Revised: 12 June 2023

### RESEARCH ARTICLE



# WILEY

# Temporal partitioning and the potential for avoidance behaviour within South African carnivore communities

Kyle Smith<sup>1</sup> | Jan A. Venter<sup>1,2</sup> | Mike Peel<sup>3,4,5</sup> | Mark Keith<sup>1</sup> | Michael J. Somers<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mammal Research Institute, Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Conservation Management, Faculty of Science, George Campus, Nelson Mandela University, George, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>ARC-Animal Production Institute, Rangeland Ecology Group, Nelspruit, South Africa

<sup>4</sup>School for Animal, Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>5</sup>Applied Behavioural Ecology and Ecosystem Research Unit, University of South Africa, Florida, South Africa

#### Correspondence

Michael J. Somers, Eugène Marais Chair of Wildlife Management, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Mammal Research Institute, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa. Email: michael.somers@up.ac.za

#### Funding information

Foundational Biodiversity Information Programme, Grant/Award Number: FBIP170720256205

### Abstract

Carnivora occupy many ecological niches fundamental to ecosystem functioning. Within this diverse order, carnivore species compete to establish dominance, ensure survival and maintain fitness. Subordinate carnivores must, therefore, adapt their behaviour to coexist with dominant species. One such strategy is the partitioning of temporal activity patterns. We aim to determine interspecific avoidance patterns among sympatric carnivores by examining coexistence along a temporal axis. We compared the temporal activity patterns of 13 carnivore species using multi-seasonal camera trapping data from four protected areas across South Africa: Associated Private Nature Reserves, Madikwe Game Reserve, Mountain Zebra National Park and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve. Interspecific coefficients of overlap in diel and core activity periods were calculated over the study period and during the wet and dry seasons. Furthermore, interspecific spatiotemporal behaviour was examined using time-toevent analyses. Our results showed that complete avoidance of diel activity patterns was rare among South African carnivore species. Most species were predominantly nocturnal and, therefore, diel activity overlap was high, whereas core activity overlap was significantly lower (p < .001). Diel activity overlap was significantly lower during the dry than wet seasons (p = .045). Lastly, evidence of spatiotemporal aggregation revolved around scavenging species. We show the importance of seasonality in the temporal avoidance behaviours of South African carnivores while highlighting the need for fine-scaled behavioural analyses. Overall, we show that the daily activity patterns of most subordinate South African carnivore species are not influenced by top-down forces in the form of competitional suppression and risk exerted by dominant species. If avoidance is required, it is more likely to manifest as fine-scaled avoidance of core activity periods. We suggest that the focus on core activity periods might be a more suitable tool for interspecific temporal partitioning research.

### KEYWORDS

activity, camera traps, Carnivora, overlap, South Africa, temporal avoidance

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2023 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

SMITH ET AL.

TAXONOMY CLASSIFICATION Behavioural ecology, Community ecology

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Carnivores (species of the order Carnivora) are integral to an ecosystem's trophic structure and are, therefore, vital to ecosystem functioning (Elmhagen et al., 2010; Estes et al., 2011; Hoeks et al., 2020). They occupy various levels within this trophic hierarchy, and many species fill the role of both predator and prey (Palomares & Caro, 1999). Sympatric carnivores, therefore, likely experience different degrees of competition and risk, from indirect exploitation of limited resources to interference competition that involves direct antagonistic interactions, such as kleptoparasitism, depredation and territorial killings (Caro & Stoner, 2003; Linnell & Strand, 2000; Palomares & Caro, 1999). Outcomes of interspecific competition among carnivores are usually dictated by body size, predatory behaviour, morphological adaptations, age structure, social organisation and species diversity within the region (Donadio & Buskirk, 2006; Lehmann et al., 2017; Linnell & Strand, 2000). Even though interspecific avoidance behaviour is adaptive and manifested over evolutionary timescales, subordinate carnivores must often adjust their behaviour to ensure coexistence with more dominant carnivores due to population-wide changes of, for instance, demographics and sex ratios (Kronfeld-Schor & Davan, 2003; Lehmann et al., 2017; Lima & Dill, 1990; Linnell & Strand, 2000; Trinkel & Kastberger, 2005).

Avoidance behaviour constitutes many dimensional considerations related to niche theory, ranging from spatial, temporal and diet partitioning, to reliance on reactive or predictive decision making in response to various forms of interspecific competition and risk (Broekhuis et al., 2013; Carothers & Jaksic, 1984; Hayward & Kerley, 2008; Linnell & Strand, 2000). Spatial partitioning may force subordinate species to occupy areas with unfavourable resource availability (Ritchie & Johnson, 2009). In response, these species may resist exclusion from favourable habitat by being active at different times of the day, reducing the likelihood of encounters with the dominant species (Swanson et al., 2014).

Temporal avoidance behaviour is a form of resource partitioning whereby animal species, constrained by morphological characteristics and adaptations, are active at different periods of the 24-h day to reduce the risk posed by species that occupy higher levels of the dominance hierarchy (Schoener, 1974; see review by Bennie et al., 2014; Hayward & Slotow, 2009; Kronfeld-Schor & Dayan, 2003). Temporal partitioning is, thus, a behavioural adaptation that species could employ to coexist with other species deemed a threat to their survival or fitness (Carothers & Jaksic, 1984).

In this study, we used data from Snapshot Safari's extensive camera-trapping surveys within four South African protected areas (Pardo et al., 2021) to assess temporal partitioning as a strategy for interspecific avoidance behaviour among carnivore species. Examining multiple carnivore communities from environmentally diverse regions will allow for a better understanding of the many different species' temporal behaviours.

Due to their opportunistic predatory behaviour, felids (e.g. lions *Panthera leo*, leopards *Panthera pardus* and caracals *Caracal caracal*) are responsible for most intraguild killings (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022; Donadio & Buskirk, 2006). We, thus, hypothesise that subordinate carnivore species will more likely avoid felids, which could potentially be a greater risk for their survival than the other species. Leopards have been observed killing carnivore species as small as genets (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022). From this, we predict that subordinate carnivore species of all body sizes will show signs of avoiding leopards. Interspecific antagonism and killing are not only limited to large carnivores but are also observed among mesopredators. For example, caracals have been shown to kill smaller carnivore species such as African wildcats *Felis silvestris lybica* and mongooses (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022). Avoidance behaviour is, therefore, predicted to also manifest among the smaller carnivore species.

Pronounced seasonal variations in environmental characteristics are experienced within South Africa due to its higher latitudes (Daan & Aschoff, 1975). These differences range from unimodal rainfall seasons that result in clear seasonal differences in vegetation quality (i.e. wet and dry seasons), to changes in the availability of resources that could affect the behaviour of carnivores. For example, diet overlap between bat-eared foxes Otocvon megalotis and aardwolves Proteles cristata is likely to occur only during the colder months of the year, when aardwolves shift a considerable portion of their diet to Hodotermes termites due to less availability of the preferred Trinervitermes termites (Kamler et al., 2013; Williams et al., 1997). As a result, the two species may compete during winter. In addition, Kamler et al. (2017) showed that bat-eared foxes have significantly larger group sizes during the dry seasons in the Northern Cape, South Africa. This was proposed as a possible response of bat-eared foxes to increased temporal overlap with black-backed jackals Lupulella mesomelas in the reserve during the dry season (Kamler et al., 2017). Furthermore, Périquet et al. (2021) showed that seasonality plays an important role in the facilitative and competitional relationship between lions and spotted hyaenas Crocuta crocuta, as resource availability varies between the wet and dry seasons, and spotted hyaenas are more likely to actively hunt prey during the dry season, making them less dependent on scavenging lion kills. Ultimately, we predict that indications of avoidance behaviours among many carnivore species will differ between the wet and dry seasons.

Lastly, most of Southern Africa's carnivore species are nocturnal (Comley et al., 2020; de Satgé et al., 2017; Greco et al., 2021; Vissia & van Langevelde, 2022; Webster et al., 2021). Carnivore species that conform behaviourally to a similar temporal niche classification at the population level (e.g. nocturnal, crepuscular) will have high

WILEY

temporal overlap values when diel activity is compared. However, temporal avoidance may still be used to coexist, which necessitates finer-scaled temporal comparisons of activity. Therefore, we predict that interspecific temporal avoidance behaviour among the carnivores in this study will more likely manifest as finer-scaled asynchronization of their core activity periods than complete avoidance throughout the 24-h diel period.

