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## Abstract

The study of Azumaya algebras over schemes has had a comparatively formidable reputation in algebraic geometry over the past decades. In this thesis, we conduct a study on Azumaya algebras with involution and on involutions on sheaves of endomorphisms of $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules over a scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. To this end, given a commutative ring $R$, our focus derives from the classical study of properties and characterizations of Azumaya $R$-algebras with $R$-progenerator modules at the core of this investigation. As a consequence, we note that, given an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$, the Azumaya $R$-algebra Brauer equivalent to it is of the form $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)^{0}$ where $P$ is both an $A$-module and an $R$-progenerator or $P$ is a free left $A$-Azumaya algebra of finite rank such that $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is a simple left $R$-module. Then, we introduce and show the existence of the concept of an Azumaya quadratic pair ( $A, \sigma, f$ ) over a commutative ring or PID where $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra over a commutative ring $R$, endowed with an involution $\sigma$ of the first kind, and where $f: \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R$ is a linear map of $R$-modules, subject to the following condition;

$$
f(x+\sigma(x))=\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(x),
$$

for all $x \in A$, with $\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(x)$, the reduced trace of $x$ and $\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)$, the set of all symmetric elements on $A$ relative to the involution $\sigma$. Finally, the main contribution of this thesis constitutes obtaining a generalization of the results of Knus-Parimala-Srinivas on trivial Azumaya algebras with involution in the context of $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-algebras over a scheme $X$ via a Morita equivalence on their respective category of sheaves. To begin, we show that for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $\mathscr{F}$ whose affine restriction to an open covering of $\mathscr{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \subset X$ is associated with some faithful finitely generated projective $R_{i}$-algebra $A_{i}$, then $\mathscr{F}$ admits a unique standard involution $\widetilde{\sigma}$, which commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\mathscr{F}$ if $\sigma_{i}$ is an anti-automorphism of $A_{i}$ such that $x \sigma_{i}(x) \in A_{i}$ for all $x \in A_{i}$. Subsequently, given a locally finitely presented $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ on an affine scheme $X$, and an involution of the first kind $\sigma$ on the sheaf of endomorphisms $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$, there exist an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{L}$ and isomorphisms $\varphi: \mathscr{E} \otimes_{\Theta_{X}} \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ and $\Phi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$ such that, locally, $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}=\Phi \circ m$, where $m$ is the natural isomorphism $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ on any open $U$ in $X$. Under the conditions that $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is a locally ringed space, $\mathscr{E}$ a locally finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module, and $\sigma$ an involution of the first kind on $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$, for any $x \in X$, there is $u \in \mathscr{L}_{x}$ such that $\sigma_{x}(f)=u^{-1} \circ f^{*} \circ u$, for any $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{O}_{X, x}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)$. Moreover, given a local gauge $V$ of $\mathscr{L}$ at $x$, there is a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}_{X}(V)$ such that $\varepsilon_{x} u(p)(q)=u(p)(q)$, for all $p, q \in \mathscr{E}_{x}$.

The summary of this thesis is as follows :
In Chapter 1, we review various concepts and results on categories and functors, localizations of rings and of modules as well as concepts, constructions and characterizations on classical Azumaya $R$-algebras.

In Chapter 2, we start off by looking at modules and their properties over Azumaya algebras. Then, we consider the Brauer group and Brauer equivalence over Azumaya $R$-algebras. The statements in Lemma 2.1.1.3, Lemma 2.1.1.5 and Corollary 2.1.1.4 give an extension of [KMRT98, Proposition 1.10] in the context of Azumaya $R$-algebras. Particularly, we observe that $\operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$ is $R$-Azumaya from the transitivity of the property of being an $R$-progenerator or provided $\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$ is a simple $R$-module and $A \otimes \operatorname{End}_{A}(M) \cong \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$. Further, it is shown that any Azumaya $R$-algebra Brauer equivalent to the Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ is of the form $\operatorname{End}_{A}(M)^{\circ}$. For the remainder of this chapter, we consider hermitian forms and involutions on Azumaya $R$-algebras as well as introduce Azumaya quadratic pairs as a generalized case of [KMRT98, §5]. An Azumaya quadratic pair on an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ is a triple $(A, \sigma, f)$ where $\sigma$ is the adjoint involution corresponding to a non-singular bilinear form on $A$ and $f: \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R$ is a linear map of $R$-modules. Lastly, in Theorem 2.3.0.8, it is indicated that given a non-singular quadratic $R$-module $(M, q)$ of finite rank $2 n$, there is a unique linear map $f_{q} \equiv f$ such that $\left(\sigma_{q}, f_{q}\right)$ is a quadratic pair on $\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$ where

$$
\varphi_{q}: M \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)
$$

is an isomorphism and $\sigma_{q}$ is the adjoint involution corresponding to a non-singular bilinear form $b_{q}$ of $q$ uniquely determined up to a unit in $R$.

In Chapter 3, attention is drawn to sheaves of modules and of algebras over schemes. Initially, we extend the context and generality of involutions on Azumaya algebras to involutions on sheaves of Azumaya algebras over schemes. Notably, we obtain a generalization of the extended result in [KMRT98, Theorem, Chapter 1, p.1] to classical Azumaya $R$-algebras in the contexts of Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras over a scheme $X$. Theorem 2.2.1.6 and the proposition in [Bos13, Proposition 2, p.258] act as a precursor to obtaining the bijective correspondence between adjoint anti-automorphisms $\sigma$ on $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$ and adjoint anti-automorphisms $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on associated sheaves of the form $\widehat{\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)}$. Additionally, we remark that, for a ring $R$, the scheme $X=\operatorname{Sec}(R)$ and $A$ an $R$-algebra, $\widetilde{A}$ has a standard involution if and only if the involution on $A$ is standard. Particularly, for an $R$-algebra that is faithful finitely generated and projective as an $R$-module, a standard involution on the $R$-algebra $A$ induces a unique standard involution on $\widetilde{A}$ that will commute with all anti-automorphisms and automorphisms of the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $\widetilde{A}$. Besides, for a locally projective quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ of constant rank 2 on a scheme $\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$, that is, the $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ is associated with a projective $R$-module of constant rank
$2, \mathscr{E}$ turns out to be a commutative $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra, endowed with a unique standard involution.
In Chapter 4, we put into context the results of Knus-Parimala-Srinivas in [KPS90] on classical trivial Azumaya algebras over a commutative local ring $R$ in the framework of Azumaya algebras over a scheme $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. Indeed, given a locally finitely presented $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ on an affine scheme $X$, and $\sigma$ an involution of the first kind on $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$, there exist an invertible $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{L}$, a sheaf isomorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathscr{E} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{L}$ onto $\mathscr{E}^{*}$, and an isomorphism $\Phi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$ such that, on some appropriate open $U$ in $X, \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}=\Phi \circ m$, where $m$ is the natural isomorphism $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ on $U$, and for any open $V$ in $U, \Phi_{V V}(s)=\varphi_{V}^{-1} s^{*} \varphi_{V}$, for any section $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(V)$. An immediate consequence is that, given a vector sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of finite rank $n$ on a scheme $X, \sigma$ an involution of the first kind $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{C})$, and $\mathscr{L}$ an invertible $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module sheaf such that $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{L}^{*}$ is an isomorphism $\varphi$ with $\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi$, for any $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}(U))$, where $U$ is any open subset of $X$ such that $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}$ and $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}^{n} \simeq\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} \mid U\right)^{n}$, then identifying $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}$ with $\left.\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)^{*} \simeq \mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}$ with the help of some section $u \in \mathscr{L}(U)$, where $\sigma(f)=\sigma(s) \otimes 1=u^{-1} \circ f^{*} \circ u$, for any $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, and identifying $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{*}$ with $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}), \sigma(r \otimes s)=\varepsilon u^{-1}(s) \otimes u(r)$, for $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}_{X}^{\bullet}(U)$, $r \in \mathscr{E}(U)$ and $s \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$.

## Chapter 1

## Preliminary concepts and results

This chapter combines classical results to notions in categories and functors, localizations of rings and of modules, progenerator modules, separable and Azumaya algebras. This chapter is meant to provide the necessary background of the theory and concepts to be employed in later chapters in our investigations. The main prerequisite texts to this chapter are the referenced ones.

### 1.1 Categories and functors

Let $R$ be a ring (not necessarily commutative) and suppose $M$ and $N$ are $R$-modules. We shall denote the set of all $R$-module homomorphisms from $M$ to $N$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$ and the categories of all left and right $R$-modules together with $R$-module homomorphisms respectively by ${ }_{R} \mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$. We shall only consider categories $\Re$ whose objects and classes of morphisms are sets.

Definition 1.1.0.1. A covariant functor from a category $\mathfrak{C}$ to a category $\mathfrak{D}$ is a correspondence $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ which is a function on objects $M \mapsto \mathfrak{F}(M)$ and for any pair of objects $M, N \in \mathfrak{C}$, each $f$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(M, N)$ is mapped to a morphism $\mathfrak{F}(f)$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{F}(M), \mathfrak{F}(N))$ such that the following are satisfied
a. If $1_{\mathfrak{C}}: M \rightarrow M$ is the identity map in $\mathfrak{C}$, then $\mathfrak{F}\left(1_{\mathfrak{C}}\right): \mathfrak{F}(M) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(M)$ is the identity map in $\mathfrak{D}$. That is, $\mathfrak{F}\left(1_{M}\right)=1_{\mathfrak{F}(M)}$.
b. Given a commutative triangle

in $\mathfrak{C}$, the triangle

is commutative in $\mathfrak{D}$. That is, $\mathfrak{F}(g \circ f)=\mathfrak{F}(g) \circ \mathfrak{F}(f)$ for any two composable morphisms $f$ and $g$.
Example 1.1.0.2. For a fixed ring map $R \rightarrow S$, the assignments

$$
M \mapsto M \otimes_{R} S
$$

and

$$
(f: M \rightarrow N) \mapsto\left(f \otimes i d_{S}: M \otimes S \rightarrow N \otimes S\right)
$$

defines a covariant functor

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{R} \rightarrow_{s} \mathfrak{M}
$$

In the case of a contravariant functor, the arrows are reversed.
Definition 1.1.0.3. Given a covariant functor $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. The functor $\mathfrak{F}$ is said to be:
a. Faithful, if for all objects $A$ and $B$ of $\mathfrak{C}$, the map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{F}(A), \mathfrak{F}(B)),
$$

$\beta \mapsto \mathfrak{F}(\beta)$ between Hom-sets is injective.
b. Fully faithful, if the map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{F}(A), \mathfrak{F}(B)),
$$

between the Hom-sets is bijective.
c. Essentially surjective, iffor everyobject $D \in \mathfrak{D}$, there exists an object $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and an isomorphism $\mathfrak{F}(A) \cong D$.
d. Left exact, if for every short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\mathfrak{C}$, the corresponding sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(\alpha)} \mathscr{F}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}(\beta)} \mathscr{F}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

is exact in $\mathfrak{D}$. A functor that is both left and right exact is called exact.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be two parallel covariant functors from a category of modules $\mathfrak{C}$ to another category of modules $\mathfrak{D}$. Functors $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are said to be naturally equivalent if, for every module $M$ in $\mathfrak{C}$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi_{M}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{A}(M), \mathfrak{B}(M))$ such that, for any $N$ in $\mathfrak{C}$ and any morphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M, N)$, the diagram

is commutative.
The two categories $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are equivalent if there is a functor $\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and a functor $\mathfrak{B}: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \circ \mathfrak{B}$ is naturally equivalent to $1_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\mathfrak{B} \circ \mathfrak{A}$ is naturally equivalent to $1_{\mathfrak{C}}$. The functors $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are then referred to as inverse equivalences.

Proposition 1.1.0.4. [Bas68, Proposition 1.1, p.4] Given a covariant functor $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. The functor $\mathfrak{F}$ establishes an equivalence of categories between $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ if and only if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

Example 1.1.0.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $R^{\circ}$ its opposite ring. Any right $R$-module $M$ can be made into a left $R^{\circ}$-module by action $m * r=r m$. This evidently gives a covariant functor and there is an equivalence of categories $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$ and ${R^{\circ}}^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Definition 1.1.0.6. Given any two categories $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ and a functor (Covariant), $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} . A$ functor $\mathfrak{G}: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is right adjoint to $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ left adjoint to $\mathfrak{G}$ if for any objects $X \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$, there is a bijective correspondence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}\left(X,(\mathfrak{G}(Y)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{F}(X), Y),\right.
$$

functorial in both $X$ and $Y$. If $\mathfrak{F}$ is an equavalence of categories, then, any functor $\mathfrak{G}: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{G} \circ \mathfrak{F} \cong 1_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{F} \circ\left(\mathfrak{G} \cong 1_{\mathfrak{D}}\right.$ is right adjoint and left adjoint to $\mathfrak{F}$. [GW10, (A.2), p.542].

Definition 1.1.0.7. An object $Z$ in a category $\mathfrak{C}$ is called final if the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X, Z)$ has exactly one element for all objects $X$ in $\mathfrak{C}$. On the other hand, the object $Z$ is initial in $\mathfrak{C}$ when the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Z, X)$ has exactly one element for all objects $X$.

### 1.2 Localizations of rings and of modules

Localization process is a technique that involves embedding a given ring or module into another ring or module so its properties can be easily studied. Ideally, this procedure reduces the problems on
rings and modules to that involving local rings. The familiar example is the embedding of the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}$ into its field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}$, (see [Bos13, pp.18-19]). Moreover, if an ideal contains a unit, then it is the whole ring; so, if a commutative ring is not local, the process of localization enlarges it to a local ring by adjoining inverses of some of its elements thereby reducing the number of maximal ideals. In essence, for a commutative ring $R$, the object of a localization is to find a larger ring $B$ in which the elements of a given multiplicatively closed subset $\Omega$ of $R$ become invertible. For instance, in this way, denominators are introduced to a ring $R$ forming a new ring $B$ that will consist of equivalence classes of fractions $\left[\frac{r}{w}\right]$ where $r \in R, w \in \Omega$. This section adopts texts largely from [Rot09, Chapter 4, pp.188-203] and [Bas68, pp.104-110].

### 1.2.1 Localization of rings

Recall that a commutative ring $R$ is said to be a local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and the quotient $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is a field called the residue field of $R$.

Definition 1.2.1.1. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. A subset $\Omega \subseteq R$ is multiplicatively closed in $R$ if $1_{R} \in \Omega$ and $\Omega$ is closed under finite products, that is, $w \cdot w_{1} \in \Omega$ for all $w_{1}, w \in \Omega$.

Examples of multiplicatively closed sets include:
a. The set $\Omega=R^{\times}$of units in an integral domain $R$ is multiplicative.
b. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $R$, the set theoretic complement $\Omega=R-\mathfrak{p}$ gives a multiplicatively closed set. Indeed, since $0 \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $0 \notin R-\mathfrak{p}$. For $a, b \in R-\mathfrak{p}$, if $a b \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ isn't prime. So $a b \in R-\mathfrak{p}$.
c. If $w \in R$ is not nilpotent, then the set $\Omega=\left\{w^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ of non-negative powers of $w$ in $R$ is multiplicative.

Definition 1.2.1.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. An $R$-algebra $A$ is a ring together with a homomorphism of rings $\theta: R \rightarrow Z(A)$ defined by $\theta(r)=r \cdot 1_{A}$ mapping $R$ into the centre of $A$.

The structure homomorphism $\theta$ endows $A$ with an $R$-module structure via the action $r \cdot a=\theta(r) a$. As such, an $R$-algebra is a ring with an induced module structure by $\theta$. And an $R$ algebra $A$ is said to be central over $R$ if it has centre $Z(A)=R$ and it is faithful as a $Z(A)$-module.

Construction of a localization; Our approach to localization follows the exposition of M. Artin in [Art91, Chapter 10, Section 6] and J.J Rotman in [Rot09, Chapter 4, §4.7, pp.188-203]. A localization $\Omega^{-1} R$ over a multiplicative set $\Omega$ is constructed up to isomorphism as a unique solution
to the universal mapping problem of embedding a given commutative ring $R$ as a subring into its field or ring of fractions outlined in Definition 1.2.1.3; the field of fractions being the set of equivalence classes of fractions. To start, the existence of a localization is developed together with some basic properties with which we show that the equivalence relations between fractions in the field of fractions occur naturally.

Definition 1.2.1.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\Omega \subseteq R$ a multiplicatively closed subset . Consider ordered pairs $(A, \varphi)$, where $A$ is commutative $R$-algebra and $\varphi: R \rightarrow A$ a linear map such that $\varphi(w)$ is a unit in $A$ for all $w \in \Omega$. An ordered pair $\left(\Omega^{-1} R, f_{\Omega}\right)$ where $f_{\Omega}: R \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} R$ is a ring homomorphism is called a localization or ring of fractions of $R$ with respect to $\Omega$ if it is a solution to the universal mapping problem below

that is, the localization pair $\left(\Omega^{-1} R, f_{\Omega}\right)$ is initial among all pairs $(A, \varphi)$, such that there is a unique $R$-algebra map $\tilde{\varphi}: \Omega^{-1} R \rightarrow A$ satisfying $\varphi=\tilde{\varphi} \circ f_{\Omega}$.

Remark 1.2.1.4. i. By [Rot09, Theorem 4.68, p.190], the localization

$$
\Omega^{-1} R=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{w} \right\rvert\, a \in R, w \in \Omega\right\}
$$

exists and is unique up to a unique isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$. Moreover, every element $u \in \Omega^{-1} R$ has a unique fractional factorisation

$$
u=f_{\Omega}(a) f_{\Omega}(w)^{-1}
$$

Particularly, $\frac{a}{1}=f_{\Omega}(a)$ and $\frac{a}{w}=f_{\Omega}(a) f_{\Omega}(w)^{-1}$ for $a \in R, w \in \Omega$. Indeed, for every $a \in R$, the uniqueness assertion in Definition 1.2.1.3 ensures that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is uniquely defined by $\varphi$, that is,

$$
\varphi(a)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{a}{1}\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{a}{w} \cdot \frac{w}{1}\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{a}{w}\right) \varphi(w),
$$

thereby giving a well defined mapping

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{a}{w}\right)=\varphi(a) \varphi(w)^{-1}
$$

as $\varphi(\Omega) \subseteq(A)^{\times}$.
ii. Given a multiplicatively closed set $\Omega \subseteq R$, there is equality

$$
\frac{a}{w}=\frac{a^{\prime}}{w^{\prime}}
$$

in $\Omega^{-1} R$ if and only if there is an element $w^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
w^{\prime \prime}\left(a w^{\prime}-a^{\prime} w\right)=0
$$

iii. For the localization map $f_{\Omega}$, the kernel is given by

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\Omega}\right)=\left\{a \in R \left\lvert\, \frac{a}{w}=\frac{0}{w^{\prime}}\right.\right\}=\left\{a \in R \mid a w^{\prime}=0, \text { for some } w^{\prime} \in \Omega\right\} .
$$

Indeed, given an element $a \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{\Omega}\right)$, then

$$
f_{\Omega}(a)=f_{\Omega}(a) f_{\Omega}(w) f_{\Omega}(w)^{-1}=0
$$

as $f_{\Omega}(w)$ is a unit. So, $f_{\Omega}(a) f_{\Omega}(w)=0$ in the localization $\Omega^{-1} R$ if $a w=0$. In particular, the map $f_{\Omega}$ is injective only when $w \in \Omega$ is a non zero divisor of $R$. Hence, $\frac{a}{w} \in \operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\varphi})$, only for $\tilde{\varphi}(a) \varphi(w)^{-1}=0$. Finally, $\tilde{\varphi}(a)=0$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \tilde{\varphi}=\Omega^{-1}(\operatorname{ker} \varphi) .
$$

See [Bas68, §4, p.104].
Let us recall that for a commutative ring $R$, the collection of all proper prime ideals of $R$ is the spectrum of $R$ denoted $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

## Example 1.2.1.5.

a. Given a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Taking $\Omega=R-\mathfrak{p}$ as a multiplicative subset of a commutative ring with unity $R$, we denote the localization at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\Omega^{-1} R=R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. With the assumption that $\Omega=R-\mathfrak{p}$, we invert by elements $f \in R$ not in $\mathfrak{p}$.
Suppose $R$ is an integral domain, by definition, $a b=0$ if and only if $a=0$ or $b=0$ for any elements $a, b \in R$. Since the zero ideal satisfies the aforestated property and it is not equal to $R$, it is therefore a prime ideal and we have

$$
R_{\langle 0\rangle}=\Omega^{-1} R=K(R)
$$

where $K(R)$ is the quotient field of $R$.
b. If $\Omega=\{1\}$ is a multiplicatively closed set, then $\Omega^{-1} R \cong R$.

Remark 1.2.1.6. Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, the ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and residue field denoted $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is also the quotient field of the integral domain $R / \mathfrak{p}$ as a localization at the image of $\Omega$. If $R$ is an integral domain with quotient field $K(R)$, then all localizations of $R$ can be viewed as subrings of $K(R)$. In this regard, taking $X=\operatorname{Spm}(R)$, the set of all maximal ideals of $R$, we can recover the ring $R$ from the intersection of localizations at primes $\mathfrak{m}$ ranging over maximal ideals of $R$; that is,

$$
R=\bigcap R_{\mathrm{m}} .
$$

Indeed, the inclusion $R \subseteq \bigcap R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is evident from the canonical injective map $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in Definition 1.2.1.3. The converse is established in [Mat86, (1.G), Lemma 2, p.8] by way of considering an ideal $J=\{r \in R \mid r x \in R\}$ consisting of all possible denominators of $x \in K(R)$ when written as a fraction of elements of $R$ together with 0 . Since $x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at some prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ implies it is a unit, so $J \not \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, if $x \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, then the ideal $J$ contains units. That is, $1 \in J$ and $R=J$.

## Remark 1.2.1.7.

i. [Bos13, Remark 4., p.20] $\Omega^{-1} R \neq\{0\}$ at $\Omega$ if and only if $0 \notin \Omega$. That is, $\Omega$ doesn't contain nilpotent elements. By definition, $f_{\Omega}(a)=0$ in $\Omega^{-1} R$ only when $\frac{a}{1}=\frac{0}{1}$. So, for some $w \in \Omega$, $a w=0$ and $a \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{\Omega}\right)$.
Conversely, the localization $\Omega^{-1} R=0$ if the equality $1_{\Omega^{-1} R}=0$ is satisfied in $\Omega^{-1} R$, i.e. $\frac{1}{1}=\frac{0}{1}$. This occurs only if there exists some element $z \in \Omega$ so that $z \cdot 1=0$, and $0 \in \Omega$.
ii. For a multiplicative set $\Omega \subseteq R$, any ideal $J$ of $R$ can be extended to an ideal $\Omega^{-1} I$ of $\Omega^{-1} R$ generated by $f_{\Omega}(I)$ in the localization for some ideal $I$ of $R$, that is,

$$
J=f_{\Omega}(I)\left[\Omega^{-1} R\right]=\left\{\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} f_{\Omega}\left(w_{i}\right) \mid a_{i} \in \Omega^{-1} R, w_{i} \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

for some ideal $I \subset R$. However, if $\Omega \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then $\Omega^{-1} I=\Omega^{-1} R$ as $I$ contains units. In fact, if $R$ is a domain and $I=J \cap R$, then $J=\Omega^{-1} I$. Therefore, the necessary condition for $J=\Omega^{-1} I$ is that the ideal $I$ of $R$ be the inverse image of $f_{\Omega}(R) \cap J$. So, $I=f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(f_{\Omega}(R) \cap J\right)$. See [Rot09, Corollary 4.74, p.193].
iii. [Rot09, Theorem 4.75, p.194], If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $R$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \Omega=\varnothing$, then

$$
\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{b} \in \Omega^{-1} R \right\rvert\, a \in \mathfrak{p}, b \in \Omega\right\}
$$

is a prime ideal in $\Omega^{-1} R$ generated by $f_{\Omega}(\mathfrak{p})$.
Indeed, if $\frac{a}{b} \notin \Omega^{-1} R$, then $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ or $b \notin \Omega$, so $\frac{b}{a} \in \Omega^{-1} R$ is the inverse of $\frac{a}{b}$. Since $\Omega_{1}=R-\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$
will consist of units of $R$, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$ contains every non-unit of $R$. By [AM94, Corollary 1.5, p.4], $\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$ is maximal and consequently $R / \mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$ is a field. Since every field is an integral domain, so $\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$ is a prime ideal in $\Omega^{-1} R$.

### 1.2.2 Localization of modules

The results, generality and flexibility of localization of rings can be transferred to localization of modules. In the current case, we will obtain a module of fractions denoted $\Omega^{-1} M$ with preferably a left $\Omega^{-1} R$-module structure induced by a scalar multiplication

$$
\left(\frac{r}{w}\right)\left(\frac{a}{t}\right)=\frac{(r a)}{(w t)}
$$

where $\left(\frac{r}{w}\right) \in \Omega^{-1} R,\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) \in \Omega^{-1} M$. Moreover, there is a canonical map $f_{M}: M \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} M$ given by

$$
f_{M}(a)=\frac{a w}{w}
$$

for some $w \in \Omega$.
Definition 1.2.2.1. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $\Omega \subseteq R$ a multiplicatively closed subset. A localization of $M$ is a pair $\left(\Omega^{-1} M, f_{M}\right)$, where $\Omega^{-1} M$ is an $\Omega^{-1} R$-module and $f_{M}: M \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} M$ is an $R$-linear map that is a solution to the universal mapping problem


If $M_{1}$ is an $\Omega^{-1} R$-module and $\varphi: M \rightarrow M_{1}$ is an $R$-linear map, then there exists a unique $\Omega^{-1} R$-map $\tilde{\varphi}: \Omega^{-1} M \rightarrow M_{1}$ satisfying $\varphi=\tilde{\varphi} \circ f_{M}$.

