## Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1. Locality and countries from which stocks of *Rhipicephalus microplus, Amblyomma variegatum* and *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* were collected

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of tick infestations and tick counts

Supplementary Table 3. Efficacy of fipronil, fipronil and abamectin, flumethrin and fluazuron, ivermectin and closantel and cymiazole and cypermethrin against *Rhipicephalus microplus* (West and East African isolates) in the exploratory studies (nos. 385 & 387)

Supplementary Table 4. Efficacy of fipronil, fipronil and abamectin, against *Rhipicephalus microplus* (West and East African isolates) in the confirmatory studies (nos. 386 & 388)

Supplementary Table 5. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of fipronil and abamectin and flumethrin pour-on against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the exploratory study on goats (no. 391)

Supplementary Table 6. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of spray-on formulations; amitraz, cymiazole and cypermethrin, flumethrin and chlorfenvinphos and alfamethrin against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the exploratory study on goats (no. 391)

Supplementary Table 7. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of 1% flumethrin pour-on on goats and 2% flumethrin spray-on on cattle against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the confirmatory studies (nos. 392 & 393)

Supplementary Table 8. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of pour-on formulations; 0.9% fipronil and 0.5% abamectin and 1% fipronil against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the exploratory study (no. 389)

Supplementary Table 9. Therapeutic efficacy of spray-on formulations; flumethrin dip and spray, amitraz, cymiazole and cypermethrin and chlorfenvinphos and alfamethrin against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the exploratory study (no. 389)

Supplementary Table 10. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of fipronil and abamectin against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the confirmatory study (no. 390)

## Supplementary Table 1. Locality and countries from which stocks of *Rhipicephalus* microplus, *Amblyomma variegatum* and *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* were collected

	Locality, Country	R. microplus	A. variegatum	R. appendiculatus
East Africa				
	Madibila, Tanzania	Х	х	х
	Chamakweza, Tanzania	Х	х	х
	Serere, Uganda	Х	х	х
	Serere 1, Uganda	Х		х
	Serere 2, Uganda			х
	Oromia, Ethiopia		х	
West Africa				
	Donga, Benin	Х	х	
	Zou, Benin	Х		
	Akuse, Ghana	Х	х	
	Narth Korkpe, Ghana	Х		
	Quanpam, Nigeria	Х	х	
	Soba, Nigeria	Х		
			Х	

Study no.	(No. ticks) and infestation days	Assessment days
	tory studies	
385	Cattle were infested with approximately3 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae per bovine on Days -28, -25, -23, -21, -18, -15, -14, -10, -8 and -4 for the purposes of randomisation and therapeutic efficacy assessments and approximately 5 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae) Days 21, 25, 28, 49, 52, 56, 77, 80 and 84 for persistent efficacy assessments.	Therapeutic efficacy: fully engorged female ticks collected and counted from Days 1 to 21.  Persistent efficacy: engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 42 to 56 (all groups) Days 71 to 84, Days 99 to 112 (groups 1 to 3).
387	Cattle were infested with approximately 3 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae applied per bovine on Days -28, -25, -23, -20, -18, -15, -13, -11 -8 and -4 for the purposes of randomisation and therapeutic efficacy assessments and approximately 5 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae) Days 21, 24, 28, 49, 52, 56, 77 and 80 for persistent efficacy assessments.	Therapeutic efficacy: fully engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 1 to 21.  Persistent efficacy: fully engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 42 to 56 for all groups and Days 70 to 83 for groups 2 and 4.
391	Approximately 30 adult unfed <i>A. variegatum</i> ticks (±15 males and ±15 females) were applied per goat on Day -14 for randomisation purposes and Day -4 for evaluation of therapeutic efficacy. Goats were reinfested (approximately 20 adult unfed <i>A. variegatum</i> ticks (±10 males and ±10 females)) on Days 14 and 28 to assess persistent efficacy in groups 2 and 3 and therapeutic efficacy in group 4. Group 3 were also infested on Day 42 to assess persistent efficacy, Group 4 were infested on Days 42 and 56 to assess therapeutic efficacy	Tick counts were performed at 48, 72 and 96 h (±4 h) after infestation or treatment administration.
389	30 adult, unfed <i>R. appendiculatus</i> ticks per ear) applied per bovine on Day -6 for randomisation purposes, on Day -1 for evaluation of therapeutic efficacy, Days 14, 28, 56 and 63 to assess persistent efficacy for groups 2 and 3, and therapeutic efficacy for the respective treatments in group 4.	In-situ tick counts were conducted on Day 1 (groups 1 to 3) and Day 65 (all groups). Ticks were counted and removed on Days -4, 2, 16, 30, 58 (48 h $\pm$ 4 h after tick infestation or treatment administration for groups 2 and 3, or 24 h $\pm$ 4 h after treatment administration for group 4) and 66 (72 h $\pm$ 4 h after tick infestation).
Confirm	atory studies	
386	Cattle were infested with approximately 3 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae per bovine on Days -27, -25, -22, -20, -18, -15, -13, -11, -8, -4 and -1 for the purposes of randomisation and therapeutic efficacy assessments and approximately 5 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae on Days 21, 24, 28, 49, 52, 55, 77 and 80 for persistent efficacy assessments.	Fully engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 1 to 21 to assess therapeutic efficacy. Fully engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 42 to 56 and Days 70 to 83, to assess persistent efficacy.
388	Cattle were infested with approximately 3 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae per bovine on Days - 27, -25, -22, -20, -18, -15, -13, -11, -8, -4 and - 1 for the purposes of randomisation and therapeutic efficacy assessments and	Fully engorged female ticks were collected and counted from Days 1 to 21 to assess therapeutic efficacy, and from Days 42 to 56, Days 70 to 84 and Days 98 to 112, to assess persistent efficacy.

