

## Physical demands of tennis across the different court surfaces, performance levels, and sexes: a systematic review with meta-analysis

Sports Medicine

Babette M. Pluim, Marleen G.T. Jansen, Samuel Williamson, Cain Berry, Silvia Camporesi, Kristina Fagher, Neil Heron, Dina C. Janse van Rensburg, Victor Moreno-Pérez, Andrew Murray, Seán R. O'Connor, Fábio C.L. de Oliveira, Machar Reid, Miriam van Reijen, Tobias Saueressig, Linda J. Schoonmade, Jane S. Thornton, Nick Webborn, Clare L. Ardern

Corresponding Author:

Babette M. Pluim, [b.pluim@knltb.nl](mailto:b.pluim@knltb.nl)

1. Section Sports Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
2. Amsterdam Collaboration on Health & Safety in Sports (ACHSS), IOC Research Center of Excellence, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
3. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Sports Medicine, Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
4. Academic Center for Evidence-based Sports medicine (ACES), Amsterdam, the Netherlands
5. Medical Department, Royal Netherlands Lawn Tennis Association (KNLTB), Amstelveen, the Netherlands

**Supplementary File 8.** Complete overview of the quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis)

### 3.1 Duration of play

	Outcome (Description)	Studies included in the meta-analysis	Number of studies (n)	Number of outcomes (n)	Effect size (95%CI)	Test for (Subgroup) Differences (p value)	95% PI †	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	Sensitivity analysis for $\rho$	Sensitivity analysis for imputed SDs †
<b>Match duration</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Match duration (min) (men/international hard + clay surfaces/best of 3)	Filipic et al. (2021) Hoppe et al. (2014) Hornery et al. (2007) Mackie (2013)	7	8	M: 89.68 (78.96, 101.86)	0.696	(65.67, 122.48)	61.94	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 91.83 (78.99, 106.76)



<b>Female players</b>	Match duration (min) (women/international all surfaces/best of 3)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2008) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) Sánchez-Pay et al. (2021)	5	9	M: 87.95‡ (71.72, 107.85)	0.77	(50.02, 154.65)	80.34	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Match duration (min) (women/international hard surfaces/best of 3)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) Sánchez-Pay (2021)	4	5	M: 98.89‡ (75.74, 129.13)	NA	(55.54, 176.10)	66.83	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Match duration (min) (women/national hard surfaces/best of 3)	Galé-Ansodi (2017a) Perri et al. (2016) Stare et al. (2015) Torres-Luque et al. (2011)	4	5	M: 72.74‡ (48.66, 108.74)	NA	(27.88, 189.78)	88.85	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 75.88 (40.57, 141.91)
<b>Male vs. female players</b>	Match duration (min) (hard surfaces)	Perri et al. (2016) Stare et al. (2015) Torres-Luque et al. (2011)	3	4	MD: 8.8‡ (1.15, 16.4)	<b>0.039</b>	(1.65, 15.95)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	10.2 (-16.1, 36.5)
<b>Rally Duration</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Rally duration (s) (men international/hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2019) Filipic et al. (2021) Hornery et al. (2007) Mackie (2013) Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2007) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998) Stare et al. (2015) Takahashi et al. (2006) Yusoff & Krasilshchikov (2021)	11	21	M: 5.53 (4.87, 6.28)	<b>0.00962</b>	(3.29, 9.29)	88.16	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 5.65 (4.95, 6.45)
	Rally duration (s)	Carboch et al. (2019)	8	9	M: 5.63 (4.87, 6.51)	NA	(3.87, 8.21)	89.15	Very slight changes in	M: 5.74 (4.92, 6.69)