Remark 1.2.2.2. From Definition 1.2.2.1, we have the following properties:
i. Localization $\Omega^{-1}(-)$ is an additive functor between the categories ${ }_{R} \mathfrak{M}$ and $\Omega^{-1} R^{M}$. Moreover, localization is a special case of extension of scalars. Thus, for any homomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R} \mathfrak{M}(M, N)$, the diagram

commutes where $\varphi_{M}$, the component of $\varphi$ at $M$ is an isomorphism defined by

$$
\varphi_{M}\left(\frac{a}{w}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \otimes a
$$

with an inverse map

$$
\varphi_{M}^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{w} \otimes a\right)=\frac{r a}{w} .
$$

From the naturality square above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi_{N} \circ \Omega^{-1} f\right)\left(\frac{a}{w}\right)= & \varphi_{N}\left(\Omega^{-1} f\left(\frac{a}{w}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi_{N}\left(\frac{f(a)}{w}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{w} \otimes f(a)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1_{\Omega^{-1}} \otimes f\right)\left(\varphi_{M}\left(\frac{a}{w}\right)\right)= & \left(1_{\Omega^{-1}} \otimes f\right)\left(\frac{1}{w} \otimes a\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{w} \otimes f(a)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, any $R$-linear map $f: M \rightarrow N$ induces an $\Omega^{-1} R$-homomorphism $\Omega^{-1} R \otimes M \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} R \otimes N$ thereby giving a natural isomorphism of functors $\Omega^{-1} R \otimes M \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} M$. (c.f. [Bas68, §4, pp.104110].)
ii. The functors $\Omega^{-1}(-)$ and $\Omega^{-1} R \otimes-$ are both left adjoint to the restriction of scalars functor from the localized ring $\Omega^{-1} R$ to the base ring $R$. That is, given an $R$-module $M$ and an $\Omega^{-1} R$-module $N$, since $\Omega^{-1} R$ is an $R$-algebra, there is an $\left(R, \Omega^{-1} R\right)$-isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} R}\left(M \otimes_{\Omega^{-1} R} R, N\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) .
$$

(See [KA13, Theorem 12.9, p.62] and [KA13, Corollary 12.12, p.62].)
iii. For any $M, N \in_{R} \mathfrak{M}$, localization preserves tensor products i.e.

$$
\Omega^{-1}\left(M \otimes_{R} N\right)=\Omega^{-1} M \otimes_{\Omega^{-1} R} \Omega^{-1} N .
$$

When $M$ is of finite presentation, we have

$$
\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} R}\left(\Omega^{-1} M, \Omega^{-1} N\right) .
$$

See [Mat80, (1.G)].
iv. [Rot09, Corollary 4.81] Localization functor,

$$
M \mapsto \Omega^{-1} M \cong \Omega^{-1} R \otimes M
$$

is exact since $\Omega^{-1} R$ is a flat $R$-module.

Taking $\Omega=R-\mathfrak{p}$, we denote by $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization of the $R$-module $M$ at a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$. The lemma that follows is as a result of Remark 1.2.1.6;

Lemma 1.2.2.3. Let $R$ be an integral domain with quotient field $K(R)$ and let $M$ be a torsion free $R$-module. Then

$$
M=\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} M_{\mathfrak{m}}
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ ranges over maximal ideals of $R$.

Proof. Given $M$ a torsion free $R$-module, the inclusion $M \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is immediate from Definition 1.2.2.1 and the definition provided in [TS21, Chapter 15, Definition.15.22.1] to justify that the map $M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an injection. Conversely, suppose $x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} M_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then $x \in M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and $x \notin \mathfrak{m}$ i.e. $x=\frac{a}{b}$ for some $a, b \in R$ associated with $\mathfrak{m}$ where $b \notin \mathfrak{m}$. We now consider the fractional ideal $I=\{r \in R \mid r x \in M\}$ consisting of all possible denominators of $x$ (See [AM94, Chapter 9, p.96] for definitions ). Clearly, $I$ is not contained in any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$. Otherwise, it would mean the following; $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. So $I$ must contain units and $I=R$. Thus, $x=1 \cdot x \in M$ since $1 \in I$. (c.f. [Rei75, Exercise 1, p.43].)

Proposition 1.2.2.4. Let $A$ be an $R$-algebra over a commutative ring $R, M$ and $N$ left $A$-modules where $M$ is finitely presented over $A$. Then, the $\Omega^{-1} R$-homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \Omega^{-1} R \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\Omega^{-1} R \otimes M, \Omega^{-1} R \otimes N\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of flatness of localization $\Omega^{-1} R$ and the isomorphism in [Rei75, Theorem 2.38, p.25]. Since $M$ is finitely presented, there is an exact sequence of $A$-modules $R^{m} \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ for some integers $m, n>0$. As $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(-, N)$ is left exact functor, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{n}, N\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{m}, N\right)
$$

and from flatness of the localization functor,

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{n}, N\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{m}, N\right)
$$

The above sequence by definition induces an exact sequence of $\Omega^{-1} R$-modules,

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\Omega^{-1} M, \Omega^{-1} N\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\Omega^{-1} R^{n}, \Omega^{-1} N\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\Omega^{-1} R^{m}, \Omega^{-1} N\right) .
$$

Distributing the Hom-functor over finite sums, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{m}, N\right) \cong & \cong \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \oplus^{m} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(R, N) \\
& \cong \Omega^{-1} R \otimes N^{m} \\
& \cong\left(\Omega^{-1} R \otimes N\right)^{m} \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} R \otimes A}\left(\left[\Omega^{-1} R\right]^{m}, \Omega^{-1} R \otimes N\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} R \otimes A}\left(\left[\Omega^{-1} R\right]^{m}, \Omega^{-1} N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, all the sequences above are exact only in the case when we have isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \cong \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\Omega^{-1} M, \Omega^{-1} N\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{m}, N\right) \cong \Omega^{-1} R \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(R^{m}, N\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega^{-1} A}\left(\left[\Omega^{-1} R\right]^{m}, \Omega^{-1} N\right) .
$$

Hence, this completes the proof.

### 1.3 Azumaya algebras

An Azumaya algebra over a commutative ring $R$ is a generalization of a central simple algebra over some field $F$. The term Azumaya originates from the work done by Goro Azumaya in his 1951 paper titled On maximally central algebras, (see [Azu51]). He showed that an $R$-algebra $A$ that is free as an $R$-module is central separable if and only if $A$ admits a generating subset $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ such that the square matrix $\left[\left(a_{j} a_{i}\right)\right]$ is non-singular in $A$. This notion of an Azumaya algebra coincided with a study by Auslander and Goldman on central separable algebras in their paper, "Brauer group of a commutative ring" (see [AG60]). Over the years, such algebras have evolved (see [KO74] and [DI71]) and have taken centre stage in new directions of research such as polynomial identity theory, cohomological algebra and many others. In this section, we reflect on properties and characterizations of Azumaya algebras, whose proofs can be found in the current literature (see for instance [For17] and [DI71]). In the sequel, we maintain the convention that $R$ will always denote a commutative ring with 1 and all modules considered over $R$ shall be projective and finitely generated unless specified otherwise.

### 1.3.1 Projective modules and rank

In this subsection, we look at the projective module, the most fundamental type of module relevant to our discourse and its rank over a commutative ring.

Proposition 1.3.1.1. Let $R$ be a ring and $P$ an $R$-module, then the following conditions are equivalent:
a. $P$ is isomorphic as an $R$-module to a direct summand of a free $R$-module.
b. The sequence $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0$ is split exact in the category of $R$-modules.
c. For any diagram

with the bottom row exact, there exists an $R$-module homomorphism $\varphi: P \longrightarrow M$ such that $\xi \varphi=\alpha$.
d. P has a dual basis $\left\{\left(x_{i}, f\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ for a suitable index set I consisting of $x_{i} \in P, f_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(P, R)$ satisfying
i. for all $x \in P, f_{i}(x)=0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$, and
ii. for all $x \in P, x=\sum_{i \in I} f_{i}(x) x_{i}$.

Proof. See [DI71, Lemma 1.3, p.3] for (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d). We now show that (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Indeed, given the commutative diagram

the surjective map $\xi: N \longrightarrow P$ and identity map $1_{P}: P \longrightarrow P$ yield a section $P \longrightarrow N$, that is, a linear map $\varphi$ such that $\xi \varphi=1_{P}$. So, the sequence is split.
For (b) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$, by [For17, Lemma 1.1.4, p.5], every $R$-module $P$ is a homomorphic image of a free $R$-module i.e. for a suitable index set $I$, there is a surjective homomorphism $\rho: R^{(I)} \longrightarrow P$. By (b) and [Bru02, Proposition 2.5, p.45], we can fit $R^{(I)}$ into a split exact sequence as follows

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\rho) \longrightarrow R^{(I)} \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0
$$

such that

$$
R^{(I)} \cong \rho(P) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\rho),
$$

where $P$ is identified by the image of $\rho$.
To complete the proof, we need to solve the equivalence (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Let the given free module be $R^{(I)} \cong P \oplus P^{\prime}$. Let $\pi: P \oplus P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ be a projection defined by $\pi\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=p$ and

$$
i: P \rightarrow P \oplus P^{\prime}
$$

its section with $i(p)=(p, 0)$. We need to show the existence of a map $\varphi$ such that $\xi \varphi=\alpha$ where $\alpha: P \rightarrow N$. Consider the diagram

where $\rho=\alpha \pi$ and construct a map $\psi$ that will make the diagram commutative. Let $\left\{p_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be a basis of $P \oplus P^{\prime}$. For each $i \in I$, set $n_{i}=\alpha \pi\left(p_{i}\right)$. As $\xi$ is surjective, every $n_{i} \in N$ is such that $n_{i}=\xi\left(p_{i}\right)$. We then define a map $\psi$ on the basis $\left\{p_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ by $\psi\left(p_{i}\right)=m_{i}$ extended linearly. This construction yields

$$
n_{i}=\xi\left(\psi\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=\alpha\left(\pi\left(p_{i}\right)\right),(\forall i \in I) .
$$

Since $\pi \circ i=1_{P}$, we can define $\varphi=\psi i$.
Definition 1.3.1.2. An R-module $P$ is projective if it satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1.3.1.1.

Proposition 1.3.1.3. Given a local ring $R$ and a finitely generated projective $R$-module $M$, then $M$ is a free module over $R$. In particular, $M \cong R^{s}$, where

$$
s=\operatorname{dim}_{R / \mathfrak{m}}(M / \mathfrak{m} M)=\operatorname{dim}_{R / \mathfrak{m}}\left(M \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}\right)
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $R$.

Proof. Since $M$ is projective and finitely generated, then there is a finitely generated projective $R$-module $N$ such that the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^{n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

of $R$-modules is exact. Moreover, the $R$-modules $R^{n}$ is also finitely generated and $M \oplus N \cong R^{n}$. By [Mat80, (1.N), p.12], given a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$, we have that $M / \mathfrak{m} M$ and $N / \mathfrak{m} N$ are
canonically finite dimensional vector spaces over $R / \mathfrak{m}$. Then, $[R / \mathfrak{m}]^{s} \oplus[R / \mathfrak{m}]^{t} \cong[R / \mathfrak{m}]^{n}$ where $M / \mathfrak{m} M \cong(R / \mathfrak{m})^{s}$ and $N / \mathfrak{m} N \cong(R / \mathfrak{m})^{t}$ for integers $s$ and $t$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$, a maximal ideal of $R$ is in the Jacobson radical of $R$, application of [Bos13, Corollary 12, p.37] gives two subsets $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{s}$ and $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{t}$ of $M$ and $N$ mapping respectively to bases of $M / \mathfrak{m} M$ and $N / \mathfrak{m} N$. The elements $m_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ determine the $R$-linear map

$$
[M / \mathfrak{m} M]^{s} \oplus[N / \mathfrak{m} N]^{t} \rightarrow R^{n}
$$

From [Bru02, Proposition 1.26], identifying $R^{m}$ with $R^{1 \times m}$, the map above is a multiplication by a matrix $T \in R^{n \times n}$ whose reduction $\bar{T} \in(R / \mathfrak{m})^{n \times n}$ is invertible. Moreover, we have an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}\left(R^{s} \oplus R^{t}, R^{n}\right) \cong R^{(s+t) \times n}$. Thus, $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}, n_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right\}$ is a basis for $M \oplus N$, and it follows that $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{s}$ is a free basis for $M$. (c.f. [Wei13, Lemma 2.2., p.11].)

Remark 1.3.1.4. i. [For17, Proposition 2.3.2, p.65] For a commutative ring $R$ and a finitely generated $R$-module $P$, the free rank $\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $P$ at a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ is

$$
\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}} P=\operatorname{dim}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}} P_{\mathfrak{p}} /\left(\mathfrak{p} P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

Since $P_{\mathfrak{p}} /\left(\mathfrak{p} P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \cong\left(R_{\mathfrak{p}} /\left(\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}}(P)}, \operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}}(P)$ is the minimal number of generators of $P_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} P_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
ii. [For17, Theorem 2.3.5, p.67] For a finitely generated projective $R$-module $P$, the rank Rank $_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a continuous locally constant function from $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ to the discrete topological space $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$. If $\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}}(P)=n$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, then $P$ is said to be of constant rank.
iii. [For17, Proposition 2.3.4] The rank of a finitely generated projective $R$-module $P$ is invariant under base change. In fact,

$$
\operatorname{Rank}_{R}(P)=\operatorname{Rank}_{S}(P \otimes S)
$$

for a commutative ring $R$ and a commutative $R$-algebra $S$.

### 1.3.2 Progenerator $R$-modules

In this section, our aim is to show that over a commutative ring and for projective modules of finite type, the condition of being a progenerator just says that the module is nowhere zero locally . This condition happens to be a local condition. For this sequel, we follow the literature in [For17, Chapter $1]$.

Definition 1.3.2.1. The trace of a finitely generated projective module $M$ is the image of the map

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{R}: M \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R) \rightarrow R,
$$

given by $m \otimes f \mapsto f(m)$ for $m \in M$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R)$.
The image of the trace map is a two-sided ideal called the trace ideal denoted $\mathfrak{T}_{R}(M)$. This ideal is generated by the homomorphic images of $M$ in $R$.

For the trace ideal of a finitely generated projective $R$-module, we have the following properties:

## Proposition 1.3.2.2. Let $M$ be a finitely generated projective $R$-module, then

i. $\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R$.
ii. $M\left(\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)\right)=M,\left[\mathfrak{I}_{R}\right]^{2}=\mathfrak{I}_{R}$ and annih $h_{R}(M)=\operatorname{annih}_{R}[\mathfrak{I}(M)]$.
iii. for an $R$-module homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{S}(M \otimes S)=\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M) \otimes_{R} S
$$

for any finitely presented $R$-module $M$ and any commutative flat $R$-algebra $S$. In particular, taking the trace ideal commutes with localization and completion. Moreover,

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M \oplus N)=\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)+\mathfrak{I}_{R}(N)
$$

Proof. i. Since $M$ is finitely generated and projective, then $M$ has a finite dual basis $\left\{x_{i}, \vartheta \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. For $f \in M^{*}$, we have $f=\sum_{i} a_{i} f_{i}$ for some $a_{i} \in R$. As $a=\sum f_{i}(a) a_{i}$ for any $a \in M$,

$$
f(a)=f\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} f_{i}(a)\right)=\sum_{i}\left(f\left(a_{i}\right) f_{i}(a)\right)
$$

rendering every element of $\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)$ a finite linear combination, (see [Lam99, Proposition 2.40, p.51]).

From [For17, Lemma 1.3.17(2), p.29], we see that the ring $R$ is a right $R$ left $R$ bi-module and induces on the dual $M^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R)$, a right $R$-module structure via the action

$$
(f r)(p)=f(p r)=f(p)) r
$$

for any $r \in R$ and $p \in M$ where $f r \in M^{*}$. As a result,

$$
[f r s]\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)=\left[\left(f\left(p_{1}\right)+f\left(p_{2}\right)\right) r\right] s
$$

and

$$
\left.\left[f\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right](p)=\left(f r_{1}+f r_{2}\right)\right)(p)=\left(f(p) r_{1}+f(p) r_{1} .\right.
$$

are satisfied. Thus, we have

$$
\left[\sum_{i} f_{i}(p)\right] r=\sum_{i} f_{i}(p r)=\sum_{i}\left(f_{i} r\right)(p)
$$

which shows that $\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M) r \subset \mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)$. Equally, by symmetry, for any right $R$-module $M$, the dual $M^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R)$ will be a left $R$ module with the action

$$
r(f(p))=f(r p)=(r f)(p)
$$

thereby inducing an inclusion $r \mathfrak{T}_{R}(M) \subset \mathfrak{T}_{R}(M)$.
ii. See [Lam99, Proposition 2.40, p.51] and [Lin17, Proposition 2.8]
iii. See [Lin17, Proposition 2.8]

Note that (ii) and (iii) imply that the trace ideal is generated by an idempotent.

Definition 1.3.2.3. A module $M$ over a ring $R$ (not necessarily commutative) is called a progenerator, or $R$-progenerator, when it is finitely generated and projective, and its trace is $R$, viz

$$
\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(m_{i}\right) \mid n \geq 1, f_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R), m_{i} \in M\right\}=R .
$$

Equivalently, a finitely generated and projective $R$-module $M$ is a progenerator if every left $R$ module is a homomorphic image of a direct sum $P^{I}$ of copies of $P$ for a suitable index set $I$, (see [For17, Ex.1.1.11]). We deduce by [Lam99, §18B, pp.483-485] that every finitely generated and projective $R$-module $M$ is a generator in $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$ if the functor $\mathfrak{F}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M,-)$ is a faithful functor from $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$ to the category of Abelian groups. This implies that, $\mathfrak{F}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M,-)$ takes non-zero morphisms of $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$ to non-zero morphisms of the category of Abelian groups. So being a progenerator is a categorical property. Thus, under an equivalence of categories $F: \mathfrak{M}_{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{T}, M$ is an $S$-progenerator if and only if $F(M)$ is an $T$-progenerator.

Remark 1.3.2.4. Let $R$ be a PID and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-progenerator module such that the map

$$
M \rightarrow M^{* *}
$$

is an isomorphism. Then, there is an $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
Z\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)\right) \cong \operatorname{End}_{R}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{R}(M)\right) .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)=R$, then $Z\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)\right) \cong R$. (c.f. [Lin17, Remark 3.22].)
Theorem 1.3.2.5. For any finitely generated projective module $P$ over a commutative ring $R$, the following are equivalent:
b. P is faithful;
c. For every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$, the module $P / \mathfrak{m} P$ is nonzero;
d. For any connected component of $R$, the module $P$ is nonzero over that connected component. In other words, if $S$ is a factor of $R$, then $S \otimes_{R} P$ is nonzero;
e. $\operatorname{Rank}\left(P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \neq 0$, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$;
f. $\operatorname{Rank}\left(P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \neq 0$, for every closed point $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Proof. See [For17, Corollary 1.1.16] for (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b). We now show that $(b) \Rightarrow$ (c). Indeed, since $\operatorname{annih}_{R}(P)=0$, then for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} P \neq P$. See [For17, Lemma 1.1.13]. For (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b), assuming (c) we derive (b) by one more use of the Nakayama lemma viz. [For17, Lemma 1.1.13]. We thereby note that $\mathfrak{m} P \neq P \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}+\operatorname{annih}_{R}(M) \neq R$, of which, since $\operatorname{annih}_{R}(P)$ is a two-sided ideal in $R$, implies that annih ${ }_{R}(P)=0$. Therefore, $P$ is faithful. As observed in Remark 1.3.1.4, since the rank of $P$ is a locally constant map on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, it is therefore constant on any connected component. Thus, $P \neq 0$ on any connected component iff its rank is not zero on it. Thus, (d) $\Leftrightarrow$ (e). Now, since $\operatorname{Rank}\left(P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\operatorname{Rank}\left(P_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$, for all maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ containing $\mathfrak{p}$, (e) $\Leftrightarrow$ (f). Finally, by virtue of the fact that $\operatorname{Rank}\left(P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}} P_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} P_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $K_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}},(\mathrm{f}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$.

Further, for any commutative ring $R$, Theorem 1.3.2.5 characterizes an $R$-progenerator as a finitely generated $R$-module which is projective, an $R$-generator and faithful over $R$. In other literature, $R$-progenerators are referred to as faithfully projective $R$-modules ([Bas68, Proposition 1.2, p.53]).

### 1.3.3 Separable Algebras

In this section, we review the characterizations of separable algebras over a commutative ring based on [For17, Chapter 4, pp.115-158] and [Row88, Chapter 5, pp.415-433]. We take the classical approach of separability over a commutative ring $R$ by examining the module structure of an associative $R$ algebra $A$ over the ring $A \otimes A^{0}$ as in [AG60].
Let $R$ denote a commutative ring and $A$ an $R$-algebra. We write $A^{e}=A \otimes A^{0}$ for the enveloping algebra where $A^{\mathrm{o}}$ denotes the opposite algebra. The algebra $A^{\mathrm{o}}$ is the ring with $1_{A^{0}}=1_{A}$ having the same underlying $R$-module structure as $A$ with multiplication $*$ defined by $a * b=b a$ where $a, b \in A$. The $R$-algebra $A^{e}$ induces a left $A^{e}$-module structure on $A$ via the action

$$
\left(\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i}\right) \cdot x=\sum a_{i} x b_{i}
$$

for $a, x \in A, b \in A^{0}$.
In addition, any left $A^{e}$-module $M$, can be viewed as an $A-A$-bimodule by ensuring that the left and right $A$-actions agree, that is,

$$
a x=(a \otimes 1) \cdot x=(1 \otimes a) \cdot x=x a
$$

and

$$
x(a \otimes b)=(a x) b=a(x b) .
$$

These actions above induce an isomorphism between categories ${ }_{A}{ }^{e} \mathfrak{M}$ and ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{M}_{A}$, See [Pie82, §10.1, Proposition, p.180].

In addition, by [Row91, Remark 5.3.1, p.417], $A$ is a cyclic $A^{e}$-module and there is an $A^{e}$-module epimorphism

$$
\psi_{1}: A \otimes A^{0} \rightarrow A
$$

defined by $\psi_{1}(a \otimes b)=a b$. We shall denote the kernel of the map $\psi_{1}$ by $J_{\psi_{1}}$ and set

$$
M^{A}=\{m \in M \mid a m=m a, \text { for all } a \in A\}
$$

for any $A-A$-bimodule $M$.

Definition 1.3.3.1. An $R$-algebra $A$ is considered to be separable over $R$ if it is projective as a left $A^{e}$-module.

We can characterize separable $R$-algebras by the following equivalent conditions;

Proposition 1.3.3.2. Let $A$ be an $R$-algebra. Then, the following conditions are equivalent :
a. $A$ is a separable $R$-algebra.
b. The functor $(-)^{A}$ is a right exact functor.
c. There is an element

$$
e \in\left(A^{e}\right)^{A}=\left\{z \in A^{e} \mid z a=a z, \text { for all } a \in A\right\}
$$

such that $\psi_{1}$ is an epimorphism and $\psi_{1}(e)=1_{A}$.
d. The sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow J_{\psi_{1}} \rightarrow A^{e} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

is split exact.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b). This follows directly from [For17, Proposition 1.3.20] and [For17, Lemma 4.1.4]. Now, we derive the implication (d) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. The statement of [Pie82, §10.2, Proposition, p.182] implies that the map $\psi_{1}$ is split by a linear map $\gamma: A \rightarrow A^{e}$ and $\psi_{1}\left[\gamma\left(1_{A}\right)\right]=1_{A}$. Suppose $e=\gamma\left(1_{A}\right)$. Indeed

$$
\psi_{1}(e)=\psi\left[\gamma\left(1_{A}\right)\right]=1_{A}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
z e=z \gamma\left(1_{A}\right)= & \gamma\left(z 1_{A}\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(1_{A} z\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(1_{A}\right) z \\
& =e z
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $z \in A$.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$, Since $\psi_{1}$ is surjective, by applying [DI71, Chapter II, Proposition 1.1], we can fit it into a sequence

$$
A^{e} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

This sequence will be exact if there is an $A^{e}$-homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow A^{e}$ that splits $\psi_{1}$. We first set $\gamma(z)=(1 \otimes z) \cdot e=(z \otimes 1) \cdot e$ as a map taking every $A-A$-bimodule to an $A^{e}$-module. Henceforth,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma((x \otimes y) \cdot z)= & \gamma(x z y) \\
& =\gamma(x z y \otimes 1) \\
& =\gamma(x z \otimes 1)((y \otimes 1) \cdot e) \\
& =\gamma(x z \otimes 1)((1 \otimes y) \cdot e) \\
& =\gamma(x z \otimes y) \cdot e \\
= & \gamma(x \otimes y)(z \otimes 1) \cdot e \\
= & \gamma(x \otimes y)(1 \otimes z) \cdot e \\
& =(x \otimes y) \gamma(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that $\gamma$ is an $A^{e}$-linear map. Let $e=\sum_{i} x_{1} \otimes x_{2}$. For any element $z \in A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1} \circ \gamma(z)= & \psi_{1}(z \otimes 1 \cdot e) \\
& =\psi_{1}\left((z \otimes 1)\left(\sum_{i}\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\psi_{1}\left(\sum_{i} z x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right) \\
& =z \psi_{1}\left(\sum_{i} x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right) \\
& =z \psi_{1}(e) \\
& =z \cdot 1_{A}=z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $A$ is a left $A^{e}$-module under the action of $\gamma$. See for instance also [Pie82, p.182, §10.2, Lemma]. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow$ (d) follows from the definition in 1.3.1.1 and [DI71, Chapter II, Proposition 1.1].