# 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Study areas

Snapshot Safari's camera trapping data from four protected areas across South Africa were included in this study (Pardo et al., 2021; Figure 1; Table 1): Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR). These protected areas span a considerable latitudinal and longitudinal range, allowing for variation in climatic conditions, environmental characteristics and ecological diversity. Apart from APNR where camera traps were placed according to vegetation differences, the protected areas followed a standardised camera trapping system where cameras were placed facing game paths at the centre of a grid network of 5 km<sup>2</sup> per camera placement (see Pardo et al., 2021 for a more detailed description of the camera-trap network, Snapshot Safari). Camera trapping deployments ran for multiple seasons and years (Table 1).

### 2.2 | Analysis

We only included Carnivora species with at least 20 independent detections within a specific protected area in the analyses. Photocaptures were rendered independent by limiting the time interval between subsequent photo-captures of the same species at a



FIGURE 1 Snapshot Safari's camera trapping networks in the four South African protected areas. Each protected area's fenced borders are represented by solid lines, whereas unfenced borders are represented by stippled lines. The dots in each protected area indicate the locations of camera traps.

TABLE 1 Characteristics and deployment data of the Snapshot Safari camera trap networks in four South African protected areas: Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).

	APNR	MGR	MZNP	TKR
Size (km <sup>2</sup> )	2055	750	214	1100
Fencing	Fenced border, but open to Kruger National Park along eastern border	Fenced border	Fenced border	Fenced border, separated into two fenced camps <sup>a</sup>
Biome	Semi-arid savanna	Semi-arid savanna	Albany thicket, Nama- Karoo and grassland	Arid Kalahari savanna
Rainfall seasons	Summer	Summer	Summer	Summer
Wet seasons	Oct-Apr	Oct-Apr	Oct-May	Oct-Apr
Dry seasons	May-Sep	May-Sep	Jun-Sep	May-Sep
Annual rainfall	Approx. 500mm	Approx. 500mm	Approx. 400mm	Approx. 360mm
Number of cameras	49	40	19	30 <sup>b</sup>
Camera deployment	Jun 2017-Oct 2019	Aug 2017-Oct 2019	Aug 2017-Oct 2019	Nov 2018-Nov 2019
Camera days <sup>c</sup>	33,000	15,900	7900	9800

<sup>a</sup>Korannaberg, the main section of TKR, and Lekgaba, which supports TKR's lion population.

<sup>b</sup>20 cameras in Korannaberg and 10 in Lekgaba.

<sup>c</sup>The number of cumulative days (24-h cycles) that all cameras were active.

specific camera station to a minimum of 60 min, reducing the possibility of pseudoreplication (Niedballa et al., 2019).

### 2.2.1 | Interspecific activity overlap

We compared the daily activity patterns of species populations derived from kernel density estimates within the specific protected areas. This was done by calculating the coefficient of overlap  $(\hat{\Delta})$ and the associated 95% smoothed bootstrapped confidence intervals with 10,000 resamples using the *overlap* package (Meredith & Ridout, 2021; Ridout & Linkie, 2009) in R (v4.0.3; R Core Team, 2021). The coefficient of overlap is a proportional value representing the possible similarity in species' diel activity patterns and ranges from 0 to 1, indicating completely different and identical activity patterns, respectively. According to Meredith and Ridout (2021), when at least one species in a pair obtained <50 photo-captures, the overlap estimator  $\hat{\Delta}_1$  was calculated, and when both species obtained more than 50 photo-captures,  $\hat{\Delta}_4$  was calculated.

We also calculated the core activity periods (50% core isopleths) and their overlap between species using the *circular* package (Agostinelli & Lund, 2022) in R and the highest species-specific bandwidth estimation within each species-pair. An appropriate bandwidth value for each species was calculated with a maximum moment, kmax=3.

To determine whether there are differences between diel and core activity overlaps, we compared the collective diel activity overlap and core activity overlap values for all the carnivore species-pairs using paired Wilcoxon tests ( $\alpha$ =0.05) with the *wilcox.test* function in R. Using the same test, we determined the seasonal differences in overlap values by comparing the wet and dry season's collective diel activity overlaps, as well as the two seasons' collective core activity overlaps. The two separated sections of TKR, Lekgaba (lions present) and Korannaberg (lions absent) present a unique opportunity where the behaviour of a mammal species can be compared within the same region with similar environmental characteristics, but where lions are present and absent. Therefore, to test the effect of lion presence on the daily activity patterns of carnivore species in TKR, we used similar analyses as described above to compare each carnivore species' diel activity pattern separately between the Lekgaba (lions present) and Korannaberg (lions absent) sections of TKR.

# 2.2.2 | Fine-scaled spatiotemporal behaviour between species

We performed a time-to-event analysis, derived from Karanth et al. (2017) and Watabe et al. (2022), to determine spatiotemporal avoidance or aggregation between carnivore species. In this context, the spatiotemporal dimension refers to differences in temporal use within a shared space. The analysis entailed extracting the time period from each photo-capture of a specific species (reference species) to the nearest single photo-capture, before or after, of the comparing species (proximate species) at shared camera trapping stations. A maximum of 7 days before and after each reference detection was used to include proximate detections, as this allowed for enough comparisons among species while still likely maintaining biological relevance. To increase reliability, we only included speciespairs with at least 20 total comparisons across all camera stations within 7 days before and after the reference detections. We then calculated the observed median of all time intervals between reference and proximate detections within the 7-day relevance period.

We then applied a randomisation process to each photo-capture of the proximate species. This entailed randomly selecting a camera

Ecology and Evolution

station, date and time from the original dataset for the specific proximate species, thereby preserving biological relevance in activity period preferences. This was repeated 1000 times to generate 1000 randomised datasets against which the reference species detections were compared in the time-to-event analysis. The median of the minimum time between proximate and reference detections for each 1000 randomised datasets was then calculated and plotted as a density distribution of medians. Similar to standard permutation tests, we calculated a proportional value (*p*-value) as p = n/N, where *n* is the number of randomised medians greater than the observed median and *N* is the total number of randomised medians for the specific species-pair. A two-tailed significance level ( $\alpha$ =0.05) was considered, where a *p*>.975 indicated a significant possibility of spatiotemporal aggregation and *p*<.025 indicated a significant possibility of avoidance behaviour.

# 3 | RESULTS

Within each of the sites, six species obtained 20 or more independent photo-captures (Table 2). Therefore, we were able to look at a total of 13 species across the four protected areas, with approximately half

TABLE 2 The number of independent Snapshot Safari camera trap photo-captures (>60min apart) for South African Carnivora species within the Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).

Site	Carnivora species	Total	Wet	Dry
APNR	Spotted hyaena Crocuta crocuta	1712	853	859
	Lion Panthera leo	190	97	93
	Leopard Panthera pardus	165	82	83
	African civet Civettictis civetta	72	20	52
	African wild dog Lycaon pictus	46	17	29
	Honey badger Mellivora capensis	24	15	9
	Genet Genetta spp.	19	7	12
	White-tailed mongoose Ichneumia albicauda	16	10	6
	Black-backed jackal Lupulella mesomelas	15	3	12
	Side-striped jackal Lupulella adustus	13	8	5
	Caracal Caracal caracal	7	3	5
	African wildcat Felis silvestris lybica	3	0	3
	Dwarf mongoose Helogale parvula	2	2	0
	Banded mongoose Mungos mungo	1	0	1
	Cheetah Acinonyx jubatus	1	0	1
	Meller's mongoose Rhynchogale melleri	1	0	1
MGR	Brown hyaena Hyaena brunnea	216	86	130
	Spotted hyaena Crocuta crocuta	173	78	95
	Black-backed jackal Lupulella mesomelas	70	24	46
	Leopard Panthera pardus	44	17	27
	Lion Panthera leo	36	12	24
	African wildcat Felis silvestris lybica	25	7	18
	Banded mongoose Mungos mungo	13	11	2
	Genet Genetta spp.	10	4	6
	Slender mongoose Galerella sanguinea	10	2	8
	Caracal Caracal caracal	8	4	4
	African civet Civettictis civetta	8	3	5
	Honey badger Mellivora capensis	7	4	3
	African wild dog Lycaon pictus	6	4	2
	Aardwolf Proteles cristata	4	2	2
	Cheetah Acinonyx jubatus	4	2	2
	Serval Leptailurus serval	4	1	3
	White-tailed mongoose Ichneumia albicauda	2	2	0

