(cc)

# Allergic sensitisation in South Africa: Exploring regional variation in sensitisation

C van Rooyen,<sup>1,2</sup> MB ChB, MMed (Path), FRC Path; S van den Berg,<sup>1,2</sup> MB ChB, MMed (Path), FRC Path; P J Becker,<sup>3</sup> MSc, PhD; R J Green,<sup>2</sup> PhD, DSc

<sup>1</sup> AMPATH Laboratories, Pretoria, South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa

<sup>3</sup> Research Office, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Corresponding author: C van Rooyen (vanrooyenc@ampath.co.za)

**Background.** Allergy is a common health problem in South Africa (SA), and a rational approach to allergy testing is essential to ensure cost-effective as well as optimal patient diagnosis and management.

**Objectives.** To review allergy testing data with respect to current national testing recommendations, and to explore the regional variations in sensitisation.

**Methods.** Retrospective data review on allergy testing from a private pathology provider in SA over a 2-year period. Data on skin-prick testing (SPT) and allergen-specific IgE testing originating from all the provinces of SA were collected and analysed with regards to allergen positivity rate and regional sensitisation patterns.

**Results.** Among the patients (N=45 0320) tested for a suspected inhalant allergy, 46% tested positive. Only 45% of these received additional testing for the nine recommended inhalant allergens included in the current national testing protocol. Among the patients (N=6 775) who received SPT for a suspected inhalant allergy, 59% yielded one or more positive results. The most frequent sensitising allergens were house dust mite (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*) and grass pollen. The house dust mite, *Blomia tropicalis*, was a significant sensitiser in coastal regions. SPT identified two other important regional allergens which are not included in the current recommendations for inhalant allergenspecific IgE testing.

**Conclusions.** The current diagnostic recommendations include allergens that demonstrate significant sensitisation in all regions of SA. Two additional allergens that show significant regional sensitisation in the South African population were identified. These findings may aid the recommendations for the most appropriate and cost-effective approach to allergy testing of symptomatic patients in SA.

*S Afr Med J* 2020;110(7):686-690. https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2020.v110i7.14420

Allergies are common health problems throughout the world that contribute significantly to patient populations in both primary care and specialist practices in South Africa (SA). Allergy testing in conjunction with a good patient history remains the cornerstone of allergy diagnosis and appropriate allergy management. The identification of allergic sensitisation patterns in SA, as well as regional variations in these patterns, should guide the ordering of appropriate tests in symptomatic patients and contribute to guidelines for appropriate allergy diagnosis.<sup>[1]</sup>

Allergy testing may be expensive if large allergen panels are ordered indiscriminately. Therefore, it is essential to identify the most appropriate allergens to be tested, which will not only benefit the patient financially, but also lead to appropriate diagnosis with the resultant health benefits.

## Objectives

To collect and analyse data on national and regional allergen sensitisation patterns in the private healthcare sector of SA. This analysis could indicate whether the current national allergy testing recommendations are relevant, whether additional allergens may need to be considered for future testing protocols and whether there is a distinct regional difference in allergen sensitisation patterns.

#### Methods

Retrospective data on allergy testing performed from 1 January 2016

to 31 December 2017 at AMPATH, a national private pathology provider in SA, were collected anonymously from patient databases. The site where the SPT was performed or where the patient's blood specimen for allergy testing was collected was used to categorise patients demographically. Patient data originating from the nine provinces of SA were collected. The Northern Cape data were excluded from regional allergen analysis, as the volumes of allergy tests ordered were not sufficient to identify statistically significant regional allergens. Skin-prick testing (SPT) was not available in the Northern Cape or the Free State, therefore no regional SPT data were available for these regions.

Data were collected on SPT (Immunotek, Spain) and allergenspecific IgE testing (ImmunoCAP; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Sweden). Special attention was given to regional allergen sensitisation patterns and differences between SPT and allergen-specific IgE sensitisation results. Data were collected on allergens frequently requested as per the South African Allergic Rhinitis Working Group (SAARWG)/Allergy Society of South Africa (ALLSA) protocol for IgE-mediated allergy, namely Bermuda grass, Rye grass, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Blomia tropicalis, Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus fumigatus, Cladosporium herbarum*, cat and dog, as well as the more frequently requested regional allergy tests and cross-reactive allergen component tests. Allergen-specific IgE was reported as a value between 0.1 and 100 kUA/L, with allergen sensitisation defined as the presence of allergen-specific IgE >0.35 kUA/L. A skin test with a mean wheal diameter at least 3 mm greater than the negative saline control was considered to be positive and an indicator of allergen sensitisation.<sup>[2]</sup>

Т

For this descriptive study data were presented in tabular form, initially grouping individual allergen positivity nationally, followed by individual allergen positivity per geographic region. A 95% confidence interval was used and data were analysed using STATA version 14 (StataCorp., USA).