The element $e$ is necessarily an idempotent called the separability idempotent. As seen in Proposition 1.3.3.2, the idempotent $e$ arises as the image of $1 \in A$ under the splitting of $\psi_{1}$. Indeed, for $e=\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{2}= & \sum\left(a_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(1 \otimes b_{i}\right) e \\
& =\sum\left(a_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(b_{i} \otimes 1\right) e \\
& =\sum\left(a_{i} b_{1} \otimes 1\right) e=(1 \otimes 1) e=e .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.3.3.3. [Row91, Proposition 5.3.2] The kernel $J_{\psi_{1}}$ of the map $\psi_{1}: A \otimes A^{\circ} \rightarrow A$ is generated as a right ideal of $A^{e}$ by elements of the form $a \otimes 1-1 \otimes a$. That is,

$$
J_{\psi_{1}}=\sum(a \otimes 1-1 \otimes a) A^{e} .
$$

Proof. Suppose $x=\sum s_{i} \otimes t_{i} \in J_{\psi_{i}}$. Then we have image $\sum s_{i} t_{i}=0$ and so, every such element has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
x= & \sum s_{i} \otimes t_{i} \\
& =\sum\left(s_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(1 \otimes t_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum\left(s_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(1 \otimes t_{i}\right)-1 \otimes \sum s_{i} t_{i} \\
& =\sum\left(s_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes s_{i}\right)\left(1 \otimes t_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, since $\psi_{1}$ is an $A^{e}$-linear map, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1}(x)= & \psi_{1}\left[\left(\sum\left(s_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes s_{i}\right)\left(1 \otimes t_{i}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\sum \psi_{1}\left(s_{i} \otimes 1\right)-\left(1 \otimes s_{i}\right)\right] \psi_{1}\left(1 \otimes t_{i}\right)\right. \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1.3.3.4. For $R$ a commutative ring and $A$ a separable $R$-algebra. The $R$-algebra $A \oplus A$ is separable with separability idempotent $e=e_{1} \otimes e_{1}+e_{2} \otimes e_{2}$. Indeed, taking $e=(1,0)$ and $e_{2}=(0,1)$ to be primitive orthogonal idempotents in $A$. We have $\psi_{1}(e)=1$ and

$$
(x+y \otimes 1-1 \otimes y+x) e=[(x \otimes 1-1 \otimes x)+(y \otimes 1-1 \otimes y)] e=0 .
$$

(See [For08].)
The notion of an $R$-algebra being separable is a local property. Thus,
Proposition 1.3.3.5. Given an $R$-separable algebra $A$ which is finitely generated as an $R$-module, the following assertions are equivalent,
i. $A \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-separable for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $R$.
ii. $A \otimes R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$-separable for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in $R$.
iii. $A \otimes R / \mathfrak{m}$ is a separable $R / \mathfrak{m}$-algebra for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in $R$.

Proof. The statements follow directly from the proofs of [For 17, Theorem 8.1.22] and [For17, Theorem 8.1.24].

Remark 1.3.3.6. Any separable $R$-algebra $A$ is projective and finitely generated as an $R$-module. [DI71, Theorem 3.8, p.55]

### 1.3.4 Azumaya algebras

Applying the results of Section 1.3 .3 on separable algebras, we can define Azumaya algebras as follows:

Definition 1.3.4.1. An R-algebra $A$ is an Azumaya algebra if it satisfies any of the following equivalent properties:
a. A is a central $R$-algebra and separable over $R$.
b. $A$ is an $R$-progenerator and the natural representation

$$
\mu_{A}: A \otimes_{R} A^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(A),
$$

defined by $\mu_{A}\left(a \otimes_{R} b^{0}\right)(x)=$ axb $b^{0}$, where $a, b^{0} \in A$ and $x \in R$, is an isomorphism.
c. For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$, the quotient $A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is a central simple $R / \mathfrak{m}$-algebra.

From Definition 1.3.4.1 above, we infer the following basic properties of Azumaya $R$-algebras:
a. If $A$ and $B$ are Azumaya $R$-algebras, then $A \otimes B$, their tensor product is also Azumaya over $R$. Indeed, the tensor product of separable $R$-algebras is separable and $A \otimes B$ is $R$-central. See [For17, Proposition 7.1.3].
b. For any $R$-progenerator $P, A=\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra satisfying condition (b) in Definition 1.3.4.1 by [DI71, Proposition 4.1, p.56]
c. If $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra and $S$ a faithfully flat étale $R$-algebra i.e. $S$ is a finitely generated commutative $R$-algebra which is flat, faithful and separable. Since étale is preserved by a change of base, $A \otimes S$ is an étale $S$-algebra. See [Mil80, Proposition 3.3, p.22].
Conversely, if $S$ is étale over $R$ and $A \otimes S$ an Azumaya $S$-algebra, then $A$ is separable over $R$. By condition (c) of Definition 1.3.4.1, $A$ is a finite $R$-algebra. On the strengths of Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7 of [FD93], there is a commutative diagram

that satisfies the condition (b) in Definition 1.3.4.1. Hence $A \otimes S$ is an Azumaya $S$-algebra.
Note in particular that, from [For17, Corollary 4.5.4], every central simple $K$-algebra is an Azumaya algebra over the given field $K$.

Remark 1.3.4.2. Let $A$ be an Azumaya $R$-algebra. For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R), A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is a central simple $R / \mathfrak{m}$-algebra. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rank}(A)= & \operatorname{dim}_{R / \mathfrak{m}}(A / \mathfrak{m} A) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{R / \mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes R / \mathfrak{m}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the degree of $A$ is locally the element $\sqrt{\operatorname{dim}_{R / \mathfrak{m}}(A \otimes R / \mathfrak{m})}$ resulting from the map $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the rank of an Azumaya $R$-algebra is a square. (c.f. [Sch85, Corollary 8.4.9].)

Example 1.3.4.3. [For17, Theorem 7.1.10] When $M$ is a progenerator module over a commutative ring $R$, the algebra $E n d_{R}(M)$ is an Azumaya algebra over $R$; in particular, when $M=R^{n}$, we have that $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(R^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is an Azumaya algebra over $R$.

Theorem 1.3.4.4. Let $A$ be an R-algebra. The following properties are equivalent:
(a). A is an Azumaya R-algebra.
(b). $A$ is an $R$-central $A^{e}$-progenerator.
(c). The functors

$$
(-) \otimes_{R} A: \mathfrak{M}_{R} \longrightarrow A^{e} \mathfrak{M}
$$

and

$$
(*)^{A}:_{A^{e}} \mathfrak{M} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{R}
$$

are inverse equivalences of the categories $\mathfrak{M}_{R}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{A^{e}}$ with projective modules corresponding to projective modules.
(d). There is a faithfully flat étale $R$-algebra $S$ such that $A \otimes S \cong \operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ for some $R$-progenerator $P$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is a combination of parts from [For17, Theorem 7.1.4], [Knu91, Theorem 5.1.1] and [KO74, Theoreme 5.1].
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) ; See [For17, Theorem 7.1.4]. (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a), follows from [KO74, Theoreme 5.1]. (a) $(\Leftrightarrow$ (d): See [Knu91, Theorem 5.1.1]
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (c), follows from [For17, Theorem 7.1.4] and [For 17, Exercise 1.5.6].

A non-trivial example of an Azumaya $R$-algebra is given below:
Example 1.3.4.5. Let R be any commutative ring in which 2 is invertible. The ring of Quaternions is a free $R$-module

$$
\Lambda=\left\{r_{1} \cdot 1+r_{2} i+r_{3} j+r_{4} i j \mid r_{k} \in R, 1 \leq k \leq 4\right\}
$$

having a basis $\{1, i, j, i j\}$ endowed with an $R$-bilinear multiplication defined by extending relations

$$
i^{2}=j^{2}=(i j)^{2}=-1, i j=-j i
$$

by associativity and distributivity. We maintain the following observations:
a. Suppose $\Lambda_{0}=r_{1} \cdot 1+r_{2} i+r_{3} j+r_{4} i j \in Z(\Lambda)$. Then we have,

$$
i \Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0} i,
$$

and we get $\Lambda_{0}=r_{1}+r_{2} j$. Furthermore,

$$
j \Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0} j
$$

yields $\Lambda_{0}=r_{1}$ as an element of $R=Z(\Lambda)$. That is, $Z(\Lambda)=R$.
b. There is an isomorphism of $R$-algebras $\Lambda \cong \Lambda^{\circ}$ with mapping

$$
\left(r_{1}+r_{2} i+r_{3} j+r_{4} i j\right) \mapsto\left(r_{1}-r_{2} i-r_{3} j-r_{4} i j\right) .
$$

c. By Theorem 3 in [Sze78], the $R$-algebra $\Lambda$ is shown to be separable with separability idempotent taken as the element

$$
e=\frac{1}{4}(1 \otimes 1-i \otimes i-j \otimes j-i j \otimes i j) .
$$

Thus, $\Lambda$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra.
Proposition 1.3.4.6. Every Azumaya R-algebra $A$ is a finite direct product of Azumaya algebras of constant rank.

Proof. Since $A$ is projective over $R$ as an $R$ - module, by [Row91, Proposition 2.12.22], there are $R$ orthogonal idempotents $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $A_{i}=e_{i} A$ is a projective $R_{i}=e_{i} R$ module. Furthermore, by [Wei13, Remark 2.3.1, p.13] , since $A$ is $R$-projective and finitely generated,

$$
A \cong A_{1} \times A_{2} \times \cdots \times A_{n}
$$

and

$$
R \cong R_{1} \times R_{2} \times \cdots \times R_{n} .
$$

So by [Row91, Proposition 2.12.5], $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is covered by a finite number of open and closed sets each corresponding to ranks of localizations of $A$ in a bijective correspondence with the set of idempotents of $R$. By Definition 1.3.4.1, $A$ is central separable and $A \cong \prod_{i}^{n} A_{i}$ with $A_{i}$ an Azumaya algebra over $R_{i}$.

Another characterization of Azumaya algebras is through the Artin-Procesi theorem (See [Pro72]) which provides a theorem that remarkably characterizes Azumaya algebras without prior reference to its centre. Kaplansky in [Kap48] first proved that primitive polynomial identity rings with coefficients in its centre is an Azumaya algebra over the centre. Further, Artin classified rings with a polynomial
identity that are finite modules over their centres to be Azumaya algebras of constant rank $n^{2}$. This identification establishes a bijective correspondence between classes of rings which satisfy a polynomial identity and are finite as $R$-modules over their centres with Azumaya algebras of constant rank $n^{2}$. The generalizations of the Artin-procesi theorem by Braun in [Bra82] and by Dicks in [Dic88], yielded the following intrinsic characterization theorem;

Theorem 1.3.4.7. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. An algebra $R$-algebra $A$ is Azumaya if and only if there is an element $e=\sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i} \in A^{e}$ such that $\psi_{1}\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i}\right)=1$ and $\psi_{A^{e}}\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} a_{i} A^{e} b_{i} \subseteq R$ where $\psi_{1}$ is the map $\psi_{1}: A \otimes A^{\circ} \rightarrow A$

Proof. See [Bra82, Theorem 4.1].

### 1.3.5 Reduced trace and norm of an Azumaya $R$-algebra

When an Azumaya algebra is viewed as a form of a matrix via [For17, Corollary 3.3.10], its elements can be associated to invariants particular to matrices namely, characteristic polynomial, norm and trace. This section is adopted from the literature in [EW67, §3], [Bou58] and [KO74, §2, p.108].

Remark 1.3.5.1. [Bou58, §12.1, p.132] Given an $S$-basis $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ of $M \otimes S$. Every element $\alpha \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$, can be regarded as an element of $\operatorname{End}_{S}(M \otimes S)$ via $(\alpha \otimes 1)$ by setting $\alpha\left(m_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} s_{i k}$ for some $s_{i k} \in S$. The characteristic polynomial Char. $\operatorname{pol}_{M}(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ is defined to be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of $\alpha$ with respect to any basis of $M$. This is given by Char.poly ${ }_{M}(\alpha)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(s_{i k}-X \delta_{i k}\right) \in R[X]$ for some indeterminate $X$. Moreover, its trace and norm are given respectively by $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\alpha)=\sum_{i}^{n} s_{i i}$ and $N_{M}(\alpha)=\operatorname{det}\left(s_{i k}\right)$.

Since any $R$-algebra $A$ can act on itself as a ring of $R$-module homomorphisms via a right or left multiplication by an element $x$ viz. $R_{x}: A \rightarrow A$ where $R_{x}(a)=a x$. In this way, each element $x \in A$ is mapped to $R_{x}$. So, for $A$ finitely generated as an $R$-module, the characteristic polynomial of an element $x \in A$ is defined to be the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism $R_{x}$.

An Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ of rank $n^{2}, n \geq 1$ is split if there exists a commutative faithfully flat ètale $R$-algebra $S$ such that $A \otimes_{R} S \cong M_{n}(S)$. By [For17, Corollary 10.3.9], there is an $S$-progenerator module $N$ of rank $n$ and an $S$-algebra isomorphism $\delta_{S}: A \otimes S \cong \operatorname{End}_{S}(N)$. Therefore,

$$
M_{n}(S) \cong A \otimes S \cong \operatorname{End}_{S}(N) .
$$

Definition 1.3.5.2. Given a split Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ of rank $n^{2}, n \geq 1$. The reduced characteristic polynomial of an element $a \in A$ is defined to be the characteristic polynomial of its image $\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right) \in$
$\operatorname{End}_{S}(N)$.i.e. an element of $R[X]$ for an indeterminate $X$, independent of the choice of $S, N$ and $\delta_{S}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Char}_{r e d} \cdot \operatorname{poly}_{A}\left(\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{s}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{det}\left(X I_{n}-\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right) \\
& =X^{n}-\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}(a) X^{n-1}+\ldots(-1)^{n} \mathcal{N} r d_{A}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(a)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right)
$$

is the reduced trace and

$$
\mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a)=\operatorname{det}\left(\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right)
$$

the reduced norm of an element $a \in A$.
Proposition 1.3.5.3. The reduced trace and norm satisfy the following properties :
a. The reduced norm is a multiplicative semi-group map from $A$ to $R$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{N} r d_{A}(a \cdot b)=\mathcal{N} r d_{A}(a) \mathcal{N} r d_{A}(b)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N} r d_{A}(\kappa a)=\kappa^{n} \mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a)
$$

b. The reduced trace is an $R$-linear map from $A$ to $R$ satisfying

$$
\kappa \operatorname{Tr} d_{A}(a+b)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(\kappa a+b)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}(a b)=\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}(b a)
$$

for $a, b \in A$ and $\kappa \in A$.

Proof. The properties above follow immediately from the multiplicative properties of the determinant and trace on matrix algebras. (a) The reduced norm is multiplicative i.e. $\mathcal{N r} d_{A}(a \cdot b)=$ $\mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a) \mathscr{N} r d_{A}(b)$. Likewise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a \cdot b) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\delta\left(a b \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right. \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\delta\left[\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right)\left(b \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right]\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\delta\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\delta\left(b \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a) \mathcal{N} r d_{A}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Nrd}_{A}\left(\delta\left(\lambda \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{Nr}_{A}\left(\lambda \delta\left(1_{A} \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda I_{n}\right) \\
& =(\lambda)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) By Definition 1.3.5.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(a b)\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(a b \otimes 1_{S}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left[\left(a \otimes 1_{S}\right)\left(b \otimes 1_{S}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from Proposition 10.3.9 of [For17], considering the matrix representations of the endomorphisms of the elements $a$ and $b$ respectively, [Bou89, Chapter II, §10.11] facilitates the desired result.

Remark 1.3.5.4. i. The reduced characteristic polynomial commutes with localizations. Indeed, given an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ and an $R$ - alegbra $S$. For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in $R$, we have a splitting $S / \mathrm{m} S$-algebra isomorphism

$$
\left(A \otimes_{R} S\right)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong\left(A / \mathfrak{m} A \otimes_{R / \mathfrak{m}} S / \mathfrak{m} S\right) \cong M_{n}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)
$$

Thus, $A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is Azumaya over the field $R / \mathfrak{m}$ which is a central simple $R / \mathfrak{m}$-algebra. By [BO13, Remark IV.2.8, p.66], we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{Char}^{\operatorname{spol}} y_{A}(a)\right]_{\mathfrak{m}}=\text { Char } \cdot \operatorname{poly}_{A / \mathfrak{m} A}\left(a_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)
$$

where $a_{\mathfrak{m}} \in A / \mathfrak{m} A$ and Char.poly $y_{A / \mathfrak{m} A}\left(a_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \in(R / \mathfrak{m})[X]$. Moreover, we have,

$$
\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}=\operatorname{Tr} d_{A / \mathfrak{m} A}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{N} r d_{A}=\mathscr{N} r d_{A / \mathfrak{m} A}
$$

(c.f. [EW67, §3].)
ii. For any Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ of rank $n^{2}$, by [Bou58, Proposition 8, p.143],

$$
\text { Char } \cdot \operatorname{poly}_{A}(a)=\left[\operatorname{Char}_{\text {red }} \cdot \operatorname{poly}_{A}(a)\right]^{n} .
$$

Consequently, we get the following connections between the trace and reduced trace, and the norm and reduced norm of an element of $A$ :

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(1)=n, \quad \operatorname{Tr} d_{A}(a)=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{A}(a)
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{N} r_{A}(a)=\left[\mathscr{N} r d_{A}(a)\right]^{n} .
$$

where $T r_{A}$ and $\mathcal{N} r_{A}$ are the regular trace and norm of an element in $A$.
iii. By definition, the reduced trace is an element of $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$. Since

$$
\mu: A \otimes A^{\mathrm{o}} \cong \operatorname{End}_{R}(A)
$$

is a bijection by Definition 1.3.4.1, there is a unique element $\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i} \in A \otimes A$ such that

$$
\mu\left(\sum a_{i} \otimes b_{i}\right)(x)=\sum a_{i} x b_{i}=\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(x)
$$

for some $x \in A$.
(c.f. [KO74, §4, p.112].)

## Chapter 2

## Modules over Azumaya algebras, the Brauer group and quadratic pairs

In this chapter, we find results on the Brauer group, hermitian forms and involutions on Azumaya $R$-algebras. Also considered are Azumaya quadratic pairs. Eventually, we learn what can be said about the category of modules over Azumaya algebras by looking at indecomposable $R$-modules which are a weaker form of $R$-simple modules. For Brauer equivalence on Azumaya algebras, we exploit its connection with Morita equivalence of their categories of modules to obtain a general form of an Azumaya algebra Brauer equivalent to a given one. Concluding this chapter, we introduce the notion of Azumaya quadratic pairs and consequently obtain results in the context of Azumaya $R$-algebras analogous to classical ones on central simple algebras as in ([KMRT98]).

### 2.1 Modules over Azumaya algebras

Given a base ring that is a field, an Azumaya algebra is a simple ring and any module over an Azumaya algebra defined over a field decomposes as a direct sum of simple ones; since simple modules are indecomposable, there is only one simple module up to isomorphism (see [Bou58, Ã, §7, 2, Proposition $2]$ ). Here, we consider the category of modules in the case when the base ring is more general.

Proposition 2.1.0.1 ([For17, Ex. 7.6.7] or [DeM69, Theorem 1]). If A is Azumaya over a local ring $R$, then any two indecomposable finitely generated projective $A$-modules are isomorphic.

Proof. Since every local ring is a semi-local ring, the proof of [DeM69, Theorem 1] will be a particular case here. Indeed, if $M$ and $N$ are indecomposable finitely generated projective $A$-modules, then by
[For17, Proposition 2.3.2, p.65], $M$ and $N$ are free of finite rank. That is, for the maximal ideal $m$ of $R$, there are bases $\left\{x_{1}+\mathfrak{m} M \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}+\mathfrak{m} N \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ respectively of vector spaces $M / \mathfrak{m} M$ and $N / \mathfrak{m} M$ such that $\operatorname{Rank}_{R}(M)=n$ and $\operatorname{Rank} k_{R}(N)=m$ for some positive intergers $m$ and $n$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Ran}_{R}(M) \geq \operatorname{Ran} k_{R}(N)$, then there is an $A$-module epimorphism

$$
\varphi: M / \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow N / \mathfrak{m} M
$$

which can be lifted to an isomorphism $\psi: M \rightarrow N$ since $M$ and $N$ are projective and finitely generated.

### 2.1.1 Brauer equivalence on Azumaya algebras

This section explores Brauer equivalence on Azumaya algebras over commutative rings and some points relating to this equivalence.

Definition 2.1.1.1. Two Azumaya algebras $A$ and $B$ over $R$ are said to be Brauer-equivalent provided there are progenerator (i.e. nowhere zero) $R$-modules $P$ and $Q$ such that

$$
A \otimes_{R} \operatorname{End}_{R}(P) \simeq B \otimes_{R} \operatorname{End}_{R}(Q) .
$$

As $\operatorname{End} d_{R}\left(P \otimes_{R} Q\right) \simeq \operatorname{End} d_{R}(P) \otimes_{R} \operatorname{End} d_{R}(Q)$, the Brauer equivalence turns out to be an equivalence relation compatible with the tensor product (over $R$ ) of algebras. Additionally, this relation induces a monoid structure on equivalence classes. As such, isomorphic algebras will belong to the same equivalence class. Actually, this monoid is a group, with neutral element $[R]$. Assuming that the group operation is given by setting $[A][B]:=[A \otimes B]$, the inverse of $[A]$ is $\left[A^{\circ}\right]$, since

$$
\left[A \otimes_{R} A^{\circ}\right]=\left[\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)\right]=[R]
$$

and $A$ is an $R$-progenerator. See [For17, Theorem 7.1.4].

Proposition 2.1.1.2. a. An Azumaya algebra is neutral if and only if there is a progenerator $P$ such that $A \simeq \operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$.
b. Azumaya algebras $A$ and $B$ are Brauer-equivalent if and only if there is an $R$-progenerator $P$ such that $A \otimes B^{\circ} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$.

Proof. This is Proposition 7.3.4 of [For17].

We now draw attention to the fact that Brauer equivalence relates to Morita equivalence. Ultimately, two algebras $A$ and $B$ will be Morita equivalent if their corresponding module categories are equivalent, that is, there are additive functors $S$ and $T$

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{A} \stackrel{T}{\underset{S}{\leftrightarrows}} \mathfrak{M}_{B}
$$

such that $S T \simeq 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{A}}$, and $T S \simeq 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{B}}$. It follows that $T \simeq-\otimes_{A} P, S \simeq-\otimes_{B} Q$, where $P=T(A)$ and $Q=S(B)$. See, for instance, [Bas68, p.60]. Given a semilocal and connected ring $R$, H. Bass in [Bas64, Corollary 17.2] shows that two Azumaya $R$-algebras $A$ and $B$ are Brauer equivalent if and only if they are Morita equivalent as $R$-algebras.

Given that $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra, $P$ a left $A$-module and $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$. The action of $B$ on $P$ by evaluation of functions induces on $P$ a right $B$-module structure commuting with that of $A$; in sum, $P$ becomes an $A \otimes_{R} B^{0}$-module. In particular, for all $a \in A, \varphi \in B$, and $p \in P,(a \otimes \varphi) p=a p \varphi$ with endomorphisms written on the right of arguments.

With a focus on the next lemma, recall from [For17, Proposition 1.1.8] the fact that, a progenerator over a progenerator is a progenerator, that is, given a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ such that $S$ is an $R$-progenerator, then any $S$-progenerator is also an $R$-progenerator.

Lemma 2.1.1.3. Let $A$ be an Azumaya right $R$-algebra, and let $P$ be an Azumaya left $A$-algebra. Then $B:=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra. Furthermore, the natural morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \otimes_{R} B & \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(P) \\
a \otimes \varphi & \mapsto \quad(p \mapsto \varphi(a p)=a \varphi(p))
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism; therefore, $B$ is Brauer equivalent to the opposite Azumaya $R$-algebra $A^{0}$.

Proof. Since $A$ is an $R$-progenerator, and $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an $A$-progenerator, then $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an $R$ progenerator. Moreover, by [Kap54, Exercise 95] and [Lin17, Lemma 3.12.], $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$, as an $R$ algebra, is $R$-central; therefore, $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra (see [For17, Theorem 7.1.4]), and consequently, the tensor product $A \otimes_{R} B$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra. Finally, that the map in the statement of Lemma 2.1.1.3 is an isomorphism of Azumaya $R$-algebras results from Proposition 2.1.1.2.

By way of symmetry, if $A$ is an Azumaya left $R$-algebra and $P$ a right $A$-module, then $B:=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an Azumaya right $R$-algebra and we have, $B \otimes_{R} A \simeq \operatorname{End} d_{R}(P)$.

Corollary 2.1.1.4. Subject to the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1.1.3, the $R$-algebra $E:=\operatorname{End}_{B}(P)$, where $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is Azumaya, is isomorphic to $A$, that is, $A \simeq \operatorname{End}_{B}(P)$.

Proof. On account of Lemma 2.1.1.3, $B$ is an Azumaya left $R$-algebra; since $P$ is an Azumaya right $B$ algebra, it follows that $E:=\operatorname{End}_{B}(P)$ is an Azumaya right $R$-algebra. Further, as $E \otimes_{R} B \simeq E n d_{R}(P) \simeq$ $A \otimes_{R} B$, it follows that $A \simeq \operatorname{End} d_{B}(P)$, thereby completing the proof.

As a converse of Lemma 2.1.1.3, we have

Lemma 2.1.1.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, and $A, B$ Azumaya $R$-algebras. Then, if $B$ is Brauer equivalent to $A, B$ is of the form $\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)^{\mathrm{o}}$, where $P$ is both an $A$-module and $R$-progenerator.

