# Inhalation health risk assessment of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and associated trace elements in Cape Town, South Africa

Anna Alfeus <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Peter Molnar <sup>c</sup>, Johan Boman <sup>d</sup>, Joyce Shirinde <sup>a</sup>, and Janine Wichmann<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Health Systems and Public health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa;

<sup>b</sup>Department of Public Health, School of Nursing and Public health, Faculty of Health Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Namibia, Oshakati, Namibia;

<sup>c</sup>Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden;

<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

\*CONTACT Anna Alfeus. Department of Public health, School of Nursing and Public Health, Faculty of Health Science and Veterinary Medicine, University of Namibia, Oshakati, Namibia. Email: aalfeus@unam.na

#### Abstract

Few human health risk assessment studies of air pollution exist in Africa. This study used the US EPA health risk framework to investigate the human health risks due to inhalation exposure to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and some of its trace element composition (Cl, Si, and Ti) in Cape Town, South Africa, for 12 months (April 2017 to April 2018). The safe average daily dose was determined using the yearly WHO guideline and South African ambient air quality standard for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and the US EPA regional screening levels for trace elements. The average yearly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was above the yearly WHO guideline (5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), but below the yearly South African standard (20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The average yearly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration posed a low risk to adults (Hazard Quotient = 0.66) compared to infants (HQ = 2.13) and children (HQ =1.96). Cl posed health risks to all age groups (HQ > 1). The study concludes that PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its trace element components pose adverse health effects to all population age groups. The toxicity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> depends on its composition; hence this study recommends a source apportionment study to quantify the source contributions and inform the right abatement strategies.

Keywords: Cape Town; human health risk assessment; inhalation exposure; PM<sub>2.5</sub>; trace elements

#### Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) updated the recommended limits for ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  in 2021 (WHO 2021).  $PM_{2.5}$  refers to airborne particles with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm or smaller (WHO 2021). This pollutant was the main reason for an estimated 5 million premature deaths and 147 million disability-adjusted life years in 2017 (Cohen et al. 2017; Orellano et al. 2020; Ostro et al. 2018; WHO 2021). Inadequate reporting on  $PM_{2.5}$  levels, its

composition, or potential health effects exists in Africa (Agbo et al. 2021; Coker and Kizito 2018; deSouza 2020; Fayiga et al. 2018; Gaita et al. 2014; Kalisa et al. 2019; Maenhaut et al. 1996; Ostro et al. 2018; Petkova et al. 2013; Ritchie et al. 2016; Tshehla and Djolov 2018). South Africa lacks epidemiological studies on the effects of ambient air pollution on human health (Katoto et al. 2019; Olutola et al. 2019; Olutola and Wichmann 2021; Thabethe et al. 2021; Wichmann and Voyi 2012).

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is an indicator of air pollution globally for numerous reasons. PM<sub>2.5</sub> is hazardous to human health than larger fractions of PM, as its physical size enables it to penetrate and cause harm to the lower respiratory system (Mannucci and Franchini 2017). Their porosity absorbs toxic agents depending on the geographical, meteorological, and source profiles (Mannucci and Franchini 2017). PM<sub>2.5</sub> may contain volatile organic compounds such as benzene, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (Morakinyo et al. 2017), heavy metals (Morakinyo et al. 2021), and trace elements (Chalvatzaki et al. 2019; Edlund et al. 2021).

PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure implicates developing or exacerbating human diseases in various age groups. Diseases reports, including cancers, pregnancy complications, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, exist in different population age groups (adults, children, and infants) (Cakmak et al. 2016; Lavigne et al. 2016; WHO 2021). Nevertheless, most epidemiological studies came from developed countries (Williams et al. 2021).

Since 2005, South Africa has been enforcing the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (NEMAQA) (Act 39 of 2004) and monitoring the criteria air pollutants (Department Of Environmental Affairs 2005). On 29 June 2012, the NEMAQA started enforcing the monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Department Of Environment Affairs 2012). In September 2017, Cape Town began to monitor PM<sub>2.5</sub>; however, the data quality is poor due to missing data (Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning 2022). Poor air quality monitoring and lack of air pollution epidemiological studies in the country could lead to the underestimation of the disease burden of air pollution (Cohen et al. 2017; Coker and Kizito 2018; Ostro et al. 2018; WHO 2021). In 2018, the Western Cape Province conducted a health risk assessment that indicated the need to collect detailed and reliable air quality data (Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning 2022). Therefore, this paper reports the human health risks of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and associated trace elements in Cape Town over 12 months.

## Materials and methods

# Sampling site and procedures

Williams et al. (2021) reported the study location and PM<sub>2.5</sub> sampling methods in detail. PM<sub>2.5</sub> sampling was conducted in the Kraaifontein suburb from 18 April 2017 to 16 April 2018.

## Weather variables

We obtained hourly data for temperature (°C), relative humidity (%), wind speed (m/s), and precipitation (mm) from the South African Weather Services. Daily averages were calculated for 24 hours from 9 a.m. to 9 a.m.for each sampling day. Daily averages were at least 18 hourly values; otherwise, they were set as missing.