## Results

A total of 45 032 patients were tested for a suspected inhalant allergy with a Phadiatop screening test (ImmunoCAP) and a total of 6 775 patients were tested for an inhalant allergy by SPT.

Of the patients who were tested by the Phadiatop allergy screening test, 20 696 (46%) returned positive results for an allergic sensitisation. However, only 9 395 (45%) of these patients received additional testing, according to the SAARWG/ALLSA recommendations, to identify the causative inhalant allergen.

Data were assessed to determine the most prevalent sensitisation patterns overall for the individual allergen-specific IgE allergens tested on patients with positive Phadiatop inhalant screens (Table 1). A regional breakdown of the sensitisation patterns for individual IgE allergens tested on patients with positive Phadiatop inhalant screens was performed and reported as a point prevalence (Table 2).

On analysis of SPT data, it was found that 3 980 of the 6 775 SPTs performed (59%) yielded one or more positive results. A regional breakdown was performed of the sensitisation patterns for individual IgE allergens on SPT and reported as a point prevalence (Table 3).

As the house dust mite is one of the most important allergens in SA,<sup>[3]</sup> data were further analysed to investigate potential cross-reactivity between *D. pteronyssinus* and *B. tropicalis* on specific IgE testing and SPT. Regional sensitisation to *D. pteronyssinus* IgE (Table 4) or house dust mite (HDM) mix SPT (Table 5) was compared with *B. tropicalis* sensitisation in patients on whom both allergens were tested simultaneously.

As grass pollen is a major allergen in SA and maize pollen, which is only included in SPT profiles from four SA provinces, has demonstrated significant patient sensitisation, further analysis of SPT data for potential cross-reactivity between these two allergens was performed.<sup>[3]</sup> Regional sensitisation to Bermuda grass pollen and maize pollen on SPT was compared in patients on whom both allergens were tested simultaneously (Table 6).

Previous SA data have shown an increase in sensitisation rates to foods of plant origin in regions with the highest pollen

Table 1. Positivity rate of patients testing positive ona Phadiatop inhalant screen (N=9 395) for individualinhalant IgE allergens					
Allergen	n (%)				
D. pteronyssinus	5 269 (56)				
Bermuda grass	4 958 (53)				
Rye grass	4 653 (50)				
A. alternata	3 335 (35)				
B. tropicalis	3 101 (33)				
Dog	2 719 (29)				
Cat	2 217 (24)				
A. fumigatus	2 214 (24)				
C. herbarum	1 812 (19)				

				Provincial regions of South Africa	s of South Africa			
Ranking position	Gauteng	Northwest	Mpumalanga	Limpopo	Free State	KwaZulu-Natal	Eastern Cape	Western Cape
-	Bermuda grass	Bermuda grass	Bermuda grass	D. pteronyssinus	Bermuda grass	D. pteronyssinus	D. pteronyssinus	D. pteronyssinus
-	61%	67%	60%	61%	72%	85%	82%	74%
ç	Rye grass	Rye grass	D. pteronyssinus	Bermuda grass	Rye grass	B. tropicalis	B. tropicalis	Rye grass
4	55%	63%	58%	50%	71%	62%	57%	58%
2	D. pteronyssinus	D. pteronyssinus	Rye grass	Rye grass	A. altanaria	Bermuda grass	Bermuda grass	Bermuda grass
C	43%	38%	49%	48%	36%	25%	39%	44%
	A. alternaria	A. alternaria	A. alternaria	A. alternaria	Dog	Dog	Rye grass	B. tropicalis
<del>1</del> ,	41%	38%	35%	40%	35%	23%	36%	43%
Ľ	Dog	Dog	Dog	B. tropicalis	D. pteronyssinus	Rye grass	Dog	Dog
ſ	29%	31%	33%	32%	30%	21%	30%	30%
2	A. fumigatus	Cat	B. tropicalis	A. fumigatus	A. fumigatus	A. alternaria	A. alternaria	A. alternaria
0	27%	26%	29%	27%	29%	16%	28%	32%
1	Cat	A. fumigatus	Cat	Dog	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat
	26%	24%	27%	23%	21%	15%	22%	25%
0	C. herbarum	B. tropicalis	A. fumigatus	C. herbarum	C. herbarum	A. fumigatus	A. fumigatus	A. fumigatus
0	22%	21%	24%	21%	21%	12%	21%	19%
0	B. tropicalis	C. herbarum	C. herbarum	Cat	B. tropicalis	C. herbarum	C. herbarum	C. herbarum
	21%	20%	22%	15%	15%	8%	18%	17%

