 hours of incubation with shaking at 28°C, 0.2 mL of culture
327 was plated onto LB Kan Rp agar medium to recover potential pCB1C-AKan or pCB1C-BKan
328 exconjugants. Oxford Nanopore sequencing was conducted as described above to confirm the
329 presence of pC-AKan in *P. agglomerans* AR8b, MMD61212-C, and FC61912-B exconjugants.

330 To estimate pC-AKan conjugation efficiency, water suspensions of the *P. agglomerans* CB1
331 pCB1C-Akan donor and the Rp-resistant MMD61212-C were prepared as described above and
332 combined. The population of each parent in the combined suspension was determined by
333 dilution series spot plating onto LB Rp and LB Kan agar medium. A 0.2 mL aliquot of the
334 combined suspension was spread onto water agar medium and incubated overnight at 28°C.
335 Bacteria were recovered from the water agar in 0.4 mL of sterile water. The population of each
336 parent after incubation on water agar was estimated by dilution series spot plating onto LB Rp
337 and LB Kan agar media and 0.3 mL was plated onto LB Kan Rp agar medium to recover
338 exconjugants. Putative exconjugants were screened by PCR assay to confirm the presence of
339 pCB1C-AKan (Table S1).

340 **Bacterial inoculum preparation**

341 *P. agglomerans* strains selected for phenotyping assays were streaked onto LB or LM agar
342 media (consisting of 10 g/L tryptone, 6 g/L yeast extract, 1.193 g/L KH₂PO₄, 0.6 g/L NaCl, 0.4 g/L
343 MgSO₄·7H₂O, and agar 15 g/L) containing appropriate antibiotics from -80°C cultures and
344 incubated overnight at 28°C. To create bacterial inoculum, a single colony of each strain was

345 inoculated into 3 mL of LB or LM broth and incubated overnight with agitation at 200 rpm.
346 Following incubation, the bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation and the cell pellets
347 were resuspended and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2-0.3 using sterile 1X PBS buffer. Alternatively,
348 bacteria were pulled from LB agar plates grown overnight at 28°C using sterile cotton-tipped
349 applicators, and inoculated into autoclaved, high-purity water and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 -
350 0.3.

351 **Disease assays**

352 Red onions were procured through the UGA dining services or purchased from local grocery
353 stores in Athens, GA or Ithaca, NY. RSN assays were conducted for all *Pantoea* strains using a
354 previously described protocol [49] with slight modifications. The onion scales were stab
355 inoculated using either a sterile pipette tip or a toothpick that had been dipped in bacterial
356 inoculum. Onion plants (cv. Century) were grown in the greenhouse in Athens, GA as described
357 by [49] and inoculated according to the cut tip inoculation method for foliar necrosis assays
358 [49].

359 Red onion bulb necrosis assays were conducted using a modification of the onion bulb necrosis
360 assay procedures described in [30]. Red onions without any visible wounds or external
361 symptoms of infection were selected for the assay. After removing the dried outer scale layers,
362 the surface of the onion bulb was disinfected by wiping with 70% ethanol. A sterilized, full-
363 length, dissecting needle was used to puncture the shoulder on one side of the onion bulb,
364 approximately 45 mm towards the center of the bulb. Following this, 10 µl of bacterial
365 inoculum was pipetted into the puncture wound. Sterile 1X PBS buffer was used as a negative
366 control treatment. Three separate onion bulbs were used per treatment. Bulbs were incubated
367 upright at 28°C for one week, bisected vertically through the site of inoculation, and the cut
368 surfaces was photographed.

369 For gain-of-function foliar disease assays with ex-conjugant strains, onion plants were grown as
370 described by [50]. Plants were moved to a growth chamber set at 28°C with 14 hours of
371 light/day and ambient humidity, one day prior to inoculation. Bacterial inoculum was prepared
372 in water to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.2, as previously described. Plants were brought into the lab, and four

373 plants were inoculated per treatment (strains or sterile water), and five leaves were inoculated
374 per plant, starting with the youngest leaf > 10 cm above the soil line that was wide enough to
375 be inoculated with a toothpick without detaching the tissue above the inoculation site. Leaves
376 were inoculated approximately 10 cm above the soil line by stabbing the leaves with toothpicks
377 dipped in inoculum. After inoculation, plants were returned to the growth chamber. Lesions on
378 all leaves were measured 2, 3, 4, and 5 days after inoculation. The experiment was completed
379 twice. Lesions from the fifth oldest inoculated leaves were not included in the analysis, as these
380 leaves began to senesce during the experiment, and the lesions could not be distinguished from
381 the naturally senescent tissue.

