

Infographic. The first position statement of the Concussion in Para Sport Group

Richard Weiler ^{1,2,3} Cheri Blauwet ^{4,5} David Clarke,⁶ Kristine Dalton ⁷ Wayne Derman ^{8,9},
 Kristina Fagher ¹⁰ Vincent Goutteborge ^{11,12} James Kissick ^{13,14} Kenneth Lee ¹⁵
 Jan Lexell ^{10,14} Peter Van de Vliet ^{16,17} Evert Verhagen ¹⁸ Nick Webborn ^{19,20}
 Adam Virgile ²¹ Osman Hassan Ahmed ^{3,22,23}

BACKGROUND

A concussion is a common injury in many sports, including para sport. Aside from a more comprehensive need for concussion education, clinicians face difficulties applying concussion assessment and management guidelines to para athletes.¹ At present, there is a lack of para-sport concussion research, and prior International Concussion in Sport (CIS) consensus papers have not addressed this specific population. To rectify this issue and improve concussion management provided to para athletes, the Concussion in Para Sport (CIPS) multidisciplinary expert group was created.²

METHODS

The CIPS group undertook an in-depth analysis of issues specific to the para athlete within the established key clinical domains of the current (2017) Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport.³ The existing Sports Concussion Assessment Tool 5 (SCAT5) was evaluated as part of this process and helped identify para athlete-specific concerns. Four CIPS working groups were tasked with exploring the following key clinical areas of concussion in para sport described in the most recent consensus statement of concussion in sport²:

- ▶ Concussion assessment;
- ▶ Concussion management;
- ▶ Return-to-sport following concussion; and
- ▶ Specific considerations related to the different impairments in para athletes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Regular preparticipation and periodic health examinations in the para athlete are essential to determine a baseline reference point for concussion symptoms but pose challenges for the interpreting clinician.

Concussion in the para athlete population should be managed according to existing concussion consensus guidelines using the CIPS assessment tools (see <https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/bjsports/suppl/2021/04/09/bjsports-2020-103696>).

The 1st Position Statement of the Concussion in Para Sport (CIPS) Group

Weiler, R., Blauwet, C., Clarke, D., Dalton, K., Derman, W., Fagher, K., Goutteborge, V., Kissick, J., Lee, K., Lexell, J., Van de Vliet, P., Verhagen, E., Webborn, N., & Ahmed, O.H. *BJSM*. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-103696
 Infographic by Adam Virgile

THE CONCUSSION IN PARA SPORT (CIPS) GROUP

- Previous International Concussion in Sport Consensus Statements have not addressed the needs of para athletes.
- The CIPS group was formed to provide a framework for the assessment, treatment, and return to play after concussion in the para athlete.
- The CIPS contributors are a diverse, multidisciplinary group with athlete representation, dedicated to improving para sport concussion research and standards of care.

STANDARD POST-CONCUSSION RETURN TO PLAY PROTOCOL: MODIFICATION AREAS FOR PARA ATHLETES

Many areas of standard concussion protocols require special attention and/or modification of these recommendations for para athletes, depending on the unique nature of impairment.


■ Standard management ▲ Modifications advised for para athletes

MAIN TAKEAWAYS


- 1** The Sport Concussion Assessment Tool 5 (SCAT5) should be used for concussion assessment for para athletes; the CIPS appendices should guide the interpretation of the SCAT5 results.
- 2** The SCAT5 should not be used by itself to diagnose concussion in para athletes; para athletes may have a concussion even if their SCAT5 is deemed to be 'normal'.
- 3** Periodic baseline pre-participation evaluations (including the SCAT5) are essential to determine a baseline reference point for concussion symptoms in para athletes.

CIPS ASSESSMENT TOOLS

ON-FIELD TOOLS



OFF-FIELD TOOLS



	PARA SPORT IMPAIRMENT									
	Impaired muscle power- spinal cord injury	Impaired muscle power- lower motor neuron	Impaired passive range of movement	Amputee or limb deficiency	Leg length difference	Short stature	Upper motor neuron conditions	Visual impairment	Intellectual impairment	
Rest	▲	▲	■	■	■	■	▲	▲	▲	▲
Active rest	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Gradual return to activities	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
Return to school/work progression	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
Return to sport progression	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Persistent symptom management	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

DC1/bjsports-2020-103696supp001_data_supplement.pdf, <https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/bjsports/suppl/2021/04/09/bjsports-2020-103696>. DC1/bjsports-2020-103696supp002_data_supplement.pdf). Paradoxically, while SCAT5 baseline testing cannot be mandated for para athletes, the clinician attending to a para athlete with a suspected concussion has a much greater need to have a comprehensive understanding of a para athlete's preinjury cognitive function and physical abilities to make a diagnosis of concussion and manage the athlete more effectively.

Due to the lack of validity of the SCAT5 in general populations and even greater variability of baseline scores between different disability groups,⁴ a para athlete may have a concussion even if his or her SCAT5 is deemed to be 'normal'. Despite their limitations, regular preparticipation and periodic health examinations along with the SCAT5 help guide the assessment of a suspected concussion for each para athlete impairment group.

