

► Additional supplemental

material is published online

only. To view, please visit the

journal online (http://dx.doi.

ora/10.1136/bjsports-2021-

For numbered affiliations see

Correspondence to

Institute of Sport and

Professor Wayne Derman,

Exercise Medicine, Division

Department of Surgical Sciences,

Stellenbosch University Faculty

Africa; ewderman@iafrica.com

of Orthopaedic Surgery,

of Medicine and Health

Sciences, Cape Town, South

Accepted 16 February 2022

Published Online First

8 March 2022

104737).

end of article.

Incidence of acute respiratory illnesses in athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis by a subgroup of the IOC consensus on 'acute respiratory illness in the athlete'

Wayne Derman (D), ^{1,2} Marelise Badenhorst (D), ^{1,3} Maaike Maria Eken (D), ¹ Josu Ezeiza-Gomez, ^{1,2} Jane Fitzpatrick (D), ⁴ Maree Gleeson, ⁵ Lovemore Kunorozva (D), ¹ Katja Mjosund, ⁶ Margo Mountjoy (D), ⁷ Nicola Sewry, ^{2,8} Martin Schwellnus (D), ^{2,8}

ABSTRACT

Objective To determine the incidence of acute respiratory illness (ARill) in athletes and by method of diagnosis, anatomical classification, ages, levels of performance and seasons.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis. **Data sources** Electronic databases: PubMed-Medline, EbscoHost and Web of Science.

Eligibility criteria Original research articles published between January 1990 and July 2020 in English reporting the incidence of ARill in athletes, at any level of performance (elite/non-elite), aged 15-65 years. **Results** Across all 124 studies (n=1 28 360 athletes), the incidence of ARill, estimated by dividing the number of cases by the total number of athlete days, was 4.7 (95% CI 3.9 to 5.7) per 1000 athlete days. In studies reporting acute respiratory infections (ARinf; suspected and confirmed) the incidence was 4.9 (95% CI 4.0 to 6.0), which was similar in studies reporting undiagnosed ARill (3.7: 95% CI 2.1 to 6.7). Incidences of 5.9 (95% CI 4.8 to 7.2) and 2.8 (95% CI 1.8 to 4.5) were found for studies reporting upper ARinf and general ARinf (upper or lower), respectively. The incidence of ARinf was similar across the different methods to diagnose ARinf. A higher incidence of ARinf was found in non-elite (8.7; 95% CI 6.1 to 12.5) vs elite athletes (4.2; 95% CI 3.3 to 5.3). **Summary/conclusions** These findings suggest: (1) the incidence of ARill equates to approximately 4.7 per athlete per year; (2) the incidence of upper ARinf was significantly higher than general (upper/lower) ARinf; (3) elite athletes have a lower incidence of ARinf than non-elite athletes; (4) if pathogen identification is not available, physicians can confidently use validated questionnaires and checklists to screen athletes for suspected ARinf. For future studies, we recommend that a clear diagnosis of ARill is reported.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020160472.

A significant proportion of all medical consul-

tations ($\sim 50\%$) at international single sport¹⁻

and multisport events such as the Olympic,⁹⁻¹³

Paralympic¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and Youth Olympic Games^{17 18} are

due to acute illness in athletes, of which the respi-

ratory system is consistently the most common organ system affected.^{3 6 7 10 11 19 20} Acute respiratory

INTRODUCTION

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Derman W, Badenhorst M, Eken MM, et al. Br J Sports Med 2022;56:630–640.





ARill may occur at various stages of training and competition including the precompetition period (preparation training period), competition period (intracompetition) and/or postcompetition recovery period.²⁴ ²⁵ ARill, in particular ARinf and can interrupt training and impact competi-tion performance.^{26 27} While most ARill may be easily managed by the team physician, some may have systemic sequalae which can constitute a serious threat to the health of the athlete. Thus, it is important for clinicians to know how many ARill can be expected to occur in their team, over what period and which athletes may be more susceptible to ARill. Different factors may influence susceptibility to ARill in athletes, such as intensity and duration of exercise,²⁸ participating in events and/or tournaments,²¹ gender²⁹ and season of training and competition.³⁰ Spence *et al*³¹ observed substantially



higher incidence rates of ARill in elite athletes compared with recreationally competitive athletes.

Numerous studies have investigated the incidence of ARill in athletes participating in different sports, including runners,^{23,32,33} cyclists,³⁴ cross-country skiers,³⁵ swimmers,^{36–38} rowers³⁹ and in athletes from other team sports such as rugby players⁴⁰ and wheelchair athletes.⁴¹ Previous studies investigated ARill in different levels of performance, different types of ARill (infective vs non-infective) and using different types of diagnostic methodologies.⁴² To our knowledge, no previously conducted epidemiological studies have convincingly determined the overall incidence rates of ARill in athletes, nor has it been determined whether the incidence of ARill is affected by level of performance or age of the athlete. Thus, the primary aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine overall the incidence of ARill, including subgroups categorised by pathology (ARinf vs undiagnosed ARill), predominant anatomical region affected (upper vs lower respiratory tract or general), different levels of athletic performance (elite vs non-elite athletes), age groups (15–35 years vs >35 years) and season (summer vs winter).

METHODS

Protocol and registration

A protocol was developed according to the guidelines outlined in the 2020 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.⁴³

Study selection and eligibility criteria

Eligibility criteria were established and agreed on by all authors based on the concepts of population, intervention/indicator, comparator/control and outcome. Studies that met the following inclusion criteria were considered eligible to be included in this systematic review and meta-analysis:

- 1. Participants, both male and female, aged 15–65 years, who are athletes at any level (recreational to elite) engaged in training.
- 2. Participants had self-reported, physician or laboratory diagnosed ARill.
- 3. Studies reported ARill during events, tournaments, multistages of events and those that are reported directly after an event.
- 4. Studies reported the number of ARill among the participants as well as documented the study period.
- Journal article with full-text original prospective and/or retrospective studies published in English between 1 January 1990 and 31 July 2020.
 - Exclusion criteria were set as:
- 1. Studies conducted in animals or human cells expressed in rodents.
- 2. Conducted with a heterogeneous sample (ie, mixed sample of athletic and non-athletic populations) without reporting individual group findings separately.
- 3. Studies available as an abstract only (ie, conference presentations), qualitative or case series, discussion paper, commentary or literature review.
- 4. Studies not available in English.
- Studies specifically investigating only known non-infective ARill, including asthma, airway allergies or cold air injury (studies reporting ARill that could include both ARinf and non-infective causes were included and categorised as undiagnosed ARill).

Search strategy

PubMed-Medline, EbscoHost and Web of Science (core collection) databases were searched for published articles from 1 January 1990 to 31 July 2020. Medical subject heading (MeSH) terms included a combination of search terms relating to (acute respiratory illness AND athlet* AND prevalence/incidence) and relevant exclusions (for full search string for each database, see online supplemental file S1). Studies including the terms 'allergy' and 'asthma' were excluded from the search, as these terms are covered in separate comprehensive assessments of asthma and allergy in athletes (IOC consensus statement subgroups; under review). A secondary search of the reference lists of included articles, and an additional search in Google Scholar were conducted, as well as addition of other articles identified by the authors, that were not captured in the original search. The results of these searches were combined, and duplicate articles removed (figure 1).

Article screening and selection were performed using the online platform Central Access Database for IMpact Assessment (CADIMA).⁴⁴ Articles were initially screened by title and abstract independently by two reviewers (LK and MB) using the selected inclusion/exclusion criteria. After this initial screening, the full texts of the articles were retrieved, and a second screening was undertaken by independent reviewers (MM, MG, LK and ME). Any conflicts were resolved through discussion and consensus was achieved between the reviewers.

Data extraction

The following data were extracted from the included articles by two independent reviewers (LK and MB) and checked by another author (ME): Participants (number, age, gender), study design, method used to diagnose illness, illness classification (pathological and anatomical), level of performance (professional/elite or amateur/trained/competitive/recreational), sport type, length of surveillance period and the number of ARill.

Definitions and classification of subgroups of ARill

We recognise that the methods used to diagnose an ARill, and specifically an ARinf can vary and include symptoms only, additional findings on clinical examination, and the use of special investigations to identify a specific pathogen. The methods used to diagnose ARill in each study were classified as follows: (1) selfreported symptoms of ARill only and (2) self-reported symptoms but with an algorithm indicative that was validated for ARinf. The validated questionnaires that were used included the Wisconsin Upper Respiratory Symptom Survey-2145 or the Jackson Cold Scale⁴⁶ or other questionnaires in which the severity of the symptoms were scored to provide a quantitative assessment according to Fricker *et al*²⁸ and Matthews *et al* (AIS Symptom log),⁴⁷ (3) self-reported symptoms of an ARinf reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation, (4) clinical diagnosis of an ARinf by a physician, based on history and clinical examination, (5) diagnosis of ARinf by a physician that was confirmed by laboratory investigation to identify a specific pathogen as follows: PCR testing on specimens, culture of an organism from specimens, or serology (eg, rise in antibody titres). Data were extracted for each study, and this was agreed on by consensus (WD, MM, MG, JF, KM and NS). Once studies were classified by the five methods of diagnosis, all ARill studies were included in one of the following main and subgroups of ARill, based on a pathological classification (table 1).

We acknowledge that ARill, including ARinf, frequently presents with both upper and lower respiratory tract symptoms/signs and it is not always possible to clearly distinguish between these main anatomical regions when classifying ARill. A limitation of this anatomical classification is that several pathogens that Identification

Screening

Eligibility

Included



Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram visualising the selection process of identified, screened and included articles following assessment of the eligibility criteria. ARI, acute respiratory illness; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

cause predominantly upper ARinf can, in some cases, present with lower respiratory and/or systemic symptoms. However, from a clinical/pragmatic point of view, and the fact that a clear distinction is made in many studies, we felt that it is relevant to include this anatomical classification in the review. Upper ARinf (suspected or confirmed) is the most common acute illness in athletes. We classified all studies of into the following subgroups, based on the predominant anatomical region affected:

► Upper (ARill or ARinf): Studies where the predominant symptoms, signs, or confirmed pathology was clearly related

Pathological classification			
Main group	Subgroup	Methods to diagnose ARill	Description
Undiagnosed ARill		 Self-reported symptoms of ARill only Self-reported symptoms combined with an algorithm at least partially validated for ARill Self-reported symptoms of an ARill reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation Clinical diagnosis of an ARill by a physician, based on history and clinical examination 	 General symptoms of an ARill where the pathology could not be attributed specifically to an infection ARill studies could include illnesses that are due to either infective or non-infective causes but were not specified in the study design
ARinf	Suspected ARinf	 Self-reported symptoms combined with an algorithm that has been validated for ARinf Self-reported symptoms of an ARinf reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation Clinical diagnosis of an ARinf by a physician, based on history and clinical examination 	 General symptoms and/or physical signs suggestive of an ARinf, but where the specific pathology of an infection was not confirmed The validated questionnaires that were used included the Wisconsin Upper Respiratory Symptom Survey-21⁴⁵ or the Jackson Cold Scale⁴⁶ or other questionnaires in which the severity of the symptoms were scored to provide a quantitative assessment (AIS Symptom log).¹¹
	Confirmed ARinf	 Clinical diagnosis of ARinf by a physician that was confirmed by laboratory investigation to identify a specific pathogen using PCR testing on specimen(s), culture of an organism from specimen(s) or serology (eg, rise in antibody titres) 	In some studies, the identified pathogen was associated with a viral outbreak in a sporting team. The incidence rates in these studies may not reflect the rates of ARinf in general studies monitoring for ARinf in athletes.

Table 1	Pathological classification (main and subgroups) of acute respiratory illness (ARill) and infections (ARinf) by diagnostic method	
Pathologic	classification	Ī

to the upper respiratory tract (ie, above the larynx), or if the study specifically referred to athletes with upper respiratory illness. A few studies referred to clinical syndromes of ARinf using non-specific terms such as 'influenza', 'influenza symptoms', 'common cold', 'symptoms suggestive of influenza', 'influenza symptoms' or 'influenza like'. Studies referring to these clinical syndromes were also included in this broad anatomical classification because they are caused by pathogens that all present with predominantly upper respiratory tract symptoms.^{48–51} Notably, this includes influenza viruses, which also predominantly present with upper respiratory tract symptoms.^{48–50}

- ► Lower (ARill or ARinf): Studies where the predominant symptoms were below the larynx (including chest symptoms that is, cough, chest pain), or if the diagnosis specifically referred to athlete with lower respiratory illness (tracheal, bronchial or lung pathology for example, pneumonia).
- General (upper/lower) (ARill or ARinf): Studies where it was not possible from the methods or results to distinguish between predominantly upper or lower respiratory tract ARill or ARinf, and could therefore include upper, lower or both.

Performance level

The athlete's level of performance was categorised as follows: (1) Professional athletes (member of a professional league), (2) elite (international competition), (3) amateur athletes (largely or entirely engaged in sports without remuneration,⁵³ (4) competitive (collegiate or regional) athletes, (5) trained athletes (undertaking daily exercise engaged in similar volumes of training as competitive athletes) and (6) recreational athletes (physically active but who does not train for competition at the same level of intensity and focus as competitive athletes.⁵⁴ For subgroup analyses, the professional and elite athletes were combined and referred to as 'elite' athletes. There were five studies where athletes were classified as recreational, and these were included in the analysis with the combined amateur, competitive and trained athletes. This subgroup was referred to as 'non-elite' athletes.

