Appendix E: Differences between protocol and review

In the protocol, methodological quality of the included studies was going to be assessed using the Newcastle Ottawa scale in addition to the LBP-specific quality appraisal tool developed by Lebeouf-Yde and Lauritsen. Only the LBP-specific tool was used. KT and JW were the reviewers who assessed for quality, not FW and JW as listed.

Appendix C: Search strategy

Medline

- 1. Low Back Pain/ OR Sciatica/
- 2. (low* adj3 (back pain* OR back ache* OR backache* OR back injur*)).ti,ab.
- 3. ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) adj2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*)).ti,ab.
- 4. (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR Sciatica).ti,ab.
- 5. or/1-4
- 6. ATHLETES/ OR Athletic Injuries/ OR BASEBALL/ OR BICYCLING/ OR HOCKEY/ OR Racquet Sports/ OR WRESTLING/ exp sports/
- 7. (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR hammer throw* OR martial art* OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis OR volleyball).ti,ab.
- 8. (Sport* adj3 injur*).ti,ab.
- 9. or/6-8
- 10. Epidemiologic studies/
- 11. Exp case control studies/
- 12. Exp cohort studies/
- 13. Case control.tw.
- 14. (cohort adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 15. Cohort analy\$.tw.
- 16. (Follow up adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 17. (observational adj (study or studies)).tw.
- 18. Longitudinal.tw.
- 19. Retrospective.tw.
- 20. Cross sectional.tw.
- 21. Cross-sectional studies/
- 22. or/9-20
- 23. and/5,9,22

Embase

- 1. 'low back pain'/exp OR 'sciatica'/exp
- 2. (low* NEAR/3 ('back pain*' OR 'back ache*' OR 'backache*' OR 'back injur*')):ti,ab
- 3. ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) NEAR/2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*)):ti,ab
- 4. (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR sciatica):ti,ab
- 5. #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4
- 'athlete'/exp OR 'sport injury'/exp OR 'sport'/exp OR 'athletics'/exp OR 'baseball'/exp OR 'cricket (sport)'/exp OR 'cycling'/exp OR 'hockey'/exp OR 'ice hockey'/exp OR 'racquet sport'/exp
- 7. (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR

golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR 'hammer throw*' OR 'martial art*' OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis OR volleyball):ti,ab

- 8. (Sport* NEAR/3 injur*):ti,ab
- 9. #6 OR #7 OR #8
- 10. 'clinical study'/de
- 11. 'case control study'/exp
- 12. 'family study'/exp
- 13. 'longitudinal study'/exp
- 14. 'retrospective study'/exp
- 15. 'prospective study'/exp
- 16. 'randomized controlled trial'/exp
- 17.#14 NOT #15
- 18. 'cohort analysis'/exp
- 19. (Cohort NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 20. ('Case control' NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 21. ('follow up' NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 22. (observational NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 23. (epidemiologic* NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 24. ('cross sectional' NEAR/1 (study or studies)):ti,ab
- 25. #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23 OR #24
- 26.#5 AND #9 AND #25

CINAHL

- 1. (MH "Low Back Pain") OR (MH "Sciatica")
- TI (low* N3 ("back pain*" OR "back ache*" OR "backache*" OR "back injur*")) OR AB (low* N3 ("back pain*" OR "back ache*" OR "backache*" OR "back injur*"))
- TI ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) N2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*)) OR AB ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) N2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*))
- 4. TI (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR sciatica) OR AB (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR sciatica)
- 5. S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4
- (MH "Rowing") OR (MH "Athletes+") OR (MH "Athletic Injuries") OR (MH "Baseball Injuries") OR (MH "Basketball Injuries") OR (MH "Cricket Injuries") OR (MH "Cycling Injuries") OR (MH "Fencing Injuries") OR (MH "Golf Injuries") OR (MH "Gymnastics Injuries") OR (MH "Hockey Injuries") OR (MH "Racquet Sports Injuries") OR (MH "Baseball") OR (MH "Cricket (Sports)") OR (MH "Cycling") OR (MH "Hockey") OR (MH "Racquet Sports") OR (MH "Sports+")
- 7. TI (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR "hammer throw*" OR "martial art*" OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis

OR volleyball) OR AB (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR "hammer throw*" OR "martial art*" OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis OR volleyball)

- 8. TI(Sport* N3 injur*) OR AB (Sport* N3 injur*)
- 9. S6 OR S7 OR S8
- 10. (MH "Prospective Studies")
- 11. (MH "Case Control Studies+")
- 12. (MH "Correlational Studies")
- 13. (MH "Nonconcurrent Prospective Studies")
- 14. (MH "Cross Sectional Studies")
- 15.TI (cohort N1 (study OR studies)) OR AB (cohort N1 (study OR studies))
- 16.TI (epidemiologic* N1 (study or studies)) OR AB (epidemiologic* N1 (study or studies))
- 17. TI ("follow up" N1 (study or studies)) OR AB ("follow up" N1 (study or studies))
- TI (observational N1 (study or studies)) OR AB (observational N1 (study or studies))
- TI ("cross sectional" N1 (study or studies)) OR AB ("cross sectional" N1 (study or studies))
- 20.S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19
- 21.S5 AND S9 AND S20

Web of Science

TS =(((low* NEAR/3 ("back pain*" OR "back ache*" OR "backache*" OR "back injur*")) OR ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) NEAR/2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*)) OR (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR sciatica)) **AND** ((Cohort OR "Case control" OR epidemiologic* OR "follow up" OR observational OR "cross sectional") NEAR/1 (study or studies)) **AND** (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR "hammer throw*" OR "martial art*" OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis OR volleyball) OR (sport* NEAR/3 injur*))

Scopus

TITLE-ABS(((low* W/3 ("back pain*" OR "back ache*" OR "backache*" OR "back injur*")) OR ((Lumbal OR lumbar OR lumbosacral OR lumbosacroiliac) W/2 (pain* OR ache* OR syndrome OR strain* OR injur*)) OR (Lumbago OR lumbodynia OR lumbalgesia OR lumbalgia OR sciatica)) **AND** ((Cohort OR "Case control" OR epidemiologic* OR "follow up" OR observational OR "cross sectional") W/1 (study or studies)) **AND** (Rowing OR rower* OR sculling OR

athlet* OR gymnast* OR cricket OR bowler* OR pitcher* OR wrestl* OR hockey OR baseball OR golf OR kayak* OR canoei* OR "hammer throw*" OR "martial art*" OR basketball OR bowling OR football OR lacrosse OR racquetball OR rugby OR soccer OR softball OR squash OR tennis OR volleyball) OR (sport* W/3 injur*))

Supplementary Table 4: Methodological quality assessment

Author	Date	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 SCORE (%)
	2017												70.0
Abe et al.	2017	+	- +	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	-	70.0
Alricsson and Werner	2006	-	- +	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Alricsson and Werner	2005	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	100.0
Auvinen et al.	2008	+	- +	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	60.0
Balague et al.	1988	-	- +	+	-	-	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	60.0
Balague et al.	1994	+	- +	+	-	-	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0
Bayne et al.	2016	-	NA +	+	+	+	NA	-	NA	-	+	+	66.7
Brown and Kimball	1983	+	- +	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	-	70.0
Burnett et al.	1996	-	NA N	A +	+	+	NA	-	NA	-	-	-	37.5
Cejudo et al.	2020	-		+	+	+	-	NA	+	-	+	+	54.5
Cezarino et al.	2020	+	NA N	A -	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	25
Cupisti et al.	2004	-	+ N	A +	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	-	66.7
Dennis et al.	2005	-	NA N	A -	+	+	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	28.6
Farahbakhsh et al.	2018	+	- +	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	90.0
Fouasson-Chailloux et al.	2020	+	NA N	A -	-	+	NA	NA	-	-	-	+	37.5
Gamboa et al.	2008	+	NA N	A -	-	+	NA	NA	NA	-	-	+	42.9

Gregory et al.	2002	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	40.0
Grimmer and Williams	2000	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	40.0
Ha et al.	2017	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	37.5
Harreby et al.	1999	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Hickey et al.	1997	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	NA	NA	-	-	-	+	37.5
Hjelm et al.	2010	-	-	+	-	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	+	60.0
Hoskins et al.	2010	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0
Hutchinson	1999	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	+	50.0
Iwamoto et al.	2005	+	NA	NA	+	-	+	NA	NA	-	-	-	+	50.0
Iwamoto et al.	2004	+	NA	NA	+	-	+	NA	NA	-	-	-	+	50.0
Kaldau et al.	2021	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	70.0
Kamada et al.	2016	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	-	70.0
Kikuchi et al.	2019	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	30.0
Kountouris et al.	2012	-	-	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	-	-	+	40.0
Kujala et al. 1997 a	1992	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	70.0
Kujala et al. 1997 b	1994	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Kujala et al. 1997 c	1996	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Kujala et al. 1997 d	1997	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0

Lee et al.	2020	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	70
Legault et al.	2015	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	90.0
Linek et al.	2018	-	-	-	+	-	-	NA	-	NA	+	+	-	30.0
McMeekan et al.	2001	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	70.0
Mizoguchi et al.	2019	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	50
Mogenson et al.	2007	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	+	NA	+	-	+	80.0
Mueller et al.	2016	-	NA	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	66.7
Müller et al.	2017	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	60.0
Muntaner-Mas et al.	2018	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Ng et al.	2014	-	NA	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	88.9
Noll et al.	2016	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	70.0
O'Connor et al.	2016	-	-	NA	-	+	+	+	NA	-	-	+	+	50.0
Ogon et al.	2001	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	-	-	+	+	63.6
Palmer-Green et al.	2015	-	+	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	+	44.4
Peterhans et al.	2020	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	+	-	+	+	72.7
Rossi et al. 2018 a	2014	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0
Rossi et al. 2018 b	2016	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Rossi et al. 2018 c	2018	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0

Rossi et al.	2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	100.0
Sato et al.	2011	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	70.0
Schmidt et al.	2014	+	-	NA	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	77.8
Schoeb et al.	2020	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	60.0
Sekiguchi et al. a	2018	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	50.0
Sekiguchi et al. b	2019	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	60.0
Shah et al.	2014	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	NA	NA	+	-	-	+	50.0
Shimozaki et al.	2018	-	-	NA	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	33.3
Skoffer and Foldspang	2008	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0
Smoljanovic et al.	2009	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	NA	-	+	+	54.5
Smyth et al.	2020	+	NA	NA	-	+	-	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	50
Sogi et al.	2018	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	66.7
Sommerfield et al.	2020	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	60
Son et al.	2020	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	62.5
Steffen et al.	2020	+	NA	NA	-	-	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	62.5
Sugimoto et al.	2020	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	NA	NA	-	-	-	20.0
Sundell et al.	2019	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	90.0
Swain et al. 2018 a	2018	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0

Swain et al. 2018 b	2017 -	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	+	+	70.0
Sweeney et al.	2019 -	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	-	-	+	40.0
Thoreson et al.	2017 -	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	90.0
van Hilst et al. 2015	2015 -	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	+	+	80.0
Vanti et al.	2010 -	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	NA	NA	-	+	+	50.0
Yabe et al. 2020 a	2020 -	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	70
Yabe et al. 2020 1a	2020 -	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	NA	NA	+	-	+	70
Yabe et al. 2020 b	2020 -	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	70
Yabe et al. 2020 c	2020 -	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	+	-	+	70
Zaina et al.	2016 -	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	-	+	+	90.0

Appendix D: Study methodological quality appraisal tool

A: Is the final sample representative of the target population?

