The Welwitschia genome reveals unique biology underpinning extreme longevity in deserts
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## Supplementary Note 1. An introduction to and structural anomalies of Welwitschia.

'It is out of the question the most wonderful plant ever brought to this country, and one of the ugliest.' This was the response of Joseph Hooker, the Regius Keeper of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, in 1863 when presented with a plant of Welwitschia mirabilis, hereafter, Welwitschia. Welwitschia is unusual for its large, strap like leaves that grow continuously along the ground. Throughout its entire life, each plant produces only two leaves, which often split into many segments as a result of the leaves being whipped by the wind. Carbon-14 dating of the largest plants have shown that some individuals are over 1,500 years old (Supplementary Fig. 15).

Welwitschia belongs to the family Welwitschiaceae, which is monogeneric and monospecific. Together with two other monogeneric families Gnetaceae (Gnetum) and Ephedraceae (Ephedra) they comprise the Gnetales, a distinctive lineage within the gymnosperms. The phylogenetic position of Welwitschia as well as Gnetales in general has triggered much debate. The 'anthophyte hypothesis' whereby Gnetales are considered to be the sister group to angiosperms ${ }^{1,2}$ was proposed based on shared morphological similarities with angiosperms (e.g. 'double fertilization'3 and xylem vessel structure ${ }^{4}$ ). However, this hypothesis has only equivocal support from molecular sequence data ${ }^{5,6}$. Phylogenetic reconstructions using data from a variety of genic regions have given rise to the most widespread opinion that Gnetales are most closely related to the conifers, either as sister to Pinaceae ('Gnepine'), sister to cupressophytes ('Gnecup'), or sister to all conifers ('Gnetifer'), depending on the sequence(s) and analytical methods deployed ${ }^{6,7}$.

Welwitschia is often considered to be a desert plant as its distribution is restricted to the arid to hyperarid western parts of Namibia and Angola, north of $23.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude. However, natural populations do not only occur in the central and northern Namib Desert, but are also abundant in adjacent, more mesic parts of the Arid Savanna (Fig. 1). Welwitschia has a short, unbranched stem and a massive woody concave crown bearing two huge strap-shaped leaves. The leaves function as permanent photosynthetic organs and continue to grow from a basal meristem throughout the life of the plant. Unlike other plants, the shoot apical meristem (SAM) of Welwitschia dies shortly after germination and the meristematic activity moves to the periphery of the crown. Borman et. al. $(1972)^{8}$ presented a longitudinal section through a young individual showing the insertion of leaves and the strobilus into the terminal groove. Here we used Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) to scan a young plant of Welwitschia (ex-situ specimen preserved in the Fairy Lake Botanical Garden for 5 years) to produce comparative images to further clarify the unusual morphological structures (Supplementary Fig. 16). Images of the reproductive organs taken from living specimens growing in the wild are also shown.

## Supplementary Note 2. The DNA methylation landscape of Welwitschia.

For wild individuals of Welwitschia, we bisulfite-sequenced genomic DNA from two tissue types with three replicates for each type (meristematic tissue of male individuals (MM1, MM2, MM3), meristematic tissue of female individual (FM1, FM2, FM3), young section of leaf of male individual (MY1, MY2, MY3) and young section of leaf of female individual (FY1, FY2, FY3). The six individuals (MM1-MY1, MM2-MY2, MM3-MY3, FM1-FY1, FM2-FY2, FM3-FY3) were of different ages (years different), as estimated from the lengths of leaves and assumed slow growth rate ${ }^{9,10}$, For a single greenhouse individual, we analysed three types of tissue. This comprised the central part of the meristematic tissue (CM), the peripheral part of the meristematic tissue (PM) and the mature leaf (L). In general, all samples showed that the genome of Welwitschia was highly methylated in CG, CHG context and little variation was observed between male and female individuals or between tissue types. In contrast, CHH patterns differed between tissue types (Supplementary Fig. 21).

## Supplementary Note 3. Detection of differentially methylated regions.

Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) at CHH trinucleotides represent the majority of DMRs, and most of them were shown to be distributed in the intergenic regions (Supplementary Table 11). Furthermore, we found DMRs in CHH were most abundantly located in TE regions (see Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 13).

## Supplementary Note 4. Long-term monitoring program in Gobabeb station, Namibia and estimation of growth rate of Welwitschia's leaves.

Since 1980s, a long-term ecological research program has been conducted in Gobabeb (the training and research center which is located in the heart of the hyperarid Namib Desert and was established early in 1963). With over 14 years' monitoring, Henschel JR. and Seely MK ${ }^{12}$ in 2000 presented a comprehensive analysis of the growth pattern of Welwitschia, including the growth rate of leaves, population structure and sex ratios. The general low rate of leaf growth rate was estimated to be $0.37 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$ with fluctuating rates caused by episodic rainfall events. We conducted further measurements during 2014-2019 field trips, following the protocol adopted previously.

## Supplementary Note 5. Expansion of R2R3-MYB genes.

$R 2 R 3-M Y B$ proteins belong to the MYB transcription factors (TFs), one of the largest classes of TFs in plants comprising MYB-related, $R 2 R 3-M Y B, R 1 R 2 R 3-M Y B$, and $4 R-M Y B$ proteins, with the size of the group mainly attributed to the rapid expansion of $R 2 R 3-M Y B^{13}$. Previous studies have indicated that $R 2 R 3-M Y B$ TFs are extensively involved in plant development, secondary metabolism, cell proliferation and stress response ${ }^{13-15}$. Due to lineage-specific gene loss or expansion, there is little consensus on the classification schemes of $R 2 R 3-M Y B$, including the number of subgroups.

A recent study suggested that at least ten subfamilies of $R 2 R 3-M Y B$ might exist in ancestral
land plants and that more than half of the $R 2 R 3-M Y B$ genes within land plant species belonged to subfamily VIII ${ }^{16}$. The number of repeats of the MYB DNA-binding (Pfam ID: PF00249) domain has been used to classify MYB proteins into different subfamilies with R2R3-MYB containing two repeats ${ }^{17}$.