Age groups

The age groups of athletes that were included in the studies were categorised as: (1) 15-35 years or (2) older than 35 years. The age threshold of 35 years was chosen based on the International Masters categorisation.⁵⁵

Quality assessment and risk of bias

A modified Downs-and-Black tool was used to determine the quality of the articles.⁵⁶ The tool consisted of items as follow: reporting, external validity, internal validity (bias and selection bias). Each item was scored as 'yes' (score=1), 'no' (score=0) or 'undetermined' (score=0). Four reviewers (LK/ME/MM/MG) performed the independent quality assessment of the studies. The same reviewers determined the level of evidence using the 2009 Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine (OCEBM).⁵⁷ The studies fell into two main categories: (1) Observational studies of symptoms of ARill in populations; (2) Intervention studies where the incidences of ARill were determined in response to an intervention. The observational studies were graded using the OCEBM 'Symptom Prevalence Study' levels of evidence, and the Intervention studies were graded using the 'Therapy/Prevention Studies' levels of evidence for randomised controlled trials.

The estimated pooled incidence of ARill and ARinf (determined by dividing the number of new cases of ARill and ARinf observed, by the total number of athlete days, per 1000 athlete days) were estimated using the DerSimonian Laird Random effects model⁵⁸ to account for the heterogeneity in the cohorts (eg, differences in diagnostic technique, performance level of athlete) and weighting of studies. The estimations for ARill and ARinf were based on the number of studies in the individual categories, hence they have different denominators to calculate the IR. Heterogeneity was measured using I² and Cochran's Q statistics.⁵⁹ Forest plots were produced to illustrate the results. All meta-analyses were conducted by using MetaXL.⁶⁰ A significance level of 0.05 was accepted, and all statistical tests were two tailed. Differences between subgroups were determined by comparing 95% CIs.

Analyses of the following subcategories were also performed (only subcategories with more than three articles) based on the following: (A) methods to diagnose ARill, (B) pathological classification and (C) the anatomical classification (table 1).

A further analysis was done based on subgroups of ARinf, including studies that could confirm an infection (ARinf confirmed) and studies that used various methods to diagnose an infection (ARinf suspected) as follows:

- ► The athlete's performance level: Elite (including elite and professional athletes) or non-elite (including trained, amateur, competitive and recreational athletes).
- Age group: 15-35 years or older than 35 years.
- ► Season: Summer or Winter.
- Quality of the study, based on modified Downs-and-Black tool: Excellent, good, fair or poor.

RESULTS

Study selection

A total of 1583 studies were identified in the databases and additional sources for screening. Figure 1 summarises the study selection process and reasons for excluding studies. The full texts of 218 articles were assessed for eligibility, 94 were excluded and 124 were used for data extraction. All articles that passed the inclusion criteria (n=124) were included in the meta-analysis for the pooled incidence of ARill, as well as for the illness diagnosis sub analysis. For the remainder of the subanalyses, only studies that investigated ARinf ('Infective confirmed' or 'Infective suspected') in the anatomical classification of 'upper' or 'general (upper/lower)' were included (n=107). ARill in the 'undiagnosed' subcategory (n=17) were excluded from this subanalysis.

Level of evidence and quality assessment

Of the total studies assessed, 47 studies (38%) were rated using the 2009 OCEBM scale⁵⁷ as 1b level of evidence, 21 studies (17%) were rated as 2b level of evidence and 56 studies (45%) were rated as 3b level of evidence (online supplemental table 2).

The results of the quality assessment, using the modified Downs and Black scores, are presented in online supplemental table 3. The majority of studies were rated either excellent or good (n=104; 89%). The studies that were rated as fair (n=5; 4%) or poor (n=9; 7%) were mostly based on failing to meet the internal and external validity requirements, not reporting actual probability values, not describing characteristics of participants lost to follow-up, not providing estimates of random variability in the data for the main outcomes and not describing findings in the main outcomes. Studies rated as 'poor' were not excluded from analyses.

Pathological class	ification	Anatomical	classification		All	
Main group	Subgroups	Upper	Lower	General (upper/lower)	Total	Study references
All ARill		91 (73%)	1 (1%)	32 (26%)	124	
Undiagnosed ARill	All undiagnosed	9 (7%)	_	8 (6%)	17 (14%)	11 14 18–21 23 40 75–83
	Self-reported symptoms only	7 (6%)	_	_	7 (6%)	23 40 77–79 81 82
	Self-reported symptoms with an algorithm	1 (1%)	_	_	1 (1%)	76
	Symptoms reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation	1 (1%)	-	-	1 (1%)	75
	Clinical diagnosis by a physician, based on history and examination	-	-	8 (6%)	8 (6%)	11 14 18–21 80 83
Infective (ARinf)	All infective	82 (66%)	1 (1%)	24 (19%)	107 (86%)	
	Suspected infective	79 (64%)	1 (1%)	24 (19%)	104 (84%)	1–6 8–10 12 13 16 17 22 28 30–33 35–38 41 71 84–161
	Self-reported symptoms with an algorithm	46 (37%)	1 (1%)	4 (3%)	51 (41%)	22 31–33 35 37 41 76 85 87 89 91 93 95 97 100 102 104 106–111 117–123 125 126 128 134 136–140 142 144 147 148 151 152 154–156 161 162
	Symptoms reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation	9 (7%)	-	1 (1%)	10 (8%)	90 92 94 105 124 129 135 159 160 163
	Clinical diagnosis by a physician, based on history and examination	24 (19%)	_	19 (15%)	43 (35%)	1–10 12 13 16 17 28 30 36 38 84 86 88 96 9 99 101 103 112 114–116 130–133 141 143 145 146 150 153 157 158 164
APill acute received	Confirmed infective Diagnosis by a physician and confirmed by laboratory investigation to identify a specific pathogen any illness: A Binf, acute reprinter infections	3 (2%)	-	-	3 (2%)	63 64 66

Study characteristics

The data extracted from the studies included in the present analysis are presented in online supplemental table 2A. The 124 studies had a total of 128360 (study range: 4–53 906) participants, including 74 860 males, 53 500 females and 9862 athletes with non-specified gender. Studies were conducted across 41 different sports, of which the majority included athletes participating in swimming (12 studies), endurance running (13 studies), rugby (9 studies), soccer (8 studies), athletics (7 studies) and studies who included athletes practicing mixed endurance sports, such as runners, cyclists, triathletes or swimmers (10 studies), mixed Winter Olympic (7 studies) and Paralympic sports (5 studies), and mixed Summer Olympic (5 studies) and Paralympic sports (3 studies).

Number of studies by pathological and anatomical classification of ARill

The number of studies by pathological and anatomical classification, as well as the specific study references, are presented in table 2. Of all studies included in the meta-analysis, the majority (73%) reported on upper ARill. In addition, 86% of all studies investigated ARinf, of which most studies reported on upper ARinf (82/107=77%). With regards to the methods used to diagnose ARinf, most of the studies report suspected ARinf (104/107=97%), of which the majority used either self-reported symptoms with an algorithm (51/104=49%) or clinical diagnosis by a physician, based on history and examination (41/104=39%). In only three studies (3%) was ARinf confirmed by means of special investigations described above.

Incidence of any ARill

Across all studies, the estimated pooled overall incidence (per 1000 athlete days) of any ARill was 4.7 (95% CI 3.9 to 5.7).

Incidence of undiagnosed ARill

Across the studies the estimated pooled incidence of undiagnosed ARill (n=17) was 3.7 (95% CI 2.1 to 6.7). In all these studies, the specific cause of the ARill could not be determined and could include illnesses that are due to either infective or non-infective causes. In 8/17 (47%) of these studies the ARill was assessed by a physician (history and examination) and in 7/17 (41%) the ARill was classified using self-reported symptoms only. The sample size in this subgroup (n=17) was too small to conduct further analysis by level of performance, age group and season.

Incidence of ARinf

In studies reporting ARinf the incidence (per 1000 athlete days; 95% CIs) of ARinf by pathological and anatomical classification and by method of diagnosis is presented in table 3 (Forest plots are presented in online supplemental file 4).

Incidence of ARinf by pathological and anatomical classification and by method of diagnosis

Across all studies reporting ARinf, an incidence of 4.9 (95% CI 4.0 to 6.0) was found. For studies including upper ARinf, an incidence of 5.9 (95% CI 4.8 to 7.2) was found, while for studies that reported on general ARinf (upper or lower) the incidence was 2.8 (95% CI 1.8 to 4.5).

Subgroup analysis of suspected ARinf, which included most studies (79 studies), showed an incidence of 5.7 (95% CI 4.6 to 6.9). The three studies of confirmed ARinf showed an incidence of 18 (95% CI 5.7 to 56.8). The incidence was 2.8 (95% CI 2.1 to 3.8) if the diagnosis was made by a physician based on history and clinical examination only; and if the diagnosis was made based on self-reported symptoms utilising a checklist and algorithms, the incidence was 7.4 (95% CI 5.9 to 9.4). Furthermore, an incidence of 5.1 (95% CI 2.6 to 10.2) was found if the

Table 3	The incidence (per 1000 athlete days; 95% CI) of acute respiratory infection (ARinf) by pathological and anatomical classification and by
method o	of diagnosis

Pathological cla	assification	Anatomical class		
Main group	Subgroups	Upper ARinf	General ARinf (upper/lower)	All ARinf*
ARinf	All infective	5.9 (4.8–7.2)	2.8 (1.8–4.5)	4.9 (4.0–6.0)
	Suspected infective	5.7 (4.6–6.9)	2.8 (1.8–4.5)	4.7 (3.9–5.8)
	Self-reported symptoms with an algorithm	7.6 (5.7–10)	7.9 (3.6–17.2)	7.4 (5.9–9.4)
	Symptoms reviewed by a physician, but without clinical or laboratory evaluation	5.3 (2.5–11.3)	†	5.1 (2.6–10.2)
	Clinical diagnosis by a physician, based on history and examination	3.4 (2.5–4.6)	2.2 (1.3–3.7)	2.8 (2.1–3.8)
	Confirmed infective Diagnosis by a physician and confirmed by laboratory investigation to identify a specific pathogen	18.0 (5.7–56.8)	-	18.0 (5.7–56.8)

*Including all studies reporting on ARinf, including upper, lower and general (upper/lower) ARinf.

†Numbers of studies too small to calculate.

diagnosis was made using self-reported symptoms reviewed by a physician, yet without clinical examination.

Incidence of ARinf in subgroups by level of performance, age group and season

The incidence of ARinf for the further subgroup analyses are presented in table 4. A higher incidence of ARinf was found in non-elite athletes (8.7; 95% CI 6.1 to 12.5) than in elite athletes (4.2; 95% CI 3.3 to 5.3). The incidence of ARinf was similar in older athletes (>35 years) (9.1; 95% CI 5.2 to 16.0) and younger athletes (5.9; 95% CI 4.3 to 7.9). Across the studies that were conducted during summer, the incidence of ARinf was similar (3.5; 95% CI 2.5 to 4.9) to that during winter (5.8; 95% CI 4.6 to 7.8).

Incidence of ARinf by quality assessment of studies

The incidence of ARinf was similar by quality assessment of studies as follows: excellent (4.9; 95% CI 3.9 to 6.3), good (6.3; 95% CI 4.7 to 8.6), fair (2.1; 95% CI 0.8 to 5.6) and poor (5.2; 95% CI 0.8 to 35.3).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the overall incidence of ARill, including subgroups categorised by pathology (undiagnosed ARill vs ARinf and subgroups of ARinf), predominant anatomical region affected (upper vs unclassified upper/lower), different levels of athletic performance, age groups, season and quality of study. We also sought to determine outcomes in subgroups of ARill in athletes based on the method that was used for the diagnosis of ARill.

