Transesterification reaction for biodiesel production from soybean oil using $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst: Kinetic study
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HIGHLIGHTS

- $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ catalyst was synthesized by combustion method
- Important reaction parameters were evaluated
- Transesterification reaction kinetic for soybean oil and methanol was determined
- Biodiesel was produced by transesterification method using $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ catalyst

SUMMARY

Biodiesel was successfully produced by transesterification process of soybean oil and methanol using $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst. The $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ catalyst was synthesized by the combustion method and its properties were investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD), $\text{N}_2$ physisorption at 77 K, Fourier transform infrared analysis (FT-IR), Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and a transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The performance of catalyst was investigated during transesterification reaction for FAMEs production. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were studied by gas chromatography (GC) technique. The effect of reaction conditions such as molar ratio of methanol/soybean oil, catalyst amount, reaction temperature and reaction time on FAMEs yield were also evaluated. The biodiesel yield of 92.1 % was obtained under the following reaction conditions: 9:1 of methanol/soybean oil molar ratio and, 2 % of catalyst loading at 180 °C in 3 h. Furthermore, the energy of activation ($E_a$) was 67.4 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ and the pre-exponential factor ($k_o$) was $8.35 \times 10^4$ L mol$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ determined using Arrhenius equation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Biodiesel is a renewable fuel that can be produced from vegetable oils, animal fats, and cooking oils as raw material in the presence of a short chain alcohol such as methanol or ethanol. For this, different methods for biodiesel production are used, for example; micro-encapsulation,
esterification, pyrolysis, and transesterification.\textsuperscript{1-3} Among them, transesterification method is preferred for the reason that it produces biodiesel with low viscosity and its combustion characteristics are near to fuel produced from diesel.\textsuperscript{3} Methanol is an alcohol preferred since it is inexpensive and has excellent physical and chemical properties, such as, a higher reactivity due to methanol being a polar molecule.\textsuperscript{3-5} Further, However, homogenous catalysts are very much applied on transesterification process, but one of the shortcoming associated with homogenous catalysts is that, homogeneous catalysts are very difficult to separate from the components of mixture during reaction and must be neutralized and washed at the end of reaction, hence, creating difficulties in separation and recycling of spent catalysts.\textsuperscript{6-8} This is also supported by Vahid et al.\textsuperscript{43} when they reported the problems of using homogenous acid catalyst such as H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and HCl associated with the excessive time required to perform the reaction, corrosion and high separation costs.

In response to this problem, the development of heterogeneous catalysts for biodiesel production is gaining much attention from many researchers due the advantages of heterogeneous catalysts obtained at nanometric scale added to the magnetic property, constitute an appropriate and pertinent contribution to the chemical reactions of transesterification for biodiesel production. Therefore, these heterogeneous catalysts can be easily separated, regenerated, and reused for the further cycle during transesterification process.\textsuperscript{11,44} Thus, it reduces the contamination to the environment because lower amount of waste is generated during this process. In addition, heterogeneous catalysts become even more advantageous when they are spinel-type, since they allow a great cationic mobility, where their original crystalline structure may have substitution of chemical elements for other elements of same structure, that are similar in terms of electronegativity, valence, and radius size.\textsuperscript{15} Most recently spinels such as NiFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{9}
Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.7}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, $^{9}$ CoFe$_2$O$_4$, $^{12}$ ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, $^{14}$ Ni$_{0.5-x}$Cu$_{0.5-x}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, $^{15}$ Ni$_{0.7}$Zn$_{0.3}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, $^{42}$ have been used in the biodiesel production. However, the spinel ferrites can present support for the catalyst of biodiesel production due to their excellent properties such as large surface area and high thermal resistance. The method of synthesis plays a principal role in physicochemical properties of nanomagnetic catalyst obtained. $^{9,13,43}$ However, in order to produce these materials, various chemical methods of preparation have been reported as follow; solid-state reaction $^{16}$, solid-state reaction by microwave $^{17,18}$, sonochemical process $^{19}$, precursor citrate $^{20}$, precipitation $^{21}$, sol-gel $^{22}$, co-precipitation $^{23}$ and combustion reaction. $^{9,13,15}$ From the literature among the aforementioned chemical techniques, combustion technique has more advantages such as simplicity and short time required to produce a catalyst with a higher porosity. $^{24-26,43}$ Therefore, in our study, the combustion method can be an appropriate method to prepare a nanomagnetic catalyst for biodiesel production. The kinetic study of transesterification process of oil and methanol catalyzed by alkali has been reported in literature. $^{27,29,30}$ The kinetic study offers parameters i.e. the activation energy (Ea), to estimate the reaction velocity as a function of time under conditions. $^{27,28}$ However, to the best of our knowledge, the kinetic study of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst in transesterification reaction has not yet been explored. In our current study, the main objective was to investigate the kinetic of biodiesel production from soybean oil/methanol using Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ as a nanomagnetic catalyst by optimizing the parameters such as oil to methanol ratio, catalyst concentration, reaction temperature and reaction time. To support this objective, the properties of prepared catalyst were investigated by various techniques of characterization such as X-ray diffraction spectroscopy, BET textural analysis, Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, zeta potential and acidity analysis and by a vibrating sample magnetometer analysis.
2 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials
To synthesize Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ catalysts, the following chemicals were used: nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O) with 98 % of purity supplied by Vetec. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O) with purity of 97% supplied by Vetec. Iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate - Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O (purity, 99%) supplied by Vetec. Urea - [(NH$_2$)$_2$CO] (purity, 97%) was supplied by Vetec. For biodiesel production the chemicals used were: Methanol – CH$_3$OH (purity, 99 %) supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and soybean oil refined was purchased in Campina Grande/Brazil. The physic-chemical properties result of soybean oil refined was previously published.\textsuperscript{9}