PfamScan ${ }^{18}$ (version 1.6, http://pfam.xfam.org/, with default parameters) was used to search for MYB DNA-binding proteins in Welwitschia as well as in other land plants (Supplementary Table 2) and those proteins containing two MYB DNA-binding domains were extracted. Multiple sequence alignments were generated with the software MUSCLE ${ }^{19}$ (version 3.8.31, http://www.drive5.com/muscle, with default parameters) and maximumlikelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were constructed using FastTree (version 2.1.11, http://www.microbesonline.org/fasttree/treecmp.html, with default parameters). In our study, the scheme of clades was mainly based on the orthogroups described above, and was partly informed by the topology suggested in Jiang and Rao's investigation ${ }^{16}$. We found that gene members belonging to a subgroup comprising of AtMYB11 and its paralogs $A t M Y B 12$, AtMYB111 were expanded in Welwitschia (11 copies). In contrast, the other plant species studied had no more than five copies (Supplementary Fig. 11). AtMYB11 and its paralogs have been widely investigated in $A$. thaliana where it has been proposed that they are essential to control cell proliferation ${ }^{20}$. Their expansion in the Welwitschia genome may have contributed to the slow growth rate of this species.

## Supplementary Note 6. Expansion of small auxin up-regulated RNA genes.

Small auxin up-regulated RNA (SAUR) genes comprise a family of auxin-responsive genes with $\sim 60-140$ members in most higher plant species ${ }^{21}$. With recent advances in the characterization of the SAUR genes in Arabidopsis and other plant species, the major role of SAUR genes is now considered to be the regulation of cell elongation ${ }^{22}$. The auxin-inducible (Pfam ID:PF02519) domain was used to search for these proteins in Welwitschia and other land plants (see Note 5) using PfamScan ${ }^{18}$ (version 1.6, http://pfam.xfam.org/, with default parameters). Proteins of each species which contained the auxin-inducible domain were extracted. Multiple sequence alignments were generated with the software MUSCLE ${ }^{19}$ (version 3.8.31, http://www.drive5.com/muscle, with default parameters) and maximumlikelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were constructed using FastTree (version 2.1.11, http://www.microbesonline.org/fasttree/treecmp.html, with default parameters). Subgroups were classified using Arabidopsis proteins ${ }^{23}$. A total of $78 S A U R$ genes were identified in Welwitschia, including a considerable expansion of genes in the subclade containing AtSAUR58, AtSAUR43 and in the subclade of AtSAUR17 (Supplementary Fig. 12).

## Supplementary Note 7. Expansion of small Heat shock proteins.

The Small Heat Shock Proteins (sHSPs) comprise a major family of HSPs induced by heat stress in plants ${ }^{24}$. Among the sHSPs, the most abundant and complex members in higher plants belong to the HSP20 family ${ }^{25,26}$. We compared the number of members in Welwitschia
as well as in other land plants mentioned above. Proteins belonging to the HSP20 family all contain a specific pfam domain (Pfam ID:PF00011 ${ }^{27}$ ). This was used to search for HSP20 proteins in Welwitschia and other representative seed plants using PfamScan ${ }^{18}$ (version 1.6, http://pfam.xfam.org/, with default parameters). Those proteins which contained pfam domain PF00011 ${ }^{27}$ were extracted and the multiple sequence alignments were generated using MUSCLE ${ }^{19}$ (version 3.8.31, http://www.drive5.com/muscle, with default parameters). Finally, maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were constructed using FastTree (version 2.1.11, http://www.microbesonline.org/fasttree/treecmp.html, with default parameters). The HSP proteins in Oryza saiva were used to further classify HSP20 members into 12 subgroups ${ }^{27}$ (C I, C II, C III, C IV, C V, C VI, C VII, P, Po, M I, M II, ER) (Supplementary Fig. 13)

## Supplementary Note 8. ABA synthesis in Welwitschia and its preferential upregulation in the meristem and young leaf sections in response to drought stress.


#### Abstract

Abscisic acid (ABA) has been implicated as a key component in response to drought and other environmental stress ${ }^{28}$. In combined analyses of the methylome and transcriptome, we observed that genes in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway were differentially expressed between the basal meristem and leaf, whilst displayed differential methylation (Supplementary Fig. 14). We therefore retrieved the genes involved in the carotenoid biosynthesis and found that the NCED4 (9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase 4) gene, which is a member of the NCED family, was specifically expanded in Welwitschia giving rise to 16 copies. In contrast, only one or two copies have been observed in other seed plants that have been analysed to date (Supplementary Fig. 14). Moreover, a cluster of copies, assumed to have arisen via tandem duplication, showed significantly increased expression in the basal meristem compared with other tissues examined, suggestive of an important function in this tissue


It is noticeable that we identified one NCED4 gene, W. mirabilis02116 whose promoter was hypomethylated at CHH sites in young leaf tissue, and which also showed elevated expression compared to other tissues. The complete information on the methylation status of W. mirabilis02116 was retrieved and aligned to the reference genome. Fig. 4f shows the dynamic changes in CHH methylation including genome coordinate and gene structure information.


Supplementary Figure 1. Chromosome level assembly maps of two gnetophytes. a Assembly of 21 chromosomes of Welwitschia (optical and HiC), and; b of 22 chromosomes of Gnetum (HiC). The light blue circles represent gene densities, purple circles represent the distribution of tandem repetitive sequences (TR) along the chromosomes, yellow circles represent the distribution of Long Terminal Repeat retrotransposons (LTRs) along the chromosomes. c, d Contact maps for chromosomes of Welwitschia and Gnetum respectively.


| Type |  | number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RNA-seq | single sample | 14 |
|  | mutiple samples | 57 |
| Orthology | single species | 17 |
|  | mutiple species | 254 |
| De novo | single model | 352 |
|  | mutiple models | 2,588 |
| Orthology+RNA-seq |  | 647 |
| De novo+RNA-seq |  | 1,383 |
| De novo+Orthology |  | 5,677 |
| De novo+Orthology+RNA-seq |  | 16,001 |
| Total |  | 26,990 |



Supplementary Figure 2. Annotation and assembly quality assessment. a Venn diagram and $\mathbf{b}$ detailed breakdown showing number of genes identified by de novo assembly, RNA-seq, and by orthology with existing protein sequences. A total of 24,050 ( $89.11 \%$ ) of all 26,990 genes identified were supported by evidence from orthology or RNA-seq. c BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) analysis of gnetophytes and the available representative gymnosperm genomes.
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Supplementary Figure 3. Distributions of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site $\left(K_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ in Welwitschia and Gnetum for the whole paranome (top row), anchor pairs in collinear regions (middle row), and anchor pairs in syntenic regions (bottom row). Please note that the Gnetum genome has few collinear and syntenic regions.