1. At least one of the following must apply to the study: an entire target population, randomly selected sample, or sample stated to represent the target population

2. At least one of the following: reasons for nonresponse described, non-responders described, comparison of responders and non-responders, or comparison of sample and target population

3. Response rate and, if applicable, drop-out rate reported

B: Quality of the data?

4. Were the data primary data of back pain or were they taken from a survey not specifically designed for that purpose?

5. Were the data collected from each adult directly or were they collected from a proxy?

6. Was the same mode of data collection used for all subjects?

7. At least one of the following in the case of a questionnaire: a validated questionnaire or at least tested for reproducibility

8. At least one of the following in the case of an interview: interview validated, tested for reproducibility, or adequately described and standardized

9. At least one of the following in the case of an examination: examination validated, tested for reproducibility, or adequately described and standardized

C: Definition of back pain

10. Was there a precise anatomic delineation of the back area or reference to an easily obtainable article that contains such specification?

11. Was there further useful specification of the definition of back pain, or question(s) put to study subjects quoted such as the frequency, duration, or intensity, and character of the pain. Or was there reference to an easily obtainable article that contains such specification?

12. Were recall periods clearly stated: e.g., 1 week, 1 month, or lifetime?

Appendix B: Study reporting explanation

During data extraction, it appeared that there was dual (or multiple) publishing by some studies. The study by Pasanen et al. from 2016, the conference abstract by Pasanen et al. from 2014, and the study by Rossi et al. from 2018 are reported as Rossi et al. 2018 (a), (b), and (c). The first authors for these papers were contacted, and it was confirmed that the 2014 conference abstract reported preliminary results for the 2016 paper, and the 2018 paper included a follow up of the same participants.

The studies by Kujala et al. 1992, 1994, 1996, and 1997 will be reported under the study heading Kujala et al. 1997 (a), (b), (c), (d). The first author was contacted, and it was confirmed that Kujala et al. 1992 reported baseline associations, and each of the subsequent studies reported results from follow ups of the initial cohort.

The studies by Swain et al. 2017 and 2018 will be reported under the study heading Swain et al. 2017 (a) and (b). Attempts to contact the authors for clarification were unsuccessful. For the purposes of this review, it was assumed that the two studies used the same participant group, given similarities in participant numbers and demographic data.

The studies by Sekiguchi et al. 2018 and Yabe et al. 2019 will be reported under the study heading Sekiguchi et al. 2018 (a) and (b). Attempts to contact the authors for clarification were unsuccessful. For the purposes of this review, it was assumed that the two studies used the same participant group, given similarities in participant numbers and demographic data.

13

The study by Hutchinson 1999 included both a prospective and retrospective component. The prospective component will be reported as Hutchinson 1999 (a), and the retrospective component Hutchinson 1999 (b).

There were three studies by the same first author in the year 2020. These will be reported as Yabe et al. 2020 (a), (b), and (c).

The studies by Hagiwara et al. 2020 and Yabe et al. 2020 (a) will be reported under the study heading Yabe et al. 2020 (a) and (1a). Attempts to contact the authors for clarification were unsuccessful. For the purposes of this review, it was assumed that the two studies used the same participant group, given similarities in participant numbers and demographic data.

Appendix F

Cohort six-month risk

Mixed-Effects Model (k = 4; tau² estimator: DL)

tau² (estimated amount of residual heterogeneity):0 (SE = 0.00)tau (square root of estimated tau² value):0I² (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability):0.00%H² (unaccounted variability / sampling variability):1.00R² (amount of heterogeneity accounted for):100.00%

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 2) = 1.34, p-val = 0.51

Test of Moderators (coefficient 2): QM(df = 1) = 8.59, p-val = 0.00

Model results:

	Estimate	Confidence interval	P-value
Intercept	0.35	0.28 to 0.41	<.0001
Methodological quality	0.30	0.10 to 0.51	0.00

Cohort 12-month risk

Mixed-Effects Model (k = 4; tau² estimator: DL)

tau² (estimated amount of residual heterogeneity):0.00 (SE = 0.00)tau (square root of estimated tau² value):0.00l² (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability):4.27%H² (unaccounted variability / sampling variability):1.04R² (amount of heterogeneity accounted for):99.98%

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 1) = 1.04, p-val = 0.31

Test of Moderators (coefficients 2:3): QM(df = 2) = 431.55, p-val < .0001

Model results:

	Estimate	Confidence interval	P-value
Intercept	0.24	0.18 to 0.31	<.0001
LBP definition	0.68	0.61 to 0.75	<.0001
Methodological quality	-0.59	-0.69 to -0.48	<.0001

Cross-sectional point prevalence (high quality studies)

Mixed-Effects Model (k = 15; tau² estimator: DL)

tau² (estimated amount of residual heterogeneity):0.01 (SE = 0.01)tau (square root of estimated tau² value):0.09l² (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability):96.30%H² (unaccounted variability / sampling variability):27.00R² (amount of heterogeneity accounted for):45.86%

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 9) = 242.98, p-val < .0001

Test of Moderators (coefficients 2:6): QM(df = 5) = 19.42, p-val = 0.002

Model results:

	Estimate	Confidence interval	P-value
Intercept	-0.41	-0.99 to 0.17	0.17
LBP definition	0.23	-0.005 to 0.47	0.05
Ν	-0.0001	-0.00 to -0.00	0.02
Sport2	0.03	-0.15 to 0.041	<.0001
Sex	0.21	-0.03 to 0.44	0.07
Outcome	-0.16	-0.33 to 0.01	0.06

Cross-sectional three-month prevalence (high quality studies)

Mixed-Effects Model (k = 4; tau² estimator: DL) tau² (estimated amount of residual heterogeneity): 0 (SE = 0.00) tau (square root of estimated tau² value): 0 l² (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability): 0.00% H² (unaccounted variability / sampling variability): 1.00 R² (amount of heterogeneity accounted for): 100.00%

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 2) = 1.1613, p-val = 0.5595

Test of Moderators (coefficient 2): QM(df = 1) = 6.3593, p-val = 0.0117

Model results:

	Estimate	Confidence interval	P-value
Intercept	0.71	0.66 to 0.76	<.0001
LBP definition	0.08	0.02 to 0.15	0.01

Cross-sectional 12-month prevalence (high quality studies)

Mixed-Effects Model (k = 7; tau² estimator: DL)

tau² (estimated amount of residual heterogeneity):0.10 (SE = 0.15)tau (square root of estimated tau² value):0.32l² (residual heterogeneity / unaccounted variability):98.23%H² (unaccounted variability / sampling variability):56.37

R² (amount of heterogeneity accounted for): 0.00%

Test for Residual Heterogeneity: QE(df = 1) = 56.37, p-val < .0001

Test of Moderators (coefficients 2:6): QM(df = 5) = 1.15, p-val = 0.95

Model results:

	Estimate	Confidence interval	P-value
Intercept	-0.08	-2.02 to 1.87	0.94
LBP definition	0.31	-0.69 to 1.32	0.54
Number of participants	0.00	-0.00 to .00	0.96
Sport	0.01	-0.07 to 0.09	0.77
Sex	0.30	-0.54 to 1.13	0.49
Data collection mode	-0.07	-0.48 to 0.31	0.71







Interpretation of in-text funnel plots

Figure 2: There is one outlier in this funnel plot which reported a higher incidence proportion. The remaining three studies are clustered around the midline. The outlier had 50% lower study numbers than the other three. All studies had similar definitions of injury. It is not possible to comment if there was recruitment bias in the outlying study.

Figure 3: In this funnel plot, there are two extremes. Two studies reported high incidence proportions, and two reported low incidence proportions. One study also had a higher sample size than the other three studies. There was a large range in sample sizes in these studies. There were varying definitions in the included studies (see Table 3).

Figure 4: There were also two extremes in this study, with one study reporting a higher incidence proportion. The study reporting a lower incidence proportion had a higher sample size. There were varying definitions in these two studies which could result in different reported incidences (see Table 3).

Interpretation of supplementary funnel plots

Suppl figure 1: This funnel plot is well distributed, with four studies below the midline and four above. There was a large range in reported prevalence in these studies. Varying definitions of LBP were used in included studies (see Table 3).

Suppl. figure 2: There is one outlier in this funnel plot. This study reported a higher sample size and a higher prevalence than the remaining three studies.

Suppl. figure 3: This funnel plot is well distributed. Most points are clustered around the midline. There is one study which reported a higher prevalence, which is an outlier on this funnel plot. This study was LBP-specific, which could possibly result in recruitment bias.