As part of the overall assessment, an attending medical professional may choose to seek a corroborative history from suitable family members, caregivers or members of the athlete's entourage who are familiar with the athlete's baseline level of function, if available, to assist in clinical decision-making. In addition, it is strongly

recommended that a team clinician with prior knowledge of the athlete is involved in the acute assessment of the potentially concussed athlete. Further considerations for concussion management of the para athlete are required within the remove, rest, reconsider and refer consensus statement framework. Considering a return to sport (RTS), the 2017 CIS consensus statement has limitations when considering the RTS of the para athlete. Case-by-case decision-making related to RTS following concussion is imperative.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Additional challenges exist for the evaluation and management of concussion in para athletes. Looking ahead, further research is needed to develop a greater understanding of existing knowledge gaps and attitudes towards concussion among athlete medical staff, coaches and para athletes themselves. Future research should investigate the use, reliability and validity of common assessment tools in the para athlete population. Concussion prevention strategies and sport-specific rule changes, such as in Para Alpine Skiing,⁵ Cerebral Palsy Football⁶ and sports for athletes with visual impairment, should also be considered to reduce the occurrence of concussion in para athletes.

¹Amsterdam Collaboration on Health & Safety in Sports, Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam UMC, University Medical Centers – Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
²Sport & Exercise Medicine, Fortius Clinic, London, UK
³Para Football Foundation, Arnhem, The Netherlands
⁴Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation, Spaulding Hospital/Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
⁵Kelley Adaptive Sports Research Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
⁶University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK
⁷School of Optometry & Vision Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
⁸Institute of Sport and Exercise Medicine, Division Orthopaedic Surgery, Dept of Surgical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
⁹OC Research Center, Cape Town, South Africa
¹⁰Rehabilitation Medicine Research Group, Department of Health Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
¹¹Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
¹²Section of Sports Medicine, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
¹³Department of Family Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
¹⁴Medical Committee, International Paralympic Committee, Bonn, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany
¹⁵Spinal Cord Injury/Disorder, Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA
¹⁶Immune-Oncological Centre Cologne, Cologne, Germany
¹⁷Former Medical & Scientific Director International Paralympic Committee, Bonn, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany
¹⁸Department of Public and Occupational Health, EMGO, Amsterdam UMC Locatie VUmc, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹⁹Centre for Sport and Exercise Science and Medicine, University of Brighton, Eastbourne, UK
²⁰School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK
²¹College of Nursing and Health Sciences, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA
²²Physiotherapy Department, University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust, Poole, UK

²³University of Portsmouth School of Sport Health and Exercise Science, Portsmouth, UK

Correspondence to Professor Evert Verhagen, Department of Public and Occupational Health, EMGO, Amsterdam UMC Locatie VUmc, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands; e.verhagen@amsterdamumc.nl

Twitter Cheri Blauwet @CheriBlauwetMD, David Clarke @ClarkieGB7, Wayne Derman @Wderman, Kristina Fagher @KristinaFagher, Vincent Gouttebarga @VGouttebarga, Jan Lexell @JanLexell, Evert Verhagen @Evertverhagen, Nick Webborn @SportwiseUK, Adam Virgile @adamvirgile and Osman Hassan Ahmed @osmanahmed

Contributors RW, OHA and EV wrote the first draft. AV produced the infographic. All authors provided feedback and content to the final version.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.



To cite Weiler R, Blauwet C, Clarke D, et al. *Br J Sports Med* 2022;**56**:417–418.

Accepted 7 September 2021
 Published Online First 5 October 2021

Br J Sports Med 2022;**56**:417–418.
 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2021-104530

ORCID iDs

Richard Weiler <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6216-839X>
 Cheri Blauwet <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8568-1009>
 Kristine Dalton <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2616-4797>
 Wayne Derman <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8879-177X>
 Kristina Fagher <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9524-7553>
 Vincent Gouttebarga <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0126-4177>
 James Kissick <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7748-9225>
 Kenneth Lee <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9378-673X>
 Jan Lexell <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5294-3332>
 Peter Van de Vliet <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1434-3659>
 Evert Verhagen <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9227-8234>
 Nick Webborn <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3636-5557>
 Adam Virgile <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2146-7964>
 Osman Hassan Ahmed <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1439-0076>

REFERENCES

- 1 Derman W, Runciman P, Schwellnus M, et al. High precompetition injury rate dominates the injury profile at the Rio 2016 Summer Paralympic Games: a prospective cohort study of 51 198 athlete days. *Br J Sports Med* 2018;**52**:24–31.
- 2 Weiler R, Blauwet C, Clarke D, et al. Concussion in para sport: the first position statement of the concussion in para sport (CIPS) group. *Br J Sports Med* 2021. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-103696. [Epub ahead of print: 09 Apr 2021].
- 3 McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Dvořák J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport—the 5th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;**51**:838–47.
- 4 Weiler R, van Mechelen W, Fuller C, et al. Do neurocognitive SCAT3 baseline test scores differ between footballers (soccer) living with and without disability? A cross-sectional study. *Clin J Sport Med* 2018;**28**:43–50.
- 5 Blauwet C, Webborn N, Kissick J, et al. When van Mechelen's sequence of injury prevention model requires pragmatic and accelerated action: the case of para alpine skiing in Pyeong Chang 2018. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;**53**:1390–1.
- 6 Ahmed OH, Fulcher M, Malone D. The introduction of temporary concussion substitutions in disability football: Are we 'headed' in the right direction? *Football Medicine & Performance* 2020;**32**:13–17.