

# Disruption by dissociation: exploring human-centred design through transformative engagement in the spatial design studio

by

Anika van Aswegen

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7511-9570

Submitted in fulfilment of part of the requirements for the degree Philosophiae Interior Architecture in the Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology at the University of Pretoria, South Africa

2021

# Disruption by dissociation: exploring human-centred design through transformative engagement in the spatial design studio

Author	Anika van Aswegen
Supervisor	Dr Carin Combrinck (University of Pretoria)
Co-supervisor	Prof Dr Hennie Reynders (School of the Art Institute of Chicago)
Degree	Doctor of Philosophy in Interior Architecture
Institution	University of Pretoria
Faculty	Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology
Department	Department of Architecture

## Abstract

This study explores the use of hybrid ways of engagement in the spatial design studio, as a disruption to complement the traditional methods of design inquiry. Through normative dissociation, an empathic approach to investigation is adopted in order to take a human-centred perspective, where users are considered as part of the understanding of complex spatial design issues. The use of a plug-in workshop facilitates the qualitative data collection, analysis and synthesis, without coding, as a four-hour workshop inserted into an active studio project. Four programmes in interior architecture at tertiary institutions are purposefully selected in the third year of study. These are considered biopic investigations and form the basis of the research as an indicative study, which is data driven.

A constructivist paradigm allows for interpretation of data findings according to the three research questions in order to make meaning of the evidence collected in situ in the design studio. This approach allows the researcher to triangulate thick descriptions with observations from research assistants and students' own primary work. The plug-in workshop requires students to step outside their comfort zone and adopt the perspective of particular users or communities. This troublesome action asks them to shed their own preconceived ideas and bias in order to make meaning of complex social scenarios, often unfamiliar to them. Threshold concepts emerge as part of a transformative journey.

The study acknowledges that transitions in worldviews are not easy and that more exposure, experience and skills are required, especially at an educational level. However, it is important to reveal and expose designers to situations where fluid and unpredictable living scenarios define how we live and interact in an increasingly complex world. The contribution of the research emerges from the speculative and provocative approach, asking difficult questions pertaining to a transformative spatial design milieu. This equips students with softer skills to be present and to act respectfully with an attitude of mutual learning as citizen designers on behalf of communities and people.

## Keywords

*Disruptive practice, normative dissociation, empathy, transformative learning, human-centred, design values, ethics, design citizenship*

## PREFACE

*With the sound of the wind through the trees,  
in a shady spot, we discuss once again,  
the potential that lies beyond.*

Thank you to my supervisor, Dr Carin Combrinck for your patience and for the question that set it all in motion: “What do you need to do now?” The answer was clear – I had to *make* something... In an instant, the focus shifted from *what*, to *how!* This changed everything.

*More questions,  
- provocations  
cutting through everything, revealing.  
What lies hidden, should not go unnoticed.*

Prof Dr Hennie Reynders, thank you for your willingness to be co-supervisor and for the engaged conversations that ensured the essence of the investigation stays true. Your insight and critical voice are valued beyond words. Thank you for inviting me to facilitate a workshop with your students in Chicago.

My sincere appreciation to Amanda Breytenbach, Ilse Prinsloo, Ivor Templer and Jean Wiid for allowing me access to your studios, and to all the students across the four institutions who actively participated in the workshops.

The University of Pretoria’s UCDP Sabbatical Grant for Academic Staff (for teaching replacement towards completing this study) and Conference Funding (to present a paper in Indonesia), are acknowledged.

Thank you to Prof Chrisna du Plessis for supporting this work, and to the Department of Architecture for assistance to visit the School of the Art Institute of Chicago, and to present a paper at a conference in New York.

My deep gratitude to the individuals who have supported me on this journey, each in their own way: Zakkiya Khan, Rianie van der Linde, Karlien van Niekerk, Dayle Shand, Prof Cheryl McCrindle, Adriana Botha, Nadia Franco, Reinette van Aswegen and Lené Smit.

To my colleagues, family and friends, a heartfelt thank you.

*For Rudolph, Karin and Petra*

*In memory of my beloved father and mother  
Andreas van Aswegen (1948-2008) and Petra Hester Alberts (1949-2020)*

## DECLARATION

I, the undersigned author, declare that the applicable research ethics approval has been obtained for the research described in this work and that I have observed the ethical standards required in terms of the University of Pretoria's Code of Ethics for Researchers and the Policy Guidelines for Responsible Research.

The thesis is submitted in fulfilment of part of the requirements for the degree Philosophiae Doctor in Interior Architecture at the University of Pretoria. No part thereof has already been, or is currently, being submitted for any other degree or examination at any other university.

