

Circulating glucose responses in early lactation dairy cows to dietary restriction and rbST treatment

by

Annelie Basson
BSc (Agric) Animal Science

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree
MSc (Agric) Animal Science: Production Physiology in the
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences
University of Pretoria

June 2008

I declare that the thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree MSc (Agric) Production Physiology at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.



Annie Basson (94348449)

ABSTRACT

Galactopoietic effects of somatotropin are the result of IGF-I and require high-quality nutrient intake. This study investigated short-term partitioning effects during recombinant bovine somatotropin (bST) administration in high yielding early lactation dairy cows. Administration of recombinant bST has been shown generally to alter results of metabolic tests in the face of unchanged basal glucose and insulin concentrations. Ten multiparous Holstein cows were subjected to rbST (Lactotropin®) and/or feed intake restriction to 80% of predicted ME requirement (80% ME). Responses to insulin challenge (0.1 IU porcine insulin/kg BW, 210 min) and hyperglycaemic clamp (+50 mg/dL whole blood, 120 min) were tested during weeks 8 (control), 9 (rbST), 11 (80% ME) and 12 (rbST + 80% ME) postpartum. Plasma and whole blood samples were assayed for glucose concentrations. The rbST treatment decreased fasting whole-blood glucose concentration by 9.4% ($P < 0.0001$), which was likely a remnant of control hyperglycaemia. Maximum glucose response was 4.0 mg/dL (21.7%) lower ($P < 0.0038$) and took 6.5 minutes longer to attain ($P < 0.0037$). Steady-state glucose infusion rate (SSGIR) decreased by 8.1% ($P < 0.0001$). The 80% ME treatment decreased glucose availability by 5 to 6% ($P < 0.0100$), while no glucose responses were affected. Restricted energy intake during treatment with rbST resulted in plasma glucose increase by 5.5% ($P < 0.0001$). Peripheral uptake and utilization of glucose increased by 5.1% ($P < 0.0005$). Compared to energy restriction, 80%ME + rbST did not alter effects of nutrient restriction on responses to exogenous insulin challenge. Effects were small and inconsistent. SSGIR decreased by 5.0% in the 80% ME + rbST compared to the 80% ME period ($P < 0.0004$) and the change in the hyperglycaemic clamp in the absence of an effect in the insulin challenge may be due to differences in endogenous insulin secretion. The conclusion was that rbST treatment resulted in altered glucose metabolic responses, even with restricted energy intake.

Keywords

insulin resistance

glucose clamp

recombinant bST

early lactation

Holstein cows

nutrient restriction

Acknowledgements

I want to thank my supervisor Prof. N. H. Casey for his valued contribution and patience during the completion of this project. Many thanks to all the University of Pretoria Hatfield experimental farm Dairy Unit personnel who were always ready with a helping hand and advice. Statistical and data processing assistance provided by Prof. H. Groeneveld and R. Coertze is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by funding from the Department of Animal and Wildlife Sciences, University of Pretoria (Professor N. H. Casey).

SUMMARY

The 9.4% reduction in glycaemia induced by recombinant bST in this experiment was uncharacteristic and a residual effect of a relative hyperglycaemia in the control period. Administration of slow-release recombinant bST in week 8 of lactation resulted in a reduction of the insulin-induced glucose responses at 7 days of treatment similar to previous experiments in dairy cows at 9 weeks (Sechen *et al.*, 1989) and 27 weeks postpartum (Sechen *et al.*, 1990), although the reduction in insulin biological effect was less pronounced early in lactation, probably because milder homeorhetic adaptations were required later in lactation. The responses to recombinant bST included a reduction in response area and the size of the maximal response, while delaying the attainment of maximal reduction in glucose in response to insulin challenge. There was a reduction in glucose disposal in tissues in response to hyperinsulinaemia, where decreased utilization of glucose in response to insulin would make more glucose available to tissues that do not depend on insulin for glucose uptake, like the lactating mammary gland. Similarly the GIR of exogenous hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemia was decreased in lactating cows at 28 weeks postpartum (Rose *et al.*, 1996) and in growing wethers (Rose & Obara, 1996), which was indicative of a decreased utilization of glucose in response to insulin in peripheral tissues. An increase in glucose availability induced by decreased oxidation (Bauman *et al.*, 1988) and increased gluconeogenesis (Pocius & Herbein, 1986, Knapp *et al.*, 1992) could at least in part explain the reduction in SSGIR in response to endogenous insulin release and data confirm the role of exogenous somatotropin in enhancing the nutrient partitioning effects of homeorhetic adaptations that were already at work in these early lactation dairy cows.

