

Journal of Business and Industrial Mar

The conscientious corporate brand: definition, operationalization and application in a B2B context.

Journal:	Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing
Manuscript ID	JBIM-10-2021-0468.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Organizational purpose, Conscientious corporate brands, Ethical leadership, Corporate social responsibility, Sustainability

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts The conscientious corporate brand: definition, operationalization and application in a B2B context.

Abstract

Purpose – As B2B firms face increasing scrutiny due to increased stakeholder awareness of environmental and social concerns, doing business with a conscience has become an important imperative. Despite a growing focus on conscientious corporate branding (CCB), the construct has never been clearly defined and many of the exemplars used to depict CCB have focused on a B2C context. The objectives of this research are to define CCB, to develop a framework that leaders can apply to build and manage a conscientious corporate brand and, to demonstrate application of the components of the framework in the B2B context.

Design/methodology/approach – The study uses an exploratory approach and focuses on extant literature relating to operating with a conscience, including organizational purpose, ethical leadership, ethicalization of the organization, stakeholder co-creation, sustainability and corporate social responsibility.

Findings – The study shows how companies in a B2B context can use a framework that includes dimensions of purpose, ethics, stakeholder co-creation, sustainability and CSR to build a CCB through reconciling and integrating leadership and stakeholder perspectives to create and communicate sustainable and responsible behavior.

Research limitations/implications –This study opens the door for further research into the actions required to build CCB's. There is a need to validate the CCB framework in future studies.

Practical implications – This study identifies how to build a conscientious corporate brand and applies it in the B2B context.

Originality/value – This study expands our understanding of CCB's by providing a definition and framework to guide scholars and practitioners. Given the paucity of focus on CCB in the B2B context, we exemplify the framework using B2B examples.

Keywords: Conscientious Corporate branding, Organizational Purpose; Ethical leadership; Ethicalization; Sustainability; Corporate Social Responsibility

Paper type: Conceptual paper

Introduction

While B2B firms have embraced corporate branding, their leaders are now looking at the environmental and social effects of their business strategies. Research has suggested that customers, employees and executives want business to not only react and adjust to these, but to develop environmentally conscientious cultures that enable them proactively shape environmental, social and governance best practices (Borazon et al., 2022; Han et al., 2022). In line with the growing focus on the development of a conscientious culture, the concept of conscientious corporate branding (CCB) has attracted increased attention in the marketing literature (Iglesias and Ind, 2020; Olsen, and Peretz, 2011; Rindell et al., 2011). Corporate branding applies a single brand across the entire organization; the corporate culture becomes the very foundation of the corporate brand (Hatch and Schultz, 2001), and must encompass corporate values (Balmer and Wilson, 1998; van de Ven, 2008). A corporate brand should differentiate itself from competitors through its values (Vallaster and Lindgreen, 2011) as well as provide its stakeholders a brand covenant or promise (Balmer and Gray, 2003). The management of the corporate brand extends beyond the establishment of brand identity and includes the management of visual, verbal and behavioral expressions of the corporate brand across stakeholder groups (Abratt and Kleyn, 2012). Although leadership is responsible for the creation of the corporate brand, the assessments of stakeholders ultimately determine the strength of the corporate brand.

While many authors have studied CCB's, there are a number of gaps in the CCB literature. Curiously, there is no established definition of a CCB. The operationalization of CCB's has also been limited. Iglesias and Ind (2020) call for additional research to define the key characteristics of a CCB and for academic researchers to explain how CCB's define and embrace a corporate brand purpose, link their purpose to strategy and develop performance indicators to measure their achievements. In explicating CCB's many studies have referenced examples of consumer-focused organizations like Stormberg (Olsen and Peretz; 2011) and Patagonia (Iglesias and Ind; 2020). Despite the importance and prevalence of B2B brands, the use of these to exemplify CCB is sparse. Sustainable business practices are inextricably linked to the development of CCB's and in this regard, there is also a lack of focus on management of sustainability in the B2B context (Sharma, 2020).

The objectives of this research are to draw on extant knowledge to provide a definition of conscientious corporate brands, to develop a framework that leaders can apply to build and

manage a conscientious corporate brand and, to demonstrate application of the components in the B2B context. The objectives for our research are part of epistemological enquiry related to both the scholarship of integration (Boyer, 1990) that seeks to synthesises knowledge and place it in its broader context as well as the scholarship of application (Macfarlane and Spence, 2003) which seeks to apply knowledge to specific problems.

Conscientious corporate branding is a nascent concept. The word "conscientious" is often deployed in the psychology literature where it may be viewed as personality trait that refers to a spectrum of constructs including delay of gratification, ego control, effortful control, self-control, self-regulation and impulse control (Roberts *et al.*, 2014). When applied in the marketing and sales literature, "conscientiousness" has been associated with traits like hardworking, dependable, organized and self-disciplined (Yang *et al.*, 2011). The word has also been associated with business and marketing activities that are honest and emphasize societal goals over economic objectives (Fagerhaug and Andersen, 1998). "Conscientious capitalism" refers to the development of business practices that create mutual benefit for business and wider society (Neville; 2008). The tenets of responsibility and the importance of taking a longer-term view are common to the varied applications of the term.

The term "conscientious corporate brands" was popularized by Rindell *et al.*, (2011) who suggest that the "conscientious character of a corporate brand may be evaluated and developed by considering the components of climate change, environmental impact, internal corporate codes of ethics and external corporate codes of ethics of the company as dimensions of the corporate brand" (Rindell *et al.*, 2011, p.715). These authors add that their model makes an important contribution and lays the foundation for further research.

The Rindell *et al.*, (2011) model has been replicated in Canada (Hutchinson *et al.*, (2013), Taiwan (Lee *et al.*, 2012) and South Africa (Bogaards *et al.*, 2012). All these studies validated the scales used to measure the role of climate change, environmental impact, and both internal and external codes of ethics.

In addition to associating ethical and environmentally sustainable conduct, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has also been explicitly linked to conscientious branding. Olsen, and Peretz, (2011) observed that a conscientious brand should engage in significant CSR activities (relative to sales) that have a significant impact on stakeholders and should be long-standing and based on altruistic motives.

The relationship between CSR and sustainability requires further attention as there is some confusion about these constructs; researchers and practitioners sometimes use the terms interchangeably. Some typologies of sustainability refer to three aspects of sustainability that must be managed by firms; environmental, social and economic (Asif *et al.*, 2011; Ajmal *et al.*, 2018). Whilst some authors, like Rindell *et al.* (2011) have specifically linked environmental sustainability to CCB, others, like Olsen, and Peretz, (2011) have specifically referenced CSR and in so doing, have associated the social aspect of sustainability with CCB.