Supplementary Figure 4. Absolute age of the Welwitschia WGD event. Absolute age distribution obtained by phylogenomic dating of Welwitschia paralogs. The solid black line represents the kernel density estimates (KDE) of the dated paralogs, and the vertical dashed black lines represents its peak (mode) at 86 mya, which was used as the consensus WGD age estimate. The grey lines represent density estimates from 2,500 bootstrap replicates and the vertical black dotted lines represent the $90 \%$ confidence intervals for the WGD age estimate, giving a range of $78-96$ mya. The histogram shows the raw distribution of dated paralogs.


Supplementary Figure 5. The variation in biogenesis of small RNAs between tissues and distribution of 21 and 24 siRNA reads across genomic regions. a Small RNAs of 21 nt and 24 nt are especially abundant in meristematic tissues (the central region of the basal meristem (CM), the peripheral part of the basal meristem (PM) compared with leaf (L) and cone material). b The abundance of 21 and 24 small RNAs across different tissues and genomic regions (promoter, 5' untranslated region (utr5), exon, intron, 3' untranslated region (utr3) intergenic, long terminal repeat (LTR) and simple tandem repeat (SR)) from a glasshouse grown individual in Fairy Lake Botanic Garden (left) and wild-collected material from Namibia (right). Both the reads of 21 and 24 siRNAs were mainly mapped to the intergenic region, LTRs and tandem repeats (TR).


Supplementary Figure 6. The variation in GC content for selected plant genomes and DNA methylation patterns across genomic regions in Welwitschia. a For each case, the GC values were calculated in 500 kb windows with the number of separate window samples shown above each box plot (boxplot shows median value $\pm$ SD and whiskers represent maximum and minimum values). Boxplots of GC content across different genomic regions in Amborella $(6,979$ windows for genome-wide; 26,846 for genes; 31,977 for intergenic region; 82,937 for introns; 26,846 for CDS), Gnetum (129,709 windows for genome-wide; 27,392 for genes; 150,963 for intergenic region; 90,595 for introns; 27,419 for CDS), Welwitschia (13,744 windows for genome-wide; 27,010 for genes; 31,813 for intergenic region; 101,585 for introns; 26,990 for CDS) and Ginkgo (6,473,469 windows for genome-wide; 41,925 for genes; 6,500,395 for intergenic region; 136,090 for introns; 41,136 for CDS). b The GC content in genic and intergenic regions of Gnetum (Gmo) and Welwitschia (Wmi), including regions colinear between genomes show that the low levels of GC in intergenic regions of Welwitschia (Wmi.genome) are also GC poor in the regions in Welwitschia that are colinear with Gnetum (Wmi-colinear). Upstream, gene body and downstream regions around genes were divided into 100 proportionally sized bins. TSS - transcription start site; TES, transcription end site. c The methylation levels (left Y axis)) in three genomic contexts (CG, CHG, CHH) and GC content (right Y axis) are shown across coding genes (upper) and TE body (the protein coding regions) in Welwitschia (lower). In each case ' -10 kb ' indicates the upstream $10,000 \mathrm{bp}$ and ' 10 kb ' indicates the downstream $10,000 \mathrm{bp}$ of the gene (TSS and TES respectively) or the start and end of the TE body divided into 82 proportionally sized bins. d Comparison of GC content between Welwitschia and Gnetum for all TEs, all LTR-RTs and intact LTR-RTs shows reduced GC level in incomplete LTR-RTs of Welwitschia compared with Gnetum. The number of elements analysed is shown above each box plot which gives median values (horizontal line) $+/-$ SD, whiskers representing maximum and minimum values. Source data underling Supplementary Figure 6 a and 6 d are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 7. Variation in expression patterns of specific genes among different tissue types. a The co-expression of ARP3 and ARP4 with KNOX1-1 and KNOX1-2 genes was observed in the basal meristem (MM1-3). b Twenty genes belonging to pathways functionally associated with DNA repair show differential expression between basal meristem compared with young (MY) and old (MO) leaf tissue. In general, these genes are upregulated in basal meristem tissues. c The continued expression pattern of $C l p P$ genes is observed in young and old leaf sections of Welwitschia.


Supplementary Figure 8. Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment for gene expression in basal meristems, young and old leaf sections. Heatmap showing differential gene expression patterns among basal meristems, young and old leaf sections. The number of genes in each category is indicated in brackets.


Supplementary Figure 9. Box plots showing the variation of absolute castasterone content between basal meristematic and young leaf (MY) tissue). $\mathrm{n}=3$ biologically independent samples for each group (boxplot shows median value $+/-$ SD and whiskers represent maximum and minimum values), Fisher's exact test (two-sided analysis) was made for comparison, $P=0.04$.