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

Site	Carnivora species	Total	Wet	Dry
MZNP	Black-backed jackal Lupulella mesomelas	816	456	368
	Aardwolf Proteles cristata	175	119	59
	Brown hyaena Hyaena brunnea	108	76	32
	Bat-eared fox Otocyon megalotis	57	23	34
	Lion Panthera leo	49	19	30
	Caracal Caracal caracal	23	14	9
	Small-spotted genet Genetta genetta	19	14	5
	Cheetah Acinonyx jubatus	6	4	2
	Cape grey mongoose Herpestes pulverulentus	6	4	2
	Meerkat Suricata suricatta	5	5	0
	Striped polecat Ictonyx striatus	4	4	0
	Yellow mongoose Cynictis penicillate	3	1	2
	Water mongoose Atilax paludinosus	2	2	0
	Black-footed cat Felis nigripes	1	0	1
	African striped weasel Poecilogale albinucha	1	0	1
TKR	Bat-eared fox Otocyon megalotis	260	149	111
	Black-backed jackal Lupulella mesomelas	234	134	100
	Brown hyaena Hyaena brunnea	85	45	40
	Cape fox Vulpes chama	44	20	24
	African wildcat Felis silvestris lybica	36	19	17
	Aardwolf Proteles cristata	21	12	9
	Cheetah Acinonyx jubatus	16	9	7
	Meerkat Suricata suricatta	13	9	4
	African wild dog Lycaon pictus	12	5	7
	Caracal Caracal caracal	12	9	3
	Lion Panthera leo	9	5	4
	Leopard Panthera pardus	6	5	1
	Yellow mongoose Cynictis penicillata	4	3	1
	Genet Genetta spp.	3	2	1
	Striped polecat Ictonyx striatus	3	1	2

Note: The shaded species were included in the analyses.

being mesocarnivores. The body sizes of these species range from the largest predator, lions, to the small mesopredator, African wildcats.

# 3.1 | Interspecific overlap in temporal activity patterns

The majority of the species assessed within the four protected areas were primarily nocturnal (Figure 2). Exceptions included APNR's African wild dogs *Lycaon pictus*, and MGR's lions and black-backed jackals that were most active during crepuscular hours, and MGR's leopards and MZNP's caracals that were active throughout the 24-h period (i.e. cathemeral).

The majority (87%) of the 60 species-pairs had high (0.60  $\leq \widehat{\Delta}_{diel} < 0.80$ , 48.3%) to very high (0.80  $\leq \widehat{\Delta}_{diel} < 1.00$ , 38.3%) coefficients of overlap in their diel activity patterns throughout the

entire study period within the respective protected areas (Figures 3 and 4; Table A1). In contrast, most (80%) of the 60 species-pairs had moderate ( $0.40 \le \hat{\Delta}_{core} < 0.60, 31.7\%$ ), low ( $0.20 \le \hat{\Delta}_{core} < 0.40, 25.0\%$ ) and very low ( $0.00 \le \hat{\Delta}_{core} < 0.20, 23.3\%$ ) coefficients of overlap in core activity periods throughout the entire study period (Figures 3 and 4; Table A1). Overall, the overlap of the carnivore species' core activity periods ( $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ ) was significantly lower (V=1711, p<.001) than the overlap of their 24-h diel activity patterns ( $\hat{\Delta}_{die}$ ).

More specifically, APNR's African wild dogs showed moderate to low temporal overlap with most other carnivore species. This was especially noticeable when core activity periods were compared (Figure 3; Table A1). The APNR's other large predators showed very high temporal overlap, except for the low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  observed between leopards and spotted hyaenas. Furthermore, despite APNR's honey badgers *Mellivora capensis* having high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  with spotted hyaenas and African civets *Civettictis civetta*, the two pairs had very low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ .

Ecology and Evolution

WILEY

All of the carnivore species assessed within MGR had high to very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figures 3 and 4; Table A1). However, MGR's two hyaena species had very low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with lions, whereas the reserve's leopards also had low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with lions. Despite having a high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  with the reserve's two apex predators, lions and spotted hyaenas, MGR's black-backed jackals had a low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with lions and a very low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with spotted hyaenas. African wildcats, the smallest carnivore species assessed in MGR, had low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with all the other species except lions.

Most (12 of 15) of the carnivore species-pairs assessed in MZNP had high to very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figures 3 and 4; Table A1). Caracals paired with lions, aardwolves and bat-eared foxes were exceptions, with only moderate overlap. Furthermore, despite having high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$ , MZNP's brown hyaenas *Hyaena brunnea* and lions had no overlap in their core activity periods throughout the entire study period. In

contrast, MZNP's black-backed jackals, brown hyaenas and aard-wolves had high  $\widehat{\Delta}_{core}$ , while bat-eared foxes and black-backed jackals had moderate  $\widehat{\Delta}_{core}$ .

Most (11 of 15) of the carnivore species-pairs assessed in TKR had very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  with aardwolves paired with brown hyaenas, black-backed jackals, Cape foxes *Vulpes chama* and African wildcats having high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figures 3 and 4; Table A1). In addition, the majority (13 of 15) of carnivore species-pairs assessed in TKR had a moderate or higher  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ ; only brown hyaenas paired with aardwolves and African wildcats had a low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ . Lastly, TKR's Cape foxes had very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  and  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with the reserve's bat-eared foxes and black-backed jackals.

Only brown hyaenas (55 detections in Korannaberg and 30 in Lekgaba), black-backed jackals (151 detections in Korannaberg and





# **Associated Private Nature Reserves**

**FIGURE 2** Diel activity patterns of carnivores from the four South African protected areas. Sunrise and sunset during the wet seasons are represented by solid vertical lines, and during the dry seasons by stippled vertical lines. Times of sunrise and sunset within each protected area were sourced from the NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Global Monitoring Laboratory's NOAA Solar Calculator.



Time of day

FIGURE 2 (Continued)

WILEY



FIGURE 2 (Continued)

83 in Lekgaba) and bat-eared foxes (240 detections in Korannaberg and 20 in Lekgaba) recorded enough detections to have their species-specific temporal activity patterns compared between the two sections. Species-specific overlap in diel activity patterns for brown hyaenas ( $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$ =0.85, 95% CI=0.71-0.96), black-backed jackals ( $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$ =0.94, 95% CI=0.86-1.00) and bat-eared foxes ( $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$ =0.86, 95% CI=0.71-0.96) were very high when each of the species' diel activity patterns was separately compared between the Lekgaba (lions present) and the Korannaberg sections (lions absent) of TKR. In addition, each of these species' core activity periods was highly similar in the two sections of the reserve (brown hyaenas'  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ =0.61, black-backed jackals'  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ =0.79, bat-eared foxes'  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ =0.78).

### 3.2 | Seasonality in interspecific temporal overlap

Most of the species-pairs assessed within the protected areas had very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  during the wet seasons and high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  during the dry seasons (Figures 5 and 6; Table A2). Although marginal, this resulted in a significant difference in  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  between the two seasons (V=173.5, p=.045). However, with the test statistic again being marginal, there was no significant difference in  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  between the two seasons (V=170.5, p=.058).

All of the carnivore species assessed within APNR had high to very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  during both seasons (Figure 5; Table A2). Furthermore,

these species all had moderate  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during the wet seasons, except for African civets paired with leopards which had a high  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ . During the dry seasons, the  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  of APNR's lions paired with spotted hyaenas, and African civets decreased to a very low level, whereas APNR's African civets maintained a high  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  with the reserves' leopards.