	approximately 5 000 <i>R. microplus</i> larvae on Days 21, 25, 28, 49, 53, 56, 77, 80 and 84 for testing persistent efficacy.	
392	Cattle were infested with approximately 30 adult unfed A. variegatum ticks (±15 males and ±15 females) on Day -6 for randomisation purposes, Day -1 for therapeutic efficacy and on Days 14, 28 and 42 to assess persistent efficacy. During tick removal, up to five male ticks were left attached to the animal. The exact number of remaining male ticks left on the animal were recorded. Prior to the subsequent tick infestation, an in situ count was performed to confirm the number of attached male ticks and this number was subtracted from the number of male ticks being infested on that day. Additional, in situ tick counts were performed at 48 h (± 4 h) and 72 h (± 4 h) after the acaricide administration or tick infestation.	Tick counts were performed at 48, 72 and 96 h (±4 h) after infestation or treatment administration.
393	On Day -14, all goats were fitted with a corset and artificially infested with 20 (± 2) adult, unfed A. variegatum ticks (10 males and 10 females). Approximately 20 (± 2) adult, unfed A. variegatum ticks of equal sex distribution were infested for immediate therapeutic efficacy on Day -2 and for persistent efficacy on Days 14, 28, 42 and 56.	Additional in situ tick counts were performed at 48 $(\pm 4 \text{ h})$ and 72 $(\pm 4 \text{ h})$ hours after the acaricide administration or tick infestation. Tick counts and removal were performed at 96 $(\pm 4 \text{ h})$ h after the acaricide administration or infestation.
390	30 (even sex distribution) adult, unfed <i>R</i> . appendiculatus ticks per ear) applied per cow on Day -6 for randomisation purposes, on Day -1 for evaluation of therapeutic efficacy, and on Days 14, 28 and 42 to assess persistent efficacy.	Ticks were removed and counted on Day -3 (72 h $\pm$ 4 h after infestation) for randomisation and ranking purposes. Ticks were counted in situ on Day 2 (48 h $\pm$ 4 h after acaricide treatment) and on Days 16, 30 and 44 (48 h $\pm$ 4 h after infestation) and removed on Day 3 (72 h $\pm$ 4 h after acaricide treatment) and on Days 17, 31 and 45 (72 h $\pm$ 4 h after infestation).

Supplementary Table 3. Efficacy of fipronil, fipronil and abamectin, flumethrin and fluazuron, ivermectin and closantel and cymiazole and cypermethrin against *Rhipicephalus microplus* (West and East African isolates) in the exploratory studies (nos. 385 & 387)

West Africa						East	Africa					
	Fip	ronil	•	ole and	lverm and clo	nectin osantel		nil and nectin	•	zole and methrin		ron and ethrin
Day	Mean	Effic.	Mean	Effic.	Mean	Effic.	Mean	Effic.	Mean	Effic.	Mean	Effic.
0 - 21	169.3	84.6	553.0	49.7	280.0	74.5	138.3	87.5	447.0	59.6	433.7	60.8
42 - 56	0.0	100	85.7	75.1	288.0	16.4	38.7	91.3	212.7	51.9	23.3	94.7
71 - 84	9.0	94.8	10.0	94.2			46.0	76.4			100.7	48.3
99 - 112	30.0	32.8	10.7	76.1								