# XRF analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples

The trace element composition of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples was analyzed using a XEPOS 5 energy-dispersive x-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) spectrometer (Spectro analytical instruments GmbH, Germany) at the Atmospheric Science Division, University of Gothenburg, as done in other studies (Adeyemi et al. 2021; Edlund et al. 2021; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022). The Spectro XRF Analyzer Pro software processed and quantified the EDXRF spectra for each filter using a total time of 3000 seconds, automatically divided between four analytical setup conditions. The concentrations of the following 19 elements were analyzed: As, Ba, Br, Ca, Cl, Cu, Fe, K, Ni, Pb, S, Sb, Se, Si, Sr, Ti, U, V, and Zn.

EDXRF detected eight trace elements (Ca, Cl, Fe, K, S, Si, Ti, and Zn) with high signal (i.e., concentration detected) to noise (also known as uncertainty) ratios, namely S/N ratios were larger than one (Table 1S). The other 11 trace elements had many values below the limit of detection and, therefore, low S/N ratios (Table 2S).

The uncertainty is determined if the concentration detected exceeds the limit of detection (LoD). Equation (1) measured the uncertainty of the EDXRF analysis method (Norris et al. 2014; Reff et al. 2007). Other local studies followed the same approach to exclude elements with low S/N ratios (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022).

Uncertainty = 5/6 \* LoD

(1)

If trace elements above the LoD had missing values, then the median concentration substitutes missing values. The uncertainty was calculated as four times the median level (Reff et al. 2007).

# Statistical analysis

SAS version 9.3 performed the statistical analyses. According to the Shapiro–Wilk's test, most exposure variables did not have normal Gaussian distributions, and non-parametric tests were applied. This study applied the spearman rank-ordered correlation analysis to investigate the correlation between exposure variables. Kruskal–Wallis tests determined whether the median exposure variables differed significantly between seasons and months. Seasons were: Autumn (March to May), winter (June to August), spring (September to November) and summer (December to February); as done in other local studies (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022). Wilcoxon's rank-sum tests investigated whether median exposure variables differed significantly between weekdays and weekends.

Variable	Population	Value	Source
Bodyweight (kg)	Adult (South Africa)	71.9	US AID (2016)
	Children (South Africa)	13.8	
	Infants (South Africa)	7.6	
	Reference	73.7	Ogden et al. (2004
Inhalation rate ( m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Adult	15.9	US EPA (2011)
	Children	9.0	
	Infants	5.4	

Table 1. Variables and assumptions used for the health risk assessment\*.

\*Edlund et al. (2021)

#### Health risk assessment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and trace elements

This study applied the same method to a study conducted in Thohoyandou, South Africa. The study followed the standardized US EPA human health risk assessment (HRA) framework (Edlund et al. 2021; USEPA 2018). This study used Equation (2) to calculate field average daily doses (FADD) from ambient air concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Cl, Si, and Ti. Inhalation is assumed to be the predominant and continuous route of exposure. The other five trace elements (Ca, Fe, K, S, and Zn) do not have reference concentrations (RfCs) and were not investigated.

$$FADD = (C * IR)/BW$$

(2)

(3)

where C indicates the average concentration over the 121 days during the 1-year sampling period (in  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and ng/m<sup>3</sup> for the trace elements), IR is the inhalation rate (m<sup>3</sup>/day), and BW is the body weight (kg). The BW values of the local adult, children, and infant populations were applied. Since no local data were available for inhalation rates, the US EPA recommended values were applied (Table 1).

Equation (3) estimated the safe average daily doses (SADD).

$$SADD = (C * IR)/BW$$

where C indicates the yearly PM<sub>2.5</sub> WHO guideline (5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), yearly PM<sub>2.5</sub> South African national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) (20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), or US EPA non-cancer RfCs of Cl, Si and Ti (Department Of Environment Affairs 2012; USEPA 2019; WHO 2021).

Equation (3) used the adult BW value of the USA when the yearly WHO guideline or the US EPA reference concentrations (RfC) were applied as the safe level, i.e., to be in line with the studies on which these safe levels are based (USEPA. 2011). The adult BW value of the local population was applied when the yearly South African NAAQS were used as the safe level. We then calculated the non-cancer health risks for each exposure variable using Equation (4). Unitless hazard quotients (HQ) expressed the non-cancer health risks:

$$HQ = FADD/SADD$$
(4)

where an RfC value is unavailable for Cl, Si, or Ti, it was derived from the RfD as indicated in Equation (5) (Chalvatzaki et al. 2019).

$$RfD = RfC \times (IR/BW)$$
(5)

Equation (5) used the adult BW value of the USA and the adult US EPA recommended value for the inhalation rate. Cl, Si, and Ti are not human carcinogens, and their cancer risks were therefore not estimated.