Proof. In view of [For17, Proposition 7.3.4], there is an isomorphism $\phi: A \otimes_{R} B^{0} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$, where $P$ is an $R$-progenerator. Plainly, by setting $(a \otimes 1)(x)=a x$, for all $a \in A$ and $x \in P, P$ ends up being an $A$-module. From [For 17, Theorem 7.2.3], it follows that the commutant of $A$ identified to its image via $\phi$ in $E n d_{R}(P)$ is exactly $B$. In other words, since

$$
\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)^{\phi(A)} \equiv \operatorname{End}_{R}(P)^{A}=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(P) \mid \varphi a=a \varphi, a \in A\right\}=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)
$$

it follows that

$$
B^{\mathrm{o}} \simeq \phi\left(B^{\mathrm{o}}\right)=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)
$$

We note that, premising on Lemma 2.1.1.5 and the assumption that $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ is simple, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1.1.6. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and let $P$ be a free left Azumaya algebra of finite rank over an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ such that $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ is a simple left $R$-module. Then, $B:=\operatorname{End}_{A}(P)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra, which is Brauer equivalent to the opposite algebra $A^{\circ}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank}_{A}(P)=n$; therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \simeq M_{n}\left(A^{0}\right),
$$

where $A^{0}$ is the opposite algebra of $A$ (see [AW92, Corollary 3.9, p.219]). By setting, for all $a \in A$ and $X \in M_{n}\left(A^{\circ}\right)$,

$$
a \cdot X=X a^{0},
$$

$M_{n}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ acquires a left $A$-module structure. As is shown in [For17, Example 4.2.1], $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is $A$-separable. By [For17, Theorem 4.4.2], $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is $R$-separable. Since $A$ is $R$-central, it turns out that the center of $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $R$. Thus, $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra.

On applying [For17, Proposition 7.3.4], we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \otimes_{R}\left(A^{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \otimes_{A} A \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P)
$$

But

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \otimes 1 \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \otimes_{R} A,
$$

therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \otimes_{R} A \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) .
$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \neq \emptyset, \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(P, P)$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(P, P)$ is simple, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(P, P) ;
$$

and since $P$ is a progenerator module over $R$, there is a Brauer equivalence between $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(P, P)$ and $A^{0}$.

### 2.2 Involutions on algebras

This section borrows directly from the literature in [KO74] and [KMRT98].

Definition 2.2.0.1. Let $A$ be an Azumaya $R$-Algebra where $R$ is a commutative local ring. An involution $\sigma$ on $A$ is a ring anti-automorphism of $A$ of order at most 2 . That is, an anti-automorphism $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$ of $A$ for all $x, y \in A$ satisfying the following properties;
i. $\sigma(x+y)=\sigma(x)+\sigma(y)$
ii. $\sigma(1)=1$
iii. $\sigma(x y)=\sigma(y) \sigma(x)$
iv. $\sigma(\sigma(x))=x$.

We shall denote an Azumaya $R$-algebra with involution by $(A, \sigma)$. Further, an involution $\sigma$ on an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ is said to be of the first kind if it is an $R$-linear map that leaves the centre $Z(A)$ of the ring $A$ invariant. Otherwise, $\sigma$ is an involution of the second kind.
Since $A$ is a ring with involution, restricting this involution to the centre, $\sigma=\left.\sigma\right|_{Z(A)}$ is an involution on $Z(A)$ and $A$ is a $Z(A)$-algebra. Thus, the involution $\sigma$ on $A$ is an extension of the involution $\sigma_{R}$ on $R$. That is, $\sigma(r a)=\sigma_{R}(r) \sigma(a)$ for $a \in A, r \in R$.

Definition 2.2.0.2. Let $\left(A, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be rings with involutions. A morphism of rings with involutions

$$
\varphi:\left(A, \sigma_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(B, \sigma_{2}\right)
$$

is a homomorphism of rings $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ such that it preserves involutions and $\sigma_{2}(\varphi(a))=\varphi\left(\sigma_{1}(a)\right)$ for all $a \in A$.

For instance, given a two sided ideal $J \subset A$ such that $\sigma_{1}(J) \subset J$, the natural projection $A \rightarrow A / J$ is a morphism of rings with involution.

Given a local ring $R$, an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ of constant rank $n^{2}$, endowed with an involution $\sigma$ of the first kind, and a faithfully flat splitting ring $S$ of $A$, the isomorphism $\alpha: S \otimes_{R} A \simeq M_{n}(S)$ induces an involution $\widetilde{\sigma}: \widetilde{\sigma}=\alpha(1 \otimes \sigma) \alpha^{-1}$ on $M_{n}(S)$, [Knu91, Lemma 8.1.1, p.170]. By [Knu91, (5.1), p.134], $S \otimes_{R} A$ is an Azumaya algebra over a local ring $R$, so every $R$-algebra automorphism of $S \otimes_{R} A \simeq M_{n}(S)$ is inner, (see [For17, Corollary 7.8.15, p.280,]). That is, if $\lambda$ is an $R$-algebra automorphism of $M_{n}(S)$, then $\lambda$ is associated with some invertible $u \in M_{n}(S)$ in the sense that $\lambda(x) \equiv \lambda_{u}(x)=u x u^{-1}$ for every $x \in M_{n}(S)$. It is evident that if $\tau: M_{n}(S) \rightarrow M_{n}(S)$ is an involution, the map $\tau^{0}: M_{n}(S) \rightarrow M_{n}(S)$, defined by setting $\tau^{0}(x)=\tau(x)$ and $\tau^{0}(x y)=\tau^{0}(x) \tau^{0}(y)$, for all $x, y \in M_{n}(S)$, is an $R$-algebra automorphism of $M_{n}(S)$; therefore $\tau^{0}(x) \equiv \tau_{u}^{0}(x)=u x u^{-1}$, for some invertible $u \in M_{n}(S)$. Hence, $\tau$ is inner. In the same vein, the map $x \mapsto \widetilde{\sigma}\left(x^{t}\right)$, where $x^{t}$ is the transpose of $x$, is an automorphism of $M_{n}(S)$. Thus, $\widetilde{\sigma}(x)=u x^{t} u^{-1}$ for some invertible $u$ of $M_{n}(S)$. Since $\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}=1, u^{t}=\varepsilon u$, for some $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(S)$, where $\mu_{2}(S)=\left\{x \in S \mid x^{2}=1\right\}$, (see [Knu91, p. 122]). This element $\varepsilon$ is called the type of the involution.

Lemma 2.2.0.3. Let $R$ be a local ring and $(A, \sigma)$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra with an involution of the first kind. There exists a faithfully flat splitting $\alpha: S \otimes_{R} A \simeq M_{n}(S)$ of $A$ such that $\alpha(1 \otimes \sigma) \alpha^{-1}=\sigma_{u}$, where $u \in M_{n}(S)$ is invertible and satisfies $u^{t}=\varepsilon u$, where $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(R)$. Furthermore, the element $\varepsilon$ is independent of the splitting $\alpha$ of $A$.

Proof. See the proof of [Knu91, Lemma (8.1.1), p.170].
Remark 2.2.0.4. Let $A$ be an Azumaya $R$-algebra of constant rank $n^{2}, n \geq 1$ over a local ring $R$ with an involution of the first kind $\sigma$. For every element $a \in A$, the reduced traces of the elements $a$ and $\sigma(a)$ are equal. Indeed, assuming $A=M_{n}(R)$, in the light of Lemma 2.2.0.3, there is an involution $\sigma_{u}(x)=u x^{t} u^{-1}=\sigma$ on $M_{n}(S)$ for some $u \in G l_{n}(S)$ induced by the involution $\sigma$ on $A$. By application of Proposition 1.3.5.3, we have,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(\sigma(x))=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\sigma_{u}(x)\right)=\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}\left(u x^{t} u^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}\left(\left(x^{t} u^{-1}\right) u\right)=\operatorname{Tr} d_{A}\left(x^{t}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(x)
$$

for some $x \in A, \varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(S)$.

On an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ with involution $\sigma$ of the first kind $(A, \sigma)$, we have the subsets of symmetric, skew-symmetric, symmetrized and alternating elements in $A$ with respect to $\sigma$ defined as follows:
a. $\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)=\{a \in A \mid \sigma(a)=a\}$
b. $\operatorname{Skew}(A, \sigma)=\{a \in A \mid \sigma(a)=-a\}$
c. $\operatorname{Symd}(A, \sigma)=\{a+\sigma(a) \mid a \in A\}$
d. $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=\{a-\sigma(a) \mid a \in A\}$.

Moreover, these subsets are projective and finitely generated as $R$-modules provided $\sigma$ is an involution of the first kind (see [Knu91, Lemma 8.1.4, p.171]).

Definition 2.2.0.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $(A, \sigma)$ an $R$-algebra with involution $\sigma$. The trace tr and the norm $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the involution $\sigma$ are given respectively by $\operatorname{tr}(a)=a+\sigma(a)$ and $\mathcal{N}=a \cdot \sigma(a)$ for some element $a \in A$. The involution $\sigma$ is said to be a standard involution on $A$ if

1. $\sigma(R)=R$, that is, it fixes $R$.
2. $\operatorname{tr}(a) \in R$ and $\mathcal{N}(a) \in R$ for all $a \in A$.

Remark 2.2.0.6. Given a standard involution $\sigma$ on $A$, we have

$$
\mathcal{N}(1+a) \equiv \mathcal{N}(a)+1+\operatorname{tr}(a) .
$$

As $\operatorname{tr}(a) \in R$ for all $a \in A$, it follows also that $\mathcal{N}(a) \in R$ for all $a \in A$. So, for every $a \in A$,

$$
\operatorname{atr}(a)=\operatorname{tr}(a) a
$$

and

$$
a^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(a) a+\mathcal{N}(a)=0
$$

It can be seen that $\mathcal{N}(a)=a \sigma(a)=a \sigma(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

### 2.2.1 Hermitian forms and involutions on Azumaya algebras

In this section, we give an extension and generality of the result in [KMRT98, Theorem, Chapter 1, p.1] on central simple algebras to the context of classical Azumaya $R$-algebras.

Definition 2.2.1.1. Let $R$ be a ring with involution(conjugation) $\vartheta$ and $A$ be an $R$-algebra. $A$ sesquilinear form on $A$ over $(R, \vartheta)$ is a biadditive map $b: A \times A \rightarrow R$ such that

$$
b(x s, y t)=\vartheta(s) b(x, y) t
$$

for $s, t \in R$ and $x, y \in A$.

The bilinear form $b$ on $A$ induces an $R$-linear map

$$
h_{b}: A \rightarrow A^{*}
$$

given by $h_{b}(x)(y)=b(x, y)$ for $x, y \in A$ where $A^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, R)$ is an additive group with a right $R$-module structure given by $(f \cdot a)(x)=\vartheta(a) f(x)$ where $a \in R, f \in A^{*}$ and $x \in A$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{b}(x r)(y) & =b(x r, y) \\
& =\vartheta(r) b(x, y) \\
& =\left(\vartheta(r)\left(h_{b}(x)\right)\right)(y) \\
& =\left(h_{b}(x) r\right)(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, the map $h: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ defines a sesquilinear form

$$
b_{h}(x, y)=h(x, y),
$$

for $x, y \in A$. The bilinear form $h_{b}$ is called the adjoint of $b$. (c.f. [Knu91, §2, p.5].)
Definition 2.2.1.2. A hermitian form on $A$ with respect to the conjugation $\vartheta$ is a sesquilinear map $h: A \times A \rightarrow R$ such that $h(y, x)=\vartheta(h(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in A$. The map $h$ is called skew-hermitian if $h(y, x)=-\vartheta(h(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in A$.

Remark 2.2.1.3. Let $R$ be a local ring and $A$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra of finite rank as an $R$-module. A bilinear form $b: A \times A \rightarrow R$ is nonsingular if the induced map

$$
\hat{b}: A \rightarrow A^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, R),
$$

defined by

$$
\hat{b}(x)(y)=b(x, y),
$$

for all $x, y \in A$, is an $R$-linear isomorphism. For any $f \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$, we define an endomorphism $\sigma_{b}(f) \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{b}(f)=\hat{b}^{-1} \circ f^{t} \circ \hat{b} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{t} \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is the transpose of $f$. In addition, the standard approach is to define each element $\sigma_{b}(f)$ by requiring that it verifies the property:

$$
b(x, f(y))=b\left(\sigma_{b}(f)(x), y\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. From this relation above, $\sigma_{b}$ turns out to be an anti-automorphism of $\operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$; it is called the adjoint anti-automorphism with respect to the nonsingular bilinear form $b$. (c.f. [KMRT98, Chapter 1, p.1].)

Definition 2.2.1.4. A quadratic form on a finitely generated projective module $M$ is a map $q: M \rightarrow A$ with the following properties
i. $q(\lambda x)=\lambda^{2} q(x)$ for all $x \in M, \lambda \in A$
ii. $b_{q}(x, y)=q(x+y)-q(x)-q(y)$ defines on $M$ a bilinear form $b_{q}: M \times M \rightarrow A$.

The pair $(M, q)$ is a quadratic module over $A$ and $\left(M, b_{q}\right)$ the associated bilinear form. If $\left(M, b_{q}\right)$, the associated bilinear module is non-singlar, call $(M, q)$ a no-singular quadratic module.
Remark 2.2.1.5. i. If $\frac{1}{2} \in A$, then every quadratic form $\left(M, q_{b}\right)$ identifies a symmetric bilinear form $(M, b)$ in such a way that $q_{b}(x)=b(x, x)$ for all $x \in M$.
ii. If $A$ has characteristic 2 , then $b_{q}(x, x)=2 q(x)=0$ for every quadratic form $q$ over $A$, 1.e $b_{q}$ is an alternating form and the rank of every module $(M, q)$ is even.
iii. For every quadratic module ( $M, q$ ), the following are equivalent:
i. $(M, q)$ is non singular
ii. $\left(M_{\mathfrak{m}}, q_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is non singular for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Now we consider the relationship between classes of non-singular hermitian forms over Azumaya $R$-algebras and $R$-linear involutions on $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$;

Theorem 2.2.1.6. Let $R$ be a local ring and $A$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra of finite rank. Moreover, let $\Lambda$ be the map that sends each nonsingular bilinear form $b: A \times A \rightarrow R$ onto its adjoint anti-automorphism $\sigma_{b}$. Then, $\Lambda$ induces a bijection $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ between the set of equivalence classes of nonsingular bilinear forms on $A$ modulo multiplication by a unit of $R$ and the set of adjoint anti-automorphisms of $E n d_{R}(A)$. Under the map $\widetilde{\Lambda}$, the $R$-linear involutions of $\mathrm{End}_{R}(A)$ correspond to nonsingular bilinear forms which are either symmetric or skew-symmetric.

Proof. The proof is standard; see, for instance, [KMRT98, Theorem, pp 1-2,]. Indeed, from relation (2.1) in Remark 2.2.1.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha b)\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)(x), y\right) & =\alpha b(x, f(y)) \\
& =\alpha(b(x, f(y))) \\
& =\alpha\left(b\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)(x), y\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the brackets on the left hand side above, we obtain

$$
b\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)(x), y\right)=b\left(\sigma_{b}(f)(x), y\right)
$$

of which

$$
b\left(\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)-\sigma_{b}(f)\right)(x), y\right)=0
$$

and finally,

$$
\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)=\sigma_{b}(f) .
$$

We see that for any unit $\alpha$ in $R, \sigma_{\alpha b}=\sigma_{b}$; therefore, the map $\Lambda$ induces a well-defined map $\widetilde{\Lambda}:[b] \mapsto \sigma_{b}$, where [ $b$ ] denotes the equivalence class containing $b$.

Now, let's show that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is one-to-one. To this end, note that if $b, b^{\prime}$ are nonsingular bilinear forms on $A$, the isomorphism $v \equiv \hat{b}^{-1} \circ \hat{b^{\prime}}$ is such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(v(x), y) & =\hat{b}(v(x))(y) \\
& =\hat{b}\left(\left(\hat{b}^{-1} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime}\right)(x)\right)(y) \\
& =\hat{b}\left(\hat{b}^{-1}\left(\hat{b}^{\prime}(x)\right)(y)\right. \\
& =\hat{b}^{\prime}(x)(y) \\
& =b^{\prime}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$; whence, one has, for all $f \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{b}(f) & =\hat{b}^{-1} \circ f^{t} \circ \hat{b} \\
& =\hat{b}^{-1} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime-1} \circ f^{t} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime-1} \circ \hat{b} \\
& =\hat{b}^{-1} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime} \circ \sigma_{b^{\prime}}(f) \circ \hat{b}^{\prime-1} \circ \hat{b}^{\prime} \\
& =v \circ \sigma_{b^{\prime}}(f) \circ v^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\sigma_{b}=\operatorname{Int}(v) \circ \sigma_{b^{\prime}},
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Int}(v)(f)=v \circ f \circ v^{-1},
$$

for all $f \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$. Therefore, if $\sigma_{b}=\sigma_{b^{\prime}}$, then $v$ is central i.e.

$$
\sigma_{b} \circ v=v \circ \sigma_{b^{\prime}}
$$

where $v$ is a unit in $R$, and $[b]=\left[b^{\prime}\right]$.
Next, let us fix a non-singular bilinear form $b$ on $A$. It follows that for any linear anti-automorphism $v$ of $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A), \sigma_{b} \circ v^{-1}$ is an $R$-linear automorphism of $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$. Since $\operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra (see [For17, Theorem 7.1.4] together with [For17, Proposition 7.1.10]) and $R$ is local, by the Skolem-Noether theorem ([For17, Corollary 7.8.15]), $\sigma_{b} \circ v^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism, that is, $\sigma_{b} \circ v^{-1}=\operatorname{Int}(u)$, for some $R$-linear isomorphism $u \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)$. Then, $v$ is an adjoint antiautomorphism for the bilinear form $b^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
b^{\prime}(x, y)=b(u(x), y),
$$

which ends the proof of the first part of the theorem.
Finally, if $b$ is a nonsingular bilinear form on $A$ with adjoint anti-automorphism $\sigma_{b}$, then the nonsingular bilinear form $b^{\prime}$ :

$$
b^{\prime}(x, y)=b(y, x) \text { for all } x, y \in A
$$

satisfies the equation

$$
\sigma_{b^{\prime}}=\sigma_{b}^{-1}
$$

Therefore, $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ are scalar multiples of each other if and only if $\sigma_{b}^{2}=1$; it follows that if $b^{\prime}=\varepsilon b$, for some unit $\varepsilon$, then $\varepsilon^{2}=1$. Hence, $b$ is symmetric or skew-symmetric.

Lemma 2.2.1.7. Let $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ be two non-singular bilinear forms. For any two $R$-progenerator modules $P$ and $Q$,

$$
\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P \otimes Q), \sigma_{b} \otimes \sigma_{b_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P), \sigma_{b}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(Q), \sigma_{b_{1}}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P), \sigma_{b}\right)=\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P), \sigma_{b_{1}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

if and only if $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ belong to the same class.

Proof. Let $(P, b)$ and $\left(Q, b^{\prime}\right)$ be two non-singular bilinear forms on $P$ and $Q$ respectively. Also, let $f \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(P), f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(Q)$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in P, y, y^{\prime} \in Q$. Then we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(b \otimes b^{\prime}\right)\left(\sigma_{b} \otimes \sigma_{b^{\prime}}\left(f \otimes f^{\prime}\right)\left(x \otimes x^{\prime}\right), y \otimes y^{\prime}\right) & =\left(b \otimes b^{\prime}\right)\left(\sigma_{b}(f)(x) \otimes \sigma_{b^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right), y \otimes y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =b\left(\sigma_{b}(f)(x), y\right) \otimes b^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{b^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =b(x, f(y)) \otimes b^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =b \otimes b^{\prime}\left(x \otimes x^{\prime},\left(f \otimes f^{\prime}\right)\left(y \otimes y^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\sigma_{b} \otimes \sigma_{b^{\prime}}$ is well defined on $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P \otimes Q)$ and from bilinearity of $b \otimes b^{\prime}$, we get the above equality. Further, the natural $R$-module isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(P \otimes Q, P \otimes Q) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(P, P) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(Q, Q)
$$

induces an indentifcation

$$
\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P \otimes Q), \sigma_{b} \otimes \sigma_{b_{1}}\right) \simeq\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(P), \sigma_{b}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(Q), \sigma_{b_{1}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

of algebras with involutions.

Since $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ belong to the same class, by Theorem 2.2.1.6, $b^{\prime}=\alpha b$ for some unit $\alpha \in R^{\star}$. Therefore,

$$
(\alpha b)\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)(x), y\right)=\alpha\left(b\left(\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)(x), y\right)\right)
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\sigma_{\alpha b}(f)=\sigma_{b}(f)
$$

as required.
Remark 2.2.1.8. More generally, given $R$ a local ring and a PID, $A$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra, $M$ a finitely free right $A$-module, and $\vartheta: A \rightarrow A$ an involution (of any kind). Then, for every nonsingular hermitian or skew-hermitian form $h: M \times M \rightarrow A$, there exists a unique involution $\sigma_{h}: \operatorname{End} d_{A}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$ such that

$$
h(x, f(y))=h\left(\sigma_{h}(f)(x), y\right),
$$

for all $x, y \in M$. The involution $\sigma_{h}$ is the adjoint involution with respect to $h$. (c.f. [KMRT98, Proposition 4.1].)

### 2.3 Azumaya quadratic pairs

On considering the equivalence in [For17, Theorem 10.3.9], we have a faithfully flat étale splitting $R$-algebra $S$ for an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$, such that there is an $S$-progenerator module $P$ satisfying
the isomorphism $S \otimes_{R} A \simeq \operatorname{End}_{S}(P)$ as $S$-algebras. It is worth noting that $P$ has rank $n$ if $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra of constant rank $n^{2}$. Particularly, the faithfully flat étale splitting ring $S$ satisfies an isomorphism $S \otimes_{R} A \simeq M_{n}(S)$. On the other hand, since $E n d_{S}(P)$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra, if $R$ is a local ring, then by Theorem 2.2.1.6, the involution $\sigma_{S} \equiv 1 \otimes \sigma$ is then the adjoint involution $\sigma_{b}$ with respect to some nonsingular symmetric or skew-symmetric bilinear form $b$ on $P$. Furthermore, since $P$ is finite-free over $R$, then by means of some basis of $P$, we may identify $P$ with $S^{n}$. If $g \in G L_{n}(S)$ denotes the Gram matrix of $b$ with respect to the chosen basis, then

$$
b(x, y)=x^{t} \cdot g \cdot y,
$$

where $x, y$ are considered as column vectors and $g^{t}=g$ if $b$ is symmetric, $g^{t}=-g$ if $b$ is skewsymmetric. Consequently, we note that the involution $\sigma_{S}$ may be identified with the involution $\sigma_{b} \equiv \sigma_{g}$, where

$$
\sigma_{g}(m)=g^{-1} \cdot m^{t} \cdot g,
$$

for all $m \in M_{n}(S)$.
The argument above can be summarized in the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3.0.1. Let $R$ be a local ring and $(A, \sigma)$ be an Azumaya $R$-algebra of constant rank $n^{2}$, endowed with an involution $\sigma$ of the first kind. Moreover, let $S$ be a faithfully flat etale splitting ring of $A$ and $P$ some $S$-progenerator of rank $n$ such that $S \otimes_{R} A \simeq E n d_{S}(P)$. Then, there is a nonsingular symmetric or skew-symmetric bilinear form on $P$ with a Gram representing matrix $g \in G L_{n}(P)$ such that

$$
\left(A_{S}, \sigma_{S}\right) \simeq\left(\operatorname{End}_{S}(P), \sigma_{b}\right) \simeq\left(M_{n}(S), \sigma_{g}\right)
$$

Definition 2.3.0.2. The involution $\sigma$ of the first kind on $A$ is said to be orthogonal when the corresponding bilinear form b is symmetric.

As a precursor to results related to Azumaya quadratic pairs, which are to be defined later, we allow a digression about a skew-hermitian version of the Gram-Schmidt process. See [CdS01, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.3.0.3. Let $R$ be a PID, A an Azumaya R-algebra with no zero divisors and such that $\operatorname{rank}_{R} A<\infty, M$ a right A-module of finite rank, $\vartheta$ an involution (of any kind) on $A$, and $h: M \times M \rightarrow A$ a hermitian or skew-hermitian map on $M$ with respect to the involution $\vartheta$, such that, for all $u \in M$, $h(u, u)=0$, and, for some $v \in M, h(u, v)=1$. Then, there is an $R$-basis $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ of $M$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h\left(u_{i}, x\right)=0, & \text { for all } i \text { and all } x \in M \\
h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=0=h\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right), & \text { for all } i, j, \\
h\left(e_{i}, f_{j}\right)=1, & \text { for all } i, j .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We will discuss the case where $h$ is skew-hermitian. The argument analogously holds for hermitian maps as well.

Let $N:=\{x \in M \mid h(x, y)=0$, for all $y \in M\}$. It can be seen that $N$ is an $R$-submodule of $M$, and therefore $N$ is free and of finite rank as an $R$-module. Additionally, as long as $x \in N$ and $x=z a$, for some $a \neq 0$ in $A$ and $z \in M$, it follows that $z \in N$, and in consequence, $N$ is a pure $R$-submodule of $M$. As a result, $N$ is complemented in $M$, that is,

$$
M=N \oplus T,
$$

for some $R$-submodule $T$. Now, take a nonzero $e_{1} \in T$. Then, there is $f_{1} \in T$ such that $h\left(e_{1}, f_{1}\right)=1$. Let

$$
T_{1}:=\left[e_{1}, f_{1}\right],
$$

that is, $T_{1}$ is the submodule spanned by $e_{1}$ and $f_{1}$, and

$$
T_{1}^{h}:=\left\{t \in T \mid h(t, y)=0, \text { for all } y \in T_{1}\right\} .
$$

The elements $e_{1}$ and $f_{1}$ are $R$-linearly independent, for if $e_{1}=f_{1} a$, with $a \in R$, then

$$
0 \neq h\left(e_{1}, f_{1}\right)=h\left(f_{1} a, f_{1}\right)=\vartheta(a) h\left(f_{1}, f_{1}\right)=0,
$$

a contradiction. So $\left(e_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ is an $R$-basis of $T_{1}$. Furthermore, we prove that

$$
\text { (i) } T_{1} \cap T_{1}^{h}=0, \quad \text { and (ii) } T_{1}+T_{1}^{h}=T
$$

Indeed, suppose that $t=e_{1} a+f_{1} b \in T_{1} \cap T_{1}^{h}$, where $a, b \in R$. It will follow that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=h\left(t, e_{1}\right)=h\left(e_{1} a+f_{1} b, e_{1}\right)=\vartheta(b) h\left(f_{1}, e_{1}\right), \\
& 0=h\left(t, f_{1}\right)=h\left(e_{1} a+f_{1} b, f_{1}\right)=\vartheta(a) h\left(e_{1}, f_{1}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $a=0=b$ since $A$ has no zero divisors, so $T_{1} \cap T_{1}^{h}=0$. Next, for every $t \in T$, one has

$$
t=-f_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right)+e_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right)+\left(t+f_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right)-e_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right)\right)
$$

with

$$
-f_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right)+e_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right) \in T_{1}
$$

and

$$
t+f_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right)-e_{1} \vartheta\left(h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right) \in T_{1}^{h}
$$

Thus,

$$
M=N \oplus T_{1} \oplus T_{1}^{h} .
$$

Now, let $e_{2} \in T_{1}^{h}$ with $e_{2} \neq 0$. There is $f_{2} \in T_{1}^{h}$ such that $h\left(e_{2}, f_{2}\right)=1$. As above, let $T_{2}=$ span of $e_{2}$, $f_{2}$. Etc ...

