



Mode of action of *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 55466 as biocontrol agent  
of postharvest diseases of avocados

By

Wilma Havenga

Submitted to the Faculty of Natural and Biological Sciences  
Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology  
University of Pretoria

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of M.Sc. (Agric)

December 2004



I certify that the thesis submitted to the University of Pretoria for the degree of MSc (Agric) has not previously been submitted by me in respect of a degree at any other University

Signed on the 15<sup>th</sup> of December 2004 at Pretoria.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Wilma Havenga

Prof. L Korsten, my promotor and mentor, for all her support and advice throughout the years. It was wonderful to be under her tutelage and I will never forget the gift she gave me in inspiring my interest in biocontrol.

Staff members at the Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, especially Prof F.C. Wehner, Dr G. Swart, Ms E.S. de Jager, Dr T. Regnier, Dr P. Labuschagne, Ms K. van Dyk, Ms A. Lombard, Mr A. Fourie, Mrs R. Jakobs, Ms K. Joubert, Mrs M. van Eeden and Mr A. Hall for their advice and technical assistance.

Mrs M.F. Smith at the Bioinformatics Agricultural Research Council for statistical analyses.

Prof P.L. Steyn for grammatical care of my thesis.

All the students at the Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology who made studying at the University truly an adventure.

National Research Foundation for financial support.

All the members and staff at Stimuplant for their support.

Friends and family who never ceased to support and encourage me throughout this venture.

My Dad, Mom and brother who never failed to support me and without their support none of this would have been possible.

Most importantly, Almighty God, who brought me to this path and led me through it, nurturing me and pruning me to grow into what pleases Him. This work is to His honour.



## CONTENTS

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....   | 2         |
| CONTENTS .....   | 3         |
| TABLE OF FIGURES .....   | 6         |
| TABLE OF TABLES.....   | 8         |
| CHAPTER 1.....   | 9         |
| GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....  | 9         |
| REFERENCES .....   | 11        |
| CHAPTER 2.....   | 15        |
| LITERATURE REVIEW .....  | 15        |
| <b>MODES OF ACTION INVOLVED IN BIOCONTROL OF PLANT PATHOGENS, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS.....</b>                | <b>15</b> |
| 1. HISTORY OF BIOCONTROL .....   | 15        |
| 2. MODES OF ACTION INVOLVED IN BIOCONTROL.....   | 16        |
| 2.1. <i>Indirect interactions</i> .....  | 17        |
| 2.1.1. Cross-protection or hypo virulence .....  | 18        |
| 2.1.2. Systemic acquired resistance .....  | 19        |
| 2.1.3. Plant growth stimulation and camouflage .....   | 20        |
| 2.2. <i>Direct interactions</i> .....  | 22        |
| 2.2.1. Antibiosis.....   | 22        |
| 2.2.2. Mycoparasitism and Cell-wall degrading enzymes.....   | 26        |
| 2.2.3. Competitive exclusion and physical restriction .....  | 30        |
| 2.2.4. Competition for nutrients.....  | 31        |
| 2.2.5. Siderophore production.....   | 33        |
| 3. FACTORS AFFECTING MODES OF ACTION .....   | 35        |
| 3.1. <i>Plant host affecting biocontrol modes of action</i> .....  | 35        |
| 3.2. <i>Pathogen affecting biocontrol modes of action</i> .....  | 38        |
| 3.3. <i>Antagonist affecting biocontrol modes of action</i> .....  | 40        |
| 4. NEW AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES IN THE USE OF BIOCONTROL AGENTS .....   | 41        |
| 5. CONCLUSION.....   | 42        |
| 6. REFERENCES .....  | 43        |
| CHAPTER 3.....   | 54        |
| <b>COMPARISON OF <i>BACILLUS SUBTILIS</i> SUBCULTURES' EFFICACY IN CONTROLLING FUNGAL AVOCADO PATHOGENS <i>IN VITRO</i>.....</b> | <b>54</b> |
| 1. ABSTRACT.....   | 54        |
| 2. INTRODUCTION .....  | 54        |



