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## Supplementary Materials

## Accessory Genome \& Geographical Analysis

To identify genes significantly enriched in specific geographic locations, we performed adjusted Fisher's tests within each CC dataset. Our primary aim was to identify any genes enriched in the same location across multiple CCs, as this would provide evidence for genes inhabiting a geographic niche. Were this true, we would expect subsequent acquisition of such genes by a foreign CC upon migration into that niche. However, we found just 36 genes positively associated (Bonferroni corrected $p<0.05$ ) with the same location in two of the seven CCs, and none in three or more CCs (SI Dataset 5). Of those 36, 15 are positively associated with Norwegian CC130 and CC133 isolates. We also identify just 19 genes negatively associated (Bonferroni corrected $p<0.05$ ) with a single location; 11 of these are negatively associated with Norwegian CC130 and CC133 isolates (SI Dataset 5).

## Supplementary Figures

| I | Host | \# | \% | Continent | \# | \% | \# Bovine | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | Human | 7,144 | 69.7 | N. America | 4,759 | 46.4 | 110 | 5.8 |
| , | Bovine | 1,896 | 18.5 | Europe | 3,180 | 31.0 | 727 | 38.3 |
| I | Swine | 395 | 3.9 | Asia | 690 | 6.7 | 240 | 12.7 |
| 1 | Avian | 385 | 3.8 | S. America | 520 | 5.1 | 223 | 11.8 |
| 1 | Rodent | 356 | 3.5 | Oceania | 249 | 2.4 | 183 | 9.7 |
| 1 | Ovine | 37 | 0.4 | Africa | 208 | 2.0 | 164 | 8.6 |
| , | Other | 41 | 0.4 | Not Available | 648 | 6.3 | 249 | 13.1 |
| 1 | Total | 10,254 | 100.0 | Total | 10,254 | 100.0 | 1,896 | 100.0 |


| Bovine genomes with sampling date ( $n=1,614$ ) <br> $+$ <br> Genetically closest non-bovine genomes with sampling date, including representatives of all non-bovine CCs that take up $\geq 0.2 \%$ of the full dataset ( $n=2,301$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bovine-enriched Phylodynamic Dataset $(\mathrm{n}=3,915) \rightarrow$ Figs. 2, 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Host | \# | \% | Continent | \# | \% | \# Bovine | \% |
| Human | 1,756 | 44.9 | Europe | 1,655 | 42.3 | 696 | 43.1 |
| Bovine | 1,614 | 41.2 | N. America | 974 | 24.9 | 106 | 6.6 |
| Rodent | 244 | 6.2 | Asia | 514 | 13.1 | 240 | 14.9 |
| Swine | 190 | 4.9 | S. America | 308 | 7.9 | 223 | 13.8 |
| Avian | 60 | 1.5 | Oceania | 208 | 5.3 | 183 | 11.3 |
| Ovine | 16 | 0.4 | Africa | 183 | 4.7 | 164 | 10.2 |
| Other | 35 | 0.9 | Not available | 73 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 3,915 | 100.0 | Total | 3,915 | 100.0 | 1,614 | 100.0 |


| Addition of extra genomes from Staphopia for the CCs with the most bovine genomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seven CC-specific phylodynamic analyses $\rightarrow$ Figs. 3, 4, S2-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | CC | CC151 | CC97 CC1 | 88 CC1 | CC425 | CC133 C | CC130 |
|  | Bovine | 246 | -175 | 8667 | 65 | 98 | 23 |
| ' | Human |  | - 35 | 63121 | 15 | 0 | 86 |
| I | Ovine |  | 08 | 00 | 0 | 19 | 25 |
| I | Total | 246 | - 218 | 49188 | 80 | 117 | 134 |
| Accesso | Genome | Networ | ork Datas | t ( $\mathrm{n}=4$, | 841) | Fig. 6 |  |
| Host | \# | \% C | Continent | \# | \% | \# Bovine | \% |
| Human | 2,209 4 | 5.6 E | Europe | 1,738 | 35.9 | 830 | 41.6 |
| Bovine | 1,996 | 1.2 N | N. America | 1,100 | 22.7 | 240 | 012.0 |
| Rodent | 250 | 5.2 A | Asia | 518 | 10.7 | 222 | 211.1 |
| Swine | 220 | 4.5 S | S. America | 319 | 6.6 | 185 | 5 9.3 |
| Avian | 73 | 1.5 O | Oceania | 216 | 4.5 | 162 | 2.1 |
| Ovine | 43 | 0.9 A | Africa | 192 | 4.0 | 91 | 14.6 |
| Other | 50 | 1.0 N | Not available | 758 | 15.7 | 266 | $6 \quad 13.3$ |
| Total | 4,841 100 | 0.0 T | Total | 4,841 | 100.0 | 1,996 | 6100.0 |

Fig. S1: Schematic description of the datasets used in this study and the relationships between them. Summary tables for each dataset in terms of host and location (continent) is included, as well as in which Figures each dataset is used.