Mean: Mean tick count; Effic.: Percent efficacy compared to untreated control

Supplementary Table 4. Efficacy of fipronil, fipronil and abamectin, against *Rhipicephalus microplus* (West and East African isolates) in the confirmatory studies (nos. 386 & 388)

	Wes	t Africa	East Africa		
	Fip	pronil	Fipronil and abamectin		
Day	Mean	Efficacy	Mean	Efficacy	
0 to 21	4.0	91.0	21.7	93.6	
42 to 56	40.0	84.3	0.0	_*	
70 to 84	125.3	51.9	59.2	86.3	
98 to 112	-	-	86.5	78.5	

<sup>\*</sup> insufficient ticks collected from the control group during this period

Supplementary Table 5. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of fipronil and abamectin and flumethrin pour-on against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the exploratory study on goats (no.391)

Day		Control Fipronil		ronil	Flumethr	in pour-on
	Timepoint	Mean	Mean	Efficacy	Mean	Efficacy
2	48 h post acaricide	20.0	12.7	36.7	15.3	23.3
3	72 h post acaricide	20.3	8.3	59.0	9.0	55.7
4	96 h post acaricide	19.0	7.0	63.2	0.3	98.2
16	48 h post infestation	18.3	13.0	29.1	1.0	94.5
17	72 h post infestation	18.7	10.7	42.9	1.0	94.6
18	96 h post infestation	18.3	9.0	50.9	1.0	94.5
30	48 h post infestation	19.3	16.7	13.8	3.7	81.0
31	72 h post infestation	19.3	13.7	29.3	1.7	91.4
32	96 h post infestation	19.3	13.7	29.3	1.3	93.1
44	48 h post infestation	19.3	-	-	6.9	63.8
45	72 h post infestation	19.7	=	=	7.0	64.4
46	96 h post infestation	19.7	=	=	7.0	64.4

Supplementary Table 6. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of spray-on formulations; amitraz, cymiazole and cypermethrin, flumethrin and chlorfenvinphos and alfamethrin against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the exploratory study on goats (no.391)

	Amit	raz	Cymiazole cypermetl		Flumethrin	spray-on	Chlorfenvinp alfamet	
Days post acaricide *	Control mean (Mean)	Efficacy	Control mean (Mean)	Efficacy	Control mean (Mean)	Efficacy	Pre-count mean (post- count-mean)	Efficacy
			Therap	eutic effi	cacies			
2	20.0 (0.0)	100	18.0 (0.0)	100	19.3 (0.0)	100	19.0 (8.0)	57.9
3	20.3 (0.0)	100	18.0 (0.0)	100	19.0 (0.0)	100	19.0 (2.3)	87.7
4	19.0 (0.0)	100	18.0 (0.0)	100	19.0 (0.0)	100	19.0 (1.0)	94.7
			Persis	tent effica	acies			
10	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-
12	-	-	19.3 (7.7)	60.3	19.3 (1.7)	91.4	-	-
13	-	-	19.3 (7.3)	62.1	19.7 (1.3)	93.2	-	-
14	-	-	19.3 (4.7)	75.9	19.7 (0.7)	96.6	-	-
16	18.3 (12.0)	34.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	18.7 (8.3)	55.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	18.3 (8.0)	56.4	=	-	-	=	-	-
24	-	-	=	-			=	-
26	-	=	=	-	19.0 (6.7)	64.9	=	=
27	-	-	=	-	19.0 (5.3)	71.9	-	-
28	-	-	-	-	19.0 (3.7)	80.7	-	

Mean: Mean tick count; Efficacy: Percent efficacy compared to untreated control. Values are shown for the control group and the acaricide treated group in brackets.