Table 2. Ranking of regional sensitisation for individual IgE allergens

	Provincial regions of South Africa					
Ranking position	Gauteng	North West	Mpumalanga	KwaZulu-Natal	Eastern Cape	Western Cape
1	Maize pollen	Bermuda grass	$HDM \ mix^{\dagger}$	$HDM \; mix^{\dagger}$	$HDM \; mix^{\dagger}$	HDM $mix^{\dagger}$
1	35%	29%	30%	41%	48%	44%
2	Bermuda grass	House dust mite $^{\dagger}$	Bermuda grass	B. tropicalis	B. tropicalis	Grass mix
2	31%	27%	24%	32%	29%	34%
3	$HDM \; mix^{\dagger}$	Maize pollen	B. tropicalis	Cockroach	Grass mix*	Bermuda grass
3	30%	25%	24%	17%	24%	30%
4	Grass mix*	Grass mix*	A. alternaria	Bermuda grass	Bermuda grass	B. tropicalis
4	26%	20%	23%	15%	24%	30%
F	Cat	B. tropicalis	Maize pollen	Mould mix <sup>‡</sup>	Cockroach	Cat
5	21%	14%	19%	13%	19%	25%

\*Grass mix consists of Holcus lanatus, Dactylis glomerata, Lolium perenne, Phleum pratense, Poa pratensis and Festuca pratensis. \*HDM mix consisted of Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus and Dermatophagoides farinae. \*Mould mix consisted of Alternaria alternata, Cladosporium herbarum, Aspergillus fumigatus and Penicillium notatum.

Table 4. Regional breakdown of patients on whom D. pteronyssinus and B. tropicalis were requested for simultaneous IgE testing and where dual sensitisation was detected

	Test requests,	Dual sensitisation,
Provincial region	n	n (%)
KwaZulu-Natal	1 789	1 067 (60)
Western Cape	1 029	433 (42)
Eastern Cape	611	229 (37)
Limpopo	247	73 (30)
Mpumalanga	226	62 (27)
North West	636	124 (19)
Gauteng	6 075	1 044 (17)
Free State	94	11 (12)

Table 5. Regional breakdown of patients on whom House dust mite mix and B. tropicalis were tested simultaneously by SPT and where dual sensitisation was detected

Provincial region      n      n (%)        Eastern Cape      460      124 (27)        Western Cape      329      87 (26)        Kwazulu-Natal      244      48 (20)        Mpumalanga      70      12 (17)	n,
Western Cape      329      87 (26)        Kwazulu-Natal      244      48 (20)	
Kwazulu-Natal 244 48 (20)	
Mpumalanga 70 12 (17)	
Gauteng 2 788 401 (14)	
Limpopo 33 4 (12)	
North West 98 9 (9)	

Table 6. Regional breakdown of patients on whom Bermuda grass pollen and maize pollen were tested simultaneously by SPT and where dual sensitisation was detected

	Test requests,	Dual sensitisation,
Provincial region	n	n (%)
Gauteng	1 948	557 (29)
North West	92	19 (21)
Mpumalanga	62	11 (18)
Limpopo	33	4 (12)

sensitisation rates.<sup>[4]</sup> A potential explanation may be cross-reactivity caused by sensitisation to food-pollen cross-reactive components, e.g. lipid transfer proteins (LTP), Profilin, PR-10 and cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants (CCD).