|  |    |
|--|----|
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....   | 55 |
| 3.1. Strains.....  | 55 |
| 3.2. Isolation and pathogenicity of fungal postharvest avocado pathogens.....  | 56 |
| 3.3. In vitro evaluation of antagonistic efficacy of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subcultures.....   | 56 |
| 3.4. Genomic fingerprinting of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subcultures using RISA primers for polymerase chain reaction .....                 | 58 |
| 3.4.1. DNA extraction.....   | 58 |
| 3.4.2. Amplification of DNA.....   | 59 |
| 3.4.3. Separation of DNA bands .....   | 59 |
| 4. RESULTS.....  | 59 |
| 4.1. Isolation and pathogenicity of fungal postharvest avocado pathogens.....  | 59 |
| 4.2. Evaluation of in vitro antagonism of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subcultures and reference strains .....                                 | 60 |
| 4.3. Genomic fingerprinting of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subcultures using the rDNA internal spacer analysis polymerase chain reaction..... | 60 |
| 5. DISCUSSION .....  | 62 |
| 6. REFERENCES .....  | 63 |

**CHAPTER 4..... 66**

**MODES OF ACTION INVOLVED IN ANTAGONISM OF BACILLUS SUBTILIS AGAINST FUNGAL POSTHARVEST PATHOGENS OF AVOCADO..... 66**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. ABSTRACT.....   | 66 |
| 2. INTRODUCTION .....  | 66 |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....   | 68 |
| 3.1. Isolates .....  | 68 |
| 3.2. Direct interaction between <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> on avocado fruit surfaces using scanning electron microscopy..... | 68 |
| 3.3. Diffusible inhibitory metabolites produced by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> in vitro active against <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> .....                      | 69 |
| 3.4. Enzyme activity of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and fungal postharvest avocado pathogens in vitro .....   | 69 |
| 3.4.1. Chitinase activity .....  | 70 |
| 3.4.2. Extracellular amylases .....  | 70 |
| 3.4.3. Lipase activity.....  | 70 |
| 3.4.4. Proteinase activity.....  | 71 |
| 3.5. Siderophore production by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and fungal postharvest avocado pathogens in vitro.....   | 71 |
| 3.6. Antifungal volatiles produced by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> active against fungal postharvest avocado pathogens.....  | 72 |
| 3.6.1. Sealed plate method .....   | 72 |
| 3.6.2. Aerated plate method.....   | 72 |
| 4. RESULTS.....  | 73 |
| 4.1. In vivo studies.....  | 73 |
| 4.2. Diffusible inhibitory metabolites produced by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> in vitro active against <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> .....                      | 78 |
| 4.3. Enzyme activity of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and fungal postharvest avocado pathogens in vitro .....   | 78 |
| 4.4. Siderophore production by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> and fungal postharvest avocado pathogens in vitro.....   | 78 |
| 4.5. Antifungal volatile production in vitro by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> active against fungal postharvest avocado pathogens.....                                    | 78 |
| 4.5.1. Sealed plate method .....   | 79 |
| 4.5.2. Aerated plate method.....   | 80 |
| 5. DISCUSSION .....  | 81 |
| 6. REFERENCES .....  | 83 |

**CHAPTER 5..... 88**

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS INFLUENCING IN VITRO ANTAGONISM OF BACILLUS SUBTILIS AGAINST FUNGAL POSTHARVEST AVOCADO PATHOGENS ..... 88**

|                                |    |
|--------------------------------|----|
| 1. ABSTRACT.....               | 88 |
| 2. INTRODUCTION .....          | 88 |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS ..... | 90 |



