

Botryosphaeriaceae on native and exotic *Myrtaceae* trees in southern and eastern Africa

by

Ntaoleng Angel Maduke

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

MAGISTER SCIENTIAE

In the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

December 2017

Supervisor

Prof. Jolanda Roux

Co-supervisors

Dr Draginja Pavlic-Zupanc

Prof. Michael Wingfield

DECLARATION

I, Ntaoleng Angel Maduke declare that the dissertation, which I hereby submit for the degree *Magister Scientiae* at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

Ntaoleng Angel Maduke

December 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	5
Preface	6
List of tables	8
List of figures	9

CHAPTER 1: Literature review: *Botryosphaeriaceae* on *Myrtaceae*: impact and future threats

1.0 Introduction
2.0 The Botryosphaeriaceae
3.0 Species concepts in the <i>Botryosphaeriaceae</i>
3.1 Morphological species concept
3.2 Ecological species concept
3.3 Phylogenetic species concept
3.4 Whole genome sequencing
3.5 Detection of <i>Botryosphaeriaceae</i> species using metabarcoding20
4.0 Botryosphaeriaceae – ecology
4.1 Botryosphaeriaceae as saprophytes
4.2 Botryosphaeriaceae as pathogens
A 3 Ratryognhaariacaaa as plant endonbytes 24
4.5 Bon yosphaeriaceae as plain endophytes
5.0 Host associations
4.5 Bon yosphaertaceae as plain endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25
4.3 Bon yosphaertaceae as plant endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25 5.2 Host shifts 26
4.3 Bon yosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25 5.2 Host shifts 26 5.3 Host range expansions 26
4.3 Bon yosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25 5.2 Host shifts 26 5.3 Host range expansions 26 6.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae 27
4.3 Botryosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25 5.2 Host shifts 26 5.3 Host range expansions 26 6.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae 27 6.1 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae in Africa 28
4.3 Botryosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes 24 5.0 Host associations 25 5.1 Host jumps 25 5.2 Host shifts 26 5.3 Host range expansions 26 6.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae 27 6.1 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae in Africa 28 6.2 Botryosphaeriaceae on native Myrtaceae in Africa 28
4.5 Borryosphaeriaceae as prant endophytes245.0 Host associations255.1 Host jumps255.2 Host shifts265.3 Host range expansions266.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae276.1 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae in Africa286.2 Botryosphaeriaceae on Eucalyptus in Africa29
4.5 Bonyosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes245.0 Host associations255.1 Host jumps255.2 Host shifts265.3 Host range expansions266.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae276.1 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae in Africa286.2 Botryosphaeriaceae on native Myrtaceae in Africa286.3 Botryosphaeriaceae on Eucalyptus in Africa297.0 Conclusions29

CHAPTER 2: *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* in eastern and southern Africa

Abstract	52
1.0 Introduction	
2.0 Materials and Methods	55
2.1 Isolates	55
2.2 DNA extraction, PCR amplification and Sequencing	56
2.3 Phylogenetic analyses	57
2.4 Pathogenicity trials	58
3.0 Results	58
3.1 Isolates	58
3.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analyses	59
3.3 Pathogenicity trials	62
4.0 Discussion	63
5.0 References	68

Acknowledgements

Success is a journey and not a destination. The success of this dissertation would not have been possible without the people who have helped reach the destination, so I would like to give a big thank you to the following:

Firstly, I would like to give thanks to God for being the pillar of my strength when I got discouraged to continue and for His unfailing love for me.

To my family (Molefi, Puseletso, Mamie, Mpoe and Sabi Maduke), who have cried, stressed, laughed and rejoiced with me throughout this journey. Thank you for allowing me the long, dreadful calls at night and for always having my back. I really would not have made it this far without you. Love you guys so much.

To my supervisors (Prof. Jolanda Roux, Dr Draginja Pavlic-Zupanc, Prof. Michael Wingfield). What a pleasure it was working besides you and feeding off from your knowledge. I cannot thank God enough for blessing me with such patient, caring and loving supervisors like you. The completion of this dissertation would be impossible without your encouragement and your guidance. Thank you sincerely.

A big thank you to my colleagues and friends, especially Emeldah Rikhotso, Benedicta Swalarsk-Parry, Ginna Granados, Daniel Ali, Michel Tchotet, Ludwig Eksteen, Ikhona Kiviet, and Phillipine Raisibe Mushwana who have made FABI / Pretoria feel more like home and have allowed me to offload some stress with a bit of laughter. Your friendship is highly appreciated.

A special thank you to Martha, Helen, Madelein, Heidi, Eva, Lydia, Valentina, Seonju, James Mehl Fahimeh, Izette, Elsie, for always being available to assist me whenever I needed assistance.

The completion of this dissertation would not be possible without financial assistance, so I would like to thank the University of Pretoria, Tree Protective Co-operative Program (TPCP), Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Insitute (FABI), the Agricultural Research Council (ARC) and the Professional Development Program (PDP-ARC) for providing me with equipment and financial support during my research.

Preface

Members of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* represent a diverse family of fungi with over 180 species. They have been reported from a wide range of hosts occurring as endophytes, saprophytes or pathogens. These fungi are commonly referred to as latent opportunistic pathogens as they cause disease when the host is under abiotic or biotic stress. Symptoms associated with infection include tip die-back, stem and branch cankers, fruit rots, leaf spots and in severe cases mortality of trees. However, they are best known as canker and die-back pathogens on woody plants including species such as *Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae*). This dissertation focusses on the *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native and introduced *Myrtaceae* in southern and eastern Africa and aimed to increase current scientific knowledge of these fungi in the region.

The literature review of this dissertation provides background to the research chapter by discussing the historic taxonomic changes in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*, and the current methods used for identification and classification of the species in this family. Furthermore, it summarizes the knowledge pertaining to *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* trees, particularly in eastern and southern Africa. Importantly, it considers fungal host jumps/shifts and their future impact in relation to *Eucalyptus* plantation forestry in Africa. Consideration is also given to the biology, ecology and possible future impacts of the *Botryosphaeriaceae*.

Eucalyptus is the largest genus in the family *Myrtaceae*. Most species in this genus originates from Australia and were introduced to other countries as non-natives. In most parts of the world, *Eucalyptus* plantations are established in close proximity to native trees making it possible for pathogens to move from the native to the non-native trees and *vice versa*. This movement could result in considerable damage to the *Eucalyptus* plantations and the native trees.

Chapter two of this dissertation focuses on identifying the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species occurring on both native and the non-native *Myrtaceae* trees in the Limpopo Province of South Africa and selected countries in southern and eastern Africa. Furthermore, it aimed at understanding the implications of the host jumps/shifts between the native and the non-native trees and their importance in relation to plantation forestry in eastern and southern Africa. This was achieved by sampling trees from South Africa, Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zimbabwe. Species resembling *Botryosphaeriaceae* were identified to species level using multi-gene DNA sequence data. To study the implications of the host jumps/shifts on *Eucalyptus* plantations, isolates obtained from native *Myrtaceae* trees were tested for pathogenicity under glasshouse conditions.

The work presented in this dissertation provides a foundation of knowledge regarding the occurance and distribution of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on several native *Myrtaceae* and *Eucalyptus* trees in eastern and southern Africa. This information is especially important in light of the fact that the areas from which isolates were obtained had not been considered previously. Furthermore, it suggests future directions to better understand the biology and taxonomy, movement, role and influence of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on both native and non-native *Myrtaceae* trees.

List of tables

Chapter 1: Literature review: *Botryosphaeriaceae* on *Myrtaceae*: impact and future threats Table 1. Timeline for taxonomic changes in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* family.

Table 2. Botryosphaeriaceae species reported on native Myrtaceae trees in Africa.

Table 3. Global reports of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species found on *Eucalyptus*.

Chapter 2: *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* in eastern and southern Africa

Table 1. Isolates representing the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species considered in a phylogenetic study and pathogenicity trial.

Table 2. Primers used to amplify the four gene regions used in phylogenetic studies of the
 Botryosphaeriaceae from Africa.

Table 3. Tree parameters resulting from phylogenetic analyses.

Table 4. SNPs showing the relationship between *Neofusicoccum* hybrid species 1 and 2, the novel species *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3 and 4, and closely related species.

Figure legends

Chapter 2: *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* in eastern and southern Africa

Figure 1. Map of Africa, indicating areas sampled in eastern and southern Africa.

Figure 2. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the ITS1 and 4, and 5.8S rRNA regions showing the placement of *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolates collected from five countries in southern and eastern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are represented at the tree nodes. Isolates collected in this study appear in bold and genera in which they group are marked by a star. The tree was rooted to outgroup taxa *Aplosporella javeedii* (CMW318166) and *Aplosporella prunicola* (CBS121167).

Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Diplodia* produced with ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of two *Diplodia* isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars indicate their taxonomic positions. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 4. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Diplodia* produced with TEF-1 α sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of the isolates identified in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold, and the red stars represent their phylogenetic placement. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 5. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree from the combined sequence datasets of the ITS rDNA and TEF-1 α loci for *Diplodia* species. Sequences in bold represent isolates obtained in this study, and red stars indicate their taxonomic positions. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 6. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* obtained from ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates obtained from eastern and southern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are given above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and their taxonomic positions are indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are in a pink

colour text. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 7. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* obtained from BT sequences, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates grouping with *L. gonubiensis* and *L. gilanensis*. Bootstrap values above 70% are given above the nodes. The isolates sequenced in this study are represented in bold letters and their taxonomic position indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 8. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from the combined ITS and BT sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* showing the placement of isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and their taxonomic positions are indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 9. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from TEF-1 α sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* showing the placement of isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold. The red stars indicate their taxonomic positions and the potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 10. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from RPB2 sequence data of all known *Lasiodiplodia* species, including isolates from this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476).

Figure 11. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree produced from a combined ITS, BT, TEF-1 α and RPB2 sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia*. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are indicated in different colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 12. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Neofusicoccum* resulting from the analyses of ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates obtained in this study. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 13. Maximum Llikelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Neofusicoccum* produced with BT sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates from southern and eastern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold letters and the red stars indicate their taxonomic position. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 14. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from the TEF-1 α sequences of the currently known *Neofusicoccum* species, showing the phylogenetic position of isolates collected from eastern and southern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).

Figure 15. Mean lesion lengths (mm) obtained for each isolate of different *Botryosphaeriaceae* species six weeks after inoculation on *Eucalyptus*. Bars represent 95% confidence limits for each isolate. Mean leasion lengths produced by *Neofusicoccum* sp. 2 are not significantly different from the control (P>0.05), while the rest of the isolates produced lesions significantly different from the control (P<0.05). Control inoculations were done with 2% MEA agar.

CHAPTER 1

Literature review

Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae:

impact and future threats

1.0 Introduction

The *Botryosphaeriaceae* Theissen & Sydow (Botryosphaeriales, Dothideomycetes, Ascomycota) is a diverse family of fungi with a cosmopolitan distribution. Most species in this family occur on a wide range of hosts, while some seem host-specific (Crous *et al.* 2006, 2017; Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Slippers *et al.* 2009, 2017; Mehl *et al.* 2013, 2017; Marsberg *et al.* 2017; Yang *et al.* 2017). The ecology of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species and their hosts encompasses many different life styles, ranging from saprophytes on dead plant material, symptomless endophytes or pathogenic species (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Jami *et al.* 2014; Slippers *et al.* 2014; Crous *et al.* 2017). They are often referred to as opportunistic pathogens as they remain endophytic in infected plants, only causing disease when the host is under stress, such as that caused by hail, drought and damage by pests and pathogens (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). Symptoms associated with infection by species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* include tip die-back, stem and branch cankers, fruit rots, leaf spots and in severe cases mortality of trees (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013). It has been predicted that the impact of these fungi may increase as the global climate changes and where conditions stressful to plants arise (Slippers and Wingfield 2007).

The taxonomy of species and genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* has undergone significant changes over the past century and continues to change with evolving DNA-based techniques. Initially, species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* were described based on morphology, especially that of the asexual states, because the sexual states are rarely encountered in nature; and based on host associations (Denman *et al.* 2000; Slippers *et al.* 2004; Crous *et al.* 2006; Phillips *et al.* 2013). However, the confusion caused by the overlap of morphological characteristics between the species and the ability of some species in the family to occur on wide host range resulted in considerable taxonomic confusion. In recent years, the advent of molecular techniques has contributed considerably to resolving the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Slippers *et al.* 2004; Crous *et al.* 2006; Phillips *et al.* 2013; Yang *et al.* 2017). The numbers of species identified have increased significantly, and there are over 10 000 gene sequences lodged in GenBank for the order Botryosphaeriales (Slippers *et al.* 2017). It is currently accepted that the *Botryosphaeriaceae* resides in the order Botryosphaeriales and it comprises 23 phylogenetically described genera with over 180 species that are known from culture and sequence data (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Linaldeddu *et al.* 2016; Crous *et al.* 2017; Slippers *et al.* 2017; Yang *et al.* 2017).

Diseases caused by fungi in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* affects native and exotic trees world-wide, and have been recorded on more than 100 plant species (Mehl *et al.* 2013). Several species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* have been associated with plantation-grown *Eucalyptus* L'Héritier de Brutelle species globally. One of the first diseases of plantation grown eucalypt species described in South Africa was Botryosphaeria stem canker (Smith *et al.* 1994). Infection results in stem and branch cankers, tip die-back, wood stain and in some cases death of trees (Slippers and Winfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013, 2017). Prior to 1995, there were 27 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* in the genera *Botryosphaeria* Cesati & De Notaris, *Diplodia* Fries, *Dothiorella* Liu and *Lasiodiplodia* Ellis reported on *Eucalyptus* (Sankaran *et al.* 1995). Currently there are 20 species reported on *Eucalyptus* (Slippers *et al.* 2009; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017). *Neofusicoccum* Crous, followed by *Botryosphaeria* and *Lasiodiplodia*, are the most commonly reported genera on *Eucalyptus* in both native and non-native environments (Slippers *et al.* 2009; Pérez *et al.* 2010; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017), while other genera are rarely found.

The *Myrtaceae* De Jussieu is a family of shrubs and trees that comprises over 130 genera and 5600 species, predominately distributed in the Southern Hemisphere (Johnson and Briggs 1981; Govaerts *et al.* 2008). *Eucalyptus* is the largest genus in the family, with over 700 species. Most *Eucalyptus* species originate from Australia, with a few originating from the South East Asian islands (Iglesias-Trabado and Wilstermann 2008). They were introduced to the rest of the world in the nineteenth century (Doughty 2000), and they are currently planted globally as non-natives because of their fast growth and ability to withstand harsh environments (Turnbull 2000). Currently more than 20 million hectares of eucalypt species are planted as non-natives in more than 100 countries (FAO 2010). In South Africa, the eucalypt industry comprises about 568 000 ha and forms a major part of the forestry industry, providing the country with pulp, fuel wood, timber and essential oils (FAO 2010). Several other members of the *Myrtaceae* are also economically important crops. These include, for example, *Psidium guajava* (L.) Singh U.R. Pandey, Upadhyay, Tripathi BM and *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merrill & Perry, while others such as *S. cordatum* Linnaeus are ecologically important species and used for medicinal purposes, fruit and as ornamentals (de Wet *et al.* 2010).

Pathogens affecting *Eucalyptus* species in their exotic environments could be introduced from natural vegetation surrounding the plantations. In most parts of the world, eucalypt plantations are

established in close proximity to native trees making it possible for pathogens to move from the native to the non-native trees and *vice versa*. Native trees could serve as an important source of pathogens affecting *Eucalyptus*, as it has been found with the pathogens *Austropuccinia psidii* (G. Winter) Beenken (Castro *et al.* 1983; Coutinho *et al.* 1998; Tommerup *et al.* 2003; Simpson *et al.* 2006; Pérez *et al.* 2011; Carnegie *et al.* 2016) and *Chrysoporthe austroafricana* Gryzenhout & Wingfield (Heath *et al.* 2006; Maússe-Sitoe *et al.* 2016). The rust fungus *A. psidii* that occurs on a wide variety of native *Myrtaceae* trees in South America has become one of the main pathogens on non-native *Eucalyptus* planted in the same areas (Coutinho *et al.* 1998; Pérez *et al.* 2011; Carnegie *et al.* 2016). In recent years, several studies have investigated possible host jumps/shifts of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species between native and non-native *Myrtaceae* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Pérez *et al.* 2009; Pérez *et al.* 2010). It is of great concern that the exchange between the exotic and the native trees could result in huge economic loss and ecological disturbance.

This literature review focusses on the *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with plants in the *Myrtaceae*. Firstly, it provides a summary of the major changes in the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* and the species concepts that are currently used in the identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species. Thereafter, it focuses on the ecology and the diseases caused by *Botryosphaeriaceae* species. Lastly, it considers the apparent host range expansions and host shifts/jumps between native and non-native *Myrtaceae* trees, and the implications of these events.

2.0 The Botryosphaeriaceae

The ascomycete family *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Botryosphaeriales, Dothideomycetes) was first introduced in 1918 by Theissen and Sydow as a sub-family in the *Pseudosphaeriaceae* to accommodated the genus *Botryosphaeria*, which was described in 1863 by Cesati and De Notaris (Cesati and De Notaris 1863; Theissen and Sydow 1918). The genus *Botryosphaeria* is considered the type genus of the family and *B. dothidea* as the type species (Slippers *et al.* 2004). Cesati and De Notaris described *B. dothidea* based on the morphological characteristics of the conidia (asexual morph). Petrak (1923), however, rejected the classification of Theissen and Sydow (1918) and placed *Botryosphaeria* in the order Sphaeriales. A few years later Miller (1928) placed *Botryosphaeria* species in the order Dothideales because they lacked true perithecial walls. Most recently, Schoch *et al.* (2006) re-classified the genus *Botryosphaeria* in the family

Botryosphaeriaceae and in the order Botryosphaeriales which currently includes 10 families (Yang *et al.* 2017). It is currently accepted that the *Botryosphaeriaceae* comprises of 23 genera with 180 species that are known from culture and sequence data (Phillips *et al.* 2013; Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Slippers *et al.* 2017). A summary of the major taxonomic changes that have occurred in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* family is presented in Table 1. More detailed descriptions of the history of the taxonomic changes in the family can also be found in the publications of Crous *et al.* 2006; Liu *et al.* 2012; Phillips *et al.* 2013; Slippers *et al.* 2013, 2017; Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Yang *et al.* 2017; and only a brief summary of the main issues are, therefore, discussed in the next sections.

3.0. Species concepts in the Botryosphaeriaceae

The taxonomy of species and genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* have undergone significant changes over the past century. Initially species and genera were identified solely based on morphology and host association. Later, the advent of DNA techniques led to many cryptic species being described in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Today, the most widely accepted method of species delineation and classification includes the combination of morphological, ecological and phylogenetic species concepts. It is predicted that whole genome sequencing will provide greater insights into the biology, phylogeny and the diversity of the group (Lopes *et al.* 2017).

3.1. Morphological species concept

Species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* were initially characterized based on the morphology of their typically hyaline and aseptate ascospores (Barr 1987, 1989; Phillips *et al.* 2008). However, this tool of characterization became inadequate as species with dark and septate ascospores were reported. The phylogenetic and taxonomic status of genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* was verified by Phillips *et al.* (2008), who recognized six genera with pigmented ascospores, four of which were septate. For example, ascospores of species such as *Diplodia corticola* A.J.L. Phillips, Alves & Luque, *N. eucalytporum* Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips, and *N. protearum* Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips become pigmented and septate with age, and the walls typically appear rough due to melanin deposition on the inner surfaces. In subsequent years, Liu *et al.* (2012) defined the characteristics of ascospores in the family as hyaline and aseptate, but pigmented and 1-septate with age. However, this characterization for the family was rejected as ascospores of some species become pigmented and septate at an earlier stage of development. For example, ascospores of

Dothiorella iberica A.J.L Phillips, Luque & A. Alves, *Do. Sarmentorum* (Fries) A.J.L. Phillips, Alves & Luque, *Spencermartinsia viticola* A.J.L. Phillips & Luque, *Sphaeropsis eucalypticola* (Doilom, J.K. Liu & K.D. Hyde) A.J.L. Phillips, and *S. visci* (Albertini & Schweinitz) Saccardo become pigmented at an earlier stage of development, and so the definition of characteristics of genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* by Liu *et al.* (2012) was also rejected. Furthermore, the ascospores represent the sexual state of the fungi, which are rarely found in nature, therefore making descriptions based on ascospores alone unreliable (Slippers *et al.* 2013).

The asexual state is most common among species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* and it was initially considered to represent more robust morphological diversity to distinguish between different genera and species (Shoemaker 1964; Laundon 1973). The conidia of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* are of two basic types namely: fusicoccum-like conidia that are narrow and thin walled, and diplodia-like conidia with wide and thick walls (Denman *et al.* 2000; Zhou and Stanosz 2001). The fusicoccum-like conidia are typically hyaline and non-septate but can develop septa and become pale brown with age. The diplodia-like conidia can be septate or non-septate, hyaline or brown. The most informative features of the asexual states include pigmentation, wall thickness, septation of conidia, and presence or absence of paraphyses (Phillips *et al.* 2013). Similar to the sexual state, it is now recognized that conidial morphology alone should be used with caution as the morphological changes that occur with age are difficult to interpret and have caused confusion in the identification of species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*.