Most species assessed within MGR had high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  during the wet and dry seasons, with only spotted hyaenas paired with brown hyaenas having a very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figure 5; Table A2). Furthermore, all of MGR's species assessed during both seasons experienced a decline in  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during the dry season. Most notably, the  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  of brown hyaenas and spotted hyaenas decreased from a moderate  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during the wet season to a low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during the dry season. MGR's black-backed jackals had high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  with spotted hyaenas during both seasons, but low and very low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during the wet and dry seasons, respectively.

All of the species that were assessed during MZNP's wet and dry seasons had high or very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figure 5; Table A2). Most of these species also had moderate to high  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ . However, bat-eared foxes paired with brown hyaenas in MZNP had very low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  during both seasons, as did bat-eared foxes paired with aardwolves, but only during the dry seasons (Figure 5; Table A2). The most noticeable seasonal difference in  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  within MZNP was between aardwolves and bat-eared foxes, with 87% less overlap during the dry compared to wet seasons.



FIGURE 3 The temporal coefficient of overlap ( $\hat{\Delta}$ ) in activity patterns of carnivore species from South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).



FIGURE 4 The comparison between 24-h diel and core activity overlaps of carnivore species within South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).

All of TKR's species that were assessed during the wet and dry seasons had very high  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (Figure 5; Table A2). Most of these species also had moderate or higher  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ , with low  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$  observed between TKR's brown hyaenas and bat-eared foxes during both seasons, brown hyaenas and Cape foxes during the wet season, and between the two fox species during the dry season. Cape foxes and bat-eared foxes had very similar activity patterns during the wet seasons, with a marked decrease during the dry seasons, especially in  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ . In contrast, bat-eared foxes and black-backed jackals had substantially more overlap during the dry than wet seasons (Figure 5; Table A2).

### 3.3 | Spatiotemporal behaviour

Only 11 species-pairs across all four protected areas obtained enough ( $\geq$ 20) proximate photo-captures within 7 days of the reference detections. None of the species-pairs showed significant spatiotemporal segregation (p <.025), whereas only four species-pairs displayed significant spatiotemporal aggregation (p >.975; Figure 7). These include MGR's spotted hyaenas and brown hyaenas, and MZNP's black-backed jackals paired with brown hyaenas, aardwolves and bat-eared foxes. Despite most of the species-pairs not obtaining significant p-values that would show either aggregation

-WILEY





**FIGURE 5** The temporal coefficient of overlap ( $\hat{\Delta}$ ) in activity patterns of carnivore species during the wet and dry seasons within South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).



FIGURE 6 Seasonal comparisons of total diel and core activity overlap of carnivore species from South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves, Madikwe Game Reserve, Mountain Zebra National Park and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve.

or segregation, the majority had *p*-values closer to indications of aggregation (p > .5) than segregation (p < .5; Figure 7).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we compared the activity patterns of South African Carnivora species to investigate temporal partitioning as a potential avoidance mechanism to reduce encounters with more dominant carnivores. However, most species-pairs (63%) across all combinations and sites in this study showed no clear indications of using temporal avoidance behaviour as a strategy to coexist with a potential risk-associated, dominant species. A trade-off exists in subordinate carnivores between resource acquisition and risk associated with sympatric predators (Linnell & Strand, 2000), with the former likely outweighing the latter in most cases in this study. This is a viewpoint shared by many previous studies on African carnivores, in which hunting success is said to be prioritised over the possibility of encountering dominant predators (see Balme, Pitman, et al., 2017; Cozzi et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2018; Mugerwa et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2022).

Nocturnality in many large carnivores is attributed to increased concealment and thermal stress avoidance (Miller et al., 2018; Rabaiotti & Woodroffe, 2019), which generally leads to increased hunting success (Mugerwa et al., 2017; Van Orsdol, 1984). Furthermore, Greco et al. (2021) rejected the hypothesis that carnivores' temporal activity patterns are dictated by apex predator avoidance and instead attributed it to optimal niche utilisation and prey acquisition (i.e. hunting and foraging behaviour). A lack of temporal avoidance behaviour has also been reported for mesopredators and small carnivores (Mills et al., 2019; Vissia & van Langevelde, 2022). Negative influences exerted on subordinate carnivores by larger, more dominant carnivores are said to be an exception rather than a commonly observed rule (Comley et al., 2020). The findings of this study partially support the statement made by Comley et al. (2020), as clear patterns of temporal partitioning with dominant species were rarely observed

among subordinate carnivores. For example, our findings show that bat-eared foxes do not temporally avoid black-backed jackals and that regular encounters between the two species seem very likely, particularly during the dry seasons. Results from this study, therefore, support Kamler et al. (2017), who proposed that significantly larger group sizes of bat-eared foxes during dry seasons is a possible response to increased temporal overlap with black-backed jackals. In addition, our results support Kamler et al. (2013) in showing that the activity patterns of bat-eared foxes are not influenced by a common risk-associated species such as black-backed jackals. Furthermore, black-backed jackals pose a significant threat to Cape foxes and will kill them in territorial defence, leading to the suppression of Cape fox populations (Kamler et al., 2013). Conversely, TKR's Cape foxes showed no evidence of temporally avoiding black-backed jackals, which contradicts previous findings (Edwards et al., 2015; Kamler et al., 2012). Bateared foxes and Cape foxes have little dietary overlap and do not recognise each other as a noticeable antagonistic threat (Kamler et al., 2012). Therefore, avoidance behaviour between the two fox species is highly unlikely and was not observed in this study as both fox species had very similar daily activity patterns. In addition, our findings support the idea that leopards use strategies other than temporal partitioning to coexist with other large carnivores (Miller et al., 2018). One such method is the characteristic caching behaviour of leopards, in which they hoist prey carcasses into trees to avoid kleptoparasitic losses, lending support to the kleptoparasitism-avoidance hypothesis (Balme, Miller, et al., 2017; MacDonald, 1976). Furthermore, this study supports Ramesh et al. (2017), who showed that smaller carnivores rarely use temporal partitioning to avoid large carnivores.

Notably, the presence of lions within the Lekgaba section of TKR did not affect the activity patterns of brown hyaenas, black-backed jackals and bat-eared foxes. We suggest two possible explanations: (1) Mesocarnivore suppression in terms of temporal behaviour is comparable among lions and other carnivore species that fill the role of apex predators when lions are removed from an ecosystem (e.g. Korannaberg's African wild dogs), or (2) the apex predators do not

VILEY

have an impact on the diel activity patterns of smaller subordinate carnivore species. Ultimately, the removal of lions in a region where other large carnivore species, such as African wild dogs and cheetahs *Acinonyx jubatus* remain, are unlikely to change the temporal behaviour of subordinate species. This is applicable to species that may rely on scavenging opportunities (i.e. TKR's brown hyaenas and black-backed jackals) and smaller species that are at risk of intraguild killings (i.e. TKR's bat-eared foxes). We encourage further research into the matter.

A lack of clear temporal avoidance behaviour does not imply a lack of competition or risk, but encounters with dominant species may be low enough to be considered negligible (Romero-Muñoz et al., 2010). It is acknowledged that other avoidance methods, such as spatial or dietary partitioning, may instead be used to facilitate coexistence. True encounter frequencies between elusive carnivores are nearly impossible to determine using camera trapping methods and, thus, require finer-scaled continuous data collection methods such as GPS collaring and direct observations. Knowledge



**FIGURE 7** Relative spatiotemporal behaviour of carnivore species within the four South African protected areas. The first-mentioned species are the reference species within the pair. The shaded density distribution represents 1000 randomised medians of time between reference and proximate detections, whereas the vertical stippled line represents the median observed time between reference and proximate detections. The *p*-value shows the proportional number of randomised medians greater than the observed median and *n* represents the total number of proximate detections obtained within the 7-day period before and after the reference detections.