Food allergen-specific IgE data were analysed; 16 202 of positive IgE food allergen screens (Fx5 multitest and ImmunoCAP) were broken down into individual food allergen components. A total of 4 072 (25%) of the positive screens on which individual allergenspecific IgE tests (ImmunoCAP) were performed, demonstrated combined sensitisation to wheat, soy and peanut. Only 22 (0.5%) patients with triple sensitisation underwent additional allergenspecific IgE component testing to the four most common food-pollen cross-reactive components, namely LTP, Profilin, PR-10 and CCD, and 90% of these patients were sensitised to one of the four common cross-reactive components, with the most frequent sensitisation being to CCD and then to LTP.

# Discussion

ImmunoCAP IgE sensitisation data revealed that house dust mite sensitisation (D. pteronyssinus IgE on ImmunoCAP) was the most common sensitiser overall, followed by grass pollen (Bermuda and rye grass) and then by the mould A. alternata. However, overall A. alternata sensitisation patterns may be biased by the inclusion of the highest percentage of patients from Gauteng, where this fungus is a common allergen. The lowest overall sensitisation for one of the nine recommended ImmunoCAP IgE allergens to be tested in the ALLSA/SAARWG panel was for the fungus C. herbarum, with a positivity rate of only 8% in KwaZulu-Natal. Generally, an allergen is seen to contribute significantly to allergy in a community if sensitisation levels rise above 2%.[5]

A regional breakdown of allergen-specific IgE sensitisation to the individual allergens in the ALLSA/SAARWG panel demonstrated that two of the top three allergens in all provinces were D. pteronyssinus and Bermuda grass. Gauteng and North West provinces demonstrated similar sensitisation patterns, and KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape provinces demonstrated similar sensitisation patterns. The most notable regional differences were lower sensitisation levels to B. tropicalis in Gauteng, the Free State and North West provinces and very high levels of sensitisation in KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape, with the Western Cape lagging slightly behind.

A regional breakdown of SPT data also confirmed house dust mite (D. pteronyssinus and/or D. farinae) and Bermuda grass to be very prominent allergens. It is interesting to observe that maize pollen was amongst the top five sensitising allergens in Gauteng, Mpumalanga and North West provinces. Also of note is that cockroach was among the top five sensitising allergens in KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape provinces. This is a concerning finding, as maize pollen and cockroach are not included in the current ALLSA/SAARWG profile for allergen-specific IgE inhalant allergen testing.

There are few data available on the sensitisation and potential crossreactivity between *B. tropicalis* and *D. pteronyssinus* in the SA setting. A previous study with limited numbers of private patients in KwaZulu-Natal and Johannesburg, Gauteng, showed a 52% sensitisation rate to *B. tropicalis* in KwaZulu-Natal on SPT (Stellargenes, France) and a sensitisation rate of 3% in Johannesburg.<sup>[6]</sup>

As a secondary aim potential cross-reactivity between D. pteronyssinus and B. tropicalis was investigated. It is important to identify patients sensitised to B. tropicalis, as these patients only respond to B. tropicalis-specific immunotherapy vaccines.<sup>[7]</sup> Regional data of patients co-sensitised to D. pteronyssinus and B. tropicalis were analysed on both ImmunoCAP IgE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sweden) and SPT. The co-sensitisation rates were higher in coastal areas (KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape and Western Cape), suggesting true sensitisation in these regions. These areas have suitable climates for the mite, B. tropicalis, to thrive in.[6,7] However, there was not enough information available to determine true levels of crossreactivity between B. tropicalis and D. pteronyssinus, as B. tropicalisspecific IgE allergen components are currently not available on ImmunoCAP. The sensitisation levels to B. tropicalis detected in Gauteng were higher than reported previously and may be attributed to the different tests and test manufacturers, which may influence test sensitivity and specificity, as well as differences in study patient populations.

There are currently no data available on the true sensitisation levels to maize pollen in SA. Cross-reactivity between maize pollen and Bermuda grass pollen has been suggested, as these two plant species are more closely related than maize pollen and rye grass.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Maize pollen testing is not included in the top nine allergens recommended in the SAARWG/ALLSA diagnostic protocol for inhalant allergen testing. However, it is included in local SPT protocols in four SA provinces. These SPT data revealed that 29% of patients in Gauteng who were sensitised to Bermuda grass, were also co-sensitised to maize pollen. As the overall sensitisation rate in Gauteng on SPT was 31% to Bermuda grass and 35% to maize pollen, these results indicate that some patients are primarily sensitised to maize pollen and that it may be a significant allergen in the Gauteng region. There is a great need for a maize-specific IgE allergen component to identify patients primarily sensitised to maize pollen.