3.2 Ecological species concept

Host association has in the past been used as one of the characteristics for classification to identify *Botryosphaeriaceae* species. Many asexual ('anamorph') and sexual ('teleomorph') species in this family have been associated with, and described from the host on which they occur. For example, *N. protearum* was isolated from *Protea* species in South Africa (Denman *et al.* 2000, 2003), *N. eucalyptorum* (Crous, H. Sm. ter. & M.J. Wingf.) Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips, and *N. eucalypticola* (Slippers, Crous & M.J. Wingf) Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips from *Eucalyptus* species in South Africa and Australia, respectively (Smith 2001; Slippers *et al.* 2004), and *Diplodia tsugae* (A. Funk) A.J.L. Phillips & Alves from *Tsuga* species in Canada (British Columbia) (Funk 1964). However, it was later shown that host association cannot delimit most species of

Botryosphaeriaceae because most species are not host specific. For example, *N. parvum* has been reported on a range of hosts including *S. cordatum*, *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia* Martius and at least 90 other host genera (Sakalidis *et al.* 2013). The morphological and ecological species concepts are suggested to have underestimated the true diversity of species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Phillips *et al.* 2013; Slippers *et al.* 2017).

3.3 Phylogenetic species concept

The use of DNA sequence data to deleniate species of fungi emerged in 1996 when Berbee used the 18S rRNA gene (Small Subunit) to study the phylogenetic relationship amongst the genera and orders of the Luculoascomycetes. However, phylogenetic analyses of this gene region alone could not determine with confidence whether species of the *Botryosphaeria-Guignardia* clade corresponded to the Dothideales or the Pleosporales. In subsequent years, the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region, including the 5.8S gene of the ribosomal RNA gene was predominantly used to identify the species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. The ITS is the standard barcoding gene (Chase and Fay 2009; Schoch *et al.* 2012) and is still the most widely used locus in taxonomic studies of *Botryosphaeriaceae*. However, the ITS tends to underestimate the true diversity of species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* as it cannot always distinguish closely related and cryptic species (Burgess *et al.* 2001; de Wet *et al.* 2003; Slippers *et al.* 2004; Pavlic *et al.* 2009; Sakalidis *et al.* 2011; Cruywagen *et al.* 2017, Slippers *et al.* 2017).

Gene phylogenies using multiple, unlinked, gene regions are the currently accepted method of species delineation in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Pavlic *et al.* 2009; Phillips *et al.* 2013; Slippers *et al.* 2013; Yang *et al.* 2017). The most widely used loci include the ITS, β -tubulin (TUB), Translation Elongation Factor-1 α (TEF-1 α), Large Sub-unit (LSU) and RNA polymerase II (RPB2). Studies have revealed that the LSU and the ITS loci are suitable to consider taxonomic sub-divisions only at the generic level but not the species level, and that it should be combined with other loci to give more resolution (White *et al.* 1990; Crous *et al.* 2006; Yang *et al.* 2017).

The TUB gene has been used commonly to identify *Botryosphaeriaceae* species but has been described as lacking phylogenetic signal (Slippers *et al.* 2017). The RPB2 is the most trusted locus as it provides good resolution in distinguishing cryptic species (Pavlic *et al.* 2009; Sakalidis *et al.*

2011; Yang *et al.* 2017). However, there are incomplete databases for this gene region since most of the work has been done on the genera *Neofusicoccum* and *Lasiodiplodia* and not much work on the other genera in the family (Pavlic *et al.* 2009; Cryuwagen *et al.* 2017). Translation Elongation Factor-1 α is considered as one of the most difficult gene regions to amplify and lacks phylogenetic signal (Slippers *et al.* 2017; Cryuwagen *et al.* 2017). However, TEF-1 α is still the most widely used locus in comparison to the other gene regions used in delineating species of *Botryosphaeriaceae*. The use of multiple loci has shown that every locus discussed above is problematic in some way. Consequently, to obtain a sufficient and more informative phylogenetic signal, combination of four or more datasets is necessary in some genera of *Botryosphaeriaceae*.

Multiple phylogenies have the added advantage of allowing for the recognition of hybrid species within the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Five hybrids were recently identified in the genus *Lasiodiplodia* based on multigene phylogenies (Cryuwagen *et al.* 2017; Rodríguez-Gálvez *et al.* 2017). In those studies, they defined a hybrid as an individual that groups with different species in single-gene genealogies. For example, in the paper by Rodríguez-Gálvez (2017), one *Lasiodiplodia* isolate grouped between *L. citricola* Abdollahzadeh, Javadi & A.J.L. Phillips and *L. parva* A.J.L. Phillips, Alves & Crous in multigene phylogeny, while in single gene genealogies it grouped with one or the other, suggesting possible hybridization. This has added emphasis to the importance of using multiple gene regions as hybrids cannot be recognized where only a single locus is used. So far, hybrids have only been described in the genus *Lasiodiplodia*. Hybridization in *Lasiodiplodia* is not surprising as it is one of the most commonly isolated genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. It has a wide host range and a cosmopolitan distribution, which could possibly result in sexual reproduction between two different species. The general concern is that the hybrids can expand their host range, and evolve more rapidly than the parent species, possibly causing more damage (Brasier 2001).

3.4 Whole genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing is a powerful tool for research that refers to a process of determining the complete DNA sequence of an organism's genome at a single time. This method is beginning to gain attention and it is set to shed light on the diversity, taxonomy, classification and biology of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* and other species. To date, five *Botryosphaeriaceae* genomes have been sequenced namely those of *B. dothidea, Diplodia sapinea* (Fries) Fuckel, *D. scrobiculata* J. de

Wet, Slippers & M.J. Wingfield, *D. seriata* De Notaris, *Macrophomina phaseolin*a (Tassi) Goidànich, and *N. parvum* (Pennycook & Samuels) Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips (Islam *et al.* 2012; Bihon *et al.* 2014; Wingfield *et al.* 2015; Marsberg *et al.* 2017). The genome sequences have created a platform to answer some of the most intriguing questions regarding the biology and endophytic nature of species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*.

Genome sequences have also for example, revealed the reproductive strategy of *B. dothidea*, *D. sapinea* and *N. parvum*. *Diplodia sapinea* has a heterothallic reproductive system while *B. dothidea* and *N. parvum* appears to be homothallic (Bihon *et al.* 2014; Lopes *et al.* 2017; Marsberg *et al.* 2017). Why *D. sapinea* has a heterothallic mating system that differes from *B. dothidea* and *N. parvum*, and how the reproductive strategy influences the diversity and distribution of the species in this family is still unknown. Further research into the genomes of other species in the family is needed to fully understand the biology of this species and how they differ from each other.

3.5 Detection of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species using metabarcoding

Metabarcoding is the study of genetic material recovered directly from environmental samples. The metabarcoding method can be used to detect endophytes in plant tissues, including those in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Metabarcoding has certain advantages such as identification of a true diversity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* in the plant material sampled, identification of the slow-growing *Botryosphaeriaceae* species that can be over grown by fast growing species (Slippers *et al.* 2017), and therefore missed wth other identification methods. Metabarcding can also be used to characterize the full community of endophytes in a tree (Kemler *et al.* 2013). For example, Kemler *et al.* (2013) showed that *Botryosphaeriaceae* species make up a dominant 30% of all the identified taxonomic species in a single *Eucalyptus* tree. This study further proves that high levels of different endopyhytic *Botryosphaeriaceae* species can occur on a single tree at the same time. Lastly, metabarcoding can be used to understand host association and phylogeography of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species. Although this method is currently rather costly and the species identified are not culturable, it remains effective to characterize the general community of endophytes in woody plants.

4.0 Botryosphaeriaceae – ecology

The *Botryosphaeriaceae* comprise an ecologically and evolutionary diverse group of fungi commonly isolated from woody hosts. They are associated with a wide range of hosts including ornamental, forestry and agricultural trees (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013). The species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* are able to establish different types of relationships with their hosts. They can either be saprophytes feeding on dead material, endophytes in a healthy host or pathogens causing diseases. These fungi are also commonly known as opportunistic pathogens as they cause disease when the host plant is subjected to biotic or abiotic stress. Symptoms associated with infection by species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* include tip die-back, stem and branch cankers, fruit rots, leaf spots and in severe cases mortality of trees (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013).

4.1 Botryosphaeriaceae as saprophytes

Saprophytes are defined as organisms that feed on dead organic matter. Botryosphaeriaceae species have often been regarded as saprophytes or secondary pathogens that overwinter as fruiting bodies on dead tissues and move to healthy tissues. There have been uncertainties regarding the saprophytic life cycle of these fungi as they are known to be saprophytes while some species have been shown to be pathogens or endophytes (Milholland 1991). Fisher et al. (1993), suggested that B. dothidea occurring on leaf spots and on dead Eucalyptus leaves might be derived from earlier latent endophytic infections of healthy leaves rather than from saprophytic infections. It is also believed that the saprophytic cycle in these fungi depends on the relationship between the host and the Botryosphaeriaceae species. For example, B. dothidea is an important pathogen of Pistachio vera Linnaeus (Ma et al. 2001), however this species was found causing grape cluster rot in Taiwan (Kuo et al. 1989). Furthermore, B. dothidea was found causing excoriose in Portuguese grapevines while some of the strains were found pathogenic causing stem dieback (Phillips 1998). Grossenbacher and Duggar (1911) distinguished between the saprophytic and the pathogenic form of B. dothidea (reported as Botryosphaeria ribis) causing cane blight. They found that the pathogenic B. dothidea (as B. ribis f. chromogena) formed purplish pink colour on starch paste similar to the one observed on the diseased host while the saprophytic form, B. dothidea (as B. ribis f. achromogena), developed no colour. Phillips (2002) showed that B. dothidea represents

a species complex and that the weakly or saprophytic species were more predominant than those that are pathogenic. Subsequent studies also reported *Botryosphaeriaceae* species as saprophytes. For example, Thomidis *et al.* (2011) found the overwintered pycnidia of *N. parvum* from plant debris of peach trees. Similarly, the pycnidia and pseudothecia of *B. dothidea* were found overwintering in dead kiwi orchards in China (Zhou *et al.* 2015). Currently, species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* are isolated from dead, or rotting wood in attempts to isolate saprophytic species. It is currently feared that the saprophytic cycle might serve as primary inoculum for healthy trees as *Botryosphaeriaceae* species can colonize dead wood and can move from dead to healthy wood.

4.2 Botryosphaeriaceae as pathogens

Botryosphaeriaceae species have been reported as pathogens of more than 100 host plants and are associated with various symptoms on different hosts (Mehl *et al.* 2013). The symptoms observed on plants infected by species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* include die-back of branches, stem and twig cankers, sooty cankers, blue staining, post-harvest diseases, crown thinning, abortion of seed capsules, leaf spots, root cankers, damping off of seedlings, kino exudation and in severe cases plant mortality (Punithalingham and Holliday 1973; Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013; Slippers *et al.* 2017).

Diseases caused by species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* are usually expressed when the tree is exposed to stressful conditions (Smith *et al.* 1994; Paoletti *et al.* 2001; Stanosz *et al.* 2001). Stresses include abiotic factors such as drought, hail damage, attack from insect pests, or pathogen other than the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013; Slippers *et al.* 2017). The most commonly reported stress factor is water stress, with several studies illustrating the effect of water stress on the pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species (Ma *et al.* 2001; Desprez-Loustau *et al.* 2006; Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Piškur *et al.* 2010; Qiu *et al.* 2014). *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, for example, was found to be more aggressive on *Pistachio vera* and *Ostrya carpinifolia* Scop. under reduced water content than when exposed to humid conditions (Ma *et al.* 2001; Piškur *et al.* 2010). Furthermore, this species was found to be the causal agent of die-back disease of *O. carpinifolia* in Slovenia and Italy under extreme weather conditions (Piškur *et al.* 2010).

Diplodia sapinea is a widely distributed pathogen that is mostly associated with pine trees. It is regarded as the most important pathogen of pine plantations (Waterman 1939) and is usually associated with stress. Drought and hailstorms contribute largely to diseases caused by this fungus. The diseases caused by species of *D. sapinea* on *Pinus* include stem cankers, root diseases, seedling blight, blue staining and tip dieback (Buchanan 1967). The damage caused by *D. sapinea* in South Africa in 1990 was calculated to be between 11% and 28% volume loss, while the potential loss of production was up to 55% after hail damage and die-back (Zwolinski *et al.* 1990). The damage caused by *D. sapinea* is one of the main factors restricting the planting of *Pinus radiata* to only certain parts of the country (Swart and Wingfield 1991). This pathogen has been reported as an economically important pathogen in many other countries such as the USA where it caused major losses of seedlings under stress (Stanosz *et al.* 2001), and it was also reported as the pathogen responsible for the die-back of *Pinus nigra* in the Netherlands (Kam and Dam 1987) and in Nothern and Central Italy (Maresi *et al.* 2002). In Zwaziland, more than 50% of the *P. taeda* Linnaeus trees died due to diseases caused by *D. sapinea*. The diseases occurred more severely on sites which were stressed and the most common stress factor was drought.

Prior to 1995, there were 27 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* reported on *Eucalyptus*, residing in the genera *Botryosphaeria, Diplodia, Dothiorella* and *Lasiodiplodia* (Sankaran *et al.* 1995). Twenty three species were reported on *Eucalyptus* by Slippers *et al.* 2009. The number of species reduced due to reanalysis of the original specimens using DNA sequencing data. Currently, there are 30 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* reported on *Eucalyptus* in the world (Table 3). On *Eucalyptus* trees, *Botryosphaeriaceae* species cause a wide range of symptoms such as tip dieback, stem cankers, kino exudations and leaf spots (Barnard *et al.* 1987; Smith *et al.* 1994; Slippers and Wingfield 2007). In most cases, the diseases observed on *Eucalyptus* were associated with environmental stress such as hail or drought (Wene and Schoeneweiss 1980; Smith *et al.* 1994; Roux *et al.* 2005; Slippers and Wingfield 2007). For example, in South Africa, die-back and stem cankers were observed on *Eucalyptus* species where the diseases caused by *B. dothidea* were associated with extreme environmental conditions such as drought, frost or hail (Smith *et al.* 1994). In India, *L. theobromae* (reported as *Botryodiplodia theobromae*) was reported as the causal agent of stem canker disease on *Eucalyptus* species planted under dry climates. *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*

Dehnhardt was found to be a more susceptible host to the disease compared to *E. tereticornis* Smith. This disease resulted in 20% mortality of trees and is the reason why *E. camaldulensis* is no longer planted in India, even though its growth is more superior to that of *E. tereticornis* (Sharma *et al.* 1984).

Interestingly, the most pathogenic species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* are those with a wide host and geographic range, such as *L. theobromae*, *N. parvum* and *D. sapinea* (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). This could be due to their frequent contact with hosts that have not co-evolved resistance to them (Parker and Gilbert 2004; Slippers *et al.* 2005). However, not all species with a wide host range cause disease on all the hosts that they infect, in all areas. For example, *B. dothidea* is a very serious pathogen of fruit and nut trees in the USA but is found rarely on these hosts in South Africa and other regions (Michailides 1991; Slippers *et al.* 2007; Chen *et al.* 2014).

4.3 Botryosphaeriaceae as plant endophytes

Endophytes are defined as microbes that can live in a host without causing any apparent symptoms. Most of the species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* display the typical characteristics of endophytes such as a wide host range, cosmopolitan distribution and high levels of diversity (Denman et al. 2000; Slippers and Wingfield 2007). The first evidence of endophytism in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* was discovered by Petrini and Fisher in 1988 when they isolated *D. sapinea* (reported as *D. pinea*) from healthy stems of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris Linnaeus). Later, more evidence of endophytism emerged as Fisher et al. (1993) isolated B. dothidea from healthy leaves, twig bark and xylem of Eucalyptus nitens. Since their establishment as endophytes in the 1990's, more studies have shown that the majority of the genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* have been recorded as endophytes (Crous et al. 2006). These include Botryosphaeria, Diplodia, Dothiorella Sacc., Lasiodiplodia and *Neofusicoccum.* Studies in forestry plant communities have shown that woody plants have high levels of endophytic Botryosphaeriaceae species (Burgess et al. 2005; Pavlic et al. 2008; Jami et al. 2012; Osorio et al. 2017). For example, Pavlic et al. (2007) found eight endophytic species of Botryosphaeriaceae on native S. cordatum trees in South Africa. Furthermore, in subsequent studies, Botryosphaeriaceae were isolated from various plant tissues on a single S. cordatum tree (Pillay et al. 2013). This emphasizes their ability to colonize the entire tree and implies that other species in the *Myrtaceae* might also have high levels of endophytic *Botryosphaeriaceae* species.

5.0 Host associations

Botryosphaeriaceae species have a wide host range and occur on both gymnopserms and angiosperms (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). However, their occurrence has mostly been recorded on angiosperms and less commonly on gymnosperms (de Wet *et al.* 2008). Currently, 18 genera in the family have been reported on angiosperms, while some species in five of the genera (*Diplodia, Dothiorella, Lasiodiplodia, Macrophomina* Petrak and *Neofusicoccum*) have been reported from both host groups (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Mehl *et al.* 2017; Slippers *et al.* 2017; Yang *et al.* 2017). Of 180 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae*, only three species, *Diplodia cupressi, D. tsugae* and *Dothiorella sempervirentis* have been reported to occur exclusively on gymnosperms. The latter observations support the suggestion that the ancestors of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* evolved on angiosperms and later moved to gymnosperms (de Wet *et al.* 2008).

Many *Botryosphaeriaceae* species are generalists, however some species are considered host specific. For example, the genus *Alanphillipsia* P.W. Crous & M.J. Wingf., which was described in 2013, has been exclusively isolated from *Aloe* Burman species in South Africa (Crous *et al.* 2013, 2014). Other species that have shown host specificity, include *N. eucalypticola* found exclusively on *Eucalyptus* species, while *N. vitifusiforme* on *Vitis vinifera* Linnaeus (van Niekerk *et al.* 2004) was thought to be host specific, but was recently identified from *Eucalyptus* species (Deidda *et al.* 2016). *Neofusuicoccum protearum* was thought to be host specific on *Protea* Linnaeus species, however, it was recently isolated from *Santalum acuminatum* de Candolle (Taylor *et al.* 2009). In this paper, we distinguish the species movement to other hosts in to host jumps, hosts shifts and host range expansions.

5.1 Host jumps

Host jumps occur when a pathogen moves between distant, or taxonomically unrelated family hosts (Stukenbrock and McDonald 2008). Host jumps are generally attributed to evolution, hybridization or a change in the genetic make-up of species over time (Burdon *et al.* 2006). Several examples have been recorded of fungi in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* that have undergone host jumps.

Jami *et al.* (2014), for example, conducted a study where they found *Botryosphaeriaceae* species overlapping on four unrelated native South African tree families. She isolated seven species of *Botyrosphaeriaceae* from four tree families growing in close proximity, of which five occurred only on a single host, but *Spencermartinsia viticola* was found on three of the species sampled. This shows the ability of *Botryosphaeriaceae* to jump hosts and it confirms that many of the species are not host specific and can occur on a number of hosts.

5.2 Host shifts

Host shifts are defined as the movement of a pathogen between phylogenetically related family hosts (Stukenbrock and McDonald 2008). Numerous examples exist for host shifts in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *N. eucalyptorum*, and the members of the *N. parvum*/*N. ribis* complex were found co-occuring on non-native *Eucalytpus* and native *Myrtaceae* (*Myrceugenia glaucescens* D. Legrand & Kausel, *Myrciaria tenella* Berg, *Myrcianthes pungens* Legrand, *Blepharocalyx saclifolius* Berg) in Uruguay at the same point in time (Pérez *et al.* 2010). Furthermore, three species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* (*N. eucalyptorum*, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. parvum*) were identified on both non-native *Eucalyptus* and native *S. cordatum* in South Africa in the same geographical region and at a particular moment in time (Pillay *et al.* 2013). A recent study by Mehl *et al.* (2017) also serves as an example of such a movement where *N. parvum* was found infecting both native (*Sclerocarya birrea* Hochst) and non-native (*Mangifera indica* Linnaeus) *Anacardiaceae* in South Africa. This is a clear indication that there is biotic exchange between the non-native and native *Myrtaceae* and that this shifting of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species from one host to another should be fully monitored as they pose a threat to commercial crops and native plants.