# Ethical approval

The Faculty of Health Science Research Ethics Committee at the University of Pretoria, approved the study (Ethics Reference No: 19/2020 and 104/2020).

Variables	Full study (N $=$ 121)	Autumn (N $=$ 31)	Winter (N $=$ 30)	Spring (N $=$ 30)	Summer (N = 30)	Weekdays (N = 83)	Weekends (N $=$ 38)
$PM_{2.5} \ (\mu g/m^3)$	10.9 (1.2–39.1)	9.8 (1.9–21.7)	13.2 (3.2–39.1)	18.0 (1.2–32.7)	8.9 (2.0–17.3)	9.9 (1.2–32.7)	14.1 (2.7–39.1)
Cl (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )	290	(1.9–21.7) 190	(3.2–39.1) 190	(1.2-32.7) 390	(2.0-17.3) 700	210	630
2	(2.4–2500)	(2.4–1000)	(2.4–1600)	(2.5–1800)	(6.5–2500)	(2.4–2500)	(17–2100)
Si (ng/m³)	380 (20–3000)	550 (21–2600)	330 (52–3000)	390 (20–1300)	330 (21–910)	340 (20–2600)	410 (21–3000)
Ti (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )	32	34	31	32	30	33	30
Temperature (°C)	(4.0–160) 18.0 (9.2–25.3)	(4.0–110) 19.0 (13.9–22.7)	(7.4–120) 13.5 (9.2–18.0)	(7.2–160) 16.7 (14.2–23.6)	(7.2–54) 21.8 (17.1—25.3)	(4.0–130) 18.1 (9.2–25.3)	(7.2–160) 17.8 (10.7–24.0)
Variables	Full study (N $=$ 121)	Autumn (N $=$ 31)	Winter (N $=$ 30)	Spring (N $=$ 30)	Summer (N $=$ 30)	Weekdays (N $=$ 83)	Weekends (N $=$ 38)
Relative humidity (%)	68.0 (37.3–90.7)	68.5 (37.3–89.3)	73.0 (44.2–90.7)	66.7 (50.2–81.9)	62.5 (53.9–80.9)	68.0 (37.3–90.7)	68.2 (44.2–83.6)
Wind speed (m/s)	3.4 (1.0-8.1)	2.6 (1.0–6.2)	2.5 (1.0–5.8)	3.9 (1.2–7.7)	4.8 (2.5–8.1)	3.3 (1.0–8.1)	3.6 (1.3–7.8)
Rainfall (mm)	0.0 (0.0–11.4)	0.0 (0.0–1.0)	0.0 (0.0–7.8)	0.0 (0.0–11.4)	0.0 (0.0-3.2)	0.0 (0.0–11.4)	0.0 (0.0-5.5)

**Table 2.** PM<sub>2.5</sub> and trace elemental levels (median and range) along with weather conditions from 18 April 2017 to 16 April 2018 in Kraaifontein, Cape Town, South Africa for the entire study period and by seasons and weekends/weekdays (121 sampling days).

<sup>1</sup>Temperature and relative humidity had missing values for 1 day, and wind speed had missing values for 7 days

### **Results and discussion**

#### Ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration

Williams et al. (2021) reported and discussed in detail the descriptive statistics of  $PM_{2.5}$  and the weather variables for the entire 1-year study as well as by seasons, months, and weekends/weekdays. Williams et al. (2021) also compared the  $PM_{2.5}$  levels to those of other African and global studies.

Tables 2and 3 recap some of the descriptive statistics (median and range) for PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the entire 1-year study period as well as by seasons, months, and weekends/weekdays. The yearly ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was  $13.4 \pm 8.2 \ \mu g/m^3$ , ranging from 1.2 to  $39.1 \ \mu g/m^3$ . This average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is twice as high as the yearly WHO guideline ( $5 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) (WHO 2021) but lower than the yearly South African NAAQS ( $20 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) (Department Of Environment Affairs 2012). Thirty-eight samples exceeded the daily WHO guideline ( $15 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) but not the daily South African NAAQS ( $40 \ \mu g/m^3$ ). As discussed by Williams et al. (2021), the exceedance of the yearly and daily WHO guidelines indicate the severity of PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in Cape Town, which may result in adverse human health effects.

Table 3. PM<sub>2.5</sub> and trace elemental levels (median and range) from 18 April 2017 to 16 April 2018 in Kraaifontein, Cape Town, South Africa by months (121 sampling days).