2.2 Catalyst Preparation
The Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst was prepared via combustion technique. The choice of this combustion method was due to the great advantage compared with other synthesis methods of catalysts as previously reported in the introduction section. The combustion method is represented by Equation (1):

\[
0.5\text{Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O(s)}+0.5\text{Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O(s)}+2\text{Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O(s)}+6.67\text{(NH$_2$)$_2$CO(s)}\rightarrow \\
\text{Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$}+6.67\text{CO$_2$} + 37.33\text{H$_2$O} + 10.67\text{N$_2$} \\
\text{(Equation 1)}
\]

2.3 Characterization of catalyst
The structural characteristics, identifications of phases and determination of crystallite size and crystallinity of the catalyst was investigated using X-ray diffraction, model Shimadzu XRD 6000 (CuKa radiation source, $\lambda = 1.542$ Å, a voltage of 40 kV, current of 30 mA and scanning between 20 - 70 °C). The size of crystallite was determined by Scherrer equation.\textsuperscript{31}
BET textural analysis using gas N\textsubscript{2} adsorption, model 3200E YOUNG, Quantachrome\textsuperscript{®} was used to check the textural characteristics of Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Zn\textsubscript{0.5}Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}. With this method, the specific surface area of samples was determined, and the size of particles was determined by Reed formula.\textsuperscript{32}

FTIR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 instrument in ATR mode. The spectra represent averages of 16 scans scanned at a resolution of 4 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. TGA/DTG analysis on a TA Instruments SDT-Q600 Simultaneous TGA/DSC was used to investigate the thermal stability of catalyst. SEM was used to investigate the morphological properties of Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Zn\textsubscript{0.5}Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} catalyst. The samples were viewed through a Shimadzu Corporation-(Superscan SSX-550 Scanning Electron Microscopy-Energy Dispersion X-Ray). Additionally, Transmission Electron Microscopy, a model JEM-3010, JEOL-300kv, was also used to investigate the morphology of catalyst. The Vibrating Sample Magnetometer, a model 7404 using Lake Shore, was used to determine the magnetic characteristic of catalyst. The active sites analysis was investigated by temperature desorption (TPD) method using NH\textsubscript{3} molecules. In TPD-NH\textsubscript{3} studies, the catalyst was subjected to a heating under temperature and flow schedule of an inert gas before equilibrated with a gas under well-defined temperature and partial pressure conditions. The flow gas that flows out on the sample is monitored by the continuous gas desorption.\textsuperscript{9}

