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#### Abstract

It is well-known that no group has either exactly 1 or exactly 2 nonpower subgroups. In this paper, we obtain a classification of groups containing exactly 3 nonpower subgroups. Moreover, we show that there is a unique finite group with exactly 4 nonpower subgroups. Finally, we show that given any integer $k$ greater than 4 , there are infinitely many groups with exactly $k$ nonpower subgroups. []


## 1 Introduction

A subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ is called a power subgroup of $G$ if there exists a non-negative integer $m$ such that $H=\left\langle g^{m}: g \in G\right\rangle$. Any subgroup of $G$ which is not a power subgroup is called a nonpower subgroup of $G$. Zhou et al. [3] proved that cyclic groups have no nonpower subgroups, and infinite noncyclic groups have an infinite number of nonpower subgroups. They showed further that no group has either exactly 1 or exactly 2 nonpower subgroups, and then asked: for each integer $k$ greater than 2, does there exist at least one group possessing exactly $k$ nonpower subgroups? This question was recently answered positively in [1], where it was also proved that for any integer $k$ greater than 4 and composite, there are infinitely many groups with exactly $k$ nonpower subgroups.

Let $p$ be an odd prime. For each positive integer $n$, we define the group $G_{n, p}$ as follows:

$$
G_{n, p}:=\left\langle x, y: x^{2^{n}}=1=y^{p}, y x=x y^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

We note that $G_{1, p}$ is the dihedral group of order $2 p$, and $G_{2, p}$ is the generalized quaternion group of order $4 p$ (we obtain its usual presentation $\left\langle a, b: a^{2 p}=1, b^{2}=a^{p}, b a=a^{-1} b\right\rangle$ by setting $a=x^{2} y$ and $\left.b=x\right)$. More generally, for any positive integer $n, G_{n, p}$ is the semidirect product $C_{p} \rtimes C_{2^{n}}$, and has order $2^{n} p$. We may now state our first result.

Theorem 1. There are infinitely many groups with an odd prime number of nonpower subgroups. In particular, for any odd prime $p$ and each positive integer $n$, the group $G_{n, p}$ has exactly p nonpower subgroups.
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Theorem 1, combined with the fact that for composite $k$ greater than 4 there are infinitely many groups with $k$ nonpower subgroups [1, Theorem 5], gives the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $k$ be an integer greater than 4. Then there are infinitely many groups with exactly $k$ nonpower subgroups.

The only unresolved cases are therefore $k=3$ and $k=4$. Our second main result deals with these cases.

Theorem 3. (a) A group $G$ contains exactly three nonpower subgroups if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to one of $C_{2} \times C_{2}, Q_{8}$ or $G_{n, 3}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
(b) Up to isomorphism, $C_{3} \times C_{3}$ is the only group containing exactly four nonpower subgroups.

For the rest of this section, we recall some preliminaries. We note that each power subgroup is characteristic and hence normal in $G$. Following [1], we write $s(G)$ for the number of subgroups in a group $G, p s(G)$ for the number of power subgroups of $G$ and $n p s(G)$ for the number of nonpower subgroups of $G$.

Lemma 4. [1, Lemma 3] If $A$ and $B$ are finite groups such that $|A|$ and $|B|$ are coprime, then

$$
n p s(A \times B)=n p s(A) s(B)+p s(A) n p s(B)
$$

We denote by $\Phi(G)$ the Frattini subgroup of $G$, that is, the intersection of the maximal subgroups of $G$. It is a characteristic subgroup of $G$.

Theorem 5 (Burnside's Basis Theorem). Let $G$ be a p-group and suppose $[G: \Phi(G)]=p^{d}$.
(a) $G / \Phi(G)$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{d}$. Moreover, if $N \unlhd G$ and $G / N$ is elementary abelian, then $\Phi(G) \leq N$.
(b) Every minimal system of generators of $G$ contains exactly d elements.
(c) $\Phi(G)=G^{p} G^{\prime}$. In particular, if $p=2$, then $\Phi(G)=G^{2}$.

Lemma 6 ([2] Theorem 1.10(a)). Let $G$ be a non-cyclic $p$-group, where $p>2$. Then the number of subgroups of order $p$ in $G$ is congruent to $1+p$ modulo $p^{2}$.
Remark. It is well-known that the only 2-groups with a unique involution are cyclic or generalised quaternion.