Supplementary	/ Table 1: Cr	haracteristics of participants	s of all the include	ed studies					
Author, year	Country	Sport(s)	Study design	Setting	No. of participants (F%/M%)	Mean/ median participant age (SD/IQR)	Type of participants	Variables	Data collection mode
Abe et al. 2017	Japan	Team sports (Baseball, softball, basketball, soccer, volleyball, other)	Cross-sectional	Junior high schools and high schools in Unnan City, Shimane, Japan	N= 632 41%F/59%M	13.8 (1.5)	Students participating in team sports	 Pain sites Relationship of number of teammates to MSK pain Team quantity index (TQI) 	Questionnaire
Alricsson and Werner 2006	Sweden	Cross country skiing	Longitudinal cohort	Northern part of Sweden	N=15 53.3%F/46.7%M	Start of study 13.6 (0.9) Five year follow up 18.5 (0.9)	Young elite cross-country skiers	 Kyphosis Lordosis Presence of LBP Training hours per week Weekly participation in sport other than cross country skiing 	- Questionnaire - Debrunner's kyphometer
Alricsson and Werner 2005	Sweden	Cross country skiing	Cross-sectional with age matched controls	High schools in Northern Sweden	N=120 cross country skiers N=993 controls Sex N/R	Study group 18. 1 (1.1) Control group 18 (1)	Cross- country ski students from all 5 ski high schools in Sweden. Control group was from 3 school districts in the North part of Sweden.	 Physical activity Physical health Location of symptoms/injuries Back pain in skiers 	Questionnaire
Auvinen et al. 2008	Finland	Multiple (population-based study) Included: walking, jogging, cycling, cross-country skiing, swimming, soccer, ice hockey, floorball, rinkball or bandy, Finnish baseball, basketball, volleyball, ice- skating, figure skating, track and field, horseback riding, aerobics, gymnastics, dancing, gym training, downhill skiing or snowboarding, roller-skating or skateboarding, badminton, tennis, orienteering running,	Cross-sectional	Questionnaire based on the Finnish Physical Activity Survey as part of the Northern Finland Birth Cohort (1986)	N=6947 Sex N/R	N/R	Children born in the two northernmost provinces of Finland between July 1, 1985 and June 30, 1986	 MSK pain Health habits such as physical activity, sedentary behaviour, smoking 	Questionnaire

Supplementary Table 1: Characteristics of participants of all the included studies

		and golf.							
Balague et al. 1988	Switzerland	Multiple (population-based study) Included: soccer, skiing, gymnastics, swimming, bodybuilding, volleyball, aerobics	Cross-sectional	Schools in Switzerland	1715 51%F/49%M	12	Schoolchildren in the fourth school district of the Sarine area near Fribourg, Switzerland	 Frequency and location of back pain Hours per day spent watching TV Number of cigarettes smoked Sports 	Questionnaire
Balague et al. 1994	Switzerland	<i>Multiple</i> Included tennis, volleyball, cycling, and swimming	Cross-sectional	Primary and secondary schools in Switzerland	N=1716 50.6%F/49.4%M	Mean 11.7 Median 12	Primary and secondary school children in One school district of Fribourg, Switzerland	 Lifetime and 7-day hx of LBP Localization of LBP Medical tx of LBP Parents hx of LBP TV watching Sports activity GPA 	Questionnaire
Bayne et al. 2016	Australia	Cricket	Cohort	District and/or state junior cricket squads, data collection took place at the University of Western Australia	N=25 100%M	Injured 15.5 (1.4) Non-injured 16 (1.2)	Fast bowlers from district and/or state junior cricket squads	 MRI MSK screening 3D biomechanical bowling analyses 	Clinical examination
Brown and Kimball 1983	USA	Powerlifting	Cross-sectional	The 1981 Michigan Teenage Powerlifting Competition	n=71 100% M	N/R	Teenage powerlifters	 Training, experience Medical history Injury types Injury sites 	Questionnaire
Burnett et al. 1996	Australia	Cricket	Cohort	Male fast bowlers at the beginning of the 1991-1992 cricket season and at the completion of the 1993-1994 cricket season	n=19 100% M	Study start 13.6 Study end 16.3	19 male cricket fast bowlers	Filming of maximum velocity bowling and MRI	Film and radiographic procedures

judo or karate or wrestling,

Cejudo et al. 2020	Spain	Equestrian sports	Cross-sectional	Equestrian Technical Centre of the Region of Murcia (Murcia, Spain)	N=19 58%F/42%M	14.7 (1.9) Male 13.9 (1.8) Female 15.3 (1.9)	Child equestrian athletes of the Murcia Regional Team	-	Demographic data Sport related background info Training workload LBP Risk factors	Interview questionnaire Clinical examination
Cezarino et al. 2020	Brazil	Soccer	Cohort	Brazilian first division male youth soccer academy	N=228 100%M	16.51 (2.59)	Male youth soccer players	-	Anthropometric measurements Injury and exposure data	 Measurements taken by club physiologist Injury report form completed by physiotherapist Training and match exposure forms completed by assistant coaches
Cupisti et al. 2004	Italy	Gymnastics	Cross-sectional	19 gymnastics clubs affiliated with the Italy Federation of Gymnastics	Study group N=67 Control group N=104 100% F	Both groups 14.7	Competitive club level gymnasts and age matched controls	-	Presence, location, intensity of back pain Smoking habits Age of menarche Mental stress questionnaire Skinfold thickness measurements	Questionnaire and clinical examination
Dennis et al. 2005	Australia	Cricket fast bowling	Cohort	Club and district cricket leagues in Australia	n=44 100%M	14.7	Australian fast bowlers playing at the club and district level	-	Match and training deliveries bowled each day Conditions/injuries MRI at baseline and post-injury	- Logbook - MRI
Farahbakhsh et al. 2018	Iran	<i>Multiple</i> Included: football, volleyball, basketball, wrestling, gymnastics, fitness, shooting, track and field, and swimming	Cross-sectional	Tehran Province, Iran between July and August 2017. Sports Medicine Research Centre of Tehran University of Medical Sciences	N=377 100% M	15.95	Male athletes participating in the sport Olympiad		Questionnaire about prevalence of neck and LBP	Questionnaire
Fouasson- Chailloux et al. 2020	France	Soccer	Cohort	French regional academy	N=161 100%M	N/R	Youth male soccer players		Injury diagnosis Date Nature Location Severity	Injury data recorded by sports physician

Gamboa et al. 2008	USA	Ballet	Retrospective descriptive cohort	Elite preprofessional ballet boarding school in Washington DC	N=359 80%F/20%M	14.7 (1.9)	Elite adolescent pre- professional ballet dancers	 Demographics Past medical history Posture Strength Flexibility Orthopaedic testing Function 	Clinical examination
Gregory et al. 2002	England	Cricket	Prospective cohort	Centres of Excellence of 3 "First Class" Counties in England in January 1998	N=113	14.9	Young cricketers	 Injuries caused by/interfering with bowling 	Telephone questionnaire
Grimmer and Williams 2000	Australia	Multiple	Cross-sectional	12 High schools in Adelaide, Australia in 1998	N=1193 49%F/51%M	N/R	High school students in Australia	 Backpack and student weights Height questionnaire answers- information on LBP in the past two weeks 	Questionnaire and clinical examination
Ha et al. 2017	South Korea	Baseball	Cross-sectional	Elementary schools, junior high schools, senior high schools in South Korea	n=293 100% M	12.8 (2.1)	South Korean male baseball players	 Prevalence (Point and Lifetime) Recurrence Age of onset for LBP Peak height velocity calculated 	Questionnaire and health records
Harreby et al. 1999	Denmark	Multiple	Cross-sectional	46 municipal schools in 3 counites of Sealand, Denmark	n=1389 <i>,</i> 52%F/48%M	92.4% were either 15 or 16 years of age	8th and 9th grade Danish schoolchildren	 LBP frequency and severity Sports participation frequency and intensity 	Questionnaire
Hickey et al. 1997	Australia	Basketball	Retrospective review of records	Sports Medicine Department at the Australian Institute of Sport in Canberra, Australia	n=49 100%F	17.6 at time of injury presentation	Elite female basketball players with scholarships at AIS	 Injury Anatomical location Nature Acute or chronic 	Retrospective review of clinical examination
Hjelm et al. 2010	Sweden	Tennis	Cohort	Swedish local tennis club	n=55 65%F/45%M	15.4	Junior tennis players in Sweden, playing at least twice per week	 Gender Anatomic location Month Injury type Injury severity 	Clinical examination

Hoskins et al. 2010	Australia	Australian Rules football	Cross-sectional	Junior Australian rules football leagues	n=102 elite junior 60 non-elite juniors 100 control	elite junior 17.2	Junior Australian rules football players, both elite and non-elite, and Australian high school students as the control group	- - -	Prevalence Intensity Quality Frequency of LBP	Questionnaire
Hutchinson 1999 a	USA	Gymnastics	Cohort	U.S. Rhythmic Gymnastics National Team	n=7 100%F	16	7 members of the U.S. national team for rhythmic gymnastics	- -	Injuries Treatments Injury severity	Clinical examination
Hutchinson 1999 b	USA	Gymnastics	Retrospective review of injuries	U.S. Rhythmic Gymnastics National Team	N=12 100%F	16	Elite rhythmic gymnasts	-	Complaints severe enough to be seen by a physician	Retrospective review of records
lwamoto et al. 2005	Japan	Rugby	Cohort	High schools in Japan	n=327 100%M	N/R	High school rugby players in Japan	-	Radiographs Presence of LBP	Radiological examination and clinical examination
lwamoto et al. 2004	Japan	Football	Cohort	High schools and college in Japan between 1986 and 1994	n=171 freshman high school players n=742 freshman college players	N/R	Incoming freshman high school and college football players in Japan	-	Abnormal radiographic findings Presence of LBP	Radiological examination and clinical examination
Kaldau et al. 2021	Canada	Badminton	Cross-sectional	BWF World Junior Championships 2018	N=166 44%F/56%M	17.1 (0.8)	Junior badminton players	- -	Player demographics Significant injuries Symptoms	Questionnaire
Kamada et al. 2016	Japan	Multiple track and field, soft tennis, table tennis, badminton, Kendo, Judo, Karate, swimming, baseball, softball, basketball, soccer, volleyball, other	Cross-sectional	7 Junior high schools and 3 high schools in Unnan, Shimane, Japan in 2008 and 2009	n=2267 students in 2008 2212 students in 2009 52%F/48%M	14.5	All students in 7 junior high schools and 3 high schools in Unnan, Shimane, Japan	- -	Descriptive statistics Participation in organized sports MSK pain using a questionnaire	Questionnaire
Kikuchi et al. 2019	Japan	Multiple (population-based study)	Cross-sectional	Single birth cohort of students, followed up in elementary and junior high	N=32596 21280 athletes	N/R	Elementary and junior high schoolers in Japan who are part of a single birth cohort study	-	Descriptive statistics Participation in organized sports Presence or absence of LBP	Questionnaire