			<u> </u>	
	PM2.5	Si	C	Ti
January (N = 11)	7.7 (2.7–17.3)	440 (21-780)	690 (6.5-2000)	33 (7.2–51)
February (N = 9)	9.8 (6.2-12.0)	311(110-910)	660 (57-1600)	29 (7.7-54)
March (N = 10)	6.3 (1.9–16.2)	390 (21-1100)	405 (2.4-1000)	46 (7.8-110)
April (N = 11)	12.6 (7.7-21.7)	690 (21-1900)	220 (11-940)	27(4.0-99)
May (N = 10)	9.8 (3.8-18.9)	840 (160-2600)	170 (3.0-780)	34 (7.2-93)
June (N = 10)	19.9 (4.5–39.1)	250 (52-3000)	150 (2.4–1400)	31 (7.4–120)
July $(N = 7)$	18.8 (4.2-33.0)	420 (81-1500)	64 (17-760)	33 (8.3-53)
August $(N = 11)$	10.4 (3.2-17.6)	420 (235-1400)	235 (62-1600)	24 (11-65)
September (N = 10)	22.5 (1.2-31.3)	560 (21-1300)	420 (85-1100)	60 (7.8-160)
October (N = 10)	23.1 (10.6-32.7)	444 (41-690)	661 (2.5-1800)	30 (14-80)
November (N = 10)	10.3 (3.0-16.4)	140 (20-500)	170 (15-1500)	20 (7.2-40)
December (N = 10)	10 (2.0-14.6)	284 (85-910)	980 (133-2500)	25 (7.5-50)

#### Trace elemental concentration in ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples

Williams et al. (2021) did not analyze all 121 PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples for trace elements but collected four composite PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples during 96 hours in September 2017 and January 2018. The analysis of these four 96-hour samples was for Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, Na, and Zn. Williams et al. (2021) discussed the trace element results of the four 96-hour samples.

The detected trace elements contributed 2.6% of the total PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass. Tables 2 and 3 indicate the descriptive statistics (median and range) of the Cl, Si, and Ti levels during the entire 1-year study, as well as by seasons, months, and weekends/weekdays. Si had the highest median concentration (380 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), followed by Cl (290 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) and Ti (33 ng/m<sup>3</sup>). Similarly, Si levels in other areas in South Africa were higher than those of Cl and Ti, namely the administrative capital Pretoria (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022), Thohoyandou in a rural area (Edlund et al. 2021), and the heavily industrialized Vaal Triangle area (Muyemeki et al. 2021).

Cl levels in Cape Town were higher than in Pretoria or the Vaal Triangle area (an industrial area located inland about 600 km from the Indian Ocean), or in Thohoyandou (a rural area located inland about 2000 km north from Cape Town) (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Edlund et al. 2021; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022; Muyemeki et al. 2021). The most likely sources of the Cl in the PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples in Cape Town are the Atlantic Ocean (20 km west from the Kraaifontein study site) and the Indian Ocean (25 km south from the study site) (Williams et al. 2021; Alfeus 2021). Cl levels were similar to those observed in Kenya, attributable to the marine influence of air masses from the Indian Ocean (Gaita et al. 2014). Cl levels in this study were lower than concentrations reported in Burkina Faso and Cairo, Egypt (Boman et al. 2009; Shaltout et al. 2020). Cl levels observed in this study were higher than those reported in Venice, Italy, and Gothenburg, Sweden (Boman et al. 2010; Masiol et al. 2014).

Cl levels differed significantly (p < 0.05) between the four seasons in this study. The median Cl level was highest in summer (700 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), specifically in December (980 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), and lowest in winter (190 ng/m<sup>3</sup>), specifically in July (64 ng/m<sup>3</sup>). The wind speed was also highest in summer and lowest in winter (p < 0.05). During summer, the strong southeaster wind carries sea spray to areas of the city that are not directly next to the sea, such as the Kraaifontein study site. Median Cl levels were significantly higher over weekends (630 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) than on weekdays (210 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) and also varied significantly by day of the week (p < 0.05), with the highest median level observed on Saturdays (780 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) (Tables 1 and 3S). Biomass burning (combustion processes) is an alternative source of Cl emission, which could explain its variation by days of the weeks, especially over weekends and during summer holidays when many households barbeque outdoors (Boman et al. 2009; Gaita et al. 2014). To support our findings, Mmari et al. (2020) reported an enrichment factor for Cl in the range of 40–100, attributing it to anthropogenic sources. Cl is a secondary sulfate aerosol precursor formed when Cl reacts with sulfuric acid during atmospheric transport (Gaita et al. 2014).

Median Si and Ti levels in Cape Town did not differ across seasons, months, day of the week, or weekends/weekdays (p > 0.05), except for Ti by day of the week. The median Ti level was highest on Fridays (38 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) and the lowest on Saturdays (18 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) (p < 0.05) (Tables 4S and 5S). Various studies attributed Si and Ti to either sea sand, soil, or mineral dust due to traffic or wind (Adeyemi et al. 2021; Alfeus 2021; Gaita et al. 2014; Mmari et al. 2020; Muyemeki et al. 2021; Yu et al. 2019). Source apportionment studies indicate that crustal elements contribute 0.91 and 1.4 µg/m<sup>3</sup> toward PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in Cape Town and Pretoria, respectively (Adeyemi et al. 2021; Alfeus 2021).