2.4 Transesterification reaction

In the transesterification reaction the following conditions were evaluated: (i) Methanol/ oil molar ratio 3:1, 6:1 and 9:1; (ii) 0.5; 1; 1.5 and 2 % of catalyst; (iii) reaction temperature (140; 160 and 180 °C) and (iv) reaction time (1; 2; 3 and 4 h). The results of the parameters are reported in triplicate and discussed. The reaction conditions were investigated with the objective of getting a high yield of biodiesel. After the reaction, the nanocatalyst was separated from FAMEs and glycerol using a magnetic field (Figure 5a). The mixture of the components of FAMEs and a small
amount of glycerol were separated by decantation and further using centrifugation during 40 min at 9000 RPM to promote a total separation of the components of mixture via sedimentation. Biodiesel was analyzed using the gas chromatograph (GC) technique, model VARIAN 450c. The formula represented by Equation 2,38 was used to determine the biodiesel mass yield.

\[
\text{Mass yield} \% = \frac{\text{Weight of biodiesel}}{\text{Weight of oil}} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

2.5 Transesterification reaction kinetic study

Equation (2) illustrates the general transesterification reaction using methanol. In general, the triglyceride (TG) is reversible. To conduct the reaction to the products side and to obtain esters, a higher methanol/oil molar ratio of 9:1 was required compared to the stoichiometric of methanol/oil molar ration (3:1) (Equation 3). In this work, the influence of reverse reaction and methanol concentration was negligible.\textsuperscript{28,33,34} The kinetic of transesterification reaction follows the pseudo-first-order as is shown by Equation (4).\textsuperscript{37}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Equation 3. Transesterification reaction of triglycerides with methanol.} \textsuperscript{39} \\
\frac{dTG}{dt} &= -k[TG][M]^3 \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \([TG]\) represents the triglyceride concentration and \([M]\) is a concentration of methanol, both in (mol/L).

\[
k' = k[M]^3 \quad (5)
\]
By integration of Equation (2), it offers:

\[ \ln[TG, t] - \ln[TG, 0] = k't \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[
k' = \frac{\ln[TG, 0] - \ln[TG, t]}{t} \hspace{1cm} (7)
\]

In addition,

\[ [TG, t] = [TG, 0] - \frac{[FAMEs, t]}{3} \hspace{1cm} (8) \]

Where, \( k \) represents constant rate in \( \text{L.mol}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1} \), the time of reaction is represented by \( t \) in (min).

The concentrations of triglyceride and fatty acid methyl esters as a function of time are represented by \([TG, t], [TG, 0] \) and \([FAMEs, t] \) in (mol/L). The \([\text{Biodiesel}, t] \) can be obtained from GC analysis.

By fitting of Equation (3), the \( k \) values were determined at different temperatures. The Arrhenius formula represented by Equation (9) was used to calculate the activation energy.\(^{28,34}\) As it is known that the activation energy plays a role to accelerate the velocity of transesterification reaction for the formation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs).

\[ k = k_0 \times e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

By integration, it can be transformed as follows:

\[ \ln k = -\frac{E_a}{RT} + \ln k_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where, the activation energy (Ea) is represented in (KJ/mol); the constant of gas (R) corresponds (8.314 J.mol\(^{-1}\)/K while the temperature (T) is in kelvin (K), and the pre-exponential factor (\( k_0 \)) is in (L.mol\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)).
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Catalyst Characterization

3.1.1 XRD analysis and BET analysis

XRD patterns of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ in triplicate are shown in Figure 1. The formation of a single crystalline phase of the inverse spinel with space group $Fd3m$ was observed. No other phases were detected. The spinel phase was identified by the standard card JCPDF 52-0278. The catalyst showed diffraction peaks with a considerably basal width, indicating the nanosized characteristic of particles of the synthesized material. Further, the catalyst exhibited 17 nm of crystallite size and 80% of crystallinity, demonstrating that the combustion method used in this study was effective.