				school from 2005 to 2010					
Kountouris et al. 2012	Australia	Cricket	Prospective cohort	Australia in 2002-2003	n=38 100%M	14.9	Adolescent male cricket fast bowlers in Australia in 2002-2003	 MR imaging to get Cross-sectional area of quadratus lumborum Low back pain followed by clinician investigation 	MRI and self-report
Kujala et al. 1997 a	Finland	Soccer, ice hockey, gymnastics, ballet	Cross-sectional	Sports clubs and public school controls	n=138 58%F/42%M	N/R	Athletes from different specific sports clubs and public school controls	 Physical activity Lifetime cumulative LBP Pain symptoms Various physical measurements 	Questionnaire and measurements
Kujala et al. 1997 b	Finland	Soccer, ice hockey, gymnastics, figure skating, ballet	Cohort	Elementary schools and sports clubs	n=119 56%F/44%M	N/R	Elementary school aged athletes and nonathletic controls	 History of physical activity Lifetime cumulative incidence of LBP LBP interfering with school or leisure activities during past 12- months Continuous/recurrent LBP Sciatica Acute back trauma Height Weight Body fat percentage Hypermobility Other anthropometric measures 	Questionnaire and clinical examination
Kujala et al. 1997 c	Finland	Ice hockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnastics	Cohort	Elementary school and sports clubs	n=98 49%F/51%M	male nonathletes 11.9 (0.3) male athletes 11.9 (0.3) female nonathletes 11.9 (0.4) female athletes	Young athletes and nonathletes. Male athletes were involved in ice hockey and soccer, female athletes in gymnastics	 Past and present PA Acute injuries causing LBP Occurrence of LBP Duration Location Stages of maturity MRI 	Questionnaire and MRI

Kigolo et al. 297 d Finland Ice hockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnastics Colort Elementary sports clubs in Finland Pass Pass Pass Young athletes and posses - Past and present PA Actor inpurise and present PA data might be real athletes and figure 11.9 (a) <i>mole</i> - Past and present PA Actor inpurise and present PA data might be real athletes and figure 11.9 (a) <i>mole</i> Kugolo et al. 297 d Finland Ice hockey, soccer, ice stating, gymnastics Colort Elementary sports clubs in Finland Past Pass Pass Pass - Past and present PA data dapresent PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2000) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and figure tal. (2010) - Past and present PA data might be real athletes and might be present be data might be real athletes and might be part be part be data might be rea										
Kujala et al. 1397 diFinlandIce bockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnasticsCohortElementary school and sports clubs in ports clubs in sports clubs in 							11.7 (0.8)	and figure skating		
Lee et al. 2020 Korea Soccer Cross-sectional U15 soccer teams in Korean guing the 2019 season N=681 	Kujala et al. 1997 d	Finland	Ice hockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnastics	Cohort	Elementary school and sports clubs in Finland	n=98 49%F/51%M	male nonathletes 11.9 (0.3) male athletes 11.9 (0.3) female nonathletes 11.9(0.4) female athletes 11.7 (0.8)	Young athletes and nonathletes. Male athletes were involved in ice hockey and soccer, female athletes in gymnastics and figure skating	 Past and present PA Acute injuries causing LBP Occurrence of LBP Duration Location Stages of maturity MRI 	Questionnaire and clinical examination
Legault et al. 2015CanadaMultipleCross-sectional control summer Games 2212 Quebec summer Games $n=1771$ athletes $48\%F/52MM$ $ndolescentathletes in the2012 Quebecsummer Gamesndolescentathletes in the2012 Quebecsummer Gamesadolescentathletes in the2012 Quebecsummer Gamesadolescentand an age-matchedcontrolsadolescentathletes in the2012 Quebecsummer Gamesadolescentathletes in the2012 Quebecsummer Gamesadolescentand an age-matchedcontrol groupadolescentathletesadolescentand an age-matchedcontrol groupadolescentathletes<$	Lee et al. 2020	Korea	Soccer	Cross-sectional	U15 soccer teams in Korean Football Association during the 2019 season	N=681 100%M	13.6 (1.01)	Youth male soccer players	 Demographic information Training information Injury information (location occurrence, severity, type, cause, recurrent, surgery, days to return, treatment expenses) 	Injury report questionnaire
Linek et al. 2018 Poland Soccer longitudinal center in in the n=97 (2.2) Adolescent - USI data about LAMs Trauma Research cohort Silesian region of Poland 13.7 (3.0) - USI data about LAMs questionnaire with visual analogue scale	Legault et al. 2015	Canada	Multiple	Cross-sectional	2012 Quebec Summer Games	n=1771 athletes 48%F/52%M N=700 control group 54%F/46%M	athletes 14.12(1.22) controls 14.69(138)	Adolescent athletes in the 2012 Quebec Summer Games and an age- matched control group	 Socio-demographic and anthropometric information Physical activity participation level Prevalence and impact of MSK symptoms 	 IPAQ Teen Nordic MSK Questionnaire Clinical examination
	Linek et al. 2018	Poland	Soccer	Prospective longitudinal cohort	Sports and recreation center in in the Silesian region of Poland	n=97 100%M	No LBP 12.8 (2.2) LBP 13.7 (3.0)	Adolescent male soccer players	 USI data about LAMs Occurrence of LBP 	 Ultrasound imaging Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre questionnaire with visual analogue scale

McMeeken et al. 2001	Australia	Dance and gymnastics	Cross-sectional	Community, secondary schools, University of Melbourne, Australian Ballet School, Victorian College of the Arts and other ballet and gymnastics schools.	n=614 63%F/37%M	females 16.9(2.1), males 17.3 (1.9)	Dancers, gymnasts, and a control group	- Physical activity - Back pain - Severity	Questionnaire
Mizoguchi et al. 2019	Japan	Volleyball	Cross-sectional	High school volleyball teams in Saitama, Japan	N=123 49%F/51%M	15.8 (0.7)	High school volleyball players	 Demographic details Environmental factors Injury history Presence/absence of LBP in the past year 	Questionnaire
Mogenson et al. 2007	Denmark	Multiple Included: Jump gymnastics, rhythmic gymnastics, soccer, other ball games, swimming, badminton/tennis, horseback riding, running, cycling, roller skating/skateboarding, martial arts, other	Cross-sectional	Schools in Odense, Denmark in 2001	n=439 52%F/48%M	N/R	Adolescents living in Odense, Denmark	 Sports Number of hours per week Puberty stage 	Questionnaire and clinical examination
Mueller et al. 2016	Germany	19 different sports in 4 sport categories	Cohort	Elite sports schools	n=321 43%F/57%M	13.1(1.4)	Elite adolescent athletes	 Anthropometrics Occurrence of back pain Sport type 	Questionnaire (5-step face scale)
Müller et al. 2017	Germany	17 different sports in 4 sports categories	Cross-sectional	Elite sports schools	n=2116 39%F/61%M	13.3 (1.7)	Elite adolescent athletes	 Back pain Point prevalence at time and last 7 days Restrictions to sport Type of sport Training details Anthropometric data 	Questionnaire (5-step face scale)
Muntaner-Mas et al. 2018	Spain	<i>Multiple</i> Included: football, basketball, swimming, cycling, tennis, rhythmic gymnastics, futsal, athletics, volleyball, martial arts, handball, and others	Cross-sectional	26 primary schools in Majorca, Spain	2032, 46%F/54%M	11.1	5th and 6th grade primary school students	 LBP occurrence Treatment LBP in bed or upon waking LBP at the end of PE Scoliosis Leg length discrepancy Anthropometric data 	Questionnaire

								- Sr	port participation	
Ng et al. 2014	Australia	Rowing	Retrospective cross-sectional	Independent boys and girls' schools in Western Australia	n=365, 64%F/36%M	males 15.1 (0.8), females 15 (0.8)	Rowers who competed for different schools in Western Australia	- Ai - Q su ag	nthropometrics uestions about LBP uch as intensity and ggravating factors	Questionnaire with VAS and One question adapted from Nordic MSK questionnaire
Noll et al. 2016	Brazil	Multiple	Cross-sectional	Brazil 2015	n=251 31%F/79%M	16.4 (1.4)	High school athletes participating in the Jogos dos Institutos Federais (Federal Institutes Games)	- O pa - D - Ba - Pa - H - Le ad	ccurrence of back ain emographics ehavioural factors ostural factors eredity evel of physical ctivity	 Questionnaire "Back Pain and Body Posture Evaluation Instrument" (BackPEI) Anthropometry Manual and lumbar force Weight asymmetry
O'Connor et al. 2016	Ireland	Gaelic football and hurling	Prospective cohort	6 secondary schools in Ireland	n= 292 100%M	15.7(0.8)	Under 16 male adolescent Gaelic footballers and hurlers	- 9 - 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Sport Onset of injury Side Location Type Nature Fime occurred Severity Mechanism Month Protective equipment worn	Injury report form based on the National College Athlete Association Injury Surveillance System and influenced by other epidemiological research
Ogon et al. 2001		Alpine skiing	Prospective cohort	Elite alpine skiing high school in 1994 and 1995	N= 120 35%F/65%M	17	Elite adolescent skiers	- F a - C k - C - T	Radiographic abnormalities Development of low pack pain Duration Freatment	 Radiographic evaluation Diaries collected every Three- months Physical therapy records
Palmer-Green et al. 2015	England	Rugby	Cohort	2 seasons (2006/7 and 2007/8) in a male rugby union in England	n=250 100%M	N/R	Male youth rugby union players	- [- - - [Date of injury njury classification njury event Date of return	Questionnaire