Incidence of ARill in athletes

The first main observation was that the estimated overall pooled incidence (per 1000 athlete days) of ARill in athletes was 4.7 (95% CI 3.9 to 5.7) and there was no significant difference in the incidence of undiagnosed ARill (3.7; 95% CI 2.1 to 6.7) vs all ARinf (suspected and confirmed) (4.9; 95% CI 4.0 to 6.0). The reasons why the incidence of undiagnosed ARill is similar to that of ARinf is likely because most ARill in athletes are due to infection and that non-infective ARill (eg, allergic rhinitis) is a predisposing factor for ARinf.⁶¹ Another explanation could be that studies that specifically investigated only known acute

Table 4 The incidence (per 1000 athlete days; 95% CI) of ARinf by age group, performance level, season and quality of study subcategories

	Subcategory								
Category	Incidence rate (95% CI)	Total studies	Study references						
Age group									
15–35 years	5.9 (4.3 to 7.9)	51	2 4 17 22 28 30 31 33 35-38 63 64 87 89 90 92 94 97 98 103 105 107 115 118 119 121–125 128 129 132 134 138–141 143 146 147 150 152 155 156 159 160 162 163						
>35 years	9.2 (4.8 to 17.6)	5	111 120 137 151 154						
Level of performance									
Elite	4.2 (3.3 to 5.3)	53	1 3 4 6 8-10 13 16 22 28 30 38 66 71 84 86-90 92 93 99 101 105 114-116 119 122 124 125 128-136 141 144 145 150 154 157 158 160 164						
Non-elite	8.7 (6.1 to 12.5)	19	33 91 94 95 97 98 100 102 103 110 113 117 138 140 144 151 155 159 162						
Season									
Summer	3.5 (2.5 to 4.9)	28	4 8 10 12 13 17 28 64 66 88 98 101 107 114 116 119–121 129 131 140 143 146–148 150 155 158						
Winter	5.8 (4.6 to 7.8)	29	1 2 6 9 16 31–33 71 84 86 89 95 97 99 102 111–113 127 130 135–137 145 153 157 161						
Quality of study									
Excellent	4.9 (3.9 to 6.3)	71	2 3 5 9 12 13 22 28-33 36-38 41 64 71 87 89-92 95 98 102 103 105 107 108 110 112 113 115-121 123 125 126 128-133 137 139 140 142-147 150 151 154 156 157 159 160 163 164						
Good	6.3 (4.7 to 8.6)	23	8 16 35 63 66 84 85 88 93 97 100 101 104 111 114 122 134 135 141 148 155 158 162						
Fair	2.1 (0.8 to 5.6)	9	6 86 94 99 124 136 138 153						
Poor	5.2 (0.8 to 35.3)	4	4 96 109 152						
ARinf, acute respiratory infec	tions.								

non-infective ARill, including acute asthma, allergies or cold air injury, were excluded. However, these reasons are speculative, and we encourage that a precise diagnosis of all ARill is reported in future studies.

Incidence of ARinf in subgroups by pathological classification

The incidence of suspected ARinf was not different by method of diagnosis. Earlier research showed that self-reported symptoms of ARill agree with physician-based diagnosis, suggesting that individuals are capable of adequately reporting symptoms.⁴³ The practical application of these data is that sport and exercise medicine (SEM) physicians can confidently use validated questionnaires and checklists to screen athletes for suspected ARinf.⁴² These findings may have important considerations for choices around data collection methods in future large epidemiological studies. Research showed that patient-reported data can complement clinician-reported data to achieve more reliable measurements of clinical outcomes.⁶²

Laboratory-based identification of a pathogen is the most accurate method for confirming the diagnosis of ARinf.⁴² The results of this analysis showed an apparent high incidence of confirmed ARinf (18; 95% CI 5.7 to 56.8). These data must be interpreted with caution because they are based on only three studies in which only two studies report very high incidences of confirmed ARinf (as visible in the forest plots, presented in online supplemental file 4). In one of these studies, there was a Streptococcal outbreak in 23 athletes over 15 days (IR of 40.6/1000 athlete days).⁶³ In another study, seven clusters of different respiratory viral infections were recorded, with a 'common cold' in 44 athletes over 21 days, resulting in an IR of 26.7/1000 athlete days,⁶⁴ which could have been caused by different risk factors such as the competition, international air travel and time difference.⁶⁵ A large outbreak of infections from one pathogen in a small number of athletes is unusual and contrasts to the other study in this group.⁶⁶

Pathogen identification is an important part of clinical practice⁴² and for the SEM physician this will allow for identification of more pathogens causing more serious ARinf. More serious ARinf may be associated with multiorgan involvement, higher risk of serious medical complications during exercise, and prolonged return to play (eg, for COVID-19).⁶⁷ As a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, laboratory testing, such as PCR tests, might be more accessible, although we acknowledge that in some sports medicine settings laboratory testing may still not be feasible due to cost or inaccessibility. In these settings, our results confirm that a clinical diagnosis by a physician through history and physical examination, as well as use of self-reported symptoms using validated questionnaires or checklists or even symptom review by a physician without clinical or laboratory evaluation, can be used to diagnose ARinf.

Incidence of ARinf in subgroups by anatomical classification

For subgroups by anatomical classification, the incidence of upper ARinf was significantly higher than general (upper/lower) ARinf. This finding is not surprising and is in keeping with results from several studies reporting that upper ARinf is the most common non-injury related health problem in athletes and in the general primary care setting.⁶⁸ Lower ARinf seem to be very rare in athletes. The incidence of ARinf in athletes was 4.9 (95% CI 4.0 to 6.0), and for upper ARinf was 5.9 (95% CI 4.8 to 7.2). This equates to an incidence of approximately 1.8 ARinf per athlete per year. In the general adult population, the incidence of ARinf is approximately 2–3 per person per

year,^{69 70} yet it is unclear from the literature if the statistic in the general population is only upper ARinf or all ARinf. Thus, the annual incidence of ARinf in athletes appears similar or marginally lower than the general adult population. It is important to note that in most studies the incidence of ARinf in athletes has been reported over short observation periods (during a competition or in a season) and not over a 1-year period. These shorter observation periods are typically associated with the increased physical and emotional load associated with competition as well as international travel, all of which can increase the incidence of ARinf.^{71 72} Therefore, the true annual incidence of ARinf in athletes might be even lower. Based on the findings of this review, if a team physician is looking after the health of 100 athletes over a competition that lasts 3 weeks, it was estimated that medical teams will have to manage approximately 10 acute respiratory tract infections.

Incidence of ARinf in subgroups by level of athlete performance, age groups, seasons and quality assessment of studies

The incidence of ARinf in studies in elite athletes was significantly lower (4.2; 95% CI 3.3 to 5.3) compared with non-elite athletes (8.7; 95% CI 6.1 to 12.5). Although a higher training load is a general risk factor or for ARill (J-shaped curve),^{67 73} there are data to suggest that elite athletes, compared with nonelite athletes have a lower susceptibility to ARill (S-shaped curve).⁶⁷ A further explanation could be that elite athletes have more access to a team physician and preventative support from allied health professionals, while non-elite athletes are less likely to have access to such medical support teams. Significant differences with respect to age of athlete and seasonal variation were not observed in this meta-analysis.

Although, the incidence of ARinf varied in subgroups by the quality assessment of the studies, this was not significantly different. As most studies were rated as either excellent or good (88%), the quality of the studies did not have a major influence on the overall incidence for ARinf.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to determine the incidence of ARill in athletes. We registered the review with PROSPERO and followed a systematic approach using an online tool, CADIMA. Furthermore, we developed a classification system, based on methods to differentiate between ARill and ARinf, and performed our subgroup analyses using a pathological and anatomical classification. This was important to address heterogeneity across studies in definitions and nomenclature of ARill, upper ARinf, and undiagnosed ARill. We believe this approach provides a more comprehensive clinical picture to inform clinical assessment and practice. This review has clinical importance and relevance given that ARill are the most common illnesses affecting athletes.

There are a number of limitations. A first possible limitation is that we excluded specific studies where only non-infective ARill, such as asthma, airway allergies or cold air injury to the airways were reported. These conditions are an important cause of respiratory symptomatology in athletes, which can be confused with ARinf.⁷⁴ In our study, we grouped undiagnosed ARill as those where a study population with ARill could include infective or non-infective ARill. It is possible that some of these conditions might have been present in that group. It is for this reason that we analysed undiagnosed ARill as a specific subgroup and did not include these studies in the ARinf group. Therefore, a

strength of this meta-analysis was that, in the subgroup analyses we focused on ARinf, therefore removing other non-infective causes of airway symptomatology as best as possible. We did not perform further analyses of subgroups (by level of athlete performance, age groups, seasons and quality assessment of studies) of the undiagnosed ARill group because the sample size of these studies was too small. Although not a limitation, this resulted in most of the review and meta-analysis focusing on ARinf.

Second, this review considered research published only in the English language, such that relevant studies conducted in non-English language were not included. We also excluded qualitative studies, reviews, commentary and discussion as well as case studies, which can be a rich source of information regarding the prevalence and incidence of ARill. Nevertheless, the broad search strategy utilised in this review ensured a degree of confidence that, within the inclusion criteria for incidence and/or prevalence of ARill from original studies were identified and appraised.

Third, the number of participants used to calculate the overall incidence could be biased or skewed, due to the high number of elite (Olympic/Paralympic) athletes in some of the studies.

Fourth, the incidence of less or more severe ARill or ARinf could not be determined in this systematic review. In most studies, severity of ARill was not reported as there is no consistent definition of severity of ARill.

Fifth, there was also a high degree of heterogeneity between studies. This was evident, as the I^2 for all analyses was >90%, and therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Finally, the number of studies included in some subgroup analyses was relatively small and this limits the interpretation of findings across subgroups. Specifically, the number of studies in which ARinf was confirmed by pathogen identification the sub-analysis was small (n=3) compared with the number of studies where ARinf was suspected (based on history and clinical examination) (n=44).

Summary and clinical implications

This systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that the overall incidence of ARill among athletes was 4.7 per 1000 athlete days (95% CI 3.9 to 5.7). The overall incidence of ARinf (suspected and confirmed) was 4.9 (95% CI 4.0 to 6.0) and similar to the incidence of ARill in studies reporting undiagnosed ARill (3.7; 95%) CI 2.1 to 6.7). The highest incidence, by anatomical classification, was for upper ARinf at 5.9 (95% CI 4.8 to 7.2), which translates to approximately 1.8 ARinf per athlete per year. The incidence of ARinf in studies in elite athletes was significantly lower (4.2; 95%) CI 3.3 to 5.3) compared with non-elite athletes (8.7; 95% CI 6.1 to 12.5). In the other subgroup analyses, there was no difference in the incidence of ARinf in subgroups by methods to diagnose ARinf, age groups, seasons or quality assessment of studies. We recommend the diagnosis of ARinf be based on physician clinical assessment, but include laboratory confirmation of the pathogen, if indicated and possible. Pathogen confirmation is the most definitive diagnostic method.⁴² In cases where laboratory testing is not feasible, we recommend using self-reported symptoms using validated questionnaires or checklists to diagnose ARinf. However, specific pathogen identification to refine the diagnosis of ARinf in athletes is likely to become more important in future. It is known that some pathogens that cause very mild ARinf with minimal risk of medical complications during exercise or interruption of training, while other pathogens (eg, SARS-CoV-2) can cause more severe disease, potentially with cardiac and other multi-organ involvement resulting in prolonged return to training.⁶⁷ The

classification system that has been published in this study may serve as an important and useful tool for physicians and researchers to distinguish between ARill and ARinf. We recommend that a clear diagnosis of ARill is reported in future studies.

What are the findings?

- ⇒ Acute respiratory illness (ARill) is the most common illness affecting athletes, and these are mostly acute respiratory infections (ARinf).
- \Rightarrow ARill can result in time loss from training and competition.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the future?

- ⇒ The overall incidence of ARinf in athletes is 4.9/1000 athlete days, which translates to approximately 1.8 ARinf per athlete per year.
- ⇒ Upper ARinf were the most common respiratory tract illnesses.
- \Rightarrow A higher incidence of ARinf was found in non-elite athletes than in elite athletes.
- \Rightarrow The incidence of ARinf is similar in older and younger athletes and across studies conducted in summer and winter.
- \Rightarrow The incidence of ARinf across different methods of diagnosis are similar.
- ⇒ In clinical practice the diagnosis of ARinf should be based on physician clinical assessment including laboratory confirmation of the pathogen where possible.
- ⇒ Self-reported symptoms using validated questionnaires or checklist could be used for screening of ARinf and in cases where physician diagnosis or laboratory testing is not feasible.

