

**Emerging diseases of maize and onion caused by bacteria  
belonging to the Genus *Pantoea***

by

**Teresa Goszczynska**

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree

**Philosophiae Doctoriae**

in

The Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

Supervisor: Prof. T.A. Coutinho

Co-supervisor: Prof. S.N. Venter

May 2007

# **Emerging diseases of maize and onion caused by bacteria belonging to the Genus *Pantoea***

by

**Teresa Goszczynska**

**Supervisor:** Prof. T.A. Coutinho

**Co-supervisor:** Prof. S.N. Venter

**Department:** Microbiology and Plant Pathology

**Degree:** Ph. D. (Microbiology)

## **SUMMARY**

Center rot of onion, caused by *Pantoea ananatis*, was first described in the USA, in 1997. *P. ananatis* is seed-borne in onions and it was suggested that it was introduced into the USA on infected seed lots from South Africa. Center rot has not been observed in South Africa and it was essential to determine if *P. ananatis* is present in local onion seed. Colonies resembling those of *P. ananatis* were isolated from four South African seed lots on PA 20, a new semi-selective medium. Pathogenicity tests demonstrated that the South African and America strains induced the same symptoms on onion. Phenotypic and genotypic analyses identified the strains from seed as *P. ananatis*. In 2004/2005, an unreported disease of maize, brown stalk rot, was observed on commercial fields in South Africa. The representative strains induced disease symptoms similar to those observed in the field. The phenotypic and genotyping tests showed that the strains belonged to the genus *Pantoea* and separated them into two groups. The first group was identified as *P. ananatis*. The F-AFLP genomic fingerprints generated by the second group of strains, were distinctly different from those generated by known *Pantoea* species. To resolve the taxonomic position of *Pantoea* isolated

from onion and maize, sixty-seven strains were subjected to a polyphasic study. The methods used included phenotypic characterisation, genomic fingerprinting, 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and DNA-DNA hybridisation. The results revealed that the strains belong to three different species within the genus *Pantoea*: *P. ananatis*, *P. vagens* and a novel species, *Pantoea allii* sp. nov.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank

My husband Dariusz and daughter Dorota for their support. I am grateful for their patience and understanding during the past few years.

Prof. Teresa Coutinho for everything, without her this project would not have been possible.

Prof. Fanus Venter, for advice, support and DNA-DNA hybridisation.

All my co-workers at the Bacterial Diseases Unit, Plant Protection Research Institute. Thank you Vallry, Harry and Zama for making of hundreds litres of media, plating endless plant extracts and helping with never-ending diagnostic work.

All the students from the micro lab, especially Carrie Brady for help with AFLPs.

Dr. Wilhelm Botha, from my Institute, for all tips on rep-PCR and Bionumerics.

The Agricultural Research Council, the National Research Foundation and the University of Pretoria, for contributing funds and providing the facilities and opportunities needed to complete this project.



## CONTENTS

Summary	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	iv
<b>Preface</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>The genus <i>Pantoea</i> in plant pathology</b>	<b>3</b>
Introduction	3
The genus <i>Pantoea</i>	5
<i>Pantoea</i> species as pathogens	7
<i>Pantoea</i> species as beneficial microorganisms in agriculture	11
Isolation of <i>Pantoea</i> species from plants	12
Determination of pathogenicity	13
Phenotypic identification of <i>Pantoea</i> species using commercial systems	14
Detection of plant pathogenic bacteria using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	15
DNA fingerprinting-based methods used for identification and taxonomy of bacteria	16
16S rRNA gene sequence analysis	22
Multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA)	24
Integration of various diagnostic methods for a polyphasic identification	25
Conclusions	27
Literature cited	28
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>PA 20, a semi-selective medium for isolation and enumeration of <i>Pantoea ananatis</i></b>	<b>50</b>
Abstract	50
Introduction	51



Materials and methods	51
Results and discussion	54
Acknowledgements	56
References	56
Tables	59
Figures	63
<b>Chapter 3</b>	
<b>Isolation and identification of <i>Pantoea ananatis</i> from onion seed in South Africa</b>	<b>67</b>
Summary	67
Introduction	68
Materials and methods	69
Results	73
Discussion	76
Acknowledgements	78
References	79
Tables	83
Figures	89
<b>Chapter 4</b>	
<b>Isolation and identification of the causal agent of brown stalk rot, a new disease of maize in South Africa</b>	<b>93</b>
Abstract	93
Introduction	95
Materials and methods	96
Results	100
Discussion	103
Acknowledgements	105
Literature cited	105
Tables	111



Figures	116
<b>Chapter 5</b>	
<b>Polyphasic characterisation of <i>Pantoea</i> strains from onion and maize and the description of <i>Pantoea allii</i> sp. nov.</b>	<b>125</b>
Abstract	125
Introduction	126
Materials and methods	128
Results	132
Discussion	137
Description of <i>Pantoea allii</i> sp. nov.	138
Acknowledgements	140
Literature cited	140
Tables	146
Figures	153
Appendix A	170

## PREFACE

Maize and onion are important agricultural crops in South Africa. The country is one of the biggest producers of onion seed in the world. In the 2004/2005 growing season 358 tons of onion seed were produced, of which 282 tons were for the export market. Maize is the most important grain crop in South Africa, being both the major animal feed grain and the staple food of the majority of the population. For the 2003/2004 marketing year maize was responsible for the second largest contribution to the gross value of agricultural production in the country. The South African maize industry is also the largest maize industry in Africa. Commercial farmers are cultivating nearly three million hectares of maize per year. In the past five years, South Africa produced between 7.2 and 10.1 million tons of maize per annum, with an average of 9.2 million tons. The main maize production areas in South Africa are the Free State, Northwest and Mpumalanga Provinces. These three provinces are responsible for 85% of the total maize produced in the country.

Numerous fungal diseases cause excessive damage to maize and onion in South Africa and efforts to control the quality of these crops concentrated on the detection and control of fungal pathogens. Consequently, little is known about plant pathogenic bacteria that may be present.

In 1981, leaf and seed stalk necrosis of onion, caused by *Erwinia herbicola* (syn. *Pantoea agglomerans*) was reported in the onion seed production areas. In 2000, leaf blight of onion, caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *allii* was observed in a few commercial fields of the Limpopo Province. These two diseases have not been observed again and no attempts have been made to screen the locally produced onion seed for the presence of *P. agglomerans* and *X. axonopodis* pv. *allii*.

In 1997, a disease similar to leaf and seed stalk necrosis was observed in onion fields in Georgia, USA. The disease, named center rot, has occurred in commercial fields in Georgia every year since 1997 and accounted for 100% loss in some fields. The causal agent of center rot is a gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic, seed-borne bacterium, identified as *Pantoea ananatis*. The seed associated with the first outbreak of center rot of onion in Georgia, USA, was produced in South Africa and it was suggested that the center rot

pathogen was possibly introduced on infested seed lots. *P. ananatis* is the causal agent of bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa, but center rot of onion has not been reported from this country.

The first goal of this study was to determine if pathogenic *P. ananatis* was present in South African onion seed and to compare such strains to those associated with center rot of onions in the USA. Nutrient agar is the common growth medium used to isolate *P. ananatis* from plant material and seed. Nutrient agar, however, is non-selective and many other organisms present as saprophytes or endophytes in plant material and in seed may hamper the detection of the target pathogen. In Chapter 2, a semi-selective medium, PA 20, is described, which suppresses growth of many saprophytic microorganisms and serves as a suitable medium for growth and enumeration of *P. ananatis*. The medium was specifically developed to detect this pathogen on onion seed. Chapter 3 describes the isolation and identification of *P. ananatis* from South African onion seed.

In 2004 and 2005, a new disease was observed in commercial maize fields in the Northwest and Mpumalanga Provinces. Diseased plants were scattered throughout the fields and 10-70% of the crop was affected. Gram-negative bacteria producing yellow colonies were consistently isolated from diseased tissues and these were tentatively identified as belonging to the genus *Pantoea*. Two *Pantoea* species have been reported to cause diseases on maize worldwide. *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* causes Stewart's wilt in Europe, Asia and the Americas. *P. ananatis* was described as an agent of leaf spot on maize in Brazil.

The second goal of this study was to identify and characterise the causal agent of brown stalk rot of maize observed in South Africa. Chapter 4 describes the identification of the bacteria causing this new disease of maize.

The third goal of this study was to characterise a collection of *Pantoea* strains from onion and maize using a polyphasic approach based on analyses of carbon source utilisation, physiological characteristics, fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP), repetitive sequence based PCR (rep-PCR) genomic fingerprinting, 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and DNA-DNA hybridisations. The results are presented in Chapter 5.

# CHAPTER 1

## The Genus *Pantoea* in Plant Pathology; Literature Review

### INTRODUCTION

The genus *Pantoea* was established in 1989 (Gavini et al., 1989) and it is classified in the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Pantoea* species are associated with plants as pathogens, saprophytes and epiphytes (Cottyn et al., 2001; Gavini et al., 1989; Gitaitis et al., 2000; Grimont and Grimont, 2005; Iimura and Hosono, 1996; Perombelon, 1981; Walcott et al., 2002). Strains of *P. ananatis* and *P. agglomerans* were reported to cause diseases in humans (De Beare et al., 2004; Gavini et al., 1989; Kratz et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2006; Maki et al., 1976).

Bacteria belonging to the genus (Grimont and Grimont, 2005) are gram-negative, non-capsulated, non-spore forming straight rods; most are motile and are peritrichously flagellated; are facultatively anaerobic, oxidase negative and catalase positive; colonies on nutrient agar are round, smooth, with entire margins; colonies might be yellow, cream/white or beige. There are seven described species within the genus *Pantoea* and these include: *P. agglomerans*, *P. ananatis*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. punctata*, *P. terrea* and *P. stewartii*, containing two subspecies, *indologenes* and *stewartii* (Grimont and Grimont, 2005).

Bacterial wilt of maize was the first plant disease ever reported caused by a bacterium of the genus *Pantoea*. The disease is known as Stewart's wilt, to honour F. C. Stewart, who described the symptoms in 1897 on sweet corn in the USA (Stewart, 1897). At that time, the bacterium causing the disease was named *Bacillus stewartii*. It was also known as: *Bacterium stewartii*, *Erwinia stewartii*, *Pseudobacterium stewartii*, *Pseudomonas stewartii*, *Phytobacterium stewartii* and *Xanthomonas stewartii* (Brenner et al., 1984). The pathogen has been responsible for serious crop losses since its first discovery (Coplin et al., 2002). In 1993, the bacterium was transferred to the genus *Pantoea* as *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* (Mergaert et al., 1993).

Although the pink disease of pineapple was originally described in 1915 in Hawaii (Lyon et al., 1915), the pathogen responsible for this disease remained unknown until 1997. Cha et al. (1997) identified the bacterium causing the pink disease as *Pantoea citrea*, using molecular techniques. In 1928, Serrano (1928) reported brown rot of pineapple fruitlets, caused by *P. ananatis*, also in Hawaii. In the same year, Brown (1928) described a bacterial pocket disease of sugar beet, caused by *P. agglomerans*. In 1934, *P. agglomerans* was reported to induce galls on *Gypsophila* (Brown, 1934).

During the following 47 years, only one report was published, in 1954, on *P. ananatis* parasitic on uredia of cereal rust (Pon et al., 1954). Since 1981, however, bacterial species within the genus *Pantoea* have become increasingly important as plant pathogens worldwide. Seventeen new diseases caused by *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. punctata* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* have been described on a variety of crops (Table 1), including beetroot (Burr et al., 1991), cantaloupe (Bruton et al., 1991), cotton (Medrano and Bell, 2006), *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002), honeydew melon (Wells et al., 1987), maize (Paccola-Meirelles et al., 2001), mandarin orange (Kageyama et al., 1992), millet (Frederickson, 1997), onion (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997), pear (Lindow et al., 1998), rice (Azegami et al., 1983; Cother et al., 2004) and sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000). Disease symptoms are diverse and include galls, rots, wilt, leaf blights, necrosis and spots, dieback and stem necrosis (Grimont and Grimont, 2005). In the case of infection of honeydew melons, pineapple and cantaloupe fruit, the symptoms occur only after harvest (Bruton et al., 1986; Wells et al., 1987; Bruton et al., 1991, Cha et al., 1997).

Despite increasing frequency of plant diseases caused by *Pantoea* species, identification and characterisation of these bacteria were usually based on colony morphology, few physiological and biochemical tests and sometimes fatty acids analyses. Only *P. ananatis* strains causing dieback of *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002) and stem necrosis of rice (Cother et al., 2004) have been identified based on phenotypic and genotypic characteristics. Consequently, relatively little is known about isolation, identification, host specificity, genetic relatedness or epidemiology of these bacterial pathogens.

This review focuses on the detection, pathogenicity, ecology and taxonomy of the bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea*. The role of *Pantoea* species in plant pathology is

demonstrated and a list of *Pantoea* species causing diseases is presented. The techniques used in the diagnosis of plant diseases, and the methods for the identification of bacterial pathogens are discussed.

## THE GENUS *PANTOEA*

In the past, all phytopathogenic bacteria in the family *Enterobacteriaceae* belonged to the genus *Erwinia*. The genus was proposed by Winslow et al. (1917) for all plant-associated gram-negative, non-spore forming, fermentative, rod-shaped bacteria (Beji et al., 1988). In the 1960's, Dye divided the genus *Erwinia* into four “natural” groups, founded on the type of disease symptoms produced on plants (Verdonck et al., 1987): amylovora (Dye, 1968) causing dry necrotic or wilt diseases; carotovora (Dye, 1969a) inducing soft rots; herbicola (Dye, 1969b) containing saprophytes and putative plant pathogens and atypical erwinias (Dye, 1969c).

The Herbicola group, of interest in this review, consisted of *Erwinia* strains that usually produced a yellow pigment on general growth media, including nutrient agar (Dye, 1969b; Beji et al., 1998). In 1974, Lelliot (1974) included four species in the Herbicola group. These were: *Erwinia uredovora* (Dye, 1963b), *E. milletiae* (Dye, 1969b), *E. stewartii* (Dye, 1963a) and *E. herbicola* (*E. herbicola* var. *herbicola* and *E. herbicola* var. *ananas*) (Dye, 1969b).

In 1971, Herbicola bacteria were involved in a nosocomial septicemia outbreak in the United States, when 40 of 378 patients died (Maki et al., 1976; Brenner et al., 1984). After comparing human and plant isolates, Ewing and Fife (1972) concluded that all strains belonged to a single species, which were named *Enterobacter agglomerans*. That conclusion was confirmed in 1986 by examining the DNA relatedness among 86 strains of *Enterobacter agglomerans*, isolated from humans, and the type strains of *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. milletiae* (Lind and Ursing, 1986). However, phytopathologists preferred to use the nomenclature of Dye while medical bacteriologists used the nomenclature of Edwig and Fife (Beji et al., 1988). This group of organisms was referred too in the literature as the “*Erwinia herbicola*-*Enterobacter agglomerans* complex” (Grimont and Grimont, 2005).

In 1984, Brenner et al. (1984) studied 124 strains isolated from plants and humans that belonged to the “*Erwinia herbicola*-*Enterobacter agglomerans* complex”. The results of the

DNA-DNA hybridisations showed that 90 of these strains formed 13 hybridisation groups, numbered I-XIII. The authors demonstrated the immense genetic diversity of these bacteria and inadequacy of the nomenclature used at the time.

In 1987, Verdonck et al. (1987) performed the numerical analysis of phenotypic features of 529 enterobacterial strains, including those assigned to the “*Erwinia herbicola*-*Enterobacter agglomerans* complex” and other *Erwinia* species. The strains received as *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Erwinia herbicola*, *E. milletiae*, *E. ananas*, *E. uredovora* and *E. stewartii* were dispersed into 23 phenotypic groups or phena. However, the type strains of *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. milletiae* grouped into a single phenon 8, the type strains of *E. ananas* and *E. uredovora* into phenon 12 and eight strains of *E. stewartii* into phenon 29.

In 1988, Beji et al. (1988) studied the DNA relatedness of the type strain *Enterobacter agglomerans*, ATCC 27155, to 54 strains from the “*Erwinia herbicola*-*Enterobacter agglomerans* complex”. These 54 strains represented phenons 7B and 8 of Verdonck et al. (1987) and hybridisation groups V and XIII of Brenner et al. (1984). Seventy-three reference strains belonging to different species of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* were also included. “*Erwinia herbicola*-*Enterobacter agglomerans* complex” strains produced seven distinct protein profiles and showed 62-97% DNA binding to the *Enterobacter agglomerans* type strain, forming the hybridisation group 27155. The group included the type strains of *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. milletiae*. The authors concluded that these species names are subjective synonyms.

In 1989, based on the research of Beji et al. (1988), a new genus, *Pantoea*, was proposed (Gavini et al., 1989), with the type species, *Pantoea agglomerans*. The new species accommodated the type strains of *Enterobacter agglomerans*, *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. milletiae*, as well as the other strains belonging to electrophoretic protein profile groups I and III to VI of Beji et al. (1988) and DNA hybridisation group XIII of Brenner et al. (1984). At the same time, the second species, *P. dispersa*, was proposed, for the strains of the DNA hybridisation group III of Brenner et al. (1984) and phenon 10 of Verdonck et al (1987).

In 1992, bacterial strains were isolated from fruit and soil samples in Japan. The strains were classified as three new species within the genus *Pantoea*, namely *P. citrea*, *P. punctata* and

*P. terrea* (Kageyama et al., 1992). Grimont and Grimont (2005) suggested that additional taxonomic research was necessary to validate the placement of these three species within the genus *Pantoea*. The suggestion was based on the phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences and the *rpoB* gene sequences of all *Pantoea* species. *P. citrea*, *P. punctata* and *P. terrea* formed a separate cluster in phylogenetic trees that “joined the cluster containing other described *Pantoea* species at a lower level” (Grimont and Grimont, 2005).

In 1993, *Erwinia ananas* and *Erwinia uredovora* were united as a single species and transferred to the genus *Pantoea* as *P. ananatis* (Mergaert et al., 1993). *P. ananatis* comprised strains belonging to the hybridisation group VI of Brenner et al. (1984) and phenon 12 of Verdonck et al. (1987). The species *Pantoea stewartii* (Mergaert et al., 1993) contained two groups of strains. The first group, received as *Erwinia stewartii*, was originally isolated from maize or from corn flea beetles and formed phenon 29 of Verdonck et al. (1987). The DNA binding values among these strains were 93 to 99% and they were named hybridisation group 2715. The second group, DNA hybridisation group 2632, included strains received as *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. ananas* that were part of phenon 13 of Verdonck et al. (1987), and were very similar biochemically to *P. ananatis*. The DNA binding values among the strains of these two groups were between 60 and 83% and they were assigned to two subspecies of *P. stewartii*: The hybridisation group 2715 was named *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and the hybridisation group 2632, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*.

There are also four hybridisation groups from a study by Brenner et al. (1984); groups I, II, IV and V; which according to the latest edition of the Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, belong to the genus *Pantoea* (Grimont and Grimont, 2005), but have not yet been described.

## **PANTOEA SPECIES AS PLANT PATHOGENS**

Members of the genus *Pantoea* are mainly plant-pathogenic and plant-associated bacteria. A list of diseases caused by these pathogens is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The diseases of plants caused by bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea*.

Host and Pathogen	Disease Name	References
<b>Baby's breath (<i>Gypsophila paniculata</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Galls	Brown, 1934 Cooksey, 1986
<b>Beetroot (<i>Beta vulgaris</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Root galls	Brown, 1928 Burr et al., 1991
<b>Cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Seed and boll rot	Medrano & Bell, 2006
<b>Eucalyptus</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Bacterial blight and dieback	Coutinho et al., 2002
<b>Foxtail millet (<i>Setaria italica</i>)</b>		
<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>	Leaf spot	Mergaert et al., 1993
<b>Maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)</b>		
<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>stewartii</i>	Stewart's wilt	Stewart, 1897
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Leaf spot	Paccola-Meirelles et al., 2001
<b>Mandarin orange (<i>Citrus nobilis</i>)</b>		
<i>P. citrea</i>	Pink disease	Kageyama et al., 1992
<i>P. punctata</i>	Brown spot	Kageyama et al., 1992
<b>Melon and cantaloupe (<i>Cucumis melo</i>)</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Soft rot	Bruton et al., 1986 Wells et al., 1989
	Postharvest rot	Bruton et al., 1991
<b>Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Leaf and seed stalk necrosis	Hattingh & Walters, 1981
	Leaf blight and bulb rot	Edens et al., 2006
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay,	Gitaitis & Gay, 1997 Schwartz & Otto, 2000

Host and Pathogen	Disease Name	References
	Center rot	Walcott et al., 2002
<b>Pear (<i>Pyrus communis</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Russetting of pear fruits	Lindow et al., 1998
<b>Pearl millet (<i>Penisetum glaucum</i>, <i>P. americanum</i>)</b>		
<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Necrosis of the leaf tips and margins	Frederickson, 1997
<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>	Leaf spot	Mergaert et al., 1993
<b>Pineapple (<i>Ananas cosmosus</i>)</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Bacterial fruitlet brown rot	Serrano, 1928
<i>P. citrea</i>	Pink disease	Lyon, 1915 Kageyama et al., 1992 Cha et al., 1997
<b>Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Palea browning	Azegami et al., 1983
	Stem necrosis	Cothier et al., 2004
<b>Rust on cereals</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Parasite on uredia	Pon et al., 1954
<b>Sudangrass (<i>Sorghum sudanense</i>)</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i> & <i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>	Leaf blotch	Azad et al., 2000
<b>Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum</i> spp.)</b>		
<i>P. ananatis</i>	Soft rot	Serrano, 1928

Many plant pathogenic *Pantoea* species are seed-borne and seed-transmitted, such as *P. ananatis* in onion (Walcott et al., 2002), sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000), rice (Tabei et al., 1988), buckwheat (Iimura and Hosono, 1996) and *P. agglomerans* in cotton (Medrano and Bell, 2006). *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii*, the causal agent of Stewart's wilt of maize, is also seed-borne and many countries have banned the importation of maize seed, unless they are certified free of *P. stewartii* (Coplin et al, 2002).

*Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* infects its host plant systemically. It multiplies quickly and produces exopolysaccharide materials in the vascular system. Wilting of maize plants is caused by the blockage of vessels by exopolysaccharides (Grimont and Grimont, 2005; Pepper, 1967). The corn flea beetle (*Chaetocnema publicaria*) is a host of *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* during winter. Throughout the growing season, the pathogen is spread from plant to plant and from field to field by the beetle. The epidemics of Stewart's wilt usually occur after mild winters that facilitate the survival of the insect vector (Grimont and Grimont, 2005; OEPP/EPPO, 1978). *P. ananatis*, causing center rot of onion, is transmitted via tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca* (Gitaitis *et al.*, 2003; Wells *et al.*, 2002). *P. ananatis* has also been reported as a gut inhabitant of brown planthoppers, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Watanabe *et al.*, 1996) and mulberry pyralids, *Glyphodes pyloalis* (Watanabe and Sato, 1999), but none of these insects are a vector of the pathogen.

The tumorigenic *P. agglomerans*, which induces galls on *Gypsophila* and beetroot and *P. citrea* causing pink disease of pineapple, are spread mechanically and through infected plant propagation material (Cooksey, 1986; Burr *et al.*, 1991; Cha *et al.*, 1997). *P. agglomerans* strains that produce plant hormones may also induce diseases. For example, *P. agglomerans* strains producing indole acetic acid and cytokinin (Guo *et al.*, 2001) cause galls formation on *Gypsophila* (Manulis *et al.*, 1998) and russeting of pear fruits (Lindow *et al.*, 1998). Some strains of indoleacetic acid-producing *P. agglomerans* increase the severity of the olive knot disease, caused by *Pseudomonas savastanoi* pv. *savastanoi* (Marchi *et al.*, 2006).

Some *P. agglomerans* and *P. ananatis* strains are ice nucleation active (Hirano and Upper, 2000). The ice nucleation active bacteria, when present on plant surfaces, increase the chances of frost injury at subzero temperatures (Hirano and Upper, 1995; Hirano and Upper, 2000; Lindow *et al.*, 1982). *P. agglomerans*, found as an epiphyte on leaves of maize, was reported to enhance the frost injury in this host (Lindow *et al.*, 1978). The ice nucleation active *P. ananatis* was isolated from the gemmisphere of tea, phyllosphere of many vegetables, the flowers of magnolia (Goto *et al.*, 1988; Goto *et al.*, 1993) as well as from wheat and barley (Newton and Hayward, 1986).

## **PANTOEA SPECIES AS BENEFICIAL MICROORGANISMS IN AGRICULTURE**

Not all *Pantoea* strains are plant pathogens. Many strains have been used for biological control of other plant pathogens. In general, “an effective biological control agent has to be able to live and multiply in the same ecological niche as the pathogen” (Özactan and Bora, 2004; Pusey, 1997; Vanneste et al., 1999). There are two main mechanisms, by which biological control strains hamper the growth of pathogens.

The first mechanism is competition for sites, growth space and growth-limiting nutrients. *P. agglomerans* strains were reported to suppress the fire blight pathogen, *Erwinia amylovora*, in pear fruits and apple blossoms (Beer et al., 1984; Özactan and Bora, 2004). Application of naturally occurring Ice<sup>-</sup> strains of, among others, *P. agglomerans*, significantly reduced frost injury to sensitive crops by (Hirano and Upper, 2000; Lindow, 1982; Lindow et al., 1983; Wilson and Lindow, 1994). The post-harvest control of blue mould on pome fruits, caused by *Penicillium expansum* was achieved by application of epiphytic *P. agglomerans* and *P. ananatis* isolated from the fruits and leaf surfaces of apples and pears (Nunes et al., 2001; Torres et al., 2005).

The second biocontrol mechanism is production of bio-fungicides and bio-bactericides that inhibit the growth of pathogens. A strain of *P. dispersa* producing chitinase has been used as a biocontrol agent against fungal plant pathogens (Gohel et al., 2004). A strain of *P. agglomerans* is effective in controlling many banana pathogens (Gunasinghe et al., 2004). *P. agglomerans* strains control damping-off caused by *Phythium* species on canola, safflower, dry pea and sugar beet (Barding et al., 2003). A strain of a *Pantoea* species was reported to induce resistance in cucumber against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lachrymans*, the agent of angular leaf spot (Hoon et al., 2006). A strain of *P. citrea* that contains the gene for albicidin detoxification has been reported to attenuate the pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas albilineans* to sugarcane (Zhang and Birch, 1997a). The gene is a promising candidate to transfer into sugarcane to confer a form of resistance against leaf scald (Zhang and Birch, 1997b).

Many researchers isolated and identified free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria from leaves and stems of diverse plants (Hirano and Upper, 2000; Ladha et al., 1983; Ruinen, 1975; Sprent and Sprent, 1990). In 2004, a nitrogen-fixing *Pantoea* sp. was isolated from sugarcane in Cuba that may be valuable in agriculture (Loiret et al., 2004). The strain of *P.*

*agglomerans*, isolated from the rhizosphere of wheat in Morocco, had a positive effect on the aggregation and macroporosity of rhizosphere soil. The ultimate goal of the researchers is to use that exopolysaccharide-producing bacterium as inoculant in wheat fields to regulate water stress and, therefore, improve yields (Amellal et al., 1998).

## **ISOLATION OF *PANTOEA* SPECIES FROM PLANTS**

Plant disease diagnosis and the identification of pathogen consist of several steps and these usually include: isolation of a pathogen from diseased plant tissues; obtaining a pure culture of a suspected pathogen; microscopic examination, for example to determine the Gram-stain reaction; serology, if a pathogen-specific antibodies are available; phenotypic tests including nutritional, physiological and biochemical characterisation and DNA-based identification (Alvarez, 2004; Goszczynska et al., 2000; Houpikian and Raoult, 2002).

Alvarez (2004) and Houpikian and Raoult (2002) wrote that although DNA-based techniques have become indispensable in the detection and identification of bacterial pathogens, they could not completely replace other, more traditional methods. For example, isolation of bacteria on agar media is crucial in plant pathology. A pure culture of a pathogen allows studying both known and emerging bacterial diseases. Purified bacterial strains can be characterised in detail and easily compared with similar strains isolated by other researchers. Isolation and obtaining a pure culture of bacteria remains “the ultimate goal of pathogen identification” (Houpikian and Raoult, 2002).

Majority of plant pathogenic bacteria, including *Pantoea* species, can be easily isolated from diseased tissues, especially from freshly collected samples (Coplin et al., 2002; Goszczynska et al., 2000). When a new disease is observed, isolations should be done from many plants, to make sure that the particular bacterium is associated with a disease (Alvarez, 2004). Azad et al. (2000), for instance, used 151 affected plants in their study while investigating a new leaf blotch disease of sudangrass, caused by two *Pantoea* species.

Media specifically selective for *Pantoea* strains are not yet available, but all media designed for the isolation of *Enterobacteriaceae* can be used for the isolation of *Pantoea* species and these include: MacConkey agar, Drigalski lactose agar, blood agar and Luria-Bertani (LB) agar (Grimont and Grimont, 2005). Although LB has been the most popular agar medium for

isolation of human pathogens, plant pathologists preferred nutrient agar (NA). Most of the plant pathogenic *Pantoea* species, in the first reports, were isolated on NA, including *P. agglomerans* from onion (Hattingh and Walters, 1981) and *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002).

Isolation of *P. ananatis* from onion seed on NA is difficult due to abundant growth of saprophytes. Walcott et al. (2002) tried to solve this problem by using a polyclonal antiserum against *P. ananatis*. Seed extracts were incubated with immunomagnetic beads coated with the antiserum to immuno-bind the pathogen. Then the beads with bound *P. ananatis* were plated on NA. However, 64% of colonies recovered from seed lots by immuno-plating were not *P. ananatis*.

## **DETERMINATION OF PATHOGENICITY**

Determination of pathogenicity and fulfilment of Koch's postulates is a crucial step in the identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. Pathogenicity tests are not standardised and are dependant on the host-pathogen combination (Goszczyńska et al., 2000). Many authors used several different inoculation methods to confirm pathogenicity of *Pantoea* species associated with disease symptoms.

Coutinho et al. (2002) employed two inoculation techniques for *P. ananatis* causing bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus*. Three-month-old *Eucalyptus* plants were used in pathogenicity tests. A fine needle was dipped into a bacterial suspension and then gently inserted into the surface of young leaves and into the petioles of young leaves. All plants were incubated at temperatures between 20 and 23°C and relative humidity between 80 and 90%.

Paccola-Meirelles et al. (2001) investigating leaf spot of maize, also used two inoculation methods, but tests were performed on 15-, 30-, and 45-day old plants. In the first method, a bacterial suspension was sprayed onto leaves after carefully wounding them with carborundum or puncturing them with a sterile needle. In the second method, the bacterial suspension was injected into leaves with a syringe and 26-gauge needle.

Azad et al. (2000) inoculated sudangrass using five methods: suspensions were sprayed onto leaf surfaces, infiltrated into leaf tissues with a syringe, injected into stems, mixed with an abrasive material and applied to leaves with a cloth and bacterial colonies were applied directly to wounds made in leaves and stems. Three plant growth conditions were tested for each inoculation method, which varied in temperature and relative humidity. All inoculation methods and growth conditions were favourable for development of symptoms. The infection was most rapid, however, when plants were inoculated by infiltration of bacterial suspensions into the tissues, and by direct application of bacterial colonies to needle induced wounds. Symptoms appeared 2-3 days after inoculation on such plants when they were kept in a growth chamber with a constant temperature of 32°C. In contrast, in a greenhouse with a lower temperature (20°C), symptoms were not visible until 17 days after inoculation. Cother et al. (2004) reported that stem necrosis developed in rice following inoculation of inflorescences and stems. No lesions were present on spray-inoculated leaves.

## **PHENOTYPIC IDENTIFICATION OF *PANTOEA* SPECIES**

If a bacterium induces disease symptoms in pathogenicity tests, computerised commercial identification systems can be employed for identification. Examples include: metabolic tests, API 20E and API 50CHE (BioMérieux, La Balme les Grottes, Montalieu Vercieu, France); substrate utilisation, Biolog (Biolog, Inc., Hayward, CA) and Pheno 100 (BioMérieux) and analysis of fatty methyl esters (MIDI, Newark, DE). The obtained results are compared to that in the built-in database, and the name of the species name is given by the programme. Alvarez (2004) cautioned that the phenotypic identification systems are not always correct, as not all bacterial species are included in the databases. This is particularly true in the case of *Pantoea* species.

The phenotypic characteristics of named species and unnamed hybridisation groups of *Pantoea* are summarised in the latest edition of the Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Grimont and Grimont, 2005). The differentiation between *Pantoea* species based solely on phenotypic characteristics is sometimes difficult. For example, *P. agglomerans* and *P. dispersa* could be distinguished by only two tests, the ability to utilise malonate and erythritol (Gavini et al, 1989). *P. ananatis* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*, two indole producing species of the genus, are only differentiated by the ability to produce acid from sorbitol and  $\alpha$ -methyl-D-mannoside (Mergaert et al., 1993).

Tumorigenic *P. agglomerans* from *Gypsophila* and table beet (Burr et al., 1991; Cooksey et al., 1986), *P. ananatis* associated with a leaf spot disease of maize (Paccola-Meirelles et al., 2001), *P. ananatis* and *P. stewartii* causing leaf blotch on sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000) and *P. agglomerans* isolated from pearl millet (Frederickson, 1997), were identified solely by selected biochemical and physiological characteristics. Walcott et al. (2002) confirmed *P. ananatis* isolated from onion seed by the Hugh-Leifson assay, indole test and fatty acid methyl ester analysis.

### **DETECTION OF PLANT PATHOGENIC BACTERIA USING THE POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR)**

The first report about the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), titled “Enzymatic amplification of beta-globulin genomic sequences and restriction site analysis for diagnosis of sickle cell anemia” was published by Saiki et al. (1985). Two years later, Mullis and Falona (1987) published the paper “Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase chain reaction”. In 1988, Saiki et al. (1988) reported about the “Primer directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase” allowing them to make unlimited copies of a fragment of DNA. The PCR technique revolutionised research in wide-ranging fields of the biological sciences (Babalola, 2003). The impact of PCR on biology could be compared with the unravelling the structure of DNA and decoding of the genetic code. In 1995, K. Mullis received the Nobel Price in chemistry for the discovery of PCR.

In the field of phytobacteriology, numerous research groups have reported the development of pathogen specific primers, allowing detection and identification of these pathogens in heterogeneous mixtures (Alvarez, 2004). Several primers pairs were developed for the specific detection and identification of *P. stewartii subsp. stewartii* in plant material (Blakemore et al., 1992; Blakemore et al., 1999; Coplin et al., 2002). The pathogen was easily detected in the tissue extracts from infected plants. The minimum number of *P. stewartii* cells needed for the detection was 200 CFU (Coplin et al., 2002).

The PCR could be inhibited by many compounds present in the plant and seed extracts (Alvarez, 2004; Schaad et al., 1995). Immunocapture or immunomagnetic separation (IMS) has been used to overcome this problem. The role of the antibody in the IMS-PCR is to

capture the pathogen from a plant extract containing mixture of bacteria and PCR-inhibitory substances. The IMS-PCR assay developed to detect *P. ananatis* in onion seed, demonstrated detection and recovery of  $10^1$  to  $10^4$  CFU/ml of spiked seed wash (Walcott et al., 2002). Schaad et al. (1995) developed the “Bio-PCR” to enhance the sensitivity of PCR reaction. Bio-PCR detects living cells of pathogens, those that could cause a disease, as bacterial colonies are picked up from agar plates preceding the PCR reaction. A plant or seed extract is plated on semi-selective media, plates are incubated for two days (longer incubation time is required for the slow-growing bacteria) and then the bacterial growth is removed from the agar plates and suspended in sterile distilled water. This bacterial suspension is used as a template in the PCR with primers targeting specific pathogen.