5.3 Host range expansions

Host range expansion occurs when a species colonizes a new host species while remaining pathogenic to the ancestral host (Lê Van *et al.* 2012). Host shifts and host range expansions are often used interchangeably, however host shifts are known to lead to host expansions. Host expansion causes loss of host specialisation and leads to species that are generalists (Agosta *et al.* 2010). Factors determining the success of host range expansion are the phylogenetic relationship of the hosts and the geographic proximity between the original host and the new host (Diegisser *et*

al. 2009). Non-native Eucalyptus trees are usually planted in close proximity to native Myrtaceae trees providing opportunities for some fungal species to expand to new hosts. For example, Chrysoporthe austroafricana, Austropuccina psidii and a number of Botryosphaeriaceae species such as L. theobromae, L. pseudotheobromae, N. eucalyptorum and N. parvum have been shown to have expanded from native trees to the non-native trees or vice versa (Pérez et al. 2010). However, host range expansions can also occur on phylogenetically distant hosts. For example, Diplodia sapinea, which was previously known to occur exclusively on the Pinaceae (gymnosperm) family, was recently found on a phylogenetically distant host, Fagus sylvatica Linnaeus (angiosperm) (Zlatković et al. 2017). This fungus seems to have expanded its host range while still pathogenic on the Pinus trees.

6.0 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae

The *Botryosphaeriaceae* on trees in the *Myrtaceae* have received a considerable amount of attention over the past decade. This has been driven by the economic importance of *Eucalyptus* species in many countries globally. In many countries where eucalypts are grown commercially, they are planted in close proximity to native *Myrtaceae*, and in some cases other non-native *Myrtaceous* crops. This has provided ample opportunity for host shifts/jumps and expansions.

The movement of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species from non-native to native *Myrtaceae* or *vice versa* has been well studied in countries such as Australia, Uruguay and South Africa. Species that have been isolated from native *Mytaceae* in Uruguay include *B. dothidea, D. pseudoseriata* C.A. Pérez, Blanchette, Slippers & M.J. Wingfield, *D. seriata, Do. iberica, L. pseudotheobromae* A.J.L. Phillips, Alves & Crous, *N. eucalyptorum* and *N. parvum* (Pérez *et al.* 2008, 2010). From these species, *B. dothidea, N. eucalyptorum* and *N. parvum* were found occurring on both *Eucalyptus* and the native *Myrtaceae* hosts, while *L. pseudotheobromae* and *D. pseudoseriata* were isolated exclusively from native *Myrtaceae*. Interestingly, *N. eucalyptorum* which was thought to be host specific to *Eucalyptus* species was isolated from the native *Myrtaceae* trees. This suggests that *N. eucalyptorum* might have shifted and expanded from the *Eucalyptus* species to the native *Myrtaceae*. In Western Australia, Burgess *et al.* (2006) clearly demonstrated that there is no restriction in the movement of *N. australe* from native to non-native *Eucalyptus* species. The lack of host specificity of some of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species such as *N. parvum* means they can

move from the native and the introduced trees, therefore, causing infections. Once species are introduced into an unfamiliar environment, they can jump/shift and expand to new hosts and cause economic and/or ecological damages. The host shift in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* has become an interesting topic to researchers due to the ecological and economic impact that these jumps and shifts can cause on native and non-native trees.

6.1 Botryosphaeriaceae on Myrtaceae in Africa

In Africa, *Botryosphaeriaceae* species have been reported in more than 35 countries on various hosts. Of the 54 countries found in the African continent, 9 countries (Ethiopia, Kenya, Ghana, Mozambique, Republic of Congo, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe) have reported *Botryosphaeriaceae* on *Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae)* species (Roux *et al.* 2000, 2001, 2005; Gezahgne *et al.* 2004; Chungu *et al.* 2010; Jimu *et al.* 2015; Maússe-Sitoe *et al.* 2016) (Table 3) and one country has reported it on other native *Myrtaceae*. In South Africa, studies have focused on native *Myrtaceae* trees such as *S. cordatum* and *Heteropyxidaceae* (Smith 2001; Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2017). Other countries in Africa have focused solely on species that cause diseases on economically and ecologically important trees. This is alarming as the ability of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species to move from native to non-native *Myrtaceae* or *Eucalyptus*.

6.2 Botryosphaeriaceae on native Myrtaceae in Africa

There are four genera in the *Myrtaceae* that are native to South Africa including *Syzygium, Eugenia* Linnaeus, *Meterosideros* Gaertner, and *Heteropyxis* Harvey (http://posa.sanbi.org/searchspp.php). In this country, studies of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native *Myrtaceae* have been conducted only on *Heteropyxis natalensis* Harvey (Smith 2001) and *S. cordatum* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007). There are a total of twenty *Botryosphaeriaceae* species currently known from native *Myrtaceae* in South Africa (Table 2). This is of concern given that the biotic exchange between the native and the introduced *Myrtaceae* could result in economic loss and ecological disturbance. It is also known that fungal movement is more likely to be successful when the native trees and the non-natives are planted in close proximity to each other and are phylogenetically related, which is the case with *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* trees in South Africa. Native trees could thus serve as source of pathogenic

fungi that could infect non-native trees and *vice versa*. The biotic exchange from native to nonnative *Myrtaceae* trees have been well documented in a number of studies (Wingfield *et al.* 2001; Wingfield 2003; Burgess *et al.* 2006; Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Pérez *et al.* 2010).

There are over 35 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* reported from native woody tree hosts in South Africa (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Jami *et al.* 2012; Pillay *et al.* 2013; Mehl *et al.* 2017). Of these only 20 have been reported on native *Myrtaceae* trees in the country (Table 2). Pavlic *et al.* (2007) reported eight species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on *S. cordatum*, but five years later advanced DNA sequencing techniques revealed additional cryptic species and currently 19 species (Table 2) are known from *S. cordatum* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007, 2009; Pillay *et al.* 2013; Mehl *et al.* 2017). According to past reports, there have been insufficient studies on native *Myrtaceae* in other African countries, other than *Eucalyptus* and *Psidium guajava*.

6.3 Botryosphaeriaceae on Eucalyptus in Africa

The *Botryosphaeriaceae* are important pathogens of eucalypt species in Africa. *Botryosphaeriaceae* cause a range of disease symptoms on eucalypts on the continent. This includes stem cankers, tip die-back, kino exudations, and mortality of trees (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). In East Africa, most of the work has been focused on isolating *Botryosphaeriaceae* species associated with diseases on *Eucalyptus*, and the causal agents in most cases are found to be *L. theobromae* and *N. parvum* (Roux *et al.* 2000, 2001, 2005; Jimu *et al.* 2015; Mamle and Roux 2015). In South Africa, there are currently twelve *Botryosphaeriaceae* species reported on *Eucalyptus*, either as endophytes or from stem cankers (Table 3) (Roux *et al.* 2000, 2001; Pillay *et al.* 2013; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017).

7.0 Conclusions

Over the past decade, the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* has changed greatly due to the advent of DNA techniques to identify these fungi. This has allowed for a much better understanding of species concepts in the genus, which has in turn allowed for a better understanding of the host and geographic ranges of species within the family. Furthermore, whole genome sequencing has made it possible to better understand and study the biology and phylogeography of the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. This technology will allow researchers to answer

questions such as the ecological role of the species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* in a broader ecosystem, their reproductive strategy, the colonization of their hosts, and most importantly the changing interaction of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species with their hosts under conditions imposed by climate change. It is expected that the impact of fungi in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* will increase in future. This is due to multiple factors including their endophytic nature, their ability to infect multiple hosts, and their cosmopolitan distribution.

Studies have focused largely on the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species and the diseases they cause on economically important trees. However, there have been very few studies to understand the host shifts of this species to new hosts. In South Africa, studies have focused on one native *Myrtaceae* tree (*S. cordatum*) while in the rest of Africa no studies have been made on any native *Myrtaceae* trees. Given the ability of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species to shift hosts, it is important to study species occurring on native *Myrtaceae* trees as they could serve as inoculum for newly introduced trees. The potential impact of the species obtained from native trees on the non-native trees need to be fully monitored and studied. The aims of studies in this thesis are, therefore, to expand on previous surveys done on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* trees in Africa, and specifically to increase knowledge pertaining to the species diversity, host range and ecology of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on the *Myrtaceae*. The research project for which this review was prepared focusses on both the native and non-native *Myrtaceae* trees to the non-natives. The research should aslo add to the body of knowledge regarding the pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species obtained from native *Myrtaceae* trees to the non-natives.

8.0 References

Agosta SJ, Janz N, Brooks DR, 2010. How specialists can be generalists: resolving the "parasite paradox" and implications for emerging infectious disease. *Zoologia* **27**:151–162.

Armengol J, Gramaje D, Pérez-Sierra A, Landeras E, Alzugaray R, Luque J, Martos S, 2008. First report of canker disease caused by *Neofusicoccum australe* on *Eucalyptus* and Pistachio in Spain. *Plant Disease* **92**:980–980.

Arx JA von, Müller E, 1975. A re-evaluation of the bitunicate ascomycetes with keys to families and genera. *Studies in Mycology* **9**:1–159.

Barber PA, Burgess TJ, Hardy GESJ, Slippers B, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ, 2005. *Botryosphaeria* species from *Eucalyptus* in Australia are pleoanamorphic, producing Dichomera synanamorphs in culture. *Mycological Research* **109**:1347–1363.

Barnard EL, GearyT, English JT, Gilly SP, 1987. Basal cankers and coppice failure of *Eucalyptus grandis* in Florida USA. *Plant Disease* **71**:358–361.

Barr ME, 1979. A classification of Loculoascomycetes. Mycologia 1:935–957.

Barr ME, 1989. The genus Dothidotthia (*Botryosphaeriaceae*) in North America. *Mycotaxon* **34**:517–526.

Barr ME. Prodromus to class Loculoascomycetes, 1987. Amherst, Massachusetts: Hamilton I. Newell. Inc. pp 168.

Barradas C, Phillips AJ, Correia A, Diogo E, Bragança H, Alves A, 2016. Diversity and potential impact of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species associated with *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations in Portugal. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **146**:245–257.

Berbee ML, 1996. Loculoascomycete origins and evolution of filamentous ascomycete morphology based on 18S rRNA gene sequence data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **13**:462–70.

Bihon W, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Duong TA, Wingfield BD, 2014. Mat gene idiomorphs suggest a heterothallic sexual cycle in a predominantly asexual and important pine pathogen. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **62**:55–61.

Brasier CM, 2001. Rapid Evolution of Introduced Plant Pathogens via Interspecific Hybridization. Hybridization is leading to rapid evolution of Dutch elm disease and other fungal plant pathogens. *Bioscience* **51**:123–133. Buchanan TS, 1967. *Diplodia* twig blight of Pine. In 'Important Forest Insects and Diseases of Mutual Concern to Canada, United states and Mexico'. North American Forestry Commission. Canadian Department of Forestry. Ottawa. pp 189–191.

Burdon JJ, Thrall PH, Ericson L, 2006. The current and future dynamics of disease in plant communities. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **44**:19–39.

Burgess T, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD, 2001. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers distinguish between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **67**:354–362.

Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ, 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia, including the description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34**:557–567.

Burgess TI, Sakalidis ML, Hardy GE, 2006. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. *Australasian Ecology* **31**:559–566.

Carnegie AJ, Kathuria A, Pegg GS, Entwistle P, Nagel M, Giblin FR, 2016. Impact of the invasive rust *Puccinia psidii* (myrtle rust) on native *Myrtaceae* in natural ecosystems in Australia. *Biological Invasions* **18**:127–144.

Castro HA, Krugner TL, Ideriha CHF, Capello MSC, Marchi AB, 1983. Inoculação cruzada de *Eucalyptus*, goiaba (*Psidium guajava*) e jambeiro (*Syzygium jambos*) com *Puccinia psidii*. *Fitopatologia Brasiera* **8**:491–497.

Cesati V, De Notaris G, 1863. Schema di classificazione degli sferiacei italici aschigeri più o meno appartenenti al genere Sphaeria nell'antico significato attribuitoglda. *Persoon: Commentario della Società Crittogamia Italiana* **1**:177–240.

Chase MW, Fay MF, 2009. Barcoding of plants and fungi. *Science* **325**:682–683.

Chen S, Morgan DP, Hasey JK, Anderson K, Michailides TJ, 2014. Phylogeny, morphology, distribution, and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* and *Diaporthaceae* from English walnut in California. *Plant Disease* **98**:636–52.

Chen SF, Pavlic D, Roux J, Slippers B, Xie YJ, Wingfield MJ, Zhou XD, 2011. Characterization of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from plantation-grown *Eucalyptus* species in South China. *Plant Pathology* **60**:739–751.

Chungu D, Muimba-Kankolongo A, Wingfield MJ, Roux J, 2010. Plantation forestry diseases in Zambia: Contributing factors and management options. *Annals of Forest Science* **67**:1–9.

Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Alfenas AC, Crous PW, 1998. *Eucalyptus* rust: a disease with the potential for serious international implications. *Plant Disease* **82**:819–825.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Wingfield MJ, Phillips AJL, 2007. *Neofusicoccum mediterraneum*. *Fungal Planet* **19**:235–253.

Crous PW, Quaedvlieg W, Hansen K, Hawksworth DL, Groenewald JZ, 2014. *Phacidium* and *Ceuthospora (Phacidiaceae)* are congeneric: taxonomic and nomenclatural implications. *IMA Fungus* **5**:173–93.

Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WF, Philips AJ, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ, 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Studies in Mycology* **55**:235–253.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI, Hardy GSJ, Crane C, Barrett S, Cano-Lira JF, Le Roux J, Thangavel R, Guarro J, Stchigel AM, 2017. Fungal Planet description sheets: 469–557. *Persoonia*: **37**:218–403.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Guarro J, Cheewangkoon R, Van der Bank M, Swart WJ, Stchigel AM, Cano-Lira JF, Roux J, Madrid H, Damm U, 2013. Fungal Planet description sheets: 154–213. *Persoonia* **31**:188–296.

Cruywagen EM, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Phylogenetic species recognition and hybridisation in *Lasiodiplodia*: a case study on species from baobabs. *Fungal Biology* **121**:420–436.

De la Mora-Castaneda JG, Cibrian-Tovar D, Pérez-Vera OA, 2014. *Neofusicoccum eucalyptorum* (= *Botryosphaeria eucalyptorum*) and *N. parvum*: pathogens in *Eucalyptus* plantations in Mexico. *Revista Chapingo Serie Ciencias Forestales Y Del Ambiente* **20**:187–197.

De Wet H, Nkwanyana MN, van Vuuren SF, 2010. Medicinal plants used for the treatment of diarrhoea in northern Maputaland, KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **130**:284–289.

De Wet J, Burgess T, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, 2003. Multiple gene genealogies and microsatellite markers reflect relationships between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and distinguish a new species of *Diplodia*. *Mycological Research* **107**:557–566.

De Wet J, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, 2008. Phylogeny of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* reveals patterns of host association. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **46**:116–126.

Deidda A, Buffa F, Linaldeddu BT, Pinna C, Scanu B, Deiana V, Satta A, Franceschini A, Floris I, 2016. Emerging pests and diseases threaten *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* plantations in Sardinia, Italy. *Forest-Biogeosciences and Forestry* **9**:883–891.

Denman S, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Slippers B, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, 2003. Circumscription of *Botryosphaeria* species associated with *Proteaceae* based on morphology and DNA sequence data. *Mycologia* **95**:294–307.

Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ, 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. *Studies in Mycology* **45**:129–140.

Desprez-Loustau ML, Marçais B, Nageleisen LM, Piou D, Vannini A, 2006. Interactive effects of drought and pathogens in forest trees. *Annals of Forest Science* **63**:597–612.

Diegisser T, Tritsch C, Seitz A, Johannesen J, 2009. Infestation of a novel host plant by *Tephritis conura* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in northern Britain: host-range expansion or host shift? *Genetica* **137**:87–97.

Dissanayake AJ, Camporesi E, Hyde KD, Phillips AJL, Fu CY, Yan JY, Li XH, 2016. *Dothiorella* species associated with woody hosts in Italy. *Mycosphere* **7**:51–63.

Doughty RW, 2000. The *Eucalyptus*: A Natural and Commercial History of the Gum Tree. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press. pp 237. FAO, 2010. Global forest resources assessment 2010. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome. Main report. FAO forestry paper **163**:90–146.

Fisher PJ, Petrini O, Sutton BC, 1993. A comparative study of fungal endophytes in leaves, xylem and bark of *Eucalyptus nitens* in Australia and England. *Sydowia* **45**:338–345.

Funk A, 1964. *Botryosphaeria tsugae* sp. nov, causing dieback of western hemlock in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **42**:769–775.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Hare PD, 2004. Identification of the causal agent of Botryosphaeria stem canker in Ethiopian *Eucalyptus* plantations. *South African Journal of Botany* **70**:241–248.

Govaerts R, Sobral M, Ashton P, Barrie F, Holst, BK, Landrum LL, Matsumoto K, Mazine FF, Lughadha EN, Proneça C, Soares-Silva LH, 2008. *World checklist of Myrtaceae*.Kew: The Board of Trustees of the Royal Botanic Gardens.

Grossenbacher JG, and Duggar BM, 1911. A contribution to the life history, parasitism, and biology of *Botryosphaeria ribis*. New York Agricultural Experiment Station. A contribution to the life history, parasitism, and biology of Botryosphaeria ribis. New York Agricultural Experiment Station **18**:114–188.

Heath RN, Gryzenhout M, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2006. Discovery of the canker pathogen *Chrysoporthe austroafricana* on native *Syzygium* spp. in South Africa. *Plant Disease* **90**:433–438.

http://posa.sanbi.org/searchspp.php

Iglesias-Trabado G, Wilstermann D, 2008. *Eucalyptus* universalis: Global cultivated eucalypt forests map 2008, version 1.0.1. Eucalyptologics: Information Resources on *Eucalyptus* Cultivation Worldwide, GIT Forestry Cunsulting.

Inderbitzin P, Bostock RM, Trouillas FP, Michailides TJ, 2010. A six locus phylogeny reveals high species diversity in *Botryosphaeriaceae* from California almond. *Mycologia* **102**:1350–1368.

Islam MS, Haque MS, Islam MM, Emdad EM, Halim A, Hossen QMM, Hossain MZ, Ahmed B, Rahim S, Rahman MS, 2012. Tools to kill: Genome of one of the most destructive plant pathogenic fungi *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *BMC Genomics* **13**:493.

Iturritxa E, Slippers B, Mesanza N, Wingfield MJ, 2011. First report of *Neofusicoccum parvum* causing canker and die-back of *Eucalyptus* in Spain. *Australasian Plant Disease Notes* **6**:57–59.

Jacobs KA, Rehner SA, 1998. Comparison of cultural and morphological characters and ITS sequences in anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* and related taxa. *Mycologia* **1**:601–610.

Jami F, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Gryzenhout M, 2012. Five new species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Acacia karroo* in South Africa. *Cryptogamie Mycologie* **33**:245–266.

Jami F, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Gryzenhout M, 2014. *Botryosphaeriaceae* species overlap on four unrelated, native South African hosts. *Fungal Biology* **118**:168–179.

Jimu L, Wingfield MJ, Mwenje E, Roux J, 2015. Diseases on *Eucalyptus* species in Zimbabwean plantations and woodlots. *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science* **77**:221–230.

Johnson LAS, Briggs BG, 1981. Three old southern families: *Myrtaceae*, *Proteaceae* and *Restionaceae*. *Ecological biogeography of Australia*, The Hague, Dr. W. Junk, pp 427–469.

Kam M de, van Dam BC, 1987. Scheutsterfte en bastnecrose, veroorzaakt door *Sphaeropsis* sapinea in Nederland. *Nederlands Bosbouwtijdschrift* **59**:215–219.

Kemler M, Garnas J, Wingfield MJ, Gryzenhout M, Pillay K-A, Slippers B, 2013. Ion torrent pgm as tool for fungal community analysis: a case study of endophytes in *Eucalyptus grandis* reveals high taxonomic diversity. PLOS One **8**:81–718.

Kuo KC, Kao CW, Leu LS, 1989. Grape cluster rot induced by *Botryosphaeria ribis*. *Plant Protection Bulletin* (Taiwan) **31**:238–247.

Laundon GF, 1973. *Botryosphaeria obtusa, B. stevensii*, and *Otthia spiraeae* in New Zealand. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **61**:17–374.
Lê Van A, Gladieux P, Lemaire C, Cornille A, Giraud T, Durel CE, Caffier V, Le Cam B, 2012. Evolution of pathogenicity traits in the apple scab fungal pathogen in response to the domestication of its host. *Evolutionary Applications* **5**:694–704.

Linaldeddu BT, Deidda A, Scanu B, Franceschini A, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Phillips AJL, 2016. Phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae*, *Diatrypaceae* and *Gnomoniaceae* associated with branch diseases of hazelnut in Sardinia (Italy). *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **146**:259–279.

Liu JK, Phookamsak R, Doilom M, Wikee S, Li YM, Ariyawansha H, Boonmee S, Chomnunti P, Dai DQ, Bhat JD, Romero AI, 2012. Towards a natural classification of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Diversity* **57**:149–210.

Lopes A, Phillips AJ, Alves A, 2017. Mating type genes in the genus *Neofusicoccum*: mating strategies and usefulness in species delimitation. *Fungal Biology* **121**:394–404.

Luttrell ES, 1955. The ascostromatic ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 47:511–532.

Ma ZH, Morgan DP, Michailides TJ, 2001. Effects of water stress on *Botryosphaeria* blight of pistachio caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Plant Disease* **85**:745–749.

Machado AR, Pinho DB, Pereira OL, 2014. Phylogeny, identification and pathogenicity of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with collar and root rot of the biofuel plant *Jatropha curcas* in Brazil, with a description of new species of *Lasiodiplodia*. *Fungal Diversity* **67**:231–247.