Author affiliations

¹Institute of Sport and Exercise Medicine, Department of Sport Science, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa ²International Olympic Committee Research Centre, Pretoria, South Africa ³Sports Performance Research Institute New Zealand (SPRINZ), Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

⁴Centre for Health and Exercise Sports Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Dentistry and Health Science, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

 ⁵School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia

⁶Paavo Nurmi Centre, Sport and Exercise Medicine Unit, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

⁷Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁸Sport, Exercise Medicine and Lifestyle Institute, University of Pretoria, Faculty of Health Sciences, Pretoria, South Africa

Twitter Wayne Derman @wderman, Josu Ezeiza-Gomez @goipergormance, Jane Fitzpatrick @sportsdocaus and Margo Mountjoy @margo.mountjoy

Contributors WD, NS and MS conceived the study idea. MB, ME and LK completed the search. WD, MB, ME, JF, MG, LK, KM, MM and NS extracted and reviewed data from the studies included in the meta-analysis. NS performed the data analysis and data visualisation. All authors interpreted the data analysis, contributed to writing of the draft of the manuscript and approved the submitted version.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study does not involve human participants.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content

Review

includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Wayne Derman http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8879-177X Marelise Badenhorst http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8443-9173 Maaike Maria Eken http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0623-2908 Jane Fitzpatrick http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9578-026X Lovemore Kunorozva http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4262-6696 Margo Mountjoy http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8604-2014 Martin Schwellnus http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3647-0429

REFERENCES

- 1 Alonso J-M, Tscholl PM, Engebretsen L, *et al*. Occurrence of injuries and illnesses during the 2009 IAAF world athletics Championships. *Br J Sports Med* 2010;44:1100–5.
- 2 Alonso J-M, Edouard P, Fischetto G, *et al*. Determination of future prevention strategies in elite track and field: analysis of Daegu 2011 IAAF Championships injuries and illnesses surveillance. *Br J Sports Med* 2012;46:505–14.
- 3 Dvorak J, Junge A, Derman W, et al. Injuries and illnesses of football players during the 2010 FIFA World cup. Br J Sports Med 2011;45:626–30.
- 4 Edouard P, Depiesse F, Hertert P, et al. Injuries and illnesses during the 2011 Paris European athletics indoor Championships. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2013;23:e213–8.
- 5 Edouard P, Junge A, Sorg M, et al. Illnesses during 11 international athletics championships between 2009 and 2017: incidence, characteristics and sex-specific and discipline-specific differences. Br J Sports Med 2019;53:1174–82.
- 6 Mountjoy M, Junge A, Alonso JM, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses in the 2009 FINA world Championships (Aquatics). Br J Sports Med 2010;44:522–7.
- 7 Schwellnus M, Derman W, Page T, et al. Illness during the 2010 Super 14 Rugby Union tournament - a prospective study involving 22 676 player days. Br J Sports Med 2012;46:499–504.
- 8 Theron N, Schwellnus M, Derman W, et al. Illness and injuries in elite football players--a prospective cohort study during the FIFA Confederations Cup 2009. Clin J Sport Med 2013;23:379–83.
- 9 Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the London summer Olympic Games 2012. Br J Sports Med 2013;47:407–14.
- Engebretsen L, Steffen K, Alonso JM, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the winter Olympic Games 2010. Br J Sports Med 2010;44:772–80.
- 11 Soligard T, Steffen K, Palmer D, et al. Sports injury and illness incidence in the Rio de Janeiro 2016 Olympic summer games: a prospective study of 11274 athletes from 207 countries. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:1265–71.
- 12 Soligard T, Steffen K, Palmer-Green D, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses in the Sochi 2014 Olympic winter games. Br J Sports Med 2015;49:441–7.
- 13 Soligard T, Palmer D, Steffen K, et al. Sports injury and illness incidence in the PyeongChang 2018 Olympic winter games: a prospective study of 2914 athletes from 92 countries. Br J Sports Med 2019;53:1085–92.
- 14 Derman W, Schwellnus MP, Jordaan E, et al. Sport, sex and age increase risk of illness at the Rio 2016 summer Paralympic games: a prospective cohort study of 51 198 athlete days. Br J Sports Med 2018;52:17–23.
- 15 Derman W, Schwellnus MP, Jordaan E, et al. The incidence and patterns of illness at the Sochi 2014 winter Paralympic games: a prospective cohort study of 6564 athlete days. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:1064–8.
- 16 Gawroński W, Sobiecka J, Malesza J. Fit and healthy Paralympians—medical care guidelines for disabled athletes: a study of the injuries and illnesses incurred by the Polish Paralympic team in Beijing 2008 and London 2012. *Br J Sports Med* 2013;47:844–9.
- 17 Steffen K, Moseid CH, Engebretsen L, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses in the Lillehammer 2016 youth Olympic winter games. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:29–35.
- 18 Steffen K, Soligard T, Mountjoy M, et al. How do the new Olympic sports compare with the traditional Olympic sports? injury and illness at the 2018 youth Olympic summer games in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Br J Sports Med 2020;54:168–75.
- 19 Derman W, Runciman P, Jordaan E, et al. Incidence rate and burden of illness at the Pyeongchang 2018 Paralympic winter games. Br J Sports Med 2019;53:1099–104.
- 20 Derman W, Schwellnus M, Jordaan E. Clinical characteristics of 385 illnesses of athletes with impairment reported on the WEB-IISS system during the London 2012 Paralympic Games. *Pm R* 2014;6:S23–30.
- 21 Derman W, Schwellnus MP, Jordaan E, et al. The incidence and patterns of illness at the Sochi 2014 winter Paralympic games: a prospective cohort study of 6564 athlete days. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:1064–8.

- 22 Filaire E, Lac G, Pequignot J-M. Biological, hormonal, and psychological parameters in professional soccer players throughout a competitive season. *Percept Mot Skills* 2003;97:1061–72.
- 23 Robson-Ansley P, Howatson G, Tallent J, et al. Prevalence of allergy and upper respiratory tract symptoms in runners of the London marathon. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:999–1004.
- 24 Schwellnus MP, Lichaba M, Derman EW. Respiratory tract symptoms in endurance athletes - a review of causes and consequences. *Current Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2010;23:52–7.
- 25 Gałązka-Franta A, Jura-Szołtys E, Smółka W, et al. Upper respiratory tract diseases in athletes in different sports disciplines. J Hum Kinet 2016;53:99–106.
- 26 Hosey RG, Rodenberg RE. Training room management of medical conditions: infectious diseases. *Clin Sports Med* 2005;24:477–506.
- 27 Pyne DB, Hopkins WG, Batterham AM, et al. Characterising the individual performance responses to mild illness in international swimmers. Br J Sports Med 2005;39:752–6.
- 28 Fricker PA, Pyne DB, Saunders PU, et al. Influence of training loads on patterns of illness in elite distance runners. Clin J Sport Med 2005;15:246–52.
- 29 Gleeson M, Bishop N, Oliveira M, et al. Sex differences in immune variables and respiratory infection incidence in an athletic population. *Exerc Immunol Rev* 2011;17:122–35.
- 30 Hellard P, Avalos M, Guimaraes F, *et al.* Training-related risk of common illnesses in elite swimmers over a 4-yr period. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015;47:698–707.
- 31 Spence L, Brown WJ, Pyne DB, et al. Incidence, etiology, and symptomatology of upper respiratory illness in elite athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:577–86.
- 32 Kekkonen RA, Vasankari TJ, Vuorimaa T, et al. The effect of probiotics on respiratory infections and gastrointestinal symptoms during training in marathon runners. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2007;17:352–63.
- 33 Gill SK, Teixeira AM, Rosado F, et al. The impact of a 24-h ultra-marathon on salivary antimicrobial protein responses. Int J Sports Med 2014;35:966–71.
- 34 Witard OC, Turner JE, Jackman SR, *et al*. High dietary protein restores overreaching induced impairments in leukocyte trafficking and reduces the incidence of upper respiratory tract infection in elite cyclists. *Brain Behav Immun* 2014;39:211–9.
- 35 Svendsen IS, Taylor IM, Tønnessen E, et al. Training-related and competition-related risk factors for respiratory tract and gastrointestinal infections in elite cross-country skiers. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:809–15.
- 36 Gleeson M, McDonald WA, Pyne DB, et al. Immune status and respiratory illness for elite swimmers during a 12-week training cycle. Int J Sports Med 2000;21:302–7.
- 37 Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Oliveira M, et al. Effects of a Lactobacillus salivarius probiotic intervention on infection, cold symptom duration and severity, and mucosal immunity in endurance athletes. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2012;22:235–42.
- 38 Gleeson M, Pyne DB, Austin JP, et al. Epstein-Barr virus reactivation and upperrespiratory illness in elite swimmers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002;34:411–7.
- 39 Nehlsen-Cannarella SL, Nieman DC, Fagoaga OR, et al. Saliva immunoglobulins in elite women rowers. Eur J Appl Physiol 2000;81:222–8.
- 40 Scullion L, Baker D, Healey P, et al. No association between vitamin D and acute respiratory tract infections amongst elite New Zealand rugby players and rowers. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 2018;88:8–15.
- 41 Furusawa K, Tajima F, Okawa H, et al. The incidence of post-race symptoms of upper respiratory tract infection in wheelchair marathon racers. Spinal Cord 2007;45:513–7.
- 42 Simpson RJ, Campbell JP, Gleeson M, et al. Can exercise affect immune function to increase susceptibility to infection? Exerc Immunol Rev 2020;26:8–22.
- 43 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71.
- 44 Kohl C, McIntosh EJ, Unger S, *et al*. Online tools supporting the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and systematic maps: a case study on CADIMA and review of existing tools. *Environ Evid* 2018;7:8.
- 45 Barrett B, Locken K, Maberry R, *et al.* The Wisconsin upper respiratory symptom survey (WURSS): a new research instrument for assessing the common cold. *J Fam Pract* 2002;51:265.
- 46 Jackson GG, Dowling HF, Muldoon RL. Vii. present concepts of the common cold. *Am J Public Health Nations Health* 1962;52:940–5.
- 47 Matthews A, Pyne D, Saunders P, et al. A self-reported questionnaire for quantifying illness symptoms in elite athletes. *Open Access J Sports Med* 2010;1:15–22.
- 48 Heikkinen T, Järvinen A. The common cold. Lancet 2003;361:51-9
- 49 Thomas M, Bomar P. Upper respiratory tract infection. Stats Pearls Publishing, 2020.
- 50 Mäkelä MJ, Puhakka T, Ruuskanen O, *et al.* Viruses and bacteria in the etiology of the common cold. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998;36:539–42.
- 51 Barrett B. Viral upper respiratory infection. Integrative Medicine 2007:209–20.
- 52 Carrat F, Vergu E, Ferguson NM, et al. Time lines of infection and disease in human influenza: a review of volunteer challenge studies. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:775–85.
- 53 Piermattéo A, Lo Monaco G, Reymond G, et al. The meaning of sport and performance among amateur and professional athletes. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2020;18:472–84.
- 54 Blake J. Nutrition and you. Pearson Benjamin Cummings Publishing Company, 2008.

- 56 Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health 1998;52:377–84.
- 57 Howick J, Chalmers I, Glasziou P. The Oxford 2011 level of evidence. Oxford centre for evidence-based medicine, 2011. Available: https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/ levels-of-evidence/ocebm-levels-of-evidence [Accessed 13 Feb 2020].
- 58 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-Analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986;7:177–88.
- 59 Cochran WG. The comparison of percentages in matched samples. *Biometrika* 1950;37:256–66.
- 60 Doi SAR, Barendregt JJ, Khan S, *et al*. Advances in the meta-analysis of heterogeneous clinical trials I: the inverse variance heterogeneity model. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2015;45:130–8.
- 61 Skoner DP. Allergic rhinitis: definition, epidemiology, pathophysiology, detection, and diagnosis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:S2–8.
- 62 Quinten C, Maringwa J, Gotay CC, et al. Patient self-reports of symptoms and clinician ratings as predictors of overall cancer survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103:1851–8.
- 63 Aoki A, Ashizawa T, Ebata A, et al. Group A Streptococcus pharyngitis outbreak among university students in a judo Club. J Infect Chemother 2014;20:190–3.
- 64 Valtonen M, Waris M, Vuorinen T, *et al.* Common cold in team Finland during 2018 winter Olympic Games (PyeongChang): epidemiology, diagnosis including molecular point-of-care testing (POCT) and treatment. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;53:1093–8.
- 65 Derman E, Badenhorst M, Eken M. Risk factors associated with infective acute upper respiratory illnesses in athletes: A systematic review by subgroup 1 of the IOC consensus group on "Acute respiratory illness in the athlete.". Under Review.
- 66 Gundlapalli AV, Rubin MA, Samore MH, et al. Influenza, winter Olympiad, 2002. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:144–6.
- 67 Schwellnus M, Soligard T, Alonso J-M, et al. How much is too much? (Part 2) International Olympic Committee consensus statement on load in sport and risk of illness. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:1043–52.
- 68 Maio S, Baldacci S, Carrozzi L, *et al*. Respiratory symptoms/diseases prevalence is still increasing: a 25-yr population study. *Respir Med* 2016;110:58–65.
- 69 Leder K, Sinclair MI, Mitakakis TZ, et al. A community-based study of respiratory episodes in Melbourne, Australia. Aust N Z J Public Health 2003;27:399–404.
- 70 Monto AS, Sullivan KM. Acute respiratory illness in the community. frequency of illness and the agents involved. *Epidemiol Infect* 1993;110:145–60.
- 71 Schwellnus MP, Derman WE, Jordaan E, et al. Elite athletes travelling to international destinations >5 time zone differences from their home country have a 2-3-fold increased risk of illness. Br J Sports Med 2012;46:816–21.
- 72 Schwellnus M, Sewry N, Snyders C, *et al.* Symptom cluster is associated with prolonged return-to-play in symptomatic athletes with acute respiratory illness (including COVID-19): a cross-sectional study-AWARE study I. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55:1144–52.
- 73 Malm C. Susceptibility to infections in elite athletes: the S-curve. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2006;16:4–6.
- 74 Busse WW, Lemanske RF, Gern JE. Role of viral respiratory infections in asthma and asthma exacerbations. *Lancet* 2010;376:826–34.
- 75 Cunniffe B, Griffiths H, Proctor W, et al. Mucosal immunity and illness incidence in elite rugby union players across a season. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:388–97.
- 76 Ihalainen JK, Schumann M, Häkkinen K, et al. Mucosal immunity and upper respiratory tract symptoms in recreational endurance runners. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2016;41:96–102.
- 77 Jamshid U, Fikrat K, Abdurakhim T. Vitamin D level among elite wrestlers in Uzbekistan. European Science Review 2018:173–7.
- 78 Nakamura D, Akimoto T, Suzuki S, et al. Daily changes of salivary secretory immunoglobulin A and appearance of upper respiratory symptoms during physical training. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2006;46:152–7.
- 79 Peters EM, Robson PJ, Kleinveldt NC, et al. Hematological recovery in male ultramarathon runners: the effect of variations in training load and running time. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2004;44:315–21.
- 80 Pyne DB, McDonald WA, Gleeson M, et al. Mucosal immunity, respiratory illness, and competitive performance in elite swimmers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33:348–53.
- 81 Svendsen IS, Gleeson M, Haugen TA, et al. Effect of an intense period of competition on race performance and self-reported illness in elite cross-country skiers. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2015;25:846–53.
- 82 Tiernan C, Lyons M, Comyns T, et al. Salivary IgA as a predictor of upper respiratory tract infections and relationship to training load in elite rugby union players. J Strength Cond Res 2020;34:782–90.
- 83 Bjørneboe J, Kristenson K, Waldén M, et al. Role of illness in male professional football: not a major contributor to time loss. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:699–702.
- 84 Al-Shaqsi S, Al-Kashmiri A, Al-Risi A, *et al*. Sports injuries and illnesses during the second Asian beach games. *Br J Sports Med* 2012;46:780–7.
- 85 Anglem N, Lucas SJE, Rose EA, et al. Mood, illness and injury responses and recovery with adventure racing. Wilderness Environ Med 2008;19:30–8.