382 A linear mixed effects model was used to compare lesion size on day 5. The model included a
383 fixed effect of treatment and a random effect of plant due to the fact that measurements were
384 taken on 4 leaves per plant. Post-hoc comparisons among treatments were performed using
385 Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically
386 significant.

387 **Statistical Analysis of Leaf Assay Data**

388 For leaf assays, endpoint (5 days post inoculation) leaf lesion length was pooled from eight
389 inoculated plants, four leaves per plant from two experiments. Lesion lengths were averaged,
390 and standard error was plotted for error bars. In general, leaves inoculated with CB1 harboring
391 the kanamycin-resistance cassette containing plasmid, and all of the transconjugants developed
392 lesions over five days. None of the original strains used as recipients caused lesions in any
393 inoculated leaves. Two of 32 water-inoculated leaves developed lesions over the course of the
394 experiment.

395 Only treatments CB1 pCB1C-AKan; the transconjugants of AR8b Rp^r, MMD61212-C Rp^r,
396 FC61912-B Rp^r (also containing pCB1C-AKan); and water were included in the model since there
397 were no lesions (all 0 values, no variability) in other treatments. The overall effect of treatment
398 was statistically significant.

399 ($F_{4,155} = 57.5$, $p < 0.0001$) Tukey post-hoc tests indicated that the mean lesion length for
400 treatments CB1 pCB1C-AKan and the transconjugants were statistically significantly different
401 than water, but there were no differences in CB1 pCB1C-AKan and the transconjugants at 5
402 days post inoculation

403 The 95% confidence intervals for CB1 pCB1C-AKan and the transconjugants at day 5 did not
404 include 0, but the confidence interval for water did, indicating that water was not significantly
405 different than 0 and not significantly different than leaves inoculated with the strains recipient
406 strains lacking pCB1C-AKan (Figure 3c).

407 **Copper tolerance assay**

408 A copper tolerance assay was performed using 123 strains of *P. agglomerans* (81 whole
409 genome sequenced (WGS) and 42 non-WGS strains) (Table S22), following established protocols
410 [51, 52] with minor adjustments. Casitone Yeast Extract (CYE) agar medium, (composed of 1.7
411 g/L casitone, 0.35 g/L yeast extract, and 15 g/L agar) was supplemented with filter-sterilized
412 copper sulfate ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) at final concentrations of 50, 100, 150, or 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. To prevent
413 formation of highly mucoid colonies that can interfere with interpretation of confluent growth,
414 glycerol was omitted from the medium. As a control treatment, CYE medium without copper
415 sulfate was used. The bacterial inoculum was prepared as previously outlined and arrayed in
416 96-well microtiter plates, and 1 μl of the inoculum was spotted onto CYE agar medium in
417 gridded square petri plates using a multichannel pipettor. Afterward, the plates were dried in a
418 biosafety cabinet and incubated at 28°C for 2 days. Bacterial growth at each copper
419 concentration was observed and scored for confluent growth, non-confluent growth, or no
420 growth. The assay was run with two technical replicates and was carried out three times.

421 **References**

- 422 1. Zaid AM, Bonasera JM, Beer SV. [OEM—a new medium for rapid isolation of onion-](#)
423 [pathogenic and onion-associated bacteria](#). *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 2012; **91**: 520–
424 526.

- 425 2. Stice SP, Stumpf SD, Gitaitis RD, Kvitko BH, Dutta B. *Pantoea ananatis* genetic diversity
426 analysis reveals limited genomic diversity as well as accessory genes correlated with onion
427 pathogenicity. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2018; **9**: 184.
- 428 3. Shin GY, Smith A, Coutinho TA, Dutta B, Kvitko BH. Validation of species-specific PCR
429 assays for the detection of *Pantoea ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. allii*, and *P. stewartii*. *Plant*
430 *Disease* 2022; **106**: 2563–2570.
- 431 4. Brady C, Cleenwerck I, Venter S, Vancanneyt M, Swings J, Coutinho T. Phylogeny and
432 identification of *Pantoea* species associated with plants, humans and the natural environment
433 based on multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA). *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 2008; **31**:
434 447–460.
- 435 5. Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from
436 human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Research* 1988; **16**: 1215–1215.
- 437 6. Asselin JE, Bonasera JM, Helmann TC, Beer SV, Stodghill PV. Complete genome sequence
438 resources for the onion pathogen, *Pantoea ananatis* OC5a. *Phytopathology* 2021; **111**: 1885–
439 1888.
- 440 7. Mathers AJ, Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, Pankhurst L, Giess A, Yeh AJ, et al. *Klebsiella*
441 *pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC)-producing k. *Pneumoniae* at a single institution: Insights
442 into endemicity from whole-genome sequencing. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*
443 2015; **59**: 1656–1663.
- 444 8. Andrews S. FastQC. 2010. <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>.
- 445 9. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
446 data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**: 2114–2120.
- 447 10. Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes de novo
448 assembler. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* 2020; **70**.