In the early 1990’s, Higuchi et al. (1992) demonstrated the simultaneous amplification and detection of specific DNA sequences. The technique, named the “real-time PCR” (Higuchi et al., 1993), consists of “the amplification of DNA by PCR that is monitored using fluorescent technology, while the amplification is occurring” (Valasek and Repa, 2005). This method is: extremely sensitive; detects less than five copies of a target sequence; very quick, results are obtained within 30-50 min; performed in a close reaction environment so the chances for cross contaminations are minimised (Valasek and Repa, 2005). Many researchers pointed out that recent advances in the molecular-based diagnostic techniques, especially the real-time PCR, are invaluable in early and rapid detection of pathogens in propagation material, seed and diseased crops. Correct phytosanitary measures can be implemented at once, contributing to the crop biosecurity (Martin et al., 2000; Schaad et al., 2003; Strange and Scott, 2005).

## **DNA FINGERPRINTING-BASED METHODS USED FOR IDENTIFICATION AND TAXONOMY OF BACTERIA**

The DNA-based, fingerprinting methods used for identification and taxonomy of bacteria can be divided into three groups. The first group is the restriction fragment analysis based on the digestion of the whole genome DNA with restriction enzymes. Examples include restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). PCR-based typing techniques comprise the second group of fingerprinting methods with random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and repetitive sequence based PCR (Rep-PCR). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) combines the PCR and the

digestion of DNA with restriction enzymes. All these techniques have been used by countless research groups for identification, differentiation and classification of almost every cultivable bacterial group.

The perfect genomic fingerprinting technique should be applicable to all bacterial strains to be studied, reproducible, highly discriminatory, easy to do and fast (Olive and Bean, 1999; Vandamme et al., 1996). Genomic fingerprints obtained by using these techniques are usually complex and computerised analysis is necessary to interpret the results and to compare a large number of strains (de Bruijn et al., 1996; Gürtler and Mayall, 2001; Olive and Bean, 1999; Rademaker and de Bruijn, 1997; Savelkoul et al., 1999). One of the best software available for such analysis is BioNumerics (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). None of the fingerprinting methods is perfect, of course, but some are more suitable for specific bacterial taxa than others are.

**Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP).** The restriction fragment analysis consists of four stages: extraction of the whole-genome DNA, digestion of DNA with restriction enzyme or enzymes, agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA fragments and interpretation of results (Vandamme et al., 1996). The selection of restriction enzymes and the digestion conditions has to be determined experimentally for the group of bacteria to be studied. Additionally, the obtained patterns of DNA fragments are usually complicated, difficult to compare and not always reliable (Vandamme et al., 1996). Despite these obvious drawbacks, RFLP was used successfully in 1989 in Australia, for identification of *Ralstonia solanacearum* race 2, the causal agent of Moko disease of banana (Hayward, 1996; Hyde et al., 1992).

**Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) also known as the low-frequency restriction fragment analysis.** PFGE is regarded as the most discriminatory fingerprinting method available (Struelens et al., 2001). The PFGE technique is an improved RFLP. There are many differences, contributing to the enhancement in reproducibility, reliability and discrimination power (Olive and Bean, 1999; Trenover et al., 1995; Vandamme et al., 1996). The DNA is not extracted from the cells by conventional techniques. Bacterial strains are cultivated on an appropriate agar medium or in a broth and mixed with molten agarose to form “agarose plugs”. Bacterial cells in agarose plugs are lysed and digested with restriction enzymes *in situ*. The restriction enzymes are cutting DNA infrequently (recognise specific

combination of six to eight bases). Consequently, the number of obtained DNA fragments is smaller than in conventional RFLP, but they are large (10 to 800 kb). Special electrophoretic techniques are used to separate large DNA fragments, known as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

The PFGE procedure is simple to perform and the results are reproducible, but it is time consuming and therefore, not convenient for assessment of the large number of strains (Olive and Bean, 1999). Despite the time factor the PFGE remains the “gold standard” of DNA-based typing (Olive and Bean, 1999). Gürtler and Mayall (2001) were concerned about growing evidence regarding the negative effect of mobile genetic elements on the determination of bacterial relatedness by PFGE. Plasmids and transposons present in some strains, but absent in others of the same species, may contain restriction sites for infrequent cutting enzymes, for example, up to seven *SmaI* sites (Thal et al., 1997). PFGE patterns of such strains were different, but still the strains belonged to a single species.

PFGE was evaluated to confirm the identity of *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* (Coplin et al., 2002). After DNA digestion with *SpeI* and *XbaI* restriction enzymes, *P. stewartii* strains could be easily distinguished from related *Erwinia* and *Pantoea* species and from each other. PFGE analysis of *P. stewartii* revealed many common bands among strains from different geographic regions. The genome of *P. stewartii* strains was highly conserved (similarity from 60 to 100%) based on these common fragments. On the other hand, there was sufficient divergence in the PFGE profiles to differentiate between *P. stewartii* strains from different geographical regions, showing that the technique also may be a useful tool for population genetics and epidemiological studies.

**Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) assay.** The RAPD fingerprinting is PCR-based. The technique was named by its developers as an arbitrary primed PCR (Welsh and McClelland, 1990; Williams et al., 1990). In RAPD, short (about 10 bases long), random primers are used to produce genomic fingerprints of bacterial strains. The primers that generate the best pattern for identification or discrimination of the studied bacteria have to be selected empirically (Olive and Bean, 1999). Trebaol et al. (2001) used 340 RAPD primers to investigate the genetic diversity in *Xanthomonas cynarae*, causing bacterial bract spot of artichoke. Among these 340 primers tested, only 40 produced reproducible and reliable fingerprints.

As the RAPD primers are arbitrary (not complimentary to any specific locus on the genome), the technique is very sensitive to changes in the reaction conditions, including the annealing temperature and reagents. That sensitivity makes RAPD banding patterns difficult to reproduce (Meunier et al., 1993; Olive and Bean, 1999; Welsh and McClelland, 1990). The RAPD technique is relatively easy to execute, quick and does not require expensive laboratory equipment. Any laboratory owning a thermocycler and an electrophoresis apparatus can do it. Hence, many studies contributed to standardisation of the procedure.

Vogel et al. (1999) performed RAPD typing of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *K. oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates using standardised reagents. The use of Ready-To-Go RAPD Analysis beads resulted in reproducible and stable banding patterns with a high discriminatory capacity. A sequencing primer, M13 used in RAPD fingerprinting assays also allows for standardisation of the procedure (Olive and Bean, 1999; Vila et al., 1996). In 2005, Rosetti and Giraffa (2005) reported that the strains of dairy lactic acid bacteria are rapidly identified by M13-generated, RAPD-PCR databases.

A web-based database for the provisional identification of bacterial species using only genotypes was developed in Japan (Watanabe et al., 2002). The PCR reactions with DNA of all kinds of bacteria are performed using a set of four RAPD primers so all species can be compared on the same platform. The PCR products are separated, using a temperature-gradient gel electrophoresis and the images are processed to generate species-identification dots, named spiddos. The protocol was standardised to make the system reproducible and reliable.

**Repetitive sequence based PCR (Rep-PCR).** The rep-PCR genomic fingerprints are produced by performing PCR with primers complimentary to repetitive DNA elements present within bacterial genomes. The PCR amplifies genomic regions located between the repetitive sequences, generating reproducible patterns characteristics for examined bacterial strains (de Bruijn et al., 1996; Versalovic et al., 1991). There are several families of repetitive sequences (de Bruijn, 1992; Versalovic et al., 1991; Versalovic et al., 1994), but three of them have been used extensively to characterise diverse bacterial species. These are (de Bruijn et al., 1996; Olive and Bean, 1999): the 35-40 bp repetitive extragenic palindromic (REP) sequence (Stern et al., 1984); the 124-127 bp enterobacterial repetitive

intergenic consensus (ERIC) sequence (Hulton et al., 1991) and the 154 bp BOX element (Koeuth et al., 1995).

The discriminatory power of rep-PCR increases when strains are analysed with multiple sets of primers (Olive and Bean, 1999; Rademaker et al., 2000). Rep-PCR has only slightly less discriminatory power than PFGE (Barbier et al., 1996; Georghiou et al., 1995; Liu and Wu, 1997; Weigel et al., 2004), but unlike PFGE, is simple, quick and inexpensive. Olive and Bean (1999) wrote that rep-PCR “is becoming the most widely used method of DNA typing”. Purification of DNA from bacterial cells prior to rep-PCR is not essential. Many researchers obtained identical patterns using broth cultures, single colonies, extract from lesions and purified DNA as templates for the reaction (de Bruijn et al., 1996).

Rep-PCR has been used to differentiate and identify, among many others, strains of *Escherichia coli* from water (dos Anjos Borges et al., 2003), soft-rot *Erwinia* from ornamental plants (Norman et al., 2003), *Serratia marcescens* causing the cucurbit yellow wine disease (Zhang et al., 2003) and *Rhizobium meliloti* (de Bruijn, 1992). A database of rep-PCR patterns of a large collection of *Xanthomonas* isolates coupled to a computer assisted phylogenetic analysis, has been used as a tool for strain diagnosis (de Bruijn et al., 1996; Rademaker and de Bruijn, 1997).

**Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP).** In 1993, Zabeau and Vos, (1993), patented a new technique for DNA fingerprinting of plant genomes, the selective restriction fragment amplification. Vos et al. (1995) described the method in details and named it the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP). AFLP was adapted and optimised for the analysis of bacterial genomes and for the identification of bacteria by Janssen et al. (1996).

The AFLP protocol is quite complicated and contains several stages (Janssen et al., 1996; Kassama et al., 2002; Olive and Bean, 1999; Savelkoul et al., 1999; Vandamme et al., 1996). Extraction and purification of DNA from pure bacterial cultures is essential, because the AFLP fingerprints produced by the direct use of DNA from e.g. soil are not instructive. The DNA (about 100 ng) is digested with two restriction enzymes to produce DNA fragments with two types of “sticky ends”, corresponding to the restriction enzymes used. One enzyme is a frequent cutter, for example *MseI*, recognising a 4 bp restriction site. The second enzyme recognises a 6 bp site (not so frequent cutter), *EcoRI* being the most popular. Short

nucleotides (adapters) are then ligated to the restriction fragments. These adapters contain a restriction site complementary to a “sticky end” and a sequence homologous to a primer for a subsequent PCR. Pre-amplification PCR is performed with primers complementary to the sequences of an adapter and a restriction site. Pre-amplification PCR amplifies only restriction fragments that have an adapter ligated to both ends. Selective PCR amplification is done with selective primers that are complementary to the restriction sites and have one to three selective nucleotides at their 3'-ends. A selective primer complementary to the *MseI* with two selective nucleotides GG will amplify only the *MseI* sites flanked by the CC nucleotides, thus reducing the complexity of DNA fingerprints. One of the selective primers, containing the normal frequency restriction site (*EcoRI* for example) is labelled with a fluorescent dye, to visualise obtained fingerprints on gels. The PCR products are separated by a polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualised by using a laser light.

The discriminatory power of AFLP is equal to that of PFGE for most bacterial taxa (Jureen et al., 2004; Keto-Timonen et al., 2003; Lindstedt et al., 2000, Olive and Bean, 1999). Keto-Timonen et al. (2003) reported that AFLP was faster than and not as laborious as PFGE when applied to the discrimination of *Listeria* isolates. In some cases, for example in differentiation of *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains (Desai et al., 1998), and recognition of individual strains of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* (Janssen et al., 1996), AFLP was superior to PFGE (Savelkoul et al., 1999).

Countless researchers have used AFLP analysis in bacterial studies. The technique was applied to the identification and taxonomy of the soft rot bacteria *Erwinia carotovora* (syn. *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*) and *E. chrysanthemi* (syn. *Dickeya* spp) (Avrova et al., 2002). AFLP analysis of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *K. oxytoca* and other *Klebsiella* species allowed the discrimination of the species within the genus and recognition of epidemiologically non-related isolates (Jonas et al., 2004). Kassama et al. (2002) used AFLP for identification of bacterial isolates from urinary tract infections. The relatedness of 69 bacteria was determined by cluster analysis of the AFLP-generated fingerprints. The bacteria grouped into eight clusters, corresponding to eight bacterial species. Brady (2005) developed an AFLP-based typing system for the genus *Pantoea*. Seventy-nine strains included in the study, both reference strains of *Pantoea* species and suspected *Pantoea* from *Eucalyptus*, formed 15 distinct clusters in the dendrogram derived from the fingerprint data.

As the genus *Pantoea* contains seven species, the results indicated that some strains could belong to new, yet undescribed species.

AFLP and rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting techniques were compared to DNA-DNA hybridisation studies (Rademaker et al., 2000). AFLP and rep-PCR genomic fingerprints (rep-PCR with three different primers sets) were produced for 178 *Xanthomonas* strains, belonging to 20 defined DNA-DNA homology groups within *Xanthomonas*. The authors calculated similarity values obtained from rep-PCR and AFLP generated fingerprints and compared them with the DNA-DNA homology values. The similarity values were highly correlated, suggesting that genomic fingerprinting using AFLP and rep-PCR shows real genotypic and phylogenetic relationships among *Xanthomonas*. The study gave evidence that rep-PCR and AFLP could be used for identification of bacterial strains, studying the taxonomic diversity of bacterial groups and determination of phylogenetic structure of bacterial populations (Rademaker et al., 2000).

**Other DNA-based typing methods.** Apart from RFLP, PFGE, RAPD, rep-PCR and AFLP, the introduction of molecular biological techniques into the microbiology laboratory yielded a large variety of other DNA-based typing methods. Restriction fragment analysis of plasmids, PCR-based locus specific RFLP, ribotyping, cleavase fragment length polymorphism have all been used and evaluated as tools for taxonomic investigations (Gürter and Mayall, 2001; Houpiqian and Raoult, 2004; Louws et al., 1999; Olive and Bean, 1999; Rademaker et al., 2000; Vandamme et al., 1996; Versalovic and Lupski 2002).

## 16S rRNA GENE SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

In the 1970's, Sanger, Gilbert and Maxam developed a technique for DNA sequencing (Broughton, 2003). Today, almost 40 years later, it is difficult to imagine biological sciences without DNA sequences. There are over 400 000 sequences of the 16S rRNA gene available in the GenBank, the largest database of nucleotide sequences (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD). Since the landmark studies by Woese in 1970's (Woese et al., 1975) and 1980's (Woese et al., 1985; Woese, 1987), the 16S rRNA gene sequence has become an essential tool for bacterial classification and identification (Clarridge, 2004; Vandamme et al., 1996). Clarridge (2004)

compared the impact of the 16S rRNA gene sequence on bacterial taxonomy with that of the Gram-stain, developed in 1884 by C. Gram (Broughton, 2003).

The 16S rRNA gene supports protein synthesis in bacteria, a fundamental element of every cell function (Noller et al., 2005). The 16S rRNA gene (Clarridge, 2004) is present in all bacteria, the sequence is approximately 1,550 bp long, is the most conserved bacterial gene, contains both conserved and variable regions, variable regions are used for the comparative taxonomy and is easily amplified by PCR using universal primers (Weisburg et al., 1991). The gene could be amplified directly from diseased tissue, allowing characterisation and identification of bacteria that are difficult or impossible to culture (Avilla et al., 1998; Houpiikian and Raoult, 2002; Relman, 1999)

Kwon et al. (1997) and Hauben et al. (1998) showed, using 16S rDNA sequences of plant associated strains, representing *Erwinia*, *Pantoea* and other *Enterobacteriaceae*, that three phylogenetic groups exist within *Pantoea*. *Pantoea* species group in a monophyletic unit that is closely related to the true *Erwinia* species. The results indicated that 16S rDNA sequences could be used to differentiate and identify bacteria of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, including the genus *Pantoea*.

The 16S rDNA sequences have been used, together with phenotypic characterisation, in identification of *P. ananatis* causing stem necrosis of rice (Azad et al., 2000), *P. ananatis* and *P. agglomerans* isolated from human hosts (De Beare et al. 2004; Kratz et al., 2003), and *Pantoea* species from the environment (Loiret et al., 2004; Torres et al., 2005). Coutinho et al. (2002) showed that bacteria causing bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* are *P. ananatis*, by, among other methods, the analysis of the 16S rRNA gene.

The 16S rDNA sequence is sometimes inadequate for the identification of closely related bacterial species because of: possible lateral transfers within the gene, the highly conserved nature of the gene and multiple copies of the 16S rRNA gene within a single cell (Broughton, 2003; Cillia et al., 1996; Keswani and Whitman, 2001). Alternatively, several other genes have been examined for their potential as phylogenetic markers. These include the *recA* gene involved in recombination and DNA repair (Waleron et al., 2002), the *groE*, which encodes stress proteins (Harada and Ishikawa, 1997), and the *gyrB* gene encoding the ATPase domain of DNA gyrase (Dauga, 2002).

## MULTI-LOCUS SEQUENCE ANALYSIS (MLSA)

Analysis of a single gene sequence does not always lead to correct identification of a bacterial isolate. Van Berkum et al. (2003) pointed out that phylogeny of a gene is phylogeny of that gene, not of its host. The authors observed inconsistency in the 16S rRNA, ITS and 23S rRNA-derived phylogenetic relationships among bacterial strains of *Rhizobiaceae*. Fukushima et al. (2002) evaluated the *gyrB* and 16S rRNA sequences of *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Escherichia coli* for identification purposes. The classification of bacterial strains obtained by the 16S rRNA sequences analysis was different from that determined by the analysis of the *gyrB* gene sequences.

“The ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology” proposed that not one, but at least five genes sequences of any given strain should be analysed, to obtain a phylogenetic data for species identification (Stackebrandt et al., 2002). Such genes should be: protein coding (housekeeping genes), located at diverse chromosomal loci, present in a single copy, not prone to recombination and widely distributed among bacterial taxa (Stackebrandt et al., 2002; Zeigler, 2003).

The multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA), a “method for the genotyping characterisation of diverse groups of prokaryotes” (Gevers et al., 2005), stems from the multi-locus sequence typing (MLST), a “method for the genotyping characterisation of prokaryotes at the infraspecific level” (Gevers et al., 2005). MLST was developed to identify hypervirulent lineages of *Neisseria meningitides* (Maiden et al., 1998). This approach is used in clinical epidemiology for molecular typing of strains belonging to a single, described species (Gevers et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2006). The purpose of MLSA is to select the genes, which combined sequences, when analysed, could clearly divide the strains belonging to a genus into separate, previously described species. The division of strains into species obtained by MLSA should be congruent with other methods, specifically with the DNA-DNA hybridisation (Gevers et al., 2005).

Zeigler (2003) compared 49 sequences of complete bacterial genomes belonging to 23 described species to find the genes valuable for predicting relatedness of whole genomes in bacteria. He selected 32 protein-encoding genes applying the following criteria: genes were

present in all 49 genomes, only one copy of the gene was present in each of the genomes, the genes were “unique” (no close paralogues to confuse analysis), the gene sequence had to be long enough to include informative phylogenetic data and short enough for routine sequencing. The 16S rRNA gene was included as the most popular in phylogenetic studies. From the pool of 32 protein-coding genes, only eight showed an excellent ability for a species prediction. The best two genes for identification of diverse bacteria to a genus and species level were *recN*, a recombination and repair protein-encoding gene and *dnaX*, a gene encoding two subunits of DNA polymerase III. The 16S rRNA gene sequence had the poorest ability in assigning bacterial strains to the correct species.

Naser et al. (2005) examined the usefulness of RNA polymerase  $\alpha$  subunit (*rpoA*) and phenylalanyl –tRNA synthase (*pheS*) gene sequences as a tool for identification of enterococci. Ninety-six representative strains comprising all currently described *Enterococcus* species were analysed. All species were clearly differentiated based on their *rpoA* and *pheS* sequences. The author concluded that these two genes could be successfully used for identification of *Enterococcus*. Richter et al. (2006) sequenced seven genes of various strains within *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato*. Only the strains for which DNA-DNA hybridisation values were available in the literature were selected. The delineation obtained with MLSA was fully congruent with that established by DNA-DNA reassociations. The results also revealed an existence of a novel species, *Borrelia spielmanii* sp. nov.

## **INTEGRATION OF VARIOUS METHODS FOR A POLYPHASIC IDENTIFICATION**

Identification means assigning an unknown bacterial strain into one of the existing taxonomic groups (Vandamme et al., 1996). The basic unit of bacterial taxonomy is the species.

The species is defined as “a group of strains with approximately 70% or greater DNA-DNA relatedness and with 5°C or less  $\Delta T_m$ ” (Wayne et al., 1987).

This definition is regarded the “golden rule” in delineation of bacterial species (Vandamme et al., 1996). However, the DNA-DNA hybridisation is difficult to perform, slow, reproducibility between laboratories is questionable, the data cannot be stored in databases

and each experiment requires the inclusion of sometimes several reference strains (Stackebrandt, 2002). Rosselló-Mora and Amann (2001) provided more universal and not as rigid definition:

The species is “a monophyletic and genomically coherent cluster of individual organisms that show a high degree of overall similarity in many independent characteristics, and is diagnosable by a discriminative phenotypic property” (Rosselló-Mora and Amann, 2001).

The definition calls for using a polyphasic approach, including both phenotypic and genotypic techniques, to identify a bacterial strain to the species level. “The ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology” suggested the following components should be considered for species delineation (Stackebrandt et al., 2002): the 16S rRNA gene sequence (>1,300 bp), protein-coding gene sequences, genotyping using fingerprinting techniques targeting whole genomes (PFGE, RAPD, rep-PCR, AFLP), phenotypic characterisation using preferably commercial systems, MLSA and DNA-DNA reassociation. The polyphasic characterisation is compulsory for the description of novel species in the “International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology”(Kämpfer et al., 2003).

*Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *fragariae*, a novel pathovar causing a new disease of strawberry, bacterial leaf blight, was described following polyphasic characterisation (Janse et al., 2001). The authors used a variety of phenotypic techniques, pathogenicity tests, AFLP analysis and DNA-DNA hybridisation to compare the leaf blight-causing strains with reference strains of other *Xanthomonas* species.

Coutinho et al. (2002) used a polyphasic approach to identify the causal agent of bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus*. Bacterial strains were characterised phenotypically by using the nutritional (Biolog) and physiological (API system) tests and fatty acid analysis. The 16S rDNA sequences were compared with those of other *Enterobacteriaceae* from the GenBank and phylogenetic analysis revealed that the isolates belong to the genus *Pantoea*. DNA-DNA hybridisation between the strains from *Eucalyptus* and the type strain of *P. ananatis* identified the bacterium causing blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* as *P. ananatis*.

Polyphasic analysis has been used to identify previously undescribed pathogens of passion fruit. Goncalves and Rosato (2000) isolated *Xanthomonas campestris*-like bacteria from passion fruit plants and fruits. The genetic diversity of 55 strains was examined using RAPD, rep-PCR, RFLP of the 16S-23S rDNA intergenic spacer region, PFGE, AFLP and SDS-PAGE of whole cell proteins. *Xanthomonas* strains from passion fruit could not be classified by the analysis of these data. Only DNA-DNA hybridisation identified them as *X. axonopodis* pv. *passiflorae*.

## CONCLUSIONS

A pure culture of the causal agent of the disease is essential for its identification. Isolation of *Pantoea ananatis* from onion seed on the general growth media such as nutrient agar, although possible, is difficult, due to abundant growth of other bacteria and fungi present in seed (Walcott et al., 2002). The development of an appropriate semi-selective medium would increase the efficiency of detection of *P. ananatis* in onion seed and other plant material.

*Pantoea* strains should be classified and identified based on genotypic and phenotypic characteristics, as identification based on phenotypic tests only does not always lead to clear results (Gavini et al., 1989, Mergaert et al., 1993).

PFGE is considered as the most-discriminatory DNA-based typing method (Struelens et al., 2001). Although it was used successfully to distinguish *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* from related *Pantoea* species (Coplin et al., 2002), this technique is laborious and time consuming (Olive and Bean, 1999). RAPD fingerprints assays are quicker and easier to perform than PFGE. Unfortunately, the assay is difficult to standardise and the RAPD fingerprints are not always reproducible. Additionally, the RAPD primers that generate the best DNA patterns for identification and differentiation must be determined empirically for each taxon.

Rep-PCR and AFLP genomic fingerprinting are proven as reliable methods, with a high degree of reproducibility, for the identification and diagnosis of plant pathogenic bacteria. The discriminatory power of AFLP is equal to that of PFGE (Lindstedt et al. 2000) and the rep-PCR is only slightly less discriminatory than PFGE (Weigel et al., 2004). The genotypic and phylogenetic relationships among microorganisms obtained by the analysis of the AFLP and rep-PCR fingerprints were highly correlated to that obtained using the DNA-DNA

hybridisation studies (Rademaker et al., 2000). These two techniques are easy to perform and relatively inexpensive. However, the results are difficult to compare between laboratories, as the choice of primers, restriction enzymes and gel systems varies between laboratories.

Phylogenetic relationships between bacteria could be determined by comparing the sequences of the stable part of the genes. The gene most commonly used for taxonomic purposes is the 16S rRNA gene. Although this gene has the poorest ability to predict genome relatedness at the species level, compared to 32 protein-encoding genes studied by Zeigler (2003), the 16S rRNA gene sequence is available for a large number of strains of almost all bacterial species. The sequences are deposited in publicly accessible databases. The sequence of an unknown strain is easily compared with many previously deposited sequences, making identification quick and efficient.

MLSA of housekeeping genes has a superior potential for species discrimination than the 16S rDNA analysis (Zeigler, 2003). The analysis of several genes of diverse chromosomal loci has the potential to replace DNA-DNA hybridisation in the delineation of bacterial species (Gevers et al., 2005; Richter et al., 2006; Zeigler, 2003). MLSA is simple and portable between laboratories, but the cost of sequencing several gene fragments for each isolate makes it rather a distant option for the routine identification of bacteria.

One technique is not sufficient for identification of plant pathogenic bacteria especially those causing previously undescribed diseases of new hosts. The identification of such bacteria requires a polyphasic approach, employing several genotypic and phenotypic methods (Alvarez, 2004; Coutinho et al., 2002; Vandamme et al., 1996).

## LITERATURE CITED

**Alvarez, A. M.** (2004). Integrated approaches for detection of plant pathogenic bacteria and diagnosis of bacterial diseases. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **42**, 339-366.

**Amellal, N., Burtin, G., Bartoli, F. & Heulin, T.** (1998). Colonization of wheat roots by an exopolysaccharide-producing *Pantoea agglomerans* strain and its effect on rhizosphere soil aggregation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**, 3740-3747.

**Avila, F. J., Bruton, B. D., Fletcher, J., Sherwood, J. L., Pair, S. D. & Melcher, U.** (1998). Polymerase chain reaction detection and phylogenetic characterization of an agent associated with yellow vine disease of cucurbits. *Phytopathology* **88**, 428-436.

**Avrova, A. O., Hyman, L. J., Toth, R. L. & Toth, I. K.** (2002). Application of amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting for taxonomy and identification of the soft rot bacteria *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**, 1499-1508.

**Azad, H. R., Holmes, G. J. & Cooksey, D. A.** (2000). A new leaf blotch disease of sudangrass caused by *Pantoea ananatis* and *Pantoea stewartii*. *Plant Dis* **84**, 973-979.

**Azegami, K., Ozaki, K. & Matsuda, A.** (1983). Bacterial palea browning, a new disease of rice caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Bull Nat Inst Agri Sci Ser C* **39**, 1-12.

**Babalola, O. O.** (2003). Molecular techniques: An overview of methods for the detection of bacteria. *Afr J Biotech* **2**, 710-713.

**Barbier, N., Saulnier, P., Chachaty, E., Dumontier, S. & Andremont, A.** (1996). Random amplified polymorphic DNA typing versus pulsed field gel electrophoresis for epidemiological typing of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *J Clin Microbiol* **34**, 106-109.

**Barding, S. D., Huang, H. C., Liu, L. & Yanke, L. J.** (2003). Control, by microbial seed treatment, of damping-off caused by *Pythium* sp. on canola, safflower, dry pea, and sugar beet. *Can J Plant Pathol* **25**, 268-275.

**Beer, S. V., Rundle, J. R. & Wodzinski, R. S.** (1984). Interaction between *E. amylovora* and *E. herbicola* "in vitro", in immature pear fruits and in apple blossoms. *Acta Horticult* **151**, 203-204.

**Beji, A., Mergaert, J., Gavini, F., Izard, D., Kersters, K., Leclerc, H. & De Ley, J.** (1988). Subjective synonymy of *Erwinia herbicola*, *Erwinia milletiae*, and *Enterobacter agglomerans* and redefinition of the taxon by genotypic and phenotypic data. *Intern J Syst Bacteriol* **38**, 77-88.

**Blakemore, E. J. A., Law, J. R. & Reeves, J. C.** (1999). PCR identification of *Erwinia stewartii* and its comparison with two other methods. *Seed Sci Technol* **27**, 385-396.

**Blakemore, E. J. A., Reeves, J. C & Ball, S. F. L.** (1992). Polymerase chain reaction used in the development of a DNA probe to identify *Erwinia stewartii*, a bacterial pathogen of maize. *Seed Sci Technol* **20**, 331-335.

**Brady, C. L.** (2005). Taxonomy of *Pantoea* associated with bacterial blight of *Eucalyptus*. Master Thesis. The Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

**Brenner, D. J., Fanning, G. R., Knutson, J. K. L., Steigerwalt, A. G. & Krichevsky, M. I.** (1984). Attempts to classify *Herbicola* group-Enterobacter agglomerans strains by deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization and phenotypic tests. *Int J Syst.Bacteriol* **34**, 45-55.

**Brown, N. A.** (1928). Bacterial pocket disease of the sugar beet. *J Agric Res* **37**, 155-168.

**Brown, N. A.** (1934). A gall similar to crown gall, produced on *Gypsophila* by a new bacterium. *J Agric Res* **48**, 1099-1112.

**Broughton, W. J.** (2003). Roses by other names: Taxonomy of the *Rhizobiaceae*. *J. Bacteriol* **185**, 2975-2979.

**Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M. & Lester, G. E.** (1986). Pathogenicity of *Erwinia ananas* to muskmelons in Texas. *Phytopathology* **76**, 1136.

**Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M., Lester, G. E. & Patterson, C. L.** (1991). Pathogenicity and characterization of *Erwinia ananas* causing a postharvest disease of cantaloupe fruit. *Plant Dis* **75**, 180-183.

**Burr, T. J., Katz, B. H., Abawi, G. S. & Crosier, D. C.** (1991). Comparison of tumorigenic strains of *Erwinia herbicola* isolated from table beet with *E. h. gypsophila*. *Plant Dis* **75**, 855-858.

**Cha, J-S., Pujol, C. Ducusin, A. R. Macion, E. A. Hubbard, C. H. & Kado, C. I..** (1997). Studies on *Pantoea citrea*, the causal agent of pink disease of pineapple. *J Phytopath* **145**, 313–319.

**Cillia, V., Lafay, B. & Christen, R** (1996). Sequence heterogeneities among 16S ribosomal RNA sequences, and their effect on phylogenetic analyses at the species level. *Mol Biol Evol* **13**, 451-461.

**Clarridge, J. E.** (2004). Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **17**, 840-862.

**Cooksey, D A.** (1986). Galls of *Gypsophila paniculata* caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Plant Dis* **70**, 464-468.

**Coplin, D. L., Majerczak, D. R., Zhang, Y., Kim, W., Jock, S. & Geider.** (2002). Identification of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* by PCR and strain differentiation by PFGE. *Plant Dis* **86**, 304-311.

**Cother, E. J., Reinke, R., McKenzie, C., Lanoiselet, V. M. & Noble, D. H.** (2004). An unusual stem necrosis of rice caused by *Pantoea ananas* and the first record of this pathogen on rice in Australia. *Austral Plant Pathol* **33**, 494-503.

**Cottyn, B., Regalado, E., Lanoot, B., De Cleene, M., Mew, T. W. & Swings, J.** (2001). Bacterial populations associated with rice seed in the tropical environment. *Phytopathology* **91**, 282-292.

**Coutinho, T.A., Preisig, O., Mergaert, J., Cnockaert, M. C., Riedel, K.-H., Swings, J. & Wingfield, M. J.** (2002). Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids and clones in South Africa. *Plant Dis* **86**, 20-25.

**Dauga, C.** (2002). Evolution of the *gyrB* gene and the molecular phylogeny of *Enterobacteriaceae*: a model molecule for molecular systematic studies. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**, 531-547.

**De Beare, T., Verhelst, R., Labit, C., Verschraegen, G., Wauters, G., Claeys, G. & Vaneechoutte, M.** (2004). Bacteremic infection with *Pantoea ananatis*. *J Clin Microbiol* **42**, 4393-4395.

**de Bruijn, F. J.** (1992). Use of repetitive (repetitive extragenic polydromic and enterobacterial repetitive intergenic) sequences and the polymerase chain reaction to fingerprint the genomes of *Rhizobium meliloti* isolates and other soil bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **58**, 2180-2187.

**de Bruijn, F. J., Rademaker, J., Schneider, M., Rossbach, U. & Louws, F. J.** (1996). Rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting of plant-associated bacteria and computer-assisted phylogenetic analysis, p. 497-502. In G. Stacey, B. Mullin and Gresshoff, P (ed.), *Biology of plant-microbe interaction; Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*. APS Press.

**Desai, M., Tanna, A., Wall, R., Efstratiou, A., George, R. & Stanley, J.** (1998). Fluorescent amplified-fragment length polymorphism analysis of an outbreak of group A streptococcal invasive disease. *J Clin Microbiol* **36**, 3133-3137.

**dos Anjos Borges, L. G., Vechia, V. D. & Corção, G.** (2003). Characterisation and genetic diversity via rep-PCR of *Escherichia coli* isolates from polluted waters in Southern Brazil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **45**, 173-180.

**Dye, D. W.** (1963a). The taxonomic position of *Xanthomonas stewartii* (Erw. Smith 1914) Dowson 1939. *N Z J Sci* **6**, 495-506.

**Dye, D. W.** (1963b). The taxonomic position of *Xanthomonas uredovorus*. Pon et al. 1954. *N Z J Sci* **6**, 146-149.

**Dye, D. W.** (1968). A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*. I. The “*amylovora*” group. *N Z J Sci* **11**, 590-607.

**Dye, D. W.** (1969a). A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*. II. The “*carotovora*” group. *N Z J Sci* **12**, 81-97.

**Dye, D. W.** (1969b). A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*. III. The “*herbicola*” group. *N Z J Sci* **12**, 223-236.

**Dye, D. W.** (1969c). A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*. IV. Atypical erwinias. *N Z J Sci* **12**, 833-839.

**Edens, D. G., Gitaitis, R. D., Sanders, F. H. & Nischwitz, C.** (2006). First report of *Pantoea agglomerans* causing a leaf blight and bulb rot of onions in Georgia. *Plant Dis* **90**, 1551.

**Edwig, W. H. & Fife, M. A.** (1972). *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck) comb. Nov. (the herbicola-lathyri bacteria). *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **22**, 4-11.

**Frederickson, D. E.** (1997). A disease of pearl millet in Zimbabwe caused by *Pantoea agglomerans*. *Plant Dis* **81**, 959.

**Fukushima, M., Kakinuma, K. & Kawaguchi, R.** (2002). Phylogenetic analysis of *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and *Escherichia coli* strains on the basis of the *gyrB* gene sequence. *J Clin Microbiol* **40**, 2779-2785.

**Gavini, F., Mergaert, J., Beji, A., Mielcarek, C., Izard, D., Kersters, K. & De Ley, J.** (1989). Transfer of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck 1888) Ewing and Fife 1972 to *Pantoea* gen. Nov. as *Pantoea agglomerans* comb. Nov. and description of *Pantoea dispersa* sp. nov. *Intern J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 337-345.

**Georghiou, P. R., Hamill, R. J., Wright, C. E., Versalovic, J., Koeth, T., Watson, D. A. & Lupski, J. R.** (1995). Molecular epidemiology of infections due to *Enterobacter aerogenes*: identification of hospital-associated strains by molecular techniques. *Clin Inf Dis* **20**, 84-94.

**Gevers, D., Cohan, F. M., Lavrence, J. G., Spratt, B. G., Coenye, T., Feil, E. J., Stackebrandt, E., Van de Peer, Y., Vandamme, P., Thompson, F. L. & Swings, J.** (2005). Re-evaluating prokaryotic species. *Nature Rev Microbiol* **3**, 733-739.