- 86 Bayne H, Schwellnus M, van Rensburg DJ, et al. Incidence of injury and illness in South African professional male soccer players: a prospective cohort study. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2018;58:875–9.
- 87 Bergendiova K, Tibenska E, Majtan J, Pleuran MJ. Pleuran (β-glucan from Pleurotus ostreatus) supplementation, cellular immune response and respiratory tract infections in athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011;111:2033–40.
- 88 Bere T, Alonso J-M, Wangensteen A, et al. Injury and illness surveillance during the 24th men's Handball world Championship 2015 in Qatar. Br J Sports Med 2015;49:1151–6.
- 89 Brisola GMP, Claus GM, Dutra YM, et al. Effects of seasonal training load on performance and illness symptoms in water polo. J Strength Cond Res 2020;34:406–13.
- 90 Cox ÅJ, Gleeson M, Pyne DB, et al. Valtrex[™] therapy for Epstein-Barr virus reactivation and upper respiratory symptoms in elite runners. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2004;36:1104–10.
- 91 Cox AJ, Gleeson M, Pyne DB, *et al*. Respiratory symptoms and inflammatory responses to Difflam throat-spray intervention in half-marathon runners: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2010;44:127–33.
- 92 Cox AJ, Pyne DB, Saunders PU, et al. Oral administration of the probiotic Lactobacillus fermentum VRI-003 and mucosal immunity in endurance athletes. Br J Sports Med 2010;44:222–6.
- 93 Cunniffe B, Griffiths H, Proctor W, *et al*. Illness monitoring in team sports using a web-based training diary. *Clin J Sport Med* 2009;19:476–81.
- 94 Broadbent S. Seasonal changes in haematology, lymphocyte transferrin receptors and intracellular iron in Ironman triathletes and untrained men. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011;111:93–100.
- 95 Davison G, Perkins E, Jones AW, et al. Coldzyme® mouth spray reduces duration of upper respiratory tract infection symptoms in endurance athletes under free living conditions. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2021;21:771–80.
- 96 McLaughlin KA, Townes DA, Wedmore IS, et al. Pattern of injury and illness during expedition-length adventure races. Wilderness Environ Med 2006;17:158–61.
- 97 Da Boit M, Gabriel BM, Gray P, et al. The effect of fish oil, vitamin D and protein on URTI incidence in young active people. Int J Sports Med 2015;36:426–30.
- 98 Dressendorfer RH, Petersen SR, Moss Lovshin SE, et al. Performance enhancement with maintenance of resting immune status after intensified cycle training. Clin J Sport Med 2002;12:301–7.
- 99 Édouard P, Depiesse F, Branco P, *et al.* Analyses of Helsinki 2012 European athletics Championships injury and illness surveillance to discuss elite athletes risk factors. *Clin J Sport Med* 2014;24:409–15.
- 100 Dimitriou L, Hill JA, Jehnali A, et al. Influence of a montmorency cherry juice blend on indices of exercise-induced stress and upper respiratory tract symptoms following marathon running—a pilot investigation. J Int Soc Sports Nutr 2015;12:1–7.
- 101 Edouard P, Jacobsson J, Timpka T, *et al*. Extending in-competition athletics injury and illness surveillance with pre-participation risk factor screening: a pilot study. *Phys Ther Sport* 2015;16:98–106.
- 102 Ekblom B, Ekblom O, Malm C. Infectious episodes before and after a marathon race. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2006;16:287–93.
- 103 Fahlman MM, Engels HJ. Mucosal IgA and URTI in American College football players: a year longitudinal study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:374–80.
- 104 Gallea JW, Higgins GL, Germann CA, et al. Injury and illness sustained by human competitors in the 2010 iditarod sled dog race. Am J Emerg Med 2014;32:780–4.
- 105 Francis JL, Gleeson M, Pyne DB, et al. Variation of salivary immunoglobulins in exercising and sedentary populations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:571–8.
- 106 Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Oliveira M, et al. Daily probiotic's (Lactobacillus casei Shirota) reduction of infection incidence in athletes. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2011;21:55–64.
- 107 Gleeson M, Bishop N, Oliveira M, et al. Respiratory infection risk in athletes: association with antigen-stimulated IL-10 production and salivary IgA secretion. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2012;22:410–7.
- 108 Gleeson M, Bishop N, Oliveira M, et al. Influence of training load on upper respiratory tract infection incidence and antigen-stimulated cytokine production. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2013;23:451–7.
- 109 Chester N, Reilly T, Mottram DR. Over-The-Counter drug use amongst athletes and non-athletes. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2003;43:111–8.
- 110 Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Struszczak L. Effects of Lactobacillus casei Shirota ingestion on common cold infection and herpes virus antibodies in endurance athletes: a placebo-controlled, randomized trial. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2016;116:1555–63.
- 111 Henson D, Nieman D, Davis JM, et al. Post-160-km race illness rates and decreases in granulocyte respiratory burst and salivary IgA output are not countered by quercetin ingestion. Int J Sports Med 2008;29:856–63.
- 112 Gordon L, Schwellnus M, Swanevelder S, *et al*. Recent acute prerace systemic illness in runners increases the risk of not finishing the race: safer study V. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51:1295–300.
- 113 Grabs V, Kersten A, Haller B, et al. Rutoside and hydrolytic enzymes do not attenuate Marathon-Induced inflammation. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2017;49:387–95.
- 114 Kim D-S, Lee Y-H, Bae KS, *et al*. PyeongChang 2018 Winter Olympic Games and athletes' usage of 'polyclinic' medical services. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2019;5:e000548.

Review

- 115 Hamid MSA, Puji A, Salleh Z. Patterns of injuries and illness among Malaysian athletes during the XVII Asian games 2014. *Sains Malaysiana* 2016;45:1531–6.
- 116 Hanstad DV, Rønsen O, Andersen SS, *et al.* Fit for the fight? Illnesses in the Norwegian team in the Vancouver Olympic Games. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:571–5.
- 117 Hanstock HG, Govus AD, Stenqvist TB, et al. Influence of immune and nutritional biomarkers on illness risk during interval training. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2020;15:60–7.
- 118 Hausswirth C, Louis J, Aubry A, *et al.* Evidence of disturbed sleep and increased illness in overreached endurance athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46:1036–45.
- 119 Haywood BA, Black KE, Baker D, *et al.* Probiotic supplementation reduces the duration and incidence of infections but not severity in elite rugby union players. J Sci Med Sport 2014;17:356–60.
- 120 He C-S, Handzlik M, Muhamad A, et al. Influence of CMV/EBV serostatus on respiratory infection incidence during 4 months of winter training in a student cohort of endurance athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol 2013;113:2613–9.
- 121 He C-S, Handzlik M, Fraser WD, *et al*. Influence of vitamin D status on respiratory infection incidence and immune function during 4 months of winter training in endurance sport athletes. *Exerc Immunol Rev* 2013;19:86–101.
- 122 Michalickova D, Minic R, Dikic N, *et al*. Lactobacillus helveticus Lafti L10 supplementation reduces respiratory infection duration in a cohort of elite athletes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2016;41:782–9.
- 123 Knab AM, Nieman DC, Zingaretti LM, *et al*. Proteomic profiling and monitoring of training distress and illness in University swimmers during a 25-Week competitive season. *Front Physiol* 2020;11:373.
- 124 Mortatti AL, Moreira A, Aoki MS, et al. Effect of competition on salivary cortisol, immunoglobulin A, and upper respiratory tract infections in elite young soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2012;26:1396–401.
- 125 Kon M, lizuka T, Maegawa T, et al. Salivary secretory immunoglobulin A response of elite speed skaters during a competition period. J Strength Cond Res 2010;24:2249–54.
- 126 Leicht CA, Bishop NC, Paulson TAW, et al. Salivary immunoglobulin A and upper respiratory symptoms during 5 months of training in elite tetraplegic athletes. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2012;7:210–7.
- 127 Llinás PJ, Serrano RF, Quintero Barrera L, et al. Sports injuries and illhealth episodes in the Cali 2013 world games. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2016;2:e000072.
- 128 Milanez VF, Ramos SP, Okuno NM, et al. Evidence of a non-linear dose-response relationship between training load and stress markers in elite female futsal players. J Sports Sci Med 2014;13:22–9.
- 129 Moreira A, Arsati F, Cury PR, et al. The impact of a 17-day training period for an international Championship on mucosal immune parameters in top-level basketball players and staff members. Eur J Oral Sci 2008;116:431–7.
- 130 Mountjoy M, Junge A, Benjamen S, et al. Competing with injuries: injuries prior to and during the 15th FINA world Championships 2013 (aquatics). Br J Sports Med 2015;49:37–43.
- 131 Nabhan D, Windt J, Taylor D, et al. Close encounters of the US kind: illness and injury among US athletes at the PyeongChang 2018 winter Olympic Games. Br J Sports Med 2020;54:997–1002.
- 132 Neville VJ, Molloy J, Brooks JHM, *et al*. Epidemiology of injuries and illnesses in America's cup yacht racing. *Br J Sports Med* 2006;40:304–11.
- 133 Neville V, Gleeson M, Folland JP. Salivary IgA as a risk factor for upper respiratory infections in elite professional athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40:1228–36.
- 134 Moreira A, Arsati F, de Oliveira Lima-Arsati YB, et al. Monitoring stress tolerance and occurrences of upper respiratory illness in basketball players by means of psychometric tools and salivary biomarkers. Stress and Health 2011;27:e166–72.
- 135 Newsham-West RJ, Marley J, Schneiders AG, et al. Pre-race health status and medical events during the 2005 world adventure racing Championships. J Sci Med Sport 2010;13:27–31.
- 136 Patatoukas D, Farmakides A, Aggeli V, *et al*. Disability-Related injuries in athletes with disabilities. *Folia Med* 2011;53:40–6.
- 137 Nieman DC, Dumke CI, Henson DA, *et al.* Immune and oxidative changes during and following the Western states endurance run. *Int J Sports Med* 2003;24:541–7.
- 138 Nieman DC, Henson DA, Gross SJ, et al. Quercetin reduces illness but not immune perturbations after intensive exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:1561–9.
- 139 Nieman DC, Henson DA, McMahon M, et al. Beta-Glucan, immune function, and upper respiratory tract infections in athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40:1463–71.

