

Supporting Information

Demographic consequences of changing environmental periodicity, *Ecology*

Eva Conquet, Arpat Ozgul, Daniel T. Blumstein, Kenneth B. Armitage, Madan K. Oli, Julien G. A. Martin, Tim H. Clutton-Brock, Maria Paniw

Appendix S7 - Markov chain modeling the succession of post-fire habitats for the dewy pine population.

Data (Conquet et al. 2022a) are available in Dryad at <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hhmqgnkkc> and code (Conquet et al. 2022b) is available in Zenodo at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7078560>.

Following previous studies modeling dynamics of populations under disturbance regimes (e.g., Pascarella and Horvitz 1998; Morris et al. 2006; Trauernicht et al. 2016), we used a five-state Markov chain to create a sequence of post-fire habitat states (Fig. S1 and the R code). The first four states (TSF₀ to TSF₃) are deterministic and thus follow each other in a deterministic sequence. On the other hand, the last state (TSF_{>3}) is stochastic. When reached, a random year is picked (between 2011 and 2018) in order to parameterize the corresponding matrix population model for TSF_{>3} using the estimated vital rates (Appendix S2: Tables S5 and S6, Appendix S3: Tables S3 and S4). Whether the population remains or not in the fifth state once it has been reached is conditional on the fire-return probability p (Paniw, Quintana-Ascencio et al. 2017).

		Environment at t				
		1	2	3	4	5
Environment at $t+1$	1	0	0	0	0	p
	2	1	0	0	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0	0
	4	0	0	1	0	0
	5	0	0	0	1	$1-p$

Figure S1 - Markov chain determining the succession of post-fire habitats for the dewy pine population. The first four states (TSF₀ to TSF₃) constitute the deterministic part of the Markov chain and thus always follow each other in a sequence of 1 to 4 (probability of transition = 1). The fifth state (TSF_{>3}) is stochastic, and the transition from this state depends on the fire frequency p (i.e., the population will remain in state 5 until a fire occurs).

References – Appendix S7

- Conquet, E., A. Ozgul, D. T. Blumstein, K. B. Armitage, M. K. Oli, J. G. A. Martin, T. H. Clutton-Brock, and M. Paniw. 2022. “Demographic consequences of changes in environmental periodicity.” Dryad, dataset. <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hhmgqnkkc>.
- Conquet, E., A. Ozgul, D. T. Blumstein, K. B. Armitage, M. K. Oli, J. G. A. Martin, T. H. Clutton-Brock, and M. Paniw. 2022b. R code for Demographic consequences of changes in environmental periodicity. Zenodo, software. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7078560>.
- Morris W. F., S. Tuljapurkar, C. V. Haridas, E. S. Menges, C. C. Horvitz, and C. A. Pfister. 2006. “Sensitivity of the population growth rate to demographic variability within and between phases of the disturbance cycle.” *Ecology Letters* 9 (12): 1331–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00988.x>.
- Paniw, M., P. F. Quintana-Ascencio, F. Ojeda, and R. Salguero-Gómez. 2017. “Interacting livestock and fire may both threaten and increase viability of a fire-adapted Mediterranean carnivorous plant.” *The Journal of Applied Ecology* 54 (6): 1884–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12872>.
- Pascarella, J.B., and C. C. Horvitz. 1998. “Hurricane disturbance and the population dynamics of a tropical understory shrub: megamatrix elasticity analysis.” *Ecology* 79 (2): 547–563. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1998\)079\[0547:hdatpd\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[0547:hdatpd]2.0.co;2).
- Trauernicht C., B. P. Murphy, L. D. Prior, M. J. Lawes, and D. M. J. S. Bowman. 2016. “Human-imposed, fine-grained patch burning explains the population stability of a fire-sensitive conifer in a frequently burnt Northern Australia savanna.” *Ecosystems* 19 (5): 896–909. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-9973-2>.