This process will eventually terminate as $\operatorname{rank}(M)<\infty$. Hence, we obtain

$$
M=N \oplus T_{1} \oplus T_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_{n}
$$

or more accurately,

$$
M=N \perp T_{1} \perp T_{2} \perp \cdots \perp T_{n},
$$

where $T_{i}$ has basis $\left(e_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ with $h\left(e_{i}, f_{i}\right)=1$.

For the hermitian maps case, in order to show that $T=T_{1}+T_{1}^{h}$, for any $t \in T$, consider the following decomposition

$$
t=-f_{1} h\left(t, e_{1}\right)+e_{1} h\left(t, f_{1}\right)+\left(t+f_{1} h\left(t, e_{1}\right)-e_{1} h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Surely, $-f_{1} h\left(t, e_{1}\right)+e_{1} h\left(t, f_{1}\right) \in T_{1}$; and using the face that

$$
0=h\left(e_{1}+t, e_{1}+t\right)=h\left(e_{1}, t\right)+h\left(t, e_{1}\right),
$$

one has that

$$
\vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right)=-\vartheta\left(h\left(e_{1}, t\right)\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
h\left(t, e_{1}\right)+\vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right) h\left(f_{1}, e_{1}\right)-\vartheta\left(h\left(t, f_{1}\right)\right) h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=h\left(t, e_{1}\right)+\vartheta\left(h\left(t, e_{1}\right)\right) \\
=h\left(t, e_{1}\right)-\vartheta\left(h\left(e_{1}, t\right)\right)=h\left(t, e_{1}\right)-h\left(t, e_{1}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

thus,

$$
t+f_{1} h\left(t, e_{1}\right)-e_{1} h\left(t, f_{1}\right) \in T_{1}^{h}
$$

It is readily understood that $\operatorname{rank}_{R}(N)=0$ if $h$ is non-singular, and consequently $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ is an $R$-basis of $M$, satisfying

$$
h\left(e_{i}, f_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=0=h\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) .
$$

The $R$-basis ( $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ ) is called a symplectic basis.
Now, suppose that $A$ is a finitely generated Azumaya $R$-algebra, where $R$ is both a local ring and $a$ PID, and suppose that $\vartheta$ is an involution (of any kind) on $A$. Moreover, suppose also that $M$ is a right
$A$-module of finite rank and a torsion-free $R$-module; then we associate with $M$ the left $A$-module, denoted ${ }^{\vartheta} M$, defined as follows: $M$ and ${ }^{\vartheta} M$ have the same underlying set. With a view to differentiate ${ }^{\vartheta} M$ from $M$, whenever we consider an element $x$ in $M$ as an element of ${ }^{\vartheta} M$, we shall use the notation ${ }^{\vartheta} x$. The module operations on ${ }^{\vartheta} M$ are given by assuming that

$$
{ }^{\vartheta} x+{ }^{\vartheta} y={ }^{\vartheta}(x+y) \text { and } a \cdot{ }^{\vartheta} x={ }^{\vartheta}(x \cdot \vartheta(a)),
$$

for any $x, y \in M$ and $a \in A$. Clearly, $M \otimes^{\vartheta} M$ is a finitely generated torsion-free $R$-module, and

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{R}\left(M \otimes_{A}{ }^{\vartheta} M\right)=\left(\operatorname{rank}_{A} M\right)^{2} \operatorname{rank}_{R} A=\operatorname{rank}_{R}\left(\operatorname{End}_{A}(M)\right)
$$

Next, let $h: M \times M \rightarrow A$ be a nonsingular hermitian or skew-hermitian form on $M$ with respect to the conjugation $\vartheta$. On considering the $R$-linear map

$$
\varphi_{h}: M \otimes^{\vartheta} M \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{A}(M)
$$

such that

$$
\varphi_{h}\left(x \otimes^{\vartheta} y\right)(u)=x \cdot h(y, u),
$$

a counterpart result of [KMRT98, Theorem 5.1] is given in the form of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3.0.4. The map $\varphi_{h}$ is an isomorphism satisfying the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{h}\left(\varphi_{h}\left(x \otimes^{\vartheta} y\right)\right)=\delta \varphi_{h}\left(y \otimes^{\vartheta} x\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in M$, where $\sigma_{h}$ stands for the adjoint involution induced by $h$, and where $\delta=1$ if $h$ is hermitian and $\delta=-1$ if $h$ is skew-hermitian. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{h}\left(x_{1} \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{1}\right) \circ \varphi_{h}\left(x_{2} \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{2}\right)=\varphi_{h}\left(x_{1} h\left(y_{1}, x_{2}\right) \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{1}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, note that, since $M$ is a right $A$-module of finite rank, where $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra, with $R$ both a local ring and a PID, as in [KMRT98, Proposition 4.1], for every hermitian or skewhermitian form $h$ on $M$, there exists a unique involution $\sigma_{h}$ on $E n d_{A}(M)$ such that $h(x, f(y))=$ $h\left(\sigma_{h}(f)(x), y\right)$, for all $x, y \in M$, and $f \in \operatorname{End} d_{A}(M)$. On the strength of Lemma 2.3.0.3 and the paragraph directly thereafter, the proof of [KMRT98, Theorem 5.1] applies here as well with the only difference that $M$ is regarded as an $R$-module with finite rank.

By setting

$$
\left(x_{1} \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{1}\right) \circ\left(x_{2} \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{2}\right)=x_{1} h\left(y_{1}, x_{2}\right) \otimes^{\vartheta} y_{2}
$$

for $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in M, M \otimes \vartheta{ }^{\vartheta} M$ is made into an $R$-algebra isomorphic to $\operatorname{End} d_{A}(M)$, whose algebra structure is given by Equation (2.2). On considering the involution $\sigma$ on $M \otimes^{\vartheta} M$, induced by $\sigma_{h}$, that is, such that $\sigma_{h} \circ \varphi_{h}=\varphi_{h} \circ \sigma$, one has

$$
\sigma\left(x \otimes^{\vartheta} y\right)=\delta y \otimes^{\vartheta} x,
$$

for $x, y \in M$, where $\delta=+1$ ( -1, resp.) if $h$ is hermitian (skew-hermitian, resp.).
For purposes of the lemma below, we state again that, given any Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$ of constant rank $n^{2}$, the reduced trace map $\operatorname{Trd}_{A}$ gives rise to a symmetric non-degenerate $R$-bilinear form $T_{A}: A \times A \rightarrow R$, by setting

$$
T_{A}(\alpha, \beta)=\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(\alpha \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in A
$$

See [For17, Corollary 11.1.6, p.410]. On the other hand, if $\sigma$ is an involution of the first kind on an Azumaya $R$-algebra $A$, then every $x \in A$ has the same reduced trace as its corresponding image $\sigma(x)$. Precisely, one has: $\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(\sigma(x))=\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(x)$, for every $x \in A$.

Lemma 2.3.0.5. Let $R$ be a PID, and $A$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra of constant rank. Then, the rank of $A$ is equal to some $n^{2}$, where $n \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{R} \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)+\operatorname{rank}_{R} \operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=n^{2}
$$

## Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}
$$

with respect to the bilinear form $T_{A}$ on $A$, induced by the reduced trace $\operatorname{Trd}_{A}$. Similarly,

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{R} \operatorname{Skew}(A, \sigma)+\operatorname{rank}_{R} \operatorname{Symd}(A, \sigma)=n^{2}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)=\operatorname{Skew}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}
$$

with respect to $T_{A}$.

Proof. [For17, Corollary 10.3.10, p.395] ensures that $A$ is of rank $n^{2}$ for some $n \geq 1$.
The first displayed relation follows from the fact that $R$ is a PID, $A$ is an $R$-module of finite rank, and $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ is the image of the linear endomorphism $\operatorname{Id}-\sigma$ of $A$, with $\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)=\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{Id}-\sigma)$, (see [AW92, Proposition 8.8, p.173]). Next, for the sake of easy referencing, we recall that a submodule of a finitely generated module over a PID is pure if and only if it is complemented, (see [AW92, Proposition 8.2, p.171]). Therefore, since $T_{A}$ is nondegenrate (see [Rei75, p. 116,

Theorem]), $A$ an $R$-module of finite rank and $\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)$ a pure submodule with $\left.T_{A}\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)}$ and $\left.T_{A}\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}}$ both nonsingular, it follows that (see [AW92, Corollary 2.34, p.361])

$$
\left(\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma) \oplus \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}=A ;
$$

hence $\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)$. But, for all $s \in \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)$,

$$
T_{A}(x-\sigma(x), s)=\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(s x)-\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(\sigma(s x))=0,
$$

therefore

$$
\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}
$$

hence,

$$
\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=\operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma)^{\perp}
$$

Lemma 2.3.0.6. Let $(A, \sigma)$ be an Azumaya $R$-algebra with involution of the first kind, on a local ring R. If $\operatorname{deg} A>2$, the set $\operatorname{Alt}(A, \sigma)=\{x-\sigma(x) \mid x \in A\}$ of alternating elements of $A$ generates $A$ as an associative algebra.

Proof. Application of Lemma 2.3.0.3 and the existence of a symplectic basis over an Azumaya algebra $A$ in Lemma 2.3.0.1 suffices to conclude that the proof here assumes the same lines as that of [KMRT98, p. 29, Lemma 2.26].

Let's now introduce the notion of quadratic pair, defined on an Azumaya $R$-algebra, where $R$ is an arbitrary commutative ring with unity of characteristic other than 2 . First, suppose that $\operatorname{Rank}_{R}(A) \equiv$ $\operatorname{Rank}(A)=n^{2}$, where $n$ is some integer $\geq 1$. Next, there is a commutative faithfully flat étale $R$-algebra $S$ such that

$$
\alpha: A \otimes_{R} S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{M}_{n}(S),
$$

where $n \geq 1$ and reduced trace

$$
\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(a)=\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha(a \otimes 1)),
$$

where, for $M \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(S), \operatorname{Tr}(M)$ is the trace of $M$.
Definition 2.3.0.7. An Azumaya quadratic pair is a triple $(A, \sigma, f)$, where $A$ is an Azumaya $R$-algebra over a commutative ring $R$, endowed with an orthogonal involution $\sigma$ of the first kind, and where $f: \operatorname{Sym}(A, \sigma) \rightarrow R$ is a linear map of $R$-modules, subject to the following condition

$$
f(x+\sigma(x))=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(x),
$$

for all $x \in A$, with $\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(x)$ being the reduced trace of $x$.
Theorem 2.3.0.8. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $(M, q)$ a quadratic $R$-module of finite rank $2 n$ such that $q$ is non-singular, $\varphi_{q}$ denote the isomorphism $\varphi_{q}: M \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$, given by $\varphi_{q}(u \otimes v)(x)=$ $u \cdot b_{q}(v, x)$ (Theorem 2.3.0.4), and $\sigma_{q}:=\sigma_{b_{q}}$ denote the adjoint involution corresponding to the nonsingular bilinear form $b_{q}$ of $q$. Then, there is a unique linear map $f_{q}: \operatorname{Sym}\left(\operatorname{End} d_{R}(M), \sigma_{q}\right) \rightarrow R$ such that

$$
\left(f_{q} \circ \varphi_{q}\right)(v \otimes v)=q(v),
$$

and the pair $\left(\sigma_{q}, f_{q}\right)$ is a quadratic pair on $\operatorname{End} d_{R}(M)$. Conversely, for any quadratic pair $(\sigma, f)$ on $\operatorname{End} d_{R}(M)$, there is a quadratic $R$-module $(M, q)$, where $q$ is non-singular and such that $\sigma_{q}=\sigma$ and $f_{q}=f$, and $q$ is uniquely determined up to a factor in $R^{\times}$.

Proof. (c.f. [KMRT98, Ch.I, (5.11)].) Let $M$ be a right $A$-module of finite rank and a torsion free projective $R$-module. Suppose $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is a basis of $M$. Indeed, the elements $\varphi_{q}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right)$ and $\varphi_{q}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}+e_{j} \otimes e_{i}\right)$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ generate elements of

$$
\operatorname{Sym}\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M), \sigma_{q}\right)=\varphi_{q}\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(M \otimes M, \sigma_{q}\right)\right) .
$$

Define $f_{q}\left(\varphi_{q}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right)\right)=q\left(e_{i}\right), f_{q}\left(\varphi_{q}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}+e_{j} \otimes e_{i}\right)\right)=b_{q}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)$ and extend linearly to the mapping

$$
f_{q}: \operatorname{Sym}\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M), \sigma_{q}\right) \rightarrow R .
$$

For some element $m=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \beta_{i} \in M$, we have

$$
f_{q} \circ \varphi(m \otimes m)=f_{q} \circ \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right) \beta_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}+e_{j} \otimes e_{i}\right) \beta_{i} \beta_{j}\right) .
$$

The above equality simplifies to give a well defined map $f_{q}$ on the elements of $M$ as

$$
f_{q} \circ \varphi(m \otimes m)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q\left(e_{i}\right) \beta_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \beta_{i} \beta_{j}=q(m) .
$$

As $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M), \sigma_{q}\right)$ is spanned by unique elements of the form $\varphi_{q}(m \otimes m)$, the uniqueness of the map $f_{q}$ will follow. Next, we show that $\left(\sigma_{q}, f_{q}\right)$ is a quadratic pair for $\sigma_{q}$ symmetric. By definition, we have a semi trace map

$$
\varphi_{q}(x+\sigma(x))=\operatorname{Trd}_{E n d_{R}(M)}(x)
$$

where $x \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$. Let $x=\varphi_{q}(m \otimes t)$, where $m, t \in M$. So, the left side of $f_{q}$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{q}(\varphi(m \otimes t))= & f_{q}(\varphi(m \otimes t)+\varphi(t \otimes m)) \\
& =f_{q} \circ \varphi((m+t) \otimes(t+m))-f_{q} \circ \varphi(m \otimes m)-f_{q} \circ \varphi(t \otimes t) \\
& =b_{q}(m, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 2.3.0.4, we have

$$
b_{q}(m, t)=b_{q}(t, m)=\operatorname{Trd}(\varphi(m \otimes t)) .
$$

Conversely, suppose we have a quadratic pair $(\sigma, f)$ on $E n d_{R}(A)$. By Theorem 2.2.1.6, the involution $\sigma$ is the adjoint involution with respect to some nonsingular symmetric bilinear form $b: M \times M \rightarrow R$ which is uniquely determined up to a factor in $R^{\times}$. Since $\varphi_{b}(M \otimes M) \cong\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M), \sigma_{b}\right)$, where $\varphi_{q}(m \otimes t)(x)=m \cdot b_{q}(t, x)$ with $\sigma(m \otimes t)=m \otimes t$. We can define the associated quadratic form $q: M \rightarrow R$ such that

$$
\left.q(m)=f \circ \varphi_{q}\right)(m \otimes m)
$$

It is evident from the Definition (2.2.1.4) that

$$
\left.q(\beta m)=f \circ \varphi_{q}\right)(\beta m \otimes \beta m)=q(m) \beta^{2} .
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(m+t)-q(m)-q(t)= & \left.f_{q} \circ \varphi(m \otimes t)+f_{q} \circ \varphi(t \otimes m)\right) \\
& =f_{q}(\varphi(m \otimes t)+\sigma(\varphi(t \otimes m))) \\
& =\operatorname{Trd}_{E n d_{R} M}(m \otimes t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $(\sigma, f)$ is a quadratic pair, then we have

$$
\operatorname{Trd}(\varphi(m \otimes t))=b_{q}(m, t)=b_{q}(t, m)
$$

Owing to the fact that $q$ is a quadratic with associated bilinear form $b, \sigma_{b} \equiv \sigma$ and $f \equiv f_{q}$. Since $b$ is uniquely determined, then so is $q$.

## Chapter 3

## Sheaves of Modules and Algebras

In this chapter, we consider elements from the theory of sheaves and schemes directly relevant to the sequel. Standard definitions and basic results are reviewed, including a supply of proofs of results to be employed within this chapter and the next. Our texts are adopted from: [Ma198, Chapter 1, pp 2-79], [GW10, Chapters 2-3, pp.40-88] and [Bos13, Part B, §6.1-§6.9]. We start our exploration by considering sheaves on topological spaces.

### 3.1 Preliminaries on sheaves and schemes

Definition 3.1.0.1. A sheaf of sets is a triple $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$ where $\mathcal{S}, X$ are topological spaces and $\pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow X$ a surjective local homeomorphism with the property that : for every element $z \in \mathcal{S}$, there is an open neighbourhood $V$ of $z \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\pi(V)$ is an open neighbourhood of $\pi(z)$ in $X$ and the restriction map

$$
\left.\pi\right|_{V}: V \rightarrow\left(\left.\pi\right|_{V}\right)(V)=\pi(V)
$$

is a homeomorphism. In the triple $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$, we refer to $\mathcal{S}$ simply as a sheaf over $X$ or sheaf space, $X$ as the base space of the sheaf concerned, while the onto map $\pi$ as a projection of the sheaf space $\mathcal{S}$ on $X$.

Remark 3.1.0.2. i. For any open subset $U$ of $X$, the open set $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ defines a subsheaf (subspace) of $\mathcal{S}$ which by restriction to $U$ gives a sheaf $\left(\pi^{-1}(U),\left.\pi\right|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}, U\right)$ over $U$ with $\mathcal{S}:=\pi^{-1}(U)$. [Ma198, Chapter.1,§pp.1-10]
ii. For every $x \in X$, where $x$ is in the image of $\pi$, the set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{x}:=\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \equiv \pi^{-1}(x)
$$

is called the fiber or stalk of $\mathcal{S}$ at the point $x \in X$. In this regard, $\mathcal{S}$ is a partition of fibers and thus a disjoint union of sets, 1.e,

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\sum_{x \in X} \pi^{-1}(x) \equiv \sum_{x \in X} \mathcal{S}_{x},
$$

for every $x \in X$ and the corresponding stalk $\mathcal{S}_{x}$ of a given sheaf is a discrete space when endowed with the relative topology of $\mathcal{S}$. Every element $z$ in $\mathcal{S}_{x}$ is an open neighbourhood $V$ as in Definition 3.1.0.1 satisfying $V \cap \mathcal{S}_{x}=\{z\}$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{S} h_{X}$, the category of sheaves of sets on $X$.
iii. For a sheaf $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$, the open subsets of $\mathcal{S}$ to which the restriction of $\pi$ is a homeomorphism constitutes a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{S}$. [Ma198, Lemma 1.1, p.4]

Definition 3.1.0.3. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \rho, X)$ and $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$ be two sheaves of sets on $X$. A morphism of $\mathcal{E}$ into $\mathcal{S}$ is a stalk preserving continuous map $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ for which the diagram below is commutative;

which by definition is the relation $\pi \circ \varphi=\rho$ where $\varphi_{x}$ is continuous with $\varphi\left(\mathcal{E}_{x}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{x}$ for every $x \in X$, such that

$$
\varphi_{x}:=\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{E}_{x}}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} .
$$

Additionally,

$$
\varphi(z):=\varphi_{x}(z)
$$

where $x=\pi(z)$ for some $z \in \mathcal{E}$.
Suppose $(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$ is a sheaf and $U \subseteq X$ an arbitrary open subset. A section $s$ of $\mathcal{S}$ over the open subset $U$ is a continuous map $s: U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $\pi \circ s=i d_{U}$. Any element $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is a local section of $\mathcal{S}$ over $U$ whenever there is a proper containment $U \subset X$ and we denote the set of all local sections of $S$ over $U$ by

$$
\mathcal{S}(U) \equiv \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}) .
$$

Similarly, the elements of $\mathcal{S}(X) \equiv \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})$ are called global sections $\mathcal{S}$ over $X$ for the particular case $U=X$.

Next, we now consider the definition equivalent to that of a sheaf given in Definition 3.1.0.1. We move by considering a presheaf of sets on a topological space.

Let $X$ be a topological space. Define a category $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ with open sets as objects on $X$ as follows; for any two objects $V, U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$, define morphisms by setting

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}(X)}(V, U)=\left\{i_{U}^{V}\right\},
$$

a set with exactly one element, the inclusion map $i_{V}^{U}: V \rightarrow U$ for any pair $(V, U)$ if $V \subseteq U$ and otherwise,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}(X)}(V, U)=\emptyset .
$$

Definition 3.1.0.4. A presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of sets of an algebraic structure (resp. groups, rings, modules over a ring,... ) on $X$ with values in the category Set is a contravariant functor

$$
\mathcal{F}: \mathfrak{O}(X) \rightarrow \text { Set }
$$

such that we have the following data:
F. 1 For every open set $U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$, the functor $\mathcal{F}$ associates it to an object $\mathcal{F}(U)$ in Set.
F.2 For each pair of open sets $V \subseteq U$, we get a restriction map $\rho_{V}^{U}$ of $U$ to $V$ viz. $\rho_{V}^{U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ which is a morphism such that,
i. $\rho_{U}^{U}=i d_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$
ii. for any chain inclusion of open sets $V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset U$, we have a commutative diagram

which by definition is $\rho_{V_{2}}^{U}=\rho_{V_{2}}^{V_{1}} \circ \rho_{V_{1}}^{U}$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{S} h_{X}$, the category of presheaves of sets on $X$. The equivalent formulation of Definition 3.1.0.4 can be obtained as an inductive system of sets $(\mathcal{F}(U))_{U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)}$, where morphisms are of type $\rho_{V}^{U}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is a set preordered by inclusion. See [Ma198, Chapter 1, p.28]

Proposition 3.1.0.5. [Bos13, Proposition 2, §6.4] Inductive and projective limits exist in the categories of sets, groups, rings, and modules (over a given ring $R$ ).

Definition 3.1.0.6. A presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of an algebraic structure (resp. groups, rings, modules over a ring,... ) on a topological space $X$ is called a sheaf or a complete presheaf if for every open subset $U \subset X$ and every open covering $\mathscr{U}=\left(U_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ by open subsets $U_{\lambda} \subset U$, the following are satisfied:

Sh.1 Given any two sections $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that

$$
\rho_{U_{\lambda}}^{U}(f) \equiv\left(\left.f\right|_{U_{\lambda}}\right)=f_{\lambda}=g_{\lambda}=\left(\left.g\right|_{U_{\lambda}}\right) \equiv \rho_{U_{\lambda}}^{U}(g)
$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $f=g$.

Sh. 2 If $f_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}\left(U_{\lambda}\right), \lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfies

$$
\left.\rho_{U_{\lambda} \cap U_{\lambda^{\prime}}}^{U_{\lambda}}\left(f_{\lambda}\right) \equiv f_{\lambda}\right|_{U_{\lambda} \cap U_{\lambda^{\prime}}}=\left.f_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right|_{U_{\lambda} \cap U_{\lambda^{\prime}}} \equiv \rho_{U_{\lambda} \cap U_{\lambda^{\prime}}}^{U_{\lambda^{\prime}}}\left(f_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)
$$

for $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda$, then there exists a section $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\left.f\right|_{U_{\lambda}}=f_{\lambda}$.
Definition 3.1.0.7. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}(U),(\rho)_{U, V}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{E}(U),(\beta)_{U, V}\right)$ be presheaves on $X$, a map $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a set of maps

$$
\alpha(U): \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)
$$

for each open set $U \subset X$, such that for any $V \subset U \subset X$, the diagram below commutes

that is,

$$
\rho_{V}^{U} \circ \alpha(U)=\alpha(V) \circ \beta_{V}^{U}
$$

with every $\alpha \equiv \prod_{U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. (c.f. [Ma198, Chapter 1, §6].)
Remark 3.1.0.8. Given a sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{A}$, a vector sheaf is a locally free $\mathcal{A}$-module of finite rank $n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $n>1$ or rank 1 respectiviely.

Remark 3.1.0.9. [GW10, Proposition 2.7, p.52 ] Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on a topological space $X$. There exists a pair $\left(\mathcal{F}^{+}, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ where $\mathcal{F}^{+}$is a sheaf on $X$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{+}$is a morphism of presheaves satisfying the following property; if $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf on $X$ and $\vartheta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of presheaves, then there exists a unique morphism of sheaves $\theta: \mathcal{F}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\vartheta=\theta \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$. The pair $\left(\mathcal{F}^{+}, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ is unique up to isomorphism. Additionally,
a. For all $x \in X$, the morphism

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_{x}}: \mathcal{F}_{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{x}^{+}
$$

is a bijection.
b. For every presheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on $X$ and every morphism of preseheaves $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, there exists a unique morphism $\varphi^{+}: \mathcal{F}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{+}$making the diagram below commutative


Particularly, the functor $\mathfrak{S}: \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S} h_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} h_{X}$ with assignment $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{+}$is a covariant functor from the category of presheaves of sets on $X$ to the the category of sheaves of sets on $X$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}^{+}$is called the sheaf associated to $\mathcal{F}$ or a sheafification of $\mathcal{F}$. The sheafification functor is left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathfrak{I}: \mathcal{S} h_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} S h_{X}$ of the category of sheaves into the category of presheaves, that is, there is a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{S h_{X}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{G}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P S h_{X}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf and $\mathcal{G}$ a sheaf on $X$. See also [Ma198, Chapter 1,§8, pp.33-35].