- 140 Orysiak J, Witek K, Zembron-Lacny A, et al. Mucosal immunity and upper respiratory tract infections during a 24-week competitive season in young ice hockey players. J Sports Sci 2017;35:1255–63.
- 141 Orhant E, Carling C, Cox A. A three-year prospective study of illness in professional soccer players. *Res Sports Med* 2010;18:199–204.
- 142 Pacque PFJ, Booth CK, Ball MJ, *et al*. The effect of an ultra-endurance running race on mucosal and humoral immune function. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2007;47:496–501.
- 143 Palmer-Green D, Elliott N. Sports injury and illness epidemiology: great Britain Olympic team (TeamGB) surveillance during the Sochi 2014 winter Olympic Games. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:25–9.
- 144 Peters EM, Shaik J, Kleinveldt N. Upper respiratory tract infection symptoms in ultramarathon runners not related to immunoglobulin status. *Clin J Sport Med* 2010;20:39–46.
- 145 Prien A, Mountjoy M, Miller J, et al. Injury and illness in aquatic sport: how high is the risk? A comparison of results from three FINA world Championships. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:278–83.
- 146 Pumpa KL, McKune AJ, Harnett J. A novel role of probiotics in improving host defence of elite rugby Union athlete: a double blind randomised controlled trial. J Sci Med Sport 2019;22:876–81.
- 147 Rama L, Teixeira AM, Matos A, *et al.* Changes in natural killer cell subpopulations over a winter training season in elite swimmers. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2013;113:859–68.
- 148 Somerville V, Gill ND, Ross A, et al. Upper respiratory illness in different tiers of rugby Union. Sport Sci Health 2019;15:197–205.
- 149 Somerville V, Moore R, Braakhuis A. The effect of olive leaf extract on upper respiratory illness in high school athletes: a randomised control trial. *Nutrients* 2019;11:358.
- 150 Ruedi G, Schobersberger W, Pocecco E, et al. Sport injuries and illnesses during the first winter youth Olympic Games 2012 in Innsbruck, Austria. Br J Sports Med 2012;46:1030–7.
- 151 Scherr J, Nieman DC, Schuster T, et al. Nonalcoholic beer reduces inflammation and incidence of respiratory tract illness. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:18–26.
- 152 Shimizu K, Suzuki N, Nakamura M, *et al*. Mucosal immune function comparison between amenorrheic and eumenorrheic distance runners. *J Strength Cond Res* 2012;26:1402–6.
- 153 Steiner ME, Quigley DB, Wang F, et al. Team physicians in college athletics. Am J Sports Med 2005;33:1545–51.
- 154 Stephenson BT, Hynes E, Leicht CA, et al. Brief report: training load, salivary immunoglobulin A, and illness incidence in elite Paratriathletes. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2019;14:536–9.
- 155 Strasser B, Geiger D, Schauer M, *et al.* Probiotic supplements beneficially affect tryptophan–kynurenine metabolism and reduce the incidence of upper respiratory tract infections in trained athletes: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Nutrients* 2016;8:752–15.
- 156 Tsai M-L, Chou K-M, Chang C-K, *et al.* Changes of mucosal immunity and antioxidation activity in elite male Taiwanese taekwondo athletes associated with intensive training and rapid weight loss. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:729–34.
- 157 van Beijsterveldt AMC, Thijs KM, Backx FJG, et al. Sports injuries and illnesses during the European youth Olympic Festival 2013. Br J Sports Med 2015;49:448–52.
- 158 Watanabe K, Ákama T, Ásakawa S, et al. Medical services at the 2017 Sapporo Asian winter games: injury and illness epidemiology at a 34-nation multisport event. Br J Sports Med 2019;53:32–6.
- 159 Wright PA, Innes KE, Alton J, *et al*. A pilot study of qigong practice and upper respiratory illness in elite swimmers. *Am J Chin Med* 2011;39:461–75.
- 160 Yamauchi R, Shimizu K, Kimura F, et al. Virus activation and immune function during intense training in rugby football players. Int J Sports Med 2011;32:393–8.
- 161 Van Tonder A, Schwellnus M, Swanevelder S, *et al.* A prospective cohort study of 7031 distance runners shows that 1 in 13 report systemic symptoms of an acute illness in the 8-12 day period before a race, increasing their risk of not finishing the race 1.9 times for those runners who started the race: SAFER study IV. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:939–45.
- 162 Somerville V, Moore R, Braakhuis A. The effect of olive leaf extract on upper respiratory illness in high school athletes: a randomised control trial. *Nutrients* 2019;11:358.
- 163 Gleeson M, Hall ST, McDonald WA, et al. Salivary IgA subclasses and infection risk in elite swimmers. Immunol Cell Biol 1999;77:351–5.
- 164 Llinás PJ, Serrano RF, Quintero Barrera L, *et al*. Sports injuries and ill-health episodes in the Cali 2013 world games. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2016;2:e000072.