The mild nutrient restriction resulted in reduced circulating glucose concentration that could have been the result of the relative hyperglycaemia of the control period, but could also be the result of sustained milk production under conditions where gluconeogenesis was decreased in the face of a reduction in alimentary substrates (Pettersson *et al.*, 1993). Whereas similar reductions in ME and/or crude protein intake in dairy cows failed to affect glycaemia (McGuire *et al.*, 1992), feed deprivation (Peel *et al.*, 1982) or net energy restriction of more than 25% (Andersen *et al.*, 2004) was required to decrease circulating glucose concentration in cows. There was no effect of restriction on the glucose responses to exogenous or endogenous insulin during the insulin challenge or hyperglycaemic clamp.

The small tendency toward increased glucose utilization in response to insulin ($P < 0.0774$) seemed of little biological importance. Many of the glucose metabolic responses to insulin failed to respond to even greater nutrient restriction in ewes (Metcalf & Weekes, 1990, Petterson *et al.*, 1993) and wethers (Janes *et al.*, 1985), or to variation in nutrient supply or physiological status in beef (Sano *et al.*, 1991) or dairy (Sano *et al.*, 1993, Blum *et al.*, 1999) cattle.

The ability of recombinant bST to modulate the glucose responses to insulin was not significantly affected by combination with nutrient restriction. The slightly higher glucose concentration could be the result of altered nutrient partitioning and a decrease in utilization of glucose by the mammary gland (Petterson *et al.*, 1993). However the amount of glucose disposal in response to elevated endogenous insulin was higher in the combined treatment period than recombinant bST alone, indicative of failure of the full development of the recombinant bST response on the restricted intake regimen. Similarly there was a tendency for the maximum response of glucose to insulin challenge to be increased ($P < 0.0646$) toward levels that were not different from control ($P < 0.4071$), i.e. nutrient restriction tended to completely prevent the response to recombinant bST. The continued response to recombinant bST in the face of nutrient restriction could also be related to the fact that the uncoupling of the somatotropic axis during undernutrition (Newbold *et al.*, 1997) reduced the indirect effects of somatotropin through the IGF system (McGuire *et al.*, 1992, McGuire *et al.*, 1995a) and could also involve the direct metabolic effects of somatotropin through tissue somatotropin resistance (Breier, 1999). Generally recombinant bST failed to significantly affect the glucose responses to insulin under a restricted intake regimen. The application of recombinant bST had inconsistent, but very small effects on the hypoglycaemia of nutrient restriction. Although nutrient restriction alone failed to affect the disposal of glucose in response to endogenous hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemia, combination with recombinant bST decreased glucose utilization, but not to the same extent as recombinant bST alone. Even apparently small or non-significant effects of nutrient intake on the ability of recombinant bST to induce altered nutrient partitioning (Peel *et al.*, 1982, McGuire *et al.*, 1992) could still have far-reaching effects on the supply of nutrients to the lactating mammary gland, leading to large reductions in the ability to modulate production responses (McGuire *et al.*, 1992, Newbold *et al.*, 1997).

Summary of data collected during the experimental period

Whole-blood	Control	rbST	80% ME	80% ME+ rbST
Insulin challenge:				
Baseline glucose concentration (mg/dL)	^a 48.7	^b 44.1	^c 45.7	^d 46.6
Baseline AUC (mg×min/dL)	^a 1464.2	^b 1324.4	^b 1373.0	^{ab} 1397.6
Response AUC (mg×min/dL)	^a -235.7	^b -172.8	^{ab} -218.9	^{ab} -190.3
Maximum response (mg/dL)	^a 18.3	^b 14.3	^a 17.9	^{ab} 16.8
Time to maximum (min)	^a 42.0	^b 48.5	^{ab} 42.5	^b 46.0
Glucose clamp:				
Baseline glucose concentration (mg/dL)	^a 47.5	^b 41.5	^c 45.5	^d 44.5
Total GIR (mg/kg×min)	^a 2.8	^a 2.8	^a 2.9	^a 2.9
SSGIR (mg/kg×min)	^a 2.3	^b 2.1	^a 2.3	^c 2.2
Plasma	Control	rbST	80% ME	80% ME+ rbST
Insulin challenge:				
Baseline glucose concentration (mg/dL)	^a 67.1	^b 60.4	^c 63.8	^c 64.3
Baseline AUC (mg×min/dL)	^a 2016.2	^b 1810.5	^c 1915.7	^{ac} 1929.9
Response AUC (mg×min/dL)	^a -333.8	^b -241.3	^{ac} -333.1	^{bc} -275.2
Glucose clamp:				
Baseline glucose concentration (mg/dL)	^a 65.0	^b 55.4	^c 61.9	^c 61.4