Fig. S2: Phylogeographic analysis of the multi-host-associated S. aureus CC97 based on core and accessory genome. Bayesian time-stamped tree from a core genome alignment ( $1,936,889 \mathrm{bp}$, of which were 24,458 variable sites) of CC97 genome sequences, with branches coloured according to the reconstructed location in the discrete trait analysis (left); and network or accessory genome of the same sequences and colours (right, based on 1,221 accessory genes defined as genes in more than 1 genome, and not in all genomes). Inset next to the tree: graphic summary of migrations between countries, in which the thickness and colour (grey->red) of arrows is proportional to the number of migration events inferred.


Fig. S3: Phylogeographic analysis of the multi-host-associated S. aureus CC133 based on core and accessory genome. Bayesian time-stamped tree from a core genome alignment ( $2,363,270 \mathrm{bp}$, of which $14,435 \mathrm{bp}$ were variable sites) of CC133 genome sequences, with branches coloured according to the reconstructed location in the discrete trait analysis (left); and network or accessory genome of the same sequences and colours (right, based on 604 accessory genes defined as genes in more than 1 genome, and not in all genomes). Inset next to the tree: graphic summary of migrations between countries, in which the thickness and colour (grey->red) of arrows is proportional to the number of migration events inferred.


Fig. S4: Phylogeographic analysis of the multi-host-associated S. aureus CC130 based on core and accessory genome. Bayesian time-stamped tree from a core genome alignment ( $2,368,277 \mathrm{bp}$, of which $15,123 \mathrm{bp}$ were variable sites) of CC130 genome sequences, with branches coloured according to the reconstructed location in the discrete trait analysis (left); and network or accessory genome of the same sequences and colours (right, based on 1,042 accessory genes defined as genes in more than 1 genome, and not in all genomes). Inset next to the tree: graphic summary of migrations between countries, in which the thickness and colour (grey->red) of arrows is proportional to the number of migration events inferred.


Fig. S5: Phylogeographic analysis of the multi-host-associated S. aureus CC1 based on core and accessory genome. Bayesian time-stamped tree from a core genome alignment (1,930,409bp, of which 18,984bp were variable sites) of CC1 genome sequences, with branches coloured according to the reconstructed location in the discrete trait analysis (left); and network or accessory genome of the same sequences and colours (right, based on 1,186 accessory genes defined as genes in more than 1 genome, and not in all genomes). Inset next to the tree: graphic summary of migrations between countries, in which the thickness and colour (grey->red) of arrows is proportional to the number of migration events inferred.
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United States


Fig. S6: Phylogeographic analysis of the multi-host-associated $S$. aureus CC188 based on core and accessory genome. Bayesian time-stamped tree from a core genome alignment ( $2,451,373 \mathrm{bp}$, of which $7,378 \mathrm{bp}$ were variable sites) of CC188 genome sequences, with branches coloured according to the reconstructed location in the discrete trait analysis (left); and network or accessory genome of the same sequences and colours (right, based on 717 accessory genes defined as genes in more than 1 genome, and not in all genomes). Inset next to the tree: graphic summary of migrations between countries, in which the thickness and colour (grey->red) of arrows is proportional to the number of migration events inferred.


Fig. S7. Pangenome synteny clusters significantly enriched in bovine S. aureus associated genes, mapped to the reference genome RF122. Central density plot displays distribution of bovine-associated genes. Circle dot plots represent the location of bovine associated genes (inner), pangenome synteny clusters (middle), and known genomic features of interest (outer).

## Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Distribution of inferred host changes in the global bovine-enriched S. aureus phylogeny from the SIMMAP analyses.

| State change | Median number of changes (95\%HPD) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Others -> Human | $277.5(251-313)$ |
| Human -> Others | $188.5(159-208)$ |
| Human -> Bovine | $182(160-202)$ |
| Bovine -> Others | $131.5(114-149)$ |
| Others -> Bovine | $114(95-133)$ |
| Bovine -> Human | $63.5(46-77)$ |

Table S2. Distribution of inferred location changes (i.e. migrations) in the global bovineenriched S. aureus phylogeny from the SIMMAP analyses. The table shows only those with median $\geq 10$ changes for simplicity.

| State change | Median number of changes (95\%HPD) |
| :--- | :--- |
| N America $\rightarrow$ SS Africa | $41(30-52)$ |
| Ireland $\rightarrow$ Switzerland | $32.5(23-44)$ |
| N America $\rightarrow$ Ireland | $31(22-38)$ |
| UK $\rightarrow$ Ireland | $28(20-36)$ |
| N America $\rightarrow$ Switzerland | $27(18-36)$ |
| Ireland $\rightarrow$ N America | $26.5(18-35)$ |
| Switzerland $\rightarrow$ N America | $26(14-34)$ |
| Australia $\rightarrow$ SE Asia | $24(13-32)$ |
| Denmark $\rightarrow$ Sweden | $19(11-30)$ |
| S America $\rightarrow$ Sweden | $15(8-25)$ |
| Germany $\rightarrow$ N America | $13(8-19)$ |
| Denmark $\rightarrow$ Norway | $13(6-19)$ |
| UK $\rightarrow$ Denmark | $13(9-18)$ |
| Germany $\rightarrow$ Switzerland | $12(7-18)$ |
| Germany $\rightarrow$ Denmark | $12(6-17)$ |
| N America $\rightarrow$ S Europe | $11(6-19)$ |
| Sweden $\rightarrow$ Switzerland | $11(4-18)$ |
| Sweden $\rightarrow$ S Europe | $11(6-17)$ |
| Switzerland $\rightarrow$ Ireland | $10(5-17)$ |
| N America $\rightarrow$ Germany | $10(5-17)$ |
| Norway $\rightarrow$ UK | $10(5-15)$ |
| Sweden $\rightarrow$ Finland | $10(5-15)$ |

Table S3. Analysis of Association Index ratio of phylogenetic distribution and trait (host, location, clustering based on accessory genome) performed with BaTS. The AI ratio ranges from 0 (perfect association) to 1 (no association).

| Clonal <br> Complex | \# Hosts | Host AI | \# Locations | Location AI | \# of MCL <br> Clusters (*) | MCL AI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CC151 | - | n/a | 11 | $0.13(0.13-$ <br> $0.14)$ | 5 | $0.42(0.42-0.44)$ |
| CC97 | 2 | $0.33(0.29-0.36)$ | 13 | $0.35(0.34-$ <br> $0.36)$ | 5 | $0.21(0.21-0.22)$ |
| CC1 | 2 | $0.25(0.24-0.28)$ | 10 | $0.37(0.37-$ <br> $0.37)$ | 5 | $0.59(0.57-0.61)$ |
| CC188 | 2 | $0.04(0.04-0.05)$ | 4 | $0.1(0.09-0.11)$ | 5 | $0.26(0.26-0.26)$ |
| CC133 | 2 | $0.39(0.31-0.49)$ | 9 | $0.24(0.24-$ <br> $0.25)$ | 3 | $0.51(0.48-0.52)$ |
| CC130 | 3 | $0.67(0.58-0.79)$ | 6 | $0.20(0.20-$ <br> $0.20)$ | 3 | $0.34(0.31-0.38)$ |
| CC425 | 2 | $0.26(0.19-0.38)$ | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 | $0.41(0.35-0.51)$ |

(*) Clusters of accessory genomes defined using $\mathrm{i}=1.40$

Table S4: Goodman-Kruskal tau (GK $\tau$ ) values for association between accessory genome clusters and host/location. The values range from 0 (no predictability) to 1 (full predictability), i.e. the higher the value the better clustering matches/predicts the metadata variable.

| Clonal <br> Complex | Cluster <br> threshold <br> (MCLi) | $\#$ <br> clusters | $\#$ <br> hosts | \#locations | G-K $\tau$ <br> Host | G-K $\tau$ <br> Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CC1 | 1.40 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 0.569 | 0.163 |
|  | 2.10 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0.579 | 0.211 |
| CC97 | 1.40 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 0.607 | 0.086 |
|  | 2.00 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 0.611 | 0.097 |
| CC130 | 1.40 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0.275 | 0.138 |
|  | 2.10 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0.282 | 0.143 |
| CC133 | 1.40 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 0.066 | 0.169 |
|  | 2.10 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 0.150 | 0.18 |
| CC151 | 1.40 | 11 | 1 | 11 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.418 |
|  | 2.10 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0.355 | 0.310 |
| CC188 | 1.40 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 0.565 | 0.435 |
|  | 2.10 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| CC425 | 1.40 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0.112 | 0.605 |
|  | 2.10 |  | 11 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.312 |  |