Author, Year	Study Design	Sport/Sporting Event	Olympic and Paralympic Studies	MI diagnostic methodology	ARI category	Attanzonical classification	Level of Athlete	Age Group	54		Seasan	Tutal Participants	Positive filmers Cases	Period Studied (days)	Quality Assessment Score (Total)	Level of Evidence (DCEBM)
Al-Shaqui et al. (2012)	Prospective cohort	Mixed beach sports	atter	Physician (diagnosis by history and directl examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) 881	Title or Professional		734	294	Summer	1182	14			
Alonso et al. (2012)	Prospective cohot.	Athetics	Other .	dirical examination) Mysician (dagnasis by hidrary and dirical examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Läpper ARti	The or Professional	23-35 yrs		n,b	Summer	192.9			11	n
Arglen et al. (2028)	Prospective cohoit study	Adventure racing	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose Physician diagnosis including pathology	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) JRI	Elite or Professional AND Reconstanal	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	28		Spring	27		14	20	п
Auki et al. (2014)	chady	zatio	Other	confirmed (PCR or culture) for pathogen Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI confirmed	Upper AN	Elite or Professional AND Recordsonal	23-35 yrs	12	•	Spring	28		15	20	
Reve et al. (2013)	Prospective cohort	Pardial	atter	dirical examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Upper ART	tilite or Professional		-		Water	284		10	,	14
Regendious et al. (2011)	Double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT	Maed endurance	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Lipper ART	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	12	11	Not specified	25	21	84	ш	16
Rjømelsov et al. (2218)	Prospective cohort	Soccer	atter	Physician (diagnosis by history and directal examination) Self-reported symptoms with checklist	Unspecified ARI	General (unclassified) 881	Title or Professional	-	*/*	n,b	Summer		3272		11	
Braadbert et al. (2011)	Prospective cohort	Trathlar	atter	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported symptoms with physician check (no examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Lipper ART	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	-14	n,b	All year	15	2	201	*	14
Chester et al. (2008)	Retruspective cahort ctudy Double blind.	Athietics	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI surgected	Lipper ARI	Elite or Professional AND Recruitoral		127	24	Not specified	199	291	1068		216
Caw et al. (2008)	placeto-controlled crossover trial Double blind.	Running	Other	deck (so examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper ARti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	30	0	Not specified	20	12	600	18	18
Gou et al. (2013)a	placebo-controlled crassover RET	Running	Other	deck (so examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Gereral (unclassified) ARI	The or Professional	23-35 yrs	30	0	Not specified	20	•	112	18	16
Case et al. (2010)b	placebo-controlled BCT	Enducance running	Other	Self-reported cymptoms with checkles and algorithm to diagnose Self-second cymptoms with checkles	Infective ARI suspected	Upper ART	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	26	*	Aubumn	18	14	42	12	15
Carnelffe et al. (2008) Carnelffe et al. (2011)	Prospective cohort	Rugby	atter atter	and algorithm to diagnose Self-imported symptoms with physician check loss economication?	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ANI Lapper ANI	tilite or Professional tilite or Professional		n/b 33	-,14 	Not specified All year	80 85	128	236	10	16
to not et al. (2015)	Randomiced cardinaled trial	Maind sports	Other	self-reported cymptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI surgected	Upper ART	Recruitional	23-35 yrs	11		Summer	12		112		18
Davisan et al. (2020)	cantralied trail	(summers, triathletes, cyclicts)	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose Musicipa idiagnosis to better and	Infective ARI suspected	Upper ART	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	60	63	Summer	123	*	84	12	16
Dennan et al. (2014) Dennan et al. (2014)	Prospective cohort	parahympic/sports Winter	Paralympic Paralympic	dirical examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and direct economic form)	Engeched AR	General (unclassified) 881 General (unclassified) 881	tilite or Professional tilite or Professional	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	404 453	129	Summer Winter	1129	162	14	11	n n
Deman et al. (2018)	Prospective cohort	Summer alympics/sports	Paralympic	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct examination)	Engeched AR	General (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional	$15\text{-}35\ \mathrm{yrs}_{\mathrm{c}}\!>\!\!85\ \mathrm{yrs}$	2268	1389	Sammer	3657	188	11	18	**
Devinan et al. (2018)	Prospective cohoit Double-blind,	Wolder paralympic/sports	Paralympic	Physician (Bagnool by hidary and direct examination) Self-reported comptoms, with checklist	Engeched AR	Gereral (unclassified) ARI	The or Professional	23-35 yrs	413	134	Water	567	28	12	12	n
Dimitriou et al. (2015)	placebo-controlled RCT	Endurance running	atter	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI surgected	Upper ART	Anateur or trained or Competitive	15-15 yrs, >15 yrs	;		Automa	-				25
Desirak et al. (2011)	Prospective cohoit.	Secon	Other	dirical examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper ARt	The or Professional		734		Not specified	736		10	n	10
Educard et al. (2013)	Prospective cohot.	Athietics	ater ater	Physician (diagnosis by history and directl examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ART	Elite or Professional	25-35 yes	6828	5766	Water	440		*	:	
Eduard et al. (2018)	Retrapective caluat	Adietics	atter	dirical examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI surgected	General (unclassified) ART	Elite or Professional AND Amateur or Stained or Competitive				Summer, Winter	1394	364		11	25
Educards et al. (2013)	Prospective cohort	Athietics	Other	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper AMI	The or Professional		*/*	n/b	Wider	\$22		4		25
Eldian et al. (2006) Engebertsen et al. (2002)	Prospective cohot.	Marathon running Winter	Other Olympic	and algorithm to diagnose Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper AMI	Aniateur or trained or Competitive Elite or Professional		1354	1045	Summer	2567	113	42 17	18	25
Expeloreties et al. (2213)	Prospective cohoit curvailence study	Summer alympics/sports	Olympic	Physician (diagnosis by hidary and dirical examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional		1892	4678	Sammer	10568	820	17	18	26
(2001)	Prospective cohot	American Football	atter atter	Hypecan (diagnoot by hidary and dirical examination) Self-reported symptoms with checkles	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper Alti	Anateur or Trained or Competitive AND Recreational	23-35 yrs	75	•	All year	7		254		15
Francis et al. (2006)	Prospective cohot.	Swinning	Other	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported symptoms with physician check ins examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Lipper Alti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	23	11	Spring, Summer			10		25
Frider et al. (2021)	Prospective cohoit	Running	Other	Mysician (diagnosis by history and directal examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper Alti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	20	0	Weter	20	15	120	12	18
Faracawa et al. (2007) Gallea et al. (2006)	Prospective cohot.	Wheelchair marathon	atter atter	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported cymptoms with checklist	Infective ARI surgected	Upper ARI General (unclassified) ARI	Eine or Professional AND Record Local	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	23 n/w	-	Autumn Not specified	n n		42		15 15
Gawrolck et al. (2013)	Prospective cohort	Summer paralympic/sports	Paralympic	and agorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional	>85 γ6	12.0		Summer	191	48	12		26
Gill et al. (2014)	Prospective cohoit.	ulta enlurance	Other	3x8-reported cymptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose Physician diagnosis including pathology	Infective RRI suspected	Lapper ART	Anatour or Trained or Competitive	15-15 yrs, >15 yrs	29	•	Summer	25	7	30	12	18
Giesson et al. (1999)	Prospective cohort	Swinning	Other	confirmed (PCR or culture) for pathogen Physician (diagnoss by history and	Infective ARI confirmed	Läpper ARI	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	28		Automo, Spring	25	28	296	18	n
Greeson et al. (2000) Gleeson et al. (2002)	Prospective cohort Prospective cohort	Swimming Swimming	atter atter	dirical examination) Mysician (dagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Upper JUEI	new or Polesciana AND Anateur or Stained or Competitive Bite or Professional	23-35 yrs 23-35 yrs	13 34	 0	Not specified Spring, Summer	22 14		84	18	1b 1b
difeeson et al. (2011)	Randomiced cantrolled trial	Mixed endurance	Other	self-reported cyrrighters with checklist and algorithm to diagnose before control of	Infective ARI surgected	Upper ARI	tilite or Professional AND Reconstonal	15-15 yr, >15 yr	-	n/b	Not specified		50	112	ы	18
Gileesan et al. (2012)a	Prospective cohot.	Mixed endurance Mixed endurance	Other	sev-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective RRI suspected	Lapper ART	Eits or Professional AND Recordional	23-35 yrs	28	**	Webs	*	74	120	п	18
Gileeson et al. (2012)6	placebo-controlled RCT	(running, cycling, cwimming, trathfor, team, racket saorts)	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	tifective ARI suspected	Läpper Alti	Elite or Professional AND Amateur or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	44	34	Not specified	**	28	112	п	18
Gleeson et al. (2213)	Prospective cohoit	Mixed endurance	Other	Self-reported cymptoms with checklest and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper AMI	Eite or Aufectional IND Reconstantial	25-35 yrs	44	85	Not specified	7		120	11	18
director et al. (2018) displace et al. (2007)	Randomiced cardinaled trial Proceedive cohort	Maed Endurance running	atter atter	and algorithm to diagnose Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI suspected	Upper ARI	Anateur or Trained or Competitive Site or Professional AND Anateur or Trained or competitive	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	154	222046	Not specified	268	113	100	18	15 25
Grades et al. (2004)	Randomiced cardinaled trial	Running	Other	dirical examination) Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper AND	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	16.2		Summer	162	342		12	12
Gundapalli et al. (2006)	Prospective cohort	Mixed sports	Olympic	Physician diagnosis including pathology confirmed (PCR or culture) for authores	Infective ARI confirmed	Opper 380	tilite or Professional	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	*/*	n,b	Weter	45	10	60	20	26
Hamid et al. (2016)	Prospective cohot	Mixed summer sports	Other	Physician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper Alto	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	167	228	Adams	276		28	18	14
Handlad et al. (2211)	Prospective cohot: Randomiced	Diympics/sports Mixed endurance	Clympic	dirical examination) Self-reported symptoms with checklist	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper Alti	Elite or Professional Instance or Trained or Connectified	16-Mart Mart	74	25	Water Not concided		*	10	12	15
Houseith et al. (2016)	cardinalied trial Prospective cohort	(cyclicits, triatMeterc) Mixed enductions	Other	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported cymptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ANT	tins or Professional AND Reconstonal	13-35yrs	n/a	n,b	Not specified	27		42	12	25
Haywood et al. (2054)	Single blind placebo- controlled RCT	Rugby	Other	self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose self-reported symptoms with checklist	tifective ARI suspected	Läpper Alti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	30		Winter	80	35	84	11	16
He of al. (2211)a	Prospective cohot.	Maiel endurance	aster	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI surgected	Läpper Alti	Ellis of Follecoard AND Recruitoral	28-35 yrs	70 n/s	-10 10	Water	225	108	110	10	25
Hellard et al. (2015)	Prospective longitudinal cahort	Swimming	Other	Mysician (diagnosis by history and dirical examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	34	14	Not specified	28	185	12859	12	18
Henson et al. (2008)	placebo-controlled RCT	Endurance running	Other	Self-reported cymptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper AMI	Eite or Professional AND Reconstantal	>85 ун	18		Summer	25		14	30	15
thalainen et al. (2223) Jamobid et al. (2228)	Prospective calor. Prospective case-	Enducance running Wrestling	atter atter	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported overations only	Unspecified ARI	Lapper ANI	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	>85 yrs 13-35 wrs	23 40	•	Winter All year	25	19	84	20	25
Kelikares et al. (2007)	Double-blind, placebo-controlled	Enducance running	Other	self-reported cymptoms with checklist	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper AND	Eite or Professional AND Recreational	15-35 yrs, >85 yrs	-	-	Summer		23	112	18	18
Kim et al. (2009)	RCT Retruspective cahort Glaby	Winter ohmess/seats	Chympic	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Läpper Alti	tilite or Professional		-	-	Webw	2629	307	25	20	n
Rhab et al. (2020)	Prospective cohoit.	Swinning	Other	Self-reported cymptoms with checkles and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper AMI	Lite or Professional AND Amateur or Trained or Competitive	25-35 yrs	10	16	Not specified	35	26	175	11	18
Kan et al. (2000)	Prospective cohot	Speed skates	atter atter	Set-oparted congrams with checked and algorithm to diagnose Setf-oparted congrams with checked	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper Alti	title or Professional	25-35 yrs	*	2	Not specified		2	5	18	n 11
Linis et al. (2014)	Prospective cohort	Maed (Cali World Games)	atter	and algorithm to diagnose Physician (diagnosis by history and directal examination)	Infective ARI surgected	General (unclassified) ARI	libe or Professional		1408	1316	Summer	2824	7	10	18	10
	Double-blind,	Mard sports (kadminton, triathion,														
Matrikavic et al. (2016)	placebo-controlled RCT	cycling, argeneon, athletics, karate, cavate, kayak, judo,	Other	and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI surgected	Läpper Alto	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	34		Not specified	28	11		•	25
Milaughlin et al. (2004)	Prospective cohoit	bennis, owinnning) Adventure racing	Other	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct) examination)	Infective ARI surgected	Gereal (unclassified) ARI	Elite or Professional AND Recreational	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	213	307	Aubamon	820	10	30		15
Milanez et al. (2054)	Prospective cohoit	Futsal	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose fault-reported symptoms with checklist	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper Alti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	۰	*	Not specified		4	15	12	25
Mareira et al. (2008) Mareira et al. (2000)	Prospective cohot:	Redettari	other other	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported cymptoms with physician	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper ART	title or Professional	23-35 yrs	28		Not specified		1	17		n
Mortatti et al. (2212)	Prospective cohot	Socow	Other	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper Alto	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	*/*	n,1e	Not specified	15	7	28		n
Maardjoyet al. (2010) Monotineet al. (2014)	Prospective cohoit Retrospective /	Swinning	atter atter	Physician (diagnosis by history and direct examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI surgected	Lapper Alti	tilite or Professional	-	134	108	Not specified	14	•	20		n n
Nabhan et al. (2029)	Prospective cohot. Prospective cohot.	Winter paralympic/sports	Clympic	dirical examination) Self-reported cymptonic only	Unspecified ARI	Lapper AMI	litte or Professional	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	12	0	Summer	1765	91	17	12	10
Nakamura et al. (2006)	Prospective cohoit	Secon	Other	Physician (diagnosis by history and directal examination) Physician (diagnosis by history and	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper Alto	Elite or Professional AND Anatour or Stained or Competitive	25-35 yrs		•	Weber	10	34	25		n
Nexille et al. (2006) Nexille et al. (2008)	Prospective cohoit Prospective longitudinal minor	Salling Sailing	other Other	dirical examination) Self-reported symptoms with physician check (so examination)	whether ARI suspected	Upper ARI	tilde or Professional tilde or Professional	23-35 yrs 25-85 yrs, >85 yrs	117	47	Summer Not specified	12		128	12	10 10
Newsham-West et al. (2002)	Retrispective callort chaly	Adventure racing	Other	Self-reported cyrrigtoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose before control or control of the control of t	Infective ARI suspected	Lipper ART	tilde ar Professional	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	22		All year		202	350	20	n
Nieman et al. (2023)	Couperline canart Chaty Double-blind,	Russing	Other	and algorithm to diagnose Self-imported symptoms with observice	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper ART	Elite or Professional AND Recreational	>Bi ye	40	0	Sammer	184	*	180	11	n
Nienas et al. (2007)	placeto-controlled RCT Procentive	Cyclicits	ater mer	check (na examination) Self-reported cyrigtoms with checklist	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ART	Anatour or Trained or Congetitive	23-35 yrs	4	0 81	Summer		10	54	*	25
Official et al. (2020)	Prospective cohot.	Soccer	atter	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and directal examination)	tifeZive ARI surgected	Lapper ARt	tilite or Professional	23-35 pt		0	All year	*	263	1018		16
Orycak et al. (2058)	Prospective cohot.	Ke hakey	Other	tert-reported cymptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose tert-reported cymptoms with checklist	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ART	Anatour or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	n(4 27	**	Water	20		268	n 	18
Palmer-Green et al. (2021)	Prospective cohort	Winter paralympic/saactr	Chympic	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and direct examination)	Infective ARI suspected	upper ARI General (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional	23-35 yr.		21	winter	17	7 25	20	18	n
Patatoukas et al. (2011)	Retraspective cahort Chaly	Disability sports	Other	self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective RRI suspected	Lower ARI	tilite or Professional	15-15 yr, >15 yr	115	24	Summer	139	1	11		ъ
Peters et al. (2010)	obsenational field ctudy	Endurance running	Other	Self-reported cymptonic only	Unspecified ANI	Lipper ART	Recreational	15-15 yr, >15 yr	34	0	Webs	14	19	42	18	18
Peters et al. (2214) Pries et al. (*****	Prospective cohoit Retrospective /	Enducance running Savinnning	Other Olymaic	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnose by history and	Infective ARI suspected	Upper ARI General functor/F=-9 mm	Elite or Professional AND Recreational Elite or Professional	15-15 yr, >15 yr,	80 1155	0	Winter	30 307*	*	22 62	9 12	n 11
Pumpa et al. (2029)	prospective cohoit Double-blind, placebo-controlled	Rigby	other	Clinical examination) Mysician (diagnosis by history and Clinical economics ¹⁻¹	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ARI	Lite or Professional AND Anateur or Trained or Consertition	25-35 yrs	-		Witter	18		289		16
Pyne # al. (2000)	RCT Prospective cohoit	Swimming	Other	Mytician (dagnosis by history and direct examination)	Unspecified ARI	General (unclassified) ARI	tilde or Professional	23-35 yrs	21	20	Witter	-	18	42	18	16
Rama et al. (2213) Roboor-Anderer vi (1977-17	Prospective cohort.	Swinning Enducance converse	atter atter	self-reported cyriptions with checklist and algorithm to diagnose teef-reported cyrintmics only	Infective ARI suspected Syspective ARI	Lapper ARI	Elite or Professional AMD Amateur or Trained or Competitive Reconstrued	13-35 yrs	13 n/r	•	Winter Safer	10	**	203 74	11 19	15 15
Ruedl et al. (2012)	Prospective cohot	Witter alympics/sports	Olympic	Physician (diagnosis by history and directle examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ART	tilite or Professional	23-35 pt	560	465	Water	1021	12	10	18	n
Scherr et al. (2012)	volument and observer blinded,	Enducance running	Other	Self-reported symptoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ARts	Recruitional	>85 yrs	131	0	Automot	121	-	14	18	18
Schweibler	RCT		ar	Mysician (diagnasis by history and	Martine Bit	depend to	Bins of Performance		217		Name					
Scaline et al. (2019)	Prospective cohot.	nugby Rugby, Rowing	Other	dirical examination) Self-reported cymptons only Self-reported comptons with records	Unspecified ARI	unnerser publissified ARI Upper ARI	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	40	-	summer, Winter	250 53	46	113	18	10 10
Shimizu et al. (2012) Solitard et al. (2012)	Prospective cohot	Endurance running Wirtler	atter Objecter	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and	tefective ARI surgected	Upper ARI	Elite or Professional AND Amateur or Trained or Competitive Elite or Professional AND Amateur or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	0	21	Not specified	25 2780	25	80 17	6 22	n
Solgard et al. (2017)	Prospective cohoit	utympics/sports Summer olympics/sports	Olympic	clinical examination) Mysician (diagnosis by hickary and clinical examination)	Engeched AR	General (unclassified) ART	Elite or Professional AND Anateur or Stained or Competitive		1410	1121	Samer	11276	292	17		n
Solgard et al. (2018)	Prospective cohoit Proceective	Winter paralympic/sports	Olympic	Mysician (diagnosis by history and directal examination) Self-reported constance with reaction	Infective ARI suspected	Gerecal (unclassified) ARI	tilite or Professional		6385	5089	Witter	2284	294	12	18	-
homenalile et al. (2019)a	longitudinal cahort Double-blind,	Rugby High school saonts	Other	and algorithm to diagnose self-reported symptoms with checkler	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ART	tilite or Professional AND Recordional			•	Water		41	360		16
Specce et al. (2019)b	RCT RCT	(type not determined) Trathlor	uster Other	and algorithm to diagnose Self-reported cyrrigtoms with checklist	The Site All Lagrantia	Lager Att	Annual or mailed of Califyrithe	ar ali più Thilli y			Summer		7	-1	1	16
Staffer et al. (2007)	Prospective cohort	Witter alympics/kparts	Olympic	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnosis by history and directl examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	Elite or Professional AND Anatour or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	945	502	Water	2083		10		
Staffes et al. (2008)	Prospective cohoit: curvailence study	Summer alympics/sports	Clympic	Mysician (diagnosis by history and direct examination) Musician (direct)	Unspecified ANI	General (unclassified) JRI	tilde ar Professional	23-35 yrs	2005	1983	Summer	2384	248	18	12	15
Steiner et al. (2005) Stephenson et al. (2074	Prospective cohoit Prospective	Mixed sports Summer	Other Other	dirical examination) Self-reported cyrrytoms with checkling	Infective ARI suspected	Läpper Altr Läpper Altr	Elite or Professional AND Recreational Elite or Professional	-		n/b 1	Summer Summer, Winter	204	48 22	75.2	7	16 26
Strasser et al. (2018)	tongitudinal cahort Double-blind, placebo-controlled	perceptyrespic/coports Unversited	Other	and againthin to diagnose tell-reported cymptoms with checklist and situations 1	Infective ARI suspected	Lapper ANI	Anatour or Trained or Competitive	23-35 yrs		20	Witter					25
Swendser et al. (2007)	RCT Prospective color*	Crist-caulty date	Other	ana angarithin tu diagnosa terf-reported cynatians oriv	Unspecified ARI	Lipper ARI	tilde or Professional	13-35 ws	27	17	Water		17	185.25	11	25
Svendser et al. (2014)	Retrapethe shot	Nurdic ski	Other	Self-reported cyrigtoms with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	Lite or Professional AND Amateur or Stained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	22	17	Not specified		410	2920	20	25
Therion et al. (2009)	Prospective cohot	Second Real Provider	Other art	Physician (diagnosis by history and directl examination) hard constraint or	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ARI	Tibe or Professional			~*	Water	184	20	15	30	35 35
Tsai et al. (2020)	Prospective cohot	nagity Tae kwon do	aster Other	Lev reparate symplectic only self-reported symplects with checklist and algorithm to diagnose	ungeunid Att	Lapper ART	sour or Pichelianal	23-35 yrs	18	a	not specified	16	12		1	16 16
Valtanen et al. (2029)	Prospective cohot.	Witter alympics/sports	Chympic	Physician diagnosis including pathology confirmed (PCR or culture) for performen	wfective #0 confirmed	Lapper ANI	Lite or Malessional AND Anateur or Stained or Competitive	23-35 yrs	**	13	Water	44	20	17	18	25
van Beljsteveldt et al. (2011)	Prospective cohoit	Summer alympics/sports	Olympic	Mysician (dagnosis by history and direct examination)	Infective ARI suspected	General (unclassified) ART	tilde ar Professional		*/*	n/b	Sammer	2272	12		п	n
van Tonder et al. (2026) Wotznabe et al. (2026)	Prospective cohort	Enducance running Mared winter room	atter atter	and algorithm to diagnose Mysician (diagnose by history and	Infective ARI surgected	General (unclassified) ARI	Elite or Professional AND Anadeur or Stained or Comprision Elite or Professional	15-85 yrs, >85 yrs	3952 0	3079 0	Summer	7081 136-*	296 17	12	18 20	10 10
Wright et al. (2211)	Retrospective / prospective cohot	Swinning	atter	crimitation) Self-imported symptoms with physician check (no examination)	Infective ARI suspected	Upper ART	Anateur or Trained or Competitive	25-35 yrs	34	13	Spring	22	26			26
Yamuchi et al. (2011)	Prospective cohoit	Rugby	Other	set expanded symptoms with physician check (na examination)	tifective ARI suspected	Läpper Alti	tilite or Professional	23-35 yrs	32	0	Not specified	82	•	82	11	18