Different superscripts (a,b,c,d) indicate statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between periods

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Keywords.....	ii
Acknowledgements	ii
Summary.....	iii
Summary of data collected during the experimental period	v
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2. Literature review.....	3
1. Metabolic tests.....	3
1.1 Domestic ruminant vs. monogastric animals	3
1.2 Other notable effects	9
1.2.1 Feeding and interaction with physiological state.....	9
1.2.2 Body weight, adiposity and stage of the growth phase.....	11
1.2.3 Temperature	12
1.2.4 Acidosis and ketosis	14
1.2.5 Other.....	15
2. Somatotropin administration in lactation	15
2.1 Somatotropin concentration	16
2.2 Production responses.....	17
2.2.1 Milk production	17
2.2.2 Milk fat	19
2.2.3 Milk lactose	21
2.2.4 Milk protein.....	22
2.2.5 Milk energy and the efficiency of milk production.....	23
2.2.6 Milk minerals.....	25
2.3 Metabolic responses	25
2.3.1 Glucose, insulin and glucagon concentrations	25
2.3.2 Glucose metabolism	27
2.3.3 Lipid metabolism.....	30
2.3.4 Protein metabolism	33
2.4 Effect on enzymes.....	35
2.4.1 Gluconeogenesis	36
2.4.2 Lipogenesis.....	36
2.4.3 Lipolysis and oxidation	39

2.5 General responses	39
2.5.1 Feed intake and digestibility	39
2.5.2 Body weight and energy balance	40
2.5.3 Insulin-like growth factors and other hormones	42
2.5.4 General health	44
2.5.5 Heart function and blood flow	44
3. Other responses in lactation	45
3.1 Production and general responses	46
3.1.1 Nutrient supplementation	46
3.1.2 Genetic selection	47
3.1.3 Body tissue mobilization	49
3.2 Metabolic responses	50
3.2.1 Plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations	50
3.2.2 Results of the insulin challenge	52
3.2.3 Results of the hyperglycaemic clamp	53
3.2.4 Results of the hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp	53
4. Mechanism of somatotropin response	55
4.1 Introduction	55
4.2 Nutrients	56
4.3 Metabolic changes	57
4.3.1 Carbohydrate metabolism	57
4.3.2 Lipid metabolism	58
4.3.3 Protein metabolism	59
4.3.4 Cardiovascular changes	60
4.4 Summary	60
Chapter 3. Materials and methods	64
1. Animals	64
1.1 Introduction	64
1.2 Cows included in the data analysis	65
1.3 Cows excluded from the data analysis	66
2. Periods and treatments	68
2.1 The basal period	68
2.2 The experimental period	68
2.2.1 The control period	68
2.2.2 The recombinant bovine somatotropin period (rbST)	68
2.2.3 The restriction to 80% of metabolizable energy requirement period (80% ME)	68
2.2.4 The 80% ME and rbST period (80% ME + rbST)	69
3. Data collection and processing	69
3.1 Body weight, body condition score and milk production	69
3.2 Blood sampling	69
3.3 Catheterization	70
3.4 Insulin challenge	71

3.5 Hyperglycaemic clamp.....	71
3.6 Recombinant bovine somatotropin injection	72
3.7 Calculations	73
3.7.1 Glucose infusion rate.....	73
3.7.2 Glucose area under the curve.....	73
3.7.3 Maximum glucose response	74
4. Sampling protocols	75
4.1 Basal blood collection.....	75
4.2 Challenges, clamps and intake restriction	75
4.3 The insulin challenge protocol	76
4.4 The hyperglycaemic clamp protocol	77
5. Sample analyses	78
5.1 Basal samples	78
5.1.1 Plasma glucose concentration	78
5.1.2 Plasma insulin concentration.....	79
5.1.3 Plasma IGF-I concentration.....	80
5.2 The insulin challenge.....	81
5.2.1 Whole-blood glucose concentration	81
5.2.2 Plasma glucose concentration	82
5.2.3 Plasma insulin concentration.....	83
5.2.4 Plasma IGF-I concentration.....	83
5.3 The hyperglycaemic clamp.....	84
5.3.1 Whole-blood glucose concentration	84
5.3.2 Plasma glucose concentration	85
5.3.3 Plasma insulin concentration.....	86
5.3.4 Plasma IGF-I concentration.....	86
6. Sample assay techniques.....	87
6.1 Glucose concentration	87
6.2 Plasma insulin concentration	89
6.3 Plasma IGF-I concentration	90
Chapter 4. Data and statistical analyses	92
1. Production data.....	92
1.1 Body weight	92
1.2 Body condition score.....	93
1.3 Milk production.....	94
2. Whole-blood data	96
2.1 Data collected during the insulin challenge.....	96
2.1.1 The control period.....	96
2.1.2 The rbST period	97
2.1.3 The 80% ME period.....	98
2.1.4 The 80% ME + rbST period	99