Supplementary Figure 10. Specific expansion of gene families and functional domains in Welwitschia compared with other land plants. a The heatmap shows categorized orthogroups which have significantly increased numbers in Welwitschia compared with other land plants analysed. b The pfam domain-based comparison highlights the probable number of functional genes in plants surveyed here.
a

## R2R3-MYB
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Supplementary Figure 11. Phylogenetic tree of R2R3-MYB genes using sequences from Ginkgo, Welwitschia, Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Liriodendron chinense and Solanum lycopersicum. a Subfamily VIII represents the most expanded clade in the R2R3-MYB family. The subgroups closely related to AtMYB11 are highlighted in different colors. b Welwitschia showed specific expansion in subgroup 3 with gene expression differing between tissue types. MM1/2 - the basal meristem of a male individual, MY1/2/3-a young leaf from a male individual (the newly emergent region), MO1/2/3 - old section of leaf of male individuals. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 12. Phylogenetic tree of the SAUR gene family. The tree was divided into 13 subfamilies according to the tree topology. Extensive expansion of genes was found in SAUR 17 and SAUR43,58 clades. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 13. Phylogenetic tree of HSP20 proteins identified in representative seed plants. a The analysis included three gymnosperms (Gnetum montanum, Welwitschia, Ginkgo), six angiosperms (Amborella trichopoda, Liriodendron chinense, Solanum lycopersicum, Arabidopsis thaliana, Zea mays, Oryza sativa). An unrooted neighbourjoining tree of 450 HSP20 proteins with clades representing distinctive subgroups of HSP20 proteins shown in different colours based on previous studies in $O$. sativa ${ }^{58}$. b The gene members of subgroup C VI are expanded in Welwitschia via tandem duplication. c Most of the paralogues of subgroup C VI in Welwitschia are highly expressed in the basal meristem. MM1/2 - the basal meristem of a male individual, MY1/2/3 - a young leaf from a male individual (the newly emergent region), MO1/2/3 - old section of leaf of male individuals, Root - male greenhouse grown individual. Source data underlying Figure 13a are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 14. ABA synthesis pathway in Welwitschia and enhanced activity of key genes in meristematic tissue and young leaf sections. a. Upward- and downwardpointing arrows indicate upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively (left). The different colours of the arrows represent different tissue comparisons. The copy numbers of NCED genes identified in Welwitschia are given, as are the number of genes with differential
expression. The concentration of ABA showed significant variation between tissues (right, Supplementary Data 7 ). $\mathrm{n}=5$ biologically independent samples for each group, mean $\pm$ SD; oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA): $\mathrm{F}=752.8, \mathrm{P}=2.44 \times 10-13$; Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test: ${ }^{* * *}, P<0.001$. MM - the basal meristem of a male individual, MY - young leaf from a male individual (the newly emergent region), MO - old section of leaf of male individuals. b A neighbour joining (NJ) tree showing well-categorized members of carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase gene family sequences from Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa and Welwitschia. Several clades are highlighted by branch colour. In the NCED4 clade, one gene family member from Welwitschia was hypomethylated at CHH sites in the promoter regions in young leaf compared to basal meristem tissue. c The varied expression level of NCED among three tissues. A clade of NCED genes expanded specifically in Welwitschia via tandem duplication were upregulated in the basal meristem. Source data underlying Supplementary Figure 14b are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 15. An aged individual protected by the local government and the stone tablet (lower right corner) highlights its probable longevity of around 1,500 years. Welwitschia occurs on a diverse range of soils and rocky areas, including coarse alluvial sand in small riverbeds. The huge wide leaves split into strips that then grow at different rates and in different directions from the basal meristems.


Supplementary Figure 16. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scan of Welwitschia. a Schematic image and some of the salient features of Welwitschia of a young plant showing the insertion of leaf and strobilus into the stem. The position where the strobilus forms is also indicated on the figure as ' 2 . Strobilus'. b A young individual showing the two leaves growing from the 'basal meristem' (left). The corresponding MRI scan (right) shows the different density of the tissues. The stem, meristematic tissue and root can be easily identified, as can the scar body locate in the middle of the shoot apex ( $1=$ dead apex, $2=$ strobilus, $3=$ terminal groove, $4=$ basal meristem, $5=$ leaf, $6=$ stem). c Aerial view of Welwitschia, highlighting the leaves and scar body (left), while the corresponding scan (right) shows boundaries between the two leaves, meristematic tissue, scar body and the terminal groove. d The female cones are produced from the edge of the concave crown, see position ' 2 Strobilus' in (a). e The male cones are produced in the same way as the female cones. $\mathbf{f}$ Welwitschia's seeds have lateral wings formed by the fusion of a pair of bracts and are probably dispersed by wind or water.



## Supplementary Figure 17. Dot plot of inter-genomic comparison between Gnetum and Welwitschia and intra-genomic comparison of Welwitschia. Only collinear segments

 (genomic blocks with homologous genes in the same order; $K_{\mathrm{S}}$ values shown in a color scale) and syntenic segments (genomic blocks with homologous genes but not in the same order; $K_{\mathrm{S}}$ values shown in a grey scale) with at least four anchor pairs are shown. The red bars below the dot plot illustrate the duplication depths (the number of connected collinear and syntenic segments overlapping at each position). Many of these collinear and syntenic regions in the Welwitschia genome are covered by their paralogous segments once, while a few of them may have up to three paralogous segments in the genome, indicating the possibility of a more ancient large-scale duplication event ${ }^{29-31}$ (upper). Likewise, the collinear segments between Gnetum and Welwitschia were displayed (lower)

## Supplementary Figure 18. Distributions of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ of one-to-one orthologs identified between Ginkgo and three gnetophyte species and between Ephedra and the other two

 gnetophyte species. In each plot, the kernel density estimation (KDE) of an orthologous $K_{\mathrm{s}}$ distribution between Welwitschia and Ginkgo (green) or Ephedra (red) is compared with the KDE of the orthologous $K_{\mathrm{S}}$ distribution between the other two gnetophyte species with Ginkgo or Ephedra (dark grey line), respectively.

Supplementary Figure 19. Absolute age of the Welwitschia WGD event. Absolute age distribution obtained by phylogenomic dating of Welwitschia paralogs. The solid black line represents the kernel density estimates (KDE) of the dated paralogs, and the vertical dashed black lines represents its peak (mode) at 115 mya, which was used as the consensus WGD age estimate. The grey lines represent density estimates from 2,500 bootstrap replicates and the vertical black dotted lines represent the corresponding $90 \%$ confidence interval for the WGD age estimate, $111-$ 122 Ma . The histogram shows the raw distribution of dated paralogs.