|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 3.1. Isolates .....  | 90         |
| 3.2. Effect of different temperatures on the inhibitory action of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> on fungal postharvest avocado pathogens <i>in vitro</i> ..... | 90         |
| 3.3. Effect of nutrients on the inhibitory action of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> on fungal postharvest avocado pathogens <i>in vitro</i> .....              | 91         |
| 4. RESULTS.....  | 92         |
| 4.1. Effect of different temperatures on the inhibiting action of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> on fungal postharvest avocado pathogens <i>in vitro</i> ..... | 92         |
| 4.2. Effect of nutrients on the inhibitory action of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> on fungal postharvest avocado pathogens <i>in vitro</i> .....              | 92         |
| 5. DISCUSSION .....  | 96         |
| 6. REFERENCES .....  | 98         |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>CHAPTER 6.....</b>  | <b>112</b> |
| <b>PRODUCTION AND PARTIAL CHARACTERISATION OF INHIBITORY METABOLITES PRODUCED BY <i>BACILLUS SUBTILIS</i> .....</b>                                      | <b>112</b> |
| 1. ABSTRACT.....   | 112        |
| 2. INTRODUCTION .....  | 112        |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....   | 113        |
| 3.1. Strains.....  | 113        |
| 3.2. Optimising antifungal metabolite production by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> .....   | 113        |
| 3.3. Antifungal metabolite production and partial characterisation.....  | 114        |
| 3.3.1. Antifungal metabolite production .....  | 114        |
| 3.3.2. Extraction of antifungal metabolites.....   | 114        |
| 3.3.3. Extraction of free acid phenolic compounds.....   | 114        |
| 3.3.4. Quantification of total phenolic compounds.....   | 115        |
| 3.3.5. Separation of free acid phenolic compounds by thin layer chromatography .....   | 115        |
| 3.3.6. Antifungal activity of separated fluorescent spots against <i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> .....  | 115        |
| 3.3.7. High performance liquid chromatography of free acid phenolic compounds .....  | 116        |
| 4. RESULTS.....  | 116        |
| 4.1. Optimising antifungal metabolite production by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> .....   | 116        |
| 4.2. Quantification of total phenolic compounds.....   | 116        |
| 4.3. Separation of free acid phenolic compounds by thin layer chromatography .....   | 117        |
| 4.4. Antifungal activity of separated fluorescent spots against <i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> .....  | 117        |
| 4.5. High performance liquid chromatography of free acid phenolic compounds .....  | 119        |
| 5. DISCUSSION .....  | 119        |
| 6. REFERENCES .....  | 121        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>CHAPTER 7.....</b>  | <b>125</b> |
| <b>GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>  | <b>125</b> |
| REFERENCES .....   | 128        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>SUMMARY.....</b>  | <b>131</b> |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>OPSOMMING .....</b>   | <b>133</b> |

## TABLE OF FIGURES

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2.1: Modes of action involved in biocontrol and their relation to each other. ....   | 18  |
| Figure 2.2: A schematic representation of the factors that influence biocontrol efficacy. ....  | 36  |
| Figure 3.1: Dual culture technique: <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> inhibiting <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> in vitro on potato dextrose agar plates. ....   | 58  |
| Figure 3.2: Diameter of lesions formed on avocado fruit by fungal postharvest pathogens. ....   | 60  |
| Figure 3.3: Banding patterns of DNA products after rDNA internal spacer analysis polymerase chain reaction on selected isolates of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> separated using sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. ....  | 62  |
| Figure 4.1: Scanning electron micrographs of the surface of avocado fruit showing characteristic wax platelets (Photo 1: 4300 x, 2: 3500 x, and 3: 10000 x magnification). ....   | 74  |
| Figure 4.2: Scanning electron micrographs of avocado fruit inoculated: 1) with conidia of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> alone, 2) and 3) with conidia of <i>C. gloeosporioides</i> followed by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (Photo 1: 1200 x, 2: 7500 x, and 3: 2300 x magnification). ....                               | 75  |
| Figure 4.3: Scanning electron micrographs of avocado fruit surfaces inoculated with: 1) <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , 2) <i>B. subtilis</i> showing attachment structures, and 3) both <i>B. subtilis</i> cells and <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> hyphae (Photo 1: 6000 x, 2: 8500 x, and 3: 5000 x magnification). .... | 76  |
| Figure 4.4: Scanning electron micrographs showing avocado fruit surfaces inoculated with <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (Photo 1: 4300 x, 2: 8500 x, and 3: 7000 x magnification). ....   | 77  |
| Figure 4.5: Diameter of inhibition zones formed by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> cell-free filtrates on spread plates of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> . ....  | 78  |
| Figure 4.6: Comparison between the mean percentage inhibition of <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> measured on days 1 to 3 after inoculation. ....   | 79  |
| Figure 4.7: Comparison between the mean percentage inhibition of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> measured on days 1 to 3 after inoculation. ....  | 79  |
| Figure 4.8: Comparison between the mean percentage inhibition of <i>Dothiorella aromatica</i> by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> measured on days 1 to 3 after inoculation. ....   | 80  |
| Figure 4.9: Comparison between the mean percentage inhibition of <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> measured on days 1 to 3 after inoculation. ....  | 80  |
| Figure 5.1: Effect of temperature on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> at respective temperatures. ....   | 94  |
| Figure 5.2: Effect of temperature on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at respective temperatures. ....  | 94  |
| Figure 5.3: Effect of temperature on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Dothiorella aromatica</i> at respective temperatures. ....   | 95  |
| Figure 5.4: Effect of temperature on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> at the respective temperatures. ....  | 95  |
| Figure 5.5: Effect of different amino acids and ammonium chloride on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> . ....   | 100 |
| Figure 5.6: Effect of different carbon sources on <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> antagonism of <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> . ....  | 101 |