Mamle AM, Roux J, 2015. Diseases of plantation forestry trees in southern Ghana. *International Journal of Phytopathology* **4**:5–13.

Maresi G, Ambrosi P, Battisti A, Capretti P, Danti R, FeCl E, Minerbe S, Tegli S, 2002. Pine dieback by *Sphaeropsis sapinea* in the Northern and Central Italy. In: Shoot and foliage diseases. Proceedings of the IUFRO Working Party 7.02.02. Finnish Forest Research Institute, Research Papers 829. Hyytiala, Finland, pp 60–67.

Marsberg A, Kemler M, Jami F, Nagel JH, Postma-Smidt A, Naidoo S, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Spatafora JW, Hesse CN, Robbertse B, 2017. *Botryosphaeria dothidea*: a latent pathogen of global importance to woody plant health. *Molecular Plant Pathology*. doi:10.1111/mpp.12495

Maússe-Sitoe SN, Chen S, Wingfield MJ, Roux J, 2016. Diseases of eucalypts in the central and northern provinces of Mozambique. *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science* **78**:169–183.

Mehl JW, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2013. 14 Cankers and Other Diseases Caused by the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Infectious forest diseases*, CAB International. Boston. pp 298–317.

Mehl JW, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Overlap of latent pathogens in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* on a native and agricultural host. *Fungal Biology* **121**:405–419.

Michailides TJ, 1991. Pathogenicity, distribution, sources of inoculum, and infection courts of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* on pistachio. *Phytopathology* **81**:566–573.

Miller JH, 1928. Biologic Studies in the Sphaeriales: I. *Mycologia* **20**:187–213.

Millholland RD, 1991. Muscadine grapes: some important diseases and their control. *Plant Disease* **75**:113–117.

Mohali S, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, 2007. Identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia* and *Pinus* in Venezuela. *Fungal Diversity* **25**:143–165.

Mutitu KE, Mwangi L, Otieno B, Miniire M, 2008. Pests and diseases associated with *Eucalyptus* in Kenya. Kenya Forestry Research Institute, pp 7.

Osorio JA, Crous CJ, De Beer ZW, Wingfield MJ, Roux J, 2017. Endophytic *Botryosphaeriaceae*, including five new species, associated with mangrove trees in South Africa. *Fungal Biology* **121**:361–393.

Paoletti E, Danti R, Strati S, 2001. Pre- and post-inoculation water stress affects *Sphaeropsis* sapinea canker length in *Pinus halepensis* seedlings. *Forest Pathology* **31**:209–218.

Parker IM, Gilbert GS, 2004. The evolutionary ecology of novel plant-pathogen interactions. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* **35**:675–700.

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield M, 2007. *Botryosphaeriaceae* occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. *Plant Pathology* **56**:624–636.

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, 2009. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae*: a case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum/N. ribis* complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **51**:259–268.

Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Barber P, Slippers B, Hardy GE, Burgess TI, 2008. Seven new species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. *Mycologia* **100**:851–866.

Pavlic-Zupanc D, Maleme HM, Piškur B, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, 2017. Diversity, phylogeny and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on non-native *Eucalyptus* grown in an urban environment: A case study. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* **26**:139–148.

Pérez CA, Altier N, Simeto S, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Blanchette RA, 2008. *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolated from *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* in Uruguay. *Agrociencia* **12**:19–30.

Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Altier NA, Simeto S, Blanchette RA, 2011. *Puccinia psidii* infecting cultivated *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* in Uruguay. *Mycological Progress* **10**:273–282.

Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Altier NA, Blanchette RA, 2010. Endophytic and cankerassociated *Botryosphaeriaceae* occurring on non-native *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* trees in Uruguay. *Fungal Diversity* **41**:53–69.

Petrak F, 1923. Mycologische Notizen V. No. 200. Über die Pseudosphaeriaceen v.H und ihre Bedeutung für die spezielle Systematik der Pyrenomyzeten. *Annales Mycologici* **21**:30–69.

Petrini O, Fisher PJ, 1988. A comparative study of fungal endophytes in xylem and whole stem of *Pinus sylvestris* and *Fagus sylvatica*. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **91**:233–238.

Phillips AJL, 1998. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and other fungi associated with excoriose and dieback of grapevines in Portugal. *Journal of Phytopathology* **146**:327–332.

Phillips AJL, 2002. *Botryosphaeria* species associated with diseases of grapevines in Portugal. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* **41**:3–18.

Phillips AJL, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW, 2013. The *Botryosphaeriaceae*: genera and species known from culture. *Studies in Mycology* **76**:51–167.

Phillips AJL, Alves A, Pennycook SR, Johnston PR, Ramaley A, Akulov A, Crous PW, 2008. Resolving the phylogenetic and taxonomic status of dark-spored teleomorph genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Persoonia* **21**:29–55.

Pillay K, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Gryzenhout M, 2013. Diversity and distribution of coinfecting *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa. *South African Journal of Botany* **84**:38–43.

Piškur B, Pavlic D, Slippers B, Ogris N, Maresi GM, Wingfield MJ, Jurc D, 2010. Diversity and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on declining *Ostrya carpinifolia* in Slovenia and Italy following extreme weather conditions. *European Journal of Forest Research* **130**:235–249.

Punithalingam E, Holliday P, 1973. *Botryosphaeria ribis*. *Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria* **395**:1–2.

Qiu Y, Steel CC Ash GJ, Savocchia S, 2014. Effects of temperature and water stress on the virulence of *Botryosphaeriaceae* spp. causing dieback of grapevines and their predicted distribution using CLIMEX in Australia. In XXIX International Horticultural Congress on Horticulture: *Sustaining Lives, Livelihoods and Landscapes*, IV 1115:171–182.

Rodas CA, Slippers B, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ, 2009. *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with *Eucalyptus* canker diseases in Colombia. *Forest Pathology* **39**:110–123.

Rodríguez-Gálvez E, Guerrero P, Barradas C, Crous, PW, Alves A, 2017. Phylogeny and pathogenicity of *Lasiodiplodia* species associated with dieback of mango in Peru. *Fungal Biology* **121**:452–465.

Roux J, Coutinho TA, Byabashaija DM, Wingfield MJ, 2001. Diseases of plantation *Eucalyptus* in Uganda: research in action. *South African Journal of Science* **97**:16–18.

Roux J, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Bouillet JP, 2000. Diseases of plantation *Eucalyptus* in the Republic of Congo. *South African Journal of Science* **96**:454–456.

Roux J, Meke G, Kanyi B, Mwangi L, Mbaga A, Hunter GC, Nakabonge G, Heath RN, Wingfield MJ, 2005. Diseases of plantation forestry trees in eastern and southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science* **101**:409–413.

Sakalidis ML, Hardy GESJ, Burgess TI, 2011. Use of the Genealogical Sorting Index (GSI) to delineate species boundaries in the *Neofusicoccum parvum–Neofusicoccum ribis* species complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **60**:333–344.

Sakalidis ML, Slippers B, Wingfield BD, Hardy GESJ, Burgess TI, 2013. The challenge of understanding the origin, pathways and extent of fungal invasions: global populations of the *Neofusicoccum parvum–N. ribis* species complex. *Diversity and Distributions* **19**:873–883.

Sankaran KV, Sutton BC, Minter D, 1995. A checklist of fungi recorded on *Eucalyptus*. *Mycological Papers* **170**:1028–1039.

Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W, Bolchacova E, Voigt K, Crous PW, Miller AN, 2012. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **109**: 6241–6246.

Schoch CL, Shoemaker RA, Seifert KA, Hambleton S, Spatafora JW, Crous PW, 2006. A multigene phylogeny of the Dothideomycetes using four nuclear loci. *Mycologia* **98**:1041–1052.

Sharma JK, Mohanan C, Florence EM, 1984. A new stem canker disease of *Eucalyptus* caused by *Botryodiplodia theobromae* in India. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **83**:162–163.

Shoemaker RA, 1964. Conidial states of some *Botryosphaeria* species on *Vitis* and *Quercus*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **42**:1297–1301.

Simpson JA, Thomas K, Grgurinovic CA, 2006. Uredinales species pathogenic on species of *Myrtaceae*. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **35**:549–62.

Slippers B, Boissin E, Phillips AJ, Groenewald JZ, Lombard L, Wingfield MJ, Postma A, Burgess T, Crous PW, 2013. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriales: a systematic and evolutionary framework. *Studies in Mycology* **76**:31–49.

Slippers B, Burgess T, Pavlic D, Ahumada R, Maleme H, Mohali S, Rodas C, Wingfield MJ, 2009. A diverse assemblage of *Botryosphaeriaceae* infect *Eucalyptus* in native and non-native environments. *Southern Forests*: a *Journal of Forest Science* **71**:101–110.

Slippers B, Crous PW, Jami F, Groenewald JZ, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Diversity in the Botryosphaeriales: Looking back, looking forward. *Fungal Biology* **121**:307–321.

Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ, 2004. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. *Studies in Mycology* **50**:343–358.

Slippers B, Pavlic D, Maleme H, Wingfield MJ, 2007. A diverse assemblage of *Botryosphaeriaceae* infect *Eucalyptus* in introduced and native environments. Proceedings of IUFRO Conference, 22–26 August, Durban, South Africa.

Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, Van der Walt FJJ, Jami F, Mehl JWM, Marais GJ, 2014. Confronting the constraints of morphological taxonomy in the Botryosphaeriales. *Persoonia* **33**:155–168.

Slippers B, Summerell BA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, 2005. Preliminary studies on *Botryosphaeria* species for Southern Hemisphere conifers in Australasia and South Africa. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34**:213–220.

Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, 2007. *Botryosphaeriaceae* as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **21**:90–106.

Smith H, Kemp GHJ, Wingfield MJ, 1994. Canker and die-back of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Plant Pathology* **43**:1031–1034.

Smith, H, 2001. Biology of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and *Sphaeropsis sapinea* as endophytes of Eucalypts and Pines in South Africa. PhD Thesis. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

Stanosz GR, Blodgett JT, Smith DR, Kruger EL, 2001. Water stress and *Sphaeropsis sapinea* as a latent pathogen of red pine seedlings. *New Phytology* **149**:531–538.

Stukenbrock EH, McDonald BA, 2008. The origins of plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **46**:75–100.

Summerell BA, Groenewald JZ, Carnegie AJ, Summerbell RC, Crous PW, 2006. *Eucalyptus* microfungi known from culture *Alysidiella*, *Fusculina* and *Phlogicylindrium* genera nova, with notes on some other poorly known taxa. *Fungal Diversity* **23**:323–350.

Sutton BC, 1980. *The Coelomycetes. Fungi imperfecti with pycnidia, acervuli and stromata.* Commonwealth Mycological Institute.

Swart WJ, Wingfield MJ, 1991. Biology and control of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* on *Pinus* species in South Africa. *Plant Disease* **75**:761–766.

Taylor K, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ, Burgess TI, 2009. *Botryosphaeriaceae* from tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodland, including descriptions of four new species. *Mycological research* **113**:337–353.

Theissen F, Sydow H, 1918. Vorentwürfe zu den Pseudosphaeriales. *Annales Mycologici* **16**:1–34.

Thomidis T, Michailides TJ, Exadaktylou E, 2011. *Neofusicoccum parvum* Associated with Fruit Rot and Shoot Blight of Peaches in Greece. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **131**:661–668.

Tommerup IC, Alfenas AC, Old KM, 2003. Guava rust in Brazil–a threat to *Eucalyptus* and other *Myrtaceae*. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* **33**:420–428.

Turnbull JW, 2000. *Economic and social importance of eucalypts*. CSIRO Publishing, Australia. pp 1–7.

Van Niekerk JM, Crous, PW, Groenewald JZ, Fourie PH, Halleen, F, 2004. DNA phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeria* species on grapevines. *Mycologia* **96**:781–798.

Waterman AM, 1939. The disease of pines caused by *Sphaeropsis ellisii*. *Plant Disease Reports* **23**:93–95.

Wene EG, Schoeneweiss DF, 1980. Localized freezing predisposition to *Botryosphaeria* canker in differentially frozen woody stems. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **58**:145–58.

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee SJWT, Taylor JW, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications, eds. Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ. Academic Press, Inc., New York. pp 315–322.

Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield BD, 2001. Worldwide movement of exotic forest fungi, especially in the tropics and the Southern Hemisphere. *Bioscience* **51**:134–140.

Wingfield BD, Ades PK, Al-Naemi FA, Beirn LA, Bihon W *et al*, 2015. IMA Genome-F 4. Draft genome sequences of *Chrysoporthe austroafricana*, *Diplodia scrobiculata*, *Fusarium nygamai*, *Leptographium lundbergii*, *Limonomyces culmigenus*, *Stagonosporopsis tanaceti*, and *Thielaviopsis punctulata*. *IMA Fungus* **6**:233–248.

Wingfield MJ, 2003. Daniel McAlpine Memorial Lecture: Increasing threat of diseases to exotic plantation forests in the Southern Hemisphere: Lessons from *Cryphonectria* canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **32**:133–139.

Yang T, Groenewald JZ, Cheewangkoon R, Jami F, Abdollahzadeh J, Lombard L, Crous PW, 2017. Families, genera, and species of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Biology* **121**:322–346.

Zhou S, Stanosz GR, 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8 S rDNA sequences. *Mycologia* **93**:516–527.

Zhou Y, Gong G, Cui Y, Zhang D, Chang, X, Hu R, Liu N, Sun X, 2015. Identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species causing kiwifruit rot in Sichuan Province, China. *Plant Disease* **99**:699–708.

Zlatković M, Keča N, Wingfield MJ, Jami F, Slippers B, 2017. New and unexpected host associations for *Diplodia sapinea* in the Western Balkans. *Forest Pathology*, doi:10.1111/efp.12328.

Zwolinski JB, Swart EJ, Wingfield MJ, 1990. Economic impact of a post-hail outbreak of dieback induced by *Sphaeropsis sapinea*. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* **20**:405–411.

Year	Taxonomic changes	References
1863	The genus Botryosphaeria was introduced by Cesati and De Notaris	Cesati and De Notaris 1863
1918	The Botryosphaeriaceae was introduced as a sub-family in the Pseudosphaeriaceae. Subsequently	Theissen and Sydow 1918
	the sub-class the Dothideineae was established to accommodate the order Pseudosphaeriales, family	
	Botryosphaeriaceae, and the genus Botryosphaeria	
1923	Botryosphaeria was placed in the sub-family Pseudosphaeriaceae, Pleosporaceae (Sphaeriales)	Petrak 1923
1928	Botryosphaeriae species were then placed in the order Dothideales because they lacked true	Miller 1928
	perithecial walls	
1955	Lutrell recongised two major groups, the unitunicate and the bitunicate Ascomycetes. He replaced	Luttrell 1955
	the name Pseudosphaeriales with Pleosporales, and assigned Botryosphaeria species to this order	
	based on a centrum typical of the Pleosporales with pseudothecia and pseudoparaphyses	
1975	Von Arx and Müller placed all bitunicate ascomycetes in the single order Dothideales, which	Von Arx and Müller 1975
	comprised two sub-orders and 34 families, including the Botryosphaeriaceae	
1979	In 1979 Barr accepted the placement of Botryosphaeria species in the Pleosporales due to the	Barr 1979
	centrum development	
1996	Berbee used gene sequences of 18S rRNA gene (SSU) to study phylogenetic relationships of genera	Berbee 1996
	and orders of lucoloascomycetes.	
1998	First ITS DNA sequence data used to reveal the distinction between asexual morphs with hyaline	Jacobs and Rehner 1998;
	Fusicoccum-like conidia and pigmented, Diplodia-like conidia within Botryosphaeria species	Denman et al. 2000
	examined	
2006	Based on multigene phylogeny the new order Botryophaeriales was introduced to accommodate the	Schoch et al. 2006
	single family Botryosphaeriaceae	
2006	Based on 28s rRNA, Crous introduced 10 clades within the Botryosphaeriaceae including	Crous et al. 2006
	unresolved clade (Diplodia/Lasiodiplodia, Tiarosporella), Botryosphaeria s.str, Macrophomina,	

Table 1. Timeline of the major taxonomic changes in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*

	Neoscytalidium, Dotthidotthia, Neofusicoccum, Pseudofusicoccum, Saccharata, "Botryosphaeria"	
	quercuum, and Guignardia	
2008	Based on multigene sequence-based phylogeny, Phillips recognized at least six genera among	Phillips et al. 2008
	Botryosphaeriaceae species with dark ascospores	
2012	The ascospores of Botryosphaeriaceae were defined as hyaline and aseptate, but pigmented and	Liu et al. 2012
	septate with age. Liu describe the family Botryosphaeriaceae as having 29 genera of which 17	
	known in culture	
2013	Six families were recognized in the Botryosphaeriales based on sequence data of six loci (SSU,	Slippers et al. 2013
	LSU, ITS, EF1, BT, mtSSU), including Botryosphaeriaceae (17 genera), Aplosporellaceae,	
	Melanopsaceae, Phyllostictaceae, Planistromellaceae and Saccharataceae	
2013	Comprehensive descriptions of 17 genera and 110 species in the Botryosphaeriaceae	Phillips et al. 2013
2016 - 2017	Botryosphaeriaceae represented by 23 genera and 180 species described based on morphological,	Dissanayake et al. 2016; Slippers
	phylogenetical and ecological differences	et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2017

Host	Identity	Reference
Heteropyxis natalensis	Botryosphaeria dothidea	Smith 2001
Syzygium cordatum	B. dothidea	Pavlic et al. 2007, 2008, 2009;
	Lasiodiplodia egyptiacae	Pillay et al. 2013; Mehl et al. 2017
	L. gilanensis	
	L. gonubiensis	
	L. iraniensis	
	L. theobromae	
	L. pseudotheobromae	
	L. rubropurpurea	
	Neofusicoccum australe	
	N. batangarum	
	N. cordaticola	
	N. cryptoaustrale	
	N. eucalyptorum	
	N. kwambonambiense	
	N. luteum	
	N. mangiferae	
	N. parvum	
	N. ribis	
	N. umdonicola	

 Table 2. Botryosphaeriaceae on native Myrtaceae trees in Southern Africa

Distribution	Identity	Host	References
Australia	B. ramosa L. rubropurperea N. australe N. eucalyptorum N. eucalypticola N. macroclavatum N. occulatum N. vitifusiforme	E. corticola, E. camaldulensis, E.pauciflora, E. marginata, E. rubida, E. viminalis Eucalyptus spp. E. gomphocephala, E. marginata, E. globulus, E. diversicola, E. saligna E. grandis	Barber <i>et al.</i> 2005; Taylor <i>et al.</i> 2009; Summerell <i>et al.</i> 2006 Sutton 1980 Burgess <i>et al.</i> 2005 Burgess <i>et al.</i> 2006 Pavlic <i>et al.</i> 2008 Sakalidis <i>et al.</i> 2011 Slippers <i>et al.</i> 2004
Brazil	N. iraniensis	Eucalyptus spp.	Machado et al. 2014
China	B. fabicerciana L. theobromae L. pseudotheobromae L. ruboropurpurea N. parvum N.ribis	E. grandis, Eucalyptus spp.	Chen <i>et al</i> . 2011
Columbia	B. dothidea N. ribis	E. grandis	Rodas et al. 2009
Ethiopia	N. parvum N. ribis	Eucalyptus spp.	Gezahgne et al. 2004
Ghana Greece	Botryosphaeria sp. N. meditterraneum	E. grandis Eucalyptus spp.	Mamle and Roux 2015 Crous <i>et al.</i> 2007
India	L. theobromae	Eucalyptus spp.	Sharma et al. 1984
Italy	N. australe N. luteum N. mediterraneum N. parvum N. vitifusiforme	Eucalyptus spp.	Deidda et al. 2016
Kenya	B. obtusa	E. grandis	Mutitu <i>et al.</i> 2008; Roux <i>et al.</i> 2005
Mozambique	N. kwambonambiense N. parvum	Eucalyptus spp.	Maússe-Sitoe <i>et al</i> . 2016
Portugal	B. dothidea D. corticola D. seriata N. australe N. algeriense N. eucalyptorum N. kwambonambiense N. parvum	E. globulus, Eucalyptus spp.	De la Mora-Castaneda <i>et al.</i> 2014; Barradas <i>et al.</i> 2016

Table 3. Botryosphaeriaceae species reported from Eucalyptus species in the world

Republic of	L. theobromae	Eucalyptus spp.	Roux 2000
Congo South Africa	B. dothidea C. atrovirens L. pseudotheobromae L. theobromae N. australe N. eucalypti N. eucalypticola N. eucalyptorum N. kwambonambiense N. parvum N. ursorum N. cryptoaustrale	Eucalyptus spp. E. grandis E. urophylla	Pavlic <i>et al.</i> 2007 Pillay <i>et al.</i> 2013 Pillay <i>et al.</i> 2013 Burgess <i>et al.</i> 2006 Pillay <i>et al.</i> 2013 Smith <i>et al.</i> 1994, 2001; Pavlic-Zupanc <i>et al.</i> 2017
Spain	N. australe N. parvum	Eucalyptus spp. E. globulus	Armerngol <i>et al</i> . 2008 Iturritxa <i>et al</i> . 2011
Thailand	C. atrovirens S. eucalypticola	Eucalyptus spp.	Liu et al. 2012
Uganda Uruguay	L. theobromae B. dothidea L. crassispora L. theobromae N. eucalyptorum N. parvum	E. grandis E. urophylla, E. grandis, Eucalyptus spp.	Roux <i>et al</i> . 2001 Pérez <i>et al</i> . 2008, 2010
USA Venezuela	N. meditterraneum B. mamane C. atrovirens N. andinum N. parvum N. ribis	Eucalyptus spp. E. urophylla, Eucalyptus spp.	Inderbitzin <i>et al</i> . 2010 Mohali <i>et al</i> . 2006
Zambia	L. theobromae N. eucalyptorum N. parvum	Eucalyptus spp.	Chungu et al. 2010
Zimbabwe	N. eucalyptorum N. parvum	Eucalyptus spp.	Jimu <i>et al</i> . 2016

CHAPTER 2

Botryosphaeriaceae on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* in eastern and southern Africa

ABSTRACT

Botryosphaeriaceae species are important latent pathogens causing diseases on many woody plants, usually when they are subjected to stress. Little is, however, known regarding the diversity and occurrence of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on native *Myrtaceae* species in eastern and southern Africa. In this study, we identified endophytic *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on several native *Myrtaceae* and two non-native *Myrtaceae* from different countries in the region. Fourteen species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* were identified based on analyses of DNA sequence data of the ITS rDNA, β -tubulin, TEF-1 α and RPB2 gene regions. The species identified included *Diplodia* sp., *Neofusicoccum cordaticola*, *N. eucalyptorum*, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. umdonicola*, *N. parvum*, three novel *Neofusicoccum* species, two potential *Neofusicoccum* hybrids, *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis*, *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1 and one potential *Lasiodiplodia* hybrid. *Neofusicoccum parvum* was the most abundant species collected and was found occurring on both native and non-native *Myrtaceae* in eastern and southern Africa. Pathogenicity trials conducted suggest that *N. parvum* is the most pathogenic species on *Eucalyptus* trees.