**Gitaitis, R. D. & Gay, J. D.** (1997). First report of a leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Georgia. *Plant Dis* **81**, 1096.

**Gitaitis, R. D., Walcott, R. R., Wells, M. L., Diaz Perez, J. C. & Sanders, F. H.** (2003). Transmission of *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot of onion, by tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca*. *Plant. Dis* **87**, 675-678.

**Gitaitis, R. D., Zolobowska, L., Culpepper, S., Langston, D. & Walcott, R.** (2000). Polymerase chain reaction detection of the onion pathogen *Pantoea ananatis* on various weeds and crops in Georgia, USA. In *Proceeding of the International Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, 10<sup>th</sup>* (ed. S. H. De Boer), P1, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, pp. 406-408, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

**Gohel, V., Megha, C., Vyas, P. & Chhaptar, H. S.** (2004). Strain improvement of chitinase producing isolate *Pantoea dispersa* for enhancing its biocontrol potential against fungal plant pathogens. *Ann Microbiol* **54**, 503.

**Goncalves, E. R. & Rosato, Y. B.** (2000). Genotypic characterisation of xanthomonad strains isolated from passion fruit plants (*Passiflora* spp. ) and their relatedness to different *Xanthomonas* species. *Int j Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**, 811-821.

**Goszczyńska, T., Serfontein, J. J. & Serfontein, S.** (2000). Introduction to practical phytobacteriology. SAFRINET, the Southern African loop of BioNet International, The Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation, Isteg Scientific Publications, Irene, South Africa.

**Goto, M., Huang, B. L., Makino, T., Goto, T. & Inaba, T.** (1988). A taxonomic study on ice nucleation active bacteria isolated from gemmisphere of tea (*Thea sinensis* L.), phyllosphere of vegetables and flowers of *Magnolia denudata* Desr. *Ann Phytopathol Soc Jpn* **54**, 189-197.

- Goto, M., Komaba, M., Horikawa, T. & Nakamura, N.** (1993). The role of ice nucleation active bacteria on frost damage of tea plants. *Ann Phytopathol Soc Jpn* **59**, 535-543.
- Grimont, P. A. D. & Grimont, F.** (2005). Genus XXIII. *Pantoea* Gavini, Mergaert, Beji, Mielcarek, Izarc, Kersters and De Ley 1989b, 343<sup>VP</sup> emend. Mergaert, Verdonck and Kersters 1993, 172. Pages 713-721 in: Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, Volume two, Part B. D. J. Brenner, N. J. Krieg, J. T. Staley and G. M. Garrity, eds. Springer.
- Gunasinghe, R. N., Ikiriwatte, C. J. & Karunaratne, A. M.** (2004). The use of *Pantoea agglomerans* and *Flavobacterium* sp. to control banana pathogens. *The J Hortic Sci Biotech* **79**, 1002-1006.
- Guo, M., Manulis, S., Barash, I. & Lichter, A.** (2001). The operon for cytokinin biosynthesis of *Erwinia herbicola* pv. *gypsophilae* contains two promoters and is plant-induced. *Can J Microbiol* **47**, 1126-1131.
- Gürter, V. & Mayall, B. C.** (2001). Genomic approaches to typing, taxonomy and evolution of bacterial isolates. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **51**, 3-16.
- Harada, H. & Ishikawa, H.** (1997). Phylogenetical relationship based on *groE* genes among phenotypically related *Enterobacter*, *Pantoea*, *Klebsiella*, *Serratia* and *Erwinia* species. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **43**, 355-361.
- Hattingh, M. J. & Walters, D. F.** (1981). Stalk and leaf necrosis of onion caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Plant Dis* **65**, 615-618.
- Hauben, I., Moore, E. R. B., Vauterin, L., Steenackers, M., Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L. & Swings, J.** (1998). Phylogenetic position of phytopatogens within the *Enterobacteriaceae*. *System Appl Microbiol* **21**, 384-397.
- Hayward, A. C.** (1996). Molecular biology in systematics and diagnosis of phytopathogenic prokaryotes. *Phytoparasitica* **24**, 271-275.

**Higuchi, R., Dollinger, G., Walsh, P. S. & Griffith, R.** (1992). Simultaneous amplification and detection of specific DNA sequences. *Biotechnology* **10**, 413-417.

**Higuchi, R., Fockler, C., Dollinger, G. & Watson, R.** (1993). Kinetic PCR analysis: real-time monitoring of DNA amplification reaction. *Biotechnology* **11**, 1026-1030.

**Hirano, S. S. & Upper, C. D.** (1995). Ecology of the ice nucleation active bacteria, p. 41-61. In R. E. Lee, Jr., G. R. Warren and L. V. Gusta (ed.), *Biological ice nucleation and its applications*. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.

**Hirano, S. S. & Upper, C. D.** (2000). Bacteria in the leaf ecosystem with emphasis on *Pseudomonas syringae* – a pathogen, ice nucleus and epiphyte. *Microbiol Molec Biol Rev* **64**, 624-653.

**Hoon, H., De, J. R., Chun, K. B., Yong, K. K. & Woong, K. Y.** (2006). Induced resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lachrymans* in cucumber by *Pantoea* spp. strain HB-22. 18<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Science, July 9-15, 2006, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

**Houpikian, P. & Raoult, D.** (2004). Traditional and molecular techniques for the study of emerging bacterial diseases: one laboratory perspective. *Emerg Infect Dis* **8**, 122-131.

**Hulton, C. S. J., Higgings, C. F. & Sharp, P. M.** (1991). ERIC sequences, a novel family of repetitive elements in the genomes of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and other enterobacterial. *Mol Microbiol* **5**, 825-834.

**Hyde, K. D., McCulloch, B., Akiew, E., Peterson, R. A. & Diatloff, A. D.** (1992). Strategies used to eradicate bacterial wilt of *Heliconia* (race 2) in Cairns, Australia, following introduction of the disease from Hawaii. *Australas Plant Pathol* **21**, 29-31.

**Iimura, K. & Hosono, A.** (1996). Biochemical characteristics of *Enterobacter agglomerans* and related strains found in buckwheat seeds. *Int J Food Microbiol* **30**, 243-253.

**Janse, J. D., Rossi, M. P., Gorkink, R. F. J., Derks, J. H. J., Swings, J., Janssens, D. & Scortichini, M.** (2001). Bacterial leaf blight of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) caused by a

pathovar of *Xanthomonas arboricola*, not similar to *Xanthomonas fragariae* Kennedy & King. Description of the causal organism as *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *fragariae* (pv. nov.). *Plant Pathol* **50**, 653-665.

**Janssen, P., Coopman, R., Huys, G., Swings, J., Bleeker, M., Vos, P., Zabeau, M. & Kersters, K.** (1996). Evaluation of the DNA fingerprinting method AFLP as a tool in bacterial taxonomy. *Microbiology* **142**, 1881-1893.

**Jonas, D., Spitzmüller, B., Daschner, F. D., Verhoef, J. & Brisse, S.** (2004). Discrimination of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* phylogenetic groups and other *Klebsiella* species by use of amplified fragment length polymorphism. *Res Microbiol* **155**, 17-23.

**Jureen, R., Harthug, S., Sørnes, S., Digranes, A., Willems, R. J. L. & Langeland, N.** (2004). Comparative analysis of amplified fragment length polymorphism and pulsed field gel electrophoresis in a hospital outbreak and subsequent endemicity of ampicillin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **40**, 33-39.

**Kageyama, B., Nakae, M., Yagi, S. & Sonoyama, T.** (1992). *Pantoea punctata* sp. nov., *Pantoea citrea* sp. nov., and *Pantoea terrea* sp. nov. isolated from fruit and soil samples. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **42**, 203-210.

**Kassama, Y., Rooney, P. J. & Goodacre, R.** (2002). Fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism probabilistic database for identification of bacterial isolates from urinary tract infections. *J Clin Microbiol* **40**, 2795-2800.

**Kämpfer, P., Bucholits, S., Albrecht, A., Busse, H-J. & Stackebrandt, E.** (2003). Towards a standardized format for the description of a novel species (of an established genus): *Ochrobactrum gallinifaecis* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **53**, 893-896.

**Keswani, J. & Whitman, W. B.** (2001). Relationship of 16S rRNA sequence similarity to DNA hybridization in prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **51**, 667-628.

**Keto-Timonen, R. O., Autio, T. J. & Korkeala, H. J. (2003).** An improved amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) protocol for discrimination of *Listeria* isolates. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **26**, 236-244.

**Kratz, A., Greenberg, D., Barki, Y., Cohen, E., Lifshitz, M. & Soroka, D. (2003).** *Pantoea agglomerans* as a cause of septic arthritis at palm tree thorn injury; case report and literature review. *Arsch Dis Child* **88**, 542-544.

**Koeuth, T., Versalovic, J. & Lupski, J. R. (1995).** Differential subsequence conservation of interspersed repetitive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* BOX elements in diverse bacteria. *Genome Res* **5**, 408-418.

**Kwon, S. W., Go, S. J., Kang, H.W., Ryu, J. C. & Jo, J. K. (1997).** Phylogenetic analysis of *Erwinia* species based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **47**, 1061–1067

**Ladha, J.K., Barraquio, W. L. & Watanabe, I. (1983).** Isolation and identification of nitrogen-fixing *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Klebsiella planticola* associated with rice plants. *Can J Microbiol* **29**, 1301-1308.

**Lelliott, R. A. (1974).** Genus XII. *Erwinia* Winslow, Broadhurst, Buchanan, Krumwiede, Rogers and Smith 1920. 209, p. 332-339. In R. E. Buchanan and N. E. Gibbons (ed.), *Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology*, 8<sup>th</sup> ed. The Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore.

**Lim, P-S., Chen, S-L., Tsai, C-Y. & Pai, M-A. (2006).** *Pantoea* peritonitis in a patient receiving chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (Case report). *Nephrology* **11**, 97-99.

**Lind, E., & Ursing, J. (1986).** Clinical strains of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (synonyms: *Erwinia herbicola*, *Erwinia milletiae*) identified by DNA-DNA hybridization. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand Sect B* **94**, 205-213.

**Lindow, S. E. (1982).** Population dynamics of epiphytic ice nucleation active bacteria on frost sensitive plants and frost control by means of antagonistic bacteria, p. 395-416. In P. H.

Li and A. Sakai (ed.), Plant cold hardiness and freezing stress – mechanism and crop implications, vol. 2. Academic Press, New York, N. Y.

**Lindow, S. E., Arny, D. C. & Upper, C. D.** (1978). *Erwinia herbicola*: a bacterial ice nucleus active in increasing frost injury to corn. *Phytopathology* **68**, 523-527.

**Lindow, S. E., Arny, D. C. & Upper, C. D.** (1982). Bacterial ice nucleation: a factor in frost injury to plants. *Plant Physiol* **70**, 1084-1089.

**Lindow, S. E., Arny, D. C. & Upper, C. D.** (1983). Biological control of frost injury: an isolate of *Erwinia herbicola* antagonistic to ice nucleation active bacteria. *Phytopathology* **73**, 1097-1102.

**Lindow, S. E., Desurmont, C., Elkins, R., McGourty, G., Clark, E. & Brandl, M. T.** (1998). Occurrence of indole-3 acetic acid-producing bacteria on pear trees and their association with fruit russet. *Phytopathology* **88**, 1149-1157.

**Lindstedt, B., Heir, E., Vardund, T. & Kapperund, G.** (2000). Fluorescent amplified-fragment length polymorphism genotyping of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovars and comparison with pulsed-field electrophoresis typing. *J Clin Microbiol* **38**, 1623-1627.

**Liu, P. Y-F. & Wu, W.-L.** (1997). Use of different PCR-based DNA fingerprinting techniques and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis to investigate the epidemiology of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*-*Acinetobacter baumannii* complex. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **28**, 19-28.

**Loiret, F. G., Ortega, E., Kleiner, D., Ortega-Rodes, P., Rodes, R. & Dong, Z.** (2004). A putative new endophytic nitrogen-fixing *Pantoea* sp. from sugarcane. *J Appl Microbiol* **97**, 504-511.

**Lyon, H. L.** (1915). A survey of the pineapple problems. Hawaii. *Plant Rec* **13**, 125-139.

**Louws, F. J., Rademaker, J. L. W. & De Bruijn, F. J. (1999).** The three D's of PCR based genomic analysis of phytobacteria: diversity, detection, and disease diagnosis. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **37**, 81-125.

**Manulis, S., Haviv-Chesner, A., Brandl, M., Lindow, S.E. & Barash, I. (1998).** Differential involvement of indole-3-acetic acid biosynthetic pathways in pathogenicity and epiphytic fitness of *Erwinia herbicola* pv. *gypsophila*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **11**, 634-642.

**Maki, D. G., Rhame, F. S., Mackel, D. C. & Bennett, J. V. (1976).** Nationwide epidemic of septicemia caused by contaminated intravenous products. I. Epidemiologic and clinical features. *Am J Med* **60**, 471-485.

**Maiden, M. C., Bygraves, J. A., Feil, E., Morelli, G., Russel, J. E., Urwin, R., Zhang, Q., Zhou, J., Zurth, K., Caugant, D. A., Feavers, I. M., Achtman, M. & Spratt, B. G. (1998).** Multilocus sequence typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**, 3140-3145.

**Marchi, G., Sisto, A., Cimmino, A., Andolfi, A., Cipriani, M. G., Evidente, A. & Surico, G. (2006).** Interaction between *Pseudomonas savastanoi* pv. *savastanoi* and *Pantoea agglomerans* in olive knots. *Plant Pathol* online, doi:10.1111/j.1365-309.2006.01449.x .  
<http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/>

**Martin, R. R., James, D. & Lévesque, C. A. (2000).** Impacts of molecular diagnostic technologies on plant disease management. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **38**, 207-239.

**Medrano, E. G. & Bell, A. A. (2006).** Role of *Pantoea agglomerans* in opportunistic bacterial seed and ball rot of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) grown in the field. *J Appl Microbiol* **102**, 134-143.

**Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L. & Kersters, K. (1993).** Transfer of *Erwinia ananas* (synonym, *Erwinia uredovora*) and *Erwinia stewartii* to the genus *Pantoea* emend. as *Pantoea ananas* (Serrano 1928) comb. Nov. and *Pantoea stewartii* (Smith 1898) comb. nov., respectively,

and description of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* subsp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **43**, 162-173.

**Meunier, J. R. & Grimont, P. A. D.** (1993). Factors affecting reproducibility of random amplified polymorphic DNA fingerprinting. *Res Microbiol* **144**, 373-379.

**Mullis, K. B. & Faloona, F.** (1987). Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase chain reaction. *Meth Enzymol* **155**, 335-350.

**Naser, S. M., Thompson, F. L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Davyndt, P., Vancanneyt, M. & Swings, J.** (2005). Application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid identification of *Enterococcus* species based on *rpoA* and *pheS* genes. *Microbiology* **151**, 2141-2150.

**Newton, D. & Hayward, A. C.** (1986). Ice nucleation activity of some reference cultures of *Pseudomonas syringae* and field isolates of bacteria from wheat and barley in Queensland, Australia. *Australas Plant Pathol* **15**, 71-73.

**Noller, H. F., Hoang, L. & Fredrick, K.** (2005). The 30S ribosomal P site: a function of 16S rRNA. *FEBS Lett* **579**, 855-858.

**Norman, D. J., Yuen, J. M. F., Resendiz, R., & Boswell, J.** (2003). Characterization of *Erwinia* population from nursery retention ponds and lakes infecting ornamental plants in Florida. *Plant Dis* **87**, 193-196.

**Nunes, C., Usall, J., Teixido, N. & Vinas, I.** (2001). Biological control of postharvest pear diseases using a bacterium, *Pantoea agglomerans* CPA-2. *Int J Food Microbiol* **70**, 53-61.

**OEPP/EPPO.** (1978). Data sheets on quarantine organisms No 54, *Erwinia stewartii*. *Bull OEPP/EPPO* **8** (2).

**Olive, D. M. & Bean, P.** (1999). Principles and applications of methods for DNA-based typing of microbial organisms. *J Clin Microbiol* **37**, 1661-1669.

**Özactan, H. & Bora, T.** (2004). Biological control of fire blight in pear orchards with a formulation of *Pantoea agglomerans* strain Eh 24. *Braz J Microbiol* **35**, 1-12.

**Paccola-Meirelles, L. D., Ferreira, A. S., Merelles, W. F., Marriel, I. E. & Casela, C. R.** (2001). Detection of bacterium associated with a leaf spot disease of maize in Brazil. *J Phytopathology* **149**, 275-279.

**Pepper, E. H.** (1967). Stewart's bacterial wilt of corn. Monographs of the American Phytopathological Society No 4. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.

**Perombelon, M. C.** (1981). The ecology of erwinias on aerial plant surfaces, p. 411-431. In J. P. Blakeman (ed.), *Microbial ecology of the phylloplane*. Academic Press, New York, N. Y.

**Pon, D. S., Townsend, C. E., Wessman, G. E., Schmitt, C. G. & Kingsolver, C. H.** (1954). A *Xanthomonas* parasitic on uredia of cereal rust. *Phytopathology* **44**, 707-710.

**Pusey, P. L.** (1997). Crab apple blossoms as a model for research on biological control of fire blight. *Phytopathology* **87**, 1096-1102.

**Rademaker, J. L. W. & de Bruijn, F. J.** (1997). Characterisation and classification of microbes by Rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting and computer-assisted pattern analysis. Pages 51-171 in: *DNA markers: Protocols, applications and overviews*. G. Caetano-Anolles and P. M. Gresshoff, eds. Wiley, New York.

**Rademaker, J. L. W., Hoste, B., Louws, F. J., Kersters, K., Swings, J., Vauterin, L., Vauterin, P. & de Bruijn, F. J.** (2000). Comparison of AFLP and rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting with DNA-DNA homology studies: *Xanthomonas* as a model system. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**, 665-677.

**Relman, D. A.** (1999). The search for unrecognised pathogens. *Science* **284**, 1308-1310.

**Richter, D., Postic, D., Sertour, N., Livey, I., Matuschka, F-R. & Baranton, G. (2006).** Delineation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato species by multilocus sequence analysis and confirmation of the delineation of *Borrelia spielmanni* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **56**, 873-881.

**Rosselló-Mora, R. & Amann, R. (2001).** The species concept for prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **25**, 39-67.

**Rossetti, L. & Giraffa G. (2005).** Rapid identification of dairy lactic acid bacteria by M13-generated, RAPD-PCR fingerprint databases. *J Microbiol Methods* **63**, 135-144.

**Ruinen, J. (1975).** Nitrogen fixation in the phyllosphere, p. 85-100. In W. D. P. Stewart (ed.), Nitrogen fixation by free-living micro-organisms. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K.

**Saiki, R. H., Gelfland, D. H. Stoffel, S., Scharf, S. J., Higuchi, R., Horn, G. T., Mullis, K. B. & Erlich, H. A. (1988).** Primer directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science* **239**, 487-491.

**Saiki, R. H., Scharf, S., Faloona, F., Mullis, K. B., Horn, G. T., Ehrlich, H.A. & Arnheim N. (1985).** Enzymatic amplification of beta-globulin genomic sequences and restriction site analysis for diagnosis of sickle cell anemia. *Science* **230**, 1350-1354.

**Savelkoul, P. H. M., Aarts, H. J. M., De Haas, J., Dijkshoorn, J., Buim, B., Otsen, M., Rademaker, J. L. W., Schouls, L. & Lenstra, J. A. (1999).** Amplified-fragment length polymorphism analysis: the state of art. *J Clin Microbiol* **37**, 3083-3091.

**Schaad, N. W., Frederick, R. D., Shaw, J., Schneider, W. L., Hickson, R., Petrillo, M. D. & Luster, D. G. (2003).** Advances in molecular-based diagnostics in meeting crop biosecurity and phytosanitary issues. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **41**, 305-324.

**Schaad, N. W., Tamaki, S., Hatziloukas, E. & Panopoulos, N. (1995).** A combined biological amplification (BIO-PCR) technique to detect *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* in bean seed extracts. *Phytopathology* **85**, 243-248.

**Schwartz, H. F. & Otto, K.** (2000). First report of a leaf blight and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Colorado. *Plant Dis* **84**, 808.

**Serrano, F. B.** (1928). Bacterial fruitlet brown-rot of pineapple in the Philippines. *Philippine J Sci* **36**, 271-305.

**Singh, A., Goering, R. V., Simjee, S., Foley, S. L. & Zervos, M. J.** (2006). Application of molecular techniques to the study of hospital infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **19**, 512-530.

**Sprent, J. I. & Sprent, P.** (1990). Nitrogen fixing organisms. Chapman and Hall, London, U. K.

**Stackebrandt, E.** (2002). From species definition to species concept: population genetics is going to influence the systematic of Prokaryotes. *WFCC Newsletter* **35**, 1-4.

**Stackebrandt, E., Frederiksen, W., Garrity, G. M. Grimont, P. A. D., Kämpfer, P., Maiden, M. C. J., Nesme, X., Rosselló-Mora, R., Swings, J., Trüper, H. G., Vauterin, L., Ward, A. C. & Whitman, W. B.** (2002). Report of the ad-hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**, 1043-1047.

**Stern, M. J., Ames, G. F. L., Smith, N. H., Robinson, E. C. & Higgins, C. F.** (1984). Repetitive extragenic palindromic sequences: a major component of the bacterial genome. *Cell* **37**, 1015-1026.

**Stewart, F. C.** (1897). A bacterial disease of sweet corn. *N Y Agric Exp Sta Bull* **130**, 422-439.

**Strange, R. N. & Scott, P. R.** (2005). Plant disease: a thread to global food security. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **43**, 83-116.

**Struelens, M. J., De Ryck, R. & Deplano, A.** (2001). Analysis of microbial genomic macrorestriction patterns by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) typing, p. 159-176. In

L. Dijkshoorn, K. J. Towner and M. Struelens (ed.), New approaches for the generation and analysis of microbial typing data. Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

**Tabei, H., Azegami, K. & Fvkuda, T.** (1988). Infection site of rice grain with *Erwinia herbicola*, the causal agent of bacterial palea browning of rice. *Annu Phytopathol Soc Japan*, **54**, 637.

**Thal, L. A., Silverman, J., Donabedian, S. & Zervos, M. J.** (1997). The effect of Tn916 insertions on contour-clamped homogenous electrophoresis patterns of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Clin Microbiol* **35**, 969-972.

**Torres, R., Teixidó, N., Ussal, J., Abadias, M. & Viñas, I.** (2005). Post-harvest control of *Penicillium expansum* on pome fruits by the bacterium *Pantoea ananatis* CPA-3. *The J Hort Sci Biotech* **80**, 75-81.

**Trebaol, G., Manceau, C., Tirilly, Y. & Boury, S.** (2001). Assessment of the genetic diversity among strains of *Xanthomonas cynarae* by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis and development of specific characterized amplified regions for the rapid identification of *X. cynarae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **67**, 3379-3384.

**Trenover, F. C., Arbeit, R. D., Goering, R. V., Mickelsen, P. A., Murray, B. E., Persing, D. H. & Swaminathan, B.** (1995). Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* **33**, 2233-2239.

**van Berkum, P., Terefework, Z., Paulin, L., Suomalainen, S., Lindström, K. & Eardly, B. D.** (2003). Discordant phylogenics with the *rrn* loci of rhizobia. *J Bacteriol* **185**, 2988-2998.

**Valasek, M. A. & Repa, J. J.** (2005). The power of real time PCR. *Adv Physiol Educ* **29**, 151-159.

**Vandamme, P., Pot, B., Gillis, M., De Vos, P., Kersters, K. & Swings, J.** (1996). Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. *Microbiol Rev* **60**, 407-438.

**Vanneste, J. L., Yu, J., Cornish, D. A., Voyle, M. D. & Melbourne, M.** (1999). Designing a biological control of fire blight: Expression of a new peptide antibiotic gene in *Erwinia amylovora* and of the harpin gene in *Erwinia herbicola*. In M. T. Momol and H. Saygili (ed.), Proceeding of the Eight International Workshop on Fire Blight, ISHS Acta Horticulturae 489, Kusadasi, Turkey. Available online, <http://www.actahort.org/books/489/index.htm>

**Verdonck, L., Mergaert, J., Rijckaert, C., Swings, J., Kersters, K. & De Ley, J.** (1987). Genus *Erwinia*: Numerical analysis of phenotypic features. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **37**, 4-18.

**Versalovic, J., Koeth, T. & Lupski, J. R.** (1991). Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in eubacteria and application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes. *Nucl Acids Res* **19**, 6823-6831.

**Versalovic, J. & Lupski, J. R.** (2002). Molecular detection and genotyping of pathogens: more accurate and rapid answers. *Trends Microbiol* **10**, 15-21.

**Versalovic, J., Schneider, M., de Bruijn, F. J. & Lupski, J. R.** (1994). Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence based PCR (rep-PCR). *Meth Cell Mol Biol* **5**, 25-40.

**Vila, J., Marcos, M. A. & Jimenes de Anta, M. T.** (1996). A comparative study of different PCR-based DNA fingerprinting techniques for typing of the *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*-*A. baumannii* complex. *J Med Microbiol* **44**, 482-489.

**Vogel, L., Jones, G., Triep, S., Koek, A. & Dijkshoorn, L.** (1999). RAPD typing of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates using standardized reagents. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **5**, 270-276.

**Vos, P., Hogers, R., Bleeker, M., Reijans, M., van der Lee, T., Hornes, M., Frijters, A., Pot, J., Peleman, J., Kuiper, M. & Zabeau, M.** (1995). AFLP; a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucl Acids Res* **23**, 4407-4414.

**Walcott, R. R., Gitaitis, R. D., Castro, A. C., Sanders Jr., F. H. & Diaz-Perez, J. C.** (2002). Natural infestation of onion seed by *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot. *Plant Dis* **86**, 106-111.

**Waleron, M., Waleron, K., Podhajska, A. J. & Lojkowska, E.** (2002). Genotyping of bacteria belonging to the former *Erwinia* genus by PCR-RFLP analysis of a *recA* gene. *Microbiology* **148**, 583-593.

**Watanabe, K., Kawakita, H., & Sato, M.** (1996). Epiphytic bacterium, *Erwinia ananas*, commonly isolated from rice plants and brown leafhoppers (*Nilaparvata lugens*) in hopperburn patches. *Appl Entomol Zoology* **31**, 459-462.

**Watanabe, T., Saito, A., Takeuchi, Y., Naimuddin, M. & Nishigaki, K.** (2002). A database for the provisional identification of species using only genotypes: web-based genome profiling. *Genome Biol* **3**, 101-108.

**Watanabe, K. & Sato, M.** (1999). Gut colonization by an ice nucleation active bacterium, *Erwinia (Pantoea) ananas* reduces the cold hardiness of mulberry pyralid larvae. *Cryobiology* **38**, 281-289.

**Wayne, L. G., Brenner, D. J., Colwell, R. R., Grimont, P. A. D., Kandler, O., Krichevsky, M. I., Moore, W. E. C., Murray, R. G. E., Stackebrandt, E., Starr, M. P. & Trüper, H. G.** (1987). Report on the Ad Hoc Committee on Reconciliation of Approaches to Bacterial Systematics. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **37**, 463-464.

**Weigel, R. M., Qiao, B., Teferedegne, B., Suh, D. K., Barber, D. A., Isaacson, R. E. & White, B. A.** (2004). Comparison of pulsed field gel electrophoresis and repetitive sequence polymerase chain reaction as genotyping methods for detection of genetic diversity and inferring transmission of *Salmonella*. *Vet Microbiol* **100**, 205-217.

**Weisburg, W. G., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A. & Lane, D. J.** (1991). 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J Bacteriol* **173**, 697-703.

**Wells, M. L., Gitaitis, R. D. & Sanders, F. H.** (2002). Association of tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with two species of bacteria of the genus *Pantoea*. *Annu Entomol Soc Am*, **95**, 719-723.

**Wells, J. M., Sheng, W. S., Ceponis, M. J. & Chen, T. A.** (1987). Isolation and characterization of strains of *Erwinia ananas* from honeydew melons. *Phytopathology* **77**, 511-514.

**Welsh, J. & McClelland, M.** (1990). Fingerprinting genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. *Nucleic Acids Res* **18**, 7213-7218.

**Williams, J. G., Kubelic, A. R., Livak, K. J., Rafalsky, J. A. & Tingey, S. V.** (1990). DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Res* **18**, 6531-6535.

**Wilson, M. & Lindow, S. E.** (1994). Ecological similarity and coexistence of epiphytic ice-nucleation (Ice +) *Pseudomonas syringae* and non-ice-nucleating (Ice -) biological control agent. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **60**, 3128-3137.

**Winslow, C. E. A., Broadhurst, R. E., Buchanan, R. E., Krumwiede, C., Rogers, L. A. Jr. & Smith, G. H.** (1917). The families and genera of the bacteria. Preliminary report of the Committee of the Society of American Bacteriologists on Characterization and Classification of Bacterial Types. *J Bacteriol* **2**, 505-566.

**Woese, C. R., Fox, G. E., Zablen, L., Uchida, T., Bonene, L., Pechman, K., Lewis, B. J. & Stahl, D.** (1975). Conservation of primary structure in 16S ribosomal RNA. *Nature* **254**, 83-86.

**Woese, C. R.** (1987). Bacterial evolution. *Microbiol Rev* **51**, 221-271.

**Woese, C. R., Stackebrandt, E., Macke, J. & Fox, G. E.** (1985). A phylogenetic definition of the major eubacterial taxa. *Syst Appl Microbiol* **6**, 143-151.

**Zabeau, M. & Vos, P.** (1993). Selective restriction fragment amplification: a general method for DNA fingerprinting. European Patent Office, publication 0534858 A1.

**Zeigler, D. R.** (2003). Gene sequences usefull for predicting relatedness of whole genomes in bacteria. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **53**, 1893-1900.

**Zhang, L. & Birch, R. G.** (1997a). Mechanism of biocontrol by *Pantoea dispersa* of sugar cane leaf scald disease caused by *Xanthomonas albilineans*. *J Appl Microbiol* **82**, 448-454.

**Zhang, L. & Birch, R. G.** (1997b). The gene for albicidin detoxification from *Pantoea dispersa* encodes an esterase and attenuates pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas albilineans* to sugarcane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**, 9984-9989.

**Zhang, Q., Weyant, R., Steigerwalt, A. G., White, L. A., Melcher, U., Bruton, B. D., Pair, S. D., Mitchel, F. L. & Fletcher, J.** (2003). Genotyping of *Serratia marcescens* strains associated with cucurbit yellow vine disease by repetitive elements-based polymerase chain reaction and DNA-DNA hybridization. *Phytopathology* **93**, 1240-1246.



## CHAPTER 2

### **PA 20, a Semi-Selective Medium for Isolation and Enumeration of *Pantoea ananatis***

As published in: Journal of Microbiological Methods. 2006. Vol. 64, pages 225-231.

#### **Abstract**

A semi-selective medium, PA 20, was developed for the isolation and enumeration of *Pantoea ananatis* from plant material, specifically from onion seed. The medium has a pH of 8.0 and contains  $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , magnesium sulphate, NaCl, D (+) arabitol, crystal violet, bromothymol blue and thallium nitrate. All *P. ananatis* strains from a variety of hosts produced characteristic yellow colonies in 6-7 days at 25°C. Plating efficiencies on PA 20 in comparison to nutrient agar ranged from 92 to 112%. Recovery from naturally infested and artificially contaminated onion seed was high, with an almost total reduction of saprophytes.

Keywords: PA 20 medium; *Pantoea ananatis*, Seed; Selective isolation

## 1. Introduction

*Pantoea ananatis* is a pathogen causing diseases in a number of economically important plants including onion (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997; Schwartz and Otto, 2000), *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002), corn (Paccola-Meirelles et al., 2001), melons (Bruton et al., 1986; Wells et al., 1987), sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000), rice (Azegami et al., 1983) and pineapple (Serrano, 1928).

*P. ananatis* is both seed-borne and seed-transmitted in sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000), rice (Tabei et al., 1988) and onions (Walcott et al., 2002). The disease of onion, caused by *P. ananatis*, was named center rot. Onion seed associated with the first outbreak of center rot in Georgia, USA (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997), was produced in South Africa and it was suspected that the bacterium was introduced into that country on infected seed lots (Walcott et al., 2002). In South Africa, efforts to control the quality of commercially produced onion seed mainly focus on the detection of fungal pathogens, and little is known about potential bacterial pathogens associated with these seeds. Nutrient Agar (NA) and yeast extract dextrose calcium carbonate (YDC) (Wilson et al., 1967) are the common growth media used to isolate *P. ananatis* from plant material and seed (Azad et al., 2000; Coutinho et al., 2002; Walcott et al., 2002). These media, however, are non-selective and many other organisms present as saprophytes or endophytes in plant material and in seed may hamper the detection of the target pathogen. In this paper, we describe a semi-selective medium, PA 20, which suppresses growth of many saprophytic microorganisms and serves as a suitable medium for growth and enumeration of *P. ananatis*. The medium was specifically developed to detect this pathogen on onion seed.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Stock cultures of all isolates were maintained in milk-glycerol liquid medium (10% skim milk, 15% glycerol in distilled water) at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Stock strains were transferred onto NA (Difco) plates and incubated at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  to recover growing cultures. Cultures were routinely checked for purity and colony characteristics.

## 2.2. PA 20 development

Criteria for the semi-selective medium were: differentiation between *P. ananatis* and other bacteria by colony morphology and inhibition of fungal and bacterial contaminants commonly found on onion seed, without hampering the growth of *P. ananatis*.

Substrate utilisation profiles using the BIOLOG (BIOLOG, Inc., Hayward, CA) plates designed for gram negative bacteria revealed that *P. ananatis* strains utilised D (+) arabitol, while the majority of other bacteria listed in Table 1 did not (data not shown).

A variety of media, based on the utilisation of D (+) arabitol and modification of Medium C of Dye (Dye, 1968) were prepared. Different concentrations of NaCl: 0.5; 1.0; 2.0; 3.0 and 4.0%, and a range of pH from 7.0 until 10.0 were evaluated. The pH indicator bromothymol blue was added to monitor pH changes during bacterial growth. Crystal violet and thallium nitrate were added (Ishimaru and Klos, 1984) to suppress other bacteria and fungi (Norris et al., 1976; Srivastava et al., 1976). A loopful of bacterial suspensions of the strains listed in Table 1 was streaked on the media in triplicate and observed daily for bacterial growth.

The medium that satisfied the criteria specified above was named PA 20 and had the following composition per litre: NaCl, 20 g; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g; NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g; MgSO<sub>4</sub> x 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 g; bromothymol blue, 1 ml of 1.6% aq. solution; crystal violet, 2 ml of 0.075% aq. solution; agar, 15 g. The pH was adjusted to 8.0 with 1.0 N NaOH. After autoclaving and cooling to 50° C, 3 g of D (+) arabitol dissolved in 5 ml water and 2 ml of 1% aq solution of thallium nitrate were added. Both solutions were filter sterilised. Plates were stored at room temperature for 24 hours before use.

## 2.3. Colony morphology and plating efficiency on PA 20

Sixteen *P. ananatis* strains were selected to determine colony morphology and plating efficiency on PA 20 (Table 2). The strains were grown on NA for 24-48 hours at 25°C. Colonies were suspended in 9 ml quarter-strength, sterile Ringer (Oxoid) buffer (RB) to an absorbance density of 0.1 at 640 nm (UV-160A Shimazu spectrophotometer). Ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared in half-strength nutrient broth (Difco), and 0.1 ml of selected dilutions was spread-plated in triplicate on PA 20 and a non-selective NA (contained per litre: beef extract, 3.0 g; peptone, 5.0 g; agar, 15.0 g; pH 6.8 ± 0.2 at 25°C). Plates were incubated at 25°C. Colonies were assessed and counted after 7 days. Plating efficiencies

were determined by dividing the number of colonies growing on PA 20 by the number of colonies on NA, and multiplying the quotient by 100. The experiment was replicated twice.