## 2 Proof of main results

We begin with a proof of Theorem 1 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Our goal is to show that for any positive integer $n$, and any odd prime $p$, the group $G_{n, p}=\left\langle x, y: x^{2^{n}}=1=y^{p}, y x=x y^{-1}\right\rangle$ contains exactly $p$ nonpower subgroups. We have that $\left|G_{n, p}\right|=2^{n} p$. We first obtain a count on the number of subgroups in $G_{n, p}$. Since the Sylow 2-subgroup $\langle x\rangle$ is not a normal subgroup, the number of

Sylow 2-subgroups of $G_{n, p}$ must be $p$. On the other hand, since $y^{x}=y^{-1}$, there is a unique normal Sylow $p$-subgroup, namely the cyclic subgroup $\langle y\rangle$ of order $p$. Since $x^{2}$ is central in $G_{n, p}$ and each Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{n, p}$ is cyclic, there is a unique subgroup of order $2^{k}$ (for each $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ ) and a unique subgroup of order $2^{k} p$ (for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Along with the $p$ subgroups of order $2^{n}$, we see that $s\left(G_{n, p}\right)=2 n+p+1$. As the subgroups of order $2^{n}$ are not normal, we know immediately that they are nonpower subgroups. Hence $n p s\left(G_{n, p}\right) \geq p$. We now show that any subgroup of $G_{n, p}$ that is not a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{n, p}$ is a power subgroup of $G_{n, p}$. First, the unique subgroup of order $p$ is $G_{n, p}^{2^{n}}$. Secondly, for each $k \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, the subgroup of order $2^{k}$ is $G_{n, p}^{2^{n-k} p}$. Finally, for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the subgroup of order $2^{k} p$ is $G_{n, p}^{2^{n-k}}$. Therefore, $p s\left(G_{n, p}\right)=2 n+1$; whence $n p s\left(G_{n, p}\right)=p$.

We now move onto the proof of Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a finite noncyclic group. Then $G$ falls into one of the following three categories: (i) a noncyclic p-group; (ii) a noncyclic nilpotent group that is not a $p$-group; (iii) a non-nilpotent group. For each of these cases above, we classify all the finite groups with exactly 3 or 4 nonpower subgroups.

Proposition 7. Let $G$ be a finite noncyclic p-group. Then nps $(G)=3$ if and only if $G$ is $C_{2} \times C_{2}$ or $Q_{8}$, and $n p s(G)=4$ if and only if $G$ is $C_{3} \times C_{3}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be noncyclic of order $p^{n}$. It was shown in [3] that if $N \unlhd G$ and $A / N$ is a nonpower subgroup of $G / N$, then $A$ is a nonpower subgroup of $G$. Suppose $G$ has exactly $k$ nonpower subgroups, where $k \in\{3,4\}$. Now, $G / \Phi(G) \cong C_{p} \times \cdots \times C_{p}$ (d-times), and $d \geq 2$ as $G$ is not cyclic. The $\frac{p^{d}-1}{p-1}$ cyclic subgroups of order $p$ in $C_{p}^{d}$ are nonpower subgroups. Thus $G / \Phi(G)$, and hence $G$, has at least $1+p+\cdots+p^{d-1}$ nonpower subgroups. Hence, $d=2$, either $p=2$ or $p=3$, and $G$ has $p+1$ maximal subgroups that are nonpower subgroups.

The power subgroups of $G$ are $G^{1}=G, G^{p}, G^{p^{2}}, \ldots, G^{p^{m}}$, where $p^{m}$ is the exponent of $G$. There are thus at most $m+1$ distinct power subgroups. Since $G$ is not cyclic, this means $m<n$; so $p s(G) \leq n$.

What about $s(G)$ ? There is at least one subgroup of order $p^{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ (just take any composition series). This gives at least $n+1$ subgroups. But there are $p+1$ maximal subgroups (of order $p^{n-1}$ ) arising from the $p+1$ nontrivial proper subgroups of $G / \Phi(G)$. Thus $s(G) \geq n+p+1$.

Suppose $p=2$. If $G$ is not generalised quaternion (and by assumption $G$ is not cyclic), then $G$ has at least 3 involutions, and hence at least 3 subgroups of order 2 . So, if $n>2$, then $s(G) \geq n+5$, meaning that $n p s(G) \geq 5$, a contradiction. Thus, either $G$ is generalised quaternion or $n=2$, which means $G \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$, and in this case $n p s(G)=3$. If $G$ is generalised quaternion, then $G$ has $2^{n-1}+2$ elements of order 4 , resulting in $2^{n-2}+1$ subgroups of order 4. If $n>3$, we get that $s(G) \geq n+1+2^{n-2} \geq n+5$. Again, this means that $n p s(G) \geq 5$. Thus, $n=3$, and then $G \cong Q_{8}$. Again, $n p s\left(Q_{8}\right)=3$.

The remaining case is $p=3$. By Lemma 6, there are at least four subgroups of order 3 in $G$. If $n>2$, then these are distinct from the four maximal subgroups, and so we get $s(G) \geq n+7$. This forces $n p s(G) \geq 7$, a contradiction. The only possibility is that $n=2$. A quick check shows that $n p s\left(C_{3} \times \overline{C_{3}}\right)=4$.

Thus, $n p s(G)=3$ if and only if $G$ is $C_{2} \times C_{2}$ or $Q_{8}$, and $n p s(G)=4$ if and only if $G$ is $C_{3} \times C_{3}$.

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a finite noncyclic nilpotent group. If $G$ is not a p-group, then nps $(G) \geq 6$.