Supplementary Figure 20. Pathway enrichment analysis of genes identified to be both differentially methylated and differentially expressed in male basal meristems (MM) and young male leaves (MY). A 195 genes identified that are both differentially methylated and differentially expressed. Mostly hypermethylation in the gene body occurred in MM. b The enriched pathways correspond to the genes showing elevated expression level in MY.


Supplementary Figure 21. Global average DNA methylation ratios of CG, CHG and CHH in different replicates of leaf and meristematic tissue from male and female individuals. The CG and CHG methylation ratios are both high and stable in all samples (close to $80 \%$ of all cytosines). CHH methylation ratio in leaves showed little variation ( $\sim 25 \%$ of all cytosines) between individuals. In contrast, there was considerable variation between tissue types, sexes, and wild-collected and greenhouse-grown individuals.


Supplementary Figure 22. Monthly measurement of the leaf growth. The red triangles (upper image) show scratch marks used to estimate the growth rate of Welwitschia's leaf. The internal length of leaf sections sampled were measured to predict the ages of the leaves (lower image).

Supplementary Table 1. Statistics of W. mirabilis and G. montanum genome assemblies.

|  |  | W.mirabilis | G.montanum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contig Assembly | Total Number of Contigs | 15,330 | 483,454 |
|  | Assembly size (bp) | 6,865,481,713 | 3,868,026,537 |
|  | N50 (bp) | 1,481,633 | 24,977 |
|  | N90 (bp) | 287,630 | 3,785 |
|  | Largest Contig (bp) | 12,794,740 | 381,306 |
| Scaffold Assembly | Total Number of Scaffolds | 7,156 | 122,369 |
|  | Assembly size (bp) | 6,866,294,267 | 4,132,221,696 |
|  | N50 (bp) | 295,495,320 | 156,939,799 |
|  | N90 (bp) | 188,211,703 | 284,780 |
|  | Largest Scaffold (bp) | 551,969,684 | 228,294,350 |
|  | Chromosome size (bp) | 6,430,884,056 | 3,573,235,772 |
|  | Chromosome mount rate (\%) | 93.65 | 86.47 |
| Assessment | GC content (\%) | 29.07 | 37.25 |
|  | Repeat density (\%) | 86.85 | 85.93 |
|  | Mapped reads (\%) | 99.00 | 99.83 |
|  | Polymorphism rate (\%) | 0.59 | 0.76 |
|  | BUSCO (\%)* | 83.47 | 84.60 |
| Annotation | Number of protein-coding genes | 26,990 | 27,354 |
|  | \% of genes with InterPro domain | 70.06 | 72.12 |
|  | \% of genes with GO terms | 48.24 | 52.60 |
|  | Mean gene length (bp) | 25,949.11 | 7,386.40 |
|  | Mean exon length (bp) | 216.36 | 231.36 |
|  | Mean intron length (bp) | 6,620.19 | 1,784.31 |

[^0]
## Supplementary Table 2. Data sources used in this study.

| Group | Species | Abbreviation | Database | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bryophyta | Physcomitrella patens | Ppa | Ensembl | ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.o rg/pub/release-25/plants/ |
| Pteridophyta | Salvinia cucullata | Sc | Fernbase | ftp://ftp.fernbase.org/ |
|  | Azolla filiculoides | Afi | Fernbase | ftp://ftp.fernbase.org/ |
|  | Ceratopteris gametophytes(RNA) | Cga | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Lygodium japonicum (RNA) | Lja | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Pteridium aquilinum (RNA) | Paq | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| Gnetales | Gnetum montanum | Gmo |  | https://datadryad.org/stash/ dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad. 0 |
|  |  |  | Dryad | vm37 |
|  | Gnetum montanum (RNA) | Gne | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Welwitschia mirabilis(RNA) | Wel | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Ephedra equisetina | Eeq |  | https://datadryad.org/stash/ dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad. 0 |
|  |  |  | Dryad | vm37 |
|  | Ephedra equisetina (RNA) | Eph | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| Cycadales + <br> Ginkgoaceae | Ginkgo biloba | Gbi | GigaDB | http://gigadb.org/dataset/10 $0209$ |
|  | Ginkgo_biloba (RNA) | Gin | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Cyca revoluta (RNA) | Cre | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Zamia furfuracea (RNA) | Zma | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| Pinaceae | Picea abies | Pab | ConGenIE | http://congenie.org/ |
|  | Picea abies (RNA) | Pic | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Pinus taeda | Pta | ConGenIE | http://congenie.org/ |
|  | Pinus taeda (RNA) | Pit | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Abies firma (RNA) | Abi | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| Conifer II | Araucaria cunninghamii (RNA) | Ara | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |


|  | Cephalotaxus sinensis (RNA) | Cep | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metasequoia glyptostroboides (RNA) | Met | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Podocarpus macrophyllus (RNA) | Pod | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Sciadopitys verticillata (RNA) | Sci | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
|  | Taxus chinensis (RNA) | Tax | Dryad | https://doi.org/10.5061/drya d.f7f57 |
| Basal angiosperms | Amborella trichopoda | Atr | Ensembl | ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.o rg/pub/plants/release25/plants/ |
|  | Liriodendron <br> chinense | Lch | NCBI | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_003013 855.2 |
| Eudicots | Arabidopsis thaliana | Ath | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
|  | Solanum <br> lycopersicum | Sly | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
|  | Populus trichocarpa | Ptr | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
|  | Vitis vinifera | Vvi | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
| Monocots | Ananas comosus | Aco | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
|  | Oryza sativa | Osa | MSU | http://rice.plantbiology.msu .edu/ |
|  | Zea_mays | Zma | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |
|  | Musa acuminata | Mac | JGI | https://phytozome.jgi.doe.g ov/pz/portal.html |

Supplementary Table 3. Repetitive sequences distribution along the chromosomes of Welwitschia and Gnetum.