			-		Real Processing and the		-	No. of Addition	an a	-			WHEN OVER STREET, STREET, SA. WARRANT, W. Y. W. Y. HARRING, MARKING, S. J.	_	
Ref. and		ter fortenent forty Reconstruction Introduction of Weblack action	information of the	Aurilian Control	annan Allanda an Anna Allanda Raina Anna	Automatica Materialia Material Material	spatial p.g. 6.00 seturna delete to tast administration wheth polytects to a setur	polocijska filozofij najmeniska državana pipulacija atkl filozofi atkl	poposito palargais oposito palargais pipalatio fost attornito (palaria servicia)	an had a "Anangy" an Tri Indone 1	Anti-anti-assetta da fan anti-ta apoptan	And an analy			and a second sec
A. Shap of a (1994)					1	1	1.1	1						1	~
Autor # (Mar)			1	1				1	1			1			
March 4 (1994)														-	
Report of (1998)				1	1	1	1	1	1				1	1	~
hepping and (see														-	Automation (
Renta di A (Ren)			1				1	1	1						Australia Contraction
Reading of a company			1					1	1					1	~
manual (1996)														-	home:
10.000 (1000)			1								1				Autor .
sector and (MM)														-	
tamén atai (1994) Tahuna di (1994)			1		1	1	1								August 1
Restort of 1998)														-	houter.
Names and (1998)							1								holder .
Rettain disk (HTM)														-	Autom.
Rectance (40)		1.		1								÷.			
Research of a state														-	Automation (
Magnetic (MIN)					1										~
Mine 2 4 4 (1994)															~
March 414 (1998)		1.1			1		1		1	1					and a second sec
Report of a lower														-	Automation (
Topostan di a pina juna														-	hoden
reput (Merc)															houter.
Taxan at a (1994)															home:
Training a (MM)						1			1						Autom
144 at 1 (1964)															-
jang bitang pang						1	1		1					1	nest Notes
Ward of all (1998)															August 1
Name of all (1988)															horder horder
Manual 414 (1974)														-	Autom.
															hoter hoter
Manage at an (1998) Manage at an (1997)								1						1	Autom Autom
Name and (MA)														-	Autom
Note of a (1998)						1								-	
No. 6 at (1998)														-	Automation (
Nonitaria (MM)					1				1						Autom .
Recentland (RM)														-	houter.
Number (Million														-	home:
No. of Control of Cont			1								1				Autor .
Relation of a (1999)														-	
				1	1	1	1					1		1	-
Name (1971)														-	Automation (
and the (see)						1						÷.,			and a second
No. at a lot (MMR)			1	1				1				1	1		No. Alexandre
Visit and (Mini)														-	home:
international and internationa		1	1		1		1		1		1	1			
mana ana jenej														-	August 1
Marca 414 (1998)							1								and a second sec
Martan and (Min)															~
Margaret (MA															~
National designment		1.1	1			1					1	1			Access of the second se
Nuclear a (MM)														-	home:
North and (MM)									1						August 1
Restance (1998)														-	August 1
National (1998)				1		1			1					1	autor
1000 at a (1000)															
Paripanet de (1997)								-				÷			- control
Politica disact and -			1			1	1	1	1						~
No. 4 4 (1994)														-	Automation (
No. 4 4 (MA)							1	1	1						nan
Partya and (MIN)	1		1			1		1			1				Note:
Antonia (1996)	÷.					1						÷			hoter.
hand one inter															hoter hoter
Marrie (ma)															August 1
family and															Autom
Water and a state (1994)															
weper and (mir)		1.		1		1		1				÷.			hoden .
Magenteria (1998)															
personal personal personal personal								-							
Name and Camp						1							-		Notes:
Suffer and (1995)															Notes:
Name and (MPR)			1	1	1	1	1	1	1						
Water 4 of (868)															
Sandan at al (MIN)				1	1	1	1							2	and and
The second second	1		1						1		1		1		
Taxat di (1996)								1							a constru
	1		1		1		1				1			1	home:
															hoter
BOOM 4.4 (1993)					1									1	and Access
Tetrative at al (MMI)															Note:
											_				
														1	
													-	-	
														2	

Online Supplementary 4: Forest plots

Figure 1: Incidence rate (IR) of all studies that investigated ARill (n=124). The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 1 (continued): Incidence rate (IR) of all studies that investigated ARill (n=124). The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 2: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated undiagnosed ARill (n=17). The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 3: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=107) by pathological classification. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 3 (continued): Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=107) by pathological classification. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 4: Incidence rate (IR) of studies by methods to diagnose ARinf (n=107). The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



% Weigh

0.0

0.9

1.0 1.0 1.0

0.8 1.0

1.0 1.0

1.0 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.9 1.0

Figure 4 (continued): Incidence rate (IR) of studies by methods to diagnose ARinf (n=107). The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 5: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=72) by athlete's level of performance. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 6: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=56) by age group. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.

	ARinf group by Age			
Study or Subgroup		Rate (95% CI)	% Weight	ł
15-35 yrs				
Ackietal (2014)		0.0406 (0.0240 .0.0	0685) 1.8	
		0.0000 (0.0200, 0.0	0040) 1.0	
Alonso et al. (2012)		0.0036 (0.0027, 0.0	J048) 1.9	
Bergendiova et al. (2011)	-	0.0100 (0.0065, 0.0	0153) 1.9	
Brisola et al. (2020)	-	0.0038 (0.0019, 0.0	0076) 1.7	
Broadbant at al. (2011)		0.0004 (0.0001 .0.0	0015) 1.2	
broadbent et al. (2011)		0.0004 (0.0001, 0.0	5015) 1.5	
Cox et al. (2004)		0.0010 (0.0006, 0.0	J018) 1.8	
Cox et al. (2010)a	-	0.0040 (0.0021, 0.0	0077) 1.8	
Da Boit et al. (2015)		0.0042 (0.0021, 0.0	0084) 1.7	
Drossondorfor et al. (2002)	<u> </u>	0.0057 (0.0024 0.0	0126) 1.6	
Diessendoner et al. (2002)		0.0037 (0.0024, 0.0	5130) 1.0	
Edouard et al. (2013)	•	0.0061 (0.0030, 0.0	J121) 1.7	
Fahlman & Hermann-Engels (2005)	•	0.0008 (0.0005, 0.0	0012) 1.9	
Filaire et al. (2003)		0.0003 (0.0001, 0.0	0011) 1.3	
Eropoio et al. (2005)		0.0000 (0.0046 0)	0170) 1.9	
Flaticis et al. (2003)		0.0000 (0.0040, 0.0	J170) 1.8	
Fricker et al. (2005)	•	0.0063 (0.0038, 0.0	J104) 1.8	
Gleeson et al. (1999)		0.0047 (0.0031, 0.0	0071) 1.9	
Gleeson et al. (2000)	→	0.0054 (0.0029, 0.0	0101) 1.8	
Glosson et al. (2002)		0.0214 (0.0111 .0.	0/12) 1.9	
Gleeson et al. (2002)	-	0.0214 (0.0111, 0.0	J412) 1.0	
Gleeson et al. (2012)a	•	0.0077 (0.0061, 0.0	JO97) 1.9	
Gleeson et al. (2012)b	=	0.0039 (0.0027, 0.0	0056) 1.9	
Gleeson et al. (2013)		0.0007 (0.0003, 0.0	0015) 1.7	
		0.0040 (0.0000, 0)	0005) 10	
Hamid et al. (2016)		0.0040 (0.0033, 0.0	1.9	
Hausswirth et al. (2014)	₽ -	0.0071 (0.0035, 0.0	J141) 1.7	
Haywood et al. (2014)	-	0.0139 (0.0100, 0.0	0193) 1.9	
He et al. (2013)b		0.0041 (0.0034 .0.0	0050) 1.9	
		0.0001 (0.0000, 0.	0004) 0.0	
Hellard et al. (2015)		0.0004 (0.0003, 0.0	J004) 2.0	
Knab et al. (2020)		0.0026 (0.0016, 0.0	0043) 1.9	
Kon et al. (2010)		0.0500 (0.0125, 0.1	1999) 1.3	
Marinkovic at al. (2016)		0.0050 (0.0022 0.0	0107) 19	
ividi li ikovic et al. (2010)		0.0000 (0.0000, 0.0	5107) 1.0	
Milanez et al. (2014)		0.0143 (0.0054, 0.0	J381) 1.6	
Moreira et al. (2008)		0.0147 (0.0021, 0.1	1044) 1.0	
Moreira et al. (2010)		0.0167 (0.0079, 0.0	0350) 1.7	
Mortetti et al. (2012)		0.0221 (0.0167 .0.	0610) 1.0	
Mortatti et al. (2012)		0.0321 (0.0167, 0.0	.010) 1.0	
Neville et al. (2006)		0.0026 (0.0020, 0.0	JO35) 1.9	
Nieman et al. (2007)	+ -	0.0066 (0.0035, 0.0	0122) 1.8	
Nieman et al. (2008)		0.0252 (0.0113, 0.0	0561) 1.7	
Orbest et al. (2010)		0.0010 (0.0016, 0.	0000) 0.0	
Offiant et al. (2010)		0.0019 (0.0016, 0.0	JUZZ) Z.U	
Orysiak et al. (2017)	•	0.0130 (0.0101, 0.0	J168) 1.9	
Palmer-Green et al. (2015)		0.0179 (0.0121, 0.0	0264) 1.9	
Pumpa et al. (2019)		0.0011 (0.0004 .0.0	0030) 1.6	
		0.00011 (0.00001, 0.0		
Rama et al. (2013)		0.0080 (0.0057, 0.0	J114) 1.9	
Ruedl et al. (2012)		0.0051 (0.0039, 0.0	JO67) 1.9	
Shimizu et al. (2012)	_ _	0.0333 (0.0217, 0.0	0511) 1.9	
Somerville et al. (2019)b	-	0.0093 (0.0044 .0.0	0194) 17	
			0050) 1.0	
Spence et al. (2007)		0.0040 (0.0028, 0.0	1.9 (800	
Steffen et al. (2017)	•	0.0061 (0.0048, 0.0	JO78) 1.9	
Strasser et al. (2016)	-	0.0112 (0.0079, 0.0	0159) 1.9	
Svendeen et al (2016)		0.0036 (0.0033 .0.0	0040) 20	
		0.0100 (0.0000, 0.0		
i sai et al. (2011)		v.vi∠o (U.UU69, 0.0	1.8	
Valtonen et al. (2019)		0.0267 (0.0173, 0.0	J414) 1.9	
Wright et al. (2011)	- 	0.0197 (0.0134, 0.0	0289) 1.9	
Yamuchi et al. (2011)	I	0.0063 (0.0028 0.0	0139) 17	
. a. nuoni et a. (2011)				
15-35 yrs subgroup	•	0.0059 (0.0043, 0.0	0079) 90.8	
Q=1767.72, p=0.00, l2=97%				
- 25,000				
>35yrs				
He et al. (2013)a	•	0.0036 (0.0029, 0.0	JU43) 1.9	
Henson et al. (2008)	- -	0.0170 (0.0071, 0.0	0409) 1.6	
Nieman et al. (2003)	_ 	0.0179 (0.0096. 0.0	0332) 1.8	
Scherr et al. (2000)		0.0062 (0.0046 .04	0084) 10	
Scherr et al. (2012)	T	0.0002 (0.0046, 0.0	1.9	
Stephenson et al. (2019)		0.0132 (0.0087, 0.0	J201) 1.9	
>35vrs subaroun	🏊	0.0092 (0.0048 0.0	0176) 92	
Q=57.06, p=0.00, №=93%				
Overall	♦	0.0061 (0.0047. 0.0	0.001) 100.0	
Q=1839.48 n=0.00 12-97%			,	
G=1000.+0, p=0.00, ⊵=37%				
	Rate Rate			

Figure 7: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=57) by season. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 8: Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=107) by quality of the article. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.



Figure 8 (continued): Incidence rate (IR) of studies that investigated ARinf (n=107) by quality of the article. The individual studies that were included are presented on the left of the forest plot. The IR (95% confidence interval (CI)) per athlete day (multiply by 1000 for IR per 1000 athlete days) is presented on the right including the weight that the corresponding study exerts in the meta-analysis.