**Madikwe Game Reserve** 

FIGURE 7 (Continued)

of site-specific carnivore densities is also important because low densities result in the rarity of encounters, which may make temporal avoidance negligible (Mills, 2015; Müller et al., 2022; Romero-Muñoz et al., 2010). As the densities of the species increase, so will the number of encounters between them (Creel et al., 2001). This may have been the case in the significant spatiotemporal aggregation between MZNP's aardwolves and black-backed jackals. Documented cases of aardwolves being attacked and killed by blackbacked jackals are lacking (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022), and there is a negligible overlap in their diets (Klare et al., 2010). Therefore, it can only be assumed that this aggregation was due to factors other than interspecific attraction, such as the high detection frequency of black-backed jackals or an attraction to similar habitat with high productivity. However, we recommend that future research should aim to refine the time-to-event method of interspecific spatiotemporal analyses by examining the effect of detection frequencies and population densities on outcomes, the effect of multiple species occurring after a reference detection, and the chosen maximum time between reference and proximate detections as longer periods may present issues of randomisation. These are potential limitations to obtaining reliable results from which robust inferences could be made.

The potential for competition between specific carnivore species is also important when determining possible temporal avoidance behaviour (Caro & Stoner, 2003). Even though competition between most sympatric carnivore species is theoretically acknowledged, it should be empirically confirmed through direct observations of antagonistic behaviour. For instance, inter-species killings have been documented (Palomares & Caro, 1999), but these events may be rare enough to be considered negligible. For example, aardwolves have rarely been observed as victims of aggressive interactions and have no dietary overlap with dominant carnivores (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022); thus, avoidance may not be necessary. Studies regularly overlook documented cases of intraguild aggression among carnivores and rely on theoretical research and assumptions (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022). There is a clear need for empirical evidence of risk between carnivore species in the scientific literature. This will increase the relevance and reliability of theoretical findings and inferences regarding interspecific competition among carnivores.

We predicted that subordinate carnivore species would avoid felids such as lions and leopards more than other carnivores due to their opportunistic predatory behaviour, which leads to them being responsible for most intraguild killings (Curveira-Santos et al., 2022; Donadio & Buskirk, 2006). However, our results do not clearly distinguish the prevalence of avoidance behaviour between felids and other carnivore species such as hyaenas and black-backed jackals and, therefore, does not provide clear support to this hypothesis. In addition, the study does not support the prediction that subordinate carnivores of all body sizes (e.g. APNR's African civets, and MGR's

Viley







# Tswalu Kalahari Reserve

### FIGURE 7 (Continued)

brown hyaenas, black-backed jackals and African wildcats) will show signs of avoiding leopards temporally. Lions, however, appear to affect the core activity periods of many subordinate carnivore species and, therefore, this study suggests that subordinate carnivores will be more inclined to avoid the core activity periods of lion prides than solitary leopards.

Some species-pairs displayed clear potential for temporal avoidance behaviour. This mainly revolved around the crepuscularity of the APNR's African wild dogs, and the cathemeral behaviour of MZNP's caracals. African wild dogs are vulnerable to interference competition from larger carnivores such as spotted hyaenas and, in particular, lions (Creel & Creel, 1996). As a result, African wild dogs may have undergone forced evolutionary adaptations for activity preferences during crepuscular periods (Swanson et al., 2014). It should be noted that non-overlapping activity patterns do not necessarily indicate avoidance behaviour, but that the potential for avoidance behaviour exists.

Most species-pairs that displayed possible temporal avoidance behaviour in this study were predominantly nocturnal and, thus, likely rely on finer-scaled temporal partitioning via adaptations to activity peaks and core activity periods to facilitate coexistence. This was evident in our findings as core activity overlap was significantly lower compared to diel activity overlap in most species-pairs. Our findings, therefore, satisfy the prediction that interspecific temporal avoidance behaviour among South African

carnivores will more likely be expressed as finer-scaled asynchronization of their core activity periods than complete avoidance throughout the 24-h diel period. This allows species to remain active when hunting success is greatest, while reducing the risk of encounters with dominant species, which may be less costly to manage than large-scaled avoidance (Broekhuis et al., 2013). For example, brown hyaenas are scavenging specialists and are facilitated by the presence of larger carnivores, such as lions, as they benefit from eating the remains of their kills (Mills, 2015). However, our findings show that brown hyaenas use fine-scaled partitioning of core activity periods to avoid direct interactions with lions. This finding is supported by Mills and Mills (1982) and Bashant et al. (2020). We also found evidence of fine-scaled avoidance behaviour in two facultative scavengers; MGR's black-backed jackals peaked in activity before and after spotted hyaena activity peaks. This study recommends that future research on temporal avoidance behaviour should focus on finer-scaled avoidance of, for example, core activity periods.

Seasonal considerations are also important when comparing the daily activity patterns of carnivore species (Vilella et al., 2020). We observed a relatively common trend in which the temporal overlap between species was noticeably lower during the dry seasons compared to the wet seasons. This trend has also been reported by Finnegan et al. (2021) within a carnivore assemblage in the Brazilian Pantanal, and by Vissia and van Langevelde (2022)

WILEY

among carnivores in Botswana's central Tuli region. This decrease in temporal overlap during the dry season could be due to increased competition among species as resources become less available and more concentrated in specific areas (Finnegan et al., 2021; Vissia & van Langevelde, 2022). Seasonality in temporal overlap was evident between aardwolves and bat-eared foxes, with less overlap during the dry compared to the wet seasons. Aardwolves seem to forage for termites earlier in the night than bat-eared foxes during MZNP's colder months to lower the risk of losing access to termite colonies. Furthermore, MGR's lions and spotted hyaenas had noticeably different diel activity patterns, suggesting competition and the potential for avoidance behaviour. However, double the number of MGR's lion photo-captures were recorded during the dry season compared to the wet season. Furthermore, there were strong indications of possible temporal avoidance during APNR's dry seasons when the two species had contrasting activity peaks. As a result, avoidance behaviour caused by increased competition between lions and spotted hyaenas may be much more evident during the dry seasons, which may have resulted in the indications of temporal segregation between spotted hyaenas and lions in MGR. This trend is supported by Périquet et al. (2021), who stated that there is a greater possibility of facilitation between lions and spotted hyaenas during the wet seasons when encounters between the two species are mainly centred around carcasses; however, interference competition dominates interactions during the dry seasons when carcasses are more readily available, and, thus, the need for scavenging lion kills is reduced. Seasonality is frequently overlooked in studies concerning the diel activity patterns and interspecific temporal overlap of carnivores. Therefore, it is advised that future studies should not only include multi-seasonal data and comparisons, but also attempt to maintain an equal balance of detections from each respective season. Taking into account seasonality at high latitudinal regions when considering the interspecific relationships of carnivores is important and encouraged and should receive more focus in future research studying similar aspects of carnivore community ecology.

Due to the paucity of temporal avoidance behaviour observed in this study, we conclude that the daily activity patterns of most South African Carnivora species are likely not influenced by top-down forces in the form of competitional suppression and risk exerted by more dominant species. However, they are more likely to respond to risk by avoiding periods when dominant carnivore species are most active, rather than complete avoidance of their diel activity patterns. Complete temporal partitioning as an avoidance strategy among South African carnivores should, therefore, be considered a rarity and is more likely to manifest as finer scaled behavioural adjustments.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Kyle Smith:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); formal analysis (lead); investigation (equal); methodology (lead); project administration (equal); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (lead). **Jan A. Venter:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation (lead); funding acquisition (lead); project administration (supporting); supervision (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Mike Peel:** Data curation (lead); funding acquisition (equal); project administration (supporting); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Mark Keith:** Conceptualization (supporting); data curation (equal); funding acquisition (supporting); project administration (supporting); supervision (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Michael J. Somers:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); funding acquisition (equal); project administration (lead); supervision (lead); writing – review and editing (supporting).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Snapshot Safari SA project is funded and supported by the South African National Biodiversity Institute, the Foundational Biodiversity Information Programme (Grant no. FBIP170720256205) and the National Research Foundation. We thank the managers and land owners of the various properties for permission to do the work. We also thank the numerous people who assisted in servicing the project's camera traps. This study was approved by the Nelson Mandela University Animal Ethics Committee (A19- SCI-NRM-001).

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The camera trap data for this study is available on the University of Pretoria's FigShare data repository https://doi.org/10.25403/UPres earchdata.22716733.v1.