Anika van Aswegen

2021

## RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS RELATED TO THIS STUDY

### *Book chapter*

Van Aswegen, A. 2021. 'Refocusing the interior lens: other methods of critical and creative inquiry in the architecture studio'. In Smith, C (ed). *Progressive studio pedagogy: examples from architecture and allied design fields*. Routledge Design Pedagogy Series. London: Routledge, pp. 54-77.

### *Conference paper in proceedings*

Grobler, A. 2018. 'Relational encounters: meaning-making of the intangible through emotional attachment'. In Proceedings: *The Stories of Interior: Multiple Perspectives on Interiority, [in]arch international conference*, Indonesia, 30-31 January 2018, Universitas Indonesia, pp. 111-120.

### *Conference paper presentation*

Van Aswegen, A. 2019. 'Refocusing the interior lens: other methods of critical and creative inquiry in the architecture studio'. *AMPS Education, Design and Practice: understanding skills in a complex world*, 17-19 June 2019, Stevens Institute, New York/New Jersey, USA.

### *Journal article currently under review*

Van Aswegen, A & Combrinck, C. Towards a relational approach to transformative pedagogy in spatial design

this is an interdisciplinary study  
it is speculative  
it does not attempt to solve any problems  
or create any toolkits, guidelines, or models  
this study asks questions  
it probes and explores  
it investigates how we engage with design challenges  
it does not give answers  
but  
it shows what can happen  
if we challenge outdated old grooves  
through disruptive action  
this study cares to make a difference  
it hopes to reach beyond the surface  
to enable change  
it puts people first  
by embracing various voices  
it asks who, how, why

**this is a provocation**

---

Anika van Aswegen 2021

<b>CONTENTS</b>	page
<i>prologue</i>	1
<b>PART A CONTEXTUAL CONDITIONS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Chapter 1 POSTULATIONS AND QUESTIONS</b>	<b>3</b>
Background	3
Problem statement	6
Research questions	7
Theoretical context	8
Research opportunities (relevance and importance)	11
Purpose of the study	12
Delimitations and limitations	12
Methodology	13
<i>intermission</i>	16
A disruptive methodology – an example	18
Plug-in workshop brief – plug[interiority]	19
Background	19
Workshop activities	21
Definition of terms and concepts	23
Document outline	24
<i>intermission</i>	26
<b>PART B SITUATING THEORY: ACADEMIA AND PRACTICE</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Theoretical foundations and professional practice interpretations</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Chapter 2 DISRUPTION BY DISSOCIATION</b>	<b>29</b>
Disrupting the status quo	29
Architectural design education	29
Interior architecture's expanded field	33
An implied decolonised design pedagogy	34
Relationship: science and design	35
Mini-summary	36
Research domains and tacit knowledge	36
Discursive design	39
Disruptive design methods	42
Mini-summary	44
Dissociation	44
Psychiatry	44
Normative dissociation and art practice	45
Design knowledge and dissociation	48
Mini-summary	50
Chapter summary	51
<b>Chapter 3 HUMAN-CENTRED DESIGN APPROACH</b>	<b>52</b>
Background	54
Design thinking and designerly thinking	54
<i>intermission</i>	55

<b>Origins</b>	<b>56</b>
Design thinking (DT) origin	56
Designerly thinking (D&T) origin	57
<b>Design paradigm</b>	<b>58</b>
Shared paradigm of D&T and DT	58
Mini-summary	61
<b>Design methodologies</b>	<b>62</b>
Design thinking (DT) methodology	62
Designerly thinking (D&T) methodology	63
<b>Integrated methodology</b>	<b>63</b>
1_Perspectives and modal shifts	64
2_Project / scenario framing	68
3_Situation-led potential analysis	70
4_Dialogue with the situation	74
5_Scenario exploration	77
6_Critical reflection	80
<b>Tools and methods</b>	<b>82</b>
Design thinking (DT) tools and methods	82
Designerly thinking (D&T) tools and methods	83
<b>Summary</b>	<b>84</b>
Empathy and human-centred design	84
Design thinking – designerly thinking intersection	86
<b>Chapter summary</b>	<b>86</b>

## **Chapter 4 TRANSFORMATIVE ENGAGEMENT** **87**

<b>Cognitive and ethical development</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Affective / connected learning</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Transformative learning</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Learning ecologies</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Non-hierarchical integrated understanding</b>	<b>101</b>
Mini-summary	104
<b>Chapter summary</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Literature review summary: situating theory – literature review summary</b>	<b>105</b>

*intermission* 107

## **PART C METHODOLOGY & BIOPICS** **108**

<b>Research design</b>	<b>108</b>
------------------------	------------

*intermission* 110

## **Chapter 5 IMMERSIVE METHODOLOGY AND THE ‘PLUG-IN’** **111**

<b>Paradigm and approach</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>The workshop – plug[interiority]</b>	<b>112</b>
Sample and population	114
Research ethics	114
Role of the researcher	115
<b>Qualitative data collection</b>	<b>116</b>
Biopic investigation data	116
<b>Qualitative data analysis</b>	<b>116</b>
Triangulation	116

