

A Cross-sectional observational study of endotracheal intubation and extubation practices amongst doctors treating adult COVID-19 patients in South Africa



K Naidoo, S Spijkerman, J Wyngaard, H De Menezes-Williams, C Janse Van Rensburg Department of Anaesthesiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria

Introduction

Patients diagnosed with severe 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) may require endotracheal intubation. Unique adjustments to endotracheal intubation and extubation practices are necessary to decrease the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission to health care workers whilst avoiding complications of airway management.

We investigated the practice of endotracheal intubation and extubation, resources available and complications encountered by clinicians performing endotracheal intubation and extubation of COVID-19 patients in South Africa.

Methods

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted during the initial surge of COVID-19 cases in South Africa. Data were collected by means of a self-administered questionnaire completed by clinicians in the private and public health care sectors after performing an endotracheal intubation and/or extubation of a patient with confirmed or suspected COVID-19.

Results

- Data from 135 endotracheal intubations and 45 extubations • were collected.
- Haemoglobin desaturation was the most frequent complication encountered during endotracheal intubation (40%, n=54).
- Endotracheal intubations performed at private health care ٠ institutions were associated with a significantly lower complication rate of 17.5% (n=7) compared to 52.6% (n=50) in the public health care sector (p < 0.001).
- Endotracheal intubations performed in theatre had the lowest complication rate of 10.4% (n=5, p < 0.001).
- Minimizing the number of intubation attempts and the use of checklists significantly reduced the frequency of
- Intravenous induction technique, neuromuscular blocking agent used, intubating device used and time at which intubation was performed did not impact the incidence of complications experienced during endotracheal intubation.
- The majority of endotracheal extubations were uncomplicated (88.9%).

| Variable | Complication Rate | p-value | Variable | Complication Rate | p-value |
|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|---|----------|
| | [Number of complicated intubations/intubations performed (%)] | | | [Number of complicated intubations/intubations performed (%)] | |
| Designation | | p=0.825 | Site of Intubation | | p <0.001 |
| Specialist | 32/80 (40%) | | Dedicated COVID-19 ward | 29/46 (63%) | |
| Registrar | 18/42 (42.9%) | | ICU | 16/33 (48.5%) | |
| Medical Officer | 6/11 (54.5%) | | Theatre | 5/48 (10.4%) | |
| Medical Intern | 1/2 (50%) | | Emergency Department | 4/4 (100%) | |
| Clinical Experience | | p=0.238 | Non-COVID-19 ward | 2/2 (100%) | |
| >10 years | 19/54 (35.2%) | | Other | 1/2 (50%) | |
| 5-10 years | 15/34 (44.1%) | | IV Induction Technique | | p = 0.41 |
| 2-5 years | 19/42 (45.2%) | | RSII | 50/116 (43.1%) | |
| < 2 years | 4/5 (80%) | | ESH | 4/14 (28.6%) | |
| Health Care Sector | | p <0.001• | No Drugs Used | 3/5 (60%) | |
| Public | 50/95 (52.6%) | | Modifications Used | | p =0.003 |
| Private | 7/40 (17.5%) | | Yes | 46/90 (51.1%) | |
| SARS-CoV-2 PCR Test | | p <0.001• | No | 11/45 (24.4%) | |
| Positive | 46/80 (57.5%) | | Intubating Device | | p =0.41 |
| Unknown but highly Suspicious for COVID-19 | 11/55 (20%) | | Videolaryngoscope | 40/100 (40%) | |
| Use of Checklists | | p =0.013* | Macintosh Direct Laryngoscope | 17/35 (48.6%) | |
| Yes | 15/52 (28.8%) | | | | |
| No | 42/83 (50.6%) | | | | |

*Significant at p <0.05

Abbreviations: SARS-CoV-2 PCR= Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Polymerase Chain Reaction; COVID-19= Coronavirus Disease 2019; ICU = Intensive Care Unit; IV = Intravenous; RSII = Rapid Sequence Induction and Intubation; ESII = Elective Sequence Induction and Intubation; NMBA = Neuromuscular Blocking Agent; IBW = Ideal Body Weight