This study's median Ti level was similar to that of Nairobi, Kenya (Gaita et al. 2014), Pretoria, and Thohoyandou (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Edlund et al. 2021; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022). Ti levels were higher in Burkina Faso and Cairo, Egypt (Boman et al. 2009; Shaltout et al. 2020), and much lower in Venice, Nanjing City in China, or Gothenburg compared to this study (Boman et al. 2010; Masiol et al. 2014; Yu et al. 2019). It is necessary to conduct a complete source apportionment study to quantify the various sources' contribution to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Cape Town.

#### Correlations between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and trace elements

Table 4 shows the correlations between  $PM_{2.5}$ , Cl, Ti, and Si. William et al. (2021) found a significant correlation between  $PM_{2.5}$  with temperature and wind speed, but not relative humidity. Si and Ti were highly correlated (0.61), suggesting common crustal sources of Si and Ti in  $PM_{2.5}$  (Zheng et al. 2016). Similarly, Ti and Si exhibited strong correlations in

Pretoria and Thohoyandou (Adeyemi et al. 2022; Edlund et al. 2021; Howlett-Downing et al. 2022). Cl was weaker and not significantly correlated with Si or Ti. Lastly, Cl, Si, and Ti correlated with PM<sub>2.5</sub>, indicating that sea salt and crustal sources contribute to PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass in Cape Town.

Table 4. Correlation between the PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Cl, Si, and Ti on 121 days from 18 April 2017 to 16 April 2018 in Kraaifontein, Cape Town, South Africa.

	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Cl	Ti
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1		
CI	0.33	1	
Ti	0.35	0.02	1
Si	0.33 0.35 0.32	0.19	0.61

<sup>2</sup>Bold values (p < 0.05)

#### Health risk assessment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and associated trace elements

The HQs of PM<sub>2.5</sub> presented in Table 5 show the probability of health risks in adults, children, and infants. Using the WHO guideline as the safe concentration, the HQ for adults, children, and infants were 2.72, 8.02, and 8.73, respectively. This study shows that children and infants are more vulnerable to PM<sub>2.5</sub> than adults when exposed to the same concentration. Other local studies confirm this finding (Edlund et al. 2021; Morakinyo et al. 2017; Thabethe et al. 2014). Children and infants have a higher inhalation rate, small body weight, and a larger surface area of the lungs, resulting in a higher internal dose (USEPA. 2011). The higher inhalation rate-to-body weight ratio makes them highly susceptible, especially during barbequing events emitting higher PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Edlund et al. 2021; Thabethe et al. 2014; USEPA. 2011). A study in Thohoyandou, South Africa, also reported adult health risks (HQ 1.18). However, the outdated annual WHO guideline of 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was still applicable and thus applied (Edlund et al. 2021).

Table 5. Hazard quotients (HQ) for PM <sub>2.5</sub>	, Cl, Si,	and Ti	i from	18 April	2017	to 16	5 April	2018 in
Kraaifontein, Cape Town, South Africa.								

	Benchmark(µg/m3)	Adults	Children	Infants
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	20*	0.66	1.96	2.13
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	5**	2.72	8.02	8.73
CI	0.15	1.97	5.81	6.33
Ti	0.10	0.20	0.60	0.65
Si	3.00	0.07	0.21	0.22

<sup>3</sup>\*Annual South African national ambient air quality standard; \*\* Annual WHO air quality guideline. HQs > 1, indicating a health risk, are in bold figures

Using the SA NAAQS, the probability of health risks for children (HQ 1.96) and infants (HQ 2.13) is higher than for adults (HQ 0.66). Edlund et al. (2021) reported an HQ of 0.54 for adults in Thohoyandou. These low HQs do not imply the absence of adverse health effects, seeing that the SA NAAQS is more lenient than the more protective WHO guideline (Department Of Environment Affairs 2012; WHO 2021). As mentioned earlier, numerous epidemiological studies globally reported on the association between  $PM_{2.5}$  and various health outcomes amongst adults.

Our results suggest the revision of the current South African NAAQS  $(20 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$  to an exposure limit of 5  $\mu\text{g/m}^3$  to align with the revised WHO guideline sooner than the scheduled revision in 2030 (15  $\mu\text{g/m}^3$ ) (Department Of Environment Affairs 2012). Edlund et al. (2021)

recommended adjusting the current SA standard to  $9.2 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ ; despite employing the outdated WHO guideline. Lowering PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards would ensure accepted health risks (HQ < 1) are no longer exceeded in adults, children, and infants and further contributes to reducing the burden of disease and improving life expectancy (Edlund et al. 2021; WHO 2013).

Cl posed a significant health risk as the HQs were above 1 for adults (1.97), children (5.81), and infants (6.33). Similarly, Edlund et al. (2021) reported a higher HQ in children (1.23) and infants (1.33) as a result of exposure to Cl than in adults (0.44). As mentioned, probably sources of Cl in Cape Town are sea spray or combustion. Sea salt was an air pollution source discussed in a WHO report, which summarized epidemiological evidence of long-term and short-term PM exposure since 2005 (WHO 2013). However, the report concluded that the current evidence indicates no harmful health effects from exposure to sea salt (WHO 2013).