### ORCID

Kyle Smith <sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9524-1912 Jan A. Venter <sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4548-2571 Mike Peel <sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1284-3665 Mark Keith <sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7179-9989 Michael J. Somers <sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5836-8823

### REFERENCES

- Agostinelli, C., & Lund, U. (2022). R package 'circular': Circular statistics (version 0.4-95). https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular/
- Balme, G. A., Miller, J. R. B., Pitman, R. T., & Hunter, L. T. B. (2017). Caching reduces kleptoparasitism in a solitary, large felid. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 86, 634–644. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12654
- Balme, G. A., Pitman, R. T., Robinson, H. S., Miller, J. R. B., Funston, P. J., & Hunter, L. T. B. (2017). Leopard distribution and abundance is unaffected by interference competition with lions. *Behavioral Ecology*, 28, 1348–1358. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arx098
- Bashant, J., Somers, M. J., Swanepoel, L., & Dalerum, F. (2020). Facilitation or competition? Effects of lions on brown hyaenas and leopards. *Diversity*, 12, 325. https://doi.org/10.3390/d12090325
- Bennie, J., Duffy, J., Inger, R., & Gaston, K. (2014). Biogeography of time partitioning in mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111, 13727–13732. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216063110
- Broekhuis, F., Cozzi, G., Valeix, M., Mcnutt, J. W., & Macdonald, D. W. (2013). Risk avoidance in sympatric large carnivores: Reactive or

predictive? Journal of Animal Ecology, 82, 1098–1105. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2656.12077

- Caro, T., & Stoner, C. (2003). The potential for interspecific competition among African carnivores. *Biological Conservation*, 110, 67–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(02)00177-5
- Carothers, J., & Jaksic, F. (1984). Time as a niche difference: The role of interference competition. Oikos, 42, 403–406. https://doi. org/10.2307/3544413
- Comley, J., Joubert, C. J., Mgqatsa, N., & Parker, D. M. (2020). Lions do not change rivers: Complex African savannas preclude top-down forcing by large predators. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 56, 125844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2020.125844
- Cozzi, G., Broekhuis, F., Mcnutt, J. W., Turnbull, L. A., Macdonald, D. W., & Schmid, B. (2012). Fear of the dark or dinner by moonlight? Reduced temporal partitioning among Africa's large carnivores. *Ecology*, 93, 2590–2599. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0017.1
- Creel, S., Spong, G., & Creel, N. M. (2001). Interspecific competition and the population biology of extinction-prone carnivores. In J. L. Gittleman, S. M. Funk, D. W. Macdonald, & R. K. Wayne (Eds.), *Carnivore conservation*. Cambridge University Press and The Zoological Society of London.
- Creel, S. R., & Creel, N. M. (1996). Limitation of African wild dogs by competition with larger carnivores. *Conservation Biology*, 10, 526–538. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10020526.x
- Curveira-Santos, G., Gigliotti, L., Silva, A. P., Sutherland, C., Foord, S., Santos-Reis, M., & Swanepoel, L. H. (2022). Broad aggressive interactions among African carnivores suggest intraguild killing is driven by more than competition. *Ecology*, 103, e03600. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3600
- Daan, S., & Aschoff, J. (1975). Circadian rhythms of locomotor activity in captive birds and mammals: Their variations with season and latitude. Oecologia, 18, 269–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00345851
- de Satgé, J., Teichman, K., & Cristescu, B. (2017). Competition and coexistence in a small carnivore guild. *Oecologia*, 184, 873–884. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3916-2
- Donadio, E., & Buskirk, S. W. (2006). Diet, morphology, and interspecific killing in Carnivora. *The American Naturalist*, 167, 524–536. https:// doi.org/10.1086/501033
- Edwards, S., Gange, A., & Wiesel, I. (2015). Spatiotemporal resource partitioning of water sources by African carnivores on Namibian commercial farmlands. *Journal of Zoology*, 297, 22–31. https://doi. org/10.1111/jzo.12248
- Elmhagen, B., Ludwig, G., Rushton, S., Helle, P., & Lindén, H. (2010). Top predators, mesopredators and their prey: Interference ecosystems alongbioclimaticproductivitygradients. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 79, 785–794. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01678.x
- Estes, J. A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J. S., Power, M. E., Berger, J., Bond,
  W. J., Carpenter, S. R., Essington, T. E., Holt, R. D., Jackson, J. B.,
  Marquis, R. J., Oksanen, L., Oksanen, T., Paine, R. T., Pikitch, E. K.,
  Ripple, W. J., Sandin, S. A., Scheffer, M., Schoener, T. W., ... Wardle,
  D. A. (2011). Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. *Science*, 333,
  301–306. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1205106
- Finnegan, S. P., Gantchoff, M. G., Hill, J. E., Silveira, L., Tôrres, N. M., Jácomo, A. T., & Uzal, A. (2021). "When the felid's away, the mesocarnivores play": Seasonal temporal segregation in a neotropical carnivore guild. *Mammalian Biology*, 101, 631–638. https://doi. org/10.1007/s42991-021-00110-9
- Greco, I., Chizzola, M., Meloro, C., Swanepoel, L., Tamagnini, D., & Dalerum, F. (2021). Similarities between lions and sympatric carnivores in diel activity, size and morphology. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy, 32,* 122–129. https://doi.org/10.4404/hystr ix-00434-2021
- Hayward, M. W., & Kerley, G. I. H. (2008). Prey preferences and dietary overlap amongst Africa's large predators. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 38, 93–108. https://doi. org/10.3957/0379-4369-38.2.93

- Hayward, M. W., & Slotow, R. (2009). Temporal partitioning of activity in large African carnivores: Tests of multiple hypotheses. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 39, 109–125. https://doi. org/10.3957/056.039.0207
- Hoeks, S., Huijbregts, M., Busana, M., Harfoot, M., Svenning, J., & Santini, L. (2020). Mechanistic insights into the role of large carnivores for ecosystem structure and functioning. *Ecography*, 43, 1–12. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05191
- Kamler, J. F., Rostro-García, S., & Macdonald, D. W. (2017). Seasonal changes in social behavior and movements of bat-eared foxes in South Africa: Disease implications. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 98, 1426–1433. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyx092
- Kamler, J. F., Stenkewitz, U., Klare, U., Jacobsen, N. F., & Macdonald, D. W. (2012). Resource partitioning among cape foxes, bat-eared foxes, and black-backed jackals in South Africa. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *76*, 1241–1253. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.354
- Kamler, J. F., Stenkewitz, U., & Macdonald, D. W. (2013). Lethal and sublethal effects of black-backed jackals on cape foxes and bateared foxes. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 94, 295–306. https://doi. org/10.1644/12-MAMM-A-122.1
- Karanth, K. U., Srivathsa, A., Vasudev, D., Puri, M., Parameshwaran, R., & Kumar, N. S. (2017). Spatio-temporal interactions facilitate large carnivore sympatry across a resource gradient. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284*, 20161860. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1860
- Klare, U., Kamler, J. F., Stenkewitz, U., & Macdonald, D. W. (2010). Diet, prey selection, and predation impact of black-backed jackals in South Africa. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 74, 1030–1041. https://doi.org/10.2193/2009-211
- Kronfeld-Schor, N., & Dayan, T. (2003). Partitioning of time as an ecological resource. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 34, 153-181. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecols ys.34.011802.132435
- Lehmann, K., Montgomery, T. M., MacLachlan, S. M., Parker, J. M., Spagnuolo, O. S., Van de Wetering, K. J., Bills, P. S., & Holekamp, K. E. (2017). Lions, hyenas and mobs (oh my!). *Current Zoology*, 63, 313–322. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zow073
- Lima, S., & Dill, L. (1990). Behavioral decisions made under the risk of predation: A review and prospectus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 68, 619–640. https://doi.org/10.1139/z90-092
- Linnell, J., & Strand, O. (2000). Interference interactions, co-existence and conservation of mammalian carnivores. *Diversity and Distributions*, 6, 169–176. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2000.00069.x
- MacDonald, D. W. (1976). Food caching by red foxes and some other carnivores. Zeitschrift Fur Tierpsychologie, 42, 170–185. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1976.tb00963.x
- Meredith, M., & Ridout, M. S. (2021). *R package 'overlap': Estimates of coefficients of overlapping for animal activity patterns* (version 0.3.4.). https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/overlap/overlap.pdf
- Miller, J. R., Pitman, R. T., Mann, G. K., Fuller, A. K., & Balme, G. A. (2018). Lions and leopards coexist without spatial, temporal or demographic effects of interspecific competition. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 87, 1709–1726. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12883
- Mills, D. R., San, E. D., Robinson, H., Isoke, S., Slotow, R., & Hunter, L. (2019). Competition and specialization in an African forest carnivore community. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*, 10092–10108. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5391
- Mills, M. G. L. (2015). Living near the edge: A review of the ecological relationships between large carnivores in the arid Kalahari. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 45, 127–137. https://doi. org/10.3957/056.045.0127
- Mills, M. G. L., & Mills, M. E. J. (1982). Factors affecting the movement patterns of brown hyaenas, *Hyaena brunnea*, in the southern Kalahari. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 12, 111–117.
- Mugerwa, B., du Preez, B., Tallents, L. A., Loveridge, A. J., & Macdonald, D. W. (2017). Increased foraging success or competitor avoidance?