Supplementary Table 7. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of 1% flumethrin pour-on on goats and 2% flumethrin spray-on on cattle against *Amblyomma variegatum* in the confirmatory studies (nos. 392 & 393)

Day		Control		rin spray-on attle)	Control		hrin pour-on goats)
	Timepoint	Mean	Mean	Efficacy	Mean	Mean	Efficacy
-2				Tick Ch	allenge		
2	48 h post acaricide	17.5	0.2	99.0	14.8	9.8	33.7
3	72 h post acaricide	18.0	0.0	100	14.3	3.8	73.3
4	96 h post acaricide	18.0	0.0	100	14.2	0.3	97.6
14				Tick Ch	allenge		
16	48 h post infestation	28.5	17.7	38.0	19.3	2.8	85.3
17	72 h post infestation	28.7	13.5	52.9	18.5	1.7	91.0
18	96 h post infestation	29.0	13.5	53.4	18.5	0.3	98.2
25		Acari	cide admini	stration	-	=	-
28				Tick Ch	allenge		
30	48 h post infestation	26.5	3.2	88.1	18.5	3.3	82.0
31	72 h post infestation	24.8	0.5	98.0	18.2	1.2	93.6
32	96 h post infestation	26.2	0.0	100	18.3	8.0	95.5
35		Acari	cide admini	stration	-	-	-
42	·	•		Tick Ch	allenge	_	
44	48 h post infestation	22.5	9.8	56.3	19.2	5.0	73.9
45	72 h post infestation	22.5	8.2	63.7	19.2	3.3	82.6
46	96 h post infestation	23.2	7.2	69.1	19.8	2.5	87.4
56				Tick Ch	allenge		
58	48 h post infestation	-	-	-	19.6	8.0	59.2
59	72 h post infestation	-	=	-	19.6	6.0	69.4
60	96 h post infestation	-	-	-	20.0	6.0	70.0

Supplementary Table 8. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of pour-on formulations; 0.9% fipronil and 0.5% abamectin and 1% fipronil against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the exploratory study (no. 389)

Davi	Timeneint	Control	Control Fipronil and		Fipronil	
Day	Timepoint	Mean	Mean	Efficacy	Mean	Efficacy
1	24 h post acaricide	40.7	34.0	16.4	0.0	100
2	48 h post acaricide	56.3	0.3	99.4	0.0	100
16	48 h post infestation	41.0	1.0	97.6	2.0	95.1
30	48 h post infestation	36.3	1.7	95.4	9.0	75.2
58	48 h post infestation	17.0	15.0	11.8	7.7	54.9
65	24 h post infestation	34.3	18.0	47.6	18.7	45.6
66	48 h post infestation	28.7	24.7	14.0	22.3	22.1

Mean: Mean tick count; Efficacy: Percent efficacy compared to untreated control

Supplementary Table 9. Therapeutic efficacy of spray-on formulations; flumethrin dip and spray, amitraz, cymiazole and cypermethrin and chlorfenvinphos and alfamethrin against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the exploratory study (no. 389)

Timepoint	Active Ingredient	Control	Acaricide		
		Mean	Mean	Efficacy	
24 h post acaricide	Flumethrin	40.7	0.0	100	
	Amitraz	41.0	0.0	100	
	Cymiazole and cypermethrin	36.3	3.0	91.7	
	Chlorfenvinphos and alfamethrin	17.0	11.3	33.3	
	Amitraz	34.3	0.0	100	

## Supplementary Table 10. Therapeutic and persistent efficacy of fipronil and abamectin against *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks in the confirmatory study (no. 390)

	Control	Fipronil and abamectin		
Timepoint	Mean	Mean	Efficacy	
48 h post acaricide	48.3	27.8	42.4	
72 h post acaricide	54.7	1.8	96.6	
48 h post infestation	44.7	3.2	92.9	
72 h post infestation	46.7	0.2	99.6	
48 h post infestation	47.0	14.8	68.4	
72 h post infestation	43.3	4.0	90.8	
48 h post infestation	37.2	19.8	46.6	
72 h post infestation	32.5	9.0	72.3	
	48 h post acaricide 72 h post acaricide 48 h post infestation 72 h post infestation 48 h post infestation 72 h post infestation 48 h post infestation 48 h post infestation	Timepoint Mean  48 h post acaricide 48.3  72 h post acaricide 54.7  48 h post infestation 44.7  72 h post infestation 46.7  48 h post infestation 47.0  72 h post infestation 43.3  48 h post infestation 37.2	Timepoint         Mean         Mean           48 h post acaricide         48.3         27.8           72 h post acaricide         54.7         1.8           48 h post infestation         44.7         3.2           72 h post infestation         46.7         0.2           48 h post infestation         47.0         14.8           72 h post infestation         43.3         4.0           48 h post infestation         37.2         19.8	