This study reported no significant health risks (HQ < 1) in adults, children, and infants due to exposure to Ti and Si. The 2013 WHO report concluded that there was little epidemiological evidence available on the risk of Sahara Desert dust on hospital admissions from studies published until June 2012 (WHO 2013). A study from Hong Kong reported that episodic dust storm events were associated with an increase (RR:1.04) in hospital admission for ischemic heart disease in all age groups (Tam et al. 2012). A cohort study conducted at the Barcelona university hospital observed a statistically significant association between gestational age at delivery and the number of episodic days during the third trimester and whole pregnancy (p < 0.01) in Barcelona, Spain (Dadvand et al. 2011). However, the HRA method is limited in estimating health risks for pregnant mothers, although they are most susceptible to PM<sub>2.5</sub> impact.

The findings of an epidemiological study conducted in the Free State province in South Africa reported elevated hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, eye irritation, and motor vehicle accidents in the range of 3–40 days after dust storms with no statistical significance (p > 0.05) (Nkosi et al. 2022). The lack of substantial evidence on human health effects associated with dust storms warrants additional research. No dust storm has been reported in Cape Town yet; however, climate change's effect is likely to lead to an increase in extreme events such as dust storms.

## Conclusion

This paper is the first to conduct a human health risk assessment on PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Cl, Si, and Ti in Cape Town, South Africa. The results indicate that PM<sub>2.5</sub> and trace elements pose significant risks across all age groups. This study recommends a source apportionment study to determine the toxic elements of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and inform its management.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank John Peter Williams, who conducted the sampling of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> data used in this study as part of his MSc studies at the University of the Western Cape.

## **Disclosure statement**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Authors' contribution

This manuscript was part of the Ph.D. project of Anna Alfeus. Prof Janine Wichmann and Dr. Joyce Shirinde supervised the Ph.D. thesis. Anna Alfeus (AA\*), Joyce Shirinde (JS), Peter Molnar (PM), Johan Boman (JB), and Janine Wichmann (JW) are the authors of this manuscript. AA\* wrote the draft manuscript. PM and JB did data curation. The current version of the manuscript was approved by all authors.

# Data availability

The authors are working on other manuscripts with the data, hence the data is not available to the public.

# Funding

The authors acknowledge the University of Pretoria doctoral bursary, University of Namibia Staff Development office and the AGNES grant office for funding this study. JW received data collection and analysis funding with an NRF grant (UP Faculty of Health Sciences Ethics ref. 36/2017).

# References

Adeyemi A, Molnar P, Boman J, Wichmann J. 2021. Source apportionment of fine atmospheric particles using positive matrix factorization in Pretoria. South Africa. Env Mon and Asses. 193(11):716. 10.1007/s10661-021-09483-3.

Adeyemi A, Molnar P, Boman J, Wichmann J. 2022. Particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) characterization, air quality level and origin of air masses in an urban background in Pretoria. Arch Env Cont and Tox. 83:1–18.

Agbo KE, Walgraeve C, Eze JI, Ugwoke PE, Ukoha PO, Van Langenhove H. 2021. A review on ambient and indoor air pollution status in Africa. Atmos Pol Res. 12(2):243–260. doi:10.1016/j.apr.2020.11.006

Alfeus A. 2021. Air pollution source apportionment and joint effects on mortality in Cape Town, South Africa [Dissertation]. University of Pretoria

Boman J, Lindén J, Thorsson S, Holmer B, Eliasson I. 2009. A tentative study of urban and suburban fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) collected in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. X-Ray Spectrom. 38(4):354–362. doi:10.1002/xrs.1173

Boman J, Wagner A, Gatari MJ. 2010. Trace elements in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Gothenburg, Sweden. Spectro Acta Part B: Atom Spect. 65(6):478–482. doi:10.1016/j.sab.2010.03.014

Cakmak S, Hebbern C, Cakmak JD, Vanos J. 2016. The modifying effect of socioeconomic status on the relationship between traffic, air pollution and respiratory health in elementary schoolchildren. J Environ Manage. 177:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.03.051

Chalvatzaki E, Chatoutsidou SE, Lehtomäki H, Almeida SM, Eleftheriadis K, Hänninen O, Lazaridis M. 2019. Characterization of human health risks from particulate air pollution in selected European cities. Atmos. 10(2):96. doi:10.3390/atmos10020096

Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, Anderson HR, Frostad J, Estep K, Balakrishnan K, Brunekreef B, Dandona L, Dandona R, et al. 2017. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. The Lancet. 389(10082):1907–1918. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6

Coker E, Kizito S. 2018. A narrative review on the human health effects of ambient air pollution in Sub-Saharan Africa: an urgent need for health effects studies. IJERPH. 15(3):427. doi:10.3390/ijerph15030427

Dadvand P, Basagaña X, Figueras F, Amoly E, Tobias A, de Nazelle A, Querol X, Sunyer J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. 2011. Saharan dust episodes and pregnancy. J Environ Monit. 13(11):3222–3228. doi:10.1039/c1em10579e

Department of Environment Affairs 2012. National environmental management: air quality act: national ambient air quality standard for particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 micron meters (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). South African Government. https://www.gov.za/documents/national-environmental-management-air-quality-act-national-ambient-air-quality-standard-0.