*intermission* 117



<b>Analytic phases</b>	<b>118</b>
1-compile	118
<b>Detailed-biopic analysis (within)</b>	<b>119</b>
2-disassemble	119
Derived notes	120
Recursive abstraction	121
Keywords in context (KWIC)	123
3-reassemble	124
4-interpret	126
Journey mapping and visual confections	128
5-conclude	128
<b>Chapter summary</b>	<b>129</b>

## **Chapter 6 BIOPIC INVESTIGATIONS** **130**

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>130</b>
---------------------	------------

### **Section A – Detailed biopics overview** **131**

<b>Biopics in context</b>	131
<b>Biopic 1 Condensed biopic journey</b>	<b>133</b>
WALKABOUT experience and emotional connections	133
Summary of Biopic 1	134
<b>Biopic 2 Condensed biopic journey</b>	<b>135</b>
PROGRAMMATIC issues and intangible conditions	135
Summary of Biopic 2	137
<b>Biopic 3 Condensed biopic journey</b>	<b>137</b>
PROTOTYPING focus and meaning-making potential	137
Summary of Biopic 3	139
<b>Biopic 4 Condensed biopic journey</b>	<b>140</b>
ARTEFACT exploration and revealing issues	140
Summary of Biopic 4	141
<b>SECTION A SUMMARY – Threshold concepts and biopic narratives</b>	<b>142</b>

### **SECTION B – Relational biopics** **144**

<b>Comparative biopic investigations</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>Relation biopic thematic narratives</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>1_disruptive modes</b>	<b>144</b>
Summary 1_disruptive modes	150
<b>2_levels of dissociation</b>	<b>150</b>
Summary 2_levels of dissociation	156
<b>3_empathetic routes</b>	<b>156</b>
Summary 3_empathetic routes	161
<b>4_ways of thinking / ways of knowing</b>	<b>162</b>
Summary 4_ways of thinking / ways of knowing	169
<b>5_process in action</b>	<b>169</b>
Summary 5_process in action	178
<b>6_developmental transformation</b>	<b>178</b>
Workshop	180
Summary 6_developmental transformation	189
<b>7_challenge : shift</b>	<b>190</b>
Summary 7_challenge : shift	198
<b>SECTION B – Relational biopic summary</b>	<b>199</b>

*intermission*

201

*intermission*

203

**Chapter 7 POSTULATIONS AND PROVOCATIONS 204****The plug-in critical reflection 204****Research context 206****Discussion points 207****Provocations 209****Provocation 1\_reflective moments 212****Provocation 2\_enter into a different understanding 217****Provocation 3\_matters of empathy and insight 220****Provocation 4\_in dialogue with 223****Provocation 5\_a discursive focus in spatial design education 225****Provocation 6\_connected / engaged learning 228****Provocation 7\_students as citizen designers 237****Summary 240***intermission*

242

**Chapter 8 CONCLUSIONS 243****Critical reflection 243****Contribution 244****1\_individual student development 246****2\_student in community of peers 247****3\_lecturer personal teaching development 247****4\_lecturer in academic community 248****5\_design education / pedagogy 249****6\_design practice / profession 250****7\_impact on larger society 250****Future research 251****Interdisciplinary themes 251****The 'plug-in' 251****New research directions emerging 252***epilogue*