(men international/hard surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Hornery et al. (2007) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) Stare et al. (2015) Takahashi et al. (2006)							the estimates of the means and standard errors.	
Rally duration (s) (men international/clay surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2019) Hornery et al. (2007) Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2007) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998) Takahashi et al. (2006)	6	6	M: 7.09‡ (6.23, 8.06)	NA	(5.99, 8.39)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
Rally duration (s) (men international/grass surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2019) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998) Takahashi et al. (2006)	5	5	M: 4.27‡ (3.12, 5.85)	NA	(1.82, 9.99)	93.33	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
Rally duration (s) (men national/hard + clay surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Kilit and Arslan (2017) Kilit and Arslan (2018) Stare et al. (2015) Torres-Luque et al. (2011)	6	8	M: 8.50‡ (7.22, 10.01)	0.0809	(6.07, 11.90)	69.98	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 8.86 (7.51, 10.46)
Rally duration (s) (men national/hard surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Kilit and Arslan (2018) Stare et al. (2015) Torres-Luque et al. (2011)	5	6	M: 8.28‡ (6.90, 9.95)	NA	(5.73, 11.98)	71.51	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 8.86 (7.51, 10.46)
<b>Female players</b> Rally duration (s)	Carboch and Plachá (2018)	7	13	M: 6.36 (5.35, 7.56)	<b>0.0246</b>	(3.67, 11.02)	90.16	Very slight changes in	NA

	(women international/ hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2008) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)							the estimates of the means and standard errors.	
	Rally duration (s) (women international/hard surfaces)	Carboch and Plachá (2018) Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001)	5	7	M: 6.37‡ (5.01, 8.11)	NA	(3.27, 12.43)	92.96	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Rally duration (s) (women international/clay surfaces)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2008) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)	3	3	M: 8.82‡ (5.18, 15.01)	NA	(2.75, 28.34)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Rally duration (s) (women international/grass surfaces)	Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)	3	3	M: 5.67‡ (4.78, 6.73)	NA	(3.89, 8.27)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Male vs. female players</b>	Rally duration (s) (international/hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2020) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)	5	11	MD: -0.475‡ (-1.99, 1.04)	0.41	(-3.28, 2.33)	74.78	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Rally duration (s) (international/hard surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2020) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001)	4	6	MD: -0.399‡ (-2.05, 1.26)	0.498	(-3.86, 3.06)	82.17	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Rally duration (s) (international/grass surfaces)	Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)	3	3	MD: -1.56‡ (-5.34, 2.22)	0.197	(-8.02, 4.89)	87.29	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means	NA

		O'Donoghue and Ingram (2001)						and standard errors.		
		Effective playing time								
<b>Male players</b>	Effective playing time (s) (men international/ hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Yusoff and Krasilshchikov (2021)	8	13	M: 18.55 (15.83, 21.73)	0.563	(11.69, 29.43)	90.49	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 18.88 (15.47, 23.04)
		Filipicic et al. (2021)								
		Mackie (2013)								
		Mendez-Villanueva et al. (2007)								
		Morante and Brotherhood (2005)								
		O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)								
		Stare et al. (2015)								
		Whiteside and Reid (2017)								
	Effective playing time (s) (men international/hard surfaces)	Filipicic et al. (2021)	5	8	M: 18.20‡ (14.97, 22.13)	NA	(10.43, 31.77)	92.45	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 16.72 (13.15, 21.26)
		Mackie (2013)								
		Morante and Brotherhood (2005)								
		Stare et al. (2015)								
		Whiteside and Reid (2017)								
	Effective playing time (s) (junior men national/hard + clay surfaces)	Filipicic et al. (2021)	6	8	M: 26.16 (23.28, 29.41)	0.86	(18.4, 37.2)	87.53	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 25.89 (22.31, 30.06)
		Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019)								
		Kilit and Arslan (2017)								
		Kilit and Arslan (2018)								
		Stare et al. (2015)								
		Torres-Luques et al. (2011)								
	Effective playing time (s) (junior men national/hard surfaces)	Filipicic et al. (2021)	5	6	M: 25.63 (21.38, 30.74)	NA	(15.80, 41.59)	90.69	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 25.17 (19.47, 32.53)
		Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019)								
		Kilit and Arslan (2018)								
		Torres-Luques et al. (2011)								
		Stare et al. (2015)								
<b>Female players</b>	Effective playing time (s) (women international/ hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007)	6	11	M: 20.04 (17.25, 23.27)	0.469	(13.18, 30.46)	88.62	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 19.57 (15.22, 25.16)
		Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2008)								
		Mackie (2013)								
		Morante and Brotherhood (2005)								