Rossi et al. 2016	Finland	<i>Multiple</i> Included: Basketball, cross- country skiing, floorball, football, gymnastics, ice hockey, orienteering, skating, swimming, track and field	Cross-sectional	Part of the Finnish Health Promoting Sports Club study, 154 youth sports clubs in Finland in 2013	N=962	Broken down in Table 1	Adolescents who are members of youth sports clubs in Finland and secondary school non- members	- - -	Health behaviours Physical activity Injuries Musculoskeletal health	Two questionnaires
Rossi et al. 2018 a	Finland	Basketball, floorball, ice hockey, and volleyball	Retrospective cross-sectional	Finnish female and male basketball, floorball, ice hockey and volleyball teams	N=464	Mean age 16 (1.9)	Players from 22 basketball, floorball, ice hockey, and volleyball teams	- - -	Prevalence of LBP Gender Age Sport Family LBP history	Questionnaire
Rossi et al. 2018 b	Finland	Basketball and floorball	Cross-sectional	Nine basketball teams and nine floorball teams from Tampere city district, Finland	n=401 47%F/53%M	Mean age 15.8(1.9)	Young floorball and basketball players	-	Background information LBP in the previous 12-months	Questionnaire
Rossi et al. 2018 c	Finland	Basketball and floorball	Cohort	9 basketball and 9 floorball teams in Finland	N=396	Mean age 15.8(1.9)	Young floorball and basketball players	- - -	Location Cause Type Time of onset Mechanism	Questionnaire and anthropometry
Peterhans et al. 2020	Switzerland	Alpine skiing	Cross-sectional	Swiss- Ski and related regional ski federations	N=108 39%F/61%M 42F/66M	14.83 (0.58) Females 14.74 (0.66) Males 14.88 (0.52)	Youth competitive alpine skiers	-	MRI from T10 to S1 Anthropometric assessments OSTRC questionnaire responses	 MRI OSTRC questionnaire Personal retrospective interviews and physical examinations performed by sports physician
Sato et al. 2011	Japan	Multiple Included: swimming, basketball, soccer, baseball, tennis, wind-instrument music, table tennis, volleyball, athletics, kendo, karate, badminton, ballet, dance, judo, gymnastics, golf, dodgeball, rugby, sumo wrestling and wrestling, archery	Cross-sectional	All students in fourth to sixth grade elementary school (21,893) and all students in first to third year junior high (21,737) in Niigata City	N= 26,766	N/R	Elementary school and junior high school students in Niigata City, Japan	-	Presence of LBP Sports activities	Questionnaire

Schmidt et al. 2014	Germany	<i>Multiple</i> Included 31 sports. The following had more than ten athletes: volleyball, biathlon, swimming, canoe racing, tobagganing, alpine skiing, short track, canoe slalom, ice skating, figure skating, rowing	Cross-sectional	Centre for Orthopaedics and Traumatology	n=272 42%F/58%M	15.4(2.0)	Young competitive athletes coming to the centre for an annual medical check- up	 Point, 1-year, and Lifetime prevalence rates of LBP Severity Intensity Duration Number of episodes of LBP Hours of weekly practice Years of training 	Questionnaire with VAS and clinical examination
Schoeb et al. 2020	Switzerland	Alpine Skiing	Cohort	Certified regional performance centres of Swiss-Ski	N=167	13.89 (0.60) Females 13.80 (0.68) Males 13.94 (0.54)	U15 and U14 competitive alpine skiiers	 Anthropometric measurements OSTRC questionnaire responses 	OSTRC questionnaire and supplemental interview with a sports physician
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 a	Japan	Baseball	Cross-sectional	The Miyagi Amateur Sports Association in north-east Japan	n=1582, 4%F/96%M	Median 11	Youth baseball players who belonged to the Miyagi Amateur Sports Association	 Demographic information Number of years in sport Level Number of hours intensity Presence of pain in knee, shoulder, low back elbow 	Questionnaire
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 b	Japan	Baseball	Cross-sectional	Amateur sports association	N=1609	<i>Median</i> 11 (IQR 10-12)	Young baseball players	 Presence of LBP and knee pain Demographic information Team level Amount of training Intensity of training 	Questionnaire
Shah et al. 2015	UK	Soccer	Cohort	English Premiership soccer academy squads between 1998 and 2006	N=12,306 100%M	N/R	Youth soccer players in England	 Mechanism of injury Timing Nature Time to return to participation Any further clinical examinations 	Prospective injury data collection and event analysis

Shimozaki et al. 2018	Japan	Weightlifting	Prospective three-year cohort study	Weightlifting team in Japan	N=12 50%F/50%M	Start of study 11.4(2)	Child/adolescent weightlifters who had been competing in weightlifting events for at least 2 years	 Practice frequency Presence of LBP MRI findings 	Questionnaire and lumbar MRI findings
Skoffer and Foldspang 2008	Denmark	<i>Multiple</i> Included: Soccer, jogging, biking, dance, handball, badminton, swimming, fighting, basketball, gymnastics, riding, scouting, golf, tennis, table tennis, shooting, other	Cross-sectional	14 public schools in Aarhus, Denmark	N=555 47%F /53%M	97.8% were 15 or 16	Schoolchildren in 9th grade in Denmark	 Occurrence of LBP Intensity Duration Pain coping Physical activity Sports TV Computer Method of transporting school bag Smoking Furniture 	Questionnaire
Smoljanovic et al. 2009	Multiple (world champs)	Rowing	Cross-sectional	Junior World Rowing Championships in Beijing in 2007	N=596 39%F/61%M	N/R	Junior rowers competing in the Junior World Rowing Championships (coxswains not included)	 General information Rowing specific information Amount of training Injuries (traumatic and overuse) 	Rowing-specific questionnaire and interviews
Smyth et al. 2020	Australia	Netball	Prospective cohort	2018 17/U&19/U Australian National Netball Championships	N=192	N/R	Athletes participating in the Australian National Netball Championships 2018	 Incidence of injuries occurring in the 2018 17/U & 19/U ANNC Athlete exposure 	N/R
Sogi et al. 2018	Japan	Soccer	Cross-sectional	Miyagi Amateur Sports Association in Japan	N=1139 6%F/94%M	<i>Median</i> 11 (IQR 9-12)	Adolescent soccer players	 Lower extremity pain Trunk pain Covariates: sex, age, BMI, height increase, days training, competition level, frequency of participation in games, previous injuries 	Self-reported questionnaire

Sommerfield et al. 2020	New Zealand	<i>Multiple</i> Including: netball, soccer, field hockey, lacrosse, swimming, athletics, badminton, rowing	Prospective cohort	Girls' secondary school in New Zealand	N=103 100%F	14.0 (0.6)	Girls from PE classes at a secondary school	-	Sports and PE injury rates Association between injury and phase of menstrual cycle	 OSTRC questionnaire with modification to include information about menstrual cycle Apps used for menstrual cycle: FITrWoman or My Calendar
Son et al. 2020	Korea	Taekwondo	Cohort	Korea Taekwondo Association	N=183 37%F/63%M	15.4 (1.72) Male 15.2 (1.74) Female 15.75 (1.62)	Youth athletes registered at the Korea Taekwondo Association	-	Mechanism Location Type of injury Sports specific items Time loss Personal information: age, sex, height, weight, history, years of experience	ISS questionnaire (comprised of info form IOC and US NCAA ISS questionnaires)
Steffen et al. 2020	Norway	Multiple Including: Rugby, boxing, badminton, gymnastics artistic, cycling, wrestling, futsal, judo, beach volleyball, weightlifting, hickey 5s, basketball 3x3, diving, athletics, tennis, triathlon, taekwondo, fencing, beach handball, karate, trampoline, sailing, gymnastics rhythmic, modern pentathlon,gymnastics acrobatic, break dancing, canoeing, golf, shooting, table tennis, swimming, archery, roller speedskating, equestrian, climbing, rowing, kitesurfing, BMX freestyle	Cohort	Buenos Aires 2018 Youth Olympic Summer Games	N=3984 50%F/50%M	Female 16.9 (0.9) Male 17.2 (0.8)	Athletes competing in the Youth Olympic Summer Games		Injuries Illnesses	IOC injury and illness report form

Sugimoto et al. 2020	USA	Figure skating	Cross-sectional	Four figure skating clubs in the North East region of the U.S.	N=132 100%F	16.8 (3.0)	Adolescent female figure skaters in the North East U.S	 Sport specialization Presence of back injury diagnosed by a health professional Demographic questions Figure skating training questions 	Questionnaire
Sundell et al. 2019	Sweden	<i>Multiple</i> Including: soccer, floorball, strength training, ice hockey, aerobics, judo sports, swimming, equestrian, athletics, gymnastics	Cross-sectional	High schools in a municipality in the north of Sweden	N=2550	N/R	Student attending high school in a municipality in the north of Sweden	 Individual characteristics Questions about physical activity level Sport 	23 of the 73 items from the Standardized Nordic Questionnaire, modified for students
Swain et al. 2018 a	Australia	Ballet	Cross-sectional	One pre- professional ballet school, two pre- professional university dance programs, and a professional nationally touring ballet company	N=110 83%F/17%M	males 17.1 (3.7), females 17.9 (2.7).	Male and female classical ballet and contemporary dancers	 Presence of LBP Demographic information Menstruation Dance participation 	Questionnaire
Swain et al. 2018 b	Australia	Ballet	Prospective cohort	One pre- professional ballet school, two pre- professional university dance programs, and a professional ballet company	N=119 83%F/17%M	males 17.1 (3.7) females 17.9 (2.7)	Pre- professional and professional ballet dancers	 Demographic data Dance participation information LBP history data 	Questionnaire
Sweeney et al. 2019	USA	Gymnastics	Cross-sectional	Gymnastics facilities in Colorado	n=67 100%F	those with LBP 13.7 (2.8), those without LBP 11.7(2.8)	Gymnasts who participate in the USA Gymnastics Women's Artistic Junior Olympic Programs levels 3 to 10	 Demographic and medical history History of LBP Flexibility 	Questionnaire and clinical examination (measurements of flexibility)