### 3.2 Structure sheaf of rings

Generally, the spectrum $X:=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ of a commutative ring $R$ endowed with a Zariski topology may not contain sufficient information about the structure of $R$. So, $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is enlarged by introducing an additional structure on it called its structure sheaf from which the ring $R$ can be recovered .

Let $R$ be a ring, $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ the spectrum of $R$ and denote by $D(X)$ the category of distinguished open subsets $D(f)=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ for $f \in R$ with inclusions as morphisms. A structure presheaf of rings on $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is a contravariant functor

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}: D(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R i n g}
$$

with assignments :
a. $D(f) \mapsto R_{f}$ where $R_{f}$ is the localization of $R$ at some ring element $f$ of the set $\Omega=\left\{f^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$.
b. To each inclusion map $D(f) \subset D(g)$, the functor $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ associates a well defined map $R_{g} \rightarrow R_{f}$.

By way of [MO15, pp.5-7], the sections of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))$ over distinguished open sets $D(f)$ are defined as localizations of the ring $R$ at the multiplicative set $\Omega=\left\{f^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ or the ring of fractions $\frac{a}{f^{n}}$, where $a \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We notice from [MO15, Proposition 1.1.9] that,

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(R)=\bigcup_{f \in \Omega} \operatorname{Spec}(R)_{f}
$$

if and only if the unity element belongs to the ideal $\sum_{f \in \Omega} f \cdot R$ generated by $\Omega$, that is, $1 \in \sum_{f \in \Omega} f \cdot R$. Therefore, there are finite sets of elements $f_{1}, f_{2}, . ., f_{n} \in \Omega$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in R$ such that $1=\sum g_{i} f_{i}$. Since every open cover in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ admits a finite sub-cover (see [MO15, Corollary 1.1.10]), $D(f) \subset$
$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D\left(g_{i}\right)$ and there is an integer $m \geq 1$, and some element $a_{i} \in R$ such that $f^{m}=\sum a_{i} g_{i}$. In particular, for an inclusion $D(f) \subset D(g)$, we have $f^{m}=\sum a_{i} g_{i}$ and the map $R_{g} \rightarrow R_{f}$ defined by

$$
\frac{b}{g^{n}} \mapsto \frac{b}{\left(\frac{f^{m}}{a}\right)^{n}}=\frac{b a^{n}}{f^{m n}}
$$

Thereupon, the equality $D(f)=D(g)$ gives an identification $D(f) \equiv D(g)$ as $R_{g} \rightarrow R_{f}$ and $R_{f} \rightarrow R_{g}$ will be inverse mappings. Thus, we have the presheaf of rings

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f)):=R_{f}
$$

on the base $\{D(f) \mid f \in R\}$ of $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ such that whenever $D(f) \subseteq D(g)$, there is a canonical restriction map $\rho_{D(f)}^{D(g)}: R_{g} \rightarrow R_{f}$. In addition, the chain of inclusions $D(f) \subseteq D(g) \subseteq D(h)$ yields a composition map

$$
\rho_{D(f)}^{D(h)}=\rho_{D(g)}^{D(h)} \circ \rho_{D(f)}^{D(g)} .
$$

Lemma 3.2.0.1. Given a ring $R$ and a topological space $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. The presheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ of rings is a sheaf on the basis $\{D(f) \mid f \in R\}$ when we restrict to open coverings $D(f)=\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D\left(f_{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. See [Bos13, Proposition 2, p.241] or [GW10, Theorem 2.33, p.58.]. Indeed for any element such that $\frac{b}{f^{m}} \mapsto 0$ in each $R_{g_{i}}$, by construction of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))$, there is an element $a \in R$ such that $a=a\left(\sum b_{i} g_{i}\right)=0$ since $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is quasi-compact and $1=\sum b_{i} g_{i}$. Thus, for any section $s \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))$ such that $\left.s\right|_{D\left(f_{i}\right)}=0$ for all $i$, we have $s=0$.
Secondly, for all elements $\frac{b_{k}}{g_{k}^{m_{k}}} \in R_{g_{k}}$ such that $\frac{b_{k}}{g_{k}^{m_{k}}}=\frac{b_{j}}{g_{j}^{m_{j}}}$ in $R_{g_{k}} \cdot R_{g_{j}}$, there is an element $\frac{b}{f^{m}} \in R_{f}$ mapping to $\frac{b_{k}}{g_{k}^{m_{k}}} \in R_{g_{k}}$ for every $k$. See also [MO15, lemma 1.1.13].

Remark 3.2.0.2. If the value of each element of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ is known on each $U \subset X$, we can define $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ as a functor on every open subset $V$ of $X$. That is, the functor $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ on $\{D(f) \mid f \in R\}$ can be extended to a functor $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}_{X}$ defined on all $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ by defining its sections as follows; for all open subsets $U \subset X$

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X}(U)=\underset{D(f) \subset U}{\stackrel{\lim }{\leftrightarrows}} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))=\underset{f \in R, D(f) \subset U}{\underset{~}{~}} R_{f},
$$

where the projective limit runs over all open subsets $D(f) \subset X$ that are contained in $U$ for all $f \in R$.

By [Bos13, Theorem 3, p.244], the universal property of projective limits confirms $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}_{X}$ to be a functor on the category of open sets and it yields a sheaf of rings on $X$.

Definition 3.2.0.3. Let $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})=\{D(f) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in D(f)\}$ be a collection of all open sets containing $\mathfrak{p} \in$ $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})$ is preordered by inclusion on opens $D(f)$. The inductive limit

$$
\xrightarrow[D(f) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})]{\lim } R_{f}
$$

of the system $\left.\left(R_{f}, \rho_{D(f)}^{D(f)}\right)\right)_{D(f) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})}$ is defined by way of the association

$$
\frac{a}{f^{n}} \in R_{f} \mapsto\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}, D(f)\right)
$$

We first let $\mathcal{R}$ be a set of pairs $\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}, D(f)\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left.\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}, D(f)\right) \right\rvert\, D(f) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})\right\} .
$$

Then, an equivalence relation $\sim$ is introduced on $\mathcal{R}$ as follows ;

$$
\left(\frac{a}{f^{r}}, D(f)\right) \sim\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{g^{t}}, D(g)\right)
$$

if and only if there is an open set $D(h) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $D(h) \subset D(f)$ and $D(h) \subset D(g)$ satisfying

$$
\rho_{D(h)}^{D(f)}\left(\frac{a}{f^{r}}\right)=\rho_{D(h)}^{D(f)}\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{g^{t}}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\xrightarrow[D(f) \in \mathfrak{D}(p)]{\lim } R_{f}
$$

is the quotient $\mathcal{R} / \sim$ consisting of classes $\left[\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}, D(f)\right)\right]$. See [KK99a, pp.58-59].
Proposition 3.2.0.4. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. There is an isomorphism

$$
\underset{D(f) \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}}{\lim } R_{f} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

for all $f \in R$.

Proof. See [KK99b, Proposition 2.14, p.62].
Remark 3.2.0.5. If $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a sheaf on a topological space $X$. The stalk of the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ at $x$ is the inductive limit of all sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ taken over all open sets $U \subset X$ containing $x$ and the system of maps $\rho_{V}^{U}$ for $V \subset U$;

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X, x}=\underset{U \ni x}{\lim } \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)=\underset{D(f) \ni x}{\lim } \mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))=\underset{f \in \Omega}{\lim } R_{f}=R_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

where $f \in \Omega=R-\mathfrak{p}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the localization of the ring $R$ at a point $\mathfrak{p} \in X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. By Remark 1.2.1.6, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{x} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}$ and there is a natural map

$$
R=\mathcal{O}_{X}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{x} / \mathfrak{p}_{x} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{x} / \mathfrak{p}_{x} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}$ is the quoitent field of $R / \mathfrak{p}$.
Also, the stalk at the point $x \in X$ is the set of pairs

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X, x}=\left\{(s, U) \mid x \in U, s \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)\right\},
$$

where $U$ is an open neighbourhood of $x$ factored over an equivalence relation where $\left(s_{1}, U_{1}\right) \equiv\left(s_{2}, U_{2}\right)$ if there exists an open set $U_{3} \subseteq U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ such that $x \in U_{3}$ and $\left.s_{1}\right|_{U_{3}}(x)=\left.s_{2}\right|_{U_{3}}(x)$. For this reason, for every $x \in U$, there is a natural map

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}
$$

sending a section $s \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ to the equivalence class of $(s, U)$ denoted $s_{x} \equiv s(x)$ called the germ at $x$. Ultimately, each section of a sheaf is completely determined by its germs, that is, the natural map

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{X, x}
$$

is injective.
Definition 3.2.0.6. A pair $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ of a topological space $X$ and a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ on it is called a ringed space.

A morphism of ringed spaces $\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)$ is a pair $\left(f, f^{\sharp}\right)$ where $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map and $f^{\sharp}: \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ a morphism of sheaves of rings on $Y$. Here $f_{*}\left(O_{X}\right)$ is a sheaf on $Y$ given by

$$
V \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(f^{-1}(V)\right) \equiv f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

and canonical restriction maps. Thus, $f^{\sharp}$ consists of a family of ring homomorphisms

$$
f: \mathcal{O}_{Y}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(f^{-1}(V)\right)
$$

where $V$ is an open subset of $Y$ compatible with restriction morphisms; so, the diagram

is commutative for open subsets $U, W \subset Y$ such that $W \subseteq V$. The pair $\left(f, f^{\sharp}\right)$ is an isomorphism if $f$ is a homeomorphism and each map $f_{V}^{\sharp}: \mathscr{O}_{Y}(V) \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)(V)$ is a ring isomorphism. This morphism of ringed spaces in a natural way induces a ring homomorphism

$$
f_{x}^{\sharp}: \mathscr{O}_{Y, f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and open subset $V$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in V$. This gives way to a commutative diagram


The composition

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(f^{-1}(V)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}
$$

is compatible with restrictions on $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ as

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Y, f(x)} \equiv \underset{V \subseteq Y}{\lim } \mathcal{O}_{Y}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x},
$$

(see [Bos13, p.248, Remark 7 ]). As observed earlier, every stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X},{ }_{x}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{x} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}$. In this case, the associated ringed space ( $X, \mathcal{O}_{X}$ ) is a locally ringed space.

Definition 3.2.0.7. An affine scheme is a locally ringed space $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ such that there is an isomorphism of ringed spaces $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)}\right)$ for some ring $R$. A scheme is a locally ringed space $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ such that there exists an open covering $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$ for which each pair $\left(X_{i},\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{X_{i}}\right)$ is an affine scheme for all $i \in I$ where $\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{X_{i}}$ is the restriction of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ to the open subset $X_{i} \subset X$.

When there is no confusion of terminology, we shall write $X$ to refer to the scheme $\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and a morphism of schemes is just a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

### 3.3 Quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules

In this section, we consider basic properties of quasi-coherent and coherent sheaves. We move by looking at definitions and results on sheaves of modules over ringed spaces.

Definition 3.3.0.1. An $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\widetilde{M}$ is an additive sheaf of abelian groups on $X$ together with a law of composition. That is, on $\widetilde{M}$, we have two morphisms of sheaves defined as follows; addition,

$$
\sigma: \widetilde{M}(U) \times \widetilde{M}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(U),
$$

$\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \mapsto s+s^{\prime}$ for an open subset $U \subset X$ with sections $s, s^{\prime} \in \widetilde{M}(U)$ and multiplication

$$
\mu: \mathscr{O}_{X}(U) \times \widetilde{M}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(U)
$$

such that, for any open subset $U \subset X$, the map $\mu(U): \mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \times \widetilde{M}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(U)$ defines an $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ module structure on $\widetilde{M}(U)$ by the action $(a, s) \mapsto$ as where $a \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U), s \in \widetilde{M}(U)$.

The construction of the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ in Section 3.2 can be extended to construct a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules $\widetilde{M}$ on an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ associated to every $R$-module $M$. In this instant, to every open set $D(f)$, we assign a localization $M_{f}$ of $M$ at a multiplicative set $\Omega$. So

$$
\widetilde{M}(D(f)):=M \otimes_{R} R_{f}=M_{f}
$$

yields an $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))=R_{f}$-module structure on $M_{f}$. For open subsets $D(f) \subset D(g) \subset X$, we get a restriction map $\rho_{D(f)}^{D(g)}: M_{g} \rightarrow M_{f}$. Following the result in [Bos13, Theorem 5, p.247], we can extend the map $D(f) \mapsto M_{f}$ to a sheaf of groups defined by $U \mapsto \widetilde{M}(U)$ for every open set $U$ containing $D(f)$. With this construction, we can recover $M$ from $\widetilde{M}$ by setting

$$
\widetilde{M}(U):=\lim _{\leftarrow} M_{f}
$$

where the limit runs over all $f \in R$ such that $D(f) \subset U$. Then $\widetilde{M}$ is a module over $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$. Any inclusion $U \subset V$ defines a homomorphism $\rho_{V}^{U}: \widetilde{M}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{N}(U)$ mimicking the case of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ for $M=R$. Therefore, the system $\left(\widetilde{M}(U), \rho_{V}^{U}\right)$ defines a sheaf of modules $\widetilde{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X}$. A homomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ of $R$-modules determines a homomorphism $\varphi_{f}: M_{f} \rightarrow N_{f}$ for every $f \in R$, and on passing to the limits, we obtain a homomorphism of sheaves $\widetilde{\varphi}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$.
If $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ and $\psi: N \rightarrow Q$ are two homomorphisms, then $\widetilde{\varphi \circ \psi}=\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \widetilde{\psi}$. This enables us to recover $M$ from $\widetilde{M}$ and we get $\widetilde{M}(X)=M$. See [Sha13, Chapter 6, $\S 3$, pp.85-86].
The stalk at a point $x \in X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is an inductive limit

$$
\widetilde{M}_{x}=\underset{\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)}{\lim } M_{f}\left(D(f):=M_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}=M \otimes_{R} R \mathfrak{p}\right.
$$

where $M_{\mathfrak{p}_{x}}$ denotes the localization of $M$ at the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{x}$ and the set $D(f)$ runs through the open neighborhoods of $\mathfrak{p} \in X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

As seen in Section 3.2, for open sets $V \subset U \subset X$, the restriction maps satisfy the commutative diagram

thereby rendering $\widetilde{M}$ a presheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules that satisfies the sheaf properties. See [Bos13, Theorem 5, p.247]. For any $R$-linear map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, and $f \in R$, we have an $R_{f}$-linear map $\varphi_{f}: M_{f} \rightarrow N_{f}$ such that for an inclusion of open sets $D(f) \subset D(f)$, the induced diagram

is commutative. Additionally, for $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, the $R_{f}$-linear map induces a map on stalks $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}: M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow$ $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Consequently, for all $U \subset X$, the induced map

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{U}: \widetilde{M}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{N}(U)
$$

can be extended to a homomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}
$$

of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. In this way, we obtain a functor $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ from the category of $R$-modules to the category of $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules for any given $R$-linear map.
Conversely, for the inverse, an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear $\operatorname{map} \psi: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces an $R$-linear map on global sections of modules over $R=\mathcal{O}_{X}(X)$ given by

$$
\psi(X): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) .
$$

From the diagram below,

the map $\psi(X)$ is a right inverse to $\psi$. Furthermore, since

$$
\left.\psi(X)\right|_{(D(f))}=\psi(D(f))
$$

for any $f \in R$, the $R_{f}$-morphism $\psi(D(f))$ is uniquely determined by $\psi(X)$. Thereupon, we get a functor

$$
\mathcal{M} \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(X)
$$

between the category of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules and the category of $R$-modules.

Theorem 3.3.0.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ and $N$ be $R$-modules and $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ the spectrum of $R$. Then, the two maps

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) \leftarrow \mathcal{H} m_{\sigma_{X}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{N})
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{N})
$$

are mutually inverse. Particularly, the functor $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$ is exact, fully faithful and there is an equivalence of categories of $R$-modules to that of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules of the form $\widetilde{M}$.

Proof. See [KK99b, Proposition 4.20, p.25] and [Har77, Proposition 5.2, p.110].

The result in Theorem 3.1.1.1 enables us to translate much of the theory of $R$-modules into the theory of sheaves on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and brings various geometric ideas into the theory of $R$-modules.

Definition 3.3.0.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ module over a scheme $X$.
i. If for each point $x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ so that the sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{U}$-modules

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}^{\oplus J}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus I}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \simeq \widetilde{M}$ for some open affine set $U=D(f) \subset X$. Note that $I$ and $J$ need not be finite sets.
ii. For every open affines $V \subset U$, the mapping $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\Theta_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{X}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is an isomorphism.

Then, the $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$-module $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be a quasi-coherent or a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules.

If for each point $x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ so that the sequence of $\mathscr{O}_{U}$-modules

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\oplus n}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, then $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module.
Example 3.3.0.4. a. The structure sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is finitely generated quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$ module since for every affine open set $U=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R_{f}\right),\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U} \cong \tilde{R}$. cf.[Har77, Example.5.2.1, p.111]
b. The dual $\mathcal{F}^{\vee}$ of a quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is also quasi-coherent. Additionally,

$$
\widetilde{\left(M^{\vee}\right)} \cong(\widetilde{M})^{\vee}
$$

where $M$ is some $R$-module such that $\left.\widetilde{M} \simeq \mathcal{F}\right|_{D(f)}$. Indeed, by application of Theorem 3.3.0.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{R} \widetilde{(M, R)}(D(f))= & \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R) \otimes_{R} R_{f} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{f}}\left(M_{f}, R_{f}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{D(f)}}\left(\left.\widetilde{M_{f}}\right|_{D(f)},\left.\widetilde{R_{f}}\right|_{D(f)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{R}) \\
& =(\widetilde{M})^{\vee}
\end{aligned}
$$

For properties of Quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules, consider the theorem below;
Theorem 3.3.0.5. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be an affine scheme determined by a commutative ring $R$.
a. The $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules $\widetilde{M}$ induced by an $R$-module $M$ is quasi-coherent, and for any open set $D(f)$ of $X$,

$$
\widetilde{M}(D(f))=M_{f},
$$

and in particular

$$
\widetilde{M}(X)=M .
$$

b. For an $R$-module homomorphism $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, the map

$$
\Phi: \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{N})
$$

assigning an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism of $R$-modules.
c. For $R$-modules $M$ and $N$, we have isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$modules

$$
\widetilde{M} \oplus \widetilde{N} \simeq(M \oplus N)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{N} \simeq(M \otimes N) .
$$

Furthermore, if $M$ is a finitely presented $R$-module, then there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{N}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)
$$

Proof. See [KK99b, Proposition 4.20, p.25].

Example 3.3.0.6. Every $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module is quasi-coherent for an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Indeed, every $R$-module can be recovered from an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\widetilde{M}$ and every $R$-linear map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear map $\widetilde{\varphi}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$. Upon considering the stalks, the map $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}: M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a localized $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-linear map. As localization preserves exactness, a short exact sequence of $R$-modules

$$
M \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}
$$

will induce a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules

$$
\widehat{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M_{1}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M_{2}}
$$

Thus, the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\widetilde{M}$ determining an $R$-module $M$ is quasi-coherent.
Definition 3.3.0.7. An $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ on a scheme $X$ is locally of finite type if every point $x \in X$ admits an open neighborhood $U \subset X$ together with an exact sequence of type

$$
\left.\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}\right)^{(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $I$ is finite. Additionally, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module is such that for every open set $U \subset X$ open and $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module morphism $\phi:\left.\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}\right)^{(J)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\right|_{U}$ with the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} \phi$ locally of finite type, then every such $\mathcal{F}$ where $J$ is finite is said to be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$-module .

Definition 3.3.0.8. An $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of rank $n$ if there exists an open covering $\left(U_{j}\right)_{(j \in J)}$ of $X$ such that the restriction $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U_{J}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ to $U_{j}$ is a free module of rank $n$ over $\mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}=\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U_{j}}$. A locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of rank $n$ is also called a locally free sheaf of rank $n$ or $a$ Local gauge of $\mathcal{E}$.

### 3.4 Involutions on Azumaya algebras over schemes

In this section, we discuss involutions on Azumaya algebras over schemes which are underpinned by generalizing the result in the theorem in [KMRT98, Chapter 1, p.1] to classical Azumaya $R$-algebras. On considering the framework of Azumaya algebras over schemes, Theorem 2.2.1.6 can be restated as follows:

Theorem 3.4.0.1. Let $R$ be a local ring and $A$ an Azumaya $R$-algebra of finite rank. The map that sends each nonsingular bilinear form $b: A \times A \rightarrow R$ onto its adjoint anti-automorphism

$$
\widetilde{\sigma_{b}}: \overline{\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)}
$$

is a bijection. Moreover, the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear involutions of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\overline{\operatorname{End} d_{R}(A)}\right)$ correspond to nonsingular bilinear forms which are either symmetric or skew-symmetric.

Proof. The existence and fact that $\widetilde{\sigma_{b}}$ is a sheaf anti-automorphism evidently derives from the bijective map

$$
\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(A), \operatorname{End}_{R}(A)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\sigma_{X}}\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{End}_{R}(A}\right), \widetilde{\operatorname{End}(A)}\right), \quad \sigma \rightarrow \widetilde{\sigma}
$$

in Theorem 3.3.0.2.

Now, let $A$ be an $R$-algebra not necessarily Azumaya, and $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ an affine scheme. We consider involutions on $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras $\mathscr{F}$ associated with $A$ classically denoted by $\widetilde{A}$. An involution on an $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$ algebra $\mathscr{F}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-anti-automorphism of order 2, that is an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-endomorphism of $\mathscr{F}$ such that, for any given sections $s, t$ of $\mathscr{F}$ over some open subset $U$ of $X, \sigma(s t)=\sigma(t) \sigma(s)$ and $\sigma^{2}=$ id.

Definition 3.4.0.2. Let $R$ be a ring, $A$ an $R$-algebra such that the canonical morphism $R \rightarrow A$ is injective, $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ an affine scheme, and $\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U} \simeq \widetilde{A}$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra associated with $A$. An involution $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{F}$ is a standard $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-involution provided that, for every open $U$ in $X, \sigma(U)$ is a standard involution, that is, the morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(U)$ is injective, and $a \sigma(U)(a) \equiv a \sigma(a) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ for all $a \in \mathscr{F}(U)$; the scalar $a \sigma(a)$ is the norm of a denoted by $\mathcal{N}(U)(a) \equiv \mathscr{N}(a)$. The trace of $a \in \mathscr{F}(U)$ is the element $a+\sigma(a)=\mathscr{N}(a+1)-\mathcal{N}(a)-1 \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ usually denoted by $\operatorname{tr}_{U}(a) \equiv \operatorname{tr}(a)$.

When the case at hand is clear, we shall write $\sigma$ for any component $\sigma(U)$ of a sheaf morphism $\sigma$, so that the condition $a \sigma(U)(a) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ of Definition 3.4.0.2 becomes $a \sigma(a) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}$.

We notice from Theorem 3.3.0.2 that the mapping $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F})$, sending any endomorphism $\varphi$ of $A$ onto its corresponding endomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}$ of $\mathscr{F}$, where for any $f \in R, \widetilde{\varphi}(D(f))=$ $\varphi \otimes 1_{R_{f}}$, is a bijection. On the strength of this bijection, it goes without mentioning that, an endomorphism $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$ is an involution if and only if its image $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an involution of $\mathscr{F}$. Indeed, let $\frac{a}{f^{m}}$, $\frac{b}{f^{n}} \in A_{f}$; Evidently, we have that $\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{a}{f^{m}} \frac{b}{f^{n}}\right)=\frac{\sigma(a b)}{f^{m} f^{n}}=\widetilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{b}{f^{n}}\right) \widetilde{\sigma}\left(\frac{a}{f^{m}}\right)$. Further to this, since the correspondence $A \mapsto \widetilde{A}$ yields an exact fully faithful functor from the category of $R$-modules to the category of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules (c.f. Theorem 3.3.0.2), it follows that $\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}=1$.

For any open set $U$ in $X$, the $U$-th component of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ can be recovered from $\sigma$ by setting

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(U)=\lim _{D(f) \subseteq U}^{\leftrightarrows} \tilde{\sigma}(D(f))=\lim _{f \in R \text { with }}^{\leftrightarrows} \sigma_{f},
$$

which is an involution on $\mathscr{F}(U)$. In view of this bijective correspondence, we shall time and again identify $\sigma$ with $\widetilde{\sigma}$ whenever there is no confusion.

We now make a note that, the natural morphism $\iota: R \rightarrow A$ gives rise to the sheaf morphism $\tau: \widehat{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$, where, if $\iota_{f}: R_{f} \rightarrow A_{f}$ denotes the localization of $\iota$ at $f \in R$, then, for any open set $U$ in $X$,

$$
\widetilde{\imath}(U)=\lim _{D(f) \subseteq U}^{\leftrightarrows} \widetilde{\iota}(D(f))=\lim _{f \in R \text { with } D(f) \subseteq U}^{\leftrightarrows} \iota_{f .}
$$

By [Bos13, Definition 4, p.226], it is seen from the universal property of projective limits that $\tilde{\iota}$ is a functor on the category of open subsets of $X$. Now, since injectiveness (of morphisms of modules) is a local property, and since, for all $x \in X, \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \simeq R_{x}$ (c.f. [Bos13, Proposition 9, p.248]) and

$$
\mathscr{F}_{x}=\underset{D(f) \ni x}{\lim } \mathscr{F}(D(f))=A \otimes_{R} \underset{D(f) \ni x}{\lim } R_{f}=A \otimes_{R} R_{x}=A_{x},
$$

it follows that the natural morphism $\iota: R \rightarrow A$ is injective if and only if the induced morphism $\widetilde{\iota}: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is injective; thus, we have the following:

Lemma 3.4.0.3. Let $R$ be a ring, $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ an affine scheme, and $A$ an $R$-algebra. Then, an endomorphism $\sigma$ of $A$ is a standard involution if and only if $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a standard involution of $\mathscr{F}=\widetilde{A}$.