		O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998)								
		Whiteside and Reid (2017)								
	Effective playing time (s) (women international/hard surfaces)	Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) Whiteside and Reid (2017)	4	7	M: 20.02‡ (15.38, 26.07)	NA	(10.40, 38.56)	92.31	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 19.09 (12.62, 28.88)
<b>Male vs. female players</b>	Effective playing time (%) (international/ hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) O'Donoghue and Liddle (1998) Whiteside and Reid (2017)	4	9	MD: -2.02‡ (-6.12, 2.08)	0.215	(-10.66, 6.63)	82.64	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	MD: -1.16 (-7.82, 5.49)
	Effective playing time (%) (international/ hard surfaces)	Mackie (2013) Morante and Brotherhood (2005) Whiteside and Reid (2017)	3	6	MD: -1.2‡ (-4.89, 2.5)	0.295	(-6.92, 4.53)	46.30	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA

### 3.2 Movement characteristics

	Outcome (Description)	Studies included in meta-analysis	Number of studies (n)	Number of outcomes (n)	Effect size (95%CI)	Test for (Subgroup) Differences (p value)	95% PI †	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	Sensitivity analysis for p	Sensitivity analysis for imputed SDs †
<b>Distance covered per match</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Distance covered per match (m) (men/international hard + grass + clay surfaces/best of 5)	Cui et al. (2020a) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Maquirriain et al. (2016) Reid et al. (2016)	4	11	M: 2292.29‡ (1767.40, 2973.07)	0.533	(1243.35, 4226.16)	85.17	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 2382.11 (1567.46, 3620.14)
	Distance covered per match (m) (men/international hard surfaces/best of 5)	Cui et al. (2020a) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	3	6	M: 2164.33‡ (1775.43, 2638.40)	NA	(1372.68, 3412.53)	26.78	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means	M: 2189.41 (1401.48, 3420.32)

								and standard errors.		
	Distance covered per match (m) (men/national hard + clay surfaces/best of 3)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Hoppe et al. (2014) Hoppe et al. (2016) Kilit and Arslan (2017) Kilit and Arslan (2018) Perri et al. (2018)	8	12	M: 3313.59 (2870.06, 3825.67)	0.886	(2247.86, 4884.59)	85.55	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Distance covered per match (m) (men/national hard surfaces/best of 3)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Kilit and Arslan (2018) Perri et al. (2018)	5	8	M: 3200.72‡ (2322.31, 4411.40)	NA	(1363.13, 7515.53)	92.65	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Distance covered per match (m) (men/national clay surfaces/best of 3)	Hoppe et al. (2014) Hoppe et al. (2016) Kilit and Arslan (2017) Kilit and Arslan (2018)	4	4	M: 3272.27‡ (3063.74, 3494.99)	NA	(2940.46, 3641.51)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Female players</b>	Distance covered per match (m) (women/international hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Cui et al. (2018) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	3	7	M: 1249.13‡ (766.60, 2035.39)	NE	(383.24, 4071.43)	87.02	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 1304.77 (652.42, 2609.40)
	Distance covered per match (m) (women/national hard surfaces)	Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017a) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Perri et al. (2018)	3	4	M: 2966.77‡ (2268.63, 3879.76)	NA	(1473.06, 5975.13)	67.73	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Distance Covered per Set</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Distance covered per set (m) (men/international all surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Pereira et al. (2016a) Reid et al. (2016)	3	11	M: 606.97‡ (443.07, 831.51)	0.218	(278.55, 1322.62)	78.10	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means	NA