Although CSR been seen as an important way for brands to make a contribution to society (Golob and Podnar, 2019), the practice of using CSR without integrating it into business processes has been criticized as insufficiently responsible, insincere and illegitimate (Iglesias and Ind, 2020). In contrast to deploying CSR as a bolt-on rather than built-in strategy (Dowling and Moran, 2012), building a CCB requires co-operation across an organization's entire supply chain (Rindell et al., 2011, Boggaards *et al.*, 2012; Hutchinson *et al.*, 2013).

In addition to being embracing a transformative purpose and being integrated across the supply chain, Iglesias and Ind (2020) stress the need for active stakeholder co-creation in the development of CCB's in ways that go beyond serving clients and shareholders but also take into consideration employees, partners and society.

Extant literature on CCB's emphasizes the importance of ethics, social and environmental sustainability and stakeholder co-creation across a firm's value chain. Accordingly we define a conscientious corporate brand as:

A corporate brand that is perceived by stakeholders to prioritise ethical leadership and co-creation across all value-chain related activities in ways that contribute to social and environmental sustainability.

In order to develop a framework that leaders can apply to build and manage a CCB, we review literature on the key constructs that form part of our definition including ethical leadership and ethicalization, stakeholder co-creation, sustainability and corporate social responsibility. Given the importance of aligning branding activities with organizational purpose and the association of purpose with sustainability, we also consider the role of corporate purpose in the literature review. We go onto draw on literature studied to propose

and explain a framework to guide the building and management of CCB's before drawing B2B exemplars to showcase the use of the framework in practice. We conclude by making recommendations for practitioners and future research.

Literature Review

Organizational Purpose

There are increased calls for organizations to adopt a sense of purpose that is higher than simply making a profit by selling products and services. Fink (2019) stated that the public should hold organizations accountable and therefore it is up to businesses and businesses themselves to set higher standards. Researchers believe that the primary role of a business leader is to instill a common sense of purpose in the organization (Bartlett and Ghoshal, 1994). Bartlett and Ghoshal (1994, p. 88) defined purpose as "the statement of a company's moral response to its broadly defined responsibilities, not an amoral plan for exploiting commercial opportunity." Henderson and Van den Steen (2015, p. 327) conceptualized purpose as "a concrete goal or objective for the firm that reaches beyond profit maximization." A higher purpose imbues the organization with value and, through actively supporting employees to identify with and find meaning in it, stimulates commitment and inspires action (Ellsworth, 2002). A wider purpose may play a role in attracting and retaining employees (Delaney and Royal, 2017). It may appeal to younger people, who are known to bring different work value preferences (Van Tuin et al., 2020). Very few organizations excel at operationalizing their purpose, which organizes human effort at a deeper level. An organization fully operationalizing its purpose would be ultimately harmonized to its world, moving continually toward opportunity, systematically challenging the obvious, and wordlessly yet synchronously making the minute adjustments each demands (Chevreux et al., 2017).

Purpose is deemed to be at the core of successful branding (Annweiler, 2018) and can serve to not only transform an organization, but also to empower customers and other stakeholders and foster transformation of their practices (Hajdas and Kleczek, 2021). Whilst statements of organization purpose do not automatically translate into enhanced sustainable or ethical behavior, organizations that set their purposes beyond shareholder returns are, by definition, focusing on the broader impact of their activities and thus embracing a more conscientious approach.

Ethical Leadership

The leader has the major influence on the principles of governance of the corporate brand. A leader acts ethically and responsibly serving as the administrator of the brand. Ethical leadership is defined as "the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion of such conduct to followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and decision-making" (Brown *et al.*, 2005, p. 120). Ethical leadership has been argued to be important for corporate brands because of its positive effect on an array of employees' attitudes and behaviors (Chughtai *et al.*, 2015; Oumlil and Balloun, 2017; Piccolo *et al.*, 2010). Hoch *et al.*, (2018), in a meta-analysis, suggest ethical leadership improves desirable employee outcomes, such as brand citizenship behaviors, job satisfaction, employee engagement, and brand commitment.

Ethical leaders express the purpose, vision and values of the corporate brand and of the brand hierarchy, from a base of ethical values. The purpose of the organization should include solutions to economic, environmental and social issues focused on multiple stakeholders. The leader should ensure that all decision-makers at senior levels have the required knowledge related to the economic, social and environmental issues. "They connect the purpose and goals of the organization with that of the internal employees and external stakeholders" (Leigh, 2013, p. 6). Doohan (2007, p.65), asserts "two attitudes are critical to leadership, personal integrity in relation to one's vision of life, and integrity in relation to the organization's primary values, issues and loyalties." Effective leaders are capable of protecting their employees and advocating improvement instead of censuring them. Another indication of effective leaders is their ability to motivate and influence followers and encourage excellence and innovation (Sitkin and Lind, 2011).

Studies determined that when leaders treat employees fairly and respectfully, they repay the leadership treatment by building a social exchange relationship (Blau, 1964; Brown *et al.*, 2005). "When an individual discovers genuine and meaningful alignment between his or her own personal values with those of his or her employer, a powerful connection is created" (Dean, 2008, p. 1). Values-based leadership is primarily defined as leading by example, that is, doing the right thing for the right reasons and not compromising core principles. A leader who embraces this type of thinking can become very successful in fostering strategic vision and gaining the support and partnership of other potential business partners (Dean, 2008). Nejati *et al.*, (2020) show that ethical leadership has a significant favorable effect on

employees' engagement with sustainability and CSR practices. A study by Lin *et al.*, (2020) showed a corporate brand that practices ethical leadership and good governance and has a high CSR score tend to have a positive effect on organizational performance.

Ethicalization

It is not only strong leadership that safeguards ethical standards; ethical business stems from an ethical corporate culture (Sinclair, 1993). Berrone *et al.*, (2007, p. 36) define 'corporate ethical identity' (CEI) as "the set of behaviors, communications, and stances that are representative of an organization's ethical attitudes and beliefs". Stakeholders play a key part in the formation of society's ethical demands and CEI appears as a standard by which stakeholders compare the corporate brand's ethical behaviors to their expectations. A strong CEI signifies compliance with the ethical demands of the organization's stakeholders, resulting in higher levels of stakeholder satisfaction. Satisfied stakeholders are then predicted to be more willing to provide their services and resources to the organization, thereby heightening performance (Berrone *et al.*, 2007).

Ethicalization according to Fukukawa *et al.*, (2007) involves four aspects. The first aspect of ethicalization involves the motivations that instil a corporate brand with an ethical mindset and which lead to its social receptivity. Ethical identity is always initiated by one of the following three forces: the altruistic beliefs of a leader; strategic alternatives as gaining competitive advantage in the face of global competition; and external forces such as changes in legislation or societal norms. The second aspect of ethicalization focuses upon the management of ethical identity. Once corporate brands have decided to pursue greater social receptivity, sound implementation is necessary which comprises of a set of policies and procedures, and committee work that will achieve set objectives. In addition, everyone needs to understand their specific role and responsibilities and they must be subject to audits and control. A third aspect of ethicalization focuses on the relationship between communicating strategies and policies and demonstrated activity related to sustainability, CSR, and ethics. In other words, are the corporate brand's actions in alignment with its approved identity or are its public relations merely corporate rhetoric. The fourth aspect of ethicalization considers the relevance of customer and stakeholder perceptions.