#### 2.4. *PA 20 selectivity assessment*

Selectivity was evaluated by assaying pathogen-free onion seed artificially infested with *P. ananatis* strain Pans 2002-2. One seed lot contained a large number of saprophytic bacteria ( $5.4 \times 10^6$  CFU/gram) while the second seed lot had a low population of saprophytes ( $2.2 \times 10^3$  CFU/gram). For each seed lot two sub-samples, 5 g each, were placed in separate sterile mortars and crashed with pestles. Crashed seeds were transferred to separate sterile Erlenmeyer's flasks containing 100 ml of RB. One of the sub-samples of each seed-lot was spiked with a suspension of *P. ananatis* to produce an estimated population of  $10^3$  CFU/ml. Flasks were incubated for 30 min at room temperature on a rotary shaker. Ten-fold serial dilutions were made in half-strength nutrient broth, and 0.1 ml of each dilution (spiked and non-spiked) was spread on the surface of PA 20 and NA. Colonies were counted after 7 days incubation at 25°C. Suspected *P. ananatis* (selection based on colony morphology), were purified and their identities confirmed by: oxidase test, indole production and Hugh-Leifson oxidation/fermentation (Walcott et al., 2002). Tests were replicated three times.

#### 2.6. *Isolations from naturally infested seed*

Five onion seedlots obtained from seed companies and farmers were tested for the presence of *P. ananatis*. Seed extracts were prepared as described above. For each sample, ten-fold dilution series were made in half-strength nutrient broth, and 0.1 ml of each dilution and direct seed extract was plated in triplicate on NA and PA 20. Plates were incubated at 25°C and examined daily for the presence of characteristic *P. ananatis* colonies. Three suspected *P. ananatis* isolates were selected from each PA 20 plate (selection based on colony morphology) for further identification.

#### 2.7. *Identification of P. ananatis from naturally infested seed*

Isolates were purified and their identities confirmed by pigment production on NA, motility, cell morphology, Gram stain, oxidase, catalase, indole production, Hugh-Leifson oxidation/fermentation, gas production from glucose and hydrogen sulphide from cysteine

(Mergaert et al., 1993). The tests were done according to methods described by Fahy and Hayward, 1983.

Pathogenicity was determined on onion (*Allium cepa*, cv. Granex 33) in greenhouse assays by using a stub inoculation test adapted from Fenwick and Guthrie, 1969. A sterile needle was dipped into the bacterial colony on NA (24-48 hours growth) and then the needle was inserted under the epidermis of a leaf. At least two leaves were inoculated per isolate. Inoculated plants were incubated in a greenhouse with 27°C/23°C day/night temperatures and observed daily for the development of symptoms.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. PA 20 development

D (+) arabitol as a single carbon source, the pH, NaCl concentration and presence of thallium nitrate all contributed to the PA 20 selectivity. *P. ananatis* grew on PA media at pH 7.0; 8.0 and 9.0, but some saprophytes grew as well, sometimes producing large, slimy colonies. The addition of thallium nitrate inhibited saprophytic bacteria at pH 9.0 but not at pH 7.0. However, the growth of *P. ananatis* on PA medium at pH 9.0 containing thallium nitrate was also hampered. Only at pH 8.0 with thallium nitrate did *P. ananatis* grow well and saprophytes listed in Table 1 were inhibited. The concentration of NaCl influenced the colony size and the time of growth to achieve a colony large enough for recognition and enumeration. When the concentration of NaCl was lower or higher than 2% colonies of *P. ananatis* were either too small for evaluation (<2%) or took 10 or more days to appear on the media (>2%). Thus the medium, named PA 20, containing thallium nitrate, 2% NaCl and a pH 8.0 was selected for further evaluation.

#### 3.2. Colony morphology on PA 20 and plating efficiency

All strains of *P. ananatis* grew on PA 20 medium and colonies were visible after incubation for 6 to 7 days (Table 1). Colonies were yellow, 3-4 mm in diameter; shiny, drop-shaped with small, granular, darker yellow inclusions within. *P. ananatis* decreased the pH of the medium (degradation of D (+) arabitol to acid) and produced a diagnostic yellow zone around individual colonies (Fig. 1).

*Pseudomonas syringae*, *Pantoea agglomerans*, *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*, *Xanthomonas campestris* and saprophytes isolated from onion seed did not grow on PA 20 medium. *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* produced small, 1-2 mm in diameter, yellow colonies with a dark green centre (Table 1).

Plating efficiencies of the 16 *P. ananatis* strains ranged from 92% to 112% with a mean of 99.5% on PA 20 medium compared to NA. Results are presented in Table 2.

### 3.3. PA 20 selectivity assessment

*P. ananatis* was not recovered from seed samples plated on NA or from non-amended seeds on a semi-selective PA 20. Plates of NA were overgrown by other bacteria and fungi. In contrast, on PA 20, saprophytic growth was reduced by 99-100% (Table 3, Fig. 2A and 2B) and *P. ananatis* was easily identified by characteristic, yellow, drop shaped colonies (Fig. 2B), that were all oxidase negative, indole positive and glucose fermentative. *P. ananatis* was recovered at the expected concentrations on PA 20 from both amended seed samples. The results are presented in Table 3.

### 3.4. Recovery from naturally infested seed

*P. ananatis* was isolated on PA 20 medium from two of the five onion seed samples obtained from seed companies and farmers. The selection of suspected *P. ananatis* on NA was difficult, because many saprophytes produced similar yellow colonies. The detection on PA 20 was not hampered, because other microorganisms present in onion seed either did not grow on the medium or had distinctly different colony morphology.

All suspected *P. ananatis* purified from PA 20 produced yellow colonies on NA, were gram-negative rods (1.5-2.0  $\mu\text{m}$  length and 0.5-0.75  $\mu\text{m}$  width), motile, oxidase negative, catalase and indole positive, and fermentatively utilised glucose. They did not produce gas from glucose and hydrogen sulphide from cysteine. The results of tests are characteristic for *P. ananatis* as described by Mergaert et al. (1993).

Pathogenicity of *P. ananatis* to the onion cultivars Granex 33 is shown in Table 1. All pathogenic isolates induced identical symptoms on onion leaves. Two to four days after inoculation water soaked spots appeared on leaves that expanded into longitudinal, bleached-green lesions with chlorotic margins.

In conclusion, PA 20 is a useful semi-selective medium for the isolation and enumeration of *P. ananatis* from onion seed. Experiments demonstrated the efficiency of the medium in recovering *P. ananatis* from seed containing large number of other microorganisms. The medium should not, however, be used as a single diagnostic tool. *P. ananatis* strains non-pathogenic to onion are indistinguishable from pathogens on PA 20 (Table 1, Table 2). Identity of the pathogen must be confirmed using selected phenotypic characteristics and by conducting pathogenicity tests described in this paper. *P. ananatis* from hosts other than onion also grew on PA 20, showing its potential as a general-purpose medium for isolation of these bacteria.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Agricultural Research Council (ARC) and the National Research Foundation (NRF) for financial support.

### References

- Azad, H. R., Holmes, G. J., Cooksey, D.A., 2000. A new leaf blotch disease of sudangrass caused by *Pantoea ananatis* and *Pantoea stewartii*. Plant Dis. 84, 973-979.
- Azegami, K., Ozaki, K., Matsuda, A., 1983. Bacterial palea browning, a new disease of rice caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci. Ser. C 39, 1-12.
- Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M., Lester, G. E., 1986. Pathogenicity of *Erwinia ananas* to muskmelons in Texas. Phytopathology 76, 1136.
- Coutinho, T. A., Preisig, O., Mergaert, J., Cnockaert, M. C., Riedel, K.-H., Swings, J., Wingfield, M. J., 2002. Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids and clones in South Africa. Plant. Dis. 86, 20-25.
- Dye, D. W. 1968. A taxonomic study of the genus *Erwinia*. 1. The “amylovora” group. New Zealand J. Sci 11, 590-607.

Fahy, P. C., Hayward, A. C., 1983. Media and methods for isolation and diagnostic test. In Fahy, P. C., Persley, G. J. (Eds.), Plant bacterial diseases, a diagnostic guide. Academic Press Australia, pp 337-378.

Fenwick, E. C., Guthrie, J. W., 1969. An improved *Pseudomonas phaseolicola* pathogenicity test. Abstr. Phytopathology 59, 11.

Gitaitis, R. D., Gay, J. D., 1997. First report of a leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananas* in Georgia. Plant Dis. 8, 1096.

Ishimaru, C., Klos, E. J. 1984. A new medium for detecting *Erwinia amylovora* and its use in epidemiological studies. Phytopathology 74, 1342-1345.

Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L., Kersters, K., 1993. Transfer of *Erwinia ananas* (synonym, *Erwinia uredovora*) and *Erwinia stewartii* to the genus *Pantoea* emend. as *Pantoea ananas* (Serrano 1928) comb. nov. and *Pantoea stewartii* (Smith 1898) comb. nov., respectively, and description of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* subsp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 43, 162-173.

Norris, P., Man, W. K., Hughes, M. N. 1976. Toxicity and accumulation of thallium in bacteria and yeast. Arch. Microbiol. 110, 279-286.

Paccola-Meirelles, L. D., Ferreira, A. S., Merelles, W. F., Marriel, I. E., Casela, C. R., 2001. Detection of bacterium associated with a leaf spot disease of maize in Brazil. J. Phytopathology 149, 275-279.

Schwartz, H. F., Otto, K., 2000. First report of a leaf blight and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Colorado. Plant Dis. 84, 808.

Serrano, F. B., 1928. Bacterial fruitlet brown-rot of pineapple in the Philippines. Philippine J. Sci. 36, 271-305.

Srivastava, T. N., Bajpai, K. K., Singh, K. 1973. Anti-microbial activities of diaryl gallium, indium and thallium compounds. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 43, 88-93.

Tabei, H., Azegami, K., Fvkuda, T., 1988. Infection site of rice grain with *Erwinia herbicola*, the causal agent of bacterial palea browning of rice. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 54, 637.

Walcott, R. R., Gitaitis, R. D., Castro, A. C., Sanders Jr., F. H., Diaz-Perez, J. C., 2002. Natural infestation of onion seed by *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot. Plant Dis. 86, 106-111.

Wells, J. M., Sheng, W. S., Ceponis, M. J., Chen, T. A., 1987. Isolation and characterization of strains of *Erwinia ananas* from honeydew melons. Phytopathology 77, 511-514.

Wilson, E. E., Zeitoun, F. M., Frederickson, D. L., 1967. Bacterial phloem canker, a new disease of Persian walnut trees. Phytopathology 57, 618-621.

Table 1. Bacterial strains <sup>a</sup> used in this study, their growth on PA 20 medium and their pathogenicity to onion (*Allium cepa*) cv. Granex 33.

Bacterium and strain number	Host	Growth on PA 20 <sup>b</sup>	Pathogenicity to onion in a stub-inoculation test <sup>c</sup>
<i>P. ananatis</i>			
LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup>	<i>Ananas cosmosus</i>	+	-
LMG 2676	<i>Puccinia graminis</i>	+	-
LMG 20103, 20104	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	+	-, -
ATCC 35400	<i>Cucumis melo</i>	+	-
ATCC BAA 515	<i>Allium cepa</i>	+	-
Blackshank 15, 24, 30	<i>Allium cepa</i>	+	+, -, -
Hort. Hill 7, 24, 31, 32			-, +, +, +
Pans 99-8, 99-23, 2002-2			-, -, +
BD 321, 322, 323, 234, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329	<i>Allium cepa</i>	+	all -
BD 377, 381, 383, 386, 387, 388, 390, 392, 393	<i>Allium cepa</i>	+	all +
<i>P. agglomerans</i>			
LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup>	knee laceration	-	-
SUH 2	<i>Allium cepa</i>	-	+
DAR 49828	<i>Allium cepa</i>	-	-
<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>			
LMG 2632 <sup>T</sup>	<i>Setaria italica</i>	+ <sup>d</sup>	-
LMG 2671	<i>Ananas cosmosus</i>	+ <sup>d</sup>	-
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	-	not tested
<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i> subsp. <i>carotovorum</i>	<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	-	not tested
<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>allii</i>			
BD 142, 143, 211	<i>Allium cepa</i>	-	not tested
Saprophytes, 22 isolates	<i>Allium cepa</i> seed	-	all -

<sup>a</sup> LMG: BCCM/LMG Culture Collection, Universiteit Gent, Belgium; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA; DAR: Australian Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Orange; BD: Plant Pathogenic and Plant Protecting Bacteria (PPPPB), ARC-PPRI, South Africa; Blackshank, Hort. Hill and Pans: R. Walcott, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens; Saprophytes from onion seed: this study.

<sup>b</sup> Growth on PA 20 medium from suspension of cells plated for isolated colonies.

<sup>c</sup> A sterile needle was dipped into the bacterial colony on NA (24-48 hours growth) and then the needle was inserted under the epidermis of an onion leaf; results recorded after one week; pathogenic (+), non-pathogenic (-), not tested.

<sup>d</sup> Grew on the medium but colony morphology was different from *P. ananatis*.

Table 2. Plating efficiency of *P. ananatis* strains on PA 20 medium relative to NA medium.

Strain no	Number of CFU <sup>a</sup> on:		Plating efficiency (%) on PA 20 <sup>b</sup>
	NA	PA 20	
Strains from onion			
ATCC BAA 515	24.6	22.8	92.6
BD 325	40.6	40.8	100.4
BD 327	13.3	13.2	100
BD 377	42	43	102
BD 387	29	28	96.6
BD 390	29	30	103.4
Blackshank 15	29.7	31	104.5
Hort. Hill 24	54.4	51.6	95
Hort. Hill 31	42.6	43.9	103
Pans 99-8	28	25.8	92
Pans 2002-2	44.6	50	112.1
		Average	100.1
Strains from other hosts			
ATCC 35400	27	24	92
LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup>	26.2	24.2	92.4
LMG 2676	23.2	25.4	109.5
LMG 20103	25.8	26	100
LMG 20104	33.8	33.6	100
		Average	98.8
TOTAL AVERAGE			99.5

<sup>a</sup> Strains were pre-cultured on NA medium 24-48 hours at 25°C, colonies removed with sterile cotton swab and suspended in SR to an absorbance density of 0.1 at 640 nm; tenfold dilution series were prepared in half-strength nutrient broth and 0.1 ml volumes were plated on test media and incubated at 25°C for 7 days. Figures in each row are from the same dilution.

<sup>b</sup> Plating efficiency = colony forming units on PA 20 medium/colony forming units on NA x 100. Figures were calculated from the mean colony numbers of minimum five plate counts per strain.

Table 3. Recovery of *P. ananatis* on a semi-selective medium PA 20 from two pathogen-free onion seed lots spiked with Pans 2002-2 to a final concentration  $\sim 10^3$  CFU/ml. NA was used as a control, general growth medium. Characteristic yellow, drop shaped colonies on PA 20 (Fig. 1) were considered as *P. ananatis*.

Seed sample <sup>a</sup>	CFU/ml <sup>b</sup> recovered on			PA 20 evaluation
	NA medium	PA 20 medium		
	total CFU/ml	total CFU/ml	<i>P. ananatis</i> CFU/ml <sup>c</sup>	
not amended				% reduction saprophytes
A	105	0	0	100
B	270000	41	0	99
amended				% <i>P. ananatis</i> recovery
A	4220	4198	4197	100
B	275000	3130	3080	98

<sup>a</sup> A - seed with low ( $2.2 \times 10^3$  cfu/gram) population of saprophytes and B - seed with high ( $5.4 \times 10^6$  cfu/gram) population of saprophytes. Not amended seed - 5 gram seed crashed with mortar and pestle, 100 ml of quarter-strength Ringer (Oxoid) buffer; amended seed - as above plus a bacterial suspension of strain Pans 2002-2 to a final concentration  $\sim 10^3$  CFU/ml were mixed and incubated for 30 min at room temperature on a rotary shaker.

<sup>b</sup> Values are the mean of three replications. Five ten-fold serial dilutions of each seed extracts were made in half-strength nutrient broth, 0.1 ml of each dilution and direct seed extract was plated on three plates of each of PA 20 and NA. Colonies were counted after 7 days incubation at 25°C.

<sup>c</sup> Identity of two suspected *P. ananatis* colonies from each PA 20 plate confirmed by physiological tests (oxidase negative, indole positive, glucose fermentative).

Fig. 1. Colony morphology of *P. ananatis* on PA 20 medium after 7 days of incubation at 25°C. Colonies are yellow, 3-4 mm in diameter, shiny, drop shaped with small, granular, darker inclusions inside. Lighter zones around colonies on PA 20 are yellow and contrast with the dark blue of the medium.




Fig. 1

Fig. 2. Comparison in selectivity between non-selective NA (left) and semi-selective medium PA 20 (right). Isolations were done from: A – *P. ananatis*-free onion seed; B – the same seed as in A spiked with *P. ananatis*. Note total suppression of non-target microflora on PA 20.




Fig. 2

## CHAPTER 3

### **Isolation and Identification of *Pantoea ananatis* from Onion Seed in South Africa**

As published in: Seed Science and Technology. 2006. Vol. 43, pages 655-668.

#### **Summary**

Center rot of onion, caused by the gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic bacterium, *Pantoea ananatis*, was first described in Georgia, USA, in 1997. The disease was later reported in Colorado and Michigan, but not in any other area of the world. *P. ananatis* is seed-borne and seed-transmitted in onions. A similar disease, leaf and seed stalk necrosis of onion, was reported in South Africa in 1981, and it was suggested that *P. ananatis* was responsible for that disease and was introduced into the USA on infected seed lots from South Africa. However, a representative strain of the leaf and seed stalk necrosis pathogen, isolated in South Africa in 1981, was identified as *P. agglomerans* in this study. As center rot of onion has not been observed in South Africa, and *P. ananatis* was not isolated from diseased plants, the main objectives of this research were to determine if pathogenic *P. ananatis* is present in local onion seed and to compare the South African strains to center rot causing strains from the USA. Colonies resembling those of *P. ananatis* were isolated from four seed lots on a semi-selective medium, PA 20. Pathogenicity tests demonstrated that the South African strains could induce the same symptoms on onion as those caused by the American strains. Physiological and biochemical characterization using Biolog, API 20E and API 50 CHE systems, and analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences identified the strains from seed as *P. ananatis*. This is the first report on the presence of the center rot pathogen of onion, *P. ananatis*, in South African onion seed.

## Introduction

Center rot disease of onion was first observed in Georgia, USA, on Sweet Vidalia onions in 1997 (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997) and later in Colorado (Schwartz and Otto, 2000a) and Michigan (Mark *et al.*, 2002). The disease has occurred in commercial fields in Georgia every year since 1997 and accounted for 100% loss in some fields (Walcott *et al.*, 2002). The causal agent of center rot is a Gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic bacterium, identified as *Pantoea ananatis* (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997). The disease affects the center leaves of onions, which become water-soaked, soft, and bleached white as the rot progresses. Advanced stages of the disease result in complete wilting and bleaching of all leaves (Mark *et al.*, 2002; Walcott *et al.*, 2002). Walcott *et al.* (2002), reported natural infestation and transmission of *P. ananatis* in onion seed and implied that seed was the primary source of inoculum. This pathogen is known to be seed-borne and seed-transmitted in rice (Tabei *et al.*, 1988), sudangrass (Azad *et al.*, 2000) and buckwheat (Iimura and Hosono, 1996).

In the 2004/2005 growing season 358 tons of onion seed were produced in South Africa, of which 282 tons were for the export market (Sansor Annual Report, 2005). Efforts to control the quality of commercially produced onion seed concentrated on the detection of fungal pathogens, and little is known about bacterial pathogens that may be present. *P. ananatis* is the causal agent of bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa (Coutinho *et al.*, 2002) but center rot of onion has not been reported. However, in 1981, *Erwinia herbicola* was reported to cause a similar disease, stalk and leaf necrosis of onion in South Africa (Hattingh and Walters, 1981). In 1989, Beji *et al.* (1988) proposed transferring *E. herbicola* (syn. *E. milletiae*) to the new genus *Pantoea* (Gavini *et al.*, 1989), that included species formerly classified as *E. ananas*, *E. uredovora* and *E. stewartii* (Mergaert *et al.*, 1993). The seed associated with the first outbreak of center rot of onion in Georgia, USA, was produced in South Africa and Walcott *et al.* (2002) suggested that the center rot pathogen was possibly introduced on infested seed lots.

The goal of this study was to determine if pathogenic *P. ananatis* was present in South African onion seed and to compare such strains to those associated with center rot of onions in the USA.

## Materials and methods

### *Media used for isolations*

Two different culture media were used for isolations. The first was a general growth medium, nutrient agar (NA) (Difco, Sparks, MD) as recommended by Walcott *et al.* (2002). Another was a semi-selective medium PA 20 (Goszczyńska *et al.*, 2006) developed specifically for isolation of *P. ananatis* from onion seed. PA 20 contained the following per liter: NaCl, 20 g; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g; NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g; MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 g, bromothymol blue, 1 ml of 1.6% aq. solution; crystal violet, 2 ml of 0.075% aq. solution; agar, 15 g. The pH was adjusted to 8.0 with 1.0 N NaOH. After autoclaving and cooling to 50° C, 3 g of D (+) arabitol dissolved in 5 ml water and 2 ml of 1% aq solution of thallium nitrate were added. Both solutions were filter sterilized prior to adding them to the medium.

### *Isolations from plants*

Diseased onion fields in the Small Karoo area of the Western Cape Province were identified by the onion seed producers in June-July and November-January between 2001-2004. Disease symptoms on twenty-four experimental fields of *Allium cepa*, Granex type, were similar to leaf and stalk necrosis described by Hattingh and Walters (1981). Irregular oval spots, 4-10 cm long with a necrotic center and a dirty yellow or water-soaked margin lesions were found on onion leaves and seed stalks. Small water-soaked lesions were also present.

In June-July 2003, nineteen onion fields of commercial bulb producers in the Northern and Free State Provinces were inspected. Onions from these fields were healthy.

In April 2005, on one water-logged field in the Northern Province, where the cv. Granex 33 was grown, symptoms similar to that of center rot were observed. The youngest, center leaves of onions were chlorotic, becoming soft and wilted as the disease advanced. A light tan discoloration progressed into bulbs, resulting in the decay of the inner scales. The disease affected approximately 50% of the crop.

Ten to twenty diseased plants were collected from each field and isolations were done from all collected plants. Tissue from lesion margins of infected leaf, seed stalk or bulb was removed with a sterile scalpel and macerated in 1 ml of sterile quarter-strength Ringer buffer (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) for 20 min at 25°C. Plant extracts were streaked onto NA and PA 20. NA and PA 20 plates were evaluated after four and seven days of incubation at 25°C, respectively. Suspected colonies were purified by streaking onto NA and

cultures stored in milk-glycerol liquid medium (10% skim milk, 15% glycerol in distilled water) at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  for further analysis.

#### *Isolations from seed*

Thirty-two seed samples were evaluated for the presence of *P. ananatis*: twenty-eight from *A. cepa*, two from *A. fistulosum* and two from *A. porri*. Fifteen *A. cepa* seed samples were commercial seed: six of cv. Granex 33, three of cv. Pegasus, two of cv. Pyramid, one of cv. Capricio and three of experimental onions (Granex type). The seed were produced in the Small Karoo area and harvested one or two years prior to testing. One sample, of an unknown cultivar, was from a farmer in the Northern Province, who produced the seed for his own use. The remaining twelve seed samples were harvested in January 2004 from umbels of experimental onion (Granex type) fields in the Small Karoo, in which leaf blight developed naturally. Twenty umbels were collected from each field and pooled into one sample. Seeds were extracted manually and stored in paper bags at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  until processing.

Isolations from seed were carried out as described previously (Goszczyńska *et al.*, 2006). For each seed lot, two sub-samples, 5 g each, were crushed and placed into separate sterile Erlenmeyer's flasks containing 100 ml of a quarter-strength Ringer buffer. Flasks were incubated for 30 min at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  on a rotary shaker at 180 rpm/min. For each sample, four ten-fold serial dilutions were made in half strength nutrient broth. A volume of 0.1 ml of each dilution was plated onto three plates each of NA and PA 20. Plates were incubated at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Colonies were counted and evaluated after 7 days. Suspected colonies of the pathogen were purified by streaking onto NA and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  in milk-glycerol liquid medium for further analysis.

#### *Preliminary characterization of strains*

From each seed lot, 20 yellow colonies from NA and 20 yellow colonies from PA 20 were purified and used in preliminary pathogenicity and biochemical tests. The strains from seed washings were characterized by colony morphology, pigment production on NA, motility, cell morphology, Gram stain, oxidase reaction, catalase reaction, indole production, Hugh-Leifson oxidation/fermentation test, gas production from glucose and hydrogen sulphide production from cysteine (Mergaert *et al.*, 1993), according to methods described by Fahy and Hayward (1983). Pathogenicity on onion was determined in green house assays by using a stub inoculation test (Goszczyńska *et al.*, 2005). A sterile needle was dipped into the bacterial colony on NA (24-48 hours growth) and then the needle was inserted under the

epidermis of a leaf. At least two leaves were inoculated per isolate. Inoculated plants were incubated in a greenhouse with 27°C/23°C day/night temperatures and observed daily for the development of symptoms.

### *Bacterial strains*

The following bacterial strains from onion were characterized in the study: three strains isolated from South African seed (Table 1), the strain reported to cause leaf and seed stalk necrosis of onion in 1981 and four *P. ananatis* strains associated with center rot in the USA (Table 2). Two *P. ananatis* strains causing blight of *Eucalyptus*, and the type strains of *P. ananatis* LMG 2665<sup>T</sup> and *P. agglomerans* LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> were used as reference strains (Table 2). Stock cultures of all strains were maintained in milk-glycerol liquid medium at -20°C. Stock strains were transferred onto NA plates and incubated at 25°C to recover growing cultures. Cultures were routinely checked for purity and colony characteristics on NA.

### *Pathogenicity tests*

Spray inoculations were performed with strains listed in Table 2. Strains were grown on NA at 25°C for 24 hours. Bacterial suspensions were made in sterile distilled water to obtain approximately 10<sup>7</sup> colony forming units (CFU)/ml (as determined by dilution plating on NA). Six to eight week-old onion plants (*A. cepa* - cv. Granex 33 and cv. Pyramid; *A. fistulosum* - cv. White Welsh) and leek plants (*A. porri* - cv. Giant Italian) were spray inoculated to runoff with each bacterial suspension. Negative control plants were inoculated with sterile distilled water. Three plants were inoculated with each strain. Plants were incubated for 72 hours in a humidity chamber at 27°C and relative humidity of 95%. Later plants were maintained in a greenhouse with 27°C/23°C day/night temperatures and observed daily for three weeks for the development of symptoms.

The identities of the bacteria re-isolated from lesions were confirmed by colony morphology on NA and PA 20, Gram stain, oxidase reaction, Hugh-Leifson test, and indole production (Coutinho *et al.*, 2002; Walcott *et al.*, 2002).

### *Biochemical and physiological tests*

Strains listed in Table 2 were characterized by sole carbon source utilization profiles, using Biolog GN Microplates together with Biolog MicroLog version 4.2 software (Biolog, Inc., Hayward, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The strains were also tested with the API 20E and API 50CHE systems (BioMérieux, La Balme les Grottes,

Montalieu Vercieu, France) using the procedure recommended by the manufacturers. The results of the API 20E and API 50CHE tests were recorded after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at 30°C respectively. Profiles were identified using the APILAB V4.0 identification program (BioMérieux).

#### *Amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene*

Genomic DNA of strains was extracted by the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method according to the DNA Miniprep protocol of Wilson (1989). Colonies from each strain were picked from the 48 hours NA plates and transferred into micro centrifuge tubes with sterile STE (10mM Tris-HCl, 1mM EDTA, 2M NaCl, pH 8.0) buffer. Cell lysis was obtained by incubation with sodium dodecyl sulfate and selective precipitation of cell debris and polysaccharides with CTAB/NaCl. DNA was extracted with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, precipitated with isopropanol, washed with ethanol, air dried and dissolved in 100 µl of sterile water. Purified DNA was quantified using a Dyna Quant 200 fluorometer (Hofer, San Francisco, CA) and Hoescht H 33258 intercalating dye (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). The DNA concentration was adjusted to 25-50 ng µl<sup>-1</sup> with sterile water.

The 16S rRNA gene fragment was amplified using the universal primers fD1 (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3') and rD1 (5'-AAG GAG GTG ATC CAG CCG CA-3') (Weisburg *et al.*, 1991). DNA was amplified in 50 µl reaction volumes containing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0 at 25°C; 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100); 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 150 µM dNTPs; 1.0 µM each primer; Taq polymerase (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) 1 unit per reaction volume and 25-50 ng DNA template µl<sup>-1</sup>. Amplifications were performed according to Weisburg *at al.* (1991) in a Hybaid Omni Gene thermocycler (Teddington, England).

The amplified PCR products were cut from the gel and purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and then cloned with the pGEM-T Easy Vector System II kit (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Plasmids with inserts were purified using the QIAprep Spin kit (Qiagen). The sequencing was performed using the Dyanamic ET Dye terminator cycle sequencing kit for MegaBACE with dideoxynucleotide chain-termination chemistry. Sequences were analyzed using a MegaBACE 500 Sequencer (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, England) with MegaBACE 500 Sequence Analyzer (version 2.4) software.

The GenBank/EMBL databases were used for homology searches using the BLAST program (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD).

### *Sequence analysis*

The 16S rDNA nucleotide sequences obtained in this study were aligned with a selection of 16S rDNA sequences of *Pantoea* species from GenBank with the DNAMAN software (version 5.2.9, Lynnon Biosoft, Quebec, Canada), using an optimal alignment and a dynamic method according to Feng and Doolittle (1987). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the neighbor joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and evolutionary distances calculated according to the method of Jukes and Cantor (1969) and Kimura (1980), using the DNAMAN software package. Bootstrap analysis of the data, based on 1000 permutations was used to assess the stability of relationships.

## **Results**

### *Recovery from plants*

*P. ananatis* was not isolated from diseased plants. The lesions on onions with symptoms similar to leaf and seed stalk necrosis were caused by *Pseudomonas syringae*, which was frequently isolated from these plants on a Milk-Tween medium (Goszczyńska and Serfontein, 1998) and confirmed by pathogenicity tests and LOPAT characteristics (Lelliot *et al.*, 1966).

Yellow, mucoid colonies were consistently isolated on NA from diseased onions with center rot-like symptoms. Yellow bacteria were gram-negative rods, oxidase negative, catalase positive, fermentatively utilized glucose and produced gas from glucose. Five representative strains were identified as *Enterobacter cloacae* using the Biolog system, with a similarity index of 0.82-0.85. Five strains were positive in the following tests on the API 20E strips:  $\beta$ -galactosidase, arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, utilization of citrate, tryptophane deaminase, production of acetoin, and acid production from glucose, mannitol, rhamnose, sucrose, melibiose, amygdalin and arabinose. They were negative in the following tests: ornithine decarboxylase, H<sub>2</sub>S production, urease, indole production, gelatinase, acid from inositol and sorbitol. The strains induced leaf rot on onion leaves in pathogenicity tests.

Rot of onions in South Africa and its causal agent *E. cloacae* were similar to that reported by Bishop (1990) and Schwartz and Otto (2000b) in the USA.

#### *Recovery from seed*

Yellow bacteria were recovered from most seed samples on NA. Preliminary identification using biochemical tests showed these strains were not *P. ananatis* (data not shown). All were negative in a stub inoculation pathogenicity test. On PA 20, *P. ananatis* was isolated from four of 28 *A. cepa* seed samples tested, but not from seed of *A. fistulosum* or *A. porri*. Characteristic yellow colonies, 3-4 mm in diameter, shiny, drop-shaped with small, granular, darker inclusions were visible on a PA 20 medium after 6-7 days of incubation at 25°C (Goszczyńska *et al.*, 2006). The number of *P. ananatis*-like colonies recovered from the infested seed lots ranged from  $2.2 \times 10^3$  CFU/gram to  $5.0 \times 10^6$  CFU/gram of seed (Table 1). The strains from onion seed produced yellow colonies on NA, were gram-negative rods (1.5-2.0 µm length and 0.5-0.75 µm width), motile, oxidase negative and catalase positive. All strains fermentatively utilized glucose and produced indole from tryptophane. They did not produce gas from glucose nor hydrogen sulphide from cysteine.

One pathogenic strain per seed lot was selected for further characterization: BD 336 and PA 4 from two different experimental onion seed lots, harvested two years prior to testing and BD 390 from the seed produced by a farmer for his own use. None of the strains from the commercial seed cv. Granex 33 was pathogenic on onion cv. Granex 33 in a stub test and they were excluded from the study.

#### *Pathogenicity test*

All eight selected strains from onion were pathogenic to onion cv. Granex 33, but not to leek (Table 2). Strains BD 336, BD 310 and PA 4 did not produce disease symptoms on onion cv. Pyramid, all other strains did. Branching onion (*A. fistulosum*) cv. White Welsh was susceptible only to BD 287. All strains, including BD 287, induced identical symptoms on susceptible onion plants. Two to three days after inoculation, water-soaked spots appeared at the tips of leaves that expanded into longitudinal, bleached, green lesions with chlorotic margins. After two weeks, plants were completely wilted (Figure 1). Colonies recovered from onion plants with disease symptoms were yellow, gram-negative rods, oxidase negative and utilized glucose as a facultative anaerobe. All strains, except re-isolated BD 287 produced indole.

Two strains from *Eucalyptus*, LMG 20103 and LMG 20104, did not induce the disease on onions or leek. Control plants inoculated with water did not develop symptoms, nor were yellow bacteria isolated from leaves of these plants on NA and PA 20.

#### *Physiological and biochemical characterization*

The metabolic profiles of the eight strains from onion and two strains from *Eucalyptus* on Biolog GN microplates were most similar to the database profile of *P. agglomerans*, with a mean similarity index of 0.47. The type strains of *P. ananatis*, LMG 2665<sup>T</sup> and *P. agglomerans*, LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> were both identified as *P. agglomerans* by Biolog with a similarity index 0.51 (*P. ananatis* was not in the Biolog database). There was consistency in utilization of 66 substrates but some strains varied in the utilization of glycogen, adonitol, turanose,  $\alpha$ -hydroxybutyric acid, bromosuccinic acid, succinamic acid, D-serine and uridine. The type strain of *P. agglomerans* and BD 287 gave nearly identical reactions and differed from others by not utilizing 11 substrates: Tween 40, Tween 80, gentiobiose, lactulose, D-melibiose, D-raffinose, D-sorbitol, citric acid, formic acid, D-glucosamic acid and quinic acid. Strain BD 287 did not utilize D-arabitol and psicose and LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> did not utilize  $\alpha$ -lactose and acetic acid.

Physiological and biochemical profiles obtained by using the API 20E and API 50CHE systems revealed that South African *P. ananatis* had similar biochemical characteristics and resembled the American and *Eucalyptus* strains and the type strain of *P. ananatis* (Table 3). In the API 20E tests, LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, BD 301, BD 309 and BD 315 were identical. African BD 390 and PA 4 differed by giving a positive reaction in the API 20E inositol test. Strain BD 310 did not produce  $\beta$ -galactosidase. The three profiles were identified by the APILAB program as *Pantoea* spp. 2. South African BD 336 differed from the others by not utilizing citrate and not producing acid from rhamnose and sucrose. However, in the API 50CHE acid from sucrose was produced after 48 hours. The profile was not recognized by the program. Unlike *P. ananatis* from onion and LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, two strains from *Eucalyptus* liquefied gelatin (Coutinho *et al.*, 2002).

*P. agglomerans* LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> and BD 287 differed from the other strains by the inability to utilize citrate, produce indole from tryptophan and acid from sorbitol.

There was consistency in the ability of the twelve strains to produce acid from 35 substrates (15 positives and 20 negatives) on the API 50CHE strips (Table 3). The type strain of *P.*

*agglomerans* and BD 287 differed from the other strains by not producing acid from glycerol, inositol, D-sorbitol, D-raffinose and D-arabitol.