									and standard errors.	
<b>Female players</b>	Distance covered per set (m) (women/international all surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Reid et al. (2016)	2	5	M: 573.6‡ (372.7, 882.7)	NE	NE	84.70	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Distance covered per point</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Distance covered per point (m) (men/international hard + grass + clay surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Filipic et al. (2021) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Martínez-Gallego et al. (2019) Pereira et al. (2016) Whiteside et al. (2015)	6	16	M: 9.60 (7.56, 12.19)	0.925	(5.56, 16.57)	93.71	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 9.47 (8.16, 10.99)
	Distance covered per point (m) (men/international hard surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Filipic et al. (2021) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Martínez-Gallego et al. (2019) Whiteside et al. (2015)	5	10	M: 9.66‡ (7.59, 12.30)	NA	(5.55, 16.83)	94.50	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 9.53 (8.27, 11.00)
<b>Female players</b>	Distance covered per point (m) (women/international all surfaces)	Cui et al. (2018) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	3	7	M: 8.22‡ (4.44, 15.20)	NE	(1.70, 39.79)	96.70	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	9.36 (5.25, 16.70)
	Distance covered per point (m) (women/international hard surfaces)	Cui et al. (2018) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	3	5	M: 8.28‡ (4.42, 15.50)	NE	(1.69, 40.52)	96.67	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	9.44 (4.78 18.63)
<b>Distance per minute</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Distance per minute (men/national hard surfaces)	Fernández-Elias et al. (2020) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019)	5	9	M: 48.22‡ (45.34, 51.28)	NA	(41.46, 56.08)	66.27	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA

		Perri et al. (2018)								
<b>Female players</b>	Distance per minute (women/national hard surfaces)	Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017a) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Perri et al. (2018)	4	5	M: 45.44‡ (41.52, 49.72)	NA	(35.76, 57.73)	87.74	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Male vs. female players</b>	Distance per minute (m) (national/hard surfaces)	Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Perri et al. (2018)	3	4	MD: 2.36‡ (1.38, 3.34)	0.0111	(1.50, 3.22)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Peak Running Speed</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Peak running speed (m/s) (men/international/hard surfaces)	Fernández-Elias et al. (2020) Filipic et al. (2021) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Whiteside et al. (2015)	4	5	M: 5.46‡ (4.04, 7.38)	NA	(2.95, 10.12)	81.83	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 5.81 (4.91, 6.89)
	Peak running speed (m/s) (men/national/hard + clay surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Hoppe et al. (2014) Hoppe et al. (2016)	6	7	M: 4.82 (4.28, 5.43)	0.11	(3.58, 6.49)	92.82	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Peak running speed (m/s) (men/national/hard surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019)	4	5	M: 5.06‡ (4.27, 5.99)	NA	(3.32, 7.71)	94.45	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Female players</b>	Peak running speed (m/s) (women/national/hard surfaces)	Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017a) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018)	3	3	M: 4.18‡ (3.83, 4.55)	NA	(3.36, 5.20)	64.94	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Average Running Speed</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	Average running speed (m/s)	Filipic et al. (2021)	3	4	M: 2.07‡	NA	(0.05, 90.22)	98.45	Very slight changes in	M: 1.99 (0.47, 8.35)

	(men/international hard surfaces)	Martinez-Gallego et al. (2019) Reid et al. (2016)			(0.57, 7.62)				the estimates of the means and standard errors.	
	Average running speed (m/s) (men/national hard + clay surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Hoppe et al. (2014) Hoppe et al. (2016) Kilit and Arslan (2017) Kilit and Arslan (2018)	8	10	M: 1.13 (0.63, 2.04)	<b>0.0473</b>	(0.16, 7.85)	99.81	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Average running speed (m/s) (men/national hard surfaces)	Filipic et al. (2021) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018) Gallo-Salazar et al. (2019) Kilit and Arslan (2018)	5	6	M: 1.50‡ (0.58, 3.90)	NA	(0.16, 14.47)	99.79	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Average running speed (m/s) (men/national clay surfaces)	Hoppe et al. (2014) Hoppe et al. (2016) Kilit and Arslan (2017) Kilit and Arslan (2018)	4	4	M: 0.70‡ (0.7, 0.7)	NA	(0.7, 0.7)	0	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Female players</b>	Average running speed (m/s) (women/national hard surfaces)	Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017a) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2017b) Galé-Ansodi et al. (2018)	3	3	M: 2.89‡ (2.69, 3.11)	NA	(2.44, 3.43)	30.77	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA

### 3.3 Stroke characteristics

Outcome (Description)	Studies included in meta-analysis	Number of	Number of	Effect size (95%CI)	Test for (Subgroup) Differences	95% PI †	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	Sensitivity analysis for p	Sensitivity analysis for
-----------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------------------	----------	--------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------



									and standard errors.	
<b>Female players</b>	Strokes per rally (women/international/hard + clay surfaces)	Carboch et al. (2018) Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2007) Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2008) Kovalchik and Reid (2017)	4	5	M: 3.86‡ (2.39, 6.22)	<b>0.0415</b>	(1.52, 9.80)	71.62	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 3.26 (1.31, 8.16)
<b>First Serve Speed</b>										
<b>Male players</b>	First Serve Speed (km/h) (men/international all surfaces)	Brown (2021) Cui et al. (2020a) Fitzpatrick et al. (2019) Hornery et al. (2007) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	6	19	M: 182.42 (178.06, 186.89)	0.099	(171.20, 194.38)	95.25	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 183.01 (177.88, 188.30)
	First Serve Speed (km/h) (men/international hard surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Hornery et al. (2007) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	4	11	M: 181.93‡ (176.58, 187.44)	NA	(169.20, 195.61)	84.91	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M: 182.73, (174.86, 190.96)
	First Serve Speed (km/h) (men/international clay surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Fitzpatrick et al. (2019) Hornery et al. (2007)	3	7	M: 180.02‡ (168.87, 191.92)	NA	(153.79, 210.73)	84.73	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Female players</b>	First Serve Speed (km/h) (women/international all surfaces)	Brown (2021) Fitzpatrick et al. (2019) Kovalchik and Reid (2017) Reid et al. (2016)	4	5	M: 156.13‡ (151.40, 161.00)	NE	(143.69, 169.64)	94.60	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	M:156.87 (150.01, 164.05)
<b>Second Serve Speed</b>										

<b>Male players</b>	Second Serve Speed (km/h) (men/international all surfaces)	Brown (2021) Cui et al. (2020a) Hornery et al. (2007) Reid et al. (2016)	4	16	M: 148.86‡ (135.03, 164.10)	0.268	(121.76, 181.99)	97.42	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
	Second Serve Speed (km/h) (men/international hard surfaces)	Cui et al. (2020a) Hornery et al. (2007) Reid et al. (2016)	3	10	M: 146.38‡ (125.32, 170.97)	NA	(99.92, 214.44)	95.84	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA
<b>Female players</b>	Second Serve Speed (km/h) (women/international all surfaces)	Brown (2021) Reid et al. (2016)	2	2	M: 133.68‡ (106.56, 167.69)	NE	NE	95.39	Very slight changes in the estimates of the means and standard errors.	NA

M: mean, MD: Mean Difference, SD: Standard Deviation, 95%CI: 95% Confidence Interval, 95%PI: 95% Prediction Interval. NA: Not applicable. NE: Not estimable.

All outcomes were transformed to a logscale and then back transformed via exponentiating the values. This was done to ensure that no implausible (i.e., negative) estimates were obtained. The prediction interval indicates the heterogeneity in the data and the range of potential values that could be possible in future studies.

Different correlational values (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1.0) for  $\rho$  were used for sensitivity analyses. For the main analysis a value of  $\rho = 0.8$  was used.

‡ A low number of studies leads to a low number of degrees of freedom (df). The confidence in these results is low. This is the case if  $df < 4$ .

‡ Please note that the estimate for the 95% PI is not very accurate in the case of  $< 10$  studies.

† Sensitivity analysis was performed by removal of all studies with imputed SDs.