2.2 Effect of treatments in the insulin challenge.....	100
2.2.1 The baseline glucose concentration.....	100
2.2.2 The baseline glucose AUC.....	101
2.2.3 The glucose AUC response to insulin injection (t0 - t30).....	102
2.2.4 The maximum glucose response.....	103
2.2.5 Time to reach the maximum glucose response.....	104
2.3 Data collected during the hyperglycaemic clamp.....	105
2.3.1 The control period.....	105
2.3.2 The rbST period.....	106
2.3.3 The 80% ME period.....	107
2.3.4 The 80% ME + rbST period.....	108
2.4 Effect of treatments in the hyperglycaemic clamp.....	109
2.4.1 The baseline glucose concentration.....	109
2.4.2 The total glucose infusion rate.....	110
2.4.3 The steady-state glucose infusion rate.....	111
3. Plasma data.....	112
3.1 Data collected during the basal period.....	112
3.1.1 Individual glucose concentrations.....	112
3.1.2 Average glucose concentration.....	113
3.2 Data collected during the insulin challenge.....	114
3.2.1 The control period.....	114
3.2.2 The rbST period.....	115
3.2.3 The 80% ME period.....	116
3.2.4 The 80% ME + rbST period.....	117
3.3 Effect of treatments in the insulin challenge.....	118
3.3.1 The baseline glucose concentration.....	118
3.3.2 The baseline glucose AUC.....	119
3.3.3 The glucose AUC response to insulin injection (t0 - t30).....	120
3.4 Data collected during the hyperglycaemic clamp.....	121
3.4.1 The control period.....	121
3.4.2 The rbST period.....	122
3.4.3 The 80% ME period.....	123
3.4.4 The 80% ME + rbST period.....	124
3.5 Effect of treatments in the hyperglycaemic clamp.....	125
3.5.1 The baseline glucose concentration.....	125
Chapter 5. Results and discussion.....	126
1. Introduction.....	126
1.1 Production data.....	126
1.2 Average glucose concentration.....	128
1.3 Metabolic tests.....	129

2. Recombinant bovine somatotropin	130
2.1 The insulin challenge.....	130
2.2 The hyperglycaemic clamp	132
3. Energy restriction.....	134
3.1 The insulin challenge.....	134
3.2 The hyperglycaemic clamp	136
4. Recombinant BST in the face of energy restriction	136
4.1 The insulin challenge.....	136
4.2 The hyperglycaemic clamp	138
5. Energy restriction coupled to rbST administration.....	140
5.1 The insulin challenge.....	140
5.2 The hyperglycaemic clamp	141
Chapter 6. Conclusion and critical evaluation.....	142
References	145