Thoreson et al. 2017	Sweden	Mogul skiing	Cross-sectional	Are Ski Academy in Jarpen, Sweden and age-matched students at the Ostesund and Are/Jarpen High Schools	Study group n=16 13%F/87%M n=28 in control group 68%F/32%M	study group 17.6 control group 16.4	16 elite Mogul skiers and age matched controls	 MRI Back pain Average weekly exercise 	 MRI from T5 to sacrum Three-part questionnaire regarding present or previous back pain, Visual Analog Scale (VAS), the Oswestry questionnaire (ODI), and the EuroQoL questionnaire
Van Hilst et al. 2015	The Netherlands	Field hockey, football, speed skating	Cross-sectional	Field hockey, football, and speed skating clubs in the Netherlands	N= 181 43%F/57%M	male field hockey 17 (15- 24) female field hockey 16 (14-19) male football 18 (16-19) male speed skating 18(15- 23) female speed skating 18(14- 25)	Young elite athletes participating in field hockey, football, and speed skating	 Participant characteristics Sport participation Work Prevalence and severity of LBP Preventive measures against LBP 	Nordic MSK questionnaire Acute LBP screening questionnaire
Vanti et al. 2010	Italy	Gymnastics	Cohort	School of Physiotherapy, University of Bologna Italy	Study group N=91 93%F/7%M Age-matched control group N=375 46%F/54%M	gymnasts 12(3.63) Control group 13.07(0.95)	Young gymnasts	 Back pain Physical activity Social-behavioural factors Anthropometric factors Lumbar range of motion 	Questionnaire and LBP ROM using electronic motion evaluation system
Yabe et al. 2020 a	Japan	Basketball	Cross-sectional	Amateur sports association	N=592 44%F/56%M	Median 13 (12,14)	Youth basketball players	 Low back pain Lower extremity pain Covariates: sex, age, team level, BMI, number of days training, frequency of participation in 	Self-report questionnaire (no title)

games, practice intensity

Yabe et al. 2020 1a	Japan	Basketball	Cross-sectional	Miyagi Amateur Sports Association in Japan	N=590 44%F/56%M	<i>Median</i> 13 (IQR 12-14)	Elementary and middle school aged basketball players	 Pain assessment (upper extremity pain and LBP) Covariates including sex, age, BMI, training volume, practice intensity, frequency of participation in games 	Self-report questionnaire
Yabe et al. 2020 b	Japan	Volleyball	Cross-sectional	Amateur sports association	N=566 74%F/26%M	Median 11 (10,12)	Youth volleyball players	 Low back pain Lower extremity pain Covariates: sex, age, team level, BMI, number of days training, frequency of participation in games, practice intensity 	Self-report questionnaire (no title)
Yabe et al. 2020 c	Japan	Martial arts (judo, kendo, karate)	Cross-sectional	Amateur sports association	N=896 32%F/68%M	Median 11 (9,13)	Youth martial artists	 Point prevalence of LBP Covariates: sex, age, team level, BMI, number of days training, frequency of participation in games, practice intensity 	Self-report questionnaire (no title)
Zaina et al. 2016	Italy	Tennis	Cross-sectional	A public school and private competitive tennis societies in Italy	N= 305 total Tennis players N= 102 (51%F/49%M) School students N= 203 (50% F/50%M)	Female tennis players 12.0(0.8) Male tennis players 12.0(1) Female students 12.3(0.9) Male students 12.4(1.0)	Competitive tennis players and age- matched students	 Clinical evaluation for spinal deformities presence of LBP past and present 	 Measure of angle of trunk rotation using Bunnell scoliometer Questionnaire

Supplementary Table 2: Prevalence or incidence and associated factors

Author, year of publication	Sport	Percentage of athletes with LBP	Prevalence or incidence and time period	Percentage of control group with LBP	Associated factors
Ogon et al. 2001	Alpine skiing	12.5%	Two-year incidence	N/A	Severe anterior lesions
Peterhans et al. 2020	Alpine skiing	16.5%	12-month prevalence	N/A	N/R
Schoeb et al. 2020	Alpine skiing	8.5%	Other prevalence (two week)	N/A	- Female gender - Older age (U15)
Hoskins et al. 2010	Australian Rules football	91.9%	Lifetime prevalence	7-34%	Elite participation in Australian Rules football
Kaldau et al. 2021	Badminton	19.4%	Orher prevalence (one month)	N/A	N/R
Gamboa et al. 2008	Ballet	9.4%	Other prevalence	N/A	 Higher current disability scores History of LBP Foot pronation on the right Insufficient ankle plantarflexion Less lower extremity strength
Swain et al. 2018 (a)	Ballet	1. 73.6% 2. 63.6% 3. 46.4% 4. 23.6%	 Lifetime prevalence 12-month prevalence Three-month prevalence Point prevalence 	N/A	Dance participation
Swain et al. 2018 (b)	Ballet	78%	Nine-month incidence	N/A	Dance participation
Ha et al. 2017	Baseball	1. 58.9% 2. 37.5%	 Lifetime prevalence Point prevalence 	N/A	- Baseball - Peak height velocity - Age
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 (a)	Baseball	8.4%	Point prevalence	N/A	Elbow and/or shoulder pain
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 (b)	Baseball	8.4%	Point prevalence	N/A	Knee pain
Yabe et al. 2020 1a	Basketball	12.9%	Point prevalence	N/A	Upper extremity pain including shoulder
Hickey et al. 1997	Basketball	37.5%	6-year period prevalence	N/A	- Weight/strength training - Elite level
Yabe et al. 2020 a	Basketball	12.8%	Point prevalence	N/A	- Knee pain - Ankle pain
Rossi et al. 2018 (b)	Basketball and floorball	1. 54.9% 2. 52.9%	1. Lifetime prevalence 2. 12-month prevalence	N/A	 Older age Family history of musculoskeletal symptoms

3. 23.7%

3. Point prevalence

Rossi et al. 2018 (c)	Basketball and floorball	13%	1-3 year follow up, Incidence	N/A	N/R
Rossi et al. 2018 (a)	Basketball, floorball, ice hockey, and volleyball	54.9%	12-month prevalence	N/A	- Family hx of LBP - Higher age
Bayne et al. 2016	Cricket	36%	Six-month incidence	N/A	 Incorrect technique Trunk and hip muscle weakness Inadequate workload management
Burnett et al. 1996	Cricket	58%	2.7-year incidence	N/A	 Mixed bowling technique over an extended period of time. (Versus front-on or side-on)
Dennis et al. 2005	Cricket	52%	Six-month incidence	N/A	High bowling workload
Gregory et al. 2002	Cricket	10.7%	Six-month incidence	N/A	Fast bowling
Kountouris et al. 2012	Cricket	44.7%	One season incidence	N/A	Higher BMI
Alricsson and Werner 2005	Cross country skiing	44.2%	Three-month prevalence	N/R	 Prolonged back flexion Weakness in back muscles
Alricsson and Werner 2006	Cross country skiing	46.6%	Three-month prevalence	N/A	 No regular participation in sports or other physical activities other than cross country skiing
McMeekan et al. 2001	Dance and gymnastics	1. 54.1% 2. 37%	1. Lifetime prevalence 2. 12-month prevalence	1. 47.6% 2. 32.3%	Total activity hours (over 30 per week)
Cejudo et al. 2020	Equestrian sports	42.1%	12-month prevalence	N/A	 High body fat percentage Trunk lateral flexor endurance lower than 65 seconds
Van Hilst et al. 2015	Field hockey, football (soccer), speed skating	60%	12-month prevalence	N/A	- Pilates - Training hours - More time spent warming up
Sugimoto et al. 2020	Figure skating	25%	Lifetime prevalence	N/A	 -Independent association between chronological age and low back injury
lwamoto et al. 2004	American football	62.9%	12-month incidence	N/A	- Spondylolysis (radiological risk factor) - Disk space narrowing - Spinal instability
O'Connor et al. 2015	Gaelic football Hurling	5% Gaelic injuries 22% hurling injuries	Incidence	N/A	Adolescent Gaelic games
Cupisti et al. 2004	Gymnastics	10.4%	Lifetime prevalence	26%	- Being overweight - Older (adolescent) age - Smoking
Hutchinson 1999a	Gymnastics	86%	Point prevalence	N/A	Rhythmic gymnastics

Hutchinson 1999b	Gymnastics	23.9%	12-month prevalence	N/A	N/R
Sweeney et al. 2019	Gymnastics	45%	12-month prevalence	N/A	Menarche
Vanti et al. 2010	Gymnastics	1. 46% 2. 26%	 Lifetime prevalence low level LBP Lifetime prevalence medium/high level LBP 	1. 60% 2. 36%	- Female gender - Sedentary lifestyle - Psychosocial risk factors - Parents/siblings with LBP
Yabe et al. 2020 c	Martial arts	4.8%	Point prevalence	N/A	- Older age - Lower extremity pain
Thoreson et al. 2017	Mogul skiing	50%	Lifetime prevalence	42%	Mogul skiing (exposed to different high loads)
Kikuchi et al. 2019	Multiple	6.6%	Point prevalence	6.5%	- Female gender - Extracurricular sports
Legault et al. 2015	Multiple	35.8%	Six-month prevalence	45.4%	Female gender
Schmidt et al. 2014	Multiple 31 sports. The following had more than ten athletes volleyball, biathlon, swimming, canoe racing, tobagganing, alpine skiing, short track, canoe slalom, ice skating, figure skating, rowing	1. 65.8% 2. 57% 3. 14.3%	1. Lifetime prevalence 2. 12-month prevalence 3. Point prevalence	N/A	Competitive sport participation
Rossi et al. 2016	Multiple Included: basketball, cross-country skiing, floorball, football, gymnastics, ice hockey, orienteering, skating, swimming, track and field	1. 56.4% 2. 23.2%	 Lifetime prevalence Point prevalence 	1. 54.5% non- sports club members	Higher screen time during leisure time
Müller et al. 2017	<i>Multiple</i> Included: Boxing, soccer, artistic gymnastics, weight lifting, handball, judo, canoeing, track&field, modern pentathlon, cycling, horse riding, wrestling, rowing, swimming, shooting, triathlon, volleyball	8%	Point prevalence	N/A	 Older adolescent age Sports with repetitive translation, reclination and rotation (like judo, wrestling, rowing and canoeing)
Mogenson et al. 2007	<i>Multiple</i> Included: Jump gymnastics, rhythmic gymnastics, soccer, other ball games, swimming, badminton/tennis, horse back riding, running, cycling, roller skating/skateboarding, martial arts, other	58.4%	One-month prevalence	39%	- Martial arts - Roller skating/skateboarding - Horseback riding
Sommerfield et al. 2020	<i>Multiple</i> Included: Netball, soccer, field hockey, lacrosse, swimming, athletics, badminton, rowing	7.5%	30-week incidence	N/A	N/R
Steffen et al. 2020	Multiple Included: rugby, boxing, badminton, gymnastics artistic, cycling, wrestling, futsal, judo, beach volleyball, weightlifting, hickey 5s, basketball 3x3, diving, athletics, tennis, triathlon, taekwondo, fencing, beach handball, karate, trampoline, sailing,	6.9%	12-day incidence	N/A	N/R