Proof. Let $U$ be an open subset of $X$; as a projective limit of the projective system $\{\mathscr{F}(D(f))$ : $f \in R$ and $D(f) \subseteq U\}, \mathscr{F}(U)$ is contained in $\prod_{D(f) \subseteq U} \mathscr{F}(D(f))$ (c.f. [Bos13, Proposition 5, p.227]). Moreover, for $x \in \mathscr{F}(U)$, we have $\rho_{f}^{U}(x)=\frac{a}{f^{n}} \in A_{f}$, where $\rho_{f}^{U}: \mathscr{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(D(f))$ is a restriction map for the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{F}$; at the same time, $\rho_{f}^{U}=\operatorname{pr}_{f}$, where $\operatorname{pr}_{f}$ is the natural projection of $\prod_{D(f) \subseteq U} \mathscr{F}(D(f))$ onto $\mathscr{F}(D(f))$. In fact, $\rho_{f}^{U}(\widetilde{\sigma}(x))=\sigma_{f}\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}\right)$; consequently, $\rho_{f}^{U}(x \widetilde{\sigma}(x))=\frac{a}{f^{n}} \sigma_{f}\left(\frac{a}{f^{n}}\right) \in R_{f}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))$. It follows that $x \widetilde{\sigma}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ for all $x \in \mathscr{F}(U)$; plainly put, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a standard involution of $\mathscr{F}$ whenever $\sigma$ is a standard involution of $A$. The converse will follow from the reverse argument.

Remark 3.4.0.4. For algebras that are faithful and finitely generated projective $R$-modules, the converse of Lemma 3.4.0.3 holds true. Recall that the faithfulness of $A$ as a module is equivalent to the injectiveness of the natural morphism $R \rightarrow A$, which, in turn, as seen in Lemma 3.4.0.3, is equivalent to the induced morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ being injective.

The result can be stated in the following lemma.
Corollary 3.4.0.5. Let $R$ be a ring, $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ an affine scheme, $A$ an $R$-algebra whose underlying $R$-module is faithful, finitely generated, and projective; and let $\sigma$ be an anti-automorphism of $A$ such that $x \sigma(x) \in R$ for all $x \in A$. Then, $\sigma$ induces an involution $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $\mathscr{F}$ associated with $A$; it is, in addition, the only standard involution of $\mathscr{F}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\mathscr{F}$.

Proof. By [HM08, Lemma 1.13.8, p.40], $\sigma$ turns out to be the only standard involution of $A$, and commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $A$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4.0.3, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the only standard involution of $\mathscr{F}$; since the mapping $\sim$ is a functor, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\mathscr{F}$.

We make a note that, for all $f \in R$, the localization $\sigma_{f}: A_{f} \rightarrow A_{\sigma(f)}=A_{f}$ is an involution such that, for every $x \in A_{f}, x \sigma_{f}(x) \in R_{f}$. Now consider, for all $f \in R$, the following diagram

where $M, M^{\prime \prime}$ are $R_{f}$-modules, $\varphi$ a $R_{f}$-morphism, and $q$ the natural $R$-morphism. Since $M, M^{\prime \prime}$ can also be viewed as $R$-modules, and the underlying $R$-morphism of $\psi$ is surjective, it follows that, as $A$ is projective, there is a morphism $\lambda: A \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ q=\psi \circ \lambda$. Plainly, there is a unique $R_{f}$-morphism $\vartheta: A_{f} \rightarrow M$ such that $\lambda=\vartheta \circ q$, therefore $\varphi=\psi \circ \vartheta$, and, hence, $A_{f}$ is projective. It is also evident from localization that, for all $f \in R, A_{f}$ is faithful and finitely generated. By applying [HM08, Lemma 1.13.8, p.40] again, $\sigma_{f}$ commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $A_{f}$. Now, let us consider any open set $U$ in $X$; since the distinguished sets $D(f), f \in R$, form a basis for the Zariski topology on $X, U=\cup_{f \in T} D(f)$, for some set $T$. Furthermore, by virtue of the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, A) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F})($ c.f. Theorem 3.3.0.2), let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be the endomorphism of $\mathscr{F}$ corresponding to the involution $\sigma$; one observes that, given any open set $U$ in $X$, then, for any basic open subset $D(f) \subseteq U$, it follows that $\rho_{f}^{U} \circ \widetilde{\sigma}(U)=\sigma_{f} \circ \rho_{f}^{U}$, where $\rho_{f}^{U}: \mathscr{F}(U) \rightarrow A_{f}$ is the corresponding restriction
 is a projection on $A_{f}$, every such $\sigma_{f}$ is an involution, therefore $\widetilde{\sigma}(U)$ is an involution. Finally, let $s \in \mathscr{F}(U)$, so $\left.s\right|_{D(f)} \in \mathscr{F}(D(f))=A_{f}$, for any $D(f) \subseteq U$. But $\left.s\right|_{D(f)} \sigma_{f}\left(\left.s\right|_{D(f)}\right) \in R_{f}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D(f))$ and $\left.s\right|_{D\left(f f^{\prime}\right)} \sigma_{f f^{\prime}}\left(\left.s\right|_{D\left(f f^{\prime}\right)}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D\left(f f^{\prime}\right)\right)$; since $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a sheaf, it follows that $s \widetilde{\sigma}(U)(s) \equiv s \widetilde{\sigma}(s) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ for all $s \in \mathscr{F}(U)$, as required.

Corollary 3.4.0.6. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a scheme and $\mathscr{F}$ a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra such that if $\mathscr{U}:=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a covering of $X$ by open affine subsets $U_{i}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R_{i}\right)$, then, for each $i$, the restriction $\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U_{i}}$ is associated with some faithful finitely generated projective $R_{i}$-algebra $A_{i}$. Moreover, let $\sigma_{i}, i \in I$, be an anti-automorphism of $A_{i}$ such that $x \sigma_{i}(x) \in R_{i}$, for all $x \in A_{i}$. Then, $\mathscr{F}$ admits exactly one standard involution $\widetilde{\sigma}$; in addition, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\mathscr{F}$.

Proof. According to Corollary 3.4.0.5, let $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}$ be the only standard involution of the $\left.\mathcal{O}\right|_{U_{i}}$-algebra $\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U_{i}}$, where, by hypothesis, $\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U_{i}}$ is the $\left.\mathcal{O}\right|_{U_{i}}$-algebra associated with the faithful finitely generated projective $R_{i}$-algebra $A_{i}$. The morphism $\widetilde{\sigma}: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is such that $\left.\widetilde{\sigma}\right|_{U_{i}}=\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}$ is well defined. Indeed, for all $i, j$ such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset,\left.\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}=\left.\widetilde{\sigma_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$. Evidently, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the only standard involution on $\mathscr{F}$, and it commutes with all automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of $\mathscr{F}$.

Corollary 3.4.0.7. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space, and let $\mathscr{F}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-ideal generated by nowhere-zero global sections $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$. The direct product $\mathscr{L}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}$ of the sheaves of rings of fractions $\mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}$ is faithfully flat if and only if $\mathscr{F}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$. Whenever $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, the sheaf of rings $\mathscr{L}$ is called a Zariski extension of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$.

Proof. For all $i=1, \ldots, n$, the ring sheaf extension $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}$ is flat; therefore $\mathscr{L}$ is flat. Now, suppose that $\mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathscr{E}=0$ for some $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$; since $\mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{E}=0$ if and only if $\left(\mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{E}\right)_{x}=$ $\mathscr{L}_{x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, x}} \mathscr{E}_{x}=0_{x}=0$, for all $x \in X$, it is sufficient to show that $\mathscr{L}_{x} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X, x}} \mathscr{E}_{x}=0$ implies $\mathscr{E}_{x}=0$. But then $\mathscr{F}_{x}$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ generated by germs $\left(f_{1, x}, \ldots, f_{n, x}\right), \mathscr{L}_{x}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}\right)_{x}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}\right)_{f_{i, x}}$ is faithfully flat if and only if $\mathscr{J}_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ (see [HM08, Corollary 1.10.6, p. 24]).

Note that the notation $f_{i, x}$ in the above proof means the germ defined by the section $f_{i}$ at the point $x \in X$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}$ is the sheaf obtained by sheafifying the presheaf, given by the assignment

$$
U \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}(U),
$$

where, for any open subset $U$ of $X$,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X, f_{i}}(U) \equiv \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)_{f_{i}}=\left\{\frac{s}{\rho_{U}^{X}\left(f_{i}\right)^{n}}=\frac{s}{\left(\left.f_{i}\right|_{U}\right)^{n}}=\frac{s}{\left.f_{i}^{n}\right|_{U}} ; s \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U), n \geq 0\right\} .
$$

In [RG71, 3.1, 2nd part], it is shown that a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{H}$ is locally projective if and only if, for all open affine subschemes $U=\operatorname{Spec}(R) \subseteq X$, the restriction $\left.\mathscr{H}\right|_{U}$ is isomorphic to some associated sheaf $\widetilde{P}$, where $P$ is a projective $R$-module. We remark that a locally projective quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{H}$ will be of constant rank $n$ if, for any open affine subscheme $U$ of $X$, the associated $R$-module $P$ of $\left.\mathscr{H}\right|_{U}$ is of constant rank $n$.

Also, supplement to the proof of Theorem 3.4.0.8 below is a result concerned with glueing of sheaves. Indeed, given a topological space $X$, an open covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$ and, a sheaf $\mathscr{F}_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ for each $i$ such that, for each $i, j \in I$, there is given an isomorphism $\varphi_{i j}:\left.\left.\mathscr{F}_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{F}_{j}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$ satisfying properties: (1) $\varphi_{i i}=\mathrm{id}$, for all $i$, and (2) $\varphi_{i k}=\varphi_{j k} \circ \varphi_{i j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k}$, for all $i, j, k \in I$. Then, there is a unique sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$, together with isomorphisms $\psi_{i}:\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{F}_{i}$ such that, for each $i, j, \psi_{j}=\varphi_{i j} \circ \psi_{i}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. See [Har77, p.69].

Theorem 3.4.0.8. Let $X$ be a scheme and $\mathscr{E}$ a locally projective quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of constant rank 2. Then, $\mathscr{E}$ is a commutative $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra, endowed with a unique standard involution.

Proof. Let $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \equiv\left(U_{i},\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U_{i}}\right)$ be an affine open covering of $X$. For $i \in I$, let $P_{i}$ be a projective $R$-module with the property that $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U_{i}} \simeq \widetilde{P}_{i}$. Since $P_{i}$ is a projective module of constant rank 2 , it is a known fact that $P_{i}$ is a commutative algebra, endowed with a unique standard involution $\sigma_{i}$, (c.f.
[HM08, Theorem 1.13.10, p.42]). By Lemma 3.4.0.3, $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}$ is a standard involution of $\widetilde{P}_{i}, i \in I$. But then, from $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i} \in \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathcal{G}_{X}}\left(\widetilde{P}_{i}, \widetilde{P}_{i}\right)\left(U_{i}\right)$, it follows that, for any pair $(i, j)$ in $I \times I$ with $i \neq j,\left.\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}=\left.\widetilde{\sigma_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$ is the unique involution on $\left.\left.\widetilde{P}_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}} \simeq \widetilde{P_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$. The collection $\left(\widetilde{P}_{i}, \varphi_{i j}\right)$, where $\varphi_{i j}$ is the isomorphism $\left.\left.\widetilde{P}_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}} \simeq \widetilde{P_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$, is a glueing data for sheaves of sets with respect to the covering $X=\cup_{i \in I} U_{i}$. Thus, there is a sheaf of sets $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$ together with isomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{i}:\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{P}_{i},
$$

that is,

$$
\mathscr{F} \simeq \mathscr{E} .
$$

Since $\left.\widetilde{\sigma_{i}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}=\left.\widetilde{\sigma_{j}}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}$, there is a unique standard involution $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $\mathscr{E}$ such that $\left.\widetilde{\sigma}\right|_{U_{i}}=\widetilde{\sigma_{i}}, i \in I$.

## Chapter 4

## Involutions on sheaves of endomorphisms of <br> $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras

* In this chapter, we discuss involutions of the first kind on $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-algebras $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is the sheaf of modules associated with an $R$-module $M$ on an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ (see [NN21]). Let $N$ be another $R$-module and assume that $\varphi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{N})$ a sheaf isomorphism. For any open $U$ of $X$, set

$$
\alpha_{U}: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})(U) \times \widetilde{N}(U) \rightarrow \widetilde{N}(U)
$$

by

$$
\alpha_{U}(f, s)=\varphi_{U U}\left(f_{U}\right)(s) \equiv \varphi(f)(s),
$$

for any $f \equiv\left(f_{V}\right)_{U \supseteq V, \text { open }} \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})(U)$ and $s \in \widetilde{N}(U)$. The sheaf morphism $\alpha \equiv\left(\alpha_{U}\right)_{X \supseteq U \text {, open }}$ defines a left $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})$-module structure on $\widetilde{N}$; we denote $\widetilde{N}$ endowed with the left $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})$ module structure by $\varphi_{\varphi} \widetilde{N}$. In the similar way, we define ${ }_{\varphi^{-1}} \widetilde{M}$. See [Knu91, (8.2), p.171].

In line with the sequel, we recall the following (see [NY14]): Let $X$ be a topological space, $\mathscr{A} \equiv$ $(\mathscr{A}, \pi, X)$ a sheaf of unital and commutative algebras and $\mathcal{S} \equiv\left(\mathcal{S},\left.\pi\right|_{\mathcal{S}}, X\right)$ a sheaf of submonoids in $\mathscr{A}$. A sheaf of algebras of fractions of $\mathscr{A}$ by $\mathcal{S}$ is a sheaf of algebras, denoted $\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}$, such that, for every $x \in X$, the corresponding stalk $\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}\right)_{x}$ is an algebra of fractions of $\mathscr{A}_{x}$ by $\mathcal{S}_{x}$.

In this context, we also recall the following:
Theorem 4.0.0.1. [NY14] For all $\mathscr{A}$-modules $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ on a topological space $X$, the $\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}\right)$ morphism

$$
\vartheta: \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}) \rightarrow \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{E}, \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{F}\right)
$$

[^0]given by
$$
\vartheta_{x}(f / s)(e / t)=f(e) / s t,
$$
where $x \in X, s, t \in \mathcal{S}_{x}, e \in \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{E}_{x}, f \in \mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{A}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})_{x}$, is an $\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}\right)$-isomorphism, whenever $\mathscr{E}$ is a locally finitely presented $\mathscr{A}$-module.

Similarly, persuant to our need for the proof counterpart to [Knu91, (8.2), p.171], we recall the isomorphism in [CF15, Lemme 2.4.1.6, p.33].

Lemma 4.0.0.2. The natural map

$$
\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}(U)}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}(U),\left.\mathscr{F}\right|_{U}(U)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}(U)}(\mathscr{E}(U), \mathscr{F}(U)),
$$

where $U$ is open in $X$, is an isomorphism of modules if and only if the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are free or locally free of finite type and $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

In the above context, for every $x \in X$, the canonical homomorphism

$$
\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F})_{x} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}, \mathscr{F}_{x}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. In general, this isomorphism holds for every ringed space $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, any $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{F}$ of finite presentation, and any $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$. (see [GW10, Proposition 7.27, p.190]).

Lemma 4.0.0.3. Let $M$ be a locally of finite presentation $R$-module. Then,

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\tilde{M}),
$$

where $X=\operatorname{Sec}(R)$ and $\widetilde{M}$ the sheaf of modules associated with $M$.

Proof. For any $f \in R$, by Theorem 4.0.0.1,

$$
\overline{\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)}(D(f))=\operatorname{End}_{R_{f}}\left(M_{f}\right),
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})(D(f))=\operatorname{End}_{\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right|_{D(f)}}\left(\left.\widetilde{M}\right|_{D(f)}\right),
$$

whence we have $\overline{\operatorname{End}(M})(D(f)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End} d_{\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right|_{D(f)}}\left(\left.\widetilde{M}\right|_{D(f)}\right)$ by Lemma 4.0.0.2. Moreover, since the $D(f)$ form a basis for the Zariski topology on $X$, the sought isomorphism follows thereby completing the proof.

From Lemma 4.0.0.3, we follow through with the statement below:

Lemma 4.0.0.4. Let $M$ and $N$ be locally finitely presented progenerator $R$-modules such that sheaves $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})$ and $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{N})$ are isomorphic (via an isomorphism $\varphi$ ), where $\widetilde{M}(\widetilde{N}$, resp.) is the associated sheaf of $R$-modules for $M$ ( $N$, resp.) on the affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Then, there exist an invertible $R$-module $L$ and an isomorphism $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{L} \xrightarrow{\sim}{ }_{\varphi} \widetilde{N}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi_{X}(f)}=\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{f \otimes 1}) \widetilde{\rho}^{-1}$, for every $f \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$.

Proof. Let $M$ and $N$ be locally finitely presented progenerator $R$-modules such that $\varphi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\widetilde{N})$ is an isomorphism, so the component $\varphi_{X}: \operatorname{End} d_{R}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{End} d_{R}(N)$ is an $R$-module isomorphism. By [Knu91, Lemma 8.2.1, p.181], there exist an invertible $R$-module $L$ and an isomorphism $\rho: M \otimes L \rightarrow \varphi_{X} N$ of $\operatorname{End} d_{R}(M)$-modules such that $\varphi_{X}(f)=\rho(f \otimes 1) \rho^{-1}$, for every $f \in \operatorname{End} d_{R}(M)$. (The $E n d_{R}(M)$-structure on $M \otimes L$ is given by the assignment $(f, m \otimes l) \mapsto f(m) \otimes l$.) By the isomorphism ([Bos13, Proposition 2, p.258,])

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\operatorname{End}_{R}(M), \operatorname{End}_{R}(N)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\overline{\operatorname{End}(M}), \widetilde{\operatorname{End}_{R}(N}\right)\right)
$$

given by $\alpha \mapsto \widetilde{\alpha}$, one has $\widetilde{\varphi_{X}}: \widetilde{\operatorname{End}(M)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\operatorname{End}(N)}$. But then, by virtue of Lemmas 4.0.0.2 and 4.0.0.3, $\widetilde{\varphi_{X}}=\varphi$; thereafter, by [Har77, Proposition 5.2, p.110], the isomorphism $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{M} \otimes \widetilde{L} \xrightarrow{\sim}{ }_{\varphi} \widetilde{N}$ is such that

$$
\widetilde{\varphi_{X}(f)}=\widetilde{\rho}(\widetilde{f \otimes 1}) \widetilde{\rho}^{-1}
$$

for every $f \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$.

The result in the above lemma can be generalized to the following context: Let $\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}$ be locally finitely presented progenerator $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules on an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and $\varphi: \mathscr{E}$ nd $d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F})$. For any open subset $U \subseteq X, \mathscr{F}(U)$ carries a left $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$-module structure; in fact, by Lemma 4.0.0.2, $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$ is isomorphic to $E n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}(U)}(\mathscr{E}(U))$, and the action of $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$ on $\mathscr{F}(U)$ into $\mathscr{F}(U)$, is given by $(f, s) \mapsto \varphi_{U}(f)(s)$, for any $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$ and $s \in \mathscr{F}(U)$. Hence, $\mathscr{F}$ will assume a left $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$-module structure on $X$ which we denote as $\varphi_{\mathscr{F}}$. In a similar way, $\varphi_{\varphi^{-1} \mathscr{E}}$ denotes $\mathscr{E}$ endowed with the right $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F})$-structure obtained through $\varphi^{-1}$.

The sought generalization can now be formulated as follows:
Lemma 4.0.0.5. Let $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ be locally finitely presented progenerator $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules, where $X=$ $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and let $\varphi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F})$. Then, there exist an invertible $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$-module $\mathscr{L}$ and an isomorphism $\rho: \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim}{ }_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$-modules such that, for any open $U \subseteq X$ such that $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})\right|_{U}, \varphi_{U}(f)=\rho_{U}(f \otimes 1) \rho_{U}^{-1}$, for all $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{O}_{X} \mid U}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)$.

Proof. In line with a variant of the well-known Morita equivalence for $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-stacks (see [KS06, Theorem

are inverse equivalences; for this reason, the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{C n}_{n} d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}\left(\mathscr{E}, \varphi,{ }_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}\right)$ is invertible with inverse $\mathscr{L}^{-1}=\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\varphi \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E})$. That is, since $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are finite locally free, it follows that $\mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{B} \text { nd }_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\varphi \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E})$ is finite locally free, and therefore (see [GW10, p. 177, Proposition 7.7])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\mathscr{E}, \varphi, \mathscr{F}) \otimes_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})} \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E}^{n d} d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\varphi \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}) \\
& \simeq \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}\left(\mathscr{E}, \varphi \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E}_{n d_{\sigma_{X}}}(\mathscr{E})}\left({ }_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E}) \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\mathscr{L}^{-1}=\mathscr{H}_{\operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E}} \operatorname{ld}_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}(\varphi \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}),
$$

or $\mathscr{L}$ is invertible. So, for any open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})\right|_{U}$ and any $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, one has

$$
\varphi_{U}(f)=\rho_{U}(f \otimes 1) \rho_{U}^{-1}
$$

We thus obtain an $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$-isomorphism $\rho: \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{\mathscr{F}}$ as in the classical case (see [Knu91, Lemma 8.2.1, p. 171]).

Lemma 4.0.0.5 does not hold at the level of sections in general as the sheaf $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ is generated by the presheaf $(U \mapsto \mathscr{E}(U) \otimes \mathscr{L}(U))_{X \supseteq U \text {, open }}$, and $\mathscr{E}(U) \otimes \mathscr{L}(U)$ is not in general bijective to $\varphi_{U} \mathscr{F}(U)$. However, section-wise, one may relax the conditions on progenerator $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.0.0.6. Let $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ be locally finitely free progenerator $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules on $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and let $\varphi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{F})$. Then, there exist an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{L}$ and an isomorphism $\rho$ : $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim}{ }_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$-modules such that, for every open set $U$ in $X, \varphi_{U U}(s)=\rho_{U}(\widetilde{s \otimes 1}) \rho_{U}^{-1}$,for all $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, and where $\widetilde{s \otimes 1}$ stands for the section of $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{C} \otimes \mathscr{L})$ over $U$, corresponding to $s \otimes 1$ through sheafification.

Before we proceed to look at different types of involutions on sheaves of Azumaya algebras, let us first recall the concept of Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra with involution on an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Definition 4.0.0.7. An Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $(\mathscr{A}, \sigma)$ with involution of the first kind is a sheaf of Azumaya $R$-algebras on a scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear involution $\sigma$.

Remark 4.0.0.8. If $(M, \sigma)$ is an $R$-module with involution of the first kind $\sigma$, it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-linear involution on the corresponding sheaf of $R$-modules $\widetilde{M}$. In fact, for any $f \in R, m \in M$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{D(f)}\left(\frac{m}{f^{p}}\right)=\frac{\sigma(m)}{f^{p}}$.

Let $A$ be an Azumaya $R$-algebra of constant rank $n^{2}$ and with involution $\sigma$ of the first kind. By [For17, Corollary 10.3.10, p. 395], there exists a commutative faithfully flat étale $R$-algebra $S$ such that $A \otimes_{R} S$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$. Let $\varphi$ be an isomorphism $A \otimes_{R} S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$ that makes $S$ into a faithfully flat splitting $R$-algebra of $A$, it induces an involution $\kappa=\varphi \circ(\sigma \otimes 1) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$. On considering the sheaves associated with $A \otimes_{R} S$ and $\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$, respectively, on $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, we have $\widetilde{A} \otimes_{O_{X}} \widetilde{S} \equiv \widetilde{A} \otimes_{\widetilde{R}} \widetilde{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}$. By virtue of [Har77, Proposition 5.2, p. 110], $\widetilde{\kappa}=\widetilde{\varphi} \circ(\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes 1) \circ \widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}=$ $\widetilde{\varphi} \circ(\widetilde{\sigma} \otimes 1) \circ(\widetilde{\varphi})^{-1}$ is the induced involution on $\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}$. The map $\Gamma: \widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}$, given by $\Gamma_{U}(s)=\widetilde{\kappa}_{U}\left(s^{t}\right)$, where $s \in \widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}(U)$ and $s^{t}$ means the transpose of $s$, is clearly an automorphism of $\widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}$ and corresponds to the automorphism $x \mapsto \kappa\left(x^{t}\right)$ of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$. By choosing $S$ such that $\kappa(x)=v x^{t} v^{-1}$, for any $x \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(S)$ and for some $v \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(S)$, for any open $U$ in $X, \kappa_{U}(s)=u s^{t} u^{-1}$, where $s \in \widetilde{\mathrm{M}_{n}(S)}(U)$ and $u \in \overline{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(S)}(U)$. In [Knu91, p. 170], there is $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(S)\left(\mu_{2}(S)=\left\{x \in S \mid x^{2}=1\right\}\right)$ such that $v^{t}=\varepsilon v$. Next, let us show that the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \mapsto \mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields a complete presheaf (of groups). That the correspondence given above in (4.1) is a presheaf is evident from Definition 3.1.0.4. In order to show the completeness of this presheaf or that it is a sheaf, let $U$ be an open subset of $X$, and $\mathscr{U}=\left(U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ an open covering of $U$; moreover, let $s, t \in \mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U))$ such that

$$
\left.\rho_{U_{\alpha}}^{U}(s) \equiv s\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \equiv s_{\alpha}=\left.t_{\alpha} \equiv t\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \equiv \rho_{U_{\alpha}}^{U}(t), \quad \alpha \in I
$$

where the $\left(\rho_{U_{\alpha}}^{U}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ are the restriction maps of the sheaf $\widetilde{S}$. Since $\mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U)) \subseteq \widetilde{S}(U)$ and $\widetilde{S}$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module attached to the $R$-algebra $S, s=t$.