### *16S rDNA sequence analysis*

In this study, almost complete 16S rDNA sequences (~1500 bp) were determined for the four South African and four American strains. The sequences were deposited into the GenBank database. Accession numbers are shown in Table 2.

A BLAST search of the EMBL/GenBank database conducted with the sequences revealed a high degree of sequence identity ( $\geq 98\%$ ) with previously determined sequences of bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea*. The seven indole-producing strains had the highest homology to the 16S rDNA of *P. ananatis* strains LMG 20103 (accession number AF364847) and LMG 20106 (AF364844), ranging from 98.9% for BD 309 and BD 336 to 99.7% for BD 301 and BD 315. The strain BD 287 (Hattingh and Walters, 1981) had the highest homology (99.7%) to the 16S rDNA sequence of *P. agglomerans* strain LMG 2565 (Z96082).

Figure 2 shows the phylogenetic relationship derived from a neighbor-joining analysis of the pairwise comparison among the 16S rDNA sequences of eight strains from this study with nine sequences of four described species of the genus *Pantoea*. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* DSM 30104<sup>T</sup> (X87276) was used as an outgroup taxon. Phylogenetic trees constructed by two different methods, with Jukes-Cantor and Kimura algorithms, were identical (not shown). Five strains, three American (BD 301, BD 310, BD 315) and two South African (BD 390, PA 4), formed a cluster with *P. ananatis* (syn. *E. uredovora*) at 72% confidence value from bootstrap analysis. The strain BD 287 grouped closely (77% confidence value) with *P. agglomerans* (syn. *E. herbicola*, *E. milletiae*). The sequences of the South African BD 336 and BD 309 from the USA clustered with *P. agglomerans* on phylogenetic trees. Some strains of *P. ananatis* from rice studied by Cother *et al.* (2004) also grouped with *P. agglomerans* on phylogenetic trees of the 16S rRNA gene sequences.

## **Discussion**

Walcott *et al.* (2002) suggested that the center rot pathogen, *P. ananatis*, was introduced to the USA on infested onion seed lots produced in South Africa. The suggestion was based on the description of a similar disease of onion, stalk and leaf necrosis, reported in South Africa

in 1981 (Hattingh and Walters, 1981). The causal agent of leaf and seed stalk necrosis, although pathogenic to onion, is not *P. ananatis*. Nutritional and genotypic characteristics of a representative strain, BD 287, closely resembled those of the *P. agglomerans* type strain, LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> (Table 3, Figure 2). Our results are supported by those of Verdonck *et al.* (1987) and Beji *et al.* (1988) who also identified BD 287 as *P. agglomerans* in their taxonomic investigations.

In this study, *P. ananatis* pathogenic to onion was detected in three South African onion seed lots. Three strains isolated from onion seed lots induced the same symptoms on onions as those caused by strains isolated from diseased plants in the USA (Figure 1). There were, however, differences in susceptibility among onions cultivars (Table 2), providing an opportunity for the selection of tolerant material. Interestingly, *P. ananatis* causing leaf blight of *Eucalyptus* did not induce the disease on onions in pathogenicity tests done in this study, suggesting that *Eucalyptus* strains could be host specific (Azad *et al.*, 2000).

When comparing the South African strains, the type strain *P. ananatis* and the American strains associated with center rot, by means of the Biolog system, we observed similar results in the utilization of 95 different carbon sources. With API 20E and API 50CHE strips, the profiles for the majority of onion strains were also similar to each other and to the reference strains of *P. ananatis*. Three strains (BD 336, BD 309 and BD 310) varied slightly in a few biochemical characteristics (Table 3). The production of acetoin and acid from L-rhamnose and methyl- $\alpha$ -D mannopyranoside differed among the 18 strains of *P. ananatis* studied by Mergaert *et al.*, 1993, while  $\beta$ -galactosidase and citrate utilization were reported to be positive for all strains. *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus* (this study, Coutinho *et al.*, 2002) and rice (Cothier *et al.*, 2004), also showed a few biochemical differences from those characteristic for the species.

The genotypic characterization of the three strains from onion seed confirmed that South African strains are *P. ananatis*. Although the seed strain BD 336, and the American BD 309 clustered with *P. agglomerans* on phylogenetic trees (Figure 2), their 16S rDNA sequences were 98.8% homologous to the *P. ananatis* type strain. Additionally, all seed strains and strains from the USA were indole positive and their Biolog and API profiles were more similar to those of *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus*, LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, and those reported for 18 strains of *P. ananatis* examined by Mergaert *et al.* (1993). We concluded that the strains isolated from onion seed belong to this species.

Our results showed that *P. ananatis* pathogenic to onion is present in South African onion seed. This, however, does not support the claim that the pathogen was introduced to the USA

on infected seed lots from South Africa in 1997 (Walcott *et al.*, 2002). *P. ananatis* was detected in the USA on *Vidalia* onions several years prior to the 1997 epidemic (Mark *et al.*, 2002). *P. ananatis* is widely distributed throughout Georgia on many types of weeds as epiphytes (Gitaitis *et al.*, 2000) and it has been reported to cause diseases on muskmelon (Bruton *et al.*, 1986), honeydew melon (Wells *et al.*, 1987) and Sudangrass (Azad *et al.*, 2000) in the USA. The center rot pathogen is transmitted via tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca* (Wells *et al.*, 2002; Gitaitis *et al.*, 2003). Although *P. ananatis* from sudangrass did not cause extensive disease in other plant species studied by Azad *et al.* (2000), the possibility that *P. ananatis* was transferred from another host to onions by thrips cannot be excluded.

*P. ananatis* was not isolated from diseased onion plants in this study. Leaf and seed stalk blights were caused by *P. syringae*, which was also present in the majority of surveyed onion seed lots (data not shown). Center rot-like symptoms observed on the water logged field in 2005 were caused by *E. cloacae*, an opportunistic pathogen and a common component of the micro flora in water, soil and on plant surfaces (Bishop, 1990; Schwartz and Otto, 2000b). Our survey of onion fields was limited to three provinces in South Africa, and we cannot conclude that the disease was not present in other areas of the country.

*P. ananatis* was found in three of twenty-eight locally produced *A. cepa* seed lots, and is a potential source of inoculum for the development of center rot. The diseases on sudangrass (Azad *et al.*, 2000), *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho *et al.*, 2002) and onions (Walcott *et al.*, 2002) were prevalent during spring and summer, when temperatures and relative humidity were high. Onion is a winter crop in South Africa, and the arid environmental conditions could be not conducive for the disease development (Webster *et al.*, 1983). A rapid screening technique to detect this bacterium in seed has to be evaluated, and commercially important seeds should be tested to determine their levels of infestation.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Harry Boroko for technical help and Ms Di James (University of Cape Town, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Cape Town, South Africa) for sequencing of 16S rDNAs. Research was supported by the Agricultural Research Council and the National Research Foundation.

## References

- Azad, H. R., Holmes, G. J. and Cooksey, D.A. (2000). A new leaf blotch disease of Sudangrass caused by *Pantoea ananatis* and *Pantoea stewartii*. *Plant Disease*, **84**, 973-979.
- Beji, A., Mergaert, J., Gavini, F., Izard, D., Kersters, K., Leclerc, H. and De Ley, J. (1988). Subjective synonymy of *Erwinia herbicola*, *Erwinia milletiae*, and *Enterobacter agglomerans* and redefinition of the taxon by genotypic and phenotypic data. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **38**, 77-88.
- Bishop, A. L. (1990). Internal decay of onions caused by *Enterobacter cloacae*. *Plant Disease*, **74**, 692-694.
- Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M., Lester, G. E. and Patterson, C. L. (1991). Pathogenicity and characterization of *Erwinia ananas* causing a postharvest disease of cantaloupe fruit. *Plant Disease*, **75**, 180-183.
- Cother, E. J., Reinke, R., McKenzie, C., Lanoiselet, V. M. and Noble, D. H. (2004). An unusual stem necrosis of rice caused by *Pantoea ananas* and the first record of this pathogen on rice in Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, **33**, 494-503.
- Coutinho, T.A., Preisig, O., Mergaert, J., Cnockaert, M. C., Riedel, K.-H., Swings, J. and Wingfield, M. J. (2002). Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids and clones in South Africa. *Plant Disease*, **86**, 20-25.
- Fahy, P. C. and Hayward, A. C. (1983). Media and methods for isolation and diagnostic tests. In *Plant bacterial diseases, a diagnostic guide*. (eds. Fahy, P. C., and Persley, G. J.), pp. 337-378, Academic Press Australia.
- Feng, D. F. and Doolittle, R. F. (1987). Progressive sequence alignment as a prerequisite to correct phylogenetic trees. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **25**, 351-360.
- Gavini, F., Mergaert, J., Beji, A., Mielcarek, C., Izard, D., Kersters, K. and De Ley, J. (1989). Transfer of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck 1888) Ewing and Fife 1972 to

*Pantoea* gen. Nov. as *Pantoea agglomerans* comb. Nov. and description of *Pantoea dispersa* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **39**, 337-345.

Gitaitis, R. D. and Gay, J. D. (1997). First report of a leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Georgia. *Plant Disease*, **81**, 1096.

Gitaitis, R. D., Walcott, R. R., Wells, M. L., Diaz Perez, J. C. and Sanders, F. H. (2003). Transmission of *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot of onion, by tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca*. *Plant. Disease*, **87**, 675-678.

Gitaitis, R. D., Zolobowska, L., Culpepper, S., Langston, D. and Walcott, R. (2000). Polymerase chain reaction detection of the onion pathogen *Pantoea ananatis* on various weeds and crops in Georgia, USA. In *Proceeding of the International Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, 10<sup>th</sup>* (ed. S. H. De Boer), P1, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, pp. 406-408, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

Goszczyńska, T. and Serfontein, J. J. (1998). Milk-Tween agar, a semi-selective medium for isolation and differentiation of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* and *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *phaseoli*. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **32**, 65-72.

Goszczyńska, T., Venter, S. N. and Coutinho T. A. (2006). PA 20, a semiselective medium for isolation and enumeration of *Pantoea ananatis*. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **64**, 225-231.

Hattingh, M. J. and Walters, D. F. (1981). Stalk and leaf necrosis of onion caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Plant Disease*, **65**, 615-618.

Imura, K. and Hosono, A. (1996). Biochemical characteristics of *Enterobacter agglomerans* and related strains found in buckwheat seeds. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **30**, 243-253.

Jukes, T. H. and Cantor, C. R. (1969). Evolution of protein molecules, p. 21-132. In Munro, H. N. (ed.), *Mammalian protein metabolism*. Academic Press, New York, N. Y.

Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **16**, 111-120.

Lelliot, R. A., Billing, E. and Hayward, A. C. (1966). A determinative scheme for the fluorescent plant pathogenic *Pseudomonas*. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, **29**, 470-489.

Mark, G. L., Gitaitis, R. D. and Lorbeer, J. W. (2002). Bacterial diseases of onion. In *Allium crop science: recent advances*, (eds. H. D. Rabinowitch and L. Currah), 267-292, CAB International, UK, Wallington.

Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L. and Kersters, K. (1993). Transfer of *Erwinia ananas* (synonym, *Erwinia uredovora*) and *Erwinia stewartii* to the genus *Pantoea* emend. as *Pantoea ananas* (Serrano 1928) comb. Nov. and *Pantoea stewartii* (Smith 1898) comb. nov., respectively, and description of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* subsp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **43**, 162-173.

Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biological Evolution*, **4**, 406-425.

SANSOR Annual Report 2004/05. (2005). South African National Seed Organisation. South Africa, Pretoria.

Schwartz, H. F. and Otto, K. (2000a). First report of a leaf blight and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Colorado. *Plant Disease*, **84**, 808.

Schwartz, H. F. and Otto, K. (2000b). First report of a bulb decay of onion by *Enterobacter cloacae* in Colorado. *Plant Disease*, **84**: 808.

Tabei, H., Azegami, K. and Fvkuda, T. (1988). Infection site of rice grain with *Erwinia herbicola*, the causal agent of bacterial palea browning of rice. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan*, **54**, 637.

Verdonck, L., Mergaert, J., Rijckaert, C., Swings, J., Kersters, K. and De Ley, J. (1987). Genus *Erwinia*: Numerical analysis of phenotypic features. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **37**, 4-18.

Walcott, R. R., Gitaitis, R. D., Castro, A. C., Sanders Jr., F. H. and Diaz-Perez, J. C. (2002). Natural infestation of onion seed by *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot. *Plant Disease*, **86**, 106-111.

Webster, D. M., Atkin, J. D. and Cross, J. E. (1983). Bacterial blights of snap beans and their control. *Plant Disease*, **67**, 935-940.

Weisburg, W. G., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A. and Lane, D. J. (1991). 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **173**, 697-703.

Wells, M. L., Gitaitis, R. D. and Sanders, F. H. (2002). Association of tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with two species of bacteria of the genus *Pantoea*. *Annals of Entomological Society of America*, **95**, 719-723.

Wells, J. M., Sheug, W. S., Ceponis, M. J. and Chen, T. A. (1987). Isolation and characterization of strains of *Erwinia ananas* from honeydew melons. *Phytopathology*, **77**, 511-514.

Wilson, K. (1989). Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. In *Current protocols in molecular biology*, (eds. F. M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith and K. Struhl), 241–245, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, N.Y.

Table 1. Recovery of *P. ananatis* from naturally infested *A. cepa* seed on PA 20 medium <sup>a</sup> and its pathogenicity to onion (cv. Granex 33) in a stub inoculation pathogenicity test <sup>b</sup>.

Seed lot	Description Cultivar	<i>P. ananatis</i> CFU/gram of seed <sup>a</sup>	Pathogenicity to onion 20 strains per seed lot	Strains selected for characterization
1	Commercial, Granex 33	9.4 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	0 / 20	-
2	Experimental, Granex type	2.4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	15 / 20	BD 336
3	Experimental, Granex type	2.2 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	9 / 20	PA 4
4	Farmer – own use, unknown	5.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	20 / 20	BD 390

<sup>a</sup> Characteristic yellow colonies, 3-4 mm in diameter, shiny, drop-shaped with small, granular, darker inclusions on PA 20 medium were regarded as *P. ananatis*. Colonies were counted after 7 days of incubation. Figures represent the mean of three replicates.

<sup>b</sup> Results were recorded after 4 days. Positive reaction: water soaked spots that expanded into longitudinal, bleached-green lesions with chlorotic margins (Goszczyńska *et al.*, 2006).



Table 2. Bacterial strains characterized in this study and their pathogenicity to three onion cultivars.

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Origin	16S rDNA GenBank accession number <sup>c</sup>	Pathogenicity to onion cultivars in a greenhouse test		
			<i>A. cepa</i> cv. Granex 33	cv. Pyramid	<i>A. fistulosum</i> cv. White Welsh
USA <sup>b</sup>					
BD 301	Blackshank 15, diseased onion	AY579209	+	+	-
BD 309	Horticulture Hill 24, diseased onion	AY579210	+	+	-
BD 310	Horticulture Hill 31, diseased onion	AY579211	+	-	-
BD 315	Pans 2002-2, diseased onion	AY579212	+	+	-
South Africa					
BD 336	Onion seed	AY530794	+	-	-
BD 390	Onion seed	AY530795	+	+	-
PA 4	Onion seed	AY530796	+	-	-
BD 287 <sup>b</sup>	SUH 2, leaf & stalk necrosis	AY530797	+	+	+
Reference strains					
LMG 20103	<i>P. ananatis</i> , Eucalyptus	AF364844	-	-	-
LMG 20104	<i>P. ananatis</i> , Eucalyptus	AF364845	-	-	-
LMG 1286 T	<i>P. agglomerans</i> , type strain	AJ233423	nd	nd	nd
LMG 2665 T	<i>P. ananatis</i> , type strain	Z96081	nd	nd	nd



<sup>a</sup> BD and PA strains: accession numbers of the Plant Pathogenic and Plant Protecting Bacteria (PPPPB) culture collection, ARC-PPRI, Pretoria, South Africa. American strains deposited by R. Walcott, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens, USA. LMG strains: accession numbers of the BCCM/LMG Culture Collection, University Gent, Belgium.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated in 1981, Hattingh and Walters (1981).

<sup>c</sup> 16S rDNA sequences of reference strains obtained from the GenBank database.

nd – not done



Table 3. Physiological and biochemical characteristics of the American and South African onion strains and reference strains of *P. ananatis* and *P. agglomerans* using the API 20E and API 50CHE systems.

Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i>		American strains				South African strains			<i>P. agglomerans</i>	
	LMG2665 <sup>T</sup>	LMG20103 LMG20104	BD 301	BD 309	BD 310	BD 315	BD336	BD390	PA4	LMG1286 <sup>T</sup>	BD 287
<b>API 20E</b>											
β-galactosidase	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Simmons citrate	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
Production of											
Indole	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Acetoin	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Gelatinase	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>API 50CHE</b>											
Acid production											
Glycerol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
L-rhamnose	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Inositol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
D-sorbitol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Methyl-α-D- mannopyranoside	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
Amygdalin	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-



Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i>		American strains				South African strains			<i>P. agglomerans</i>	
	LMG2665 <sup>T</sup>	LMG20103 LMG20104	BD 301	BD 309	BD 310	BD 315	BD336	BD390	PA4	LMG1286 <sup>T</sup>	BD 287
D-cellobiose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
D-lactose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
D-melibiose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
D-raffinose	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
D-fucose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
D-arabitol	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Potassium gluconate	-	-	-	-	±	-	±	-	-	-	±
Aesculin hydrolysis	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+

<sup>a</sup> API 20E tests data was recorded after 24 hours, API 50CHE data (acid production) after 48 hours. Incubation temperature was at 30°C. Symbol (+) indicates positive reaction; symbol (-) negative reaction; symbol (±) uncertain.

All strains produced acid from: L-arabinose, D-ribose, D-xylose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, D-mannitol, N-acetylglucosamine, gentibiose, arbutin, salicylin, D-maltose, sucrose and D-trehalose.

All strains were negative in the following tests: arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, H<sub>2</sub>S, urease, tryptophane desaminase and acid production from: erythritol, D-arabinose, L-xylose, D-adonitol, methyl-B-D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose,




dulcitol, methyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside, inulin, D-melezitose, starch, glycogen, xylitol, D-turanose, D-lyxose, D-tagatose, L-fucose, L-arabitol, potassium 2-ketogluconate and potassium 5-ketogluconate.

Fig. 1. Symptoms on onion induced by the strains associated with center rot in the USA and by the South African strains isolated from onion seed.



Fig. 1

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship among selected partial 16S rDNA sequences from *Pantoea* species, including onion strains (in bold; South African strains from seed are underlined), based on pairwise comparisons using the Jukes-Cantor parameter. The sequence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was used as an outgroup taxon. The numbers at the nodes indicate the levels of bootstrap support based on data for 1.000 replicates; only values that are greater than 50% are shown. Accession numbers (in brackets) and the sequences of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. stewartii* and *K. pneumoniae* were obtained from the GenBank/EMBL databases.



## CHAPTER 4

### **Isolation and Identification of the Causal Agent of Brown Stalk Rot, a New Disease of Maize in South Africa**

As published in: Plant Disease. 2007. Vol. 91, pages 711-718.

#### **ABSTRACT**

During 2004-2005, an unreported disease of maize (*Zea mays*) was observed on commercial fields in the Northwest and Mpumalanga Provinces of South Africa. Infected plants were stunted, with a vertical crack at the first internode. Inside the stem, a dark brown, narrow lesion was present along the crack. Internal browning inside the stem extended upwards, reaching the top internode in some plants. Seed cobs were underdeveloped. Diseased plants were scattered in the fields and 10-70% of the crop was affected. Gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic bacteria were consistently isolated from diseased tissues. Pathogenicity tests established that representative strains induced disease symptoms similar to those observed on maize plants in the field. Physiological and biochemical characterization using the API 20E and API 50CHE systems and 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses showed that the strains belonged to the genus *Pantoea*. The results of these tests also separated the strains into two groups. The first group, giving a positive reaction in the indole test, was similar to *P. ananatis*. The second group of strains was indole negative and resembled *P. agglomerans*. The F-AFLP genomic fingerprints generated by the indole positive strains and *P. ananatis* reference strains were similar and clustered together in the dendrogram, confirming that the indole positive bacteria causing brown stalk rot on maize were *P. ananatis*. The F-AFLP fingerprints produced by the indole negative strains were distinctly different from those generated by *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. dispersa*, *P. citrea*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*. The results indicated that indole negative bacteria causing brown stalk rot on maize might belong to a previously undescribed species of the genus *Pantoea*. This is the first report of a new disease on maize, brown stalk rot, caused by two bacterial species, *P. ananatis* and an undescribed *Pantoea* sp.



Additional keywords: corn, detection

Maize (*Zea mays*) is the most important grain crop in South Africa, being both the major animal feed grain and the staple food of the majority of the population. For the 2003/2004 marketing year maize was responsible for the second largest contribution to the gross value of agricultural production in the country. The South African maize industry is also the largest maize industry in Africa (39). Commercial farmers cultivate nearly three million hectares of maize per year. In the past five years, South Africa produced between 7.2 and 10.1 million tons of maize per annum, with an average of 9.2 million tons. The main maize production areas in South Africa are the Free State, Northwest and Mpumalanga Provinces. These three provinces are responsible for 85% of the total maize produced in the country (7, 39).

Numerous fungal diseases cause excessive damage to maize in South Africa (12), especially *Puccinia* common rust (13), *Cercospora* gray leaf spot (20), *Aureobasidium* leaf spot (18), *Fusarium* (14, 15, 16) and *Stenocarpella* (13) stem, cob and root rots. By contrast, only two bacterial diseases have been reported on maize in the country, leaf streak (11) caused by *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *zeae* and bacterial stalk rot (35), caused by *Dickeya zeae*.

In January 2004, a new disease was observed in a single breeder's field in the Mpumalanga Province on an inbred female line. In December 2004 and January/February 2005 the same symptoms were also found in commercial maize fields in the Northwest and Mpumalanga Provinces. The disease was prevalent on the inbred female and single cross female lines and a commercial hybrid SR 52. Affected plants were stunted and a vertical crack at the first internode was always present (Fig. 1A). In most diseased plants, the crack extended into the second or sometimes the third internode. When the crack was split open, a dark brown, narrow lesion was visible along the crack (Fig. 1B). Internal browning inside the stem extended upwards, reaching the top internode in some plants (Fig. 1C). Seed cobs were underdeveloped. On one field, the leaf margins were soft, bleached-green and curled inwards (Fig. 1D). Diseased plants were scattered throughout the fields and 10-70% of the crop was affected.

When examined under a microscope, cut edges of symptomatic tissues consistently exhibited bacterial streaming. Gram negative bacteria producing yellow colonies were consistently isolated from diseased tissues and these were tentatively identified as belonging to the genus *Pantoea* (32). Two bacterial species of *Pantoea* have been reported to cause diseases on maize and other *Poaceae* hosts. *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* causes Stewart's wilt in Europe, Asia and the Americas, but not in South Africa (8, 33). *P. ananatis* was described as an agent of leaf spot on maize in Brazil (34), stem necrosis of rice in Australia

(9) and glume and grain discoloration of rice in Japan (4). *P. ananatis* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* were reported to cause leaf blotch on sudangrass in California (3).

The aim of this study was to identify and characterize the causal agent of brown stalk rot of maize observed in South Africa.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Isolation of the causal agent from plants.** Maize breeders and commercial farmers reported ten diseased maize fields throughout Mpumalanga and Northwest Provinces. Typical brown stalk rot symptoms were observed in these fields, on which the inbred female, the single cross female lines and the hybrid SR 52 were cultivated. One hundred diseased plants with brown stalk rot symptoms, approximately ten plants per field, were collected in January 2004, December 2004 and February 2005.

Isolations were done from all collected plants, from at least one stalk lesion per plant and from the necrotic stripes on leaves observed in one field. Small sections of stalk or leaf tissue with symptoms were cut aseptically from the margins of lesions and macerated in 1 ml of sterile distilled water for 20 min at 25°C. Plant extracts were streaked onto nutrient agar (Difco, Sparks, MD), tryptone glucose extract agar (TGA) (Difco) and King's B (30) media. Plates were incubated at 25°C and examined after 3 to 5 days for bacterial growth. On nutrient agar, bacterial colonies were very small, approximately 1 mm in diameter. On King's B, bacterial growth was very slimy and it was difficult to distinguish single colonies. On TGA, yellow, circular, well-separated colonies, 3-4 mm in diameter, were visible 2 to 3 days after plating. After the initial isolations from approximately 20 plants, subsequent isolations were made on TGA plates only. Plates were incubated at 25°C and examined after 3 to 5 days for bacterial growth. Suspected colonies were purified by streaking onto TGA and cultures stored in milk-glycerol liquid medium (10% skim milk, 15% glycerol in distilled water) at -20°C for further analysis. The same procedure was used to re-isolate bacteria from inoculated plants.

**Preliminary identification of bacteria.** Ten to twenty yellow bacterial colonies per field isolated on TGA medium were purified and used in preliminary identification tests. The strains were characterized by colony morphology on TGA, motility, cell morphology, Gram stain, catalase and oxidase reaction, Hugh-Leifson oxidation/fermentation test, production of

indole, gas from glucose and hydrogen sulphide from cysteine (32), according to methods described by Fahy and Hayward (17).

**Bacterial strains.** Bacterial strains from maize characterized in this study are listed in Table 1. Type strains of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. dispersa*, *P. citrea*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and seven *P. ananatis* strains isolated from sudangrass, onion and *Eucalyptus* were used as reference strains (Table 1). *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* is a quarantine organism in South Africa and only DNA was used in this study. Stock cultures of all strains were maintained in milk-glycerol liquid medium at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Stock strains were transferred onto TGA plates and incubated at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  to recover growing cultures. Cultures were routinely checked for purity and colony characteristics on TGA.

**Pathogenicity tests.** Pathogenicity on maize was determined in greenhouse assays on maize cultivar SR 52, shown to be susceptible in the field. Thirteen strains from maize, two *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus*, five from onion and one from sudangrass were used in pathogenicity tests (Table 1). Each bacterial strain was grown on TGA plates for 24-48 hours at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and each strain was suspended in sterile distilled water to obtain approximately  $10^7$  CFU/ml. The concentrations were confirmed by dilution plating on TGA plates. Maize plants were inoculated immediately after preparation of the bacterial suspensions. In the first experiment, maize plants were four weeks old and in the second experiment, plants were nine weeks old. Four-week-old plants were inoculated using two methods: (a) suspensions of single strains were infiltrated into leaf tissues with a syringe and (b) suspensions of single strains were injected into stems below the first leaf whorl. Inoculated plants were placed in plastic bags and maintained in a greenhouse with natural light and  $28/23^{\circ}\text{C}$  day/night temperature. After seven days the plastic bags were removed and plants were kept in the same greenhouse. Relative humidity (RH) fluctuated between 50 and 70%. Nine-week-old plants were inoculated by injecting a few drops of bacterial suspensions into the first internode of the stem. Stem-inoculated plants were maintained in a greenhouse with natural light and at temperatures and RH described above. At least two plants were inoculated with each bacterial strain per inoculation method. Each experiment continued for ten weeks. Control plants in all experiments were inoculated with sterile distilled water. Pathogenicity tests were performed twice.

Bacteria re-isolated from symptomatic tissues were confirmed by colony morphology on TGA, Gram stain, indole production, and Huigh-Leifson and oxidase tests (10, 22).

**Biochemical and physiological tests.** Biochemical and physiological characteristics of the strains listed in Table 1 were examined with the API 20E and API 50CHE systems (BioMérieux, La Balme les Grottes, Montalieu Vercieu, France), according to the procedure recommended by the manufacturers. The results of the API 20E and API 50CHE tests were recorded after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at 30°C, respectively. Profiles were identified using the APILAB V4.0 identification program (BioMérieux). The data for the *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* strain LMG 2715<sup>T</sup> was obtained from the paper by Mergaert et al. (32).

The cluster analysis was performed with the combined API 20E (21 characters) and API 50CHE (49 characters) data, using the Bionumerics software (version 4.5, Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Similarity matrixes were constructed with the Dice coefficient and cluster analyses were performed by the unweighted pair group method (UPGMA). The data was presented as an UPGMA dendrogram.

**DNA extraction.** Genomic DNA of bacterial strains (Table 1) was extracted by using the GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA was quantified using a Dyna Quant 200 fluorometer (Hoefer, San Francisco, CA) and Hoescht H 33258 intercalating dye (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). The DNA concentration was adjusted to 25-50 ng  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  with sterile water. The DNA was stored at -20°C until further analysis.

**Fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis.** All strains listed in Table 1 were examined by the fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP)-based system for the identification of plant-associated species from the genus *Pantoea* (6).

Genomic DNA, 50-100 ng from each isolate, was digested with *EcoRI* and *MseI* (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) restriction enzymes, and then ligated to the respective adaptors for 2 hours at 20°C. Ligation reactions were diluted 1:10 in nuclease-free water for pre-amplification with Eco-00 (5'-GAC TGC GTA CCA ATT C-3') and Mse-00 (5'-GAT GAG TCC TGA CTA A-3') primers (Inquaba, Pretoria, South Africa). Each 25  $\mu\text{l}$  pre-amplification reaction contained PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0 at 25°C; 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100); 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 250  $\mu\text{M}$  of each dNTPs; 100 pmol of each primer; 1 U Taq polymerase (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 2  $\mu\text{l}$  diluted ligation reaction. Amplification

conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min; 20 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min; and final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Each pre-amplification reaction was diluted 1:50 in nuclease-free water prior to selective amplification with Mse-CG (5'-GAT GAG TCC TGA CTA ACG-3') and fluorescently labeled Eco-G (5'-GAC TGC GTA CCA ATT CG-3') primers (Inquaba). A volume of selective PCR reactions was 20 µl, and each contained: PCR buffer; 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 250 µM of each dNTPs; 0.5 pmol Eco-G primer; 2.4 pmol Mse-CG primer; 1 U Taq polymerase and 5 µl diluted pre-amplification reaction. The selective PCR amplifications included the following steps: denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 9 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, initial annealing at 65°C for 30s (annealing temperature was reduced by 1°C per cycle until 56°C was reached) and elongation at 72°C for 1 min; 23 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min, followed by 5 min extension at 72°C. Amplifications were performed at least twice for each strain in the Hybaid Omni Gene thermocycler (Teddington, England).

The selective amplification reactions (1 µl) were mixed with an equal volume of formamide loading buffer (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, bromophenol blue), heated for 3 min at 90°C and then chilled on ice for 10 min. A volume of 0.5-0.8 µl of each mixture was loaded onto the gel. The AFLP products were separated in 8% Long Ranger (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) denaturing gels on a LI-COR IR automated sequencer. Electrophoresis was carried out for 4 hours at 1500 V in 0.8 x TBE buffer. Images were imported into BioNumerics software. Gels were standardized with a 50-700 bp sizing standard (LI-COR) and the bands between 50 and 700 bp were analyzed (6). Similarity was calculated using the Dice correlation coefficient and cluster analysis was performed by the UPGMA method. The data was presented as an UPGMA dendrogram.

**Amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene.** The 16S rRNA gene fragment of thirteen strains from maize (Table 1) was amplified using the universal primers fD1 (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3') and rD1 (5'-AAG GAG GTG ATC CAG CCG CA-3') (41). DNA was amplified in 50  $\mu$ l reaction volumes containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0 at 25°C; 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100); 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 150  $\mu$ M dNTPs; 1.0  $\mu$ M each primer; Taq polymerase (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) 1 unit per reaction volume and 25-50 ng DNA template  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>. Amplifications were performed according to Weisburg *at al.* (41) in a Hybaid Omni Gene thermocycler.

The amplified PCR products were cut from the gel and purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and then cloned with the pGEM-T Easy Vector System II kit (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Plasmids with inserts were purified using the QIAprep Spin kit (Qiagen). The sequencing was performed using the Dye terminator cycle sequencing kit for MegaBACE with dideoxynucleotide chain-termination chemistry. Sequences were analyzed using a MegaBACE 500 Sequencer (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, England) with MegaBACE 500 Sequence Analyzer (version 2.4) software.

The GenBank/EMBL databases were used for homology searches using the BLAST program (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Sequence analysis.** The 16S rDNA nucleotide sequences obtained in this study were aligned with a selection of 16S rDNA sequences of *Pantoea* species from GenBank with the MAFFT software, ver. 5.743 (28). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the neighbor joining method (36) and evolutionary distances calculated according to the method of Kimura (29), using the MEGA software package, version 3.1, Kumar, Tamura, Nei, 2004 (31). Bootstrap analysis of the data, based on 1000 permutations was used to assess the stability of relationships.

## RESULTS

**Isolation of the causal agent.** Yellow bacterial colonies were consistently isolated from all diseased tissues on TGA medium. Colonies were circular, 2-3 mm in diameter, raised, regular and shiny. One hundred and thirty-seven colonies were purified on TGA and used in

preliminary identification tests. All strains were gram negative rods, motile, oxidase negative and catalase positive. They did not produce H<sub>2</sub>S from cysteine and gas from glucose. All strains fermentatively utilized glucose. The majority of isolates produced indole, with the exception of all strains isolated from a single field of cv. SR 52 maize in the Northwest Province.

**Pathogenicity test.** When four-week-old seedlings were inoculated, no lesions or other disease symptoms developed within ten weeks on any of the maize inoculated with any of the bacterial cultures.

When bacterial suspensions were injected into the stems of nine-week-old plants, all thirteen strains from maize produced brown stalk rot symptoms within six to seven weeks (Table 1). Four to six days after inoculation, small, 2-4 mm, light brown or dark green lesions developed around the inoculation point. Drops of yellow liquid slowly oozed from the lesion for two to three days. After 6 to 7 weeks, a single, long, vertical crack appeared on the injected internode. A dark brown, narrow lesion was present in an internal stem tissue along the crack. The internal browning within the stem was also observed in upper internodes. One strain from maize, BD 561, also induced the symptoms on leaves, similar to those observed in the field.

*P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus*, onion and sudangrass did not cause brown stalk rot on maize. However, four strains, LMG 20103 and LMG 20104 from *Eucalyptus* and BD 315 and PA 4 from onion produced the symptoms on maize leaves, when nine-week-old plants were stem-inoculated. Four to five weeks after injection, yellow lesions appeared on young leaves. Within a week, lesions spread along leaf veins and turned bleached-green. Tissues inside the stem appeared to be healthy.

Colonies recovered from plants with disease symptoms were yellow, gram negative rods, oxidase negative and utilized glucose as a facultative anaerobe. All strains, except re-isolated BD 500, BD 502 and BD 639 (isolated from one field in the Northwest Province) produced indole. Control plants inoculated with water did not develop symptoms, nor were yellow bacteria isolated from leaves and stems of these plants on TGA.

**Physiological and biochemical characterization.** The results of physiological and biochemical tests using the API 20E and API 50CHE systems separated thirteen strains from maize into two groups (Fig. 2). Ten indole positive strains had similar biochemical characteristics and resembled the type strain of *P. ananatis* LMG 2665<sup>T</sup> and *P. ananatis*

reference strains from *Eucalyptus*, onion and sudangrass (Table 2). Unlike other *P. ananatis* used in the study and 18 strains of *P. ananatis* studied by Mergaert et al. (32), three strains from maize produced acid from D-lyxose. The profiles of all these strains were identified by APILAB program as *Pantoea* spp. 2.

Three indole negative strains from maize, BD 500, BD 502 and BD 639, were similar to *P. agglomerans* LMG 1286<sup>T</sup>, and formed a separate group on the dendrogram (Fig. 2). The ability to produce acid from inositol, D-melibiose, starch, glycogen, D-fucose and D-arabitol, distinguished three indole negative isolates from LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> (Table 2). None of the 21 *P. agglomerans* strains studied by Beji et al. (5), 16 by Gavini et al. (19) and 70 strains studied by Verdonck et al. (40), produced acid from glycogen or D-fucose, but some did from starch, D-melibiose and D-arabitol. The profiles of LMG 1286<sup>T</sup>, BD 500, BD 502 and BD 639 were identified as *Pantoea* spp. 3.