Diel activity of sympatric large carnivores. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 98, 1443–1452. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyx090

- Müller, L., Briers-Louw, W. D., Amin, R., Lochner, C. S., & Leslie, A. J. (2022). Carnivore coexistence facilitated by spatial and dietary partitioning and fine-scale behavioural avoidance in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Journal of Zoology*, 317, 114–128. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jzo.12964
- Niedballa, J., Wilting, A., Sollmann, R., Hofer, H., & Courtiol, A. (2019). Assessing analytical methods for detecting spatiotemporal interactions between species from camera trapping data. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, *5*, 272–285. https://doi.org/10.1002/ rse2.107
- Palomares, F., & Caro, T. M. (1999). Interspecific killing among mammalian carnivores. *The American Naturalist*, 153, 492–508. https://doi. org/10.1086/303189
- Pardo, L. E., Bombaci, S. P., Huebner, S., Somers, M. J., Fritz, H., Downs, C., Guthmann, A., Hetem, R. S., Keith, M., le Roux, A., Mgqatsa, N., Packer, C., Palmer, M. S., Parker, D. M., Peel, M., Slotow, R., Strauss, W. M., Swanepoel, L., Tambling, C., ... Venter, J. A. (2021). Snapshot Safari: A large-scale collaborative to monitor Africa's remarkable biodiversity. South African Journal of Science, 117, 1–4. https://doi. org/10.17159/sajs.2021/8134
- Périquet, S., Fritz, H., Revilla, E., Macdonald, D. W., Loveridge, A. J., Mtare, G., & Valeix, M. (2021). Dynamic interactions between apex predators reveal contrasting seasonal attraction patterns. *Oecologia*, 195, 51–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04802-w
- R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-proje ct.org/
- Rabaiotti, D., & Woodroffe, R. (2019). Coping with climate change: Limited behavioral responses to hot weather in a tropical carnivore. *Oecologia*, 189, 587-599. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-04329-1
- Ramesh, T., Kalle, R., & Downs, C. T. (2017). Staying safe from top predators: Patterns of co-occurrence and inter-predator interactions. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 71, 1–17. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00265-017-2271-y
- Ridout, M. S., & Linkie, M. (2009). Estimating overlap of daily activity patterns from camera trap data. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological,* and Environmental Statistics, 14, 322–337. https://doi.org/10.1198/ jabes.2009.08038
- Ritchie, E. G., & Johnson, C. N. (2009). Predator interactions, mesopredator release and biodiversity conservation. *Ecology Letters*, 12, 982– 998. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01347.x
- Romero-Muñoz, A., Maffei, L., Cuéllar, E., & Noss, A. J. (2010). Temporal separation between jaguar and puma in the dry forests of

southern Bolivia. Journal of Tropical Ecology, 26, 303–311. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467410000052

- Schoener, T. W. (1974). Resource partitioning in ecological communities. Science, 185, 27–39. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4145.27
- Swanson, A., Caro, T., Davies-Mostert, H., Mills, M. G. L., Macdonald, D. W., Borner, M., Masenga, E., & Packer, C. (2014). Cheetahs and wild dogs show contrasting patterns of suppression by lions. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83, 1418–1427. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2656.12231
- Trinkel, M., & Kastberger, G. (2005). Competitive interactions between spotted hyenas and lions in the Etosha National Park, Namibia. African Journal of Ecology, 43, 220–224. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2005.00574.x
- Van Orsdol, K. (1984). Foraging behaviour and hunting success of lions in Queen Elizabeth National Park, Uganda. African Journal of Ecology, 22, 79–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1984.tb00682.x
- Vilella, M., Ferrandiz-Rovira, M., & Sayol, F. (2020). Coexistence of predators in time: Effects of season and prey availability on species activity within a Mediterranean carnivore guild. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 11408–11422. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6778
- Vissia, S., & van Langevelde, F. (2022). The effect of body size on cooccurrence patterns within an African carnivore guild. Wildlife Biology, 2022, e01004. https://doi.org/10.1002/wlb3.01004
- Watabe, R., Tsunoda, H., & Saito, M. U. (2022). Evaluating the temporal and spatio-temporal niche partitioning between carnivores by different analytical method in northeastern Japan. *Scientific Reports*, 12, 11987. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16020-w
- Webster, A. B., Pretorius, M. E., & Somers, M. J. (2021). The determinants of mesocarnivore activity patterns in highveld grassland and riparian habitats. *African Journal of Wildlife Research*, 51, 178–192. https://doi.org/10.3957/056.051.0178
- Williams, J. B., Anderson, M. D., & Richardson, P. R. K. (1997). Seasonal differences in field metabolism, water requirements, and foraging behavior of free-living aardwolves. *Ecology*, 78, 2588-2602. https:// doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1997)078[2588:SDIFMW]2.0.CO;2

How to cite this article: Smith, K., Venter, J. A., Peel, M., Keith, M., & Somers, M. J. (2023). Temporal partitioning and the potential for avoidance behaviour within South African carnivore communities. *Ecology and Evolution*, *13*, e10380. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.10380

# APPENDIX

TABLE A1 The temporal activity overlaps of carnivore species within South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).