1.0 Introduction

Botryosphaeriaceae species are amongst the most commonly isolated fungi associated with trees, both in native and non-native environments (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Slippers *et al.* 2009; Pérez *et al.* 2010). They have a cosmopolitan distribution and occur on a wide range of hosts (Crous *et al.* 2006; Mehl *et al.* 2013). The association between species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* and their hosts can be saprophytic, endophytic or pathogenic (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). The pathogenic species are mostly known to cause diseases when the host plant is subjected to stress (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). Symptoms associated with infection by species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* include tip die-back, stem and branch cankers, fruit rots, leaf spots and in severe cases mortality of trees (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2013). It has been predicted that the impact of these fungi may increase as the global climate changes and becomes more stressful to plants in some areas (Slippers and Wingfield 2007).

The taxonomy of species and genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* has undergone significant changes over the past century. Initially, species were described based only on morphology, especially that of the asexual states because the sexual states are rarely encountered in nature (Denman *et al.* 2000; Slippers *et al.* 2004; Crous *et al.* 2006; Phillips *et al.* 2013). Host associations were also commonly used for species delineation, resulting in many novel species being described based on their occurrence on novel hosts (Slippers and Wingfield 2007; Slippers *et al.* 2009). The overlap of morphological characteristics between the species and their wide host ranges resulted in considerable misidentification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species.

The advent of molecular techniques has contributed to resolving the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Slippers *et al.* 2004; Crous *et al.* 2006; Phillips *et al.* 2013). The most commonly used approach to identify species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* includes analyses of sequences for a combination of two or more loci, such as the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS), β -tubulin (BT), Translation Elongation Factor (TEF-1 α), and RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2). The combined analyses provide sufficient phylogenetic support for the differentiation of cryptic species (Slippers *et al.* 2017). Recently, several examples of hybridization have been identified between species in this group and these can only be identified if two or more loci are used for analyses (Cruywagen *et al.* 2017). It is currently accepted that the *Botryosphaeriaceae* resides in the order Botryosphaeriales and it comprises 23 phylogenetically distinct genera with over 180

species that are known from culture and sequence data in Genbank (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Linaldeddu *et al.* 2016; Crous *et al.* 2017; Slippers *et al.* 2017; Yang *et al.* 2017).

The *Myrtaceae* (Order Myrtales) is a family of shrubs and trees that comprises about 130 genera and 5600 species, predominately in the southern hemisphere (Johnson and Briggs 1981; Govaerts et al. 2008). Eucalyptus is the largest genus in the family, with over 700 species. Most Eucalyptus species originate from Australia, with a few originating from south east Asian islands. These trees have been planted globally, as non-natives, because of their fast growth and the variety of species available for multiple environmental conditions. It was introduced to east Africa in the 19th century (Evans 1992; Chamshama and Nwonwu 2004) and expanded to the tropics and sub-tropics between the years 1940-1980 (Chamshama and Nwonwu 2004). In South Africa, the first *Eucalyptus* species were established in 1875 after timber demand had exceeded the supply available from indigenous forests, and by the 1940s at least 149 species had been established in the country (Poynton 1977; Albaugh et al. 2013). Eucalyptus species were recognized for their fast growth and timber quality and today form the basis of commercial plantation forestry in many countries globally (Albaugh et al. 2013). Several other members of the Myrtaceae are also economically important crops. These include, for example, Psidium guajava and Syzygium aromaticum, while others such as S. cordatum are ecologically important species, and used for medicinal and ornamental purposes.

Botryosphaeriaceae species are amongst the most commonly isolated fungi associated with *Eucalyptus* species, both in native and non-native environments. Prior to 1995 there were 27 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* reported on *Eucalyptus*, belonging to the genera *Botryosphaeria*, *Diplodia*, *Dothiorella* and *Lasiodiplodia* (Sankaran *et al.* 1995). In 2009, the use of multiple phylogenies confirmed 23 of the 27 species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* on *Eucalyptus* species (Slippers *et al.* 2009). However, the taxonomy of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* has recently undergone major revisions and some genera that were reported on *Eucalyptus* are now considered separate families in the order *Botryosphaeriales*, such as the *Pseudofusicoccumaceae* (Yang *et al.* 2017). Currently, there are over 30 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* reported on *Eucalyptus* in the world (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). The species belong to the genera *Botryosphaeria* (Mohali *et al.* 2007; Taylor *et al.* 2009; Pérez *et al.* 2010; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017), *Cophinforma* (Liu *et al.* 2012), *Diplodia* (Barradas *et al.* 2016), *Dothiorella* (Slippers *et al.* 2009), *Lasiodiplodia* (Burgess *et al.*

2006; Abdollahzadeh *et al.* 2010; Pérez *et al.* 2010), *Neofusicoccum* (Denman *et al.* 2000; Smith 2001; Slippers *et al.* 2004; Burgess *et al.* 2006; Crous *et al.* 2007; Pérez *et al.* 2010; Sakalidis *et al.* 2011), *Neoscytalidium* (Sutton and Dyko 1989) and *Sphaeropsis* (Liu *et al.* 2012). *Neofusicoccum* and *Lasiodiplodia* are the most commonly reported on *Eucalyptus* in both native and non-native environments (Crous *et al.* 2007; Slippers *et al.* 2009; Abdollahzadeh *et al.* 2010; Pérez *et al.* 2010), while other genera are rarely found.

Species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* are important pathogens of *Eucalyptus* species in Africa, resulting in tip die-back, stem and branch cankers and xylem discoloration (Smith *et al.* 1994; Roux *et al.* 2000, 2001; Slippers *et al.* 2004, 2009). In South Africa, there are currently twelve *Botryosphaeriaceae* species reported from *Eucalyptus*, either as endophytes or from stem cankers (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Pillay *et al.* 2013; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017). Research on the *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with *Eucalyptus* species on the rest of the African continent is limited to single reports from the Republic of Congo (Roux *et al.* 2000, 2005), Ethiopia (Gezahgne *et al.* 2004; Roux *et al.* 2005), Uganda (Roux *et al.* 2001; Nakabonge 2005), Zambia (Chungu *et al.* 2010), Mozambique (Maússe-Sitoe *et al.* 2016), Zimbabwe (Jimu *et al.* 2015), and Kenya (Roux *et al.* 2005).

Pathogens affecting *Eucalyptus* species in their non-native environments could be introduced or from natural forest stands surrounding the plantations. Native trees could serve as an important source of *Eucalyptus* pathogens as it has been found with pathogens such as *Austropuccinia psidii* (Coutinho *et al.* 1989; Beenken 2017) and *Chrysoporthe austroafricana* (Heath *et al.* 2006). In recent years, several studies have investigated possible host jumps/shifts of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species between native and non-native *Myrtaceae* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Slippers *et al.* 2009; Pérez *et al.* 2008, 2010). In South Africa, thirteen *Botryosphaeriaceae* species have been found on both non-native *Eucalyptus* species and native *S. cordatum*. These include, *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis*, *L. iraniensis*, *L. pseudotheobromae*, *L. rubropurpurea*, *L. theobromae*, *Neousicoccum australe*, *N. cryptoaustrale*, *N. eucalyptorum*, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. luteum*, *N. parvum*, *N. ribis* and *N. ursorum* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Pillay *et al.* 2013; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017). *Neofusicoccum parvum* has also been isolated from another native family in the Myrtales, the *Heteropyxidaceae*, in South Africa (Smith 2001). Interestingly, some species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* have been isolated only from *S. cordatum* in South Africa but not from

Eucalyptus. Examples include *L. egyptiacae*, *L. gilanensis*, *N. batangarum*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. mangiferae* and *N. umdonicola* (Pavlic *et al.* 2007; Mehl *et al.* 2017). In Uruguay, *B. dothidea*, *N. eucalyptorum*, and the members of the *N. parvum/N. ribis* complex were found co-occurring on non-native *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* (*Blepharocalyx salicifolius*, *Myrceugenia glaucescens*, *Myrrhinium atropurpureum var. octandrum*) (Pérez *et al.* 2010).

While considerable work has been done to identify species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with *Eucalyptus* and *S. cordatum* in South Africa, there have been limited studies focusing on *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on other native *Myrtaceae* trees in Africa. The aim of this study was, therefore, to identify the species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* occurring on both native *Myrtaceae* and non-native *Eucalyptus* species from five countries in eastern and southern Africa using sequence data for four gene regions (BT, ITS, TEF-1 α , and RPB2). Furthermore, pathogenicity trials were conducted on *Eucalyptus* trees to understand the possible implications of host shift/jumps to introduced *Eucalyptus* trees.

2.0 Materials and methods

2.1 Isolates

Isolates used in this study were divided into two groups. The first group of isolates was obtained from the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria (UP), South Africa. They originated from collections made in 2009 in East Africa (Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zimbabwe) including those from native *S. cordatum* and non-native *Eucalyptus* trees in the sampled regions. A total of 34 isolates were regrown and considered in the study (Table 1).

The second group of isolates were collected from two areas (Soutpansberg and Tzaneen) in the Limpopo Province of South Africa during April and August 2015 (Fig. 1, Table 1). The samples were taken from three sites in each area. In Soutpansberg the sampling sites included Lajuma Nature Reserve near Louis Trichardt and the Fundudzi area near Thohoyandou. In Tzaneen, the samples were taken from Georges Valley, Grootbos and New Agatha (Fig. 1). The samples were collected from two non-native trees (*Eucalyptus* species and *Psidium guajava*) and from six native trees chosen according to their proximity to the *Eucalyptus* plantations. These included *Eugenia*

natalitia, *E. woodii*, *Syzygium cordatum*, *S. legattii* and *S. gerarrdii* (*Myrtaceae*) and *Heteropyxis natalensis* (*Heteropyxidaceae*) in the Myrtales. Random sampling was used to collect the samples. At each of the six sites, 10 twigs per tree species (one twig per tree) were collected. For the *Eucalyptus* species 10 additional dead twigs were collected at each site. The collected samples were kept in open paper bags, and isolations were made immediately.

The samples were first surface sterilized with 70 % alcohol for one minute, then rinsed in distilled water for 30 seconds. The twigs were cut in half and for each collected twig four pieces were cut from the centre, placed on 2 % MEA and incubated at 25 °C. Single hyphal-tips from isolates displaying typical cultural morphological characteristics of *Botryosphaeriaceae* (white to grey mycelium with aerial hyphae, rapid growth) were transferred to clean 2 % MEA plates to obtain pure cultures. The pure cultures are kept in the Culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

2.2 DNA extraction, PCR amplification and Sequencing

DNA was extracted from fungal mycelium of 7-day-old cultures using the DNA extraction protocol described by Möller *et al.* (1992). DNA concentrations were determined using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer V3.7.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The DNA was diluted with Sabax water to 50ng/µl for use in Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR).

Four gene regions, including the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) regions ITS1 and ITS2, and the intermediate 5.8S gene of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA), β -tubulin (BT), Translation Elongation Factor (TEF-1 α), and RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) were amplified for DNA sequencing and subsequent phylogenetic analyses. The primers used to amplify the selected gene regions, their sequences and their respective annealing temperatures are presented in Table 2. The ITS gene region of the rRNA operon was amplified for initial screening of all isolates using the primers ITS1/4 (White *et al.* 1990). The other three gene regions were amplified for selected isolates (Table 1) using primers listed in the Table 2.

Genomic DNA was used for amplification of the selected gene regions in Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR's). The PCR reaction mixes consisted of 1 μ l DNA template, 0.5 μ l (10Mm) of each forward and reverse primer, 0.5 μ l Taq polymerase, 5.0 μ l Taq buffer (Bioline GmbH, 21

Germany) and 17.5 μ l Sabax water which made up a total volume of 25 μ l. The PCR protocol used was as follows: 94 °C for 4 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C at 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 45 s, elongation at 72 °C for 1 min and a final elongation cycle at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR products were visualized using 1 % agarose gel containing Gel red (Biotium, Hayward, US) in 1×TAE buffer solution. PCR product sizes were estimated using a 100 bp marker under UV light. Eight μ l Exosap mixture was used to clean the PCR products before sequencing PCRs. The sequencing PCR conditions were as follows: 96 °C for 10 s followed by 35 cycles of 5 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 4 min. Sodium acetate mixture containing 2 μ l of NaAc, 8 μ l of Sabax water and 50 μ l of absolute alcohol was used to clean the final products. Sequence reactions were performed with the ABI PRISM TM Big DYE Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, California) in both directions, following the manufacturer's instructions, and run on an ABI PRISM 3100TM automated DNA sequencer.

2.3 Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were edited using CLC BioWorkbench v.5 and inconsistencies of sequences were checked using Bioedit v7.2.6 software. As an initial screening of all isolates, ITS sequences were included in an ITS dataset that included the types of all known genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Thereafter, ITS, β -tubulin, TEF-1 α and RPB2 sequences of each genus were compiled into a dataset of known and described species in that genus. Sequence alignments were done using online software, MAFFT version 7 (http://timpani.genome.ad.jp/~mafft/server/) (Katoh and Standley 2013). Parsimony analyses were conducted on individual datasets and on combined datasets after partition homogeneity tests were run in PAUP (Phylogenetic Analyses Using Parsimony) v.4.0.b10 (Posada and Buckley 2004) to determine the congruency of the ITS and TEF-1 α , BT and TEF-1 α , ITS and BT gene region combinations, and between all four gene regions combined. Only individual trees were drawn for the RPB2 gene region because the data base for this gene region is incomplete and comprises mostly species of *Neofusicoccum* and *Lasiodiplodia* (Slippers *et al.* 2017). The gaps were removed before analyses and all characters were unweighted and unordered. Maximum likelihood (ML) trees with a 1000 replicate bootstrap analyses were executed to assess the confidence levels of the branch nodes (Felsenstein 1985). The tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), and the homoplasy index (HI) was determined for all data sets analysed (Table 3).

2.4 Pathogenicity trials

Nine isolates representing the species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* identified in this study were selected for pathogenicity trials under greenhouse conditions. The selected isolates represented putative novel species or species representing novel host records. The isolates were grown on 2 % MEA at room temperature for seven days prior to inoculation. Two-year-old *Eucalyptus grandis* clone TAG5 trees in pots were left to acclimatize to the glasshouse conditions of ~25 °C for 3-4 weeks with natural day/night conditions of ~14 h daylight and 10 h darkness before inoculations.

Each of the selected nine isolates were inoculated into the stems of 10 trees each. Ten trees were inoculated with sterile 2 % MEA plugs to serve as controls. For inoculations, the bark on the stem was removed with a 5 mm diameter cork borer to expose the cambium. Plugs of equal size from seven-day-old cultures were inserted into wounds with mycelium facing the exposed cambium. The wounds and mycelial plugs were then sealed with Parafilm (Bemis[®], USA) to prevent desiccation and contamination. The inoculated trees were randomly arranged in the glasshouse and maintained at a temperature of 25 °C for four weeks under natural day/night conditions and watered once each day. External lesion development on the inoculated stems was measured after four weeks.

Two randomly selected trees inoculated with each of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolates, and the control, were selected for re-isolation to confirm pathogenicity re-isolations were made by cutting out a small piece of wood at the edge of the lesion and placing this on 2 % MEA. DNA sequences of the ITS gene region were used to confirm the identity of the fungal isolates obtained. The entire pathogenicity trial was repeated once under the same conditions. The data were analyzed using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) using PROC GLM (General Linear Model) in SAS version 8 (Littell 1996). A significance level of P < 0.05 for the F-value was used.

3.0 Results

3.1 Isolates

A total of 34 isolates were obtained from the CMW culture collection, of which 27 originated from *S. cordatum* and seven from *Eucalyptus* species in Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zimbabwe (Table 1). In South Africa, a total of 157 isolates were obtained from the following *Myrtaceae*

trees: *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (11), *E. cloeziana* (17), *E. grandis* (36), *Eugenia natalitia* (5), *H. natalensis* (30), *S. cordatum* (48), *S. gerrardii* (4) and *P. guajava* (6) (Table 1).

3.2 DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analyses

ITS sequences of the 191 isolates were compared with the nucleotide sequence data base of NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) using the blast search function, identifying three possible genera (*Diplodia, Lasiodiplodia* and *Neofusicoccum*) amongst the isolates sequenced in this study. The ITS sequences were then compiled into a data set comprising types of all genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*, confirming the presence of three genera amongst the isolates from southern and eastern Africa (Figure 2). The identified genera were *Diplodia* (2 isolates), *Lasiodiplodia* (28 isolates) and *Neofusicoccum* (161 isolates).

Representative isolates, of each genus identified based on ITS sequence analyses, were selected for further analyses using sequences of the BT, TEF-1 α and RPB2 gene regions. The isolates were selected to represent different geographical origins and hosts. Datasets for each of the gene regions sequenced were analyzed separately and in various combinations to investigate the congruency of the phylogenetic clades obtained. Tree parameters obtained from the maximum likelihood analysis of the ITS region, BT, TEF-1 α , RPB2 gene and combined datasets for all regions are represented in Table 3.

Diplodia species were analyzed using ITS, BT and TEF-1 α sequences. However, only the results of ITS and TEF-1 α regions are shown because the data for the BT gene region was incomplete and there were no reference sequences in GenBank for species that group closely related to the isolates obtained in this study. In the individual analyses of ITS and TEF-1 α sequences two *Diplodia* isolates obtained in this study (CMW46906, CMW46899) grouped in a clade with the known species *D. alatafructa*, *D. insularis* and *D. pseudoseriata* (Figs 3 and 4). African isolates were identical to the type isolate of *D. pseudoseriata* and to an isolate of *D. alatafructa* in the ITS (Fig 3). The two African isolates formed a sub-clade (without bootstrap support) in the clade of the three known *Diplodia* species in the TEF-1 α dataset (Fig 4). Furthermore, the reference isolates representing *D. alatafructa* and *D. pseudoseriata* separated from the ex-type isolates of both species in the TEF-1 α dataset. In the combined ITS and TEF-1 α data set the two African isolates grouped in separate sub-clades within a larger clade with *D. alatafructa*, *D. insularis* and *D.* *pseudoseriata* (Fig 5). The two African isolates could be identified only to the genus level and were thus treated as *Diplodia* sp.

Lasiodiplodia species were analyzed using four gene regions including ITS, BT, TEF-1a and RPB2 for individual trees and a combined analysis of all four gene regions (Table 3). Based on analyses of the ITS dataset, *Lasiodiplodia* isolates grouped into three broad phylogenetic clades. Nine of the isolates grouped with L. gonubiensis, one (CMW46912) grouped on its own and the other eight isolates grouped within a larger clade in the L. theobromae complex which included 18 species (Fig 6). Analyses of the BT dataset confirmed the grouping of the isolates in the L. theobromae complex (7) and L. gonubienses (9), while isolate CMW46912 also grouped in the L. gonubienses clade (Fig 7). This gene region could also not resolve the identities of the other eight isolates (Fig 7), but grouped them closest to L. iraniensis, L. plurivora and L. pseudotheobromae. A combined dataset for the ITS and BT gene regions confirmed the identity of the nine isolates (CMW46921, CMW46916, CMW46908, CMW46915, CMW46914, CMW46913, CMW46930, CMW46927, CMW31829) from Africa as L. gonubiensis (Fig 8). The combined dataset separated isolate CMW46912 into a sub-clade from the L. gonubiensis clade with a high bootstrap value of 80 %. Seven of the isolates (CMW46893, CMW46891, CMW46943, CMW46861, CMW46860, CMW46859, CMW46870) again grouped together within a larger clade containing multiple species, and were most similar to *L. plurivora*. The bootstrap values were generally low to support the separation of the isolates of other species in the larger L. theobromae complex.