The type strains of *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* produced different profiles and did not cluster with maize strains on the UPGMA dendrogram constructed with the results of the API 20E and API 50CHE tests (Fig. 2).

**F-AFLP analysis.** Strains from maize, *P. ananatis* reference strains and five type strains of other *Pantoea* species generated complex DNA fingerprints from extracted genomic DNA (Fig. 3). The selective primers Eco-G/Mse-CG (6) yielded well-defined DNA fingerprints, with an average of 80 bands per isolate. Amplified DNA fragments ranged in size from approximately 50 bp to slightly greater than 700 bp and revealed a high degree of genetic diversity among the strains. Although significant differences were observed between the major groups, within each group of strains the fingerprints correlated.

Ten indole positive strains from maize generated fingerprints that were similar to *P. ananatis* and they were contained in a cluster with *P. ananatis* type strain, LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, and *P. ananatis* reference strains (Fig. 3). The similarity values among these strains were between 73 and 96%.

F-AFLP fingerprints generated by the three indole negative strains from maize were almost identical (88 to 94% similarity), but clearly different from that produced by the indole positive isolates and the type strains of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*.

**16S rDNA sequence analysis.** The 16S rDNA sequences of thirteen strains from maize obtained in this study were deposited in the GenBank database. Accession numbers are listed in Table 1.

BLAST searches with the 1.5 kb sequences showed that 16S rDNA of ten indole positive strains had the highest homology (99.3 to 99.9%) to the 16S rDNA of *P. ananatis* strains LMG 20103 (accession number AF364847), LMG 20106 (AF364844) and PA 4 (AY530796). The 16S rDNA sequence of the indole negative strains had the highest homology, 99.6%, to *P. agglomerans* DSM 3493<sup>T</sup> (AJ233423).

Figure 4 shows the phylogenetic relationship derived from a neighbor-joining analysis of the pairwise comparison among the 16S rDNA sequences of thirteen strains from this study with fifteen sequences of five described species of the genus *Pantoea*. *Enterobacter cloacae* ATCC 13047<sup>T</sup> (AJ251469) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* DSM 30104<sup>T</sup> (X87276) and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 11775<sup>T</sup> (X80725) were used as the outgroup taxons. Phylogenetic evaluation confirmed the division of strains from maize into two groups at 99% confidence value from bootstrap analysis. The tree demonstrated clearly that the sequences of all indole positive isolates from maize clustered with *P. ananatis*. Three indole negative strains grouped closely with *P. agglomerans*. However, the three sequences formed a subcluster in the tree, separate from *P. agglomerans*, at a high, 91% confidence value.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we describe a new disease on maize, brown stalk rot, caused by two species of bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea*, *P. ananatis* and what appears to be a previously undescribed *Pantoea* sp. Koch's postulates were confirmed using thirteen strains, which were subjected to further taxonomic investigations.

Nutritional and biochemical characterization using the commercial systems API 20E and API 50CHE, showed that the ten strains from maize had similar biochemical characteristics and resembled the type strain of *P. ananatis* and *P. ananatis* reference strains (Fig 2, Table 2). Although all indole positive, some strains varied slightly in a few biochemical tests from that characteristic for the species (32), such as producing acid from D-lyxose but not from D-sorbitol or arbutin. Several *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus* (10), rice (9) and onion (22) also showed a few unusual biochemical properties. The genotypic characterization confirmed that the ten strains were *P. ananatis*. The 16S rDNA sequences of all indole positive isolates were 99.3 to 99.9% homologous to that of *P. ananatis* and all clustered with *P. ananatis* in a

neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4). The AFLP genomic fingerprinting technique was demonstrated as a rapid, discriminatory method not only to determine the taxonomic diversity of bacteria (37), but also to identify them to the species level (2, 24, 25, 27). The F-AFLP fingerprints produced by the ten indole positive strains using selective primers Eco-G/Mse-CG (6) strongly resembled that yielded by *P. ananatis* (Fig. 3). We conclude that the indole positive bacteria associated with brown stalk rot of maize in South Africa are *P. ananatis*.

Nutritional and physiological characteristics of the three indole negative strains, BD 500, BD 502 and BD 639 were similar to *P. agglomerans* LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 2, Table 2) and their 16S rDNA sequences were 99.6% homologous to the *P. agglomerans* type strain. However, the F-AFLP fingerprints generated by these three strains, which were almost identical to each other, were distinctly different from that of *P. agglomerans* and other *Pantoea* species used in the study. BD 500, 502 and BD 639 could also be differentiated from LMG 1286<sup>T</sup> by giving positive reaction in the tryptophane deaminase test and not producing acid from amygdalin on the API 20E strips (Table 2). Therefore, the taxonomic status of these three strains, that were isolated from only one field of maize with brown stalk rot (Table 1), needs to be further investigated.

Two different bacterial species of the genus *Pantoea* were reported to cause similar disease symptoms on other crops. *P. ananatis* and *P. agglomerans* were isolated from onion (21, 23) and proved to induce indistinguishable symptoms on this host in pathogenicity tests (22). *P. punctata* and *P. citrea* were both isolated from mandarin orange (26). *P. ananatis* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* were causing leaf blotch of sudangrass (3) and rot of pineapple (32, 38). *P. ananatis* and *Pantoea* spp. isolated from diseased maize produced identical brown stalk rot symptoms on maize SR 52 when the pathogens were injected into the stems of nine-weeks-old plants. However, the disease did not develop following leaf inoculation. Only one strain, *P. ananatis* BD 561, produced the leaf stripes observed in a field, but failed to induce stalk rot when the leaf was inoculated. The results suggested that brown rot develops in stalks if the pathogen enters into plants through the stem. The most damaging insect pests of maize in South Africa are several species of stalk borers (1). The larvae enter the stem by boring a hole, usually some distance above the ground and bores upward in the stem. Stalk borer was present on several maize fields with brown stalk rot and *P. ananatis* was occasionally isolated from the larvae in this study (data not shown). It is possible that stalk borers play a role in the spreading of the brown stalk rot pathogens.

The sudden appearance of brown stalk rot prompted investigations into potential sources of inoculum. A strain associated with the leaf blotch disease of sudangrass in the USA (3) was non pathogenic on maize, but two strains from onion generated leaf symptoms on this plant. Center rot disease of onion has not been found in South Africa on plants and the pathogen was only isolated from onion seed (22), eliminating that host as a source of disease on maize. Although two strains of *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus* used in the study did not induce stalk rot on maize, they produced lesions on leaves in pathogenicity tests when injected into the stem. Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* was first observed in South Africa in 1998 (10), six years before the appearance of brown stalk rot on maize. The possibility that *P. ananatis* was transmitted into maize from *Eucalyptus* by an unknown vector cannot be excluded.

The impact of brown stalk rot on maize yield and quality has not been measured. Disease was most severe on inbred and single cross female lines. This is of considerable concern, as the lines represent some of the crucial breeding stock on which the maize industry is based. More research is required to understand the epidemiology of this new disease, and to develop the management strategies to reduce its expansion.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mr. Harry Boroko for technical help and Ms Di James (University of Cape Town, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Cape Town, South Africa) for sequencing of 16S rDNAs. Research was supported by the Agricultural Research Council and the National Research Foundation.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Annecke, D. P., and Moran, V. C. 1982. Insects and mites of cultivated plants in South Africa. Butterworths, Durban, South Africa.
2. Avrova, A. O., Hyman, L. J., Toth, R. L., and Toth, I. K. 2002. Application of amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting for taxonomy and identification of the soft rot bacteria *Erwinia carotovora* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:1499-1508.

3. Azad, H. R., Holmes, G. J., and Cooksey, D.A. 2000. A new leaf blotch disease of Sudangrass caused by *Pantoea ananatis* and *Pantoea stewartii*. *Plant Dis.* 84:973-979.
4. Azegami, K., Ozaki, K., Matsuda, A., and Ohata, K. 1983. Bacterial palea browning, a new disease of rice caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Bull. Nat. Inst. Agric. Sci.* 37:1-12.
5. Beji, A., Mergaert, J., Gavini, F., Izard, D., Kersters, K., Leclerc, H., and De Ley, J. 1988. Subjective synonymy of *Erwinia herbicola*, *Erwinia milletiae*, and *Enterobacter agglomerans* and redefinition of the taxon by genotypic and phenotypic data. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 38:77-88.
6. Brady, C. L. (2005). Taxonomy of *Pantoea* associated with bacterial blight of *Eucalyptus*. Master Thesis. The Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa. Online, publication: <http://upetd.up.ac.za/UpeTD.htm>
7. CEC Maize: Area planted, production, yield per province. 2006. Grain South Africa. Industry Info. Online, publication /2006/ <http://www.graansa.co.za/>
8. CMI. 1987. Distributions Maps of Plant Diseases No. 41 (edition 4). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
9. Cother, E. J., Reinke, R., McKenzie, C., Lanoiselet, V. M., and Noble, D. H. 2004. An unusual stem necrosis of rice caused by *Pantoea ananas* and the first record of this pathogen on rice in Australia. *Austral. Plant Path.* 33:494-503.
10. Coutinho, T.A., Preisig, O., Mergaert, J., Cnockaert, M. C., Riedel, K.-H., Swings, J., and Wingfield, M. J. 2002. Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids and clones in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* 86:20-25.
11. Coutinho, T. A., and Wallis, F. M. 1991. Bacterial streak disease of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in South Africa. *J. Phytopathology* 133:112-120.

12. Crous, P. W., Phillips, A. J. L., and Baxter, A. P. 2000. Phytopathogenic fungi from South Africa. University of Stellenbosch, Department of Plant Pathology Press, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
13. Doidge, E. M. 1924. A preliminary checklist of plant diseases occurring in South Africa. Botanical Survey of South Africa, Memoir, 6:1-56.
14. Doidge, E. M. and Bottomley, A. M. 1931. A revised list of diseases occurring in South Africa. Botanical Survey of South Africa, Memoir 11:1-78.
15. Doidge, E. M., Bottomley, A. M., Van Der Plank, J. E. and Pauer, G. D. 1953. A revised list of plant diseases occurring in South Africa. Sci. Bull. Dep. Agric. S. Afr. 346:1-122.
16. Du Toit, J. J. 1968. Root rot of young maize plants – the causal fungi. SA J. Agric. Sci. 11:595-604.
17. Fahy, P. C., and Hayward, A. C. 1983. Media and methods for isolation and diagnostic tests. Pages 337-378 in: Plant bacterial diseases, a diagnostic guide. P. C Fahy and G. J. Persley eds. Academic Press Australia.
18. Flett, B. C., and Nowell, D. C. 1995. First report of *Aureobasidium zeae* on maize in South Africa. SA J. Sci. 91:ii.
19. Gavini, F., Mergaert, J., Beji, A., Mielcarek, C., Izard, D., Kersters, K., and De Ley, J. 1989. Transfer of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck 1888) Ewing and Fife 1972 to *Pantoea* gen. Nov. as *Pantoea agglomerans* comb. Nov. and description of *Pantoea dispersa* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 39:337-345.
20. Gevers, H. O., Lake, J. K., and Hohls, T. 1994. Diallel cross analysis of resistance to grey leaf spot in maize. Plant Dis. 78:379-383.

21. Gitaitis, R. D., and Gay, J. D. 1997. First report of a leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananas* in Georgia. *Plant Dis.* 81:1096.
22. Goszczynska, T., Moloto, V. M., Venter, S. N., and Coutinho, T. A. 2006. Isolation and identification of *Pantoea ananatis* from onion seed in South Africa. *Seed Sci. Tech.* 34:677-690.
23. Hattingh, M. J., and Walters, D. F. 1981. Stalk and leaf necrosis of onion caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Plant Dis.* 65:615-618.
24. Janssen, P., Coopman, R., Huys, G., Swings, J., Bleeker, M., Vos, P., Zabeau, M., and Kersters, K. 1996. Evaluation of the DNA fingerprinting method AFLP as a tool in bacterial taxonomy. *Microbiology* 142:1881-1893.
25. Jonas, D., Spitzmüller, B., Daschner, F. D., Verhoef, J., and Brisse, S. 2004. Discrimination of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* phylogenetic groups and other *Klebsiella* species by use of amplified fragment length polymorphism. *Res. Microbiol.* 155:17-23.
26. Kageyama, B., Nakae, M., Yagi, S., and Sonoyama, T. 1992. *Pantoea punctata* sp. nov., *Pantoea citrea* sp. nov., and *Pantoea terrea* sp. nov. isolated from fruit and soil samples. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 42:203-210.
27. Kassama, Y., Rooney, P. J., and Goodacre, R. 2002. Fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism probalistic database for identification of bacterial isolates from urinary tract infections. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40:2795-2800.
28. Katoh, K., Kuma, K., Toh, H., and Miyata, T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 30:3059-3066.
29. Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J. Molec. Evol.* 16:111-120.

30. King, E. O., Ward, M. K., and Raney, D. E. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* 44:301-307.
31. Kumar, S., Tamura, K., and Nei, M. 2004. MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. *Brief. Bioinformatics* 5:150-163.
32. Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L., and Kersters, K. 1993. Transfer of *Erwinia ananas* (synonym, *Erwinia uredovora*) and *Erwinia stewartii* to the genus *Pantoea* emend. as *Pantoea ananas* (Serrano 1928) comb. nov. and *Pantoea stewartii* (Smith 1898) comb. nov., respectively, and description of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* subsp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 43:162-173.
33. OEPP/EPPO. 1978. Data sheets on quarantine organisms No. 54, *Erwinia stewartii*. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* 8 (2).
34. Paccola-Meirelles, L. D., Ferreira, A. S., Meirelles, W. F., Mariel, I. E., and Casela, C. R. 2001. Detection of a bacterium associated with a leaf spot disease of maize in Brazil. *J. Phytopatology* 149:275-279.
35. Pauer, G. D. 1964. *Erwinia carotovora* f. sp. *zetae*, the bacterium causing stalk rot of maize in the Republic of South Africa. *SA J. Agric. Sci.* 7:881-882.
36. Saitou, N., and Nei, M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molec. Biol. Evol.* 4:406-425.
37. Savelkoul, P. H. M., Aarts, H. J. M., deHaas, J., Dijkshoorn, L., Duim, B., Otsen, M., Rademaker, J. L. W., Schouls, L., and Lenstra, J. A. 1999. Amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis: the state of an art. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 37:3083-3091.
38. Serrano, F. B. 1928. Bacterial fruitlet brown rot of pineapples in the Philippines. *Philipp. J. Sci.* 36:271-305.

39. The maize-to-maize meal value chain. 2003. Pages 145-147 in: Food Pricing Monitoring Committee, Final Report, 2003. Part 4, Chapter 2. National Department of Agriculture, Pretoria, South Africa. Online publication: <http://www.nda.agric.za>.
40. Verdonck, L., Mergaert, J., Rijckaert, C., Swings, J., Kersters, K., and De Ley, J. 1987. Genus *Erwinia*: Numerical analysis of phenotypic features. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 37:4-18.
41. Weisburg, W. G., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A., and Lane, D. J. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J. Bacteriol.* 173:697-703.

Table 1. Strains of *Pantoea* characterized in this study.

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Host and origin	16S rDNA	Pathogenicity test on maize <sup>c</sup>
	MP-Mpumalanga Province NW-Northwest Province	(GenBank accession number) <sup>b</sup>	
Strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot symptoms			
BD 435	Maize, stalk, MP, field 1	AY898642	Brown stalk rot
BD 442	Maize, stalk, MP, field 2	AY898643	Brown stalk rot
BD 500	Maize, stalk, NW, field 3	DQ849042	Brown stalk rot
BD 502	Maize, stalk, NW, field 3	DQ849043	Brown stalk rot
BD 639	Maize, stalk, NW, field 3	DQ512489	Brown stalk rot
BD 543	Maize, stalk, NW, field 4	DQ133545	Brown stalk rot
BD 561	Maize, leaf, NW, field 4	DQ133546	Brown stalk rot Leaf stripe
BD 577	Maize, stalk, MP, field 5	DQ133547	Brown stalk rot
BD 588	Maize, stalk, MP, field 6	DQ133548	Brown stalk rot
BD 602	Maize, stalk, MP, field 7	DQ195522	Brown stalk rot
BD 622	Maize, stalk, MP, field 8	DQ195523	Brown stalk rot
BD 640	Maize, stalk, MP, field 9	DQ195524	Brown stalk rot
BD 647	Maize, stalk, MP, field 10	DQ195525	Brown stalk rot
<i>P. ananatis</i> reference strains from other hosts			
BD 301	Onion, USA	AY579209	No symptoms
BD 315	Onion, USA	AY579212	Leaf stripe
BD 333	Onion, SA	DQ512490	No symptoms
BD 336	Onion, SA	AY530794	No symptoms
PA 4	Onion, SA	AY530796	Leaf stripe
0197-28	Sudangrass, USA	-	No symptoms
LMG 20103	Eucalyptus, SA	AF364847	Leaf stripe
LMG 20104	Eucalyptus, SA	AF364844	Leaf stripe
Type strains			
LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i>	Z96081	-
LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. agglomerans</i>	AJ233423	-
LMG 2603 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. dispersa</i>	DQ504305	-
LMG 2632 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp.	Y13251	-

Strain <sup>a</sup>	Host and origin	16S rDNA	
	MP-Mpumalanga Province NW-Northwest Province	(GenBank accession number) <sup>b</sup>	Pathogenicity test on maize <sup>c</sup>
	<i>indologenes</i>		
LMG 2715 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>stewartii</i>	U80208	-
LMG 22049 <sup>T</sup>	<i>P. citrea</i>	-	-

<sup>a</sup> BD and PA strains: accession numbers of the Plant Pathogenic and Plant Protecting Bacteria (PPPPB) culture collection, ARC-PPRI, Pretoria, South Africa; BD 301 and BD 315 were deposited by R. Walcott, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens. LMG strains: accession numbers of the BCCM/LMG Culture Collection, University Gent, Belgium. Strain from sudangrass: D.A. Cooksey, Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Riverside. \* *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* is a quarantine organism in South Africa, and only DNA was used in this study.

<sup>b</sup> 16S rDNA sequences of strains from maize were obtained in this study; accession numbers and sequences of other strains were obtained from the GenBank databases.

<sup>c</sup> Symptoms induced on the stem-inoculated maize SR 52 within six to seven weeks, when bacterial suspensions were injected into the stems of nine-week-old plants.

- not done



Table 2. Physiological and biochemical characteristics of the strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot, and reference strains of *P. ananatis* and *P. agglomerans* using the API 20E and API 50CHE systems.

Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i> LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	<i>P. ananatis</i> from onion, <i>Eucalyptus</i> and sudangrass	BD435,442,543,561, 577,588,602,622,640, 647 from maize	<i>P. agglomerans</i> LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	BD 500, 502, 639 from maize
<b>API 20E</b>					
Citrate utilization	+	d	+	-	-
Tryptophane deaminase	-	-	-	-	+
Indole	+	+	+	-	-
Gelatinase	-	d	d	-	+
Acid from					
Inositol	+	d	d	-	+
D-sorbitol	+	+	d	-	-
L-rhamnose	+	d	+	+	+
D-sucrose	+	d	+	+	+
Amygdalin	+	+	d	+	-
<b>API 50CHE</b>					
Acid from					
Glycerol	+	+	+	±	±
L-rhamnose	+	d	+	+	+
Inositol	+	+	+	-	+



Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i> LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	<i>P. ananatis</i> from onion, <i>Eucalyptus</i> and sudangrass	BD435,442,543,561, 577,588,602,622,640, 647 from maize	<i>P. agglomerans</i> LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	BD 500, 502, 639 from maize
D-sorbitol	+	+	d	-	-
Methyl- $\alpha$ -D- mannopyranoside	+	d	d	-	-
Amygdalin	-	d	d	-	-
Arbutin	+	+	d	+	d
D-cellobiose	+	+	+	-	d
D-maltose	+	d	d	+	+
D-lactose	+	+	+	-	-
D-melibiose	+	+	+	-	+
Sucrose	+	d	+	+	+
D-raffinose	+	d	+	-	-
Starch	-	-	-	-	d
Glycogen	-	-	-	-	d
Gentibiose	+	+	d	$\pm$	+
D-lyxose	-	-	d	-	-
D-fucose	-	-	-	-	$\pm$
D-arabitol	+	+	+	-	+
Potassium gluconate	-	d	d	-	-



Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	<i>P. ananatis</i> LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	<i>P. ananatis</i> from onion, <i>Eucalyptus</i> and sudangrass	BD435,442,543,561, 577,588,602,622,640, 647 from maize	<i>P. agglomerans</i> LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup> Type strain	BD 500, 502, 639 from maize
Aesculin hydrolysis	+	d	d	+	d

<sup>a</sup> API 20E test data was recorded after 24 hours, API 50CHE test data was recorded after 48 hours. Incubation temperature was at 30°C.

Symbol (+) indicates positive reaction; symbol (-) negative reaction; symbol (±) weak positive reaction; symbol (d) reaction differs.

All strains gave positive reactions in the following tests: API 20E – β-galactosidase, acetoin and acid from D-glucose, D-mannitol, D-melibiose, L-arabinose; API 50CHE – acid production from: L-arabinose, D-ribose, D-xylose, D-galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, D-mannitol, N-acetyloglucosamine, salicylin and D-trehalose.

All strains were negative in the following tests: API 20E – arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, H<sub>2</sub>S, urease; API 50 CHE – acid production from: erythitol, D-arabinose, L-xylose, D-adonitol, methyl-β-D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose, dulcitol, methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside, inulin, D-melezitose, xylitol, D-turanose, D-tagatose, L-fucose, L-arabitol, potassium 2-ketogluconate and potassium 5-ketogluconate.

Fig. 1. Brown stalk rot symptoms on maize observed in a field. A, vertical crack on the first internode of the stem; B, long, brown lesion along the crack; C, internal browning inside the stem; D, curling of the leaf edges.



Fig. 1



Fig. 1

Fig. 2. A dendrogram based on the results of the API 20E and API 50CHE systems (BioMérieux, La Balme les Grottes, Montalieu Vercieu, France) tests, showing relationships among bacterial strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot, *P. ananatis* reference strains, *P. agglomerans*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*. Similarity matrixes were constructed using the Dice coefficient and cluster analyses were performed by the unweighted pair group method with the Bionumerics program (version 4.5, Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Strains from maize are in bold (indole negative strains are underlined). The data for *the P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* LMG 2715<sup>T</sup> was obtained from the paper by Mergaert et al. (32).




Fig. 3. A dendrogram showing similarity among the fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP) DNA fingerprints of bacterial strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot, *P. ananatis* reference strains, *P. agglomerans*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*. The fingerprints were generated using the selective primers Eco-G/Mse-CG (6). Similarity matrixes were constructed using the Dice coefficient and cluster analyses were performed by the unweighted pair group method with the Bionumerics program (version 4.5, Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Strains from maize are in bold (indole negative strains are underlined).





Fig. 4. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship among selected partial 16S rDNA sequences from *Pantoea* species and strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot (in bold, indole negative strains are underlined). Phylogenetic tree was constructed with the neighbor-joining method (39) and evolutionary distances calculated according to the method of Kimura (32), using the MEGA software package, version 3.1; Kumar, Tamura, Nei, 2004 (34). The sequences of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* were used as outgroup taxons. The numbers at the nodes indicate the levels of bootstrap support based on data for 1.000 replicates, only values greater than 90 % are shown. Accession numbers (in brackets) and the sequences of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. dispersa*, *P. stewartii*, *E. cloacae*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* were obtained from the GenBank/EMBL databases. Bar represents genetic distance.



## CHAPTER 5

### **Polyphasic Characterisation of *Pantoea* Strains from Onion and Maize, and the Description of *Pantoea allii* sp. nov.**

#### **Abstract**

Bacteria from the genus *Pantoea* have become increasingly important plant pathogens around the world. In South Africa, they cause diseases of two economically important crops, *Eucalyptus* and maize. The strains inducing center rot of onion have only been found in onion seed in this country, and have not yet been associated with any disease outbreak. The identity and taxonomic position of *Pantoea* isolates from onion and maize has not been fully determined. Forty-seven strains were subjected to a polyphasic study that included phenotypic characterisation, analysis of the F-AFLP patterns, rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting, 16S rDNA gene sequences and DNA-DNA hybridisation. The results revealed that the strains belong to three different species within the genus *Pantoea*. Majority of strains were identified as *P. ananatis*. Indole-negative strains from maize were identified as *P. vagens*. Some strains from onion, previously classified as *P. ananatis*, were shown to form a novel species. The name *Pantoea allii* sp. nov. is proposed for these strains (type strain BD 390<sup>T</sup>) and the description of the species is presented.

## INTRODUCTION

Bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea* are common on plant surfaces, in seeds, soil, and water and have been isolated from humans and animals (Gavini et al., 1989; Mergaert et al., 1993). Many microbiologists have studied the taxonomy of the genus. The studies included the numerical analysis of phenotypic characteristics (Verdonck et al., 1987), 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (Hauben et al., 1998), fatty acid and protein profile analyses, DNA-DNA hybridisation (Brenner et al., 1984; Beji et al., 1988; Gavini et al., 1989, Mergaert et al., 1993) and AFLP fingerprints analysis (Brady, 2005). There are seven described species within the genus *Pantoea*: *P. agglomerans*, *P. ananatis*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. punctata*, *P. terrea*, and *P. stewartii* containing two subspecies, *indologenes* and *stewartii*. There are also several hybridisation groups from a study by Brenner et al. (1984), and a protein profile group of Beji et al. (1988), that according to the latest edition of the Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology belong to the genus *Pantoea* (Grimont and Grimont, 2005).

Plant pathogenic *Pantoea* species cause diseases on diverse crops, such as pineapple (Serrano, 1928; Kageyama et al., 1992), melons (Bruton et al., 1986; Wells et al., 1987), cantaloupe (Bruton et al., 1991) sudangrass (Azad et al., 2000), *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002), rice (Azegami et al., 1983; Cother et al., 2004), mandarin orange (Kageyama et al., 1992), sugarcane (Serrano, 1928), beets (Brown, 1928), *Gypsophila* (Brown, 1934), onion (Hattingh and Walters, 1981; Gitaitis and Gay, 1997; Schwartz and Otto, 2000; Goszczynska et al., 2006) and maize (Elliott, 1941; Paccola-Meirelles et al., 2001; Goszczynska et al., 2007). Disease symptoms are diverse and include galls, rots, wilt, leaf blights, necrosis and spots, dieback and stem necrosis (Grimont and Grimont, 2005).

However, not all *Pantoea* strains are plant pathogens, and some have been used for biological control of *Erwinia amylovora* (Beer et al., 1984) and *Xanthomonas albilineans* (Zhang and Birch, 1997). Some strains of *P. agglomerans* (Nunes et al., 2001) and *P. ananatis* (Torres et al., 2005) are effective for the biological control of post harvest pome fruit diseases caused by fungi.

Diseases of onion are characterised by leaf blight, central leaf rot, seed stalk necrosis and rot, and bulb decay and these symptoms can lead to economically significant losses (Hattingh and Walters, 1981; Walcott et al., 2002). These diseases are caused by *P. ananatis* (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997) and *P. agglomerans* (Hattingh and Walters, 1981). The strains of *P. ananatis*

inducing center rot of onion have only been found in onion seed in South Africa, and have not yet been associated with any disease outbreak (Goszczyńska et al., 2006).

Brown stalk rot of maize was first observed in South Africa in 2004 and was caused by *P. ananatis* and an unknown *Pantoea* sp. similar phenotypically to *P. agglomerans* (Goszczyńska et al., 2007). Affected plants were stunted, with a vertical crack at the first internode, surrounded by a dark brown, narrow lesion. Internal browning inside the stem extended upwards, reaching the top internode in some plants. Seed cobs were underdeveloped. Diseased plants were scattered over the fields and 10-70% of the crop was affected (Goszczyńska et al., 2007).

The diseases of onion and maize caused by *Pantoea* species were recorded for the first time during the last decade. Their taxonomic position has not been fully clarified. Bacteria isolated from onion in the USA and South Africa was considered to be *P. ananatis*. This classification was based only on biochemical and physiological characteristics (Gitaitis and Gay, 1997; Schwartz and Otto, 2000; Walcott et al., 2002) and the analysis of the 16S rDNA sequences (Goszczyńska et al., 2006). Such methods are often insufficient for species delineation according to the recommendation of Wayne et al. (1987) and Stackebrandt et al. (2002). *Pantoea* strains isolated from maize with brown stalk rot symptoms in South Africa were additionally examined by using fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis (F-AFLP) (Goszczyńska et al., 2007). The majority of strains isolated from diseased maize were *P. ananatis*. Several isolates, although similar to *P. agglomerans* on the basis on biochemical tests and 16S rDNA sequences, produced F-AFLP fingerprints that were distinctly different from that generated by *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. dispersa*, *P. citrea*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*. The results indicated that these bacteria belong to a previously undescribed species of the genus *Pantoea* and their taxonomic status needed to be further investigated. Bacteria recently isolated from *Eucalyptus* in Uganda were proposed to form a new species within a genus *Pantoea*, *P. vagens* (unpublished results(Goszczyńska et al., 2007).). The type strain of *P. vagens*, BCC 105<sup>T</sup> was included in this study.

The present research was initiated to characterise a collection of *Pantoea* strains from onion and maize by a polyphasic approach based on analyses of carbon source utilisation,

physiological characteristics, 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis, DNA-DNA hybridisation and F-AFLP. Based on the results obtained, the new species *Pantoea allii* is proposed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains.** Sixty-seven bacterial strains used in the study are listed in Table 1. Twenty-four strains were originally isolated from onion plants and seed in the USA and South Africa. Twenty-three strains were isolated from maize with brown stalk rot in South Africa. Twenty *Pantoea* strains, including the type strains of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. vagens*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* were used as reference strains. The strains were routinely cultured on tryptone glucose extract agar (TGA) (Difco, Sparks, MD), at 26°C and preserved in milk glycerol liquid medium (10% skim milk, 15% glycerol in distilled water) at –20°C. All cultures were routinely checked for purity and colony characteristics on TGA.

**Pathogenicity tests.** Pathogenicity on onion cv. Granex 33 was determined in green house assays by using a stub inoculation test (Goszczyńska et al., 2006). A sterile needle was dipped into the bacterial colony on TGA (24-48 hours growth) and then the needle was inserted under the epidermis of a leaf. At least two leaves were inoculated per isolate. Inoculated plants were incubated in a greenhouse with 27°C/23°C day/night temperatures and observed daily for the development of symptoms.

Pathogenicity on maize was determined in greenhouse assays on a susceptible maize cultivar SR 52 (Goszczyńska et al., 2007). Nine-week-old plants were inoculated by injecting a few drops of bacterial suspensions into the first internode of the stem. Maize plants were maintained in a greenhouse with natural light and at temperatures and RH described above. At least two plants were inoculated with each bacterial strain per inoculation method. This experiment continued for ten weeks.

Three control plants in all experiments were inoculated with sterile distilled water.

### Phenotypic methods

**Morphological and physiological characteristics.** Strains from onion and maize were characterised by colony morphology, pigment production on TGA, motility, cell

morphology, Gram-stain, oxidase reaction, catalase reaction, indole production, Hugh-Leifson oxidation/fermentation test, gas production from glucose, hydrogen sulphide production from cysteine, growth at different temperatures (4, 30, 37, 40 and 44°C), and tolerance to NaCl (Mergaert *et al.*, 1993). The tests were performed according to methods described by Fahy and Hayward (1983).

**Utilisation of carbon sources.** All strains listed in Table 1 were characterised phenotypically by the Biolog GN2 microplate system (Biolog, Inc., Hayward, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The inoculated microplates were incubated at 30°C for 24 hours. Plates were then scored visually for carbon source utilisation patterns. The data was entered into the Bionumerics software (version 4.5, Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Similarity matrixes were constructed using the Pearson coefficient. Groups of strains were defined by the unweighted pair group method (UPGMA) using the same software.

**Biochemical tests.** Biochemical characteristics of the strains listed in Table 1 were examined with the API 20E system (BioMérieux, La Balme les Grottes, Montalieu Vercieu, France), according to the procedure recommended by the manufacturers. Selected strains were also tested with the API 50CHE system. The results of the API 20E and API 50CHE tests were recorded after 24 and 48 hours of incubation at 30°C respectively.

Two cluster analyses were performed using the Bionumerics software. The first analysis was done with the API 20E data (21 characters) for all strains listed in Table 1 and the second analysis with the API 50CHE (49 characters) data for selected strains. Similarity matrixes were constructed with the Dice coefficient and cluster analyses were performed by the UPGMA method. The data was presented as UPGMA dendrograms.

## Molecular methods

**DNA extraction.** Genomic DNA of bacterial strains (Table 1) was extracted by using the GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA was quantified using a Dyna Quant 200 fluorometer (Hoefer, San Francisco, CA) and Hoescht H 33258 intercalating dye (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). The DNA was stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until further analysis.

**Fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP).** All strains listed in Table 1 were examined by the fluorescent amplified fragment length polymorphism (F-AFLP)-based system for the identification of plant-associated species from the genus *Pantoea*, as described by Brady et al. (2006).

Genomic DNA, 50-100 ng from each isolate, was digested with *EcoRI* and *MseI* (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) restriction enzymes, and then ligated to the respective adaptors. Pre-amplification PCR reactions were done with Eco-00 (5'-GAC TGC GTA CCA ATT C-3') and Mse-00 (5'-GAT GAG TCC TGA CTA A-3') primers. Selective PCR amplifications were performed with Mse-CG (5'-GAT GAG TCC TGA CTA ACG-3') and fluorescently labelled Eco-G (5'-GAC TGC GTA CCA ATT CG-3') primers. Amplifications were done at least twice for each strain in the Hybaid Omni Gene thermocycler (Teddington, England) according to the Brady (2005) specifications.

The selective amplification reactions (1  $\mu\text{l}$ ) were mixed with an equal volume of formamide loading buffer (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, bromophenol blue), heated for 3 min at  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$  and then chilled on ice for 10 min. A volume of 0.5-0.8  $\mu\text{l}$  of each mixture was loaded onto the gel. The AFLP products were separated in 8% Long Ranger (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) denaturing gels on a LI-COR IR automated sequencer. Electrophoresis was carried out for 4 hours at 1500 V in 0.8 x TBE buffer. Images were imported into BioNumerics software. Gels were standardized with a 50-700 bp sizing standard (LI-COR) and the bands between 50 and 700 bp were analysed (Brady, 2005).

Similarity of the AFLP fingerprints was calculated using the Dice correlation coefficient and the cluster analysis was performed using the unweighted pair group method (UPGMA). The results were presented as an UPGMA dendrogram.

**Repetitive sequence based PCR genomic fingerprinting.** Repetitive sequence based polymerase chain reaction (rep-PCR) genomic fingerprints were obtained for all strains listed in Table 1. PCR was performed in 10  $\mu$ l volumes containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, pH 9.0 at 25°C; 50 mM KCl; 0.1% Triton X-100); 3.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 150  $\mu$ M dNTPs; 1.0  $\mu$ M primer, 2.5% DMSO; Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) 0.15 units per reaction volume and 25-50 ng template  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>. Primers used in the PCR corresponded to the prokaryotic enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC2) and the BOX1A subunit of the BOX element (Rademaker and de Bruijn, 1997). PCR reaction volumes were overlaid with 10  $\mu$ l sterile mineral oil and PCR amplifications performed according to Rademaker and de Bruijn (1997) in a Hybaid Omni Gene thermocycler.

The rep-PCR products were separated in 1.5% agarose in 1 x TBE buffer at 80 V. Gels were stained in ethidium bromide (10 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) for 10 min and destained for 15 min in water. Images were imported into BioNumerics software. The similarity between strains was calculated using the Pearson's correlation coefficient applied to the entire densitometric curves of the gel tracks. Gels were standardized with DNA molecular weight marker VI (Roche, Steinheim, Germany). All PCR reactions were repeated at least twice. Cluster analysis was performed by the UPGMA method and the data presented as an UPGMA dendrogram.