The adsorption/desorption isotherm of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ is shown in Figure 2. The catalyst had an isotherm type V, typical of mesoporous materials. The mesoporous enhanced the performance of the catalyst. According to the research work conducted by Mohamed et al. the diffusion of free fatty acid inside and outside of the catalyst is generated by mesopores. The catalyst presented hysteresis loop type H3 as is reported in Figure 2. Furthermore, the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst exhibited a reasonable specific surface area (BET) of 74.42 ± 0.71 m$^2$g$^{-1}$, particle size (D$_{BET}$) of 15 ± 0.41 nm, volume of pores (Vp) of 0.168 ± 0.02 cm$^3$/g and pore radius Dv(r) = 37.484 Å, respectively.
3.1.2 FTIR and TGA analysis

FTIR spectra of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ in range 700 – 400 cm$^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 3. Two bands demonstrating tetrahedral and octahedral sites were observed approximately at 578 cm$^{-1}$ and 430
cm\(^{-1}\). Figure 4 shows traces for the Ni\(_{0.5}\)Zn\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) investigated by TGA/DTG. However, the catalyst featured three stages thermal degradation. The first step for the Ni\(_{0.5}\)Zn\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) had onset temperatures of 31 °C while the maximum rate occurred at 198 °C. This is attributed the initial breakdown of the complex and spontaneous combustion and evaporation of absorbed water.\(^9\) The corresponding values for the second step were 200 °C for the onset temperatures and 350 °C for the maximum mass loss rate. This is attributed to the oxidation and decomposition of the organic material of inorganic salts.\(^{35}\) The third stage is between 445 °C to 550 °C demonstrating the formation of corresponding metal. No mass loss was observed above of 578 °C, this suggests that: (i) the thermal decomposition was completed; and (ii) the Ni\(_{0.5}\)Zn\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) catalyst was formed.

**FIGURE 3** FTIR spectra of Ni\(_{0.5}\)Zn\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) catalyst
3.1.3 Zeta potential and pH in catalytic activity

The zeta potential informs about the electric charges on the surface of the solid, which is a feature of the change of acidity in the material due to absorption and desorption of ions and protons. Therefore, the Zeta potential and pH values were 12 ± 0.11 mV and 6.20 ± 0.34. This suggests that the catalyst had good stability and a positive surface charge.

3.1.4 TPD - NH₃ analysis

The acid sites are related to the interaction force present in catalyst which is classified in three types: weak, moderate, and strong acid sites as listed in Table 1. Figure 5 shows the acid sites of the Ni₀.₅Zn₀.₅Fe₂O₄ curves obtained by TPD - NH₃ analysis. The strong acid sites were observed at temperature range 307 – 448 °C. While the moderate acid sites were observed at 170 °C to 300 °C and the last interval in the lower temperature range 100 – 168 °C could be represent the weak acid
sites present on the surface of the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$. The strong acid sites influenced the transesterification reaction for biodiesel production.

**TABLE 1** Acid sites of the synthesized Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Weak acid sites (mL.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Moderate acid sites (mL.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Strong acid sites (mL.g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.5}$Zn$</em>{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 5** Curves of acid sites of the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ obtained by TPD - NH$_3$ analysis

**3.1.5** SEM and TEM analysis studies

Figure 6 shows SEM micrographs of the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst. The mesoporous structures of the nanomagnetic catalyst were confirmed using SEM. The particle agglomeration with varied forms and dimensions is clearly visible. The ions Ni$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ affected the morphology of catalyst synthesized. Additionally, the confirmation of the weak agglomeration of the interconnected particles with various forms were observed using TEM and as shown in Figure 7. The agglomeration of particles is due to the combustion method used to synthesize Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst.
3.1.6 Magnetic property via VSM

The Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic property is presented in Figure 8. The catalyst revealed the magnetic hysteresis with a well-defined and narrow $S$ format, indicating ferromagnetic behavior, characteristic of soft magnetic materials. The Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ presented a reasonable
saturation value of magnetization, remnant value of magnetization and coercive force value which were of $30.47 \pm 0.97$ emu/g, $1.71 \pm 0.17$ and $45.12 \pm 1.04$, respectively. Additionally, Figure 9 illustrates images of the excellent magnetic response of the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$. The nanomagnetic catalyst used in transesterification reaction responds well to the magnet that produces a magnetic field. This suggests that after reaction the catalyst could be separated from components to ensure its reuse.