Figure 5.7: Effect of different amino acids and ammonium chloride on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. ..... 102

Figure 5.8: Effect of different carbon sources on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. ..... 103

Figure 5.9: Effect of different amino acids and ammonium chloride on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of *Dothiorella aromatica*. ..... 104

Figure 5.10: Effect of different carbon sources on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of *Dothiorella aromatica*. ... 105

Figure 5.11: Effect of different amino acids and ammonium chloride on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. ..... 106

Figure 5.12: Effect of different carbon sources on *Bacillus subtilis* antagonism of against *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. ..... 107

Figure 6.1: Inhibition zones formed at specific cell concentrations during continuous culturing of *Bacillus subtilis* over a seven day period. .... 117

Figure 6.2: Free acid fraction of *Bacillus subtilis* growth media and control separated by thin layer chromatography using a silica glass plate, run with acetic acid:methanol:water (8:1:1, v/v/v) and viewed under UV light (254 nm) to separate potential inhibitory phenolic substances. .... 118

Figure 6.3: Inhibition due to spots of free acid phenolic compounds of *Bacillus subtilis* growth media separated by thin layer chromatography using a silica glass plate run with acetic acid:methanol:water (8:1:1, v/v/v) against *Cladosporium cladosporioides*. ..... 118

Figure 6.4: High performance liquid chromatographs of a) free acid phenolic extract viewed at 430, 325 and 280 nm, and b) control viewed at 360, 320 and 280 nm. .... 120

## TABLE OF TABLES

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 2.1: Cases where induced resistance was found to be involved in antagonism of pathogenic fungi....   | 21  |
| Table 2.2: Antibiotics produced by members of the genus <i>Bacillus</i> .....  | 27  |
| Table 2.3: Enzymes involved in biocontrol of fungal pathogens.....   | 29  |
| Table 2.4: Antagonists producing siderophores involved in biocontrol of fungal pathogens.....  | 34  |
| Table 3.1: <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subcultures and other reference bacteria used for comparison purposes.....   | 57  |
| Table 3.2: <i>In vitro</i> inhibition of avocado fungal pathogens, <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> , <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> , <i>Dothiorella aromatica</i> and <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> by <i>Bacillus</i> isolates ..... | 61  |
| Table 4.1: Treatment of avocado fruit surfaces prior to scanning electron microscopy viewing .....   | 69  |
| Table 4.2: Mean percentage inhibition of fungal pathogens caused by volatile substances produced by <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> using the aerated plate method .....  | 81  |
| Table 5.1: Nitrogen sources supporting high levels of antagonism against <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> , <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> , <i>Dothiorella aromatica</i> and <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> .....                       | 99  |
| Table 5.2: Carbon sources supporting high levels of antagonism against <i>Phomopsis perseae</i> , <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> , <i>Dothiorella aromatica</i> and <i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i> .....                         | 99  |
| Table 6.1: The program followed during separation of samples using high performance liquid chromatography.....   | 116 |
| Table 6.2: Rf values of fluorescent spots separated using acetic acid : methanol : water as solvent on glass thin layer chromatograph plates.....  | 117 |
| Table 6.3: Retention time of the constituents of free acid phenolic extract determined using high performance liquid chromatography.....   | 119 |



## SUMMARY

Avocados are an economically important crop in South Africa and are mainly exported to Europe. As with any other tropical and subtropical crop, avocados are prone to pre- and postharvest diseases. Until recently, chemical control was the only effective measure to control fungal avocado pathogens. In 1987, a *Bacillus subtilis* isolate was found that showed promise as a biocontrol agent in both pre- and postharvest applications to control postharvest diseases. However, over time variable results has been obtained in semi commercial trials.

From the original *B. subtilis* isolate several subcultures have been made and used over a 15 year period in various experimental trials. The dual culture technique was used to compare the biocontrol activity of the subcultures against postharvest pathogens (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Phomopsis perseae*, *Dothiorella aromatica* and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*). The subcultures differed significantly in their effectiveness and genetic stability. No difference between the subcultures could be found when DNA fingerprinting using RISA PCR was used. The most effective subculture, MI-14, was used in further studies.