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Description of cows included in the data set.....	65
Table 2. Description of cows excluded from the data set.....	66
Table 3. Days of basal sample collection.....	75
Table 4. Days of treatment periods and intake restriction.....	76
Table 5. Timing of samples in the insulin challenge.....	77
Table 6. Timing of samples in the hyperglycaemic clamp.....	78
Table 7. Variation in consecutive basal period plasma glucose samples.....	79
Table 8. Samples assayed in the basal period	80
Table 9. Samples assayed in the control period (insulin challenge)	82
Table 10. Samples assayed in rbST and/or 80% ME periods (insulin challenge)	83
Table 11. Variation in individual baseline samples (hyperglycaemic clamp)	84
Table 12. Samples assayed in the control period (glucose clamp).....	86
Table 13. Samples assayed in rbST and/or 80% ME periods (glucose clamp).....	87
Table 14. Weekly mean body weight.....	92
Table 15. Weekly mean BCS.....	93
Table 16. Weekly mean milk production	94
Table 17. Insulin challenge whole-blood glucose concentration (control)	96
Table 18. Insulin challenge whole-blood glucose concentration (rbST).....	97
Table 19. Insulin challenge whole-blood glucose concentration (80% ME).....	98
Table 20. Insulin challenge whole-blood glucose concentration (80% ME + rbST)	99
Table 21. The insulin challenge baseline whole-blood concentration.....	100
Table 22. The insulin challenge baseline whole-blood AUC.....	101
Table 23. The insulin challenge whole-blood response AUC.....	102
Table 24. The insulin challenge maximum response	103
Table 25. The time to reach maximum glucose response.....	104
Table 26. Glucose clamp whole-blood glucose concentration (control)	105
Table 27. Glucose clamp whole-blood glucose concentration (rbST).....	106
Table 28. Glucose clamp whole-blood glucose concentration (80% ME)	107
Table 29. Glucose clamp whole-blood glucose concentration (80% ME + rbST).....	108
Table 30. The glucose clamp baseline whole-blood concentration	109
Table 31. The glucose clamp total GIR.....	110
Table 32. The glucose clamp SSGIR.....	111
Table 33. Individual basal plasma glucose concentrations.....	112
Table 34. Mean basal plasma glucose concentrations	113
Table 35. Insulin challenge plasma glucose concentration (control)	114

Table 36. Insulin challenge plasma glucose concentration (rbST).....	115
Table 37. Insulin challenge plasma glucose concentration (80% ME)	116
Table 38. Insulin challenge plasma glucose concentration (80% ME + rbST).....	117
Table 39. The insulin challenge baseline plasma concentration	118
Table 40. The insulin challenge baseline plasma AUC.....	119
Table 41. The insulin challenge plasma response AUC.....	120
Table 42. Glucose clamp plasma glucose concentration (control).....	121
Table 43. Glucose clamp plasma glucose concentration (rbST)	122
Table 44. Glucose clamp plasma glucose concentration (80% ME)	123
Table 45. Glucose clamp plasma glucose concentration (80% ME + rbST).....	124
Table 46. The glucose clamp baseline plasma concentration.....	125

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The components of hormone resistance (Kahn, 1978).....	4
Figure 2. Dose-response curve for SSGIR in humans vs. sheep (adapted from Rizza <i>et al.</i> , 1981 and Weekes <i>et al.</i> , 1983).....	6
Figure 3. Dose-response curve for glucose production in humans vs. sheep (adapted from Rizza <i>et al.</i> , 1981 and Weekes <i>et al.</i> , 1983).....	8
Figure 4. Dose-response curve for glucose utilization in humans vs. sheep (adapted from Rizza <i>et al.</i> , 1981 and Weekes <i>et al.</i> , 1983).....	8
Figure 5. Dose-response curve for SSGIR in younger vs. older steers (Eisemann <i>et al.</i> , 1997).....	12
Figure 6. The effect of cold exposure on GIR (Achmadi <i>et al.</i> , 2001).....	13
Figure 7. Diagrammatic representation of experimental protocol.....	64
Figure 8. Insulin challenge results of cows with suspected ketosis.....	67
Figure 9. The area of a trapezium between two observations	74
Figure 10. Diagrammatic representation of glucose assay (YSI, 1997)	88
Figure 11. Diagrammatic representation of the insulin ELISA (DRG, 2001).....	90
Figure 12. Diagrammatic representation of the IGF-I ELISA (IDS, 2001).....	91
Figure 13. Weekly mean body weight.....	92
Figure 14. Weekly mean body condition score.....	93
Figure 15. Weekly mean milk production	94
Figure 16. Mean milk production prior to metabolic tests	95
Figure 17. Insulin challenge whole-blood concentration (control)	96
Figure 18. Insulin challenge whole-blood concentration (rbST).....	97
Figure 19. Insulin challenge whole-blood concentration (80% ME)	98
Figure 20. Insulin challenge whole-blood concentration (80% ME + rbST)	99
Figure 21. The insulin challenge baseline (whole-blood)	100