**16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.** The 16S rRNA gene fragments of nine strains from onion and twelve strains from maize were amplified in previous studies (Goszczyńska et al., 2006 and 2007). The GenBank accession numbers (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD) are shown in Fig. 4. Ilse Cleenwerck (Ghent University, Belgium) provided the 16S rDNA sequences of *P. citrea*, *P. punctata* and *P. terrea*. Carrie Brady (University of Pretoria) supplied the sequence of *P. vagens* BD 105<sup>T</sup>.

The 16S rDNA nucleotide sequences of strains from maize and onion were aligned with a selection of 16S rDNA sequences of *Pantoea* species from GenBank with the MAFFT software, ver. 5.743 (Kato et al., 2002). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the neighbor joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and evolutionary distances calculated

according to the method of Kimura (1980), using the MEGA software package, version 3.1, Kumar, Tamura, Nei, 2004 (Kumar et al., 2004). Bootstrap analysis of the data, based on 1000 permutations was used to assess the stability of relationships.

**DNA-DNA hybridisation.** The DNA was extracted from the five strains from onion (BD 309, BD 310, BD 377, BD 390, PA 4) three strains from maize (BD 435, BD 442, BD 639), BCC 105 from *Eucalyptus*, and the type strains of *P. ananatis* LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, *P. agglomerans* LMG 1286<sup>T</sup>, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* LMG 2715<sup>T</sup>, *P. dispersa* LMG 2603<sup>T</sup> and *P. vagens* BCC 105<sup>T</sup>, using the method described by Wilson (1989) and modified by Cleenwerck et al (2002).

DNA-DNA hybridisations were performed with photo-biotin-labelled probes in microplate wells (Ezaki et al., 1989; Goris et al., 1998) as described by Cleenwerk et al. (2002). Fluorescent measurements were done with a HTS7000 BioAssay Reader (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, CT). The hybridisation temperature was 45°C. Reciprocal experiments were performed for every pair of strains, and the means from reciprocal tests were presented.

## RESULTS

**Pathogenicity tests.** All *Pantoea* strains isolated from onion induced identical symptoms on onion leaves cv. Granex 33 in pathogenicity tests. Two to four days after inoculation water soaked spots appeared on leaves that expanded into longitudinal, bleached-green lesions with chlorotic margins (Fig. 1).

All *Pantoea* from maize produced brown stalk rot symptoms within six to seven weeks (Fig. 2). Four to six days after inoculation, small, 2-4 mm, light brown or dark green lesions developed around the inoculation point. Additionally, drops of yellow liquid slowly oozed from the lesion for two to three days. After 6 to 7 weeks, a single, long, vertical crack appeared on the injected internode. A dark brown, narrow lesion was present in the internal stem tissue along the crack. The internal browning within the stem was also observed in upper internodes.

**Morphological and physiological characteristics.** The strains from onion and maize produced yellow colonies on TGA, were gram-negative rods (1.5-2.0 µm length and 0.5-0.75

µm width), motile, oxidase negative and catalase positive. All strains fermentatively utilised glucose. They did not produce gas from glucose nor hydrogen sulphide from cysteine. Majority of strains produced indole from tryptophane, with the exception of *Pantoea* sp. from maize. Cells grew on nutrient agar containing up to 6% NaCl.

*Pantoea* strains should be classified and identified mainly based on genotypic characteristics, as identification based on phenotypic tests does not always lead to clear results (Gavini et al., 1989, Mergaert et al., 1993). Thus, the results of molecular characterisation of the strains from onion and maize are presented first, followed by the phenotypic description.

### **Molecular characterisation**

**F-AFLP analysis.** *Pantoea* strains used in the study generated complex DNA fingerprints from extracted genomic DNA (Fig. 3). The selective primers Eco-G/Mse-CG (Brady, 2005) yielded well-defined DNA fingerprints, with an average of 80 bands per isolate. Amplified DNA fragments ranged in size from approximately 50 bp to slightly greater than 700 bp. A total of 101 fragments were generated and all these fragments were polymorphic. Sixty-seven *Pantoea* strains formed seven clusters. Majority of strains from onion and maize clustered with *P. ananatis* type strain LMG 2665<sup>T</sup> and *P. ananatis* reference strains. The similarity values among these strains were between 73 and 98%.

The strains from maize, classified as *Pantoea* sp. in a previous study (Goszczyńska et al., 2006), formed a cluster with *P. vagens* BCC 105<sup>T</sup>. The F-AFLP fingerprints obtained for these strains showed 87 to 94% similarity.

Five strains from onion, indistinguishable from *P. ananatis* by biochemical and morphological characteristics, generated F-AFLP patterns that were clearly different from those produced by other isolates used in the study, including the type strains of *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *P. vagens*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*. Fingerprints of BD 304, BD 309, BD 377, BD 380 and BD 390 were 70 to 92% similar to each other.

**Rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting.** The rep-PCR fragments amplified with the BOX1A and ERIC2 primers, ranged in size from approximately 230 bp to greater than 3 kb. The banding

pattern revealed a high degree of genetic diversity among the strains. Although significant differences were observed between the major groups, within each group of strains fingerprints were similar (Fig. 4). The groups of strains obtained by the analysis of the rep-PCR data were identical to that obtained by using the F-AFLP fingerprints.

The DNA fingerprint similarity among most of isolates from onion, maize, and *P. ananatis* reference strains ranged from 50% to 99% and all these strains clustered together on the rep-PCR dendrogram. The indole-negative strains from maize (Goszczyńska et al., 2007) produced characteristic and unique rep-PCR fingerprints. BD 502 and BD 639 formed a separate cluster on the dendrogram with the type strain of *P. vagens*.

A group of five strains from onion (BD 304, BD 309, BD 377, BD 380 and BD 390), generated rep-PCR fingerprints that were similar to each other (50 to 80%), but distinctly different from other strains. The same five isolates also grouped together on the F-AFLP dendrogram.

**16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.** Figure 5 shows the phylogenetic relationship derived from a neighbor-joining analysis of the pairwise comparison among the 16S rDNA sequences of twelve strains from maize and nine strains from onion. The 16S rDNA sequences of fourteen *Pantoea* reference strains, including the type strains of seven described species within the genus were included in the analysis. The multiple sequence alignment of thirty-five 16S rDNA sequences used in the study is presented in Appendix A.

Phylogenetic evaluation confirmed the division of strains from maize and onion into three groups. Nine maize and five onion strains, that produced genomic fingerprints similar to *P. ananatis*, clustered with the 16S rDNA sequences of *P. ananatis*. Two indole negative isolates from maize grouped closely, at 62% confidence value, with *P. vagens* BCC 105<sup>T</sup>. Although the sequences of three onion isolates, BD 309, BD 377 and BD 390 were more than 99% similar to that of *P. ananatis*, they formed a separate cluster in the tree, at a high, 81% confidence value.

**DNA-DNA hybridisation.** Between two and four strains from each F-AFLP and rep-PCR cluster were selected for the DNA-DNA hybridisation. The results of DNA-DNA hybridisations of all examined strains are shown in Table 2.

DNA-DNA binding values obtained for four indole positive strains, BD 310 and PA 4 from onion and BD 435 and BD 442 from maize and *P. ananatis* LMG 2665<sup>T</sup>, varied between 90 and 100%. Since 70% DNA binding value was recommended as the minimum level of genotypic relatedness within a species (Wayne et al., 1987), these four isolates were identified as *P. ananatis*.

Strain BD 639 showed 89% DNA relatedness to BCC 105<sup>T</sup>. These two strains displayed an intermediate level of DNA similarity, 63 to 65%, to the type strain of *P. agglomerans*, and low levels to the known *Pantoea* species. Therefore, BD 639, and two indole negative strains, BD 500 and BD 502 (Goszczyńska et al., 2007) that grouped with BCC 105<sup>T</sup> in the F-AFLP, rep-PCR and 16S rDNA dendrograms, were identified as *P. vagens*.

DNA-DNA hybridisation data revealed that three strains from onion, BD 309, BD 377 and BD 390 displayed a high level of DNA relatedness, 90 to 100%, and low levels of relatedness to the known *Pantoea* species and *P. vagens*. The name *Pantoea allii* sp. nov. is proposed for this taxon.

### Phenotypic characteristics

**Utilisation of carbon sources.** On the UPGMA dendrogram of the Biolog data (Fig. 6), the strains used in the study formed four clusters. The biggest group consisted of 54 strains and included all strains identified as *P. ananatis* by molecular fingerprinting, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* and *P. allii* sp. nov. There was consistency in utilisation of 69 substrates on the GN2 plates among these strains, 41 positive and 28 negative reactions. No single test was able to differentiate between *P. ananatis* and *P. allii*. *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*, however, could be distinguished from these two other species by its inability to utilise L-rhamnose, L-histidine and D,L, $\alpha$ -glycerol phosphate.

The *P. vagens* strains from maize and the *P. vagens* type strain BCC 105<sup>T</sup> clustered with *P. agglomerans*. The isolates within a cluster gave 41 positive and 27 negative reactions in Biolog GN2 plates. *P. vagens* differed from *P. agglomerans* by its ability to utilise nine substrates: D-melibiose, turanose, L-ornithine, D-serine, L-threonine and four acids, formic,  $\alpha$ -hydroxybutyric,  $\alpha$ -ketoglutaric and succinamic.

**API 20E.** The results of physiological and biochemical tests using the API 20E system separated 67 strains (Table 1) into six groups (Fig. 7). All *P. ananatis* and *P. allii* fell within a single cluster. These two species could not be distinguished from each other based on API 20E tests. Five *P. ananatis* strains isolated from onion seed did not produce acid from sucrose, unlike the other *P. ananatis* used in the study and 18 strains of *P. ananatis* studied by Mergaert et al. (1993).

Three strains of *P. vagens* formed a separate group in the dendrogram (Fig. 7). They gave positive reactions in the following tests:  $\beta$ -galactosidase, acetoin, gelatinase and produced acid from D-glucose, D-mannitol, inositol, L-rhamnose, D-sucrose, D-melibiose, and L-arabinose. They did not produce acid from D-sorbitol and amygdalin, indole from tryptophane, arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, citrate, H<sub>2</sub>S and urease. Although in another study (Goszczyńska et al., 2007) three strains from maize differed from other *Pantoea* species by giving a positive reaction in tryptophane deaminase test, the type strain of *P. vagens*, BCC 105<sup>T</sup>, was negative for this test. In contrast to other indole negative *Pantoea* species, *P. vagens* did not produce acid from amygdalin, and could be distinguished by that characteristic.

**API 50CHE.** Thirty-three strains were examined by the analysis of anaerobic acid production from 48 substrates and the ability to hydrolyse esculin in the API 50CHE strips.

Contrary to Biolog and API 20E, *P. allii* could be differentiated from *P. ananatis* and other *Pantoea* species by the API 50CHE tests (Fig. 7). Five *P. allii* strains produced acid from at least one of the following compounds; D-adonitol, methyl- $\beta$ -D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose, inulin and xylitol, while all other *Pantoea* isolates did not. Production of acid from D-adonitol, methyl- $\beta$ -D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose and inulin, were not reported for members of the genus (Gavini et al., 1989; Mergaert et al., 1993).

Biochemical characteristics of *P. vagens* were additionally defined by the API 50CHE. The isolates produced acid from D-fucose, unlike other strains used in the study.

## DISCUSSION

Diseases caused by bacteria belonging to the genus *Pantoea* are emerging diseases in South Africa, and may have an increasing economic impact in the future. The causal agents, isolated from onion (Hattingh and Walters, 1981), *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho et al., 2002), onion seed (Goszczyńska et al., 2006) and most recently from maize (Goszczyńska et al., 2007), have been described as *P. agglomerans*, *P. ananatis* and *Pantoea* sp. closely related to *P. agglomerans*, respectively. The species allocation, with the exception *P. ananatis* from *Eucalyptus*, was based on a biochemical and physiological characterisation, analysis of the 16S rDNA sequences and, in the case of maize pathogens, F-AFLP genomic fingerprinting. Such a taxonomic system is insufficient for species delineation according to the recommendation of Wayne et al. (1987) and Stackebrandt et al. (2002). This study was performed to determine the accurate taxonomic position of bacteria from onion and maize in the genus *Pantoea*. To achieve this, a collection of strains from South African maize and strains pathogenic to onion isolated in South Africa and the USA were examined using a variety of methods, recommended for the taxonomic description of bacterial species (Stackebrandt et al., 2002).

Phenotypic characterisation confirmed that strains from maize and onion belong to the genus *Pantoea* and indicated, as expected, that at least two bacterial species were present. The 16S rDNA sequence analysis, however, revealed that three species could be present, as some strains from onion, thought to be *P. ananatis*, formed a separate cluster in the 16S rDNA tree (Fig. 5). However, 16S rDNA sequence analysis is known to be of limited value in terms of taxonomic resolution at the species level for members of *Enterobacteriaceae*, due to possible lateral transfers within the gene (Rayssiguier et al., 1989) and multiple copies of the 16S rRNA gene (Cillia et al., 1996).

Taxonomic relationships at the species level were assessed by rep-PCR and F-AFLP genomic fingerprints analysis and DNA-DNA hybridisation. All indole positive *Pantoea* from maize and the majority of strains from onion generated genomic fingerprints that were similar to that produced by the reference strains of *P. ananatis* (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Four strains of that group, two from onion and two from maize, showed 90-100% DNA binding to *P. ananatis* type strain LMG 2665<sup>T</sup> (Table 2). As strains with similar genomic fingerprints are

genomically closely related (Gavini et al., 1989; Rademaker et al., 2000), all strains within *P. ananatis* rep-PCR and F-AFLP clusters are thus identified as *P. ananatis*.

The indole negative strains from maize, although similar to *P. agglomerans* by phenotypic tests and the 16S rDNA sequences, produced genomic fingerprints that clustered with *P. vagens* BCC 105<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). BD 639 showed a high level, 89%, of DNA relatedness to BCC 105<sup>T</sup>. Although DNA binding ratios of these two strains to *P. agglomerans* were between 63 and 65%, they should not be classified as a subspecies of *P. agglomerans*. The fingerprints of *P. vagens* were distinctly different from that of *P. agglomerans*, further supporting the establishment of a separate species to contain them.

The strains BD 390 and BD 309 were identified in a previous study as *P. ananatis* (Goszczyńska et al., 2006). However, the genomic fingerprints generated by BD 309, BD 390 and three other isolates from onion, did not resemble that produced by *P. ananatis*, and formed a separate cluster in the F-AFLP and rep-PCR dendrograms (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). The DNA-DNA hybridisation values among three strains of this cluster were between 90 and 100%, confirming that they belong to a single species. The most closely related type strain was *P. ananatis* with 44 to 57% DNA reassociation, below the 70% threshold allowed for species delineation (Wayne et al., 1987). The name *Pantoea allii* sp. nov. is proposed for this species.

Table 3 gives phenotypic characteristics useful in the differentiation of the species of the genus *Pantoea*. *P. vagens* could not be easily differentiated from *P. agglomerans* and *P. allii* from *P. ananatis* by an exclusive biochemical or physiological tests. A similar problem was reported by Gavini et al. (1989) with *P. agglomerans* versus *P. dispersa* and by Mergaert et al. (1993) concerning *P. ananatis* versus *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*.

*Pantoea* strains should be classified and identified mainly based on genotypic characteristics, as identification based on phenotypic tests does not always lead to clear results (Gavini et al., 1989, Mergaert et al., 1993).

#### **Description of *Pantoea allii* sp. nov.**

*Pantoea allii* [al'li.i. N.L. adj. *allii* from *Allium*, the genus of onion (*Allium cepa* L.).

The description below is based on the data obtained for the five strains, BD 304, BD 309, BD 377, BD 380 and BD 390, isolated from onion plants with centre rot symptoms in the USA and from onion seed in South Africa. In pathogenicity tests, strains induce symptoms identical to those caused by *P. ananatis* on onion plants.

Gram-negative, non-capsulated, non-spore forming straight rods, motile. Colonies on nutrient agar and TGA are yellow, smooth, round, and convex with entire margins. They are facultatively anaerobic, oxidase negative and catalase positive. Cells tolerate up to 6% NaCl and grow at 30°C, 37°C and 40°C, but not at 4°C and 44°C.

*P. allii* does not produce arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, H<sub>2</sub>S, urease, tryptophane deaminase and gas from glucose. Citrate is utilised. Indole, acetoin, and β-galactosidase are produced.

*P. allii* strains produce acid from at least one of the following carbon sources: D-adonitol, methyl-β-D-xylopyranoside, L-sorbose, inulin and xylitol, while *P. ananatis* do not produce acid from this compounds. Other biochemical characteristics of *P. allii* at 30°C are shown in Table 3.

The following carbon sources are utilised at 30°C (as assessed by Biolog GN microplates), by 100% of strains: dextrin, tween 40, tween 80, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, L-arabinose, D-arabitol, D-cellobiose, D-fructose, D-galactose, gentiobiose, α-D-glucose, inositol, α-D-lactose, lactulose, D-mannitol, D-mannose, D-melibiose, β-methyl-D-glucoside, D-raffinose, L-rhamnose, sucrose, D-trehalose, pyruvic acid methyl ester, succinic acid mono-methyl ester, acetic acid, cis-aconitic acid, citric acid, D-galacturonic acid, D-gluconic acid, D-glucosaminic acid, D-glucuronic acid, D,L-lactic acid, quinic acid, D-saccharic acid, succinic acid, bromosuccinic acid, D-alanine, L-alanine, L-alanyl-glutamic acid, L-histidine, L-proline, L-serine, urocanic acid, inosine, thymidine, glycerol, D,L,α-glycerol phosphate, α-D-glucose-1-phosphate and D-glucose-6-phosphate. The following carbon substrates are not utilised: α-cyclodextrin, N-acetyl-D-galactosamine, erythritol, L-fucose, turanose, xylitol, D-galactonic acid lactone, β-hydroxybutyric acid, p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, itaconic acid, α-ketobutyric acid, α-ketoglutaric acid, α-ketovaleric acid, malonic acid, propionic acid, sebacic acid, L-alaninamide, hydroxy-L-proline, L-leucine, L-phenylalanine, L-pyroglutamic acid, L-threonine, D,L-carnitine, γ-aminobutyric acid, phenylethylamine, putrescine, 2-

aminoethanol and 2,3-butanediol. *P. allii* varies in the utilisation of the following carbon sources in the Biolog GN plates: glycogen, adonitol, maltose, D-psicose, D-sorbitol, formic acid,  $\alpha$ -hydroxybutyric acid,  $\gamma$ -hydroxybutyric acid, succinamic acid, glucuronamide, L-ornithine and D-serine.

The proposed type strain is BD 390, isolated from onion seed in South Africa.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank the University of Ghent, Belgium, for doing DNA-DNA hybridisations.

## LITERATURE CITED

**Azad, H. R., Holmes, G. J. & Cooksey, D. A.** (2000). A new leaf blotch disease of sudangrass caused by *Pantoea ananatis* and *Pantoea stewartii*. *Plant Dis* **84**, 973-979.

**Azegami, K., Ozaki, K. & Matsuda, A.** (1983). Bacterial palea browning, a new disease of rice caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Bull Nat Inst Agri Sci Ser C* **39**, 1-12.

**Beer, S. V., Rundle, J. R. & Wodzinski, R. S.** (1984). Interaction between *E. amylovora* and *E. herbicola* "in vitro", in immature pear fruits and in apple blossoms. *Acta Horticult* **151**, 203-204.

**Beji, A., Mergaert, J., Gavini, F., Izard, D., Kersters, K., Leclerc, H. & De Ley, J.** (1988). Subjective synonymy of *Erwinia herbicola*, *Erwinia milletiae*, and *Enterobacter agglomerans* and redefinition of the taxon by genotypic and phenotypic data. *Intern J Syst Bacteriol* **38**, 77-88.

**Brady, C. L.** (2005). Taxonomy of *Pantoea* associated with bacterial blight of *Eucalyptus*. Master Thesis. The Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

- Brenner, D. J., Fanning, G. R., Knutson, J. K. L., Steigerwalt, A. G. & Krichevsky, M. I.** (1984). Attempts to classify *Herbicola* group-*Enterobacter* agglomerans strains by deoxyribonucleic acid hybridisation and phenotypic tests. *Int J Syst. Bacteriol* **34**, 45-55.
- Brown, N. A.** (1928). Bacterial pocket disease of the sugar beet. *J Agric Res* **37**, 155-168.
- Brown, N. A.** (1934). A gall similar to crown gall, produced on *Gypsophila* by a new bacterium. *J Agric Res* **48**, 1099-1112.
- Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M. & Lester, G. E.** (1986). Pathogenicity of *Erwinia ananas* to muskmelons in Texas. *Phytopathology* **76**, 1136.
- Bruton, B. D., Wells, J. M., Lester, G. E. & Patterson, C. L.** (1991). Pathogenicity and characterization of *Erwinia ananas* causing a postharvest disease of cantaloupe fruit. *Plant Dis* **75**, 180-183.
- Cillia, V., Lafay, B. & Christen, R.** (1996). Sequence heterogeneities among 16S ribosomal RNA sequences, and their effect on phylogenetic analyses at the species level. *Mol Biol Evol* **13**, 451-461.
- Cleenwerck, I., Vandemeulebroecke, K., Janssens, D. & Swings, J.** (2002). Re-examination of the genus *Acetobacter*, with description of *Acetobacter cerevisiae* sp. nov. and *Acetobacter malorum* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **52**, 1551-1558.
- Cother, E. J., Reinke, R., McKenzie, C., Lanoiselet, V. M. & Noble, D. H.** (2004). An unusual stem necrosis of rice caused by *Pantoea ananas* and the first record of this pathogen on rice in Australia. *Austral Plant Pathol* **33**, 494-503.
- Coutinho, T.A., Preisig, O., Mergaert, J., Cnockaert, M. C., Riedel, K.-H., Swings, J. & Wingfield, M. J.** (2002). Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids and clones in South Africa. *Plant Dis* **86**, 20-25.
- Elliott, C.** (1941). Bacterial wilt of dent corn inbreds. *Phytopathology* **32**, 262-265.

**Ezaki, T., Hashimoto, Y. & Yabuuchi, E.** (1989). Fluorometric deoxyribonucleic acid-deoxyribonucleic acid hybridisation in microdilution wells as an alternative to membrane filter hybridisation in which radioisotopes are used to determine genetic relatedness among bacterial strains. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 224-229.

**Fahy, P. C. & Hayward, A. C.** (1983). Media and methods for isolation and diagnostic tests. In *Plant bacterial diseases, a diagnostic guide*. (eds. Fahy, P. C., and Persley, G. J.), pp. 337-378, Academic Press Australia.

**Gavini, F., Mergaert, J., Beji, A., Mielcarek, C., Izard, D., Kersters, K. & De Ley, J.** (1989). Transfer of *Enterobacter agglomerans* (Beijerinck 1888) Ewing and Fife 1972 to *Pantoea* gen. Nov. as *Pantoea agglomerans* comb. Nov. and description of *Pantoea dispersa* sp. nov. *Intern J Syst Bacteriol* **39**, 337-345.

**Gitaitis, R. D. & Gay, J. D.** (1997). First report of a leaf blight, seed stalk rot, and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananas* in Georgia. *Plant Dis* **8**, 1096.

**Goris, J., Suzuki, K.-I., De Vos, P., Nakase, T. & Kersters, K.** (1998). Evaluation of a microplate DNA-DNA hybridisation method compared with the initial renaturation method. *Can J Microbiol* **44**, 1184-1153.

**Goszczyńska, T., Moloto, V. M., Venter, S. N. & Coutinho, T. A.** (2006). Isolation and identification of *Pantoea ananatis* from onion seed in South Africa. *Seed Sci & Tech* **34**, 677-690.

**Goszczyńska, T., Botha, W.J., Venter, S. N. & Coutinho, T. A.** (2007). Isolation and identification of the causal agent of brown stalk rot, a new disease of maize in South Africa. *Plant Dis* **91**, 711-718.

**Grimont, P. A. D. & Grimont, F.** (2005). Genus XXIII. *Pantoea* Gavini, Mergaert, Beji, Mielcarek, Izarc, Kersters and De Ley 1989b, 343<sup>VP</sup> emend. Mergaert, Verdonck and Kersters 1993, 172. Pages 713-721 in: *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, Volume two, Part B. D. J. Brenner, N. J. Krieg, J. T. Staley and G. M. Garrity, eds. Springer.

**Hattingh, M. J. & Walters, D. F.** (1981). Stalk and leaf necrosis of onion caused by *Erwinia herbicola*. *Plant Dis* **65**, 615-618.

**Hauben, I., Moore, E. R. B., Vauterin, L., Steenackers, M., Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L. & Swings, J.** (1998). Phylogenetic position of phytopatogens within the *Enterobacteriaceae*. *System Appl Microbiol* **21**, 384-397.

**Kageyama, B., Nakae, M., Yagi, S. & Sonoyama, T.** (1992). *Pantoea punctata* sp. nov., *Pantoea citrea* sp. nov., and *Pantoea terrea* sp. nov. isolated from fruit and soil samples. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **42**, 203-210.

**Katoh, K., Kuma, K., Toh, H. & Miyata, T.** (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucl Acids Res* **30**, 3059-3066.

**Kimura, M.** (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Molec Evol* **16**, 111-120.

**Kumar, S., Tamura, K. & Nei, M.** (2004). MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. *Brief Bioinformatics* **5**, 150-163.

**Mergaert, J., Verdonck, L. & Kersters, K.** (1993). Transfer of *Erwinia ananas* (synonym, *Erwinia uredovora*) and *Erwinia stewartii* to the genus *Pantoea* emend. as *Pantoea ananas* (Serrano 1928) comb. Nov. and *Pantoea stewartii* (Smith 1898) comb. nov., respectively, and description of *Pantoea stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* subsp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **43**, 162-173.

**Nunes, C., Usall, J., Teixido, N. & Vinas, I.** (2001). Biological control of postharvest pear diseases using a bacterium, *Pantoea agglomerans* CPA-2. *Int J Food Microbiol* **70**, 53-61.

**Paccola-Meirelles, L. D., Ferreira, A. S., Merelles, W. F., Marriel, I. E. & Casela, C. R.** (2001). Detection of bacterium associated with a leaf spot disease of maize in Brazil. *J Phytopathology* **149**, 275-279.

**Rademaker, J. L. W. & de Bruijn, F. J.** (1997). Characterisation and classification of microbes by Rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting and computer-assisted pattern analysis. Pages 51-171 in: DNA markers: Protocols, applications and overviews. G. Caetano-Anolles and P. M. Gresshoff, eds. Wiley, New York.

**Rademaker, J. L. W., Hoste, B., Louws, F. J., Kersters, K., Swings, J., Vauterin, L., Vauterin, P. & de Bruijn, F. J.** (2000). Comparison of AFLP and rep-PCR genomic fingerprinting with DNA-DNA homology studies: *Xanthomonas* as a model system. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**, 665-677.

**Rayssiguier, C., Thaller, D. S. & Radman, M.** (1989). The barrier to recombination between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* is disrupted in mismatch repair mutants. *Nature* **342**, 396-401.

**Saitou, N. & Nei, M.** (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molec Biol Evol*, **4**, 406-425.

**Schwartz, H. F. & Otto, K.** (2000). First report of a leaf blight and bulb decay of onion by *Pantoea ananatis* in Colorado. *Plant Dis* **84**, 808.

**Serrano, F. B.** (1928). Bacterial fruitlet brown-rot of pineapple in the Philippines. *Philippine J Sci* **36**, 271-305.

**Stackebrandt, E., Frederiksen, W., Garrity, G. M. & 10 other authors** (2002). Report of the ad-hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **52**, 1043-1047.

**Torres, R., Teixidó, N., Ussal, J., Abadias, M. & Viñas, I.** (2005). Post-harvest control of *Penicillium expansum* on pome fruits by the bacterium *Pantoea ananatis* CPA-3. *The J Hort Sci Biotech* **80**, 75-81.

**Verdonck, L., Mergaert, J., Rijckaert, C., Swings, J., Kersters, K. & De Ley, J.** (1987). Genus *Erwinia*: Numerical analysis of phenotypic features. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **37**, 4-18.

**Walcott, R. R., Gitaitis, R. D., Castro, A. C., Sanders Jr., F. H. & Diaz-Perez, J. C.** (2002). Natural infestation of onion seed by *Pantoea ananatis*, causal agent of center rot. *Plant Dis* **86**, 106-111.

**Wayne, L. G., Brenner, D. J., Colwell, R. R., Grimont, P. A. D., Kandler, O., Krichevsky, M. I., Moore, W. E. C., Murray, R. G. E., Stackebrandt, E., Starr, M. P. & Trüper, H. G.** (1987). Report on the Ad Hoc Committee on Reconciliation of Approaches to Bacterial Systematics. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **37**, 463-464.

**Wells, J. M., Sheng, W. S., Ceponis, M. J. & Chen, T. A.** (1987). Isolation and characterization of strains of *Erwinia ananas* from honeydew melons. *Phytopathology* **77**, 511-514.

**Wilson, K.** (1989). Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. Pages 241-245 in: Current protocols in molecular biology. F. M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith and K. Struhl, eds. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, N. Y.

**Zhang, L. & Birch, R. G.** (1997). Mechanism of biocontrol by *Pantoea dispersa* of sugar cane leaf scald disease caused by *Xanthomonas albilineans*. *J Appl Microbiol* **82**, 448-454.



Table 1. *Pantoea* strains used in this study.

Strain	Other strain designations	Species (as received)	Host	Location	Source
0197-28		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Sorghum sudanense</i>	USA, California	D. Azad
BCC 105 <sup>T</sup>		<i>Pantoea vagens.</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	Uganda	T. A. Coutinho
BD 250	98-1	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA	R. Gitaitis
BD 287	SUH 2	<i>P. agglomerans</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 295	ATCC BAA 517	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Colorado	ATCC
BD 296	ATCC BAA 516	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Colorado	ATCC
BD 301	Blackshank 15	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 304	Blackshank 24	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 305	Blackshank 30	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 309	Hort. Hill 24	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 310	Hort. Hill 31	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 311	Hort. Hill 32	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 312	Pans	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 315	Pans 2002-2	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 317	Pans	<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	USA, Georgia	R. Walcott
BD 325		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	R. Walcott
BD 326		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 331		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB



Strain	Other strain designations	Species (as received)	Host	Location	Source
BD 333		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 334		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 335		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 336		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 377		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 380		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 390		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 435		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 442		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 478		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 494		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 515		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 527		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 541		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 543		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 551		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 556		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 561		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 577		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB



Strain	Other strain designations	Species (as received)	Host	Location	Source
BD 588		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 596		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 602		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 614		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 620		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 622		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 629		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 639		<i>Pantoea</i> sp.	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 640		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
BD 647		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
CTB 1061		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	Japan	CTB
CTB 1135		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	Japan	CTB
DAR 49828		<i>P. agglomerans</i>	<i>Pyrrus communis</i>	Australia	ACPPB
DAR 72041		<i>P. agglomerans</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	Australia	ACPPB
LMG 1286T		<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Human	Zimbabwe	BCCM/LMG
LMG 20103		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	South Africa	BCCM/LMG
LMG 20104		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	South Africa	BCCM/LMG
LMG 22049T		<i>P. citrea</i>	Mandarin orange	Japan	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2565		<i>P. agglomerans</i>	Cereals	Canada	BCCM/LMG



Strain	Other strain designations	Species (as received)	Host	Location	Source
LMG 2603T		<i>P. dispersa</i>	Soil	Japan	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2632		<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>	<i>Setaria italica</i>	India	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2665T		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Ananas cosmosus</i>	Hawaii	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2671		<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>indologenes</i>	<i>Ananas cosmosus</i>	Hawaii	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2676		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Puccinia graminis</i>	USA	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2713		<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>stewartii</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	USA	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2715T		<i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>stewartii</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	USA	BCCM/LMG
LMG 2749		<i>P. dispersa</i>	Human		BCCM/LMG
PA 3		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB
PA 4		<i>P. ananatis</i>	<i>Allium cepa</i>	South Africa	PPPPB

BCCM/LMG Culture Collection: Universiteit Gent, Belgium; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA; ACPPB: Australian Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Orange; PPPPB: Plant Pathogenic and Plant Protecting Bacteria, ARC-PPRI, South Africa; R. Walcott: Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens; T. A. Coutinho: Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; R. Gitaitis: Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia; CTB: Centre Technique du Bois, Paris, France.



Table 2. DNA binding values of examined *Pantoea* strains. The tests were performed at the University of Ghent, Belgium.

Strain	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
<b><i>P. ananatis</i></b>													
1 LMG 2665 <sup>T</sup>	100												
2 BD 310	90	100											
3 BD 442	93	100	100										
4 BD 435	90	87	91	100									
5 PA 4	92	97	99	92	100								
<b><i>P. allii</i> sp. nov.</b>													
6 BD 377	44					100							
7 BD 390 <sup>T</sup>	55					99	100						
8 BD 309	57					90	99	100					
<b><i>P. agglomerans</i></b>													
9 LMG 1286 <sup>T</sup>	21						26		100				
<b><i>P. vagens</i></b>													
10 BCC 105 <sup>T</sup>	20						24		65	100			
11 BD 639									63	89	100		
<b><i>P. stewartii</i> subsp. <i>stewartii</i></b>													
12 LMG 2715 <sup>T</sup>	20						18		6	9		100	
<b><i>P. dispersa</i></b>													
13 LMG 2603 <sup>T</sup>	20						22		24	19		22	100

Table 3. Differential characteristics of *Pantoea allii* and some biochemically similar species within the genus *Pantoea*.

Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>API 20E tests</b>						
Citrate utilisation	+	+	+	-	-	-
Tryptophane deaminase	-	-	-	d	-	-
Indole	+	+	+	-	-	-
Gelatinase	+	d	-	+	d	-
Acid from:						
Inositol	d	d	-	+	d	-
D-sorbitol	+	d	-	-	-	-
L-rhamnose	+	d	d	+	+	d
D-sucrose	+	d	+	+	+	+
Amygdalin	+	+	+	-	+	d
<b>API 50CHE tests</b>						
Esculin hydrolysis	+	d	d	d	+	-
Acid from:						
D-adonitol	d	-	-	-	-	-
Methyl-β-D-xylopyranoside	d	-	-	-	-	-
L-sorbose	d	-	-	-	-	-
Inositol	+	+	+	+	d	+
D-sorbitol	+	d	-	-	-	-
Amygdalin	+	d	-	-	-	-
D-lactose	+	+	+	-	-	-
Inulin	d	-	-	-	-	-
Starch	-	-	-	d	-	-
Glycogen	-	-	-	d	-	-
Xylitol	+	-	-	-	-	-
D-fucose	-	-	-	+	-	-

+ , positive reaction for at least 90% of the strains; – ,negative reaction for at least 90 % of the strains; d, 11-89% strains positive. 1, *Pantoea allii*; 2, *P. ananatis*; 3, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*; 4, *P. vagens*; 5, *P. agglomerans*; 6, *P. dispersa*

Data for *P. ananatis* and *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes* are from this study and Mergaert et al. (1993); for *P. agglomerans* and *P. dispersa* are from this study and Gavini et al. (1989).

Fig. 1. The symptoms induced by *Pantoea ananatis* (A) and *P. allii* (B) strains isolated from onion on onion leaves in pathogenicity tests. Two to four days after inoculation water soaked spots appeared on leaves that expanded into longitudinal, bleached-green lesions with chlorotic margins.



Fig. 1

Fig. 2. The symptoms produced by *P. ananatis* isolated from maize with brown stalk rot on maize SR 52. A, Four to six days after inoculation, small, 2-4 mm, light brown or dark green lesions developed around the inoculation point. Additionally, drops of yellow liquid slowly oozed from the lesion for two to three days. B, after 6 to 7 weeks, a single, long, vertical crack appeared on the injected internode. C, A dark brown, narrow lesion was present in an internal stem tissue along the crack. D, Browning of the internal tissue in an upper internode. The symptoms induced by *P. vagens* were indistinguishable from those induced by *P. ananatis*.



Fig. 2






Fig. 2

Fig. 3. A dendrogram showing the relationships between *Pantoea* strains used in the study based on F-AFLP fingerprints. *P. allii* strains are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics.