Department Of Environmental Affairs. 2005. National environmental management act. https://www.westerncape.gov.za/your gov/46/documents/acts/2005.

Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning. 2022. https://www.westerncape.gov.za/eadp/about-us.

deSouza P. 2020. Air pollution in Kenya: a review. Air Qual Atmos Health. 13(12):1487–1495. doi:10.1007/s11869-020-00902-x

Edlund KK, Killman F, Molnár P, Boman J, Stockfelt L, Wichmann J. 2021. Health risk assessment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound trace elements in Thohoyandou, South Africa. IJERPH. 18(3):1359. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/3/1359. doi:10.3390/ijerph18031359

Fayiga AO, Ipinmoroti MO, Chirenje T. 2018. Environmental pollution in Africa. Environ Dev Sustain. 20(1):41–73. doi:10.1007/s10668-016-9894-4

Gaita SM, Boman J, Gatari MJ, Pettersson JBC, Janhäll S. 2014. Source apportionment and seasonal variation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in a Sub-Saharan African city: Nairobi, Kenya. Atmos Chem Phys. 14(18):9977–9991. doi:10.5194/acp-14-9977-2014

Howlett-Downing C, Boman J, Molnár P, Shirinde J, Wichmann J. 2022. PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition and geographical origin of air masses in Pretoria, South Africa. Wat, Air, and Soil Pol. 233(7):1–13.

Kalisa E, Archer S, Nagato E, Bizuru E, Lee K, Tang N, Pointing S, Hayakawa K, Lacap-Bugler D. 2019. Chemical and biological components of urban aerosols in Africa: current status and knowledge gaps. IJERPH. 16(6):941. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/16/6/941. doi:10.3390/ijerph16060941

Katoto PDMC, Byamungu L, Brand AS, Mokaya J, Strijdom H, Goswami N, De Boever P, Nawrot TS, Nemery B. 2019. Ambient air pollution and health in Sub-Saharan Africa: vurrent evidence, perspectives and a call to action. Environ Res. 173:174–188. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.03.029

Lavigne E, Yasseen AS, Stieb DM, Hystad P, van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Brook JR, Crouse DL, Burnett RT, Chen H, et al. 2016. Ambient air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: Differences by maternal comorbidities. Env Res. 148:457–466. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.04.026

Maenhaut W, Salma I, Cafmeyer J, Annegarn HJ, Andreae MO. 1996. Regional atmospheric aerosol composition and sources in the eastern Transvaal, South Africa, and impact of biomass burning. J Geophys Res. 101(D19):23631–23650. doi:10.1029/95JD02930

Mannucci PM, Franchini M. 2017. Health effects of ambient air pollution in developing countries. IJERPH. 14(9):1048. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/14/9/1048. doi:10.3390/ijerph14091048

Masiol M, Squizzato S, Rampazzo G, Pavoni B. 2014. Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> at multiple sites in Venice (Italy): spatial variability and the role of weather. Atmos Envir. 98:78–88. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.059

Mmari AG, Hassan HA, Bencs L. 2020. Daytime concentrations of minor and trace elements in atmospheric aerosols at four sampling sites of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Air Qual Atmos Health. 13(6):739–750. doi:10.1007/s11869-020-00832-8

Morakinyo OM, Adebowale AS, Mokgobu MI, Mukhola MS. 2017. Health risk of inhalation exposure to sub-10 µm particulate matter and gaseous pollutants in an urban-industrial area in South Africa: an ecological study. BMJ Open. 7(3):e013941. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013941

Morakinyo OM, Mokgobu MI, Mukhola MS, Engelbrecht JC. 2017. Health risk assessment of exposure to ambient concentrations of benzene, toluene and xylene in Pretoria West. South Africa. Afri J of Sci, Techn, Innov and Dev. 9(4):489–496. doi:10.1080/20421338.2017.1352123

Morakinyo OM, Mukhola MS, Mokgobu MI. 2021. Health risk analysis of elemental components of an industrially emitted respirable particulate matter in an urban area. IJERPH. 18(7):3653. doi:10.3390/ijerph18073653

Muyemeki L, Burger R, Piketh SJ, Beukes JP, Van Zyl PG. 2021. Source apportionment of ambient PM<sub>10-25</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the Vaal Triangle, South Africa. Sou Afr J of Sci. 117(5–6):1–11.