| Species | Chromosome | Length(bp) | Tandem repeat |  | LTR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Repeat size (bp) |  | Repeat size(bp) | $\%$ of <br> chromo some |
| Welwitschia | Chr01 | 551,969,684 | 143,013,865 | 25.91 | 309,159,878 | 56.01 |
|  | Chr02 | 534,277,133 | 139,612,528 | 26.13 | 290,406,672 | 54.36 |
|  | Chr03 | 440,121,154 | 115,266,287 | 26.19 | 247,455,422 | 56.22 |
|  | Chr04 | 398,342,641 | 103,702,704 | 26.03 | 220,405,456 | 55.33 |
|  | Chr05 | 384,200,962 | 97,464,471 | 25.37 | 208,839,019 | 54.36 |
|  | Chr06 | 368,624,366 | 95,880,469 | 26.01 | 205,087,801 | 55.64 |
|  | Chr07 | 359,756,438 | 91,441,428 | 25.42 | 194,890,620 | 54.17 |
|  | Chr08 | 323,699,550 | 83,597,687 | 25.83 | 178,602,869 | 55.18 |
|  | Chr09 | 295,495,320 | 78,378,491 | 26.52 | 164,040,964 | 55.51 |
|  | Chr 10 | 275,933,556 | 73,206,828 | 26.53 | 151,864,066 | 55.04 |
|  | Chr 11 | 270,531,590 | 70,221,196 | 25.96 | 150,458,689 | 55.62 |
|  | Chr 12 | 262,840,185 | 72,015,579 | 27.40 | 148,379,919 | 56.45 |
|  | Chr 13 | 262,343,103 | 70,157,593 | 26.74 | 149,304,419 | 56.91 |
|  | Chr 14 | 251,350,775 | 65,493,471 | 26.06 | 139,748,296 | 55.60 |
|  | Chr 15 | 246,406,728 | 64,790,532 | 26.29 | 138,132,022 | 56.06 |
|  | Chr 16 | 231,872,730 | 59,362,700 | 25.60 | 125,898,596 | 54.30 |
|  | Chr 17 | 224,340,954 | 59,227,431 | 26.40 | 123,062,383 | 54.86 |
|  | Chr 18 | 204,201,206 | 53,261,880 | 26.08 | 113,964,444 | 55.81 |
|  | Chr 19 | 202,044,856 | 52,062,439 | 25.77 | 109,235,814 | 54.07 |
|  | Chr 20 | 188,211,703 | 49,557,078 | 26.33 | 102,225,940 | 54.31 |
|  | Chr21 | 154,319,422 | 40,534,531 | 26.27 | 83,132,597 | 53.87 |
|  | ContigUN | 436,123,611 | 91,814,096 | 21.05 | 240,440,600 | 55.13 |
|  | Total | 6,867,007,667 | 1,770,063,284 | 25.78 | 3,794,736,486 | 55.26 |
| Gnetum | Hic_1 | 228,294,350 | 5,330,531 | 2.33 | 143,095,904 | 62.68 |
|  | Hic_2 | 155,796,758 | 3,282,193 | 2.11 | 106,022,801 | 68.05 |
|  | Hic_3 | 151,286,287 | 3,387,788 | 2.24 | 99,482,508 | 65.76 |
|  | Hic_4 | 155,722,635 | 3,470,301 | 2.23 | 101,813,849 | 65.38 |
|  | Hic_5 | 162,095,710 | 3,504,256 | 2.16 | 106,569,647 | 65.74 |
|  | Hic_6 | 151,434,850 | 3,412,730 | 2.25 | 99,057,234 | 65.41 |
|  | Hic_7 | 163,104,109 | 4,216,604 | 2.59 | 109,992,635 | 67.44 |
|  | Hic_8 | 142,617,651 | 3,422,944 | 2.40 | 92,535,359 | 64.88 |
|  | Hic_9 | 134,605,858 | 2,810,012 | 2.09 | 88,139,164 | 65.48 |
|  | Hic_10 | 122,874,075 | 2,642,610 | 2.15 | 76,472,108 | 62.24 |
|  | Hic_11 | 143,781,008 | 3,093,042 | 2.15 | 93,695,437 | 65.17 |
|  | Hic_12 | 209,211,847 | 4,502,073 | 2.15 | 139,630,632 | 66.74 |
|  | Hic_13 | 117,687,481 | 2,741,318 | 2.33 | 77,150,642 | 65.56 |


| Hic_14 | $124,313,964$ | $2,680,793$ | 2.16 | $78,743,325$ | 63.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hic_15 | $184,043,878$ | $3,935,295$ | 2.14 | $120,119,826$ | 65.27 |
| Hic_16 | $200,177,288$ | $4,374,437$ | 2.19 | $135,023,972$ | 67.45 |
| Hic_17 | $188,787,460$ | $4,160,572$ | 2.20 | $123,431,114$ | 65.38 |
| Hic_18 | $180,025,510$ | $3,921,636$ | 2.18 | $119,884,140$ | 66.59 |
| Hic_19 | $163,567,625$ | $3,574,186$ | 2.19 | $107,038,956$ | 65.44 |
| Hic_20 | $172,814,875$ | $4,472,759$ | 2.59 | $114,962,526$ | 66.52 |
| Hic_21 | $164,052,754$ | $3,967,139$ | 2.42 | $110,280,845$ | 67.22 |
| Hic_22 | $156,939,799$ | $3,328,787$ | 2.12 | $100,947,234$ | 64.32 |
| UN | $558,985,924$ | $14,723,051$ | 2.63 | $140,318,383$ | 25.10 |
| Total | $4,132,221,696$ | $94,955,057$ | 2.26 | $2,484,408,241$ | 63.79 |

Supplementary Table 4. Prediction of the repetitive elements in Welwitschia.