254

**Reference list 256**

Addendum A: Research ethics approval letter and informed consent form 272

Addendum B: Plug-in workshop brief 275

Addendum C: Example of data matrices – observations and literature 278

Addendum D: Example of biopic narratives 286

Addendum E: Biopics background and context 294

## LIST OF FIGURES

page

<i>Figure 1-1</i>	Project context of workshop as disruption	18
<i>Figure 1-2</i>	'plug-in' workshop activities	21
<i>Figure 2-1</i>	Plug-in workshop within discursive design research domains (After explanation of Tharp & Tharp 2018:344-345)	40
<i>Figure 3-1</i>	Shared methodological areas (DT and D&T)	86
<i>Figure 5-1</i>	Biopic investigation overview	109
<i>Figure 5-2</i>	Integrated ways of knowing – core design studio and supporting modules	113
<i>Figure 5-3</i>	Compilation of primary data	119
<i>Figure 5-4</i>	Disassembly of primary data	120
<i>Figure 5-5</i>	Derived notes during disassembly	121
<i>Figure 5-6</i>	Recursive abstraction adapted using coloured pens, markers and mind- maps	123
<i>Figure 5-7</i>	KWIC analysis and visual representations	124
<i>Figure 5-8</i>	From data matrix as an array to biopic narratives	125
<i>Figure 5-9</i>	Thematic matrix as summary framework	126
<i>Figure 5-10</i>	Workshop journey per thematic narratives	127
<i>Figure 5-11</i>	'Working' journey mapping and visual confections example	128
<i>Figure 6-1</i>	b1_narrative journey	134
<i>Figure 6-2</i>	b2_narrative journey	136
<i>Figure 6-3</i>	b3_narrative journey	139
<i>Figure 6-4</i>	b4_narrative journey	141
<i>Figure 6-5</i>	Biopic narratives – threshold concepts [impact moments]	143
<i>Figure 6-6</i>	Vignettes and disruption	146
<i>Figure 6-7</i>	Critical artefacts and disruption	147
<i>Figure 6-8</i>	Critical artefacts, dissociation and abstraction	153
<i>Figure 6-9</i>	Empathic continuum	157
<i>Figure 6-10</i>	Ways of thinking and vignettes	164
<i>Figure 6-11</i>	Ways of thinking and critical artefacts	165
<i>Figure 6-12</i>	Process in action and vignettes	171
<i>Figure 6-13</i>	Process in action and critical artefacts	171
<i>Figure 6-14</i>	Process in action and peer feedback	173
<i>Figure 6-15</i>	Process in action and reflective essays	174
<i>Figure 6-16</i>	Developmental transformation and engagement	182
<i>Figure 6-17</i>	Developmental transformation and connection through vignettes	183
<i>Figure 6-18</i>	Developmental transformation – moving beyond temporising with materials	184
<i>Figure 6-19</i>	Developmental transformation – peer interaction and retreat	185
<i>Figure 6-20</i>	b1_challenge : shift and peer interaction/feedback	193
<i>Figure 6-21</i>	Timing of workshop in studio projects	196
<i>Figure 6-22</i>	Emotional-objective connections and empathy	197
<i>Figure 6-23</i>	Threshold concepts and transformation trajectory	198
<i>Figure 6-24</i>	Biopic journeys shifts	199
<i>Figure 7-1</i>	Relation: Sub-question - related literature - thematic narrative - threshold categories	209
<i>Figure 8-1</i>	Research contribution context	246

## LIST OF TABLES

page

<i>Table 3-1</i>	Integrated methodological structure (expanded from Laursen & Haase 2019:820-821)	64
<i>Table 3-2</i>	Summary of design thinking phase models	79
<i>Table 3-3</i>	Design thinking actions and related tools (adapted from Tschimmel 2012:12-17)	82
<i>Table 3-4</i>	Methodological relation summary – designerly thinking and design thinking	84
<i>Table 4-1</i>	Comparison separate and connected knowing (extracts from Belenky et al 1986)	92
<i>Table 4-2</i>	Educational and developmental theory comparison	103
<i>Table 4-3</i>	Transformative and loop learning	104
<i>Table 5-1</i>	Workshop data collection	116
<i>Table 5-2</i>	Example of recursive abstraction	122
<i>Table 6-1</i>	Detailed biopic comparison	131
<i>Table 6-2</i>	Comparative threshold concepts across biopic investigations	142
<i>Table 6-3</i>	Keyword refinement (b4)	145
<i>Table 6-4</i>	Role of the researcher	150
<i>Table 6-5</i>	Disconnected and connected experiences	151
<i>Table 6-6</i>	Design thinking and designerly thinking sources	162
<i>Table 6-7</i>	Keywords and ways of thinking	163
<i>Table 6-8</i>	Ways of thinking and reflective essays	167
<i>Table 6-9</i>	Educational and developmental theory comparison	179
<i>Table 6-10</i>	Transformative and loop learning	180
<i>Table 6-11</i>	b4_Shift observed in reflective essay	186
<i>Table 6-12</i>	b4_Transformation in design engagement and thinking	187
<i>Table 6-13</i>	b3_Transformation themes	188
<i>Table 6-14</i>	b4_Emotional and cognitive engagement	188
<i>Table 6-15</i>	b3_Keyword responses	191
<i>Table 6-16</i>	Vignette summaries and shifts	191
<i>Table 6-17</i>	Critical artefact process and meaning-making	192
<i>Table 7-1</i>	Threshold concepts characteristics interpreted	210
<i>Table 7-2</i>	Threshold categories and related threshold concepts	210
<i>Table 7-3</i>	Non-sequential transformation (hierarchical and relational approaches)	230
<i>Table 7-4</i>	Level of development of undergraduate students	233
<i>Table 7-5</i>	Proposed amendments to developmental learning theories	234

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

D&T	Designedly thinking
DT	Design thinking
GDC	Greenside Design Center
HCD	Human-centred design
UJ	University of Johannesburg
UP	University of Pretoria
SAIC	School of the Art Institute of Chicago