Figure 22. The insulin challenge baseline AUC (whole-blood)	101
Figure 23. The insulin challenge response AUC (whole-blood)	102
Figure 24. The insulin challenge maximum response	103
Figure 25. The time to reach maximum response.....	104
Figure 26. Glucose clamp whole-blood concentration (control)	105
Figure 27. Glucose clamp whole-blood concentration (rbST).....	106
Figure 28. Glucose clamp whole-blood concentration (80% ME)	107
Figure 29. Glucose clamp whole-blood concentration (80% ME + rbST)	108
Figure 30. The glucose clamp baseline (whole-blood)	109
Figure 31. The glucose clamp total GIR.....	110
Figure 32. The glucose clamp SSGIR.....	111
Figure 33. Individual basal plasma glucose concentration	112
Figure 34. Mean basal plasma glucose concentration.....	113
Figure 35. Insulin challenge plasma concentration (control)	114
Figure 36. Insulin challenge plasma concentration (rbST)	115
Figure 37. Insulin challenge plasma concentration (80% ME)	116
Figure 38. Insulin challenge plasma concentration (80% ME + rbST).....	117
Figure 39. The insulin challenge baseline (plasma)	118
Figure 40. The insulin challenge baseline AUC (plasma).....	119
Figure 41. The insulin challenge response AUC (plasma).....	120
Figure 42. Glucose clamp plasma concentration (control)	121
Figure 43. Glucose clamp plasma concentration (rbST)	122
Figure 44. Glucose clamp plasma concentration (80% ME).....	123
Figure 45. Glucose clamp plasma concentration (80% ME + rbST).....	124
Figure 46. The glucose clamp baseline (plasma).....	125
Figure 47. Body weight change in the herd.....	127
Figure 48. BCS change in the herd.....	127
Figure 49. Milk production in the herd	127
Figure 50. Plasma glucose concentration in the herd	129
Figure 51. The effect of rbST on insulin challenge results	131
Figure 52. The effect of rbST on GIR in the steady-state period	133
Figure 53. The effect of 80% ME on insulin challenge results.....	135
Figure 54. The effect of 80% ME on glucose clamp results	136
Figure 55. The effect of 80% ME on rbST insulin challenge results	138
Figure 56. The effect of 80% ME on rbST glucose clamp results	139
Figure 57. The effect of rbST on 80% ME insulin challenge results	140
Figure 58. The effect of rbST on 80% ME glucose clamp results	141

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

% w/v	- weight per volume percentage
80% ME	- the 80% of estimated metabolizable energy requirement period
80% ME + rbST	- the combined 80% ME and rbST treatment period
°C	- degrees Celsius (centigrade)
A ₄₅₀	- absorbance at 450 nm
AUC	- area under the (response) curve
BCS	- body condition score
BP	- binding proteins
bST	- bovine somatotropin
C	- carbon
CoA	- coenzyme A
CO ₂	- carbon dioxide
CV	- coefficient of variation
d	- day
e ⁻	- electrons
EDTA	- ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
ELISA	- enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
g	- gravitational force (m/s ²)
g	- gram
G·H ₂ O	- glucose monohydrate
GIR	- glucose infusion rate
GLUT	- facilitated diffusion hexose transporter
G protein	- guanosine triphosphate binding protein, inhibits (Gi) or stimulates (Gs) <i>adenylyl cyclase</i>
h	- hour
H ⁺	- hydrogen ions (protons)
H ₂ O	- distilled water
H ₂ O ₂	- hydrogen peroxide
IGF	- insulin-like growth factor
IGFBP	- insulin-like growth factor binding protein
IU (U)	- international units
IU/kg ^{0.75}	- international units per kilograms metabolic weight
kg	- kilogram
L	- liter
Mcal	- megacalorie (where 1 calorie is 4.1855 joules)
ME	- metabolizable energy
mg/dL	- milligrams per deciliter (100 mL)
mg/kg×min	- milligrams per kg body weight, per minute
min	- minute
MJ	- megajoule (where 1 joule is 0.2389 calories)
mol	- moles (6.02 × 10 ²³ per mol)
MPII	- mean plasma insulin increment (of the hyperglycaemic clamp)
nA	- nanoampere (nanoamps)
NADPH	- reduced coenzyme (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate)
NEFA	- non-esterified fatty acids
O ₂	- oxygen
P	- probability value (P < 0.05 considered statistically significant)
pST	- porcine somatotropin
r	- correlation coefficient
rbST	- the recombinant bovine somatotropin treatment period
rpm	- revolutions per minute
SD	- standard deviation
SSGIR	- steady-state glucose infusion rate
t ₋ (t30)	- time in minutes relative to challenge (e.g. 30 minutes after insulin injection)
TMB	- tetramethylbenzidine
Zn	- zinc