Allergen data were examined to determine potential food-pollen cross-reactive component sensitisation. Previous SA data have shown an increase in sensitisation rates to foods of plant origin in regions with the highest pollen sensitisation rates.<sup>[4]</sup> From the authors' experience, patients with triple sensitisation to wheat, peanut and soy are often sensitised to pollen cross-reactive components. Only a minority of these patients have clinical symptoms when ingesting these plant foods.<sup>[10-12]</sup> If symptomatic, the most frequent symptoms are those of oral allergy syndrome, but urticaria and even anaphylaxis have been described in patients sensitised to LTP.<sup>[13]</sup> It is important to diagnose the relevant cross-reactive component, as it will have clinical implications regarding dietary and allergen avoidance advice to patients. Identification of patients with only CCD sensitisation will also avoid unnecessary dietary exclusion of wheat, peanut, soy and other plant-based foods from the patient's diet.

It was notable that 25% of all patients with a positive food allergenspecific IgE screen demonstrated positive sensitisation to wheat, soy and peanut in combination. These sensitisation levels are much higher than the expected prevalence of food allergy.<sup>[14]</sup> As these plant foods are not phylogenetically closely related, the likelihood that these patients could be sensitised to unique allergens in each of these diverse plant allergens is extremely low.

Only 22 patients (0.5%) had additional ImmunoCAP allergenspecific IgE component testing for the four most common foodpollen cross-reactive components, namely LTP, Profilin, PR-10 and CCD IgE. Some patients had ImmunoCAP ISAC component microarray tests performed. However, the data are not included in this analysis. Although these numbers were small, it was notable that 90% of triple sensitised patients were positive to one of these four food-pollen cross-reactive components on ImmunoCAP IgE, most frequently CCD followed by LTP. The distinction between these two sensitisation patterns is relevant, as CCD sensitisation does not cause symptoms after allergen exposure, while LTP sensitisation may cause a severe systemic allergy upon allergen exposure.<sup>[13]</sup>

## **Study limitations**

One of the main disadvantages when analysing anonymous retrospective data is the lack of access to patient history and clinical records. Therefore, the authors could only report on allergen sensitisation and not clinically relevant allergy. Gauteng was the most represented province, therefore the national sensitisation data may be biased. Another limitation is that data were only available for patients with access to private healthcare, therefore the data may not be representative of patients in the public sector. SPT data couldn't be compared for all allergens between all regions, as some SPT profiles vary regionally and SPT is also restricted to larger towns and cities in SA.

## Conclusions

Inhalant allergy testing positivity rates were 46% for the Phadiatop atopy screen and 59% for inhalant SPT panels, indicating appropriate use for the diagnosis of inhalant allergies. This is comparable with international data.<sup>[5,15]</sup> However, only 45% of patients with a positive Phadiatop atopy screen received additional testing for specific inhalant allergens, according to SAARWG/ALLSA recommendations, to identify the causative inhalant allergen. This is sub-optimal, as no therapeutic recommendations can be made regarding allergen avoidance or immunotherapy if additional testing is not performed.

Of the nine recommended inhalant allergens tested on allergenspecific IgE tests, the lowest sensitisation level was 8% to *C. herbarum* in KwaZulu-Natal, which is significantly higher than recommended sensitisation levels for relevant allergens in a community.<sup>[5]</sup> The current allergen selection appears to be appropriate if applying this criterion. However, there is some regional variation in sensitisation patterns, particularly to *B. tropicalis*, cockroach and maize pollen, which is not reflected in these recommendations. International studies have indicated that the minimum battery of inhalant allergy tests needed to identify all sensitised patients may vary. Up to 13 allergens are required to identify sensitised patients in some European countries.<sup>[5]</sup> It is of concern that there may be additional allergens, as were identified in this study, that may contribute significantly to patients' allergy symptoms and which are not included in the current testing protocols.

There was a high percentage (25%) of patients who were co-sensitised to wheat, soy and peanut, suggesting food-pollen crossreactivity rather than primary food allergen sensitisation. However, the uptake of component testing to resolve potential cross-reactivity is very low, despite result comments and recommendations suggesting

their use. Consideration should be given to the implementation of testing algorithms and protocols for patients with suspected foodpollen cross-reactivity, as the unnecessary dietary exclusion of these allergens has a significant social, nutritional and financial impact on patients and their families.