The mode of action employed by a biocontrol agent is of utmost importance and can be used to enhance its efficacy. In a previous study it was hypothesized that antibiosis as well as competition for nutrients and space is the modes of action involved in biocontrol of *B. subtilis* against postharvest pathogens of avocado. The direct interaction between *B. subtilis* and *C. gloeosporioides* on avocado fruit were observed using scanning electron microscopy. Cells of *B. subtilis* were observed to colonize the hyphae of *C. gloeosporioides*. In some instances, hyphal walls were lysed in the presence of *B. subtilis* and may be due to the presence of enzymes or antibiotic substances. Conidia of *C. gloeosporioides* did not germinate in the presence of *B. subtilis*. Diffusible inhibitory metabolites active against *C. gloeosporioides* were produced *in vitro* by *B. subtilis*. Inhibitory volatile substances were also produced by *B. subtilis* and were found to be active against *P. perseae*, *D. aromatica* and *L. theobromae* but not *C. gloeosporioides*. Siderophores production as well as chitinase, amylase, lipase and proteinase activity were also observed and may play a role in antagonism.

Antibiotic production by *B. subtilis* is a well-known phenomenon. Most antibiotics are polypeptides and lipopeptides. The involvement of phenolic metabolites in biocontrol by *B. subtilis* is less known. A seven-day-old culture of *B. subtilis* in a minimal medium was analyzed for the presence of free acid phenolic

compounds active against fungi. Free acid phenolic metabolites were found and separated using layer chromatography. TLC plates containing the separated spots were sprayed with *Cladosporium cladosporioides* and plates were observed for inhibition zones. The phenolic substances were present at  $7.06 \pm 0.95$  mg gallic acid  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ . The phenolic substances fall in the hydroxycinnamic acid group due to their fluorescent coloring under UV at 350 nm.

The mode of action involved is also influenced by environmental factors. The effect of temperature and carbon- and nitrogen sources on the *in vitro* inhibitory activity of *B. subtilis* against *C. gloeosporioides*, *P. perseae*, *D. aromatica* and *L. theobromae* were investigated using the dual culture technique. The most effective temperature range for *B. subtilis* was found to be between 20 and 37 °C. At temperatures lower than 15 °C, *B. subtilis* was found to be not very effective, suggesting why postharvest applications followed directly by cold storage do not always work effectively. D-arabinose and D-(+)-mannitol evaluated as carbon source as well as L-glutamic acid, L-glutamine and L-(+)-asparagine used as nitrogen sources support *in vitro* antagonism against the pathogens most effectively. They also do not support the growth of *C. gloeosporioides*, *P. perseae*, *D. aromatica* and *L. theobromae*. These nutrients can potentially be the most effective ones to incorporate in commercial *B. subtilis* formulations.

This study showed the potential role of antagonistic free acid phenolic substances, volatiles and siderophores on inhibition of fungal avocado pathogens. Further studies to confirm their *in situ* activity are required. In conclusion, various factors affect the efficacy of *B. subtilis* against postharvest pathogens of avocado. These factors should be kept in mind when applying the commercial product in order to achieve the best results.



## OPSOMMING

Avokados is 'n ekonomies belangrike gewas in Suid Afrika en word hoofsaaklik uitgevoer na Europa. Avokados is soos enige tropiese en subtropiese gewas vatbaar vir voor- en na-oes siektes. Tot onlangs was chemiese middels die enigste effektiewe beheermaatreel teen swam avokado patogene. In 1987 is 'n *Bacillus subtilis* isolaat gevind wat belowende resultate getoon het as 'n biobeheer middel teen avokado na-oes siektes tydens voor- en na-oes toedienings. Varieerende resultate is wel gekry in semi kommersieële proewe.

Verskeie subkulture is van die oorspronklike *B. subtilis* isolaat gemaak en is gebruik in verskeie proewe oor 'n tydperk van 15 jaar. Die twee-kultuur tegniek was gebruik om die onderskeie subkulture se biobeheer aktiwiteit teenoor avokado na-oes patogene (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Phomopsis perseeae*, *Dothiorella aromatica* en *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*) te vergelyk. Die subkulture se effektiwiteit en hul genetiese stabiliteit het betekenisvol van mekaar verskil. DNS profiele van geselekteerde subkulture is deur RISA polimerase ketting reaksie gegeneer en het nie van mekaar verskil nie. Die mees effektiewe subkultuur, MI-14, is in verdere studies gebruik.