Codes of ethics are just the first step in achieving higher ethical levels (O'Dwyer and Madden, 2006) and that mere implementation of a code of ethics may not reflect an organization's honest level of ethical practice (Wood and Rimmer 2003). The sheer presence

of a code of ethics does not assure that employees will employ ethical behaviors, nor does it ensure execution, and it may not necessarily echo higher ethical values (Valentine and Barnett, 2003; Wood and Rimmer, 2003). Employees are both recipients and bearers of efforts to ethicalize. The translation of leadership intentions into appropriate ethical conduct on the part of employees needs to take into account a variety of factors including employee perceptions of personal control and perceived voice opportunity (Babalola *et al.*, 2019)

Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder-oriented marketing, due to its more expansive perspective than the traditional customer-orientation, makes organizational members continuously aware of and willing to act on various stakeholder issues (Du *et al.*, 2013). Stakeholders play a critical role in building strong corporate brands. They matter not only because they are the ultimate arbiter of the strength of the corporate brand. Their interests not only need to be incorporated into an organization's strategy; when effectively engaged, they can play a valuable role in cocreating solutions with an organization.

Stakeholder theory prescribes that a firm should work for the benefit all those that have a stake in it. Stakeholder theory literature demonstrates that there are an array of viewpoints regarding "who and what really counts" (Mitchell *et al.*, 1997) and can be classified as descriptive or empirical, instrumental and normative (Donaldson and Preston, 1995). The ethical stance of an organization is based on the expectations of society – the legitimate claims made by the constituencies with which the organization interacts (Mitchell *et al.*, 1997; Wood, 1991). Stakeholders want their objectives to be met in order to provide a firm with resources (Mainardes *et al.*, 2011). The key is for firms to determine how to prioritise the needs of the various stakeholder groups and whether all stakeholders should receive the same treatment (Hult *et al.*,2011).

Stakeholders experience a corporate brand's values through its goods and services, as well as at every touch point (Balmer and Wilkinson, 1991). Socially responsible leaders must determine the issues faced by various stakeholder groups; seek possible solutions; consider the advantages, disadvantages, and trade-offs that follow each solution; and finally select and implement a solution that satisfies the interests of all stakeholders (Zhu *et al.*, 2014). Developing close relationships with key stakeholders creates intangible resources that are the basis for a sustainable competitive advantage. Hence, an organization's leadership should perceive the importance of these relationships and include them in their strategic plan

(Bendixen and Abratt, 2007; Benn *et al.*, 2016; Berrone *et al.*, 2007). Stakeholders form an impression of a firm resulting in either positive or negative consequences (Benn *et al.*, 2016). According to Ozanne and LeCren (2011), favorable environmental and social strategies lead to increased trust and commitment from stakeholders, customer satisfaction and favorable supplier decision criteria.

Stakeholder management research suggests that stakeholder relationships constitute organizational resources that help organizations develop new capabilities (Aragón-Correa and Sharma, 2003; Surroca *et al.*, 2010). Because stakeholders and firms have comparable concerns, they should work together. They should establish what social and environmental concerns that stakeholders are troubled about and then furnish information on how their firm is dealing with these issues (Maignan *et al.*, 2005). Iglesias and Ind (2020) made a cogent case for the need for corporate brand builders to actively co-create with stakeholders to strengthen corporate brands generally, and specifically in the case of CCB's. Co-creation calls for a different engagement paradigm and demands an organizational mindset that views stakeholders as potential collaborators (Iglesias *et al.*, 2020). Companies that seek to actively co-create with stakeholders need to focus on accessibility, dialogue and transparency and also need to be willing to take the risks that come with exposing culture and management practices (Hatch and Schultz, 2010). Co-creation is a dynamic process that involves communication, internalization, contestation and elucidation (Iglesias and Ind, 2020)

Sustainability

Manufacturers are under pressure to change their behaviors and develop environmental sustainability methods to adhere to increasing demands for products produced in an environmentally sustainable manner (Blenkhorn and MacKenzie, 2017; Kumar and Christodoulopoulou, 2014; Sharma *et al.*, 2010). Sustainability is a path to conduct business ethically, globally shifting the focus of business purpose from mainly economic goals to an evenness among economic, environmental, and social goals (Chabowski *et al.*, 2011). Berger *et al.*, (2007) define sustainability as corporations' recognition of how social, environmental, and economic concerns affect their culture, decision making, strategy, and operations. Building on Varadarajan (2017), Vesal *et al.*, (2021) define environmental sustainability as an organizational activity directed at reducing pollution and increasing the efficient use of energy and other resources to diminish the detrimental effects of firms' activities on the environment. Sustainability is "development which meets the needs of the present without

compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (WCED, 1987; p. 43)

The multidisciplinary nature of scientifically established knowledge on sustainability has generated a number of different terms to describe some or all of its components, including sustainable development, triple bottom line, green business, environmental management, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and corporate citizenship. (Kumar and Christodoulopoulou, 2014).

Environmental sustainability concerns pollution prevention, waste minimisation, and reduction of energy and raw material consumption, focused on diminishing the detrimental consequences of an organizations' activities on the environment (Antolin-Lopez *et al.*, 2016; Gupta and Kumar, 2013). Sustainability practices include: adopting a green channel of distribution; advocacy of green products for driving demand; participation of B2B customers in sustainability initiatives — life cycle management; participation of B2B customers in sustainability initiatives — value chain management; collaborative efforts for optimum usage of resources; innovation using less resources; sustainable product design; remanufacturing and recycling; risk management through engagement and reporting; and efficient and ecofriendly transportation networks (Kumar, and Christodoulopoulou, 2014). Whilst some authors view firm efforts to create social good as an integral part of sustainability (Assif *et al.*, 2011), others equate the term sustainability with environmental sustainability and equate social sustainability efforts with corporate social responsibility (CSR).