The results of the present study confirm the relevance of the current inhalant allergens tested in SA testing protocols, identify new potential allergens and regional sensitisation patterns. Awareness is also raised about a significant level (25%) of potential food-pollen cross-reactivity which may impact patient management. These findings may aid the recommendations for the most appropriate and cost-effective approach to allergy testing in symptomatic patients in SA.

## Declaration. None.

Author contributions. CvR collected the data and wrote the manuscript; PJB analysed the data; SvdB and RJG edited the manuscript. Acknowledgements. Dalida Wolfaardt for all the data input.

Funding. None.

Conflicts of interest. None.

- 1. Hawarden D. Guideline for diagnostic testing in allergy update 2014. Curr Allergy Clin Im 2014;27(3):216-222.
- 2. Demoly P, Bousquet J, Romano A. In vivo methods for the study of allergy. In: Adkinson Jr NF, Boxhner BS, Busse WW, Holgate ST, Lemanske J RF, Simons FER, eds. Middleton's Allergy: Principles and Practice. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2009:1271-1272.

- 3. Taborda-Barata L, Potter P. Socio-epidemiological aspects of respiratory allergic diseases in Southern
- Africa. World Allergy Organ J 2012;5(1):1-8. https://doi.org/10.1097%2FWOX.0b013e318242d6b4
  Van Rooyen C. IgE-mediated allergy testing in South Africa: Regional Statistics and testing recommendations. Curr Allergy Clin Im 2012;25(2):82
- 5. Bousquet PJ, Burbach G, Heinzerling LM, et al. GA2LEN skin test study III: Minimum battery of test inhalant allergens needed in epidemiological studies in patients. Allery 2009;64:1656-1663. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2009-02169.x
- 6. Jeevarathnum AC, Green RJ, Becker P. Prevalence of Blomia tropicalis allergy in two regions of South Africa. S Afr Med J 2015;105(7):567-569. https://doi.org/10.7196/samjnew.7786 7. Fernández-Caldas E, Puerta L, Caraballo L, Lockey RF. Mite allergens. Clin Allergy Immunol
- 2008;21:161-182.
- 8. Weber RW. Patterns of pollen cross-allergenicity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112(2):229-239. https:// doi.org/10.1067/mai.2003.1683
- 9. Suphioglu C, Singh MB, Knox RB. Peptide mapping analysis of group I allergens of grass pollens. Int Maphiligue S, and Financia R, Kuto KD, representant print analysis of group a metagene of group a metagene of 1993;102(2):144-151.
   Venter C, Maslin K, Arshad SH, et al. Very low prevalence of IgE mediated wheat allergy and high metagene of the second secon
- levels of cross-sensitisation between grass and wheat in a UK birth cohort. Clin Transl Allergy 2016;6;22, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13601-016-0111-1
- 11. Nilsson N, Nilssori C, Ekoff H, et al. Grass-allergic children frequently show asymptomatic-low-level IgE co-sensitisation and cross-reactivity to wheat. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2018;177(2):135-144.
- https://doi.org/10.1159/000489610 12. Martens M, Schnoor HJ, Malling H, Poulsen LK. Sensitisation to cereals and peanut evidenced by skin prick test and specific IgE in food-tolerant, grass pollen allergic patients. Clin Transl Allergy 2011;1(1):15. https://doi.org/10.1186/2045-7022-1-15
- Pascal M, Munoz-Cano R, Reina Z, et al. Lipid transfer protein syndrome: Clinical pattern, co-factor effect and profile of molecular sensitisation to plant-foods and pollens. Clin Exp Allergy 2012;42(10):1529-1539. https://doi.org/10.1111/ji.1365-2222-2012-04071.x
   Nwaru B, Hickstein L, Panesar SS, Roberts G, Muraro A, Sheikh. Prevalence of common food
- allergies in Europe: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Allergy 2014;69(8):992-1007. https://doi. org/10.1111/all.12423
- 15. Quest Diagnostics Health Trends: Allergy Report 2011. Allergies Across America: The largest study of allergy testing in the United States. http://www.questdiagnostics.com/dms/Documents/Other/2011 QD\_AllergyReport.pdf (accessed 6 December 2018).

Accepted 16 January 2020.