Nkosi V, Mathee A, Blesic S, Kapwata T, Kunene Z, du Preez DJ, Garland R, Wright CY. 2022. Exploring meteorological conditions and human health impacts during two dust storm

events in Northern Cape Province, South Africa: findings and lessons learnt. Atmos. 13(3):424. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/13/3/424. doi:10.3390/atmos13030424

Norris GDR, Brown S, Bai S. 2014. EPA Positive M atrix Factorization (PMF) 5. 0 Fundamentals and User Guide. Washington, DC: Publishing House. p. 20460.

Olutola B, Claassen N, Voyi K, Wichmann J. 2019. Apparent temperature as a modifier of the effects of air pollution on respiratory disease hospital admissions in Secunda. South Africa. Envir Epidem. 3:439.

Olutola BG, Wichmann J. 2021. Does apparent temperature modify the effects of air pollution on respiratory disease hospital admissions in an industrial area of South Africa? Clean Air J. 31(2):1–11. doi:10.17159/caj/2021/31/2.11366

Orellano P, Reynoso J, Quaranta N, Bardach A, Ciapponi A. 2020. Short-term exposure to particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. Envir Inter. 142:105876. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105876

Ostro B, Spadaro JV, Gumy S, Mudu P, Awe Y, Forastiere F, Peters A. 2018. Assessing the recent estimates of the global burden of disease for ambient air pollution: methodological changes and implications for low-and middle-income countries. Environ Res. 166:713–725. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2018.03.001

Petkova EP, Jack DW, Volavka-Close NH, Kinney PL. 2013. Particulate matter pollution in African cities. Air Qual Atmos Health. 6(3):603–614. doi:10.1007/s11869-013-0199-6

Reff A, Eberly SI, Bhave PV. 2007. Receptor modeling of ambient particulate matter data using positive matrix factorization: review of existing methods. J Air Waste Manag Assoc. 57(2):146–154. doi:10.1080/10473289.2007.10465319

Ritchie AW, Akoshile C, Anh NX, Brook J, Fatimah D, Zhang MB, Cohen A, Gibson MD, Liu Y, Vanderlei J. 2016. Variation in global chemical composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub>: emerging results from SPARTAN 2. Atmos Chem and Phy. 16(15):9629–9653. doi:10.5194/acp-2016-62

Shaltout AA, Boman J, Hassan SK, Abozied AM, Al-Ashkar EA, Abd-Elkader OH, Yassin M, Al-Tamimi J. 2020. Elemental composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> aerosol in a residential–industrial area of a Mediterranean megacity. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 78(1):68–78. doi:10.1007/s00244-019-00688-9

Tam WW, Wong TW, Wong AH. 2012. Effect of dust storm events on daily emergency admissions for cardiovascular diseases. Circ J. 76(3):655–660. doi:10.1253/circj.cj-11-0894

Thabethe NDL, Engelbrecht JC, Wright CY, Oosthuizen MA. 2014. Human health risks posed by exposure to PM<sub>10</sub> for four life stages in a low socio-economic community in South Africa. The Pan Afric Medic J. 18:206–218. doi:10.11604/pamj.2014.18.206.3393

Thabethe NDL, Voyi K, Wichmann J. 2021. Association between ambient air pollution and cause-specific mortality in Cape Town, Durban, and Johannesburg, South Africa: any

susceptible groups? Environ Sci Pollut Res. 28(31):42868–42876. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-13778-w

Tshehla C, Djolov G. 2018. Source profiling, source apportionment and cluster transport analysis to identify the sources of PM and the origin of air masses to an industrialised rural area in Limpopo. Clean Air J. 28(2):54–66. doi:10.17159/2410-972x/2018/v28n2a18

USEPA. 2011. Exposure factors handbook: 2011 Edition.

USEPA. 2018. Conducting a human health risk assessment. Washington, DC, USA: Government Publishing office:

USEPA. 2019. Regional screening levels. https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables.

WHO. 2013. Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution: REVIHAAP project: technical report. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/341712.

WHO. 2021. WHO global air quality guidelines: particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. Geneva: World Health Organization.

Wichmann J, Voyi K. 2012. Ambient air pollution exposure and respiratory, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular mortality in Cape Town, South Africa: 2001–2006. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 9(11):3978–4016. doi:10.3390/ijerph9113978

Williams J, Petrik L, Wichmann J. 2021. PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition and geographical origin of air masses in Cape Town, South Africa. Air Qual Atmos Health. 14(3):431–442. doi:10.1007/s11869-020-00947-y

Yu Y, He S, Wu X, Zhang C, Yao Y, Liao H, Wang Q, Xie M. 2019. PM<sub>2.5</sub> elements at an urban site in Yangtze River Delta, China: high time-resolved measurement and the application in source apportionment. Environ Pollut. 253:1089–1099. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.096

Zheng X, Xu X, Yekeen TA, Zhang Y, Chen A, Kim SS, Kim N. Dietrich KND, Ho S-M, Lee S-A, Reponen T, et al. 2016. Ambient air heavy metals in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and potential human health risk assessment in an informal electronic-waste recycling site of China. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 16(2):388–397. doi:10.4209/aaqr.2014.11.0292