CSR

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is an interconnected construct that has received significant attention both in literature and in the consciousness of firms globally (Hildebrand and Sen (2011) in the face of societal expectations for organizations to be honest and "practice social responsibility" (Shahin and Zairi, 2007, pp. 753). CSR is seen critical to organizations' ability to meet their stakeholder commitments and obtain continuous growth (Lindgreen and Swaen, 2009). Hargett and Williams (2009) note there are many different kinds of terminology used by different entities to describe CSR but including corporate responsibility, social responsibility, corporate stewardship, corporate citizenship, community relations and triple bottom line. Some CSR scholars are definitive about the financial and economic benefits that should accrue from CSR when defining the construct. For example, Vishwanathan *et al.*, (2020, p. 43) define CSR as "those firm activities that appear to further

some social good, while at the same time benefitting the firm financially by either enhancing its reputation, increasing stakeholder reciprocation, mitigating firm-specific risk, and/or improving innovation"

Hargett and Williams (2009) propose that CSR is a concept that implies organizations have a moral duty to take care of all stakeholders, from shareholders, to employees to their surrounding community; in all facets of their business operations. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of implementing a credible CSR practice to ensure that CSR attracts the attention of different stakeholders (Lewellyn, 2002; Logsdon and Wood, 2002; Mahon, 2002). Other studies suggest that CSR brings about employee's ethical behaviors, which in turn boost organizational efficiency (Preston and O'Bannon, 1997). Maignan *et al.*, (2005) find that organizations fulfil their CSR commitments to improve corporate image and strengthen marketing tactics, thus affecting organizational performance positively. Torres *et al.*, (2012) find that CSR targeted toward all stakeholders positively affects brand equity.

CSR should also be rooted in the organizational culture and management of the total business. Hildebrand and Sen (2011) argue that the culture of an organization and its CSR initiatives should not be seen as two different entities. Both concepts are formed and influenced by each other and should therefore be one and the same. If the culture or identity of an organization does not fit with the CSR strategy, then it is likely that CSR is bolted on rather than built in. Du *et al.*, (2010) state that, unlike corporate ability-related information such as product superiority and new innovations, an organization's CSR information reveals aspects of its corporate identity and culture that are not only fundamental and enduring but also often more distinctive by virtue of their disparate and idiosyncratic bases. Pomering and Dolnicar (2009) also propose that values, ethics and CSR are linked in the culture and management of an organization and that CSR should not be integrated and looked at in isolation.

Organizations operating in B2B sectors need to transform this indifference of their customers into positive attitudes toward sustainability and promote socially responsible organizational buying (Kumar and Christodoulopoulou, 2014). Vesal *et al.*, 2021, p. 9) suggest 'that environmental sustainability is the key to unlocking brand image in the B2B manufacturing sector where resource exploitation and the resulting environmental damage are prominent issues. When this effect is unlocked, brand image can attract public appraisal and increase the market performance of B2B manufacturing firms by increasing their sales revenue and

profitability.' Successful implementation of sustainability initiatives requires that organizations set sustainability as a high priority objective. Sustainability has to become part of the core mission of the organization (Kumar and Christodoulopoulou, 2014).

Communicating about sustainability and CSR

Firms are increasingly challenged to demonstrate that they support environmental sustainability and their profits are fair, ethical and for the good of society (Ferguson *et al.*, 2020). The adoption of environmental sustainability practices sets the scene for a corporate brand to authentically signal and project its commitment to sustainability, and, thus, establish a credible, environmentally friendly brand image in stakeholders' minds (Lai *et al.*, 2015). Authors who focus on CSR rather than sustainability *per* se also stress the importance of effective communication of CSR to stakeholders (Ziek, 2009). Environmental sustainability by a brand communicates its values and the credibility of its environmental sustainability practices to its customers. This is particularly salient in industries where environmental sustainability issues are an increasingly high priority and a growing number of business customers publicly indicate their environmental concerns by purchasing from brands with a higher commitment to environmental sustainability (Vesal *et al.*, 2021).

Although employees will invariably be involved in developing and executing sustainable business practices, effective communication about sustainability with employees is imperative to enable employees to share information with other stakeholders and to foster employee engagement and belief in the authenticity of the firm's sustainability programs (Potoski and Callery, 2018).

Hansen *et al.*, (2016) suggest that when employees see their corporate brand as acting in socially responsible ways, they are likely to view their leaders and organizational climate as more ethical. Dawkins (2004) suggests that internal CSR communication to employees is the most compelling form of CSR communication. A competitive advantage can be created by the corporate brand through effective CSR communications that advocates ethical working conditions and inculcates strong values (Napal, 2013). Human resources policies, such as the participation of workers in decision-making, the possibility to participate in capital, labor flexibility, legal security and union relations all indicate a corporate brand's application of CSR with regard to its employees (Park *et al.*, 2014). Not only has it become a strategic imperative for organizations to communicate their CSR initiatives to employees (Birth and Illia, 2008), but by doing so, they can create a strong cultural identity amongst their

employees and they can open the way for establishing and maintaining favorable reputations and relationships with all stakeholders (Nielsen and Thomsen, 2009). In addition to exploring the salience of CSR communication to employees, it is also essential to understand the affect that CSR has on them (Lee *et al.*, 2012). Skudiene and Auruskeviciene (2012) suggest that CSR will protect positive long-term employee recruitment, satisfaction, loyalty and commitment.

According to Chen and Hung-Baesecke, (2014) corporate brands apply an array of tools and instruments to implement internal CSR communication and employee engagement. Dawkins (2004) lists these to include web sites, social reports, thematic reports, stakeholder consultations, codes of conduct, prizes and events, product packaging, internal channels, cause-related marketing, interventions in the press and on TV, and points of sale. In terms of leadership and organizational trust, when the leaders in an organization do not commit themselves to their CSR activities, the corporate brand itself loses the trust of its stakeholders. Organizational culture and leadership have compelling influence on innovativeness, and trust is one of the key antecedents of open culture which is built upon sufficient communication (Mayer and Schoorman, 1995). Choi and Yu (2014) advocated that employee perception of CSR practices have a direct positive impact on their brand commitment.

It is imperative to comprehensively examine the marketing ramifications of paying attention and responding to the demands of multiple stakeholder groups. An organization's stakeholders are ingrained in interconnected networks of relationships, through which the corporate brand's marketing actions reverberate with both direct and indirect consequences (Bhattacharya and Korschun, 2008). The management of communication about a firm's ethics, sustainability and CSR practices both directly with external stakeholders and well as indirectly via employees is an essential aspect of corporate brand building (Abratt and Kleyn, 2012).

Developing a Framework for CCB

(Table in figure 1 about here)

We consolidate the findings from our literature review into a framework to depict the drivers that enable firms to build CCB's. We argue that for stakeholders to build perceptions of a corporate brand that is ethical and sustainable, leadership will need to develop an organizational purpose that reflects and informs their ethical intent, and creates ambition for the firm to make a contribution beyond profitability. Leadership needs to embed ethical

behavior in the organization before working with internal and external stakeholders to embed sustainable and responsible activities across the organizational value chain. Practice alone is insufficient to build the corporate brand; the organization needs to share its contributions through showing how its value chain activities are ethical, sustainable and socially responsible in a way that enables internal and external stakeholders to grow their awareness of the extent to which the organization is conscientious.