Fig. 4. A dendrogram showing the relationships between the *Pantoea* strains used in the study based on the rep-PCR fingerprints. *P. allii* are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics.



BD 301  
BD 305  
*0197-28*  
BD 295  
BD 296  
BD 250  
BD 602  
BD 317  
BD 515  
BD 435  
BD 442  
BD 527  
BD 556  
BD 543  
BD 577  
BD 310  
BD 311  
BD 312  
BD 315  
*CTB 1061*  
*CTB 1135*  
BD 541  
BD 494  
BD 640  
BD 478  
BD 561  
BD 551  
BD 647  
BD 614  
BD 596  
*LMG 20104*  
*LMG 2676*  
BD 588  
BD 629  
BD 620  
*LMG 2665T*  
BD 622  
PA 3  
PA 4  
*LMG 20103*  
BD 333  
BD 334  
BD 335  
BD 336  
BD 331  
BD 325  
BD 326  
**BD 304**  
**BD 309**  
**BD 380**  
**BD 390**  
**BD 377**  
*BCC 105T*  
BD 502  
BD 639  
*DAR 72041*  
*LMG 2565*  
*DAR 49828*  
*LMG 1286T*  
*BD 287*  
*LMG 2632T*  
*LMG 2671*  
*LMG 2713*  
*LMG 2715T*  
*LMG 22049T*  
*LMG 2603T*  
*LMG 2749*

*P. ananatis*

***P. allii* sp. nov.**

*P. vagens*

*P. agglomerans*

*P. stewartii*

*P. citrea* &  
*P. dispersa*

Fig. 5. Neighbour-joining tree reflecting the phylogenetic position of *Pantoea* strains from maize and onion based on 16S rDNA sequences. Numbers at branching points indicate bootstrap values derived from 1000 samples. The bar represents genetic distance. *P. allii* strains are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics.

The 16S rDNA accession numbers of maize and onion strains:

Maize: BD 602, DQ195522; BD 647, DQ195525; BD 435, AY89864; BD 442, AY898643; BD 640, DQ195524; BD 588, DQ133548; BD 561, DQ133546; BD 622, DQ195523; BD 577, DQ133547; BD 639, DQ512489; BD 500, DQ849042; BD 502, DQ849043. Onion: BD 309, AY579210; BD 390, AY530795; BD 377, DQ512491; BD 310, AY579211; BD 301, AY579209; BD 315, AY579212; PA 4, AY530796; BD 336, AY530794; BD 287, AY530797.




Fig. 6. Relationships among 67 *Pantoea* strains, including strains isolated from onion and maize, based on Biolog GN microplate substrate utilisation patterns. *P. allii* strains are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics.



Fig. 7. Relationships among 67 *Pantoea* strains, including strains isolated from onion and maize, based on API 20E tests. *P. allii* strains are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics. A black rectangle indicates a positive reaction in the test while a white rectangle indicates a negative reaction in the test.





Fig. 8. Relationships among 33 selected *Pantoea* strains, based on API 50CHE tests. *P. allii* strains are highlighted in bold. Reference strains, including the type strains of *Pantoea* species, are in italics. A black rectangle indicates a positive reaction in the test while a white rectangle indicates a negative reaction in the test.



**APPENDIX A:** Multiple Sequence Alignment, 16S rDNA sequences of *P. allii*, *P. ananatis*, *P. agglomerans*, *P. vagens*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii*, *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*, *P. punctata*, *P. terrea*, *P. citrea*, *P. dispersa*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*. Number of sequences: 38

Maximum length: 1560

Strains:

*P. allii*: BD 309, BD 390, BD 377

*P. ananatis*: BD 301, BD 315, LMG 20106, BD 442, BD 435, BD 310, LMG 2676, BD 647, PA 4, BD 602, BD 640, BD 588, LMG 20103, LMG 2665T, BD 561, BD 622, BD 577, BD 336

*P. agglomerans*: LMG 2565, LMG 2660, BB 287, DSM 3493 T (= LMG 1286 T)

*P. vagens*: BCC 105 T, BD 500, BD 502, BD 639

*P. stewartii* subsp. *stewartii*: LMG 2715 T; *P. stewartii* subsp. *indologenes*: LMG 2632 T;

*P. punctata*: LMG 22050 T; *P. terrea*: LMG 22051 T; *P. citrea*: LMG 22049 T; *P. dispersa* LMG 2603 T

*Enterobacter cloacae*: ATCC 13047 T; *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: DSM 30104 T; *Escherichia coli* ATCC 11775 T

The differences in nucleotides between *P. allii* and *P. ananatis* are marked in **green**: BD 309 nucleotide numbering, position 378-179.

The differences in nucleotides between *P. vagens* and *P. agglomerans* are marked in **blue**: BCC 105 nucleotide numbering, position 375-376.

Upper line in the alignment:

symbol “ - “ indicates sites excluded from the construction of phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3).

Symbol “ \* ” indicates sites included in the construction of phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3).



BD 309	.....	0
BD 390	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 377	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 602	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 647	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
LMG 2676	.....aacacat.gcaagtcg	15
BD 442	.....	0
BD 435	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 310	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 301	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 315	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
PA 4	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacattgcaagtcg	58
LMG 20106	...agtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	55
BD 640	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 588	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
LMG 20103	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
LMG 2665 T	.....agtgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	37
BD 561	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 622	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 577	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
BD 336	.....tcaagatgaacg..ctgcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	40
LMG 2565	.....attgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	37
LMG 2660	.....aacacat.gcaagtcg	15
BD 287	.agagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	58
LMG 1286 T	.....ggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	20
BD 639	.....	0
BD 502	.....	0
BD 500	.....	0
BCC 105 T	.....	0
LMG 2715 T	.....aacacat.gcaagtcg	15
LMG 2632 T	tagagtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	58
LMG 22050 T	.....attgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	37
LMG 22051 T	.....attgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	37
LMG 22049 T	.....attgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	37
LMG 2603 T	.....	0
ATCC 13047 T	.....tgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	35
DSM 30104 T	.agagtttgatnntggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	57
ATCC 11775 T	...agtttgatcctggctcagattgaacg.ctggcggcaggcctaacacat.gcaagtcg	55



	-----*****-----	
BD 309	.....GGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	46
BD 390	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 377	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 602	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 647	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
LMG 2676	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGtGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	72
BD 442	...ggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	54
BD 435	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 310	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	116
BD 301	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	116
BD 315	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	116
PA 4	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	115
LMG 20106	gacggtagcacagGGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 640	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 588	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	116
LMG 20103	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
LMG 2665 T	ggcggtagcacagaG.AGCTTcCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	94
BD 561	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	116
BD 622	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 577	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
BD 336	gacg.tagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTnt.CGGGTGACGA.TtGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGTt	96
LMG 2565	gacggtancacagaG.AGnTTGtTCT.nGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	94
LMG 2660	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCtctGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	74
BD 287	gacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.tGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	115
LMG 1286 T	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	79
BD 639	.....CTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	34
BD 502	.....CTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	34
BD 500	.....CCTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	35
BCC 105 T	.....GGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	31
LMG 2715 T	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTC.TCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	73
LMG 2632 T	gacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	117
LMG 22050 T	aacggtagcacagGGGAGCTTGCTCCcCGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	96
LMG 22051 T	aacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	96
LMG 22049 T	aacggtagcacagaGGAGCTTGCTCCTtGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	96
LMG 2603 T	.....GGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	17
ATCC 13047 T	aacggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	92
DSM 30104 T	agcggtagcacagaG.AGCTTGCTCt.CGGGTGACGAGcGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114
ATCC 11775 T	aacggtaacaggaaGcAGCTTGCTgCTtGcTgACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGT.	114



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	106
BD 390	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 377	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 602	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 647	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
LMG 2676	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	132
BD 442	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	114
BD 435	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 310	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	176
BD 301	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	176
BD 315	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	176
PA 4	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	175
LMG 20106	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 640	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 588	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	176
LMG 20103	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
LMG 2665 T	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	154
BD 561	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	176
BD 622	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 577	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	174
BD 336	CTGGGnATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	156
LMG 2565	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	154
LMG 2660	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	134
BD 287	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	175
LMG 1286 T	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	139
BD 639	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	94
BD 502	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	94
BD 500	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	95
BCC 105 T	CTGGGGATCTGCCC	GATAGAGGGGGATAACC	ACTGGAAACGGTGGCTAATACCGCATAAC	91
LMG 2715 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCCC	GATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC	133	
LMG 2632 T	nTGGGgAaCTGCCC	GATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC	177	
LMG 22050 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAt		156	
LMG 22051 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAn		156	
LMG 22049 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGnGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC		156	
LMG 2603 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCCC	GATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC	77	
ATCC 13047 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAn		152	
DSM 30104 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC		174	
ATCC 11775 T	CTGGGgAaCTGCcTgATgGAGGGGGATAACtACTGGAAACGGTgGCTAATACCGCATAAC		174	



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTAGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	166
BD 390	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 377	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 602	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 647	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
LMG 2676	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	192
BD 442	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	174
BD 435	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 310	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	236
BD 301	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	236
BD 315	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	236
PA 4	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	235
LMG 20106	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 640	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 588	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	236
LMG 20103	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
LMG 2665 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	214
BD 561	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	236
BD 622	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 577	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	234
BD 336	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	216
LMG 2565	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	214
LMG 2660	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	194
BD 287	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	235
LMG 1286 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	199
BD 639	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	154
BD 502	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	154
BD 500	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	155
BCC 105 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCTCACTATCGGATGAACCCAGATGGGA	151
LMG 2715 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	193
LMG 2632 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	237
LMG 22050 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGcGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	216
LMG 22051 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	216
LMG 22049 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	216
LMG 2603 T	GTCGChAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCaCACcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	137
ATCC 13047 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTTtgCcATCaGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	212
DSM 30104 T	GTCGCAAGACCAAAGtGGGGGACCTTcGGGCCTCatgCcATCaGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	234
ATCC 11775 T	GTCGCAAGcaCAAAGAGGGGGACCTTAGGGCCTTtgCcATCGGATGtgCCCAGATGGGA	234



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	226
BD 390	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 377	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 602	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 647	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
LMG 2676	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	252
BD 442	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	234
BD 435	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 310	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	296
BD 301	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	296
BD 315	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	296
PA 4	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGtTCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	295
LMG 20106	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 640	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 588	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	296
LMG 20103	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
LMG 2665 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	274
BD 561	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	296
BD 622	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 577	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
BD 336	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	276
LMG 2565	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	274
LMG 2660	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGgGGA	254
BD 287	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	295
LMG 1286 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	259
BD 639	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	214
BD 502	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	214
BD 500	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAAtGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	215
BCC 105 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	211
LMG 2715 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	253
LMG 2632 T	TTAGCTtGTAGGCGGGTAACGGCCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTnTGAGAGGA	297
LMG 22050 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAAtGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	276
LMG 22051 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAAtGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	276
LMG 22049 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAACGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	276
LMG 2603 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAAtGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	197
ATCC 13047 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAACGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	272
DSM 30104 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAACGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294
ATCC 11775 T	TTAGCTAGTAGGtGGGGTAACGGctCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA	294



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGAGA	286
BD 390	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 377	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACcCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 602	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 647	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
LMG 2676	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	312
BD 442	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	294
BD 435	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 310	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	356
BD 301	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	356
BD 315	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	356
PA 4	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	355
LMG 20106	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 640	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 588	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	356
LMG 20103	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
LMG 2665 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	334
BD 561	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	356
BD 622	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 577	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
BD 336	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	336
LMG 2565	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	334
LMG 2660	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTC . TACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	313
BD 287	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	355
LMG 1286 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	319
BD 639	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	274
BD 502	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	274
BD 500	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	275
BCC 105 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	271
LMG 2715 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	313
LMG 2632 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	357
LMG 22050 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	336
LMG 22051 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	336
LMG 22049 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	336
LMG 2603 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	257
ATCC 13047 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	332
DSM 30104 T	TGACCAGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354
ATCC 11775 T	TGACCAGCaACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGgGA	354



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	345
BD 390	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 377	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 602	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 647	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
LMG 2676	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	371
BD 442	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	353
BD 435	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 310	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
BD 301	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
BD 315	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
PA 4	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	414
LMG 20106	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 640	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 588	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
LMG 20103	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
LMG 2665 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	393
BD 561	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
BD 622	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 577	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
BD 336	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	395
LMG 2565	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	393
LMG 2660	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	372
BD 287	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	415
LMG 1286 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	378
BD 639	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	333
BD 502	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	333
BD 500	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	334
BCC 105 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	330
LMG 2715 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	372
LMG 2632 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	416
LMG 22050 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	395
LMG 22051 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	395
LMG 22049 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	395
LMG 2603 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	316
ATCC 13047 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	391
DSM 30104 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413
ATCC 11775 T	ATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCATGCCG.CGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTC	413



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCATTGTGGTTAATAACCGCAGTGATTGA	405
BD 390	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCATTGTGGTTAATAACCGCAGTGATTGA	473
BD 377	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCATTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	473
BD 602	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	473
BD 647	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	473
LMG 2676	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	431
BD 442	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	413
BD 435	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	473
BD 310	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	475
BD 301	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	475
BD 315	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACnCATTGATTGA	475
PA 4	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	474
LMG 20106	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	473
BD 640	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGAcTGA	473
BD 588	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCATTGATTGA	475
LMG 20103	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCgtcGATTGA	473
LMG 2665 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTnTGGTTAATAACnngtcGATTGA	453
BD 561	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCgtcGATTGA	475
BD 622	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	473
BD 577	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGCgtcGATTGA	473
BD 336	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTaaGGcTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	455
LMG 2565	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgacGgGGTTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	453
LMG 2660	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgacGgG.TTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	431
BD 287	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTgGgGGTTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	475
LMG 1286 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTgGgGGTTAATAACcTtgtcGATTGA	438
BD 639	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgGgTGcGGTTAATAACCGcGccGATTGA	393
BD 502	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgGgTGcGGTTAATAACCGcGccGATTGA	393
BD 500	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgGgTGcGGTTAATAACCGcGccGATTGA	394
BCC 105 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGTGGTTAATAACCGcGgtcGATTGA	390
LMG 2715 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGtgGgTGaGGTTAATAACcTCATcaATTGA	432
LMG 2632 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGtgGgTGaGGTTAATAACcTCATcaATTGA	476
LMG 22050 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCATTGcGGTTAATAACCGCAGTGATTGA	455
LMG 22051 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCAGTcgtGTTAATAgCacgncTGATTGA	455
LMG 22049 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGtcaGGAGGAAGGgtgTagtcTTAATAcggctAtgcATTGA	455
LMG 2603 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgGgTGaGGTTAATAACcTngccGATTGA	376
ATCC 13047 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGtgTGTGGTTAATAACCGCAGcaATTGA	451
DSM 30104 T	GGGTTGTAAAGcACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGCgaTGaGGTTAATAACcTCATcGATTGA	473
ATCC 11775 T	GGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGgAgTaaaGTTAATAAcCtTtgtcTcATTGA	473



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	463
BD 390	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 377	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 602	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 647	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGA <sub>g</sub> GCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
LMG 2676	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	489
BD 442	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	471
BD 435	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 310	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTG <sub>n</sub> CAGCAGCCGCG <sub>n</sub> TAATACGGAG	534
BD 301	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	533
BD 315	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	533
PA 4	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	532
LMG 20106	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 640	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 588	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	533
LMG 20103	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
LMG 2665 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	511
BD 561	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	533
BD 622	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 577	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531
BD 336	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	513
LMG 2565	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	511
LMG 2660	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	489
BD 287	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAG <sub>n</sub> C <sub>g</sub> CGG.TAATACGGAG	533
LMG 1286 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	496
BD 639	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	451
BD 502	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	451
BD 500	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	452
BCC 105 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	448
LMG 2715 T	CaTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	490
LMG 2632 T	CGTTAC <sub>n</sub> C.GCAnAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	534
LMG 22050 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	513
LMG 22051 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	513
LMG 22049 T	CGTTACT <sub>g</sub> .aCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	513
LMG 2603 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	434
ATCC 13047 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	509
DSM 30104 T	CGTTACCC <sub>t</sub> GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	532
ATCC 11775 T	CGTTACCC.GCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTCCGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG.TAATACGGAG	531



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	523
BD 390	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 377	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 602	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 647	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
LMG 2676	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	549
BD 442	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	531
BD 435	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 310	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAaCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	594
BD 301	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	593
BD 315	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	593
PA 4	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	592
LMG 20106	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 640	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 588	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	593
LMG 20103	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
LMG 2665 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	571
BD 561	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	593
BD 622	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 577	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	591
BD 336	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	573
LMG 2565	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	571
LMG 2660	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	549
BD 287	GGTGCAAGCGTnAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAcCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	593
LMG 1286 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	556
BD 639	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	511
BD 502	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	511
BD 500	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	512
BCC 105 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	508
LMG 2715 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	550
LMG 2632 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACnGGGCGTAAAnCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	594
LMG 22050 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTcAAGTCg	573
LMG 22051 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTcAAGTCg	573
LMG 22049 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTcAAGTCg	573
LMG 2603 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTTAAGTCA	494
ATCC 13047 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTcAAGTCg	569
DSM 30104 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTCTGTcAAGTCg	592
ATCC 11775 T	GGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGCGCACGCAGGCGGTtGTTAAGTCA	591



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	582
BD 390	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 377	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 602	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 647	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
LMG 2676	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	608
BD 442	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	590
BD 435	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 310	GATGnnnAAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	654
BD 301	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	652
BD 315	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	652
PA 4	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	651
LMG 20106	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 640	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCgGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 588	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	652
LMG 20103	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
LMG 2665 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	630
BD 561	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	652
BD 622	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 577	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	650
BD 336	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	632
LMG 2565	nATGTG.AAAnCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	630
LMG 2660	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	608
BD 287	GATGTG.AAAnCCnnGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	652
LMG 1286 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	615
BD 639	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	570
BD 502	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	570
BD 500	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	571
BCC 105 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	567
LMG 2715 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	609
LMG 2632 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAnTCT	653
LMG 22050 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACcGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGaCTaGAGTCT	632
LMG 22051 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACcGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGaCTaGAGTCT	632
LMG 22049 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACcGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGGCTaGAGTCT	632
LMG 2603 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTGAAACTGGCAGGCTTGAGTCT	553
ATCC 13047 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGGCTgGAGTCT	628
DSM 30104 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACCTGGGAAGTGCATTTcGAAACTGGCAGGCTaGAGTCT	651
ATCC 11775 T	GATGTG.AAATCCCCGGGCTcAACCTGGGAAGTGCATcTGAtACTGGCaGCTTGAGTCT	650



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	641
BD 390	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 377	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 602	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 647	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
LMG 2676	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	667
BD 442	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	649
BD 435	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 310	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	713
BD 301	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	711
BD 315	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	711
PA 4	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	710
LMG 20106	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 640	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 588	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	711
LMG 20103	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
LMG 2665 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	689
BD 561	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	711
BD 622	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 577	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709
BD 336	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATn	691
LMG 2565	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	689
LMG 2660	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	667
BD 287	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAAaTGCnGnnnGATCTGGAGGAATA	712
LMG 1286 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	674
BD 639	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	629
BD 502	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	629
BD 500	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	630
BCC 105 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	626
LMG 2715 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	668
LMG 2632 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAnGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAnAGATCTGGAGGAATA	712
LMG 22050 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	691
LMG 22051 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	691
LMG 22049 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	691
LMG 2603 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	612
ATCC 13047 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	687
DSM 30104 T	tGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	710
ATCC 11775 T	CGTAGAGGGGGGTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTGAAA . TGCCTAGAGATCTGGAGGAATA	709



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	700
BD 390	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 377	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 602	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 647	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
LMG 2676	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	726
BD 442	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	708
BD 435	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 310	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	772
BD 301	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	770
BD 315	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	770
PA 4	CCGGTGGCGAAGaGCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	770
LMG 20106	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 640	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 588	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	770
LMG 20103	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
LMG 2665 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	748
BD 561	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	770
BD 622	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 577	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768
BD 336	nCnGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	750
LMG 2565	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	748
LMG 2660	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	726
BD 287	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	771
LMG 1286 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	733
BD 639	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	688
BD 502	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	688
BD 500	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	689
BCC 105 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	685
LMG 2715 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGtCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	727
LMG 2632 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	771
LMG 22050 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	750
LMG 22051 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	750
LMG 22049 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	750
LMG 2603 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	671
ATCC 13047 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	746
DSM 30104 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACaAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	769
ATCC 11775 T	CCGGTGGCGAAG.GCGGCCCCCTGGACGAAGACTGACGCTCAGGTGCGAAAAGCGTGGGGA	768



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	760
BD 390	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 377	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 602	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 647	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
LMG 2676	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	786
BD 442	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGgGGTTGT	768
BD 435	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGgGGTTGT	828
BD 310	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGThGACTTGGAGGTTGT	832
BD 301	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	830
BD 315	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	830
PA 4	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAnTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	830
LMG 20106	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 640	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 588	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	830
LMG 20103	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
LMG 2665 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	808
BD 561	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	830
BD 622	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 577	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828
BD 336	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	810
LMG 2565	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	808
LMG 2660	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	786
BD 287	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAnTCCgCGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	831
LMG 1286 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	793
BD 639	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	748
BD 502	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	748
BD 500	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	749
BCC 105 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	745
LMG 2715 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	787
LMG 2632 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	831
LMG 22050 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGcTGT	810
LMG 22051 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGcTGT	810
LMG 22049 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	810
LMG 2603 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	731
ATCC 13047 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGAtTTGGAGGTTGT	806
DSM 30104 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGAtTTGGAGGTTGT	829
ATCC 11775 T	GCAAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCACGCCGTAAACGATGTCGACTTGGAGGTTGT	828



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	820
BD 390	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 377	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 602	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 647	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
LMG 2676	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	846
BD 442	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	828
BD 435	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 310	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	892
BD 301	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	890
BD 315	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	890
PA 4	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	890
LMG 20106	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 640	TCCCTTGAAaGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 588	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	890
LMG 20103	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
LMG 2665 T	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	868
BD 561	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	890
BD 622	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 577	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCcTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888
BD 336	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	870
LMG 2565	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	868
LMG 2660	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	846
BD 287	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGnGGAGTACGGCC	891
LMG 1286 T	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	853
BD 639	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	808
BD 502	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	808
BD 500	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	809
BCC 105 T	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	805
LMG 2715 T	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	847
LMG 2632 T	TCCCTTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	891
LMG 22050 T	gCCCTTGAGGcGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	870
LMG 22051 T	TCCChTGAGGAGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	870
LMG 22049 T	gCCCTTGAGGnGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	870
LMG 2603 T	gCCCTTGAGGnGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	791
ATCC 13047 T	gCCCTTGAGGcGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAaTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	866
DSM 30104 T	gCCCTTGAGGcGTGGCTTCCGGAGCTAACGCGTTAAaTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	889
ATCC 11775 T	gCCCTTGAGGcGTGGCTTCCGGAnnTAACGCGTTAAGTCGACCGCCTGGGGAGTACGGCC	888



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	880
BD 390	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 377	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 602	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 647	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
LMG 2676	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATaTGGTTT	906
BD 442	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	888
BD 435	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 310	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	952
BD 301	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGcGGAGCATGTGGTTT	950
BD 315	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	950
PA 4	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	950
LMG 20106	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 640	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 588	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	950
LMG 20103	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
LMG 2665 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	928
BD 561	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	950
BD 622	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 577	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	948
BD 336	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	930
LMG 2565	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	928
LMG 2660	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	906
BD 287	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	951
LMG 1286 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	913
BD 639	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	868
BD 502	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	868
BD 500	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	869
BCC 105 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	865
LMG 2715 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	907
LMG 2632 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	951
LMG 22050 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	930
LMG 22051 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	930
LMG 22049 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	930
LMG 2603 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	851
ATCC 13047 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	926
DSM 30104 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	949
ATCC 11775 T	GCAAGGTTAAAAC TCAAATGAATTGACGGGGGCC .GCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATGTGGTTT	947



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTCGGCAGAG	938
BD 390	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 377	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 602	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	1006
BD 647	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	1006
LMG 2676	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	964
BD 442	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	946
BD 435	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	1006
BD 310	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTGgACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1011
BD 301	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1008
BD 315	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1008
PA 4	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1008
LMG 20106	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 640	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 588	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1008
LMG 20103	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
LMG 2665 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtGGCAGAG	986
BD 561	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTCGGCAGAG	1008
BD 622	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 577	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	1006
BD 336	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTCGGCAGAG	988
LMG 2565	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	986
LMG 2660	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	964
BD 287	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAAgCCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	1010
LMG 1286 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAATTTGGCAGAG	971
BD 639	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAnnGAAnTnnGCAGAG	926
BD 502	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAnnGAAnTnGCAGAG	926
BD 500	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCACnGAAnTnnGCAGAG	927
BCC 105 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	923
LMG 2715 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgcGAaAcTtGGCAGAG	965
LMG 2632 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgcGAaAcTttnCAGAG	1009
LMG 22050 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	988
LMG 22051 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTttcCAGAG	988
LMG 22049 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTACTCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	988
LMG 2603 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTggcCTTG.ACATCCAgAaAcTtaGCAGAG	909
ATCC 13047 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTggTCTTG.ACATCCACaGAaAcTttcCAGAG	984
DSM 30104 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTggTCTTG.ACATCCACaGAaAcTttcCAGAG	1007
ATCC 11775 T	AATTCGATGCAACGCGAAGAA.CCTTACCTggTCTTG.ACATCCACGGAaGttttCAGAG	1005



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	998
BD 390	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 377	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 602	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 647	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
LMG 2676	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1024
BD 442	ATGCgTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACc cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGt tGTCAGCTCGTGT	1006
BD 435	ATGCgTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGt tGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 310	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1071
BD 301	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1068
BD 315	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1068
PA 4	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1068
LMG 20106	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 640	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 588	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGA gCt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1068
LMG 20103	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGA gCt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
LMG 2665 T	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGA gCC cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1046
BD 561	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1068
BD 622	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 577	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1066
BD 336	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1048
LMG 2565	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1046
LMG 2660	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGG gACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1024
BD 287	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAn ACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1070
LMG 1286 T	ATGCCTTAGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1031
BD 639	ATGCnTTnGTGCC TTCGGGAACnn TGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	986
BD 502	ATGCCTTnGTGCC TTCGGGAACnn TGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	986
BD 500	ATGCnTTnGTGCC TTCGGGAACnn TGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	987
BCC 105 T	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACc cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	983
LMG 2715 T	ATGCCTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACg cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1025
LMG 2632 T	ATGg aTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACg cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1069
LMG 22050 T	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1048
LMG 22051 T	ATGg aTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1048
LMG 22049 T	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1048
LMG 2603 T	ATGCtTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	969
ATCC 13047 T	ATGg aTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1044
DSM 30104 T	ATGg aTTgGTGCC TTCGGGAACt cTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1067
ATCC 11775 T	ATGg aatGTGCC TTCGGGAACCGTGAGACAGGTGCTGCATGGCTGTCGTCAGCTCGTGT	1065



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1058
BD 390	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 377	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 602	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 647	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
LMG 2676	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1084
BD 442	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1066
BD 435	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 310	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1131
BD 301	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1128
BD 315	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1128
PA 4	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1128
LMG 20106	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 640	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 588	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1128
LMG 20103	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
LMG 2665 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1106
BD 561	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1128
BD 622	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCcGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 577	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1126
BD 336	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1108
LMG 2565	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1106
LMG 2660	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1084
BD 287	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1130
LMG 1286 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1091
BD 639	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1046
BD 502	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1046
BD 500	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1047
BCC 105 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1043
LMG 2715 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1085
LMG 2632 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1129
LMG 22050 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCcTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1108
LMG 22051 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCcTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1108
LMG 22049 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCcTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGAT	1108
LMG 2603 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGgn	1029
ATCC 13047 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGgT	1104
DSM 30104 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGgT	1127
ATCC 11775 T	TGTGAAATGTTGGGTAAAGTCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCTTATCCTTTGTTGCCAGCGgT	1125



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1118
BD 390	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 377	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 602	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 647	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
LMG 2676	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1144
BD 442	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1126
BD 435	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 310	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1191
BD 301	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1188
BD 315	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1188
PA 4	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1188
LMG 20106	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 640	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 588	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1188
LMG 20103	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
LMG 2665 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1166
BD 561	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1188
BD 622	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 577	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1186
BD 336	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1168
LMG 2565	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1166
LMG 2660	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1144
BD 287	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1190
LMG 1286 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1151
BD 639	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1106
BD 502	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1106
BD 500	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1107
BCC 105 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1103
LMG 2715 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1145
LMG 2632 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1189
LMG 22050 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1168
LMG 22051 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1168
LMG 22049 T	TCGGTCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1168
LMG 2603 T	TCGGcCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCGGTGATAAACCGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1089
ATCC 13047 T	cCGGcCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCCaGTGATAAAcTGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1164
DSM 30104 T	TaGGcCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCCaGTGATAAAcTGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1187
ATCC 11775 T	cCGGcCGGGAAC TCAAAGGAGACTGCCCaGTGATAAAcTGGAGGAAGGTGGGGATGACGTC	1185



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1178
BD 390	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 377	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 602	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 647	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
LMG 2676	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1204
BD 442	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1186
BD 435	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 310	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1251
BD 301	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1248
BD 315	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1248
PA 4	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1248
LMG 20106	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 640	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 588	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1248
LMG 20103	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
LMG 2665 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1226
BD 561	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1248
BD 622	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 577	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1246
BD 336	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1228
LMG 2565	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1226
LMG 2660	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1204
BD 287	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1250
LMG 1286 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1211
BD 639	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1166
BD 502	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1166
BD 500	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1167
BCC 105 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1163
LMG 2715 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1205
LMG 2632 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1249
LMG 22050 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1228
LMG 22051 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1228
LMG 22049 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1228
LMG 2603 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1149
ATCC 13047 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1224
DSM 30104 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1247
ATCC 11775 T	AAGTCATCATGGCCCTTACGAGTAGGGCTACACACGTGCTACAATGGCGCATACAAAGAG	1245



\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1238
BD 390	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 377	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 602	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 647	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
LMG 2676	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1264
BD 442	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1246
BD 435	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 310	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1311
BD 301	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1308
BD 315	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1308
PA 4	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1308
LMG 20106	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 640	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 588	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1308
LMG 20103	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
LMG 2665 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1286
BD 561	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1308
BD 622	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 577	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1306
BD 336	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1288
LMG 2565	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1286
LMG 2660	AAGCaACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1264
BD 287	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1310
LMG 1286 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1271
BD 639	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1226
BD 502	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1226
BD 500	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1227
BCC 105 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCAcAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1223
LMG 2715 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1265
LMG 2632 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAnCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATCGGAGTCT	1309
LMG 22050 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1288
LMG 22051 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTAcGTCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1288
LMG 22049 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1288
LMG 2603 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1209
ATCC 13047 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1284
DSM 30104 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTatGTCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1307
ATCC 11775 T	AAGCGACCTCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACCTCATAAAGTGCCTCGTAGTCCGGATtGGAGTCT	1305



\*\*\*\*\*\_\*\*\*\*\*

BD 309	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1297
BD 390	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATCt GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1366
BD 377	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 602	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 647	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
LMG 2676	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1323
BD 442	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1305
BD 435	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 310	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGnCACGGTGA	1370
BD 301	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATt . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1367
BD 315	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGnCACGGTGA	1367
PA 4	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1367
LMG 20106	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 640	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 588	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1367
LMG 20103	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
LMG 2665 T	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1345
BD 561	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1367
BD 622	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 577	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTgATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1365
BD 336	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1347
LMG 2565	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1345
LMG 2660	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1323
BD 287	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1369
LMG 1286 T	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1330
BD 639	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1285
BD 502	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1285
BD 500	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1286
BCC 105 T	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1282
LMG 2715 T	GCAACTCGACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1324
LMG 2632 T	GCAACTCnACTCCGTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCnnAATGCCACnGTGA	1368
LMG 22050 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1347
LMG 22051 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1347
LMG 22049 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1347
LMG 2603 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1268
ATCC 13047 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1343
DSM 30104 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTaGATCAGAATGcTACGGTGA	1366
ATCC 11775 T	GCAACTCGACTCCaTGAAGTCGGAATCGCTAGTAATC . GTGGATCAGAATGCCACGGTGA	1364



\*\*\*\*\*-----

BD 309	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1357
BD 390	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1426
BD 377	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 602	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 647	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
LMG 2676	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1383
BD 442	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1365
BD 435	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 310	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1430
BD 301	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1427
BD 315	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1427
PA 4	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1427
LMG 20106	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 640	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 588	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1427
LMG 20103	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
LMG 2665 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1405
BD 561	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1427
BD 622	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 577	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1425
BD 336	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1407
LMG 2565	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1405
LMG 2660	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1383
BD 287	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1429
LMG 1286 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1390
BD 639	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1345
BD 502	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1345
BD 500	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1346
BCC 105 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGG.....	1325
LMG 2715 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1384
LMG 2632 T	AThCGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACnGCCCGTCACnCCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAnAAGAA	1428
LMG 22050 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1407
LMG 22051 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1407
LMG 22049 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1407
LMG 2603 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1328
ATCC 13047 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1403
DSM 30104 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1426
ATCC 11775 T	ATACGTTCCCGGGCCTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCACACCATGGGAGTGGGTTGCAAAAAGAA	1424



BD 309	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTCCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1417
BD 390	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTCCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1486
BD 377	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 602	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 647	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
LMG 2676	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1443
BD 442	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATcCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1425
BD 435	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATcCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 310	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1490
BD 301	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1487
BD 315	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGgGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1487
PA 4	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1487
LMG 20106	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 640	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTcGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 588	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1487
LMG 20103	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
LMG 2665 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1465
BD 561	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1487
BD 622	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 577	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1485
BD 336	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1467
LMG 2565	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCAC . . . . .	1440
LMG 2660	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1443
BD 287	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1489
LMG 1286 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1450
BD 639	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1405
BD 502	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1405
BD 500	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGG . . . .	1401
BCC 105 T	. . . . .	1325
LMG 2715 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCctCGGGAGGGCGCTTACTACTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1444
LMG 2632 T	GTnnGTAGCTTAACCcCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCAnTTTGTGATTCnnGACTGGGGTnAAG	1488
LMG 22050 T	GTAGaTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGtTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1467
LMG 22051 T	GTAGaTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGtTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1467
LMG 22049 T	GTAGaTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGtTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1467
LMG 2603 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCG . . . . .	1347
ATCC 13047 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1463
DSM 30104 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAACCTtCGGGAGGGCGCTTACCACCTTTGTGATTCATGACTGGGGTGAAG	1486
ATCC 11775 T	GTAGGTAGCTTAAC . TtCGGGAGGGCG . . . . .	1450



-----		
BD 309	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1464
BD 390	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1533
BD 377	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 602	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 647	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
LMG 2676	TCGTAACAAGGT.....	1455
BD 442	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1472
BD 435	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 310	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1537
BD 301	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1534
BD 315	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTTa	1535
PA 4	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1534
LMG 20106	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 640	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 588	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1534
LMG 20103	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
LMG 2665 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGcAGGGGAACCTGC.....	1495
BD 561	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1534
BD 622	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 577	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1532
BD 336	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1514
LMG 2565	.....	1440
LMG 2660	TCGTAACAAGGT.....	1455
BD 287	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTT.	1536
LMG 1286 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGG.....	1473
BD 639	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACC.....	1432
BD 502	TCGT.....	1409
BD 500	.....	1401
BCC 105 T	.....	1325
LMG 2715 T	TCGTAACAAGGT.....	1456
LMG 2632 T	TCGTnnCAAGG.....	1499
LMG 22050 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACC.....	1494
LMG 22051 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACC.....	1494
LMG 22049 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACC.....	1494
LMG 2603 T	.....	1347
ATCC 13047 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGCTGGATCACCTCCTTg	1511
DSM 30104 T	TCGTAACAAGGTAACCGTAGGGGAACCTGCGGtTGGATCACCTCCTTt	1534
ATCC 11775 T	.....	1450