Analyses of the TEF-1 α gene region of *Lasiodiplodia*, grouped five isolates (CMW46870, CMW46859, CMW46860, CMW46861, CMW46891) selected from a group of seven unresolved isolates identified in the ITS and BT gene regions with *L. gilanensis*, with a strong bootstrap value of 89 % (Fig 9). Isolate CMW46912 again grouped with *L. gonubiensis*, while CMW31829 that in the ITS, BT and combined ITS/BT grouped with *L. gonubiensis*, now grouped with *L. gilanensis*. Isolate CMW31829 could be a hybrid as it grouped in different clades in the different gene trees.

Phylogenetic analyses of the RPB2 gene region suggested that the clade of seven unresolved isolates identified in the individual ITS, BT, TEF-1α trees represent a novel undescribed species, as five representative isolates of that group (CMW46870, CMW46859, CMW46860, CMW46861, CMW46891) formed a separate clade in the RPB2 (Fig 10) and in combined analyses of all four

gene regions (Fig 11). Therefore, these isolates were treated as *Lasiodiplodia* sp. (Table 1). In the RPB2 sequence analyses, two isolates (CMW31829 and CMW46912) formed a separate clade closest to the *L. gonubiensis* clade with 100 % bootstrap support. However, in the combined analyses of all four gene region sequences, they grouped closely to *L. gonubiensis* with low bootstrap support (Fig 11). The identity of these two isolates was not resolved and they were treated as *Lasiodiplodia* sp. (Table 1).

Neofusicoccum species were analyzed using ITS, BT, TEF-1 α and RPB2 sequences. However, only the results of ITS, BT, TEF-1 α and ITS and BT combined regions are shown. The data for the RPB2 gene region were incomplete because there were insufficient reference sequences in GenBank for species that group closely with the isolates obtained in this study. However, this gene region is used in Table 4 to compare species that are undescribed with some of the closely related species available in GenBank. The ITS dataset for the genus *Neofusicoccum* consisted of 60 ingroup taxa and was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Based on the ITS gene region, the *Neofusicoccum* isolates analyzed in this study reside in seven clades identified as *N. cordaticola*, *N. eucalyptorum*, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. parvum*, *N. ribis/ N. occulatum*, *N. umdonicola* and two possibly novel species, *Neofisicoccum* sp. 1 (CMW46887, CMW46888) and *Neofusicoccum* isolates from eastern and southern Africa, representing each of the phylogenetic groups identified with ITS sequences, were selected for further analysis using the BT gene region.

Analyses of the BT data for the 30 *Neofusicoccum* isolates from this study, grouped them in seven clades representing *N. parvum* (12), *N. batangarum/ N. umdonicola* (3), *N. kwambonambiense* (5), *N. eucalyptorum* (2), a clade close to *N. cordaticola* and two possible novel species, of which one clade (CMW46887, CMW46888) represented the same novel clade as identified in the ITS gene region (Fig 13). Some isolates grouped in clades different to which they occurred in the ITS analyses. Isolate CMW46965 in the BT grouped closer to CMW31796 and not with *N. parvum* as in the ITS, with these two forming a separate sub-clade in the BT. Similarly, isolate CMW31825, which in the ITS grouped with *N. parvum* grouped with *N. cordaticola* in the BT. The shared polymorphisms between this isolate and the parental species are highlighted in yellow in Table 4. Isolate CMW31797 groups together with CMW31802, CMW31803 and CMW31798 in all three gene regions, However, it differs with two SNP's grouping this isolate with *N. umdonicola* while

the three other isolates group with *N. cordaticola* as highlighted in pink in Table 4. Isolates CMW31825 and CMW31797 displayed incongruency between the two gene sequences and could be explained by accepting that some of these species are hybrids. Furthermore, the two potential hybrids have gaps in parsimony informative regions in both TEF-1 α and RPB2 gene regions that are not seen in other *Neofusicoccum* species. Based on the analyses of SNP's (Table 4) in ITS and BT, there are potentially four new *Neofusicoccum* species (CMW46887, CMW46888, CMW31802, CMW31803, CMW31798 and CMW31796) and two of this species could be hybrids (CMW31797 and CMW31825).

The TEF-1 α gene region was problematic because some of the isolates were difficult to amplify. Of the 30 isolates selected for further analysis, only 22 could be amplified. These isolates grouped into five phylogenetic clades including *N. parvum* (11), *N. eucalyptorum* (2), *N. batangarum*, *N. cordaticola* and *N. kwambonambiense* clade (4), and two novel clades for isolates (CMW46888, CMW46887), treated as *Neofusicoccum* sp. 1 and isolate CMW31796 treated as *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3 (Fig 14). The novelty of two *Neofusicoccum* species was consistent in all three individual dataset analyses.

3.3 Pathogenicity trials

Lesions were observed around the points of inoculation on *Eucalyptus grandis* (TAG 5) trees approximately three weeks after inoculation with the selected *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolates. The lesion lengths were measured four weeks after inoculation. Variations in the lesion lengths were observed between the species and between isolates of the same species, as well as between the control inoculations and some species (Fig 15). *Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense* (CMW46991, CMW46862), *N. parvum* (CMW46962, CMW46944) and *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3 (CMW31796) produced the largest lesions on average and their lesions differed significantly from those of the controls (P<0.05). *Neofusicoccum* sp. 1 produced the smallest lesions of all tested isolates and in some cases no lesions at all, and they did not vary significantly from those of the controls (P>0.05). *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1 (CMW46854, CMW46870) also produced lesions that differed significantly from the controls and were intermediate in size for the isolates tested. Of the six *Botryosphaeriaceae* species selected for pathogenicity testing, four species representing *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. parvum* and the *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3 were re-

isolated from inoculation sites to confirm the pathogenic status for these isolates. An additional 10 trees were selected to attempt to re-isolate isolates CMW46888 and CMW46887. Despite of these attempts, this species could not be re-isolated from the inoculated trees.

4.0 Discussion

This study presents new knowledge on the host range and distribution of species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* in southern and eastern Africa. Isolates from native and introduced *Myrtaceae* in five countries (Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe) were analyzed, identifying fourteen species in three genera, *Diplodia, Lasiodiplodia* and *Neofusicoccum*. Four of these species are apparently new to science, and three of these are potential hybrids.

The genus *Neofusicoccum* is the third largest in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* with 29 species following *Dothiorella* (30 species) and *Lasiodiplodia* (31) (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). The majority of isolates obtained from *Myrtaceae* in this study represented species of *Neofusicoccum* and they were obtained from both native and non-native trees. *Neofusicoccum parvum* was the most abundant species identified in this study. This is not surprising given the cosmopolitan distribution and the wide host range of this species (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). In African countries, such as Ethiopia, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe, *N. parvum* is known to cause stem canker and tip die-back on *Eucalyptus* species (Smith *et al.* 1994; Gezahgne *et al.* 2004; Slippers *et al.* 2004; Roux *et al.* 2005; Chungu *et al.* 2010; Jimu *et al.* 2015; Maússe-Sitoe *et al.* 2016; Pavlic-Zupanc *et al.* 2017). It is also well-known from *S. cordatum* in South Africa (Pavlic *et al.* 2007). This study is, however, the first to report *N. parvum* on *S. cordatum* in Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zimbabwe. Furthermore, it reports novel host associations of *N. parvum* in South Africa where it was found on most tree species sampled in this study, including *Eucalyptus* species, *E. natalitia, P. guajava* and *S. cordatum*.

The majority of the *Neofusicoccum* isolates, other than *N. parvum*, obtained in this study grouped with *N. batangarum*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*. These species are part of a larger group in the *N. parvum/N. ribis* species complex (Pavlic *et al.* 2009). In this study, *N. cordaticola* and *N. umdonicola* were found occurring only on *S. cordatum* and this is the first report of these species from Tanzania and Mozambique. *Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense* was isolated from both *S. cordatum* and *E. grandis* in this study and in all the regions sampled in

Limpopo. This species was first isolated from symptomless and dying branches, leaves, and pulp of ripe fruits of *S. cordatum* in South Africa (Pavlic *et al.* 2009). Since its description, *N. kwambonambiense* has been reported on various hosts in three countries including Brazil (Lopes *et al.* 2017), South Africa (Pavlic *et al.* 2009) and Uruguay (Pérez *et al.* 2010).

Two isolates (CMW46950, CMW46952) representing a larger group of 13 were identified as *N. eucalyptorum*. Twelve of the isolates identified as *N. eucalyptorum* were obtained from *E. grandis* in the Tzaneen area and one isolate was obtained from *E. cloeziana* in Louis Trichardt. *Neofusicoccum eucalyptorum* was first isolated from stem cankers of *E. grandis* and *E. nitens* in South Africa (Smith 2001) and it was thought to be specific to *Eucalyptus* (Slippers *et.al* 2004) as it was found in abundance on this host in Australia. However, in subsequent years this species has been reported on various native *Mytraceae* trees in Uruguay (Pérez *et al.* 2010) and in South Africa (Pillay *et al.* 2013). It has not been reported on any other host outside of the *Myrtaceae*. This suggests that *N. eucalyptorum* is possibly specific to hosts in the the *Myrtaceae* in which case it would have shifted from *Eucalyptorum* is necessary to fully understand the association of this pathogen on *Myrtaceae* trees and the implications that might arise from this association.

Three novel *Neofusicoccum* species (*Neofusicoccum* sp. 1, *Neofusicoccum* sp. 2 and *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3) were identified in this study. *Neofusicoccum* sp. 1 was represented by two isolates obtained from *E. natalitia* in South Africa. This study is the first to report *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on *E. natalitia*. No morphological descriptions were, however, possible for these fungi as no fruiting structures could be induced, even after multiple attempts and several months. *Neofusicoccum* sp. 2 (four isolates) from *S. cordatum* in Tanzania, and *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3 (one isolate) from *S. cordatum* in Tanzania. This study reports novel host associations on *S. cordatum* in Tanzania.

Two *Lasiodiplodia* species, *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1 and *L. gonubiensis*, were obtained from *Myrtaceae* in southern and eastern Africa in this study. This is the largest genus in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* family inclucing 31 species (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016). It has a cosmopolitan distribution and has been reported on more than 500 plant hosts (Punithalingam 1976; Farr and Rossman 2017). *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis* was first described from native *S. cordatum* in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa (Pavlic *et al.* 2004). In the current study, *L. gonubiensis* was found on

native *H. natalensis* from Georges Valley and Lajuma and non-native *Eucalyptus* from Lajuma. This is the first report of this species on these two hosts.

Host range expansions, host shifts and host jumps are well studied in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* family (Pérez *et al.* 2008, 2010; Jami *et al.* 2014; Mehl *et al.* 2017). Species with a cosmopolitan distribution such as *L. theobromae* and *N.parvum* are regarded as generalists as they have undergone host range expansions and are reported on various hosts. While some species such as the ones in the *Alanphillipsia* genus are found exclusively on Aloe (Crous *et al.* 2013, 2014). In this study *Diplodia* sp., *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1, *L. gonubiensis*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. parvum* were found occurring on both the native and the non-native *Myrtaceae*, while *N. umdonicola* was found exclusively on *S. cordatum* and *N. eucalyptorum* was found only on *Eucalyptus*. It is however not surprising that *N. eucalyptorum* was found exclusively on *Eucalyptus* as it was intitially thought to be specific on this host, but has now shifted to other *Myrtaceae* trees in other countries. *Neofusicoccum umdonicola* has only been reported from Africa and not other countries in the world on *S. cordatum* as it was also found in this study. This could mean that so far, *N. umdonicola* is host specific on *S. cordatum* and that it originates from Africa. Further studies are needed to understand the host specificity of *N. umdonicola*.

Cryptic species are defined as species that have undergone genetic divergence (Sakalidis *et al.* 2011). These species cannot be separated based on morphological or ecological characteristics and the statistical support from phylogenetic analyses such as bootstrap values are generally poor. Furthermore, all genetic loci may have not achieved monophyly. In this study, *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1 grouped within the *L. theobromae* species complex that includes 17 species. Based on the TEF- 1α , this species is identical to *L. gilanensis*, however in BT, RPB2 and in a combined analyses of the four gene regions, it represents a novel species. The low bootstrap value between *L. gilanensis* and the isolates described in this study raises a question as to whether this is a novel cryptic species or whether it represents some of the genetic variation that makes up *L. gilanensis*. However, based on the definition of cryptic species by Sakalidis *et al.* (2011), we conclude that this species is a cryptic species.

The genus *Diplodia* comprises 26 species known from culture (Dissanayake *et al.* 2016; Slippers *et al.* 2017). The most intensively used gene regions to identify species in this genus are ITS and TEF-1 α , while the Large subunit (LSU) and BT are rarely used. Only two isolates (CMW46906,

CMW48899) of *Diplodia* were obtained in the current study. They were from non-native *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and from native *Syzygium gerrardii* in the Limpopo Province. This is the first report of *Diplodia* species from these two hosts. In all analyses (ITS, TEF-1 α and combined), the African isolates grouped in a larger clade containing *D. alatafructa*, *D. insularis* and *D. pseudoseriata*. *Diplodia insularis* is phylogenetically related to *D. alatafructa* and *D. insularis*, however this species can be separated from the latter two species by the size and shape of the conidia and by a combined analysis of ITS and TEF-1 α (Linaldeddu *et al.* 2016). Based on the phylogenetic analyses of *Diplodia* by Dissanayake *et al.* (2016) and this study, the bootstrap value was below 70 % and did not support the separation of *D. insularis* from *D. alatafructa*, *D. pseudoseriata* and *Diplodia* sp. 1.

Mehl *et al.* (2011) described *D. alatafructa* based on both morphology and the phylogenetic species concept using ITS and TEF-1 α and described this species as being closely related to *D. seriata*. However, subsequent studies showed that *D. alatafructa* is more closely related to *D. pseudoseriata* and *D. insularis* and not *D. seriata*. Phillips *et al.* (2012), suggested that *D. alatafructa* and *D. pseudoseriata* be regarded as synonyms as there is no clear distinction between the two species based on both morphology and DNA sequence data. These species form a complex and cannot be completely separated with ITS, TEF-1 α , or in a combined analysis of the both sequence datasets. *Diplodia alatafructa*, *D. pseudoseriata*, *D. insularis* and *Diplodia* sp. could possibly be a single, genetically diverse, species. Additional isolates and studies are needed to clarify the taxonomy of species in this complex.

A number of isolates in this study could not be conclusively identified in any of the currently known *Botryosphaeriaceae* species. For example, isolate CMW31796 grouped with *N. ribis/ N. occulatum* in the ITS, in a novel clade together with CMW46965 in the BT and in its own, unique clade in the TEF-1 α . Similarly, CMW31825 grouped with *N. umdonicola* in the ITS and TEF-1 α , but with *N. cordaticola* in the BT. The irregularities within the *Botryosphaeriaceae* could be explained as intraspecific genetic variability or as hybrids (Sakalidis *et al.* 2011; Cruywagen *et al.* 2017). In a study by Sakalidis *et al.* (2011), species such as this were not described or taxonomically classified, however in other studies, such species were described as new species or hybrid species (Cryuwagen *et al.* 2017). Based on the decision tree represented by Cryuwagen *et al.* (2017) on hybridisation, we conclude that CMW31825 and CMW31797 in the *Neofusicoccum*

genus are hybrids as they are incongruent in multiple genes in non-sister groups. In *Lasiodiplodia*, isolate CMW31829 is incongruent in one gene region (TEF-1 α) and is grouping between two non-sister groups (*Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1, and *L. gonubiensis*). Therefore, we conclude that it also represents a possible hybrid species. To the best of our knowledge, there have not been any reports on hybridisation in the *Neofusicoccum* genus.

The taxonomy of *Botryosphaeriaceae* has been revised several times in the recent past. Yet there are many questions that remain to be addressed. In this study, the isolates of *Diplodia* could not be taxonomically resolved because there are only two complete gene regions (ITS and TEF-1 α) in the NCBI database. Similar to *Diplodia*, four gene regions were used to identify species in *Neofusicoccum*, however, only three could be used because the RPB2 gene region database is incomplete. For *Lasiodiplodia*, all four gene regions were successfully used to identify species. However, all four gene regions could not provide sufficient support for the eight isolates belonging to the *L. theobromae* complex. According to Sakalidis *et al.* (2011) and Cruywagen *et al.* (2017), the most informative loci used to delineate species in the *Lasiodiplodia* genus is TEF-1 α . However, this gene region to amplify. For the identification of new species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*, at least four gene regions should be used in addition to ITS as a standard method of identification so that problems such as this are not encountered in the future. Additionally, hybrid species cannot be identified with only one or two loci.

Pathogenicity trials showed that all but one of the tested species was able to cause lesions on *Eucalyptus* trees. The most pathogenic species were *N. parvum* and *N. kwambonambiense* followed by *Lasiodiplodia* sp. 1. Although its sister species *L. theobromae* is pathogenic on *Eucalyptus* species, *L. gonubiensis* was found to result in lesions not significantly different from the controls by Pavlic *et al.* (2007). Isolates of species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* clearly differ in their pathogenicity with variable results emerging from different studies. This could be due to the fact that there is more genetic variation and further cryptic species in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* than previously studied.

This study has expanded information on the host range and distribution of the *Botryosphaeriaeae* in previously unexplored areas of southern and eastern Africa. This information will assist future studies to better understand factors influencing patterns related to the diversity of these important

stress associated pathogens. However, the larger part of the African continent, and many other hosts in the Myrtales, remain unexplored. The relevance and threat of these fungi to native hosts also remain unclear and needs further study.

5.0 References

Abdollahzadeh J, Javadi A, Goltapeh EM, Zare R, Phillips AJ, 2010. Phylogeny and morphology of four new species of *Lasiodiplodia* from Iran. *Persoonia* **25**:1–10.

Albaugh JM, Dye PJ, King JS, 2013. *Eucalyptus* and water use in South Africa. *International Journal of Forestry Research* doi:10.1155/2013/852540

Barradas C, Phillips AJ, Correia A, Diogo E, Bragança H, Alves A, 2016. Diversity and potential impact of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species associated with *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations in Portugal. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **146**:245–257.

Beenken L, 2017. *Austropuccinia*: a new genus name for the myrtle rust *Puccinia psidii* placed within the redefined family *Sphaerophragmiaceae* (Pucciniales). *Phytotaxa* **29**7:53–61.

Burgess TI, Sakalidis ML, Hardy GESJ, 2006. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. *Australasian Ecology* **31**:559–566.

Chamshama SA, Nwonwu F, 2004. Forest plantations in Sub-Saharan Africa: Lessons learnt on Sustainable Forest Management in Africa. Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania and Africa Institute of South Africa, South Africa.

Chungu D, Muimba-Kankolongo A, Wingfield MJ, Roux J, 2010. Plantation forestry diseases in Zambia: Contributing factors and management options. *Annals of Forest Science* **67**:1–9.

Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Alfenas AC, Crous PW, 1989. *Eucalyptus* rust: a disease with the potential for serious international implications. *Plant Disease* **82**:819–825.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Wingfield MJ, Phillips AJL, 2007. *Neofusicoccum mediterraneum* sp. nov. *Fungal planet* **19**:235–53.

Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WF, Philips AJ, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ, 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Studies in Mycology* **55**:235–253.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI, Hardy GESJ, Crane C, Barrett S, Cano-Lira JF, Le Roux JJ, Thangavel R, Guarro J, Stchigel AM, 2017. Fungal Planet description sheets: 469–557. *Persoonia:* **37**:218–403.

Cruywagen EM, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Phylogenetic species recognition and hybridisation in *Lasiodiplodia*: a case study on species from baobabs. *Fungal Biology* **121**:420–436.

Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ, 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. *Studies in Mycology* **45**:129–140.

Dissanayake AJ, Camporesi E, Hyde KD, Phillips AJL, Fu CY, Yan JY, Li XH, 2016. *Dothiorella* species associated with woody hosts in Italy. *Mycosphere* **7**:51–63.

Evans J, 1992. Plantation Forestry in the Tropics. 2nd edition. Oxford University Press, USA.

Farr DF, Rossman AY, 2017. Fungal Databases. Systematic Mycology and Microbiology Laboratory, ARS, USDA. Available online: <u>http://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/</u>

Felsenstein J, 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**:783–91.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Hare PD, 2004. Identification of the causal agent of Botryosphaeria stem canker in Ethiopian *Eucalyptus* plantations. *South African Journal of Botany* **70**:241–248.

Govaerts R, Sobral M, Ashton P, Barrie F, Holst, BK, Landrum LL, Matsumoto K, Mazine FF, Lughadha EN, Proneça C, Soares-Silva LH, 2008. *World checklist of Myrtaceae*. Kew: The Board of Trustees of the Royal Botanic Gardens.