	Lion	Spotted hyaena	Leopard	African wild dog	Honey badger	African civet
Lion	-	0.87	0.93	0.00	0.46	0.53
Spotted hyaena	0.87 (0.82-0.92)	-	0.36	0.10	0.17	0.57
Leopard	0.95 (0.89–0.99)	0.86 (0.80-0.91)	-	0.00	0.51	0.49
African wild dog	0.56 (0.44-0.68)	0.53 (0.42-0.64)	0.53 (0.41-0.66)	-	0.40	0.00
Honey badger	0.80 (0.66-0.93)	0.70 (0.56-0.84)	0.81 (0.66-0.93)	0.58 (0.41-0.74)	-	0.02
African civet	0.80 (0.71-0.88)	0.85 (0.77-0.91)	0.80 (0.71-0.89)	0.39 (0.27-0.52)	0.69 (0.52-0.85)	-
					Black-backed	
MGR	Lion	Spotted hyaena	Leopard	Brown hyaena	jackal	African wildcat
Lion	-	0.08	0.53	0.13	0.36	0.69
Spotted hyaena	0.66 (0.53-0.78)	-	0.29	0.50	0.10	0.21
Leopard	0.78 (0.63-0.91)	0.71 (0.59-0.83)	-	0.48	0.51	0.39
Brown hyaena	0.66 (0.52-0.79)	0.80 (0.72-0.87)	0.78 (0.65-0.89)	-	0.46	0.06
Black-backed jackal	0.77 (0.62-0.90)	0.75 (0.64–0.85)	0.85 (0.74–0.95)	0.79 (0.69–0.89)	-	0.31
African wildcat	0.72 (0.57–0.85)	0.79 (0.63–0.93)	0.66 (0.50-0.81)	0.67 (0.52–0.81)	0.67 (0.52-0.81)	-
				Black-backed		
MZNP	Lion	Brown hyaena	Caracal	jackal	Aardwolf	Bat-eared fox
Lion	-	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.23
			0.20			
Brown hyaena	0.74 (0.61–0.86)	-	0.39	0.67	0.79	0.25
Brown hyaena Caracal	0.74 (0.61-0.86) 0.56 (0.38-0.74)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78)	-	0.67 0.34	0.79 0.29	0.25 0.00
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal	0.74 (0.61–0.86) 0.56 (0.38–0.74) 0.75 (0.63–0.86)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89)	0.67 0.34 -	0.79 0.29 0.64	0.25 0.00 0.55
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf	0.74 (0.61-0.86) 0.56 (0.38-0.74) 0.75 (0.63-0.86) 0.62 (0.49-0.74)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75)	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80)	0.79 0.29 0.64	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox	0.74 (0.61-0.86) 0.56 (0.38-0.74) 0.75 (0.63-0.86) 0.62 (0.49-0.74) 0.65 (0.51-0.78)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70)	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82)	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93)	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR	0.74 (0.61-0.86) 0.56 (0.38-0.74) 0.75 (0.63-0.86) 0.62 (0.49-0.74) 0.65 (0.51-0.78) Brown hyaena	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - African wildcat
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR Brown hyaena	0.74 (0.61-0.86) 0.56 (0.38-0.74) 0.75 (0.63-0.86) 0.62 (0.49-0.74) 0.65 (0.51-0.78) Brown hyaena	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal 0.60	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf 0.22	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox 0.43	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox 0.46	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - African wildcat 0.39
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR Brown hyaena Black-backed jackal	0.74 (0.61–0.86) 0.56 (0.38–0.74) 0.75 (0.63–0.86) 0.62 (0.49–0.74) 0.65 (0.51–0.78) Brown hyaena - 0.83 (0.75–0.91)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal 0.60 -	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf 0.22 0.53	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox 0.43 0.79	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox 0.46 0.82	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - African wildcat 0.39 0.54
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR Brown hyaena Black-backed jackal Aardwolf	0.74 (0.61–0.86) 0.56 (0.38–0.74) 0.75 (0.63–0.86) 0.62 (0.49–0.74) 0.65 (0.51–0.78) Brown hyaena - 0.83 (0.75–0.91) 0.71 (0.54–0.86)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal 0.60 - 0.69 (0.54-0.84)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf 0.22 0.53	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox 0.43 0.79 0.73	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox 0.46 0.82 0.67	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - <b>African wildcat</b> 0.39 0.54
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR Brown hyaena Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox	0.74 (0.61–0.86) 0.56 (0.38–0.74) 0.75 (0.63–0.86) 0.62 (0.49–0.74) 0.65 (0.51–0.78) Brown hyaena - 0.83 (0.75–0.91) 0.71 (0.54–0.86) 0.84 (0.74–0.92)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal 0.60 - 0.69 (0.54-0.84) 0.86 (0.79-0.92)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf 0.22 0.53 - 0.81 (0.64-0.93)	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox 0.43 0.79 0.73	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox 0.46 0.82 0.67 0.89	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - <b>African wildcat</b> 0.39 0.54 0.59 0.56
Brown hyaena Caracal Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox TKR Brown hyaena Black-backed jackal Aardwolf Bat-eared fox Cape fox	0.74 (0.61–0.86) 0.56 (0.38–0.74) 0.75 (0.63–0.86) 0.62 (0.49–0.74) 0.65 (0.51–0.78) Brown hyaena - 0.83 (0.75–0.91) 0.71 (0.54–0.86) 0.84 (0.74–0.92) 0.89 (0.78–0.97)	- 0.62 (0.45-0.78) 0.79 (0.73-0.86) 0.82 (0.74-0.89) 0.74 (0.61-0.85) Black-backed jackal 0.60 - 0.69 (0.54-0.84) 0.86 (0.79-0.92) 0.88 (0.79-0.96)	- 0.76 (0.60-0.89) 0.59 (0.42-0.75) 0.53 (0.36-0.70) Aardwolf 0.22 0.53 - 0.81 (0.64-0.93) 0.75 (0.57-0.90)	0.67 0.34 - 0.75 (0.69-0.80) 0.73 (0.63-0.82) Bat-eared fox 0.43 0.79 0.73 - 0.89 (0.79-0.97)	0.79 0.29 0.64 - 0.83 (0.71-0.93) Cape fox 0.46 0.82 0.67 0.89 -	0.25 0.00 0.55 0.39 - <b>African wildcat</b> 0.39 0.54 0.59 0.56 0.57

Note: Below the diagonal: Coefficient of overlap,  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (95% confidence interval). Above the diagonal: Coefficient of overlap in core activity periods,  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ .

TABLE A2 The temporal activity overlaps of carnivore species during the wet and dry seasons within South Africa's Associated Private Nature Reserves (APNR), Madikwe Game Reserve (MGR), Mountain Zebra National Park (MZNP) and Tswalu Kalahari Reserve (TKR).

APNR	Lion	Spotted hyaena		Leopard	African civet
Wet seasons					
Lion	-	0.56		0.43	0.40
Spotted hyaena	0.90 (0.84-0.96)	-		0.41	0.57
Leopard	0.83 (0.71-0.93)	0.83 (0.74-0.91)		-	0.64
African civet	0.78 (0.64-0.90)	0.86 (0.72-0.95)		0.78 (0.64-0.90)	-
Dry seasons					
Lion	-	0.13		0.47	0.14
Spotted hyaena	0.76 (0.67-0.85)	-		0.43	0.47
Leopard	0.85 (0.74-0.95)	0.88 (0.80-0.94)		-	0.65
African civet	0.73 (0.61-0.84)	0.82 (0.73-0.90)		0.81 (0.70-0.91)	-
					Black-backed
MGR	Spotted hyaena		Brown hya	aena	jackal
Wet seasons					
Spotted hyaena	-		0.56		0.23
Brown hyaena	0.87 (0.77-0.96)		-		0.53
Black-backed jackal	0.75 (0.59–0.89)		0.74 (0.59	-0.88)	-
Dry seasons					
Spotted hyaena	-		0.34		0.19
Brown hyaena	0.70 (0.60-0.80)		-		0.40
Black-backed jackal	0.71 (0.58-0.83)		0.78 (0.65	-0.88)	-
MZNP	Brown hyaena	Black-backed jackal		Aardwolf	Bat-eared fox
Wet seasons					
Brown hyaena	-	0.60		0.51	0.03
Black-backed jackal	0.82 (0.73-0.91)	-		0.65	0.51
Aardwolf	0.78 (0.67-0.88)	0.74 (0.67-0.82)		-	0.46
Bat-eared fox	0.67 (0.50-0.82)	0.66 (0.52-0.80)		0.79 (0.63-0.93)	-
Dry seasons					
Brown hyaena	-	0.40		0.61	0.13
Black-backed jackal	0.72 (0.61-0.82)	-		0.47	0.48
Aardwolf	0.65 (0.48-0.79)	0.65 (0.56-0.75)		-	0.06
Bat-eared fox	0.72 (0.55-0.86)	0.71 (0.59-0.82)		0.66 (0.49-0.82)	-
TKR	Brown hyaena	Black-backed jackal		Bat-eared fox	Cape fox
Wet seasons					
Brown hyaena	-	0.56		0.38	0.35
Black-backed jackal	0.85 (0.74-0.94)	-		0.47	0.47
Bat-eared fox	0.85 (0.73-0.94)	0.84 (0.74-0.92)		-	0.91
Cape fox	0.86 (0.69-0.98)	0.83 (0.67–0.95)		0.93 (0.80-1.00)	-
Dry seasons					
Brown hyaena	-	0.52		0.39	0.42
Black-backed jackal	0.80 (0.68-0.90)	-		0.86	0.42
Bat-eared fox	0.81 (0.68-0.91)	0.88 (0.79-0.95)		-	0.38
Cape fox	0.85 (0.70-0.97)	0.81 (0.67-0.93)		0.81 (0.65-0.94)	-

Note: Below the diagonal: Coefficient of overlap,  $\hat{\Delta}_{diel}$  (95% confidence interval). Above the diagonal: Coefficient of overlap in core activity periods,  $\hat{\Delta}_{core}$ .