Proof. Recall that a finite group is nilpotent if and only if it is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups, each of which is normal. Since $G$ is noncyclic, at least one of these Sylow subgroups is noncyclic. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ be the primes dividing $|G|$, and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ be the respective Sylow subgroups. Assume, without loss of generality, that $P_{1}$ is noncyclic. Write $Q=P_{2} \times \cdots \times P_{r}$; so $G \cong P_{1} \times Q$. Since $G$ is not a $p$-group, we have that $Q \neq\{1\}$. By Lemma 4 therefore,

$$
n p s(G)=n p s\left(P_{1}\right) s(Q)+p s\left(P_{1}\right) n p s(Q) \geq n p s\left(P_{1}\right) s(Q)
$$

As $Q \neq\{1\}$, we have that $s(Q) \geq 2$. As $P_{1}$ is not cyclic, $n p s\left(P_{1}\right) \geq 3$. Hence $n p s(G) \geq 6$.
Lemma 9. If $G$ is a finite non-nilpotent group such that $n p s(G) \in\{3,4\}$, then nps $(G)=3$ and $G \cong G_{n, 3}=\left\langle x, y: x^{2^{n}}=1=y^{3}, y x=x y^{-1}\right\rangle$, for some positive integer $n$.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is finite, non-nilpotent and $n p s(G)=k \in\{3,4\}$. If $G$ had a unique Sylow $p$-subgroup for each $p$ dividing $|G|$, then $G$ would be nilpotent. So there is at least one such $p$ for which $G$ has more than one Sylow $p$-subgroup. For any such $p$, the number, $n_{p}$, of Sylow $p$-subgroups is congruent to $1 \bmod p$. So $n_{p} \geq p+1$. These groups are not normal, so are not power subgroups. Therefore, as $n p s(G) \in\{3,4\}$, we have that either $p=2$ and $n_{2}=3$, or $p=3$ and $n_{3}=4$. For all other primes $q$ dividing $|G|$, there must be a unique Sylow $q$-subgroup. If any subgroup of $G$, other than the Sylow $p$-subgroups, were non-normal, then it and its conjugates could not be power subgroups. Thus there would be at least two further nonpower subgroups, forcing $n p s(G) \geq 5$, a contradiction. Therefore, every subgroup of $G$, other than the Sylow $p$-subgroups, is normal.

Let $P$ be one of the Sylow $p$-subgroups. Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}$ be the primes other than $p$ dividing $|G|$. Let $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}$ be the corresponding normal Sylow subgroups. Each $Q_{i}$ is normal and the $Q_{i}$ intersect trivially. Therefore, defining $H=Q_{1} Q_{2} \cdots Q_{r}$, we have that $H \cong Q_{1} \times Q_{2} \times \cdots \times Q_{r}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, with $G=P H$. Now, $P \unlhd N_{G}(P)$, and setting $K=H \cap N_{G}(P)$, we have that $K \unlhd G$ (because certainly $K$ is not a Sylow $p$-subgroup). But $P$ is normal in $N_{G}(P)=P K$; so $N_{G}(P) \cong P \times K$. Let $h \in H-N_{G}(P)$. Then $(P K)^{h}=P^{h} K \neq P K$. This means that $P K$ is not normal in $G$; a contradiction unless $K=\{1\}$. Therefore, $K=\{1\}$, and $P=N_{G}(P)$. In particular, $n_{p}=|G: P|=|H|$.

Suppose first that $p=3$. Then $|H|=4$. If $H \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$, then each of its cyclic subgroups would be normal, and hence the involutions they contain would be central. But that would imply that $P$ is normal in $G$, a contradiction. Therefore $H \cong C_{4}$. Let $z$ be a generator of $H$. We have $H \leq C_{G}(z) \leq G$. Thus, $\left|z^{G}\right|=3^{i}$ for some $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$. But $z^{G} \subseteq\left\{z, z^{-1}\right\}$. The only possibility is that $z^{G}=\{z\}$, and $z$ is central in $G$. Again, this implies that $P$ is normal in $G$, a contradiction. Therefore, $p \neq 3$.

The remaining case is when $p=2$. In this case, $H \cong C_{3}$. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A_{3}$ be the three Sylow 2-subgroups. Every proper subgroup of $P$ is not one of $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$, so is normal in $G$ and hence contained in all of $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. If $P$ were not cyclic, then each of its generators would generate a proper cyclic subgroup, and would hence be contained in $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. This implies $P \leq A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap A_{3}$; a contradiction. Therefore, $P$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}$. Write $P=\langle x\rangle$ and $H=\langle y\rangle$. Certainly, $y^{x} \neq y$; so the only possibility is that $y^{x}=y^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$
G=\left\langle x, y: x^{2^{n}}=1, y^{3}=1, y x=x y^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

for some integer $n \geq 1$. That is, $G \cong G_{n, 3}$. By Theorem 1, we have $n p s(G)=3$.

Theorem 3 follows immediately from Proposition 7, Lemma 8 and Lemma 9.
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