Table S5: Distribution of the mecA gene among selected Clonal Complexes (CCs) and Host species
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Bovine } & \text { Human } & \text { Swine } & \text { Ovine } & \text { Other } & \text { Total } \\ \hline \text { CC398 } & \begin{array}{l}58 / 82 \\ (70.73 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}332 / 417 \\ (79.62 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}55 / 91 \\ (60.44 \%)\end{array} & \text { na } & \begin{array}{l}46 / 70 \\ (65.71 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}491 / 660 \\ (74.39 \%)\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CC5 } & \begin{array}{l}10 / 26 \\ (38.46 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}229 / 326 \\ (70.25 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}35 / 45 \\ (77.78 \%)\end{array} & \text { na } & 0 / 57(0 \%) & \begin{array}{l}274 / 408 \\ (67.16 \%)\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CC8 } & \begin{array}{l}10 / 38 \\ (26.32 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}254 / 363 \\ (69.97 \%)\end{array} & \text { na } & \text { na } & 10 / 20(50 \%) & \begin{array}{l}274 / 421 \\ (65.08 \%)\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CC45 } & \begin{array}{l}55 / 69 \\ (79.71 \%)\end{array} & 10 / 62(16.13 \%) & \text { na } & \text { na } & 0 / 3(0 \%) & \begin{array}{l}65 / 134 \\ (48.51 \%)\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CC1 } & \begin{array}{l}1 / 119 \\ (0.84 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}39 / 139 \\ (28.06 \%)\end{array} & 0 / 2(0 \%) & \text { na } & \begin{array}{l}1 / 36 \\ (2.78 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}41 / 296 \\ (13.85 \%)\end{array} \\ \hline \text { CC97 } & \begin{array}{l}20 / 624 \\ (3.21 \%)\end{array} & 6 / 63(9.52 \%) & 4 / 8(50 \%) & \text { na } & \begin{array}{l}4 / 7 \\ (57.14 \%)\end{array} & 34 / 702(4.84 \%) \\ \hline \text { CC130 } & \begin{array}{l}1 / 114 \\ (0.88 \%)\end{array} & 0 / 93(0 \%) & 0 / 16(0 \%) & 0 / 24(0 \%) & 0 / 9(0 \%) & 1 / 256(0.39 \%) \\ \hline \text { CC133 } & 0 / 106(0 \%) & 0 / 1(0 \%) & \text { na } & \text { na } & \begin{array}{l}1 / 19 \\ (5.26 \%)\end{array} & 0 / 4(0 \%)\end{array}\right] 1 / 130(0.77 \%)$

Table S6: Distribution of the $m e c C$ gene among selected Clonal Complexes (CCs) and Host species

|  | Bovine | Human | Swine | Ovine | Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{C C 1 3 0}$ | $109 / 114$ <br> $(95.61 \%)$ | $92 / 93$ <br> $(98.92 \%)$ | $16 / 16$ <br> $(100 \%)$ | $6 / 24(25 \%)$ | $2 / 36$ <br> $(22.22 \%)$ | $225 / 256$ <br> $(87.89 \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{C C 4 2 5}$ | $101 / 126$ <br> $(80.16 \%)$ | $14 / 15$ <br> $(93.33 \%)$ | na | na | $0 / 2(0 \%)$ | $115 / 143$ <br> $(80.42 \%)$ |
| CC133 | $1 / 106(0.94 \%)$ | $0 / 1(0 \%)$ | na | $1 / 19$ <br> $(5.26 \%)$ | $0 / 4(0 \%)$ | $2 / 130(1.54 \%)$ |
| CC151 | $1 / 276(0.36 \%)$ | na | na | na | na | $1 / 276(0.36 \%)$ |
| CC1 | $1 / 119(0.84 \%)$ | $0 / 139(0 \%)$ | $0 / 2(0 \%)$ | na | $0 / 36(0 \%)$ | $1 / 296(0.34 \%)$ |
| Other | $3 / 1,255$ <br> $(0.24 \%)$ | $1 / 1,888$ <br> $(0.05 \%)$ | $0 / 202(0 \%)$ | na | $1 / 295$ <br> $(0.34 \%)$ | $6 / 3,740(0.36 \%)$ |
| Total | $216 / 1,996$ <br> $(10.82 \%)$ | $107 / 2,209$ <br> $(4.84 \%)$ | $16 / 220$ <br> $(7.27 \%)$ | $7 / 43$ <br> $(16.28 \%)$ | $3 / 373$ <br> $(0.80 \%)$ | $349 / 4,841$ <br> $(7.21 \%)$ |