Manifestations of CCB in the B2B Context

Although our framework is derived from general literature, there have been a number of calls to focus on key elements of the framework in the B2B context. Sheth and Sinha (2015) called for a focus on purpose-driven branding in the B2B context. Sharma (2020) identified a paucity of academic research on the effect of policies for sustainability and called for more research in this area for B2B firms and Rudawska (2019) made a case for the importance of CSR in the B2B context.

We sought to showcase the drivers of CCB in the B2B context with examples extracted from publicly available statements of corporate practice of large B2B firms. Although by definition, these are all expressions of the corporate brand, we were able to source leadership statements that attested to the importance of purpose, ethical leadership, stakeholder cocreation, ethicalization, sustainability and CSR for a number of large B2B companies.

In a letter addressed to CEOs in 2019, Larry Fink, CEO of Blackrock, suggested that a purpose should unify management, employees, and communities alike and drive ethical behavior (Fink, 2019).

Two B2B leaders who have commented directly on the importance of ethical leadership are Arvind Krishna of IBM and Jim Fitterling of Dow.

"I am proud that IBM is leading the way by taking actions to significantly reduce emissions," said Arvind Krishna, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, IBM. "The climate crisis is one of the most pressing issues of our time. IBM's net zero pledge is a bold step forward that strengthens our long-standing climate leadership and positions our company years ahead of the targets set out in the Paris Climate Agreement. (IBM, 2021).

ABB is an example of a B2B organization that is emphasizes both ethical leadership and the importance of ethicalization. Diane de Saint Victor, ABB General Counsel and member of the Executive Committee noted, "We want to integrate ethical leadership deep into our organization. Our integrity program relies on local management to lead by example" before adding that "All local managers have to take responsibility for ethical leadership and corporate behavior to ensure that the ethics message comes from the same person that sets the business targets." (ABB, 2021).

Codes of conduct are an important manifestation of ethicalization. Two B2B organizations that have a strict code of conduct are Dow and Intel. The pre-amble to Dow's code of conduct stated that:

"Dow's policy is to be lawful, highly-principled and socially responsible in all of its business practices. Dow expects employees to learn and comply with all company policies and laws applicable to their job responsibilities and to adhere to the guiding principles outlined in this Code. Dow's Code of Business Conduct summarizes many of the ethical principles and policies created to deal with issues such as bribery, political contributions, equal employment opportunity, and environment, health and safety. All of us at Dow, no matter where we happen to live, are expected to apply these principles in the daily performance of our job responsibilities. In September 1998, Dow established the Office of Ethics and Compliance to reinforce the company's long-standing commitment to ethical business conduct. The office communicates the company's standards, provides guidance on issues related to ethical conduct and oversees mechanisms for action in this critical area". (Dow, 2021).

Intel's code of conduct noted the following:

"Intel's Code of Conduct affirms its five key principles of conduct: Conduct Business with Honesty and Integrity; Follow the Letter and Spirit of the Law; Treat Each Other Fairly; Act in the Best Interests of Intel and Avoid Conflicts of Interest; and Protect Intel Assets and Confidential Information. Suppliers are expected to report concerns

regarding ethical issues or violations of Intel Code of Conduct.: by Intel employee(s); by their own employees; and by another supplier." (Intel, 2021).

Employee communication is another crucial aspect of Ethicalization. In a publicly available communication to its employees, Alcoa sent the following message to its employees.

"The Alcoa Value of Integrity guides our business above all. Our company-wide Ethics and Compliance Program is the tool we use to make sure we keep that promise every day, in every decision we make. Every Alcoan, worldwide, is required to understand what it means to act with integrity, to adhere to our policies and procedures, and to comply with all applicable laws and regulations". (Alcoa, 2021).

An emphasis on sustainability at Dow was emphasized by Jim Fitterling, chief executive officer of Dow who notes that, "Keeping our environment free of waste is important to the future of Dow and our industry, but more importantly, it's important to the future of our planet." (Dow, 2021). ABB CEO, Björn Rosengren states that "At ABB, we embed sustainability in everything we do in order to create long-term value. This starts with helping our customers reduce their emissions and preserve resources, which is where we make the biggest impact, and extends to our own operations, to our suppliers and the communities we serve. We strive always to be an exemplary corporate citizen wherever we operate." (ABB, 2021)

Intel specifically refers to CSR in its public documents and includes sustainability in its CSR strategy. It also explicitly references working with others in its statement entitled "Shared Statement of Social Responsibility":

"Intel has a CSR strategy known as its RISE Strategy. "We are raising the bar for ourselves and evolving our corporate responsibility strategy to increase the scale of our work with others to create a more responsible, inclusive, and sustainable world, enabled through technology and our collective actions.

Responsible: lead in advancing safety, wellness, and responsible business practices across our global manufacturing operations, our value chain, and beyond

Inclusive; advance diversity and inclusion across our global workforce and industry, and expand opportunities for others through technology, inclusion, and digital readiness initiatives

Sustainable; be a global leader in sustainability and enable our customers and others to reduce their environmental impact through our actions and technology

Enabling; through innovative technology and the expertise and passion of our employees, we enable positive change within Intel, across our industry, and beyond". (Intel, 2021).

BASF refers indirectly to the importance of CSR:

"At BASF, our commitment to a sustainable future includes creating chemistry in the communities in which we live and work. The kind of chemistry that brings people together to work toward a healthy and successful future. The company has community relations programs to foster mutually beneficial relationships with stakeholders based on trust and respect. Through our corporate charitable contributions and community relations efforts, BASF supports activities in three key areas: Science Education; Environment, Health Safety and Community Based Initiatives. Employees, through their generous spirit and willingness to be involved, are a natural extension of our community relations. Together, we position BASF as an acknowledged leader and important member of our communities in North America." (BASF, 2021).

Conclusions

Although the importance of corporate brands, stakeholder co-creation, ethicalization and sustainability are recognised as important long term drivers of business success by both academics and managers, scholarly focus on the conceptualization and development of a CCB has been limited. We have provided a definition of a CCB and identified the key dimensions of the construct, developed a framework to show the drivers required to develop a CCB and demonstrated evidence of application of key tenets of the framework by B2B corporate brands.

The framework presented suggests that the development of a CCB begins with ethical leaders who define the purpose or broader contribution of their organization to stakeholders and society. We posit that leaders must focus on the process of embedding ethical values and behaviors in their organizations. Ethical conduct on its own is insufficient to develop a CCB. Leaders need to foster collaboration with stakeholders to enhance sustainability and corporate social responsibility across all facets of their activities. Employees play an important role as actors in the process of developing a CCB. Not only do they need to adopt the ethical practices promoted by leadership, they also need to work with external stakeholders to foster

sustainable and socially responsible business. Given that corporate brands ultimately exist in the minds of stakeholders, internal and external communication about the contribution that an organization and its stakeholders make towards fostering social and environmental sustainability is an important step in building a CCB.