**FIGURE 8** Hysteresis curves $M \times H$ in duplicate (I and II) of the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$

**FIGURE 9** Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst during transesterification reaction and separation process by magnet stimulus.
3.2  Factors affecting transesterification reaction

3.2.1 Effect of catalyst on biodiesel yield

The effect of a catalyst on the yield of biodiesel is shown in Figure 10 (a). In this study, the catalyst evaluated was within the range of 0.5 -2 wt-%. The nanocatalyst loading during reaction induced for higher level of biodiesel production by enhancing the reaction rate. On 0.5 wt-% of catalyst loading, the reaction started slowly and achieved a high value of biodiesel yield of 71 % when the amount of catalyst was 2 wt-%. This is probably attributed to the acid sites. More loading of Ni0.5Zn0.5Fe2O4, can induce to the more acid sites, which is favorable on the performance of catalyst on transesterification reaction for higher biodiesel conversion. In this study, catalyst loading was not exceeded more than 2 wt-%. Dantas et al.40 reported that the biodiesel yield was low when a higher concentration of catalyst loading of 4 wt-% was used. Furthermore, Zhang et al.28 also reported that too much amount of catalyst increases the viscosity of the oil and alcohol mixture, consequently, it is difficult to get a good mixture and its separation. The results from this work suggest that catalyst concentration of 2 wt-% was enough to complete the biodiesel conversion in optimum reaction time. However, combining this parameter with others the best biodiesel yield can be achieved.

3.2.2 Effect of molar ratio of methanol/oil on the yield of biodiesel

Figure 10 (b) shows the effect of the molar ratio of methanol/oil on biodiesel yield investigated from 3:1 to 9:1. The results show that an increase in molar ratio increased the biodiesel yield reaching a maximum yield of 83 % with the molar ratio of 9:1. Lower molar ratio decreased the velocity of reaction kinetic as well as biodiesel yield while a higher molar ratio of 9:1 needed shorter reaction time to achieve a good yield. Similar findings were reported by researchers.37 The aforementioned studies were done by Dantas et al.40 which showed that the biodiesel yield was not
effective when too much molar ratio methanol/oil of 1:12 and 1:20. According to the literature\textsuperscript{28}, when too much molar ratio of methanol/soybean oil is used, it becomes difficult to separate glycerol from the mixture due to emulsion of glycerol with biodiesel, thereby decreasing the yield of biodiesel.

\textbf{FIGURE 10} (a) Effect of catalyst amount on biodiesel yield (molar ratio of methanol/soybean oil: 9:1; 3 h at 180 \degree C). (b) Effect of methanol/soybean oil molar ratio on biodiesel yield (catalyst: 2\%; 3 h at 180 \degree C at). (c) Effect of temperature on biodiesel yield (methanol/soybean oil molar ratio: 9:1; catalyst: 2\% at 3h. (d) Effect of time of reaction on biodiesel yield (methanol/soybean oil molar ratio: 9:1; catalyst: 2\% at 180 \degree C).
3.2.3 Effect of temperature on the yield of Biodiesel

Figure 10 (c) shows effect of temperature on the yield of biodiesel. The biodiesel yield was enhanced with an increase in temperature. This is due to the reduction of soybean oil viscosity and an enhanced in miscibility with alcohol caused by increase in temperature. This also improves the contact of oil with alcohol and catalyst.\textsuperscript{37} The best yield of biodiesel of 87.3% was achieved at 180 °C. However, due low boiling point of methanol it also limits their use into most transesterification reaction because during processing at high temperatures, large amounts of alcohol can be lost by volatilization.