On the other hand, consider any sequence

$$
\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} \mu_{2}\left(\widetilde{S}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} \widetilde{S}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left.s_{\alpha}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}}=\left.s_{\alpha}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}},
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in I$, with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$. There is an element $s \in \widetilde{S}(U)$ such that

$$
\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=s_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in I .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left.\left(s^{2}\right)\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=\rho_{U \alpha}^{U}\left(s^{2}\right)=\rho_{U_{\alpha}}^{U}(s) \rho_{U_{\alpha}}^{U}(s)=\left.1\right|_{U_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \in I
$$

One infers that $s^{2}=1 \in \widetilde{S}(U)$, so that $s \in \mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U))$. Hence, the presheaf is complete hereby completing the proof.

Going back to the involution $\widetilde{\kappa}$, it follows that, given any open $U$ in $X$, the equation $\kappa_{U}(s)=u s^{t} u^{-1}$, where $u \in \overline{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(S)}(U)$, entails, for some $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U)), u^{t}=\varepsilon u$. As in the classical case, an involution $s \mapsto u s^{t} u^{-1}$ of the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\widetilde{M_{n}(S)}$, where $u^{t}=\varepsilon u, s \in \widetilde{M_{n}(S)}(U), u \in \overline{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(S)}(U)$, and $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}(\widetilde{S}(U))$ is said to be of type $\varepsilon$ on the open subset $U$, and $\kappa_{U}$ is denoted $\kappa_{u}$.

Lemma 4.0.0.9. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a sheaf of modules over a scheme $X$, and $\sigma$ an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-endomorphism of $\mathscr{E}$. Then, $\sigma$ is an involution if and only if, for every $x \in X, \sigma_{x}: \mathscr{E}_{x} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{x}$ is an involution.

Proof. It is known that $\sigma$ is bijective if and only if $\sigma_{x}$ is bijective for all $x \in X$. (See, for instance, [Bos13, Proposition 3, p. 233].) Therefore, we need only show that $\sigma$ is an anti-isomorphism if and only if $\sigma_{x}$ is an anti-isomorphism, for all $x \in X$. The only-if part is easily seen from the characterization of stalks. To settle the if part, observe that, if $U$ is an open neighbourhhod of $x$, and $\mathscr{U}(x)$ denotes the set of all open sets containing $x$, and $f, g \in \mathscr{E}(U)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underset{V \in \mathscr{U}(x)}{\lim _{\vec{V}}} \sigma_{V}(f \cdot g)=\sigma_{x}\left(f_{x} \cdot g_{x}\right)=\sigma_{x}\left(g_{x}\right) \sigma_{x}\left(f_{x}\right)=\underset{V \in \underset{\mathcal{U}(x)}{\lim } \sigma_{V}(g) \cdot \underset{V \in \mathscr{U}(x)}{\lim } \sigma_{V}(f)}{ } \\
=\underset{V \in \mathscr{U}(x)}{\lim } \sigma_{V}(g) \sigma_{V}(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

which entails that, for some open neigbourhood $V^{x}$ of $x$ in $U, \sigma_{V^{x}}\left(\left.\left.f\right|_{V^{x}} \cdot g\right|_{V^{x}}\right)=\sigma_{V^{x}}\left(\left.g\right|_{V^{x}}\right) \sigma_{V^{x}}\left(\left.f\right|_{V^{x}}\right)$. By Sheaf Axiom (Sh.1), $\sigma_{U}(f \cdot g)=\sigma_{U}(g) \sigma_{U}(f)$. For the last displayed equality, (see [Bou68, (35), p. 211]).

Definition 4.0.0.10. Let $X$ be a scheme. An Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $\mathscr{E}$ with involution of the first kind is a sheaf of Azumaya algebras with involution of the first kind on $X$, i.e., an involution that leaves the centre elementwise invariant.

It is evident that, given an open set $U$ in $X$ and sections $f, g$ of an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebra $\mathscr{E}$ over $U$, if $f_{x} \cdot g_{x}=g_{x} \cdot f_{x}$, for all $x \in U, f \cdot g=g \cdot f$. It follows that an involution $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{E}$ fixes the centre of $\mathscr{E}$ elementwise if and only if, for every $x \in X, \sigma_{x}$ keeps fixed the centre of $\mathscr{E}_{x}$ elementwise. Hence, $\sigma$ is an involution of the first kind on $\mathscr{E}$ if and only if, for every $x \in X, \sigma_{x}$ is an involution of $\mathscr{E}_{x}$ of the first kind.

Lemma 4.0.0.11. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a locally finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module on an affine scheme $X=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, and let $\sigma$ be an involution of the first kind on $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$. Then, there exist an invertible $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{L}$, a sheaf isomorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathscr{E} \otimes_{\sigma_{X}} \mathscr{L}$ onto $\mathscr{E}^{*}$, and an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-isomorphism $\Phi: \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$ such that, on every open $U$ in $X$ where $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Id}=\Phi \circ m \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{E}$ nd $_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ on $U$, and, for any open $V$ in $U$, and any section s of $\mathscr{E}$ nd $d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{E}$ over $V, \Phi(s) \equiv \Phi_{V V}(s)=$ $\varphi_{V}^{-1} s^{*} \varphi_{V} \equiv \varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi$, and $s^{*}$ is the image of $s$ through the natural morphism $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{E}^{*}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.0.0.5, by letting $\Phi=\tau \sigma$, where $\tau$ is the anti-automorphism $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$, and by virtue of Morita theory, the $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-algebra $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}}\left(\mathscr{E}, \Phi_{\Phi} \mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$ is invertible. So, locally, $\Phi$ will satisfy the conditions of Equation (4.2).

Going forward, we recall the sheaf-theoretic notion of a centre of a group. Particularly, let ( $X, \mathcal{O}_{X}$ ) be a ringed space, and $\mathscr{E}$ a vector sheaf on $X$ of constant rank $n$. The $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ is also locally free and of constant rank $n^{2}$ (see [Ma198, Equation (6.26), p. 138]). On considering the correspondence

$$
U \mapsto Z\left(\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)\right) \simeq Z\left(\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}^{n^{2}}\right|_{U}\right) \simeq Z\left(\left(\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right|_{U}\right)^{n^{2}}\right)
$$

(where $Z\left(\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)\right.$ ) consists of all $\vartheta \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$ such that $\vartheta \circ \varphi=\varphi \circ \vartheta$, for all $\varphi \in$ $\left.\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)\right)$ together with the obvious restriction maps yields a complete presheaf, called the (pre)sheaf of centres of groups. On any local gauge $U$ of the vector sheaf $\mathscr{E}$, one has

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E})\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}{ }^{n^{2}}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{U}
$$

therefore,

$$
Z\left(\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)\right) \simeq Z\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(U)\right)\right)
$$

Lemma 4.0.0.12. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a ringed space and $\mathscr{E}$ a locally finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module with involution of the first kind $\sigma$ on $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$. Then, for any local gauge $U$,

$$
\left(\varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1\right) \varphi^{-1} \in Z\left(\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)(U)\right)
$$

where $\eta$ is the canonical $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-isomorphism $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{* *}$, and $\varphi$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-isomorphism $\mathscr{E} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ of Lemma 4.0.0.11. Furthermore, for some $\varepsilon \in \mu_{2}\left(O_{X}(U)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1=\varphi \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(N.B. For any open open $V$ in $X, \eta(s)(u) \equiv s^{* *}(u):=u(s)$, where $s \in \mathscr{E}(V)$, and $u \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(V)=$ $\left.\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E})(V)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right|_{V}}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{V},\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{V}\right).\right)$

Proof. From Equation (4.2),

$$
\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi,
$$

for all $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, where $U$ is a local gauge of $\mathscr{L}$. Since $\sigma^{2}=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} \sigma(s)^{*} \varphi . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On transposing (4.4), we obtain

$$
s^{*} \otimes 1=\varphi^{*} \sigma(s)^{* *}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*}
$$

But then

$$
\sigma^{* *}\left(s^{* *}\right)=\sigma(s)^{* *}=\eta \sigma(s) \eta^{-1},
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{*} \eta\right)^{-1} \circ\left(s^{*} \otimes 1\right) \circ\left(\varphi^{*} \eta\right)=\sigma(s) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tensoring (4.5) with 1 yields, under the assumption $\mathscr{L}^{*} \otimes \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}$, which allows one to identify $s^{*} \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ with $s^{*}$,

$$
\left(\varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1\right)^{-1} \circ s^{*} \circ\left(\varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1\right)=\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(\varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1\right) \varphi^{-1} \in Z\left(\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)\right)
$$

By virtue of (4.2), and since $Z\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$,

$$
\left(\varphi^{*} \eta \otimes 1\right) \varphi^{-1}=\varepsilon
$$

for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$. It is clear that $\varepsilon$ must be invertible, that is, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{\bullet}=\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\bullet}(U)$, with $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{\bullet}$ the group of units of the unital ring $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$. $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{\bullet}\right.$ is the sheaf on $X$ generated by the presheaf defined by the correspondence

$$
U \mapsto \mathscr{O}_{X}^{\bullet} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{\bullet},
$$

where $U$ varies over the Zariski topology of $X$, (See [Ma198, Lemma 1.1, p. 282]).)
Corollary 4.0.0.13. The section $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ satisfies the condition: $\varepsilon^{2}=1$.

Proof. First, note that the following diagram commutes:

where $\mu$ is the canonical isomorphism $\mathscr{L}^{*} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X}$, and $\varepsilon$, in the centre of the diagram, means that the diagram commutes up to a factor $\varepsilon$.

Note that, on $U, \mathscr{C}^{*}(U)=\mathscr{E}(U)^{*}, \mathscr{E}^{* *}(U)=\mathscr{E}(U)^{* *}$, and $\mathscr{L}^{*}(U)=\mathscr{L}(U)^{*}$. On transposing the diagram above, one obtains:


Tensoring with $\mathscr{L}(U)$ and taking into account the isomorphism $\mathscr{L}(U)^{*} \otimes \mathscr{L}(U) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(U)$ yields:


Superposing the first diagram with the last one, one obtains


From the outer contour, one has: $\eta_{U}^{*} \varphi_{U}^{* *} \eta_{U}=\varepsilon^{2} \varphi_{U}$ or, equivalently, $\varphi_{U}^{* *} \eta_{U}=\varepsilon^{2} \eta_{U} \varphi_{U}$. But then, $\varphi_{U}^{* *} \eta_{U}=\eta_{U} \varphi_{U}$, hence, $\varepsilon^{2}=1$.

Theorem 4.0.0.14. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a locally ringed space, $\mathscr{E}$ a locally finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module, and $\sigma: \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ an involution of the first kind. Moreover, let $\mathscr{L}$ be an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module and $\varphi$ an isomorphism $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ such that $\sigma \otimes I d=\Phi \circ m$, where $\Phi=\tau \sigma$ with $\tau$ the anti- $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-automorphism $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$, and $m:\left.\left.\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})\right|_{U}$, with $U$ a local gauge of $\mathscr{L}$. Then, for any $x \in X$, there is $u \in \mathscr{L}_{x}$ such that

$$
\sigma_{x}(f)=u^{-1} \circ f^{*} \circ u,
$$

for any $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{O}_{X, x}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)$, i.e., $\sigma_{x}=\sigma_{x, u^{-1}}$. Furthermore, for any local gauge $V$ of $\mathscr{L}$ at $x$, there is a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(V)$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{x} u(q)(p)=u(p)(q),
$$

for all $p, q \in \mathscr{C}_{x} .[\mathrm{NN} 21]$

Proof. For all $x \in X$, observe that $\mathscr{E}_{x}$ is a finitely presented $\mathscr{O}_{X, x}$-module (see [Ma198, (1.54) and (1.55), p. 101]); since $\mathscr{L}_{x}$ is invertible over a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$, it is necessarily free. Therefore, $\mathscr{L}_{x} \simeq u \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ for some $u \in \mathscr{L}_{x}$. By Lemma 4.0.0.11, $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{H}_{\text {om }_{\mathscr{C}} \text { d }_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{C})}\left(\mathscr{E}, \Phi_{\Phi} \mathscr{E}^{*}\right)$, where $\Phi=\tau \sigma$. Since $\mathscr{E}$ is locally finitely presented, $\mathscr{L}_{x}=\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{G} \text { nd }_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}\left(\mathscr{E}, \Phi \mathscr{E}^{*}\right)_{x} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}_{\sigma_{X, x}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}, \Phi_{x}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)^{*}\right)$. Since $\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi$, for any section $s$ of $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$, or equivalently, $\varphi \circ(\sigma(s) \otimes 1)=s^{*} \circ \varphi$. Stalk-wise, we have that, for any $x \in X, \varphi_{x} \circ\left(\sigma_{x}\left(s_{x}\right) \otimes 1\right)=s_{x}^{*} \circ \varphi_{x} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}_{\sigma_{X, x}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x}, \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}\right)$, where $\varphi_{x}(p \otimes u)=u(p)$, for all $p \in \mathscr{E}_{x}$; hence, $\left(s_{x}^{*} \circ \varphi_{x}\right)(p \otimes u)=\left(s_{x}^{*} \circ u\right)(p) \in \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}$. On the other hand, $\left(\varphi_{x} \circ\left(\sigma_{x}\left(s_{x}\right) \otimes 1\right)\right)(p \otimes u)=u\left(\sigma_{x}\left(s_{x}\right)(p)\right)$. Thus, $s_{x}^{*} \circ u=u \circ \sigma_{x}\left(s_{x}\right)$ and $\sigma_{x}\left(s_{x}\right)=u^{-1} \circ s_{x}^{*} \circ u$.

If $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$ is represented by the matrix sheaf $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}^{n^{2}}(\operatorname{rank} \mathscr{E}=n)$, then $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X, x}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X, x}^{n^{2}}$, we have $\sigma_{x}=\sigma_{x, u^{-1}}$.

Now, $\varphi_{x}^{*} \eta_{x} \otimes 1: \mathscr{E}_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x} \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{E}_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x} \simeq \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}$ maps $p \otimes u$ onto $\varphi_{x}^{*}\left(\eta_{x}(p)\right) \otimes u$. Since $\mathscr{L}_{x}$ is free of rank 1 , we may use $u$ to identify $\mathscr{E}_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x}$ with $\mathscr{E}_{x}$; then $\varphi_{x}^{*} \eta_{x}: \mathscr{E}_{x} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}$ maps $p$ onto $\varphi_{x}^{*}\left(\eta_{x}(p)\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}$, which is the mapping $q \mapsto \eta_{x}(p)(u(q))=u(q)(p)$. On the other hand, since $\mathscr{E}_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}_{x}$, we may assume $\varphi_{x}$ to be an isomorphism $\mathscr{E}_{x} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{x}^{*}$; therefore, $\varphi_{x}(p)(q)=u(p)(q)$. It follows that

$$
\varepsilon_{x} u(q)(p)=u(p)(q),
$$

for all $p, q \in \mathscr{E}_{x}$.

Note that one arrives at a similar result section-wise when one considers any vector sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of finite rank on a locally ringed space $\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.

Theorem 4.0.0.15. Let $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ be a locally ringed space, $\mathscr{E}$ a vector sheaf of finite rank $n$ on $X$, and $\sigma$ an involution of the first kind on $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$. Moreover, let $\mathscr{L}$ be an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module and $\varphi \equiv\left(\varphi_{V}\right)_{X \supseteq V, \text { open }}$ an isomorphism $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ such that $\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi$, for any $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$ and any $\varphi_{U}:(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$ or $\varphi_{U}: \mathscr{E}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$, where the open subset $U$ of $X$ is chosen such that both $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}$ and $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}^{n}\right|_{U}$ are satisfied. Then, there is $u \in \mathscr{L}(U)$ such that

$$
\sigma(f)=u^{-1} \circ f^{*} \circ u,
$$

for any $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)=\operatorname{End}_{\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right|_{U}}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)$. Furthermore, there is a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon u(t)(r)=u(r)(t), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r, t \in \mathscr{E}(U)$. [NN21]

Proof. Let $x \in X, V$ an open neighborhood of $x$ such that $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}^{n}\right|_{V}$, and $W$ a local gauge of $\mathscr{L}$ at $x$, i.e., $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{W} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{W}$. Define: $U=V \cap W$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ is a local ring and $\mathscr{L}(U)$ is invertible over $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U), \mathscr{L}(U)$ is free. Therefore, $\mathscr{L}(U) \simeq u \mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$, for some $u \in \mathscr{L}(U)$. For any section $s \in \mathscr{E}(U), \varphi \circ(\sigma(s) \otimes 1)=s^{*} \circ \varphi \in \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})}\left(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{E}^{*}\right)(U)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\left.\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})\right|_{U}((\mathscr{E} \otimes}$ $\left.\mathscr{L})\left.\right|_{U},\left.\mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X} \mid U}\left(\left.\mathscr{C}\right|_{U}\right)}\left(\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \otimes \mathscr{L}\right|_{U},\left.\mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X} \mid U}\left(\left.\mathscr{C}\right|_{U}\right)}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U},\left.\mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}\right)$. For any $r \in \mathscr{E}(U)$, $\varphi(r \otimes u)=u(r)$; therefore, $\left(s^{*} \circ \varphi\right)(r \otimes u)=\left(s^{*} \circ u\right)(r) \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$. On another side, $(\varphi \circ(\sigma(s) \otimes 1))(r \otimes$ $u)=\varphi(\sigma(s)(r) \otimes u)=u(\sigma(s)(r))$. Thus, $s^{*} \circ u=u \circ \sigma(s)$ or $\sigma(s)=u^{-1} \circ s^{*} \circ u$.

Since $\mathscr{L}^{*} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}$, one has
therefore, for any open $U$ in $X$ with $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}$ and $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}{ }^{n}\right|_{U}$, any section $r \otimes u$ of the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E} \otimes_{0_{X}} \mathscr{L}$ maps onto $\varphi^{*}(\eta(r)) \otimes u$. Since $\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U}$ is free of rank 1 , we may use a suitably chosen $u$, namely any nowhere-zero section, as an isomorphism $\left.\left.(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}\right|_{U}$; then $\left.\varphi^{*} \eta\right|_{U}:\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U} \simeq\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)^{*}$ maps $r$ onto $\varphi^{*}(\eta(r)) \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)=\mathscr{E}(U)^{*}$, which in turn maps a section $t$ in $\mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$ onto $\eta(r)(u(t))=$ $u(t)(r)$. On the other hand, since $\left.\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \otimes_{\left.\mathscr{Q}_{X}\right|_{U}} \mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}\right|_{U}$, we may assume $\varphi$ to be an isomorphism $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}$; therefore, $\varphi(r)(t)=u(r)(t)$. From Equation (4.3), it follows that, for some $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}_{X}(U)^{\bullet}$,

$$
\varepsilon u(t)(r)=u(r)(t),
$$

for all $r, t \in \mathscr{E}(U)$.

Corollary 4.0.0.16. Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that the induced ringed space $\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is a locally ringed space; let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector sheaf of finite rank $n$ on $X, \sigma$ an involution of the first kind on the vector sheaf $\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$, and $\mathscr{L}$ an invertible $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module such that $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ is an isomorphism $\varphi$ with $\sigma(s) \otimes 1=\varphi^{-1} s^{*} \varphi$, for any $s \in \mathscr{E} n d_{O_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, where $U$ is any open subset of $X$ such that $\left.\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U}$ and $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}{ }^{n}\right|_{U}$. Then, on identifying $\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}$ with $\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)^{*}=\left.\mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}$ with the help of some section $u$ of $\mathscr{L}$, where $\sigma(f)=u^{-1} \circ f^{*} \circ u$, for any $f \in \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)$, and identifying $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{E} \mathscr{E}^{*}$ with $\mathscr{E} n d_{\sigma_{X}}(\mathscr{E})$,

$$
\sigma(r \otimes s)=\varepsilon u^{-1}(s) \otimes u(r)
$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{O}_{X}^{*}, r \in \mathscr{E}(U)$ and $s \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$.

Proof. For the sake of containedness, we recall that, given any $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules $\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{F}$, and $\mathscr{G}$ with $\mathscr{E}$ or $\mathscr{G}$ being locally finitely free, the functorial homomorphism
is an isomorphism, (see [GW10, p. 177, Proposition 7.7]). In particular, for any vector sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ of finite rank on $X$,

$$
\mathscr{E}^{*} \otimes_{\Theta_{X}} \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E})=\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E}) .
$$

It follows that since, for some section $u$ of $\mathscr{L}$, one has: $u:\left.\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}$, and $\sigma(r \otimes s)=u^{-1} \circ(r \otimes S)^{*} \circ u$, where $r \otimes s \in \mathscr{E}(U) \otimes \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)=\left(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{*}\right)(U) \simeq \mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\mathscr{E})(U)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{O}_{X} \mid U}\left(\left.\mathscr{E}\right|_{U}\right)$. The transpose $(r \otimes s)^{*}$ : $\left.\left.\mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{*}\right|_{U}$ is such that $(r \otimes s)^{*}(u(t))=u(t) \circ(r \otimes s)$, for any section $t$ of $\mathscr{E}$ on $U$. It is clear that, for any $z \in \mathscr{E}(U)$,

$$
(u(t) \circ(r \otimes s))(z)=u(t)(s(z) r)=u(t)(r) s(z),
$$

viz.

$$
u(t) \circ(r \otimes s)=u(t)(r) s
$$

Consequently, on using (4.6), one has

$$
\sigma(r \otimes s)=u(t)(r) u^{-1}(s)=\varepsilon u(r)(t) u^{-1}(s) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\sigma(r \otimes s)=\varepsilon u^{-1}(s) \otimes u(r),
$$

for $r \in \mathscr{E}(U)$ and $s \in \mathscr{E}^{*}(U)$.
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## Glossary of Notations

The list describes several symbols and notaions used within the body of the document
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M, N)$ morphisms of objects in a category, page 10
$\mathfrak{M} \quad$ category of $R$-modules, page 10
$\mathfrak{M}_{R} \quad$ category of right $R$-modules, page 10
$\mathfrak{I}_{R} \quad$ trace map over $R$, page 24
$\mathfrak{I}_{R}(M)$ trace of a finitely generated module $M$ over $R$, page 24
$R^{\circ} \quad$ opposite ring, page 12
$(\mathcal{S}, \pi, X)$ Sheaf of sets over a topological space, page 58
$1_{\mathfrak{C}} \quad$ identity morphism on $\mathfrak{C}$, page 10

Char. $\operatorname{poly}_{M}(\alpha)$ The characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$ in $M$, page 34
Char $_{\text {red }}$. poly $_{A}$ reduced characteristic polynomial over $A$, page 35
$\operatorname{dim}_{K}$ dimesnsion over $K$, page 23
$\operatorname{Spm}(R)$ set of all maximal ideals of the ring $R$, page 16
$\mathfrak{p}\left(\Omega^{-1} R\right)$ extension of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ to a localization of the ring, page 16
$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$ set of $R$-module morphisms, page 10
$\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ residue field at a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, page 16
$\lim \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}$ Project limit, page 63
$\lim \rightarrow R_{f}$ Inductive limit, page 64
$\mathcal{P} \mathcal{S} h_{X}$ category of presheaves, page 60
$\mathcal{S}(U) \equiv \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S})$ Sections of a sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ over $U$, page 59
$\mathcal{S}_{x} \quad$ stalk of a sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ at the point $x \in X$., page 59
$\mathcal{S} h_{X} \quad$ category of sheaves, page 62
$\mathscr{E}^{*} \quad$ Dual to the module sheaf $\mathscr{E}^{*}$, page 82
$\mathscr{E} n d_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}(\widetilde{M})$, Sheaf of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-algebras, page 77
$\mathcal{N r} d_{A}(a)$ reduced norm of an element $a \in A$, page 35
$\mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathscr{A}$ sheaf of algebras of fractions of $\mathscr{A}$, page 77
$\Omega^{-1} M$ localizationat of a module $M$ at $\Omega$, page 17
$\Omega^{-1} R$ localization of a ring $R$ at $\Omega$, page 14
$\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}$-rank, page 23
$\rho_{V}^{U} \quad$ restriction morphism on a sheaf or presheaf, page 60
$\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ spectrum of the ring $R$, page 15
$\varphi^{*} \quad$ Dual to the map $\varphi$, page 83
$\widetilde{M} \quad$ Module sheaf associated to a module $M$, page 67
${ }_{R} \mathfrak{M} \quad$ category of left $R$-modules, page 10
$A^{e}=A \otimes A^{0}$ eveloping algebra, page 26
$D(f)$ distinguished open subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$, page 62
$f_{\Omega} \quad$ homomorphism attached to the set $\Omega$, page 14
$K(R)$ quotient field of the integral domain $R$, page 15
$\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\Omega}\right)$ kernel of a homomorphism $f_{\Omega}$, page 15
$M^{A} \quad$ centralizer of $M$ in $A$, page 27
$M_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad$ localization of a module $M$ at a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, page 19
$R_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad$ localization of the ring $R$ at a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, page 16
$R_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad$ localizationat of the ring $R$ at a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, page 15
$\operatorname{Trd}_{A}(a)$ reduced trace of an element $a \in A$, page 35
$\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad$ extension of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ to a localization of the ring, page 16
$\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } \widetilde{\sigma}(D(f))$ Projective limit of $\sigma$, page 59
$\operatorname{annih}_{R}(P)$ annihilator of $M$ in $R$, page 26
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