Heath RN, Gryzenhout M, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2006. Discovery of the canker pathogen *Chrysoporthe austroafricana* on native *Syzygium* spp. in South Africa. *Plant Disease* **90**:433–438.

Jimu L, Wingfield MJ, Mwenje E, Roux J, 2015. Diseases on *Eucalyptus* species in Zimbabwean plantations and woodlots. *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science* **77**:221–230.

Johnson LAS, Briggs BG, 1981. Three old southern families: *Myrtaceae*, *Proteaceae* and *Restionaceae*. *Ecological biogeography of Australia*, The Hague, Dr. W. Junk, pp 427–469.

Katoh K, Standley DM, 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular biology and evolution* **30**:772–780.

Linaldeddu BT, Deidda A, Scanu B, Franceschini A, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Phillips AJL, 2016. Phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae*, *Diatrypaceae* and *Gnomoniaceae* associated with branch diseases of hazelnut in Sardinia (Italy). *European Journal* of *Plant Pathology* **146**:259–279.

Littell RC. SAS, 1996. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Liu JK, Phookamsak R, Doilom M, Wikee S, Li YM, Ariyawansha H, Boonmee S, Chomnunti P, Dai DQ, Bhat JD, Romero AI, 2012. Towards a natural classification of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Diversity* **57**:149–210.

Lopes A, Phillips AJ, Alves A, 2017. Mating type genes in the genus *Neofusicoccum*: mating strategies and usefulness in species delimitation. *Fungal Biology* **121**:394–404.

Maússe-Sitoe SN, Chen S, Wingfield MJ, Roux J, 2016. Diseases of eucalypts in the central and northern provinces of Mozambique. *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science* **78**:169–183.

Mehl JW, Slippers B, Roux J, Winfield MJ, 2013. Cankers and Other Diseases Caused by the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Infectious forest diseases*, CAB International. Boston. pp 298–317.

Mehl JW, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Overlap of latent pathogens in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* on a native and agricultural host. *Fungal Biology* **121**:405–419.

Mehl JW, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, 2011. *Botryosphaeriaceae* associated with *Pterocarpus angolensis* (kiaat) in South Africa. *Mycologia* **103**:534–553.

Möller EM, Bahnweg G, Sandermann H, Geiger HH, 1992. A simple and efficient protocol for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from filamentous fungi, fruit bodies, and infected plant tissues. *Nucleic acids research* **20**:6115.

Mohali S, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, 2007. Identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus, Acacia* and *Pinus* in Venezuela. *Fungal Diversity* **25**:143–165.

Nakabonge G, 2005. Diseases assosiated with plantation forestry in Uganda (Doctoral dissertation). University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, 2007. *Botryosphaeriaceae* occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. *Plant Pathology* **56**:624–636.

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ, 2004. *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis* sp. nov., a new *Botryosphaeria* anamorph from native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa. *Studies in Mycology* **50**:313–322.

Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, 2009. Molecular and phenotypic characterization of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum/N*. *ribis* complex. *Mycologia* **101**:636–647.

Pavlic-Zupanc D, Maleme HM, Piškur B, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, 2017. Diversity, phylogeny and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* on non-native *Eucalyptus* grown in an urban environment: A case study. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* **26**:139–148.

Pérez CA, Altier N, Simeto S, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Blanchette RA, 2008. *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolated from *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* in Uruguay. *Agrociencia* **12**:19–30.

Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Altier NA, Blanchette RA, 2010. Endophytic and cankerassociated *Botryosphaeriaceae* occurring on non-native *Eucalyptus* and native *Myrtaceae* trees in Uruguay. *Fungal Diversity* **41**:53–69.

Phillips AJ, Lopes J, Abdollahzadeh J, Bobev S, Alves A, 2012. Resolving the *Diplodia* complex on apple and other *Rosaceae* hosts. *Persoonia* **29**:29–38.

Phillips AJL, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW, 2013. The *Botryosphaeriaceae:* genera and species known from culture. *Studies in Mycology* **76**:51–167.

Pillay K, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Gryzenhout M, 2013. Diversity and distribution of coinfecting *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa. *South African Journal of Botany* **84**:38–43.

Posada D, Buckley TR, 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Systematic Biology* **53**:793–808.

Poynton RJ, 1977. Tree planting in southern Africa. Volume 1. Department of Forestry, Republic of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa.

Punithalingam, E, 1976 *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, Kew, UK: Commonwealth Mycological Institute: CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria no. 519.

Roux J, Coutinho TA, Byabashaija DM, Wingfield MJ, 2001. Diseases of plantation *Eucalyptus* in Uganda: research in action. *South African Journal of Science* **97**:16–18.

Roux J, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Bouillet JP, 2000. Diseases of plantation *Eucalyptus* in the Republic of Congo. *South African Journal of Science* **96**:454–456.

Roux J, Meke G, Kanyi B, Mwangi L, Mbaga A, Hunter GC, Nakabonge G, Heath RN, Wingfield MJ, 2005. Diseases of plantation forestry trees in eastern and southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science* **101**:409–413.

Sakalidis ML, Hardy GESJ, Burgess TI, 2011. Use of the Genealogical Sorting Index (GSI) to delineate species boundaries in the *Neofusicoccum parvum–Neofusicoccum ribis* species complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **60**:333–344.

Sankaran KV, Sutton BC, Minter D, 1995. A checklist of fungi recorded on *Eucalyptus*. *Mycological Papers* **170**:1028–1039.
Slippers B, Burgess T, Pavlic D, Ahumada R, Maleme H, Mohali S, Rodas C, Wingfield MJ, 2009. A diverse assemblage of *Botryosphaeriaceae* infect *Eucalyptus* in native and non-native environments. *Southern Forests* **71**:101–110.

Slippers B, Crous PW, Jami F, Groenewald JZ, Wingfield MJ, 2017. Diversity in the Botryosphaeriales: Looking back, looking forward. *Fungal Biology* **121**:307–321.

Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ, 2004. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. *Studies in Mycology* **50**:343–358.

Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, 2007. *Botryosphaeriaceae* as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **21**:90–106.

Smith H, 2001. Biology of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and *Sphaeropsis sapinea* as endophytes of Eucalypts and Pines in South Africa. PhD Thesis. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

Smith H, Kemp GHJ, Wingfield MJ, 1994. Canker and die-back of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Plant Pathology* **43**:1031–1034.

Sutton BC, Dyko BJ, 1989. Revision of Hendersonula. Mycological Research 93:466–488.

Taylor K, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI, 2009. *Botryosphaeriaceae* from tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodland, including descriptions of four new species. *Mycological Research* **113**:337–353.

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee SJWT, Taylor JW, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications, eds. Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ. Academic Press, Inc., New York. pp 315–322.

Yang T, Groenewald JZ, Cheewangkoon R, Jami F, Abdollahzadeh J, Lombard L, Crous PW, 2017. Families, genera, and species of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Biology* **121**:322–346.

Species	Isolate ID ^{1, 2, 3}	Host	Locality ⁴	ITS	BT	TEF-1a	RPB2
Neofusicoccum arbuti*	CBS 117090	Arbutus menziesii	U.S.A.	AY819724	KF531794	KF531791	N/A
N. arbuti	CBS 116131	A. menziesii	U.S.A.	AY819720	KF531793	KF531792	N/A
N. australe*	CMW 6837	Acacia sp.	Australia	AY339262	AY339254	AY339270	N/A
N. australe	CMW 37396	Eucalyptus grandis	South Africa	JQ744576	AY339255	JQ744597	N/A
N. batangarum*	CBS 124923	Terminalia catappa	Cameroon	FJ900608	FJ900635	FJ900654	N/A
N. batangarum	CBS 124924	T. catappa	Cameroon	FJ900607	FJ900634	FJ900653	N/A
N. cordaticola*	CBS 123635	Syzigium cordatum	South Africa	EU821903	EU821843	EU821873	N/A
N. cordaticola	CBS 123634	S. cordatum	South Africa	EU821898	EU821838	EU821868	N/A
N. cryptoaustrale*	CMW 23785	Eucalyptus sp.	South Africa	FJ752742	FJ752756	FJ752713	N/A
N. eucalypticola*	CBS 115679	E. grandis	Australia	AY615141	AY615127	AY615133	N/A
N. eucalypticola	CBS 115766	Eucalyptus rossii	Australia	AY615143	AY615125	AY615135	N/A
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46993	Eucalyptus cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46949	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46950	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46951	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46952	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46953	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46954	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46955	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46956	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46957	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46958	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46959	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46960	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46961	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46962	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum	CMW 46963	E. grandis	Grootbos, SA				
N. eucalyptorum*	CMW 10126	E. grandis	South Africa	AF283687	AY236921	AY236892	N/A

Table 1. Isolates representing the *Botryosphaeriaceae* species considered in a phylogenetic study and pathogenicity trial

N. eucalyptorum	CBS 115791	E. grandis	South Africa	AF283686	AY236920	AY236891	N/A
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46885	Eugenia natalitia	New Agatha, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46871	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46872	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46873	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46878	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46879	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46880	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46881	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46882	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46883	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46884	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA				
N. kwambonambiense*	CBS 123641	S. cordatum	South Africa	EU821919	EU821859	EU821889	N/A
N. kwambonambiense	CBS 123639	S. cordatum	South Africa	EU821900	EU821840	EU821870	N/A
N. luteum*	CBS 110497	Vitis vinifera	Portugal	EU673311	EU673092	EU673277	N/A
N. luteum	CBS 110299	V. vinifera	Portugal	AY259091	DQ458848	AY573217	N/A
N. macroclavatum*	WAC 12446	Eucalyptus globulus	Australia	DQ093197	DQ093208	DQ093218	N/A
N. macroclavatum	CBS 118223	E. globulus	Australia	DQ093196	DQ093206	DQ093217	N/A
N. mangiferae*	CBS 118532	Mangifera indica	Australia	AY615186	AY615173	DQ093220	N/A
N. mangiferae	CBS 118531	M. indica	Australia	AY615185	AY615172	DQ093221	N/A
N. mediterraneum*	CBS 121718	Eucalyptus sp.	Greece	GU251176	GU251836	GU251308	N/A
N. mediterraneum	CBS 121558	Eucalyptus sp.	U.S.A.	GU799463	GU799461	GU799462	N/A
N. occulatum*	CBS 128008	E. grandis	Australia	EU301030	EU339472	EU339509	N/A
N. occulatum	MUCC 286	E. pellita	Australia	EU736947	EU339474	EU339511	N/A
N. parvum	CMW 46907	E. camaldulensis	Lajuma				
N. parvum	CMW 46977	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46978	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46979	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46980	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46981	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46982	E. cloeziana	LouisTtrichardt, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46983	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA				

N. parvum	CMW 46984	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46985	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46986	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46987	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46988	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46989	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46990	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46991	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46992	E. cloeziana	Louis Trichardt, SA
N. parvum	CMW 31839	E. cloeziana	Mozambique
N. parvum	CMW 31840	E. cloeziana	Mozambique
N. parvum	CMW 31838	E. cloeziana	Mozambique
N. parvum	CMW 46964	E. grandis	Fundudzi, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46965	E. grandis	Fundudzi, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46966	E. grandis	Fundudzi, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46967	E. grandis	Fundudzi, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46944	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46945	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46946	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46947	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46948	E. grandis	Georges valley, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46968	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46969	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46970	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46971	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46972	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46973	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46974	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46975	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46976	E. grandis	Lajuma, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46941	E. grandis	New Agatha, SA
N. parvum	CMW 46942	E. grandis	New Agatha, SA

N. parvum	CMW 31847	E. grandis	Zimbabwe				
N. parvum	CMW 31848	E. grandis	Zimbabwe				
N. parvum	CMW 31849	E. grandis	Zimbabwe				
N. parvum	CMW 31850	E. grandis	Zimbabwe				
N. parvum	CMW 31851	E. grandis	Zimbabwe				
N. parvum	CMW 46885	E. natalitia	Grootbos, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46886	E. natalitia	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum*	CMW 10122	E. grandis	South Africa	AF283681	EU673095	AY236882	N/A
N. parvum	CMW 46925	Heteropyxis natalensis	Georges valley, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46926	H. natalensis	Georges valley, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46928	H. natalensis	Georges valley, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46929	H. natalensis	Georges valley, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46931	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46932	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46933	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46934	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46935	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46936	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46937	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46938	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46939	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46940	H. natalensis	New Agatha, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 9081	Populus nigra	New Zealand	AY236943	AY236917	AY236888	N/A
N. parvum	CMW 46890	Psidium guajava	Fundudzi, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46864	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46867	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46868	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46869	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46838	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46839	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46840	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
N. parvum	CMW 46841	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				

N. parvumCMW 46843S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46844S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46845S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46846S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46847S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzania <t< th=""><th>N. parvum</th><th>CMW 46842</th><th>S. cordatum</th><th>Grootbos, SA</th></t<>	N. parvum	CMW 46842	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46844S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46845S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46846S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46847S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzania <t< th=""><th>N. parvum</th><th>CMW 46843</th><th>S. cordatum</th><th>Grootbos, SA</th></t<>	N. parvum	CMW 46843	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46845S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46846S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46847S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN.	N. parvum	CMW 46844	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46846S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46847S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. par	N. parvum	CMW 46845	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46847S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvum<	N. parvum	CMW 46846	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46848S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvum	N. parvum	CMW 46847	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46849S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCM	N. parvum	CMW 46848	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46850S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 3180	N. parvum	CMW 46849	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46851S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumC	N. parvum	CMW 46850	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46852S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809<	N. parvum	CMW 46851	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46853S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46852	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46856S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 31824S. cordatumNew Agatha, J.N. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 318	N. parvum	CMW 46853	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46857S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumNew Agatha, J.N. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809	N. parvum	CMW 46856	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46858S. cordatumGrootbos, SAN. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumNew Agatha, SN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46857	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46874S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumNew Agatha, S.N. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46858	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA
N. parvumCMW 46875S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, TN. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46874	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA
N. parvumCMW 46876S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, SN. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46875	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA
N. parvumCMW 46877S. cordatumLajuma, SAN. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, FN. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46876	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA
N. parvumCMW 31830S. cordatumMalawiN. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, FN. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 46877	S. cordatum	Lajuma, SA
N. parvumCMW 31824S. cordatumMozambiqueN. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, S.N. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31830	S. cordatum	Malawi
N. kwambonambienseCMW 46862S. cordatumNew Agatha, KN. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31824	S. cordatum	Mozambique
N. parvumCMW 31799S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. kwambonambiense	CMW 46862	S. cordatum	New Agatha, SA
N. parvumCMW 31800S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31799	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31801S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31800	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31804S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31801	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31805S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31804	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31806S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31805	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31807S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31806	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31808S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31807	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvumCMW 31809S. cordatumTanzaniaN. parvumCMW 31811S. cordatumTanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31808	S. cordatum	Tanzania
N. parvum CMW 31811 S. cordatum Tanzania	N. parvum	CMW 31809	S. cordatum	Tanzania
	N. parvum	CMW 31811	S. cordatum	Tanzania

N. parvum	CMW 31812	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
N. parvum	CMW 31813	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
N. parvum	CMW 46837	S. cordatum	Grootbos				
N. ribis*	CBS 121.26	Ribes rubrum	U.S.A.	AF241177	AY236908	AY236879	N/A
N. ribis	CBS 115475	Ribes sp.	U.S.A.	AY236935	AY236906	AY236877	N/A
N. umdonicola	CMW 31822	S. cordatum	Mozambique				
N. umdonicola	CMW 31823	S. cordatum	Mozambique				
N. umdonicola	CMW 31826	S. cordatum	Mozambique				
N. umdonicola	CMW 31827	S. cordatum	Mozambique				
N. umdonicola*	CBS 123646	S. cordatum	South Africa	EU821905	EU821845	EU821875	N/A
N. umdonicola	CBS 123645	S. cordatum	South Africa	EU821904	EU821844	EU821874	N/A
Neofusicoccum hybrid	CMW 31825	S. cordatum	Mozambique				
Neofusicoccum hybrid	CMW 31797	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
Neofusicoccum sp. 1	CMW 46888	E. natalitia	Grootbos, SA				
Neofusicoccum sp. 1	CMW 46887	E. natalitia	Louis Trichardt, SA				
Neofusicoccum sp. 2	CMW 31802	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
Neofusicoccum sp. 2	CMW 31803	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
Neofusicoccum sp. 2	CMW 31810	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
Neofusicoccum sp. 3	CMW 31796	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
Neofusicoccum sp. 2	CMW 31798	S. cordatum	Tanzania				
L. citricola*	CBS 124707	Citrus sp.	Iran	GU945354	KU887505	GU945340	KU696351
L. citricola	CBS 124706	Citrus sp.	Iran	GU945353	KU887504	GU945339	KU696350
L. euphorbicola*	CMW 33350	Adansonia digitata	Botswana	KU887149	KU887455	KU887026	KU696346
L. euphorbicola	CMM 3609	Jatropha curcas	Brazil	KF234543	KF254926	KF226689	N/A
L. exigua*	CERC 1961	Pistacia vera	U.S.A	KP217059	KP217075	KP217067	N/A
L. exigua	CBS 137785	Retama raetam	Tunisia	KJ638317	KU887509	KJ638336	KU696355
Lasiodiplodia sp.	CMW 46865	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp.	CMW 46866	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp.	CMW 46863	S. cordatum	Georges valley, SA				
L. gilanensis*	CBS 124704	Uknown	Gilan, Iran	GU945351	KP872411	GU945342	N/A
L. gilanensis	CBS 124705	Uknown	Gilan, Iran	GU945352	KP872412	GU945341	N/A
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46908	E. camaldulensis	Lajuma, SA				

L gonubiensis	CMW 46909	E. camaldulensis	Laiuma SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46910	E. camaldulensis	Lajuma SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46901	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46902	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46903	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46904	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46905	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46900	E camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46916	H. natalensis	Georges valley SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46917	H. natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46918	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46919	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46920	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46921	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46922	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46923	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46924	H natalensis	Georges valley, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46927	H natalensis								
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46930	H natalonsis	Georges valley, SA							
Lasiodinlodia hybrid	CMW 46912	H. natalensis	Laiuma SA							
Lastouipionai nybita	CMW 46913	H natalensis	Lajuma, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46914	H. natalensis	Lajuma, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46915	H. natalensis	Lajuma, SA							
L. gonubiensis	CMW 46911	H natalensis	Lajuma, SA							
L. gonubiensis*	CDS 115912	II. natatensis	Lajullia, SA	AV620505	DO159960	DO102566	VU606250			
L. gonubiensis	CDS 115012	S. cordatum	South Africa	A 1 039393	DQ438800	DQ103500	N/A			
L. gonudiensis	CDS 110555	S. coraalum M. indiaa	Juan	A 1 039394	EU0/5120	DQ103307	N/A			
L. normozganensis*	CDS 124708	M. maica	Iran	GU943336	KU887314	GU943344	KU09500			
L. normozganensis	CBS 124709	Olea sp.	Iran	GU945355	KU887515	GU945343	KU090301			
L. iraniensis*	CBS 124/11	Juglans sp.	Iran	GU945347	KU88/517	GU945335	KU696362			
L. iraniensis	CBS 124/10	Salvadora persica	Iran	GU945346	KU88/516	GU945334	KU696363			
L. lignicola*	CBS 134112	Dead wood	Thailand	JX646797	JX646845	KU887003	KU696364			
L. macrospora*	CMM 3833	J. curcas	Brazil	KF234557	KF254941	KF226718	N/A			