	gymnastics rhythmic, modern pentathlon,gymnastics acrobatic, break dancing, canoeing, golf, shooting, table tennis, swimming, archery, roller speedskating, equestrian, climbing, rowing, kitesurfing, BMX freestyle				
Sundell et al. 2019	<i>Multiple</i> Included: soccer, floorball, strength training, ice hockey, aerobics, judo sports, swimming, equestrian, athletics, gymnastics	44.2%	12-month prevalence	1. 46.2 overall (athletes and non-athletes) 2. 42.4 overall (athletes and non-athletes)	 Female gender Sport activity (especially lasting more than 6 hours per week)
Skoffer and Foldspang 2008	<i>Multiple</i> Included: soccer, jogging, biking, dance, handball, badminton, swimming, fighting, basketball, gymnastics, riding, scouting, golf, tennis, table tennis, shooting, other	51.3%	Three-month prevalence	N/	- Jogging - Handball - Gymnastics - Riding
Noll et al. 2016	<i>Multiple</i> Included: volleyball, basketball, handball, soccer	43.7%	Three-month prevalence	N/A	 Overweight/obesity Psychosocial variables Posture Smoking Lumbar force
Abe et al. 2017	<i>Multiple</i> Teams sports (Baseball, softball, basketball, soccer, volleyball, other)	12.4%	Point prevalence	N/A	- Regular player - Fewer teammates
Grimmer and Williams 2000	Multiple (not specified)	11%	Two-week prevalence	N/R	- Time spent sitting - Carrying heavy loads - Increased participation in sport for the youngest students
Harreby et al. 1999	Multiple (not specified)	53%	One-month prevalence males in high level sport	30.6% overall	- Female gender - Daily smoking - Heavy job in leisure time
Balague et al. 1988	Multiple (population- based) Included: soccer, skiing, gymnastics, swimming, body-building, volleyball, aerobics	35.6%	Lifetime prevalence	33%	- Time spent watching TV - Smoking - Competitive sports
Balague et. al 1994	Multiple (population-based) Included: tennis, volleyball, cycling, skiing, gymnastics, soccer and swimming	18%	Lifetime prevalence	20%	- Age - Gender (female) - Parent LBP - Sports activities - Time spent watching TV
Auvinen et al. 2008	Multiple (population-based) Included: walking, jogging, cycling, cross-country skiing, swimming, soccer, ice hockey, floorball, rinkball or bandy, Finnish baseball, basketball, volleyball, ice-skating, figure skating, track and field, horseback riding, aerobics, gymnastics, dancing, gym training, downhill skiing or snowboarding, roller- skating or skateboarding, badminton, te nnis, orienteering running, judo or karate or wrestling, and golf.	4.7%	Six-month prevalence	44% female 33% male	- Participation in One single risk sport vs multiple sports

Mueller et al. 2016	Multiple	10%	two-year incidence	N/A	Game sports
	Combat sports (boxing, karate, judo, wrestling)				
	Game sports (soccer, handball, volleyball)				
	Explosive strength sports (Bob, artistic gymnastics, weight lifting, athletics track&field, modern pentathlon				
	Endurance sports with strength compOnent (canoeing, cycling, horse riding, rowing, swimming, shooting, triathlon)				
Kujala et al. 1992 (c)	<i>Multiple</i> Ice hockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnastics	45%	3 year follow up	18%	Sports which have low back injury risk
Kujala et al. 1992 (d)	<i>Multiple</i> Ice hockey, soccer, ice skating, gymnastics	45%	3 year follow up	18%	- Low maximal lumbar extension - repetitive lumbar extension
Muntaner-Mas et al. 2018	<i>Multiple</i> Included: football, basketball, swimming, cycling, tennis, rhythmic gymnastics, futsal, athletics, volleyball, martial arts, handball, and others	1.66.8% 2.13.9%	1. Lifetime prevalence 2. Point prevalence	1.66.2%	- Female gender - overweight/obesity
Farahbakhsh et al. 2018	Multiple Included: football, volleyball, basketball, wrestling and other (which meant gymnastics, fitness, shooting, track and field, and swimming	1. 42% 2. 27% 3. 14.6%	1. Lifetime prevalence 2. 12-month prevalence 3. Point prevalence	N/A	Playing basketball
Sato et al. 2011	Multiple Included: swimming, basketball, soccer, baseball, tennis, wind-instrument music, table tennis, volleyball, athletics, kendo, karate, badminton, ballet, dance, judo, gymnastics, golf, dodgeball, rugby, sumo wrestling and wrestling, archery	34.9%	Lifetime prevalence	32.1%	Sports participation
Kamada et al. 2016	Multiple Included: track and field, soft tennis, table tennis, badminton, Kendo, Judo, Karate, swimming, baseball, softball, basketball, soccer, volleyball, other	11.6%	Point prevalence	27.4%	Participation in organized sport
Kujala et al. 1992 (a)	<i>Multiple</i> Soccer, ice hockey, gymnastics, ballet	23%	12-month prevalence	21%	Tightness of hip flexor muscles
Kujala et al. 1992 (b)	<i>Multiple</i> Soccer, ice hockey, gymnastics, figure skating, ballet	10.4%	12-month incidence	24%	Individual sports (figure skating and gymnastics)
Smyth et al. 2020	Netball	9.7%	six-day incidence	N/A	N/R
Brown and Kimball 1983	Powerlifting	50%	Point prevalence	N/A	Powerlifting

Ng et al. 2014	Rowing	1. 83.5% 2. 57%	 Lifetime prevalence Point prevalence 	N/A	- Ergometer rowing - Long rowing sessions - Sweep rowing
Smoljanovic et al. 2009	Rowing	32.3%	One-season incidence	N/A	Cross training
Iwamoto et al. 2005	Rugby	66%	12-month incidence	N/A	Spondylolysis (radiological risk factor)
Palmer-Green et al. 2015	Rugby	19.4% (trunk) 0.07-0.57 per 1000 player-hours	2 season incidence	N/A	Lower level of play in rugby
Cezarino et al. 2020	Soccer	3.2%	One season incidence	N/A	- Older age group - Match vs training
Fouasson-Chailloux et al. 2020	Soccer	6.5%	5-year incidence	N/A	N/R
Lee et al. 2020	Soccer	4.1%	One season prevalence	N/A	N/R
Linek et al. 2018	Soccer	9.3%	Six-month incidence	N/A	Asymmetry in OI (obliquus internus) measurement
Shah et al. 2014	Soccer	1.5%	Eight season incidence	N/A	- Second half of first half of match - Contact with other players - After breaks
Sogi et al. 2018	Soccer	3.2%	Point prevalence	N/A	Knee pain
Son et al. 2020	Taekwondo	5.05%	Point prevalence	N/A	N/R
Hjelm et al. 2010	Tennis	21%	Two-year incidence	N/A	Female gender
Zaina et al. 2016	Tennis	53%	Lifetime prevalence	N/R	N/R
Mizoguchi et al. 2019	Volleyball	48%	12-month prevalence	N/A	 Ankle injury within the past year Years of participation in volleyball
Yabe et al. 2020 b	Volleyball	9.5%	Point prevalence	N/A	- Knee pain - Ankle pain
Shimozaki et al. 2018	Weightlifting	25%	Three-year incidence	N/A	N/R

Supplementary Table 3: Pain or injury definitions

Study name	Study type (LBP-specific or general musculoskeletal/injury surveillance)	Injury or pain definition used	LBP-specific definition (Y/N)	Low back anatomical site defined (Y/N)
Abe et al. 2017	General MSK	"Pain was defined by frequency and part of the body area that was painful. Pain should be present at least once a week in at least one part of the body".	Ν	Y
Alricsson and Werner 2005	LBP-specific	N/R	N	Ν
Alricsson and Werner 2006	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Auvinen et al. 2008	General MSK	N/R	Ν	Y
Balague et al. 1988	LBP-specific	"LBP concerns only lumbar pain, and back pain is a global statement of all spinal pain".	Y	Ν
Balague et al. 1994	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Bayne et al. 2016	LBP-specific	Injury was defined as pain that affected a bowler's ability to perform in a match. The definition of injury was expanded to include radiological evidence of lumbar bone stress.	Ν	Ν
Brown and Kimball 1983	General MSK	N/R	Ν	Ν
Burnett et al. 1996	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Cejudo et al. 2020	LBP-specific	LBP for longer than 1 week or whether they did not attend at least three days of training due to LBP within the last 12 months.	Y	Ν
Cezarino et al. 2020	General MSK	Any physical complaint sustained by a player during a soccer match or soccer training that results in a player being unable to take full part in future soccer training or match play (ie, time-loss injury).	Ν	Ν
Cupisti et al. 2004	LBP-specific	A yes response to the question "do you often have back pain?".	Y	Ν
Dennis et al. 2005	General MSK	"Injury was defined as a condition that affected availability for team selection, limited performance during a match, or required surgery. Minor injuries which only affected participation in training sessions were not examined in this study."	Ν	Ν
Farahbakhsh et al. 2018	LBP-specific (in addition to neck pain)	Pain between the lowest rib bone and the lower gluteal fold which would limit the athlete's daily or sports activities more than one day.	Y	Y
Fouasson-Chailloux et al. 2020	General MSK	A physical complaint reported by a player about an injury occurring during competition or training and requiring medical attention.	Ν	Ν
Gamboa et al. 2008	General MSK	"An injury was considered to have occurred when a dancer sought at least One treatment session from a physical therapist".	Ν	Ν