Implications for Practitioners

In addition to providing lived examples of how companies who prioritize ethical, sustainable and responsible conduct enact their intentions, our literature review and framework provide insight into how organizations can go about building CCB's. The responsibility for building CCB's begins with the appointment of leaders who prioritize ethical conduct and are committed to working with stakeholders to deliver value to not only shareholders and stakeholders but to future generations. Ethical intent needs to be translated into action through leading by example but also by committing resources to establish appropriate bodies and policies that inform and inspire employees and other stakeholders whilst also regulating conduct.

Embracing ethical business does not automatically translate into sustainable and socially responsible conduct across an organization's value chain. This requires a conscious effort to work with stakeholders to understand the impact of the organization's activities on social and environmental systems that they affect, and to co-create new ways of doing business that reduce harm and, on balance, create positive impact for both society and the natural environment.

These actions and their outcomes need to be understood by anyone who represents the corporate brand. In addition to formally appointed leaders, it is important that employees understand and are able to communicate the ethical, sustainable and responsible dimensions of their employers business. Corporate marketers who are tasked with formal brand communication also need to prioritize and integrate communication about these dimensions across their stakeholder communication.

Guidelines for future research

This article is the first to define the conscientious corporate brand and develops a framework to operationalize it. While we have answered the question as to what a CCB is, and identified its constructs, further research is needed to validate this framework. CCB needs to be integrated across the entire supply chain. The role of suppliers, distributors and other partners

need to be investigated as the whole supply chain eco-system needs to be integrated. These linkages should also be investigated in future research. The relationship between CSR and sustainability requires further attention as there is some confusion about these constructs; researchers and practitioners sometimes use the terms interchangeably. Future research could clarify the differences and similarities between sustainability and CSR practices. As CCB is a nascent concept, stakeholder co-creation should also be investigated, particularly in the B2B context, as networks and relationships are important in this sector.

References

ABB CEO, Björn Rosengren, available at:

https://global.abb/group/en/sustainability?_ga=2.184094625.60683025.1619623850-565080886.1619623850

Abratt, R. and Kleyn, N. (2012), "Corporate identity, corporate branding and corporate reputations: Reconciliation and integration," *European journal of marketing*, Vol. 46 No. 7/8, pp. 1048-1063.

Ajmal, M.M., Khan, M., Hussain, M. and Helo, P. (2018), "Conceptualizing and incorporating social sustainability in the business world," *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, Vol 25 No.4, pp.327-339.

Alcoa (2021), available at: https://www.alcoa.com/global/en/who-we-are/ethics-compliance

Antolin-Lopez, R., Delgado-Ceballos, J., and Montiel, I. (2016), "Deconstructing corporate sustainability: A comparison of different stakeholder metrics," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 136, pp. 5–17.

Annweiler, B. (2018). "Purpose is at the core of branding," *Journal of Brand Strategy*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 214-224.

Aragón-Correa, J. A., and Sharma, S. (2003), "A contingent resource-based view of proactive corporate environmental strategy," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 71–88.

Asif, M., Searcy, C., Garvare, R. and Ahmad, N. (2011), "Including sustainability in business excellence models," *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, Vol. 22 No. 7, pp. 773-786.

Babalola, M.T., Stouten, J., Camps, J. and Euwema, M. (2019), "When do ethical leaders become less effective? The moderating role of perceived leader ethical conviction on employee discretionary reactions to ethical leadership", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 154 No. 1, pp. 85-102.

Balmer, J.M.T. and Gray, E. (2003), "Corporate brands: what are they? What of them?", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 7-8, pp. 972-97.

Balmer, J.M.T., and Wilson, A. (1998), "Corporate identity: there is more to it than meets the eye," *International Studies of Management & Organization*, Vol. 28 No.3, pp. 12-31.

Balmer, J. M. T., and Wilkinson, A. (1991), "Building societies: Change, strategy and corporate identity," *Journal of General Management*, Vol. 17 No.2, pp. 20–33.

BASF (2021), available at: https://www.basf.com/us/en/who-we-are/community.html

Bartlett C. A, and Ghoshal S. (1994), "Changing the role of top management: Beyond strategy to purpose," *Harvard Business. Review*, Vol. 72 No. 6, pp. 79–88.

Bendixen, M. and Abratt, R. (2007), "Corporate identity, ethics and reputation in supplier – Buyer relationships," *Journal of Business Ethics* No. 76 No.1, pp. 69–82.

Benn, S., Abratt, R., and Kleyn, N. (2016), "Reducing reputational risk: Evaluating stakeholder salience and prioritising stakeholder claims," *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, Vol. 34 No.6, pp. 828-842.

Berger, I. E., Cunningham, P. H., and Drumwright, M. E. (2007), "Mainstreaming corporate social responsibility: Developing markets for virtue," *California Management Review*, Vol. 49 No.4, pp. 132–160.

Berrone, P., Surroca, J., and Tribó, J.A. (2007), "Corporate ethical identity as a determinant of firm performance: A test of the mediating role of stakeholder satisfaction," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol.76 No.1, pp. 35–53.

Bhattacharya, C.B. and Korschun, D. (2008), "Stakeholder marketing: beyond the four Ps and the customer," *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, Vol. 27 No.1, pp.113-116.

Birth, G. and Illia, L. (2008), "Communicating CSR: practices among Switzerland's top 300 companies," *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, Vol.13 No. 2, pp. 182-196.

Blau, P. (1964). Exchange and Power in Social Life. Wiley, New York, NY.

Blenkhorn, D., and MacKenzie, H. (2017), "Categorizing corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives in B2B markets: The why, when, and how," *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 8, pp. 1172–1181.

Bogaards, M., Mpinganjira, M., Svensson, G. and Mysen, T. (2012), "A framework of conscientious corporate brand – a South African validation," *Corporate Governance*, Vol. 12 No.5, pp. 675-685.

Borazon, E.Q., Huang, Y.-C. and Liu, J.-M. (2022), "Green market orientation and organizational performance in Taiwan's electric and electronic industry: the mediating role of green supply chain management capability", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 7, pp. 1475-1496,

Boyer, E. L. (1990), "Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate," Jossey-Bass, San Fransisco.

Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., and Harrison, D. A. (2005), "Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Vol. 97 No.2, pp. 117–134.

Chabowski, B. R., Mena, J. A., and Gonzalez-Padron, T. L. (2011), "The structure of sustainability research in marketing, 1958–2008: A basis for future research opportunities," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol.39 No. 1, pp. 55–70.

Chen, Y., and Hung-Baesecke, C. (2014), "Examining the internal aspect of corporate social responsibility (CSR): Leader behavior and employee CSR participation," *Communication Research Reports*, Vol. 31 No.2, pp. 210–220.

Chevreux, L., Lopez, J., and Mesnard, X. (2017), "The best companies know how to balance strategy and purpose," *Harvard Business. Review*, Vol. 8, pp. 101.