| Type |  | Repeat size(bp) | $\%$ of genome |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRF $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $1,770,063,284$ | 25.78 |
| RepeatProteinMask $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  | $1,215,427,956$ | 17.70 |
|  | DNA | $207,531,001$ | 3.02 |
|  | LINE | $300,532,832$ | 4.38 |
| RepeatMasker+Homology+Denovo |  |  |  |
|  | SINE | $2,576,780$ | 0.04 |
|  | LTR | $3,794,736,486$ | 55.26 |
|  | Other | $80,019,750$ | 1.17 |
|  | Unknown | $2,216,786,041$ | 32.28 |
|  |  | $6,052,188,457$ | 88.13 |

a: TRF was used to predict tandem repeats (SR).
b: RepeatProteinMask was used to identify repeats in genome according to homology to identified repeat elements in Repbase.
c : RepeatMasker was firstly used to identify repeats in the genome according results of RepeatScout, LTR-FINDER, Piler-DF and RepeatModeler. Then according to homology to identified repeat elements in Repbase.
d: Total stat of all repetitive elements in Welwitschia mirabilis.

| Supplementary Table 5. The historical activity of major elements of LTRs in <br> Welwitschia. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Time (mya) | Categories of LTR | Copies in Welwitschia |
|  | Ty1-copia | 13,893 |
| $0-5$ | Ty3-gypsy | 9,999 |
|  | Non-autonomous | 10,589 |
|  | Ty1-copia | 2,045 |
| $10-15$ | Ty3-gypsy | 2,690 |
|  | Non-autonomous | 746 |
|  | Ty1-copia | 40,344 |
|  | Ty3-gypsy | 27,672 |
|  | Non-autonomous | 21,134 |

Supplementary Table 6. Identification of the reverse transcriptase genes from complete retrotransposons (containing all expected protein coding domains).

|  | Welwitschia | Gnetum | Ginkgo | Amborella |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ty1-copia | 378 | 115 | 1707 | 24 |
| Ty3-gypsy | 131 | 618 | 2530 | 19 |

Supplementary Table 7. Information of DNA data collection and type of samples in this study.

| Type of <br> samples | Species | Experiment | Information $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Clean <br> data(Gbp) | Sequence <br> depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | delwitschia | Nanopore | Leaf | 758.35 | 108.34 |
|  |  | Hi-C | Leaf | 807.78 | 115.40 |
| DNA |  | 10X | Leaf | 381.46 | 92.81 |
|  | Gnetum | Genomics | Leaf | 936.21 | 133.74 |
|  |  | BioNano | Leaf | 395.44 | 96.21 |
|  |  | Hi-C | Leaf | 587.90 | 143.04 |

a: MM is short for basal meristem from male individual. FM is short for basal meristem from female individual. MY is short for young leaf from male individual. FY is short for young leaf from female individual. CM is short for central region of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse. PM is short for peripheral part of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse.
b: G. montanum's genome size $(4.11 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ and $W$. mirabilis's genome size $(7 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ were estimated from k-mer analysis.

Supplementary Table 8. Information of RNA sequencing data collection and type of samples in this study.

| Type of samples | Experiment | Information ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Clean data (Gbp) | Sequence depth ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mapping rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Root | 7.02 | 1.00 | 72.00 |
|  |  | Leaf | 7.56 | 1.08 | 77.40 |
|  |  | Male cone | 6.55 | 0.94 | 78.20 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from male individual (MM1) | 7.24 | 1.03 | 75.50 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from male individual (MM2) | 8.12 | 1.16 | 76.20 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from male individual (MM3) | 7.28 | 1.04 | 76.60 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual (FM1) | 6.72 | 0.96 | 75.30 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual (FM2) | 6.73 | 0.96 | 75.60 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual (FM3) | 7.72 | 1.10 | 75.10 |
|  |  | Young leaf from male individual (MY1) | 6.58 | 0.94 | 76.40 |
|  |  | Young leaf from male individual (MY2) | 7.28 | 1.04 | 76.00 |
| RNA | Illumina | Young leaf from male individual (MY3) | 7.23 | 1.03 | 76.40 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual (FY1) | 7.21 | 1.03 | 76.80 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual (FY2) | 6.42 | 0.92 | 76.50 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual (FY3) | 6.40 | 0.91 | 76.50 |
|  |  | Older leaf from male individual (MO1) | 9.09 | 1.30 | 76.30 |
|  |  | Older leaf from male individual (MO2) | 9.08 | 1.30 | 76.40 |
|  |  | Older leaf from male individual (MO3) | 10.02 | 1.43 | 76.30 |
|  |  | Older leaf from female individual (FO1) | 7.63 | 1.09 | 76.60 |
|  |  | Older leaf from female individual (FO2) | 7.83 | 1.12 | 76.40 |
|  |  | Older leaf from female individual (FO3) | 8.94 | 1.28 | 76.00 |


| Central region of the basal |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meristem from male individual in <br> greenhouse (CM) | 5.56 | 0.79 | 71.50 |
| Peripheral part of the basal <br> meristem from male individual in <br> greenhouse (PM) | 5.84 | 0.83 | 71.10 |
| Leaf from male individual in <br> greenhouse (L) | 4.08 | 0.58 | 78.20 |

a: MM is short for basal meristem from male individual. FM is short for basal meristem from female individual. MY is short for young leaf from male individual. FY is short for young leaf from female individual. CM is short for central region of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse. PM is short for peripheral part of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse.
b : G.montanum's genome size $(4.11 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ and W. mirabilis's genome size $(7 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ were estimated from k-mer analysis.