Die meganisme van werking wat deur 'n biobeheeragent gebruik word, is van kardinale belang en kan gemanipuleer word om sy om sy effektiwiteit te verbeter. In 'n vorige studie is dit gestel dat antibiose asook kompetisie vir nutriente en spasie die meganismes van werking is wat deur *B. subtilis* gebruik word in die biobeheer van na-oes avokado patogene. Die direkte interaksie tussen *B. subtilis* en *C. gloeosporioides* op avokado vrugte is deur skandeer elektron mikroskopie ondersoek. Dit is waargeneem dat *B. subtilis* die hifes van *C. gloeosporioides* koloniseer. In sommige gevalle waar *B. subtilis* teenwoordig is, is die hifewande geliseer. Dit mag die gevolg wees van ensieme of antibiotiese stowwe. In die teenwoordigheid van *B. subtilis* het *C. gloeosporioides* konidia nie ontkiem nie. Daar is gevind dat *B. subtilis* diffundeerbare inhiberende metaboliete *in vitro* produseer wat aktief is teen *C. gloeosporioides*. Vlugtige inhiberende stowwe is ook deur *B. subtilis* geproduseer en is aktief teen *P. perseeae*, *D. aromatica* en *L. theobromae* maar nie teen *C. gloeosporioides* nie. Siderofoor produksie deur *B. subtilis* asook die aktiwiteit van chitinase, amilase, lipase en proteïenase is waargeneem en kan 'n rol speel in antagonisme.

Die produksie van antibiotiese metaboliete deur *B. subtilis* in 'n bekende verskynsel. Die meeste antibiotiese metaboliete is polipeptiede en lipopeptiede. Die rol van fenoliese metaboliete wat deur *B. subtilis*



geproduseer is in biobeheer, is minder bekend. Sewe-dae-oue fermentasie kulture van *B. subtilis* in 'n minimale medium is geanaliseer vir die teenwoordigheid van vrye-suur fenoliese verbindings wat aktief is teen swamme. Vrye-suur fenoliese verbindings is gevind en deur dunlaag chromatografie geskei. Plate wat die geskeide kolle bevat is bedek met *Cladosporium cladosporioides* en is daarna ondersoek vir die vorming van inhibisiesones. Fenoliese verbindings was teenwoordig teen 'n konsentrasie gelykstaande aan  $7.06 \pm 0.95$  mg gallig suur ml<sup>-1</sup>. Die fenoliese verbindings wat gevind is word geklassifiseer in die hidroksiesinamiese suur groep na aanleiding van hul fluoesserende kleure onder UV lig by 350 nm.

Omgewingsfaktore beïnvloed ook die meganisme van werking wat gebruik word deur 'n biobeheer agent. Die twee-kultuur tegniek is gebruik om die effek van temperatuur asook koolstof- en stikstofbronne op die *in vitro* inhiberende werking van *B. subtilis* teen *C. gloeosporioides*, *P. perseae*, *D. aromatica* en *L. theobromae* te ondersoek. Temperature tussen 20 en 37 °C het die werking van *B. subtilis* die beste ondersteun. By temperature laer as 15 °C is gevind dat *B. subtilis* nie baie effektief was nie, wat kan verduidelik hoekom na-oes toedienings dadelik gevolg deur koue stoor berging nie altyd effektief is nie. Daar was gevind dat *in vitro* antagonisme die beste deur D-arabinose of D-(+)-mannitol as koolstofbron, en L-glutamiensuur, L-glutamien of L-(+)-asparagien as stikstofbron ondersteun was. Hierdie bronne bevorder nie die groei van *C. gloeosporioides*, *P. perseae*, *D. aromatica* of *L. theobromae* nie. Dit kan die beste potensiaal hê om in 'n kommersieële *B. subtilis* formulase gebruik te word.

Hierdie studie toon die moontlike rol van antagonistiese vry-suur fenoliese verbindings, vlugtige stowwe en siderofore op die inhibisie van patogeniese swamme op avokado. Verdere studies om die *in situ* aktiwiteite hiervan te bepaal, is nodig. Verskeie faktore affekteer die werking van *B. subtilis* teen na-oes avokado patogene. Hierdie faktore moet in gedagte gehou word wanneer die produk toegedien word om die beste resultate te behaal.