3.2.4 Effect of reaction time on biodiesel yield

Figure 10 (d) shows the trend of the effect of reaction time on biodiesel yield. The time of reaction was evaluated from 1 h to 4 h. Results show that increasing of time from 1 h to 3 h increased the biodiesel yield increased to 92.1%. But from 3 h to 4 h the yield decreased to 87%. This is due to the transesterification reaction which is a reversible process which it conducts for reduction of higher yield of fatty acid methyl esters and production of soap.\textsuperscript{38} However, the highest biodiesel yield of 92.1% was reached in 3 h. As is known, reaction time also plays a major role in transesterification reaction using heterogeneous catalysts.
(a) Trends variation of concentration-time profile for biodiesel production at 140, 160 and 180 °C under conditions: 9:1 of methanol/soybean oil molar ratio; 2% of catalyst. (b) Curve of linear regression of triglyceride concentration and time of reaction found by equation (3), under condition: 9:1 of methanol/soybean oil molar ratio; 2% of catalyst. (c) Prediction data of $\ln k$ as a function of $1/T$ for the transesterification reaction for biodiesel production (9:1 of methanol/soybean oil molar ratio and 2% of catalyst).
3.2.5 Reaction kinetics studies

Figure 11 (a) shows the variation trend of FAMEs concentration. The results demonstrate the trends that occur in three stages as a function of time: (i) initiation step is in range of 0–20 min, this shows a slow formation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs); (ii) The second stage between 15 – 120 min is known as growth stage, where the fatty acid methyl esters were forming smoothly; (iii) finally, the last stage from 120 – 180 min, shows that the velocity of reaction to form FAMEs is too faster compared to the two previous stages. In this stage also the equilibrium is reached in around 180 min. Figure 11 (b) shows the fitting of experimental values as a function of temperatures of 140 °C, 160 °C and 180 °C obtained by Equation (6). The excellent linear function plot of $\ln[TG,0] - \ln[TG, t]$ with time ($t$) was obtained. From curves in Figure 11 (b), it is visible to see that the experimental data is close to the predicted data. Table 2 lists the reaction rate constants ($k$) and correlation coefficients ($R^2$) at different temperatures. Note that the correlation coefficient obtained was greater than 0.996. Additionally, Arrhenius formula represented by Equation (9) was used to obtain the energy of activation. The values of energy of activation and the pre-exponential factor ($k_0$) were 67.4 kJ/mol and 8.35x10$^4$ L.mol$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$. Figure 11 (c) shows excellent linearity observed between $lnk$ and $1/T$ at different temperatures between 140 – 180 °C. Furthermore, the experimental data is in close agreement with the predicted data. Finally, the Arrhenius equation of showing the reaction rate at a range of temperature 140 – 180 °C was written as follows: $lnk = 20.45 - \frac{9875}{T}$.

**TABLE 2** Lists of rates of constant ($k$) and correlation coefficients ($R^2$) of reaction as a function of temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$k$ (L.mol$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.0955</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.2613</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a summary, the current work, suggests that, additional experimental exploration will be required to confirm the potential advantage of the conclusion reached herein. Clearly, it should be possible to produce biodiesel using nanomagnetic catalyst that is environmentally friendly. The best yield of FAMEs achieved in this work shows that they may be worthwhile to consider development of actual nanomagnetic catalysts based on the ferrites of spinel-type for large scale (industrial scale).

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst was synthesized with the simple and applicable method of combustion for being used in biodiesel production. In transesterification reaction the synthesized catalyst showed the highest performance in the biodiesel production with a conversion of 92.1 %. The results of analyses presented that the presence of acid sites on surface of catalyst, suitable morphology, reasonable surface area and zeta potential of catalyst influenced in the biodiesel production. The kinetic studies were performed at different times and temperatures to find the rate constants suggesting that the reaction follows pseudo-first-order kinetics having activation energy and frequency factor as 67.4 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ and $8.35\times10^4$ L mol$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ respectively. Evaluating the dependence of transesterification reaction in the biodiesel yield with the reaction parameters such as catalyst concentration, molar ratio of alcohol/oil, temperature and reaction time it was found that the optimum reaction conditions for biodiesel production were found to be methanol/oil molar ratio (9:1), catalyst concentration (2 wt%), temperature (180 °C) and the reaction time (3h). Finally, it can be said that the Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanomagnetic catalyst provides good potentials for industrialization due to its suitable performance in biodiesel production and environmentally friendly as well as its simple and inexpensive method of synthesis.
As for future work, the reusability of catalyst in transesterification process during biodiesel production will be explored. This is due to the catalyst excellent magnetic properties. Further, thereafter, its long-term use in transesterification reaction, the morphology, structure and composition of catalyst also will be investigated.
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