Supplementary Table 9. Information of methylome data collection and type of samples in this study.

| Type of samples | Experiment | Information ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Clean data (Gbp) | Sequence depth ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mapping rate (\%) | Coverage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bisulfite sequencing | Illumina | Basal meristem from male individual in wild (MM1) | 100.96 | 14.42 | 73.28 | 75.00 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from male individual in wild (MM2) | 100.12 | 14.30 | 72.98 | 74.89 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from male individual in wild (MM3) | 94.20 | 13.46 | 72.87 | 74.18 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual in wild (FM1) | 103.47 | 14.78 | 72.89 | 75.32 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual in wild (FM2) | 102.25 | 14.61 | 72.87 | 75.35 |
|  |  | Basal meristem from female individual in wild (FM3) | 89.26 | 12.75 | 73.38 | 74.19 |
|  |  | Young leaf from male individual in wild (MY1) | 100.49 | 14.36 | 72.54 | 74.59 |
|  |  | Young leaf from male individual in wild (MY2) | 105.08 | 15.01 | 72.30 | 74.14 |
|  |  | Young leaf from male individual in wild (MY3) | 103.54 | 14.79 | 73.02 | 75.19 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual in wild (FY1) | 102.90 | 14.70 | 72.20 | 75.05 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual in wild (FY2) | 97.58 | 13.94 | 71.73 | 74.04 |
|  |  | Young leaf from female individual in wild (FY3) | 100.56 | 14.37 | 72.33 | 75.25 |
|  |  | Central region of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse (CM) | 118.66 | 16.95 | 70.71 | 76.40 |
|  |  | Peripheral part of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse (PM) | 110.06 | 15.72 | 70.49 | 75.95 |
|  |  | Leaf from male individual in greenhouse (L) | 98.82 | 14.12 | 69.70 | 74.32 |

a: MM is short for basal meristem from male individual. FM is short for basal meristem from female individual. MY is short for young leaf from male individual. FY is short for young leaf
from female individual. CM is short for central region of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse. PM is short for peripheral part of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse.
b: G.montanum's genome size $(4.11 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ and W. mirabilis's genome size $(7 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ were estimated from k-mer analysis.

Supplementary Table 10. The significant variation on methylation of CHH context and location in TE region.

|  | Groups $^{\text {a }}$ | In whole genome |  | In TEs region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent <br> $(\%)$ | Number | Percent (\%) |
|  | Promoter | 1,402 | 0.31 | 1,022 | 72.90 |
|  | UTR5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Exon | 562 | 0.12 | 347 | 61.74 |
|  | Intron | 11,180 | 2.44 | 9,863 | 88.22 |
|  | UTR3 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Intergenic | 445,401 | 97.13 | 396,781 | 89.08 |
| FM-FY | Promoter | 56 | 0.67 | 45 | 80.36 |
|  | UTR5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Exon | 41 | 0.49 | 31 | 75.61 |
|  | Intron | 424 | 5.06 | 384 | 90.57 |
|  | UTR3 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Intergenic | 7,854 | 93.78 | 7,063 | 89.93 |
| MM-FM | Promoter | 126 | 4.12 | 75 | 59.52 |
|  | UTR5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Exon | 107 | 3.05 | 57 | 53.27 |
|  | Intron | 205 | 6.71 | 110 | 53.66 |
|  | UTR3 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Intergenic | 2,617 | 85.66 | 1,448 | 55.33 |
|  | Promoter | 5 | 3.03 | 2 | 40.00 |
|  | UTR5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Exon | 11 | 6.67 | 2 | 18.18 |
| MY-FY | Intron | 7 | 4.27 | 5 | 71.43 |
|  | UTR3 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Intergenic | 142 | 86.06 | 78 | 54.93 |

a: $\mathrm{MM}=$ basal meristem from male individual. $\mathrm{FM}=$ basal meristem from female individual.
MY = young leaf from male individual. $\mathrm{FY}=$ young leaf from female individual.

## Supplementary Table 11. The numbers of DMRs in all three methylation contexts (CHH,

 CG and CHG) in different tissues, individuals and different genomic regions.| Context | Group | Type | Promoter | utr5 | Exon | Intron | utr3 | Intergenic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHH | PM_L | hyper | 2270 | 0 | 595 | 17237 | 0 | 648522 |
|  | PM_L | hypo | 36 | 0 | 7 | 192 | 0 | 6449 |
|  | MM__MY | hyper | 1402 | 0 | 562 | 11180 | 0 | 445400 |
|  | MM__MY | hypo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CHG | PM_L | hyper | 124 | 0 | 313 | 2739 | 0 | 2703 |
|  | PM_L | hypo | 308 | 0 | 207 | 678 | 0 | 1615 |
|  | MM__MY | hyper | 18 | 0 | 37 | 198 | 0 | 2102 |
|  | MM__MY | hypo | 173 | 0 | 50 | 231 | 0 | 1172 |
| CG | PM_L | hyper | 88 | 0 | 182 | 206 | 0 | 761 |
|  | PM_L | hypo | 264 | 0 | 233 | 250 | 0 | 974 |
|  | MM__MY | hyper | 3 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 60 |
|  | MM__MY | u | 158 | 0 | 39 | 84 | 0 | 735 |

PM_L = peripheral part of basal meristem compared with mature leaf; MM_MY = male meristem compared with male young leaf. 'hypo' and 'hyper' refer to the level of methylation in the first tissue of the pair compared with the second.

Supplementary Table 12. Information of small RNA data collection and type of samples in this study.

| Type of <br> samples | Experiment | Information $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Clean data <br> $(\mathrm{Gbp})$ | Sequence <br> depth $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cone of female individual <br> Cone of male individual | 0.47 | 0.07 |
| smRNA- |  |  |  |  |
| seq | Illumina | Leaf of male individual <br> Central region of the basal meristem from <br> male individual in greenhouse (CM) | 0.51 | 0.07 |
|  |  | Peripheral part of the basal meristem from <br> male individual in greenhouse (PM) | 0.56 | 0.08 |
|  |  | Leaf from male individual in greenhouse (L) | 0.59 | 0.08 |

a: MM is short for basal meristem from male individual. FM is short for basal meristem from female individual. MY is short for young leaf from male individual. FY is short for young leaf from female individual. CM is short for central region of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse. PM is short for peripheral part of the basal meristem from male individual in greenhouse.
b : G.montanum's genome size $(4.11 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ and W. mirabilis's genome size $(7 \mathrm{~Gb} / 1 \mathrm{C})$ were estimated from k-mer analysis.

Supplementary Table 13. Statistics of TEs in intergenic region and introns.

|  | Length (bp) | TEs length (bp) | Percent (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergenic region | $6,166,745,993$ | $5,240,320,179$ | 84.98 |
| Introns | $672,574,202$ | $466,045,064$ | 69.29 |
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