- 449 11. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. [QUAST: Quality assessment tool for genome](#)
450 [assemblies](#). *Bioinformatics* 2013; **29**: 1072–1075.
- 451 12. Seppey M, Manni Mé, Zdobnov EM. [BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation](#)
452 [completeness](#). *Gene Prediction* 2019; 227–245.
- 453 13. Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. [Assembly of long, error-prone reads using](#)
454 [repeat graphs](#). *Nature Biotechnology* 2019; **37**: 540–546.
- 455 14. Wick RR, Holt KE. [Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole genome](#)
456 [sequencing \[version 4; peer review: 4 approved\]](#). *F1000Research* 2021; **8**: 2138.
- 457 15. Vaser R, Šikić M. [Time- and memory-efficient genome assembly with Raven](#). *Nature*
458 *Computational Science* 2021; **1**: 332–336.
- 459 16. Wick RR, Judd LM, Cerdeira LT, Hawkey J, Méric G, Vezina B, et al. [Trycycler: Consensus](#)
460 [long-read assemblies for bacterial genomes](#). *Genome Biology* 2021; **22**: 266.
- 461 17. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. [Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor](#).
462 *Bioinformatics* 2018; **34**: i884–i890.
- 463 18. Hu J, Fan J, Sun Z, Liu S. [NextPolish: A fast and efficient genome polishing tool for long-](#)
464 [read assembly](#). *Bioinformatics* 2019; **36**: 2253–2255.
- 465 19. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, et al. [NCBI](#)
466 [prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline](#). *Nucleic Acids Research* 2016; gkw569.
- 467 20. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. [Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies](#)
468 [from short and long sequencing reads](#). *PLOS Computational Biology* 2017; **13**: e1005595.
- 469 21. Seemann T. [Prokka: Rapid prokaryotic genome annotation](#). *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**:
470 2068–2069.
- 471 22. Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MTG, et al. [Roary: Rapid](#)
472 [large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis](#). *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**: 3691–3693.

- 473 23. Katoh K, Standley DM. [MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:](#)
474 [Improvements in performance and usability.](#) *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2013; **30**: 772–
475 780.
- 476 24. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. [FastTree 2 - approximately maximum-likelihood trees for](#)
477 [large alignments.](#) *PLoS ONE* 2010; **5**: e9490.
- 478 25. Letunic I, Bork P. [Interactive tree of life \(iTOL\) v5: An online tool for phylogenetic tree](#)
479 [display and annotation.](#) *Nucleic Acids Research* 2021; **49**: W293–W296.
- 480 26. Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. [High throughput ANI](#)
481 [analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries.](#) *Nature Communications*
482 2018; **9**: 5114.
- 483 27. Bonasera JM, Asselin JE, Beer SV. [Identification of bacteria pathogenic to or associated](#)
484 [with onion \(*Allium cepa*\) based on sequence differences in a portion of the conserved gyrase b](#)
485 [gene.](#) *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 2014; **103**: 138–143.
- 486 28. Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. [New algorithms](#)
487 [and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of](#)
488 [PhyML 3.0.](#) *Systematic Biology* 2010; **59**: 307–321.
- 489 29. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. [BLAST+:](#)
490 [architecture and applications.](#) *BMC Bioinf* 2009; **10**: 421.
- 491 30. Asselin JE, Bonasera JM, Beer SV. [Center rot of onion \(*Allium cepa*\) caused by *Pantoea*](#)
492 [ananatis requires *pepM*, a predicted phosphonate-related gene.](#) *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact*
493 2018; **31**: 1291–1300.
- 494 31. Kirk A, Stavrinides J. [Distribution and comparative genomic analysis of antimicrobial](#)
495 [gene clusters found in *Pantoea*.](#) *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2024; **15**.