Choi, Y. and Yu, Y. (2014), "The Influence of Perceived Corporate Sustainability Practices on Employees and Organizational Performance," *Journal of Sustainability*, Vol. 6, pp. 348-364.

Chughtai, A., Byrne, M. and Flood, B. (2015), "Linking ethical leadership to employee well-being: the role of trust in supervisor," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 128 No.3, pp. 653-663.

Dawkins, J. (2004), "Corporate responsibility: the communication challenge," *Journal of Communication Management*, Vol. 9 No.2, p. 108.

Dean, K. W. (2008), "Values-Based Leadership: How Our Personal Values Impact the Workplace," *The Journal of Values-Based Leadership*: Vol. 1 No.1, pp. 1–8

Delaney, M. L., and Royal, M. A. (2017), "Breaking engagement apart: the role of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in engagement strategies," *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 10, pp. 127–140.

Donaldson, T. and Preston, L.E. (1995), "The stakeholder theory of the corporation: concepts, evidence, and implications," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 65-91.

Doohan, L. (2007), Spiritual leadership: The quest for integrity, Paulist Press, New York.

Dow (2021), available at: https://corporate.dow.com/en-us/news/press-releases/dow-to-help-lead-1-billion-global-alliance-to-end-plastic-waste-in-the-environment.html

Dow (2021), Available at: https://corporate.dow.com/en-us/about/company/beliefs-and-culture/ethics.html

Dowling, G. and Moran, P. (2012), "Corporate Reputations: built in or bolted on?" *California Management Review*, Vol. 54 No.2, pp. 25-42.

Du S., Bhattacharya C. B., and Sen S. (2007), "Convergence of interests cultivating consumer trust through corporate social initiatives," Fitzsimons G, and Morwitz V, (Eds.), *Advances in Consumer Research*, Association for Consumer Research, Duluth, MN, Vol. 34, p. 687.

Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., and Sen, S. (2010), "Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 12, pp. 8-19.

Ellsworth, R. R. (2002), *Leading with purpose: The new corporate realities*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.

Fagerhaug, T. and Andersen, B. (1998), "Honest marketing: a coherent approach to conscientious business operation," *International Journal of Business Transformation*, Vol. 1, pp.192-198.

Fink, L. D. (2019), Larry Fink's 2019 letter to CEOs. Purpose & Profit. Avail-able at: https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/investor-relations/larry-fink-ceo-letter [17 January 2019].

Fukukawa, K., Balmer, J.M.T. and Gray, E. R. (2007), "Mapping the Interface Between Corporate Identity, Ethics and Corporate Social Responsibility," *Journal of Business Ethics*. Vol. 76, pp. 1–5.

Golob, U., and Podnar, K. (2019), "Researching CSR and brands in the here and now: an integrative perspective," *Journal of Brand Management* Vol. 26, pp. 1–8.

Gupta, S., and Kumar, V. (2013), "Sustainability as corporate culture of a brand for superior performance," *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 48 No.3, pp. 311–320.

Hajdas, M. and Kłeczek, R., (2021), "The real purpose of purpose-driven branding: consumer empowerment and social transformations" *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 28 No.4, pp. 359-373.

Han, P., Wang, H. and Fan, P. (2022), "Green strategies and relationship maintenance: a network evolution perspective", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 7, pp. 1422-1431.

Hansen, S.D., Dunford, B.B., Alge, B. J. and Jackson, C. L. (2016), "Corporate Social Responsibility, Ethical Leadership, and Trust Propensity: A Multi-Experience Model of Perceived Ethical Climate," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 137, pp. 649–662.

Hargett T.R. and Williams, M.F. (2009), "Wilhelmsen Shipping Company: moving from CSR tradition to CSR leadership," *Journal of Corporate Governance*, Vol.9 No.1, pp. 73-82.

Hatch, M.J., and Schultz, M. (2001), "Are the strategic stars aligned for your corporate brand? *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 4 No.1, pp. 129-134.

Hatch, M.J. and Schultz, M., (2010). Toward a theory of brand co-creation with implications for brand governance. *Journal of Brand management*, 17(8), pp.590-604.

Henderson R, and Van den Steen E (2015), "Why do firms have "purpose"? The firm's role as a carrier of identity and reputation," *American. Economic. Review*, Vol. 105 No.5, pp. 326–330.

Hildebrand, D. and Sen, S. (2011), "Corporate social responsibility: a corporate marketing perspective," *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 45 No. 9/10, pp.1353 – 1364.

Hoch, J. E., Bommer, W. H., Dulebohn, J. H., and Wu, D. (2018), "Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational leadership? A meta-analysis," *Journal of Management*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 501–529.

Hult, G.T.M., Mena, J.A., Ferrell, O.C. and Ferrell, L. (2011), "Stakeholder marketing: a definition and conceptual framework," *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, Vol.1 No.1, pp. 44-65.

Hutchinson, D., Singh, J., Svensson, G., and Mysen, T. (2013), "Towards a model of conscientious corporate brands: A Canadian study," *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 28 No.8, pp. 687–695.

IBM (2021), available at: https://files.ibm.org/responsibility/thought-leadership/pdfs/IBM and the UN Sustainable Development Goals.pdf

Iglesias, O., and Ind, N. (2020), "Towards a theory of conscientious corporate brand co-creation: the next key challenge in brand management," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 27 No.7, pp. 10–720.

Iglesias, O., Markovic, S., Bagherzadeh, M. and Singh, J.J., (2020), "Co-creation: A key link between corporate social responsibility, customer trust, and customer loyalty," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 163 No. 1, pp.151–166.

Ind, N., Iglesias, O., and Markovic, S. (2017), "The co-creation continuum: From tactical market research tool to strategic collaborative innovation method," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol.24 No.4, pp. 310-321.

Intel. (2021), available at:

https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/supplier/governance/ethics/overview.html?wapkw =ethics

Intel (2021), available at: https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/corporate-responsibility/2030-goals.html

Kumar, V. and Christodoulopoulou, A. (2014), "Sustainability and branding: An integrated perspective," *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 43 No.1, pp. 6-15.

Lai, K., Wong, C., and Lam, J. (2015), "Sharing environmental management information with supply chain partners and the performance contingencies on environmental munificence," *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 164, pp. 445–453.

Lee, Y.K., Kim, S., Lee, K. and Li, D. (2012), "The impact of CSR on relationship quality and relationship outcomes: A perspective of employees," *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. Vol. 31, pp. 754 – 756.

Lee, T R, Mysen, T. and Svensson, G. A. (2012), "Conscientious corporate brand model – a Taiwanese assessment," *International Journal of Business and Globalisation, Vol.* 8 No.2, pp. 256-267

Leigh, A. (2013), *Ethical leadership: Creating and sustaining an ethical business culture*. Kogan Page, London.