Gregory et al. 2002	General MSK	Only injuries occurring during bowling were recorded in incidence data. Injuries not severe enough to impair bowling performance were not included.	Ν	Ν
Grimmer and Williams 2000	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Ha et al. 2017	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Harreby et al. 1999	LBP-specific	"LBP was defined as pain in the lower back and was illustrated by a text and drawing at the front of the questionnaire".	Y	Y
Hickey et al. 1997	General MSK	An injury was defined as any injury examined by the medical practitioners of the AIS Sports Medicine Department.	Ν	Ν
Hjelm et al. 2010	General MSK	Injury defined as when it was impossible for the player to participate fully in regular tennis training or matches during at least One occasion. Injury to the lumbar spine was defined as low back pain.	Y	Ν
Hoskins et al. 2010	LBP-specific	To assist with answering the questions a diagram of a mannequin that defined the anatomical boundaries of the low back as a shaded area between the last ribs and the gluteal folds was provided. For the purposes of this survey the shaded area represented the low back and subjects were told to focus only on LBP and not other sources of pain.	Y	Y
Hutchinson 1999	General MSK	Injury defined as those that required an evaluation from a physician.	Ν	Ν
lwamoto et al. 2005	LBP-specific	LBP defined as "non-traumatic back pain that resulted in stopping playing rugby completely for at least one day".	Y	Ν
lwamoto et al. 2004	LBP-specific	LBP defined as nontraumatic low back pain if it resulted in the subject not playing football for at least one day.	Y	Ν
Kaldau et al. 2021	General MSK	Current musculoskeletal symptoms defined as experiencing pain or stiffness in most of the last 30 days prior to competing at the World Junior Badminton Championships.	Ν	Ν
Kamada et al. 2016	General MSK	Students were considered to suffer from musculoskeletal pain if pain was present recently at least several times a week in at least one part of the body.	Ν	Y
Kikuchi et al. 2019	LBP-specific	Answering yes to the question "Do you have any pain in your lower back now?".	Y	Ν
Kountouris et al. 2012	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Kujala et al. 1997 a	LBP-specific	LBP interfering with schoolwork or leisure activities during the last 12 months.	Y	Ν
Kujala et al. 1997 b	LBP-specific	LBP limiting schoolwork or leisure time activities been limited during the past 12 months.	Y	Y
Kujala et al. 1997 c	LBP-specific	LBP interfering with schoolwork or leisure activities for at least a one-week period.	Y	Y
Kujala et al. 1997 d	LBP-specific	LBP interfering with schoolwork or leisure activities for at least a one-week period.	Y	Y

Lee et al. 2020	General MSK	An injury was defined as a physical complaint reported by a player experienced during a soccer match or training and included the following two factors: (1) a "medical attention" injury was defined as an injury that required a player to receive medical attention and (2) a "time loss" injury was considered an injury that rendered a player unable to participate in full training or a match.	Ν	Ν
Legault et al. 2015	General MSK	N/R	N	Y
Linek et al. 2018	LBP-specific	LBP was defined as a pain between the last rib and lower gluteal fold, which is bad enough to limit or change athletes' daily routine or sports activities for more than 1 day.	Y	Y
McMeeken et al. 2001	LBP-specific	Back pain defined as "back pain or pain, you think comes from your back" lasting more than two days in the last year.	Y	Ν
Mizoguchi et al. 2019	LBP-specific	Pain or discomfort in the low-back region, within the region between the lowest rib and the buttocks, however no definition provided in questionnaire	Y	Y
Mogenson et al. 2007	LBP-specific	"Back problems were defined as the 1-month prevalence (pain reported on the day of the study, in the week, or in the month preceding the interview) specifically for any area of the spine (low back, mid back or neck)".	Y	Ν
Mueller et al. 2016	LBP-specific	"Acute pain present at the time of answering the questionnaire and/or during the 7 days prior to the examination". Faces 3-5 on face pain scale considered pain.	Y	Ν
Müller et al. 2017	LBP-specific	"Acute pain present at the time of answering the questionnaire and/or during the 7 days prior to examination" .Faces 3 to 5 on the face pain scale considered pain	Y	Ν
Muntaner-Mas et al. 2018	LBP-specific	LBP defined as "pain or discomfort in the low back region, from the lower ribcurvature to the lower part of the seat region".	Y	Y
Ng et al. 2014	LBP-specific	LBP defined as pain located between L1 and gluteal folds.	Y	Y
Noll et al. 2016	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
O'Connor et al. 2016	General MSK/injury surveillance	Injury was defined as any injury sustained during competition or training resulting in restricted performance or time lost from play.	Ν	Ν
Ogon et al. 2001	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Palmer-Green et al. 2015	General MSK/injury surveillance	Consistent with the 2007 International Rugby Board consensus statement. Any injury that prevents a player from taking a full part in all training and match play activities typically planned for that day for a period of greater than 24 hours from midnight at the end of the day the injury was sustained.	Ν	Ν
Rossi et al. 2018 a	LBP-specific	LBP defined as "ache, pain, or discomfort of lumbar region with or without radiation to one or both legs".	Y	Y
Rossi et al. 2018 b	LBP-specific	LBP was defined as "ache, pain or discomfort of lumbar region with or without radiation to one or both legs (sciatica)".	Y	Y
Peterhans et al. 2020	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Ν
Rossi et al. 2018 c	LBP-specific	Pain in the upper and/or lower back area that prevented the player from fully participating in the team training and playing during the following 24 hours.	Y	Y

Rossi et al. 2016	LBP-specific	LBP was defined as "an ache, pain, or discomfort of the lumbar region with or without radiation to one or both legs (sciatica)".	Y	Y
Sato et al. 2011	LBP-specific	Definition depended on participant judgement.	Ν	Ν
Schmidt et al. 2014	LBP-specific	N/R	Ν	Y
Schoeb et al. 2020	General MSK	N/R	Ν	Ν
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 a	LBP-specific and knee pain and upper extremity pain	A yes response to the question, "Do you have low back pain?".	Y	Ν
Sekiguchi et al. 2018 b	LBP and knee pain	A positive answer to "Do you have lower back pain?" was considered LBP.	Y	Ν
Shah et al. 2015	LBP-specific	An injury was defined as an absence from participating in full training and matches for 48 hours or longer.	Ν	N
Shimozaki et al. 2018	LBP-specific	"Participant was unable to practice weightlifting for more than a week due to the pain. Practice was stopped if the slightest pain was present and restarted when the pain runs out".	Y	N
Skoffer and Foldspang 2008	LBP-specific	LBP defined as "pain or discomfort in the low back region, from the lower rib curvature to the lower part of the seat region" Shown in a drawing in the questionnaire. Menstrual pain excluded	Y	Y
Smoljanovic et al. 2009	General MSK	All injuries classified by loss of training time if present.	N	N
Smyth et al. 2020	General MSK	Concurrent Injury Definitions Concept Framework (ID+)23 definitions were utilised.	Ν	N
Sogi et al. 2018	General MSK	"Do you have pain in any parts of your body now? If yes, please check the following parts" (multiple choices were allowed). Anatomical areas indicated by a drawing.	N	Y
Sommerfield et al. 2020	General MSK	Injuries were defined as any physical problem affecting training or competition in the previous week.	Ν	N
Son et al. 2020	General MSK	N/R	N	N
Steffen et al. 2020	General MSK	Injuries included musculoskeletal complaints, concussions and other non-musculoskeletal trauma, such as dental injuries.	Ν	N
Sugimoto et al. 2020	LBP-specific	'Have you had any of the following diagnoses by a healthcare professional?' Muscular spine pain, stress fracture, spondylolysis, spondylolisthesis, disc protrusion/herniated disc, sciatica, and spinal cord injury.	Y	Ν
Sundell et al. 2019	LBP-specific	LBP- ache or pain in the lowest part of the back.	Y	Y
Swain et al. 2018 b	LBP-specific	"In the past month, have you had pain in your lower back?" accompanied by a diagram of the posterior aspect of the body, highlighting the region between the lower margin of the 12th ribs and the gluteal folds.	Y	Y

Swain et al. 2018 a	LBP-specific	"Have you ever experienced pain in your lower back?" accompanied by a diagram of the posterior aspect of the body, highlighting the region between the lower margin of the 12th ribs and the gluteal folds.	Y	Y
Sweeney et al. 2019	LBP-specific	N/R		Ν
Thoreson et al. 2017	LBP-specific	Back pain defined as present or previous pain in the thoraco-lumbar back.	Y	Y
Van Hilst et al. 2015	LBP-specific	LBP defined as ache, pain or discomfort in the region of the lower back whether or not it extends from there to One or both legs (sciatica). Indicated with a shaded picture.	Y	Y
Vanti et al. 2010	LBP-specific	"In order to define back pain, the following questions were used: 'Have you ever had a backache and with what frequency?' and 'how would you rate your usual pain in a scale from 0 to 10?'".	Y	Ν
Yabe et al. 2020 a	LBP and lower extremity pain	Do you have pain in any parts of your body now? If yes, please mark the parts where you have pain with a circle (multiple answers were allowed).	Ν	Y
Yabe et al. 2020 1a	LBP and upper extremity pain	The participants who checked lower back, shoulder, or elbow were considered to have LBP, shoulder pain, or elbow pain, respectively.	Y	Y
Yabe et al. 2020 b	LBP and lower extremity pain	"Do you have pain in any parts of your body? If yes, please check the parts you have pain". Body parts, including the head, lower back, and each joint, were illustrated by a drawing.	Ν	Y
Yabe et al. 2020 c	LBP and general MSK	"Do you have pain in any parts of your body now? The body parts and names were illustrated using a drawing, and participants who checked lower back were considered to have LBP.	Y	Y
Zaina et al. 2016	LBP-specific	N/R	N	Ν