- 496 32. Smits THM, Duffy B, Blom J, Ishimaru CA, Stockwell VO. [Pantocin A, a peptide-derived](#)
497 [antibiotic involved in biological control by plant-associated *Pantoea* species](#). *Archives of*
498 *Microbiology* 2019; **201**: 713–722.
- 499 33. Williams AN, Stavrinides J. [Pantoea Natural Product 3 is encoded by an eight-gene](#)
500 [biosynthetic gene cluster and exhibits antimicrobial activity against multi-drug resistant](#)
501 [Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa](#). *Microbiological Research* 2020; **234**:
502 126412.
- 503 34. Kamber T, Lansdell TA, Stockwell VO, Ishimaru CA, Smits THM, Duffy B. [Characterization](#)
504 [of the biosynthetic operon for the antibacterial peptide herbicolin in *Pantoea vagans* biocontrol](#)
505 [strain C9-1 and incidence in *Pantoea* species](#). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2012;
506 **78**: 4412–4419.
- 507 35. Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, et al. [Mash: Fast](#)
508 [genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash](#). *Genome Biology* 2016; **17**.
- 509 36. Katz L, Griswold T, Morrison S, Caravas J, Zhang S, Bakker H, et al. [Mashtree: A rapid](#)
510 [comparison of whole genome sequence files](#). *Journal of Open Source Software* 2019; **4**: 1762.
- 511 37. Gilchrist CLM, Chooi Y-H. [clinker & clustermap.js: Automatic generation of gene cluster](#)
512 [comparison figures](#). *Bioinformatics* 2021; **37**: 2473–2475.
- 513 38. Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. [BLAST Ring Image Generator \(BRIG\):](#)
514 [Simple prokaryote genome comparisons](#). *BMC Genomics* 2011; **12**: 402.
- 515 39. R Core Team. [R: A language and environment for statistical computing](#). 2023. R
516 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 517 40. Gu Z. [Complex heatmap visualization](#). *iMeta* 2022; **1**: e43.
- 518 41. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, et al. [PHASTER: A better, faster](#)
519 [version of the PHAST phage search tool](#). *Nucleic Acids Research* 2016; **44**: W16–W21.

- 520 42. Zhou Y, Liang Y, Lynch KH, Dennis JJ, Wishart DS. [PHAST: A fast phage search tool.](#)
521 *Nucleic Acids Research* 2011; **39**: W347–W352.
- 522 43. Abby SS, Néron B, Ménager H, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. [MacSyFinder: A program to mine](#)
523 [genomes for molecular systems with an application to CRISPR-Cas systems.](#) *PLoS ONE* 2014; **9**:
524 e110726.
- 525 44. Néron B, Denise R, Coluzzi C, Touchon M, Rocha EPC, Abby SS. [MacSyFinder v2:](#)
526 [Improved modelling and search engine to identify molecular systems in genomes.](#) *Peer*
527 *Community Journal* 2023; **3**: e28.
- 528 45. Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Néron B, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. [Identification of protein](#)
529 [secretion systems in bacterial genomes.](#) *Scientific Reports* 2016; **6**: 23080.
- 530 46. Stice SP, Thao KK, Khang CH, Baltrus DA, Dutta B, Kvitko BH. [Thiosulfinate tolerance is a](#)
531 [virulence strategy of an atypical bacterial pathogen of onion.](#) *Current Biology* 2020; **30**: 3130–
532 3140.e6.
- 533 47. Kaniga K, Delor I, Cornelis GR. [A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving reverse](#)
534 [genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: Inactivation of the blaA gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*.](#)
535 *Gene* 1991; **109**: 137–141.
- 536 48. Swingle B, Thete D, Moll M, Myers CR, Schneider DJ, Cartinhour S. [Characterization of](#)
537 [the PvdS-regulated promoter motif in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 reveals](#)
538 [regulon members and insights regarding PvdS function in other pseudomonads.](#) *Molecular*
539 *Microbiology* 2008; **68**: 871–889.
- 540 49. Shin GY, Dutta B, Kvitko B. [The genetic requirements for HiVir-mediated onion necrosis](#)
541 [by *Pantoea ananatis*, a necrotrophic plant pathogen.](#) *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*
542 2023; **36**: 381–391.
- 543 50. Bonasera JM, Asselin JE, Beer SV. [Lactic acid bacteria cause a leaf blight and bulb decay](#)
544 [of onion \(*Allium cepa*\).](#) *Plant Disease* 2017; **101**: 29–33.

545 51. Sundin GW. Copper resistance in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* from cherry
546 orchards and its associated transfer in vitro and in planta with a plasmid. *Phytopathology* 1989;
547 **79**: 861.

548 52. Tho KE, Brisco-McCann E, Wiriyaitsomboon P, Sundin GW, Hausbeck MK. Bacteria
549 associated with onion foliage in Michigan and their copper sensitivity. *Plant Health Progress*
550 2019; **20**: 170–177.