Lewellyn, P. G. (2002), "Corporate reputation: Focusing the zeitgeist," *Business & Society*, Vol. 41, pp. 446–455.

Lin, W. L., Yip, N., Ho, J. A., and Sambasivan, M. (2020), "The adoption of technological innovations in a B2B context and its impact on firm performance: An ethical leadership perspective," *Industrial Marketing Management*, published online,

DOI: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.12.009

Lindgreen, A., and Swaen, V. (2009), "Corporate social responsibility," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 12, pp. 1–7.

Logsdon, J. M., and Wood, D. J. (2002), "Reputation as an emerging construct in the business and society field: An introduction," *Business & Society*, Vol. 41, pp. 365–370.

Macfarlane, B. and Spence, L. J. (2003), "Redefining the scholarship of business ethics: an editorial", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 48, No. 1, pp. 1-6.

Mahon, J. F. (2002), "Corporate reputation: A research agenda using strategy and stakeholder literature," *Business & Society*, Vol. 41, pp. 415–445.

Mayer, R., J. and Schoorman, D. (1995), "An integrative model of organizational trust," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No.3, pp. 709-734.

Napal, G. (2013), "Incorporating CSR and Sustainability in Business Strategy," The 3rd Annual International Conference on Business Strategy and Organizational Behavior (Biz strategy, 2013).

Nejati, M., Salamzadeh, Y. and Loke C. K. (2020), "Can ethical leaders drive employees' CSR engagement"? *Social Responsibility Journal*, Vol. 16 No.5, pp. 655-669.

Neville, M.G. (2008), Positive deviance on the ethical continuum: Green Mountain Coffee as a case study in conscientious capitalism. *Business and Society Review*, 113(4), 555-576.

Nielsen, A. and Thomsen, C. (2009), "CSR communication in small and medium-sized enterprises: A study of the attitudes and beliefs of middle managers," *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, Vol. 14 No.2, pp. 176-189.

O'Dwyer, B., and Madden, G. (2006), "Ethical Codes of Conduct in Irish Companies: A Survey of Code Content and Enforcement Procedures," *Journal of Business Ethics* Vol. 63, pp. 217–236.

Olsen, L. E., and Peretz, A. (2011), "Conscientious brand criteria: A framework and a case example from the clothing industry," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 18 No.9, pp. 639-649.

Oumlil, A.B. and Balloun, J.L. (2017), "Cultural variations and ethical business decision making: a study of individualistic and collective cultures", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 889-900.

Park, B.I., Chidlow, A., and Choi, J. (2014), "Corporate social responsibility: Stakeholders influence on MNEs' activities," *International. Business. Review*, Vol. 23, pp. 966–980.

Piccolo, R.F., Greenbaum, R., Hartog, D. N. and Folger, R. (2010), "The relationship between ethical leadership and core job characteristics," *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 31 No.2-3, pp. 259-278.

Pomering, A. and Dolnicar, S. (2009), "Assessing the Prerequisite of Successful CSR Implementation: Are Consumers Aware of CSR Initiatives?" *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 85, pp. 285–301.

Potoski, M., and Callery, P. J. (2018), "Peer communication improves environmental employee engagement programs: Evidence from a quasi-experimental field study," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 172, pp. 1486–1500.

Preston, L. E., and O'Bannon, D. P. (1997), "The corporate social-financial performance relationship: A typology and analysis," *Business and Society*, Vol. 36 No.4, pp. 419–429.

Rindell, A., Svensson, G., and Mysen, T. (2011), "Towards a conceptual foundation of Conscientious Corporate Brands'," *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 18, pp. 709–719.

Roberts, B. W., Lejuez, C., Krueger, R. F., Richards, J. M., & Hill, P. L. (2014). "What is conscientiousness and how can it be assessed?". *Developmental psychology*, Vol. 50 No.5, pp. 1315-1331.

Rudawska, E. (2019), "Sustainable marketing strategy in food and drink industry: a comparative analysis of B2B and B2C SMEs operating in Europe", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 875-890.

Shahin, A. and Zairi, M. (2007), "Corporate Governance as a critical element for driving excellence in corporate social responsibility," *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, Vol. 24 No.7, pp. 753-770.

Sharma, A., Iyer, G., Mehrotra, A., and Krishnan, R. (2010), "Sustainability and business-to business marketing: A framework and implications," *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 330–341.

Sharma, A. (2020), "Sustainability research in business-tobusiness markets: an agenda for inquiry", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 88, pp. 323-329.

Sheth, J.N. and Sinha, M. (2015), "B2B branding in emerging markets: A sustainability perspective," *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 51, pp.79-88.

Sinclair, A. (1993), "Approaches to Organizational Culture and Ethics," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 12, pp. 63–73.

Sitkin, S. B., and Lind E. A. (2011), "The six domains of leadership: A new model for developing and accessing leadership qualities," *Leader to Leader*, Vol. 27, p. 33

Torres, A., Bijmolt, T. H., Tribó, J. A., and Verhoef, P. (2012), "Generating global brand equity through corporate social responsibility to key stakeholders," *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 29 No.1, pp. 13–24.

Valentine, S., and Barnett, T. (2003), "Ethics Code Awareness, Perceived Ethical Values, and Organizational Commitment," *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, Vol. 23 No.4, pp. 359–367.

Vallaster, C. and Lindgreen, A., (2011), "Corporate brand strategy formation: Brand actors and the situational context for a business-to-business brand," *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 40 No.7, pp. 1133-1143.

van de Ven, B. (2008), "An ethical framework for the marketing of corporate social responsibility," *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 82 No.2, pp. 339-352.

van Tuin, L.; Schaufeli, W.B.; van den Broeck, A. and van Rhenen, W. A (2020), "Corporate purpose as an antecedent to employee motivation and work engagement," Frontiers in Psychology, Vol. 11, pp. 2402.

Vesal, M., Siahtiri, V. and O'Cass, A. (2021), "Strengthening B2B brands by signalling environmental sustainability and managing customer relationships," *Industrial Marketing* Management, Vol. 92, pp. 321-331.

Vishwanathan, P., van Oosterhout, H., Heugens, P.P., Duran, P. and Van Essen, M. (2020), "Strategic CSR: a concept building meta-analysis", Journal of Management Studies, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp. 314-35.

Walsh, G., and Beatty, S. E., (2007), "Customer-based corporate reputation of a service firm: Scale development and validation," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 127–143.

WCED (1987), Our Common Future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Wood, G., and Rimmer, M. (2003), "Codes of Ethics: What Are They Really and What Should They Be?" *International Journal of Value-Based Management, Vol.* 16 No. 2, pp. 181–195.

orate Social I. Ziek, P. (2009), "Making sense of CSR communication," Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 137-145.



Figure 1. The Conscientious Corporate Brand framework