L. mahajangana*	CBS 124927	Terminalia carpa	Madagascar	FJ900597	KU887518	FJ900643	KU696365
L. mahajangana	CBS 124926	T. carpa	Madagascar	FJ900596	KU887519	FJ900642	KU696366
L. margaritacea*	CBS 122519	Adansonia gregorii	Australia	EU144050	KU887520	EU144065	KU696367
L. mediterranea*	CBS 137783	Quercus ilex	Italy	KJ638312	KU887521	KJ638331	KU696368
L. mediterranea	CBS 137784	V. vinifera	Italy	KJ638311	KU887522	KJ638330	KU696369
L. missouriana*	CBS 128312	V. vinifera	U.S.A.	HQ288226	HQ288305	HQ288268	KU696371
L. missouriana	CBS 128311	V. vinifera	U.S.A.	HQ288225	HQ288304	HQ288267	KU696370
L. parva*	CBS 456.78	Cassava field soil	Colombia	EF622083	KU887523	EF622063	KU696372
L. parva	CBS 494.78	Cassava field soil	Colombia	EF622084	EU673114	EF622064	KU696373
L. plurivora*	CBS 120832	Prunus salicina	South Africa	EF445362	KU887524	EF445395	KU696374
L. plurivora	CBS 121103	V. vinifera	South Africa	AY343482	KU887525	EF445396	KU696375
L. pseudotheobromae*	CBS 116459	Gmelina arborea	Costa Rica	EF622077	EU673111	EF622057	KU696376
L. pseudotheobromae	CMW 9074	Pinus sp.	Mexico	AY236952	KU8867526	AY236901	KU696377
L. pyriformis*	CBS 121771	Acacia mellifera	Namibia	EU101308	KU887528	EU101353	KU696379
L. pyriformis	CBS 121770	A. mellifera	Namibia	EU101307	KU887527	EU101352	KU696378
L. rubropurpurea*	CBS 118740	E. grandis	Australia	DQ103553	EU673136	EU673304	KU696380
L. rubropurpurea	CMW 15207	E. grandis	Australia	DQ103554	KU887530	DQ103572	KU696381
L. subglobosa*	CMM 3872	J. curcas	Brazil	KF234558	KF254942	KF226721	N/A
L. subglobosa	CMM 4046	J. curcas	Brazil	KF234560	KF254944	KF226723	N/A
L. theobromae*	CBS 164.96	Fruit on coral reef coast	New Guinea	AY640255	KU887532	AY640258	KU696383
L. theobromae	CBS 124.13	Unknown	Unknown	F622074	KU887531	EF622054	KU696382
L. venezuelensis*	CBS 118739	A. mangium	Venezuela	DQ103547	KU887533	EU673305	KU696384
L. venezuelensis	CMW 13512	A. mangium	Venezuela	DQ103548	KU887534	DQ103569	N/A
Lasiodplodia viticola*	CBS 128314	V. vinifera	U.S.A.	HQ288228	HQ288307	HQ288270	KU696386
L. viticola	CBS 128313	V. vinifera	U.S.A.	HQ288227	HQ288306	HQ288269	KU696385
<i>Lasiodiplodia</i> hybrid	CMW 31829	S. cordatum	Malawi				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46870	S. cordatum	Fundudzi, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46943	E. grandis	New Agatha, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46891	P. guajava	Fundudzi, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46894	P. guajava	Georges valley, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46895	P. guajava	Georges valley, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46892	P. guajava	Lajuma, SA				

Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46893	P. guajava	Lajuma, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46854	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46855	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46859	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46860	S. cordatum	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46861	S. cordatum	New Agatha, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46896	S. gerrardii	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46897	S. gerrardii	Grootbos, SA				
Lasiodiplodia sp. 1	CMW 46898	S. gerrardii	Grootbos, SA				
<i>Diplodia</i> sp.	CMW 46899	S. gerrardii	Grootbos, SA				
Diplodia sp.	CMW 46906	E. camaldulensis	Louis Trichardt, SA				
D. africana*	CBS 121104	Prunus persica	South Africa	EF44534	N/A	EF445383	N/A
D. africana	CBS 120835	P. persica	South Africa	EF445343	N/A	EF445382	N/A
D. agrifolia*	UCROK 1429	Quercus agrifolia	U.S.A.	JQ411412	N/A	JQ512121	N/A
D. agrifolia	CBS 132777	Q. agrifolia	U.S.A.	JN693507	N/A	JQ517317	N/A
D. alatafructa*	CBS 124931	Pterocarpus angolensis	South Africa	FJ888460	N/A	FJ888444	N/A
D. alatafructa	CBS 124933	P. angolensis	South Africa	FJ888478	N/A	FJ888446	N/A
D. allocellula*	CBS 130410	Acacia karroo	South Africa	JQ239399	N/A	JQ239386	N/A
D. allocellula	CBS 130408	A. karroo	South Africa	JQ239397	N/A	JQ239384	N/A
D. bulgarica*	CBS 124135	Malus sylvestris	Bulgaria	GQ923852	N/A	GQ923820	N/A
D. bulgarica	CBS 124254	M. sylvestris	Bulgaria	GQ923853	N/A	GQ923821	N/A
D. corticola*	CBS 112549	Quercus suber	Portugal	AY259100	N/A	AY573227	N/A
D. corticola	CBS 112546	Q. suber	Portugal	AY259110	N/A	DQ458872	N/A
D. crataegicola*	MFLUCC 15-1311	Crataegus sp.	Italy	KT290244	N/A	KT290248	N/A
D. cupressi*	CBS 168.87	Cupressus sempervirens	Israel	DQ458893	N/A	DQ458878	N/A
D. cupressi	CBS 261.85	C. sempervirens	Israel	DQ458894	N/A	DQ458879	N/A
D. fraxinii*	CBS 136013	Fraxinus angustifolia	Italy	KF307710	N/A	KF318757	N/A
D. fraxinii	CBS 136010	F. angustifolia	Portugal	KF307700	N/A	KF318747	N/A
D. galiicola*	MFLUCC 15-1310	Galium sp.	Italy	KT290245	N/A	KT290249	N/A
D. insularis*	BL99	Pistacia lentiscus	Italy	KX833074	N/A	KX833075	N/A
D. insularis	CBS 140350	P. lentiscus	Italy	KX833072	N/A	KX833073	N/A
D. intermedia*	CBS 124462	M. sylvestris	Portugal	GQ923858	N/A	GQ923826	N/A

D. intermedia	CBS 124134	M. sylvestris	Portugal	AY259096	N/A	GQ923851	N/A
D. neonjuniperi*	CPC 22754	Juniperus chinensis	Thailand	KM006432	N/A	KM006463	N/A
D. neonjuniperi	CPC 22753	J. chinensis	Thailand	KM006431	N/A	KM006462	N/A
D. pseudoseriata*	CBS 124906	B. salicifolius	Uruguay	EU080927	N/A	EU863181	N/A
D. pseudoseriata	CBS 124907	B. salicifolius	Uruguay	EU080922	N/A	EU863179	N/A
D. quercivora*	CBS 133853	Quercus canariensis	Tunisia	JX894206	N/A	JX894230	N/A
D. quercivora	CBS 133852	Q. canariensis	Tunisia	JX894205	N/A	JX894229	N/A
D. rosulata*	CBS 116472	Prunus africana	Ethiopia	EU430266	N/A	EU430268	N/A
D. rosulata	CBS 116470	P. africana	Ethiopia	EU430265	N/A	EU430267	N/A
D. sapinea*	CBS 109725	Pinus patula	Indonesia	DQ458896	N/A	DQ458881	N/A
D. sapinea	CBS 393.84	P. nigra	Netherlands	DQ458895	N/A	DQ458880	N/A
D. scrobiculata*	CBS 118110	P. banksiana	U.S.A	KF766160	N/A	KF766399	N/A
D. scrobiculata	CBS 109944	P. greggii	Mexico	DQ458899	N/A	DQ458884	N/A
D. seriata*	CBS 119049	V. vinifera	Italy	DQ458889	N/A	DQ458874	N/A
D. seriata	CBS 112555	V. vinifera	Portugal	AY259094	N/A	AY573220	N/A
D. tsugae*	CBS 418.64	Tsuga heterophylla	Canada	DQ458888	N/A	DQ458873	N/A

¹ Culture collections: CERC: Culture collection of China Eucalypt Research Centre, Chinese Academy of Forestry, ZhanJiang, GuangDong, China; CMM: Culture Collection of Phytopathogenic Fungi "Prof. Maria Menezes", Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil; CMW: Culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; CBS: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands; BL: Personal number of B.T. Linaldeddu; CPC: Working collection of P.W. Crous, housed at CBS; MFLUCC: Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, ChiangRai, Thailand; WAC: Department of Agriculture Western Australia Plant Pathogen Collection, South Perth, Western Australia; UCROK: Culture collection, University of Riverside, California, U.S.A.

² ID numbers for isolates sequenced in this study are given in bold. Species names in bold are novel species and hybrid species identified in this study.

³Isolates used in the pathogenicity tests are given in italics.

⁴SA: South Africa

*Ex-type strain.

Region	Oligos	Oligo Sequences	AT (°C) ¹	References
ITS	ITS1	5' TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG	55	White <i>et al</i> . 1990
	ITS4	5' TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC		
BT	BT2a	5' GGTAACCAAATCGGTGCTGCTTTC	55	Glass and Donaldson 1995
	BT2b	5' ACCCTCAGTGTAGTGACCCTTGGC		
TEF1-α	EF1-728F	5' CATCGAGAAGTTCGAGAAGG	55	Carbone et al. 1999
	EF1-986R	5' TACTTGAAGGAACCCTTACC		
RPB2	LAS F	5' GGTAGCGACGTCACTCCT	57	Crywagen et al. 2017
	LAS R	5' GCGCAAATACCCAGAATCAT		

 Table 2. Primers used to amplify the four gene regions

¹ Annealing Temperature (AT) (°C)

Dataset	Total	Constant	Parsimony	Parsimony	Tree	Consistency	Retention	Homoplasy
	characters	characters	uninformative	informative	length	index	index	index
ITS Diplodia	521	415	7	99	170	0,753	0,893	0,247
TEF-1a Diplodia	471	155	8	108	191	0,754	0,905	0,246
ITS, TEF-1 α Diplodia	652	221	11	156	271	0.644	0.928	0.320
ITS Neofusicoccum	428	361	16	51	82	0,72	0,923	0,28
BT Neofusicoccum	383	277	43	63	91	0,813	0,944	0,187
TEF-1a Neofusicoccum	256	158	7	91	149	0.765	0.919	0.235
ITS Lasiodiplodia	433	387	2	44	60	0.850	0.946	0.150
BT Lasiodiplodia	378	318	3	57	71	0,873	0,97	0,127
ITS, BT Lasiodiplodia	903	461	46	857	441	0.689	0.926	0.341
TEF-1a Lasiodiplodia	211	115	1	95	146	0,842	0,916	0,158
RPB2 Lasiodiplodia	530	379	54	97	173	0,653	0,872	0,347
ITS, BT, TEF-1α, RPB2 Lasiodiplodia	645	445	21	389	210	0.712	0.974	0.312

Table 3. Data for phylogenetic analyses of individual trees

Table 4: Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) shared between the closely related species in *Neofusicoccum* and novel species obtained in this study

	ITS							BT										TEF-1α											RPB2																			
Isolates	12	17	36 3	39 7	2 97	99	247	295	304	333	348	428	50 5	4 73	133	193	203	209	232	274	337	355	82	89 9	8 10	4 106	114	116	138	148	163	168	192	220	20	24	89	96	105	111	113	121	123	3 145	155	170	175	199
CMW9081 N. parvum T	С	C 1	т -	Т	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	c c	Α	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C 1	r c	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	А	Т	Т	C	C 1	r (2	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	A
CMW10122 N. parvum	С	C 1	т -	Т	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	А	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C 1	r C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	Α	Т	Т	C	C 1	r (2	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	A
CBS128008 N. occulatum T	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	Т	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	c c	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	С	С	C 1	r C	G	С	Α	-	A	G	-	A	G	Т	T	С	с 1	r (0	G	С	Α	-	A	G		A	G
MUCC286 N. occulatum	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	Т	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	С	С	C 1	r C	G	С	Α	-	A	G	-	А	G	Т	Т	С	C 1	r (0	G	С	Α	-	A	G	-	A	G
CBS124924 N. batangarum T	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	G	С	Т	C	c (C (2	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G
CBS124923 N. batangarum	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	Т	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	T	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	А	G	С	Т	С	C C	C (0	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	G
CBS115475 N. ribis T	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	Т	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	T	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	Α	-	A	G	Т	Т	C	c (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	А	-	A	G
CBS121.26 N. ribis	С	C 1	T G	i T	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	Α	-	A	G	Т	T	C	C (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	A	-	А	G
CBS123641 N. kwambonambiense	С	C 1	т -	Т	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	Α	Т	Α	G	А	G	С	С	С	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	G	С	Т	C	c (C (2	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G
CBS123639 N. kwambonambiense T	С	C 1	т -	Т	А	Т	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	А	Т	A	G	А	G	С	С	С	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	G	С	T	C	C (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G
CBS123634 N. cordaticola T	С	C 1	т -	С	А	Т	Т	Т	G	Т	С	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	G	C	С	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	С	A	G	С	С	C	c (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	G	С	A	G
CBS123635 N. cordaticola	С	C 1	τ-	С	А	Т	T	Т	G	Т	С	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	G	C	С	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	С	A	G	С	С	C	C (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	G	С	А	G
CMW31825 S. cordatum Mozambique	С	A 1	t <mark>g</mark>	T	А	С	Т	Т	A	Т	G	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	С	C ·	- (с -	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	А	G	Т	Т	T -	- (с -		G	С	G	-	А	G	-	A	G
CBS123645 N. umdonicola T	С	C 1	t <mark>g</mark>	i T	А	С	T	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	А	G	С	T	Т	C (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G
CBS123646 N. umdonicola	С	C 1	t <mark>g</mark>	T	А	С	Т	Т	A	Т	Т	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	C (C C	G	С	G	-	A	G	-	А	G	С	Т	Т	c (C (0	G	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G
CMW31797 S. cordatum Tanzania	Т	C 1	т -	С	А	Т	T	Т	G	Т	С	Т	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	Т	Т	- (C -	G	С	G	Т	A	G	С	G	G	Т	T	C	- (C -		G	С	G	Т	А	G	С	G	G
CMW31803 S. cordatum Tanzania	Т	C 1	т -	С	А	Т	Т	Т	G	Т	С	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	С	C	- (C C	G	С	G	Т	A	G	С	G	G	Т	Т	C	- (C (0	G	С	G	Т	А	G	С	G	G
CMW31802 S. cordatum Tanzania	Т	C 1	т -	C	А	Т	T	T	G	T	С	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	C	C	C (C C	G	С	G	Т	A	G	С	G	G	Т	T	C	C (C (0	G	С	G	T I	А	G	С	G	G
CMW31798 S. cordatum Tanzania	Т	C 1	т -	С	А	Т	Т	Т	G	Т	С	Т	C T	G	С	G	G	Т	G	С	С	C	- (C C	G	С	G	Т	A	G	С	G	G	Т	Т	C	- (C (0	G	С	G	Т	А	G	С	G	G
CMW46888 E. natalitia Grootbos	С	C C	с -	С	G	С	С	С	A	С	С	С	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	A	С	T	T	C 1	r C	G	G	А	-	G	G	-	А	G	С	T	C	C 1	r (0	G	G	A	-	G	G	-	А	G
CMW46887 E. natalitia Grootbos	С	С	с -	С	G	С	С	С	A	С	С	С	C C	G	С	G	G	Т	A	С	Т	Т	C 1	r C	G	G	А	-	G	G	-	А	G	Т	Т	С	C T	r (0	G	G	А	-	G	G	-	A	G
CMW31796 S. cordatum Tanzania	С	C 1	T -	T	А	Т	Т	Т	A	T	Т	T	т С	А	С	G	Α	Т	G	С	С	C	- 1	r C	А	С	G	-	A	G	-	A	G	Т	T	C	. 1	r (C	A	С	G	-	А	G	-	А	G

*Yellow shows the shared polymorphisms between the hybrid species (CMW31825) and the two parental species, *Neofusicoccum umdonicola* and *N. cordaticola*.

*Pink colour shows the shared SNPs between the potential hybrid CMW31797 and *N. umdonicola*, therefore separating it from the three isolates CMW31798, CMW31802 and CMW31803 grouping with *N. cordaticola* in the BT gene region.



Figure 1. Map of Africa, indicating areas sampled in eastern and southern Africa.

ICRS 12/10/16 Diplodia provideraziata		
81 CDS 124900 Explored pseudoser and		
93 CW46906 E. camaldulensis Louis Trichardt	Diplodia	*
CBS124931 Diplodia alatafrueta		
99 CBS122528 Neodeightonia phoenicum	Neodeialutonie	
MFLUCC15-0/12 Neodeightonia rattanica	reoutignionia	
90 CMW40859 S. cordation Grootoos		
98 CB\$124704 Latiodia ailanamis		
CDS124704 Ecisiodiplodia granihiensis	Legiodinlodia	*
CMW31829 S. cordatum Malawi	Lusiompionia	
CMW46916 H. natalensis Georges Valley		
99 CBS124700 Phaeobotryon cupressi		
CBS122980 Phaeobotryon mamane	Phaebotryon	
27 CBS174.26 Barriopsis fusca	Barriopsis	
- IRAN1448C Barriopsis iraniana		
CPC1286 Alamphilipsia alogicana	Alanphillipsia	
T TMFLUCC 11-0579 Shharransis eurahinti		
ICMP16812 Sphaeropsis citrigena	Sphaeropsis	
CPC22701 Tiarosporella paludosa	Tiarosporella	
MFLUCC 110143 Botryobambusa fusicoccum	Botryobambusa	
CBS118718 Marasasiomyces karoo	Maeasasiomyces	
94 CPC19974 Mucoharknessia cortaderiae		
97 MFLUCC 13-0904 Mucoharknessia anthoxanthii	Mucoharknessia	
94 NIFLOCC 13-02/0 Entitlorosporella adeignais	Eutiarosporella	
OTBS532 76 Sakiroeta makeeva		
98 CBS 120397 Endomelanconiopsis endophytica	Sakireeta	
CBS353.97 Endomelanconiopsis microspora	Endomelanconiops	is
99 ICMP16828 Spencermartinsia citricola	2 22 2	
IRAN1584C Spencermartinsia mangiferae	Spencermatinsia	
75 CBS141295 Dothiorella acacicola	Dothiorella	
CBS141380 Saraimeila urbana	Sarainieua	
CDS128309 Dotniorena americana	Dothiorella	
P4 CMW31802 S. cordatum Tanzania		
CBS123634 Neofusicoccum cordaticola		
99 CMW46887 E. natalitia Grootbos		
CMW46888 E. natalitia Grootbos		
CBS123645 Neofusicoccum umdonicola		19.00
72 CMW31827 S. cordatum Mozambique	Neofusicoccum	*
CNW 51025 5. Cordination Michael		
88 CMW46940 H. natalensis New Agatha		
CBS123639 Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense		
CMW31806 S. cordatum Tanzania		
CMW9081 Neofusicoccum parvum		
CMW46965 E. grandis Fundudzi		
CBS145./8 Neoscytalidium dimidiatum	Neoscytalidium	
98) JELLICC 11 0405 Conhinforma auchinti	0.110	
CBS117444 Cophinforma mamane	Cophinforma	
CBS227.33 Macrophomina phaseolina	Macrophomina	
98 CBS115476 Botryosphaeria dothidea	Batwamhaaria	
CMW27094 Botryosphaeria fabicercianum	Douyosphaend	
100 CMW38166 Aplosporella javeedii	Aplosporella	
CBS12110/ Apiosporeua primcola		
0.01		

Figure 2. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the ITS1 and 4, and 5.8S rRNA regions showing the placement of *Botryosphaeriaceae* isolates collected from five countries in southern and eastern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are represented at the tree nodes. Isolates collected in this study appear in bold and genera in which they group are marked by a star. The tree was rooted to outgroup taxa *Aplosporella javeedii* (CMW31866) and *Aplosporella prunicola* (CBS121167).



Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Diplodia* produced with ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of two *Diplodia* isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars indicate their taxonomic positions. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 4. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Diplodia* produced with TEF-1 α sequences, showing the phylogenetic placement of two isolates. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. The red stars represent their phylogenetic placement. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold. The tree was rooted to the outgroup taxon *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 5. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree from the combined sequence datasets of the ITS rDNA and TEF-1 α loci for *Diplodia* species. Sequences in bold represent isolates obtained in this study, and red stars indicate their taxonomic positions. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 6. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* obtained from ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates obtained from eastern and southern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are given above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and their taxonomic positions are indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 7. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* obtained from BT sequences, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates grouping with *L. gonubiensis* and *L. gilanensis*. Bootstrap values above 70% are given above the nodes. The isolates sequenced in this study are represented in bold letters and their taxonomic position indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 8. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from the combined ITS and BT sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* showing the placement of isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and their taxonomic positions are indicated with red stars. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 9. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from TEF-1 α sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia* showing the placement of isolates collected in this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold. The red stars indicate their taxonomic positions and the potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 10. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from RPB2 sequence data of all known *Lasiodiplodia* species, including isolates from this study. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are indicated in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476).



Figure 11. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree produced from a combined ITS, BT, TEF-1 α and RPB2 sequences of the genus *Lasiodiplodia*. Bootstrap values above 70% are given at the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are indicated in different colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476).



Figure 12. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Neofusicoccum* resulting from the analyses of ITS sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates obtained in this study. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 13. Maximum Llikelihood (ML) tree of the genus *Neofusicoccum* produced with BT sequence data, showing the phylogenetic placement of isolates from southern and eastern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold letters and the red stars indicate their taxonomic position. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 14. Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree obtained from the TEF-1 α sequences of the currently known *Neofusicoccum* species, showing the phylogenetic position of isolates collected from eastern and southern Africa. Bootstrap values above 70% are shown above the nodes. Isolates sequenced in this study appear in bold and the red stars represent their taxonomic positions. Potential hybrids are in a pink colour text. The tree was rooted to *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS115476 and CBS110302).



Figure 15. Mean lesion lengths (mm) obtained for each isolate of different *Botryosphaeriaceae* species six weeks after inoculation on *Eucalyptus*. Bars represent 95% confidence limits for each isolate. Mean leasion lengths produced by *Neofusicoccum* sp. 2 are not significantly different from the control (P>0.05), while the rest of the isolates produced lesions significantly different from the control (P<0.05). Control inoculations were done with 2% MEA agar.

Summary

Despite the importance of fungi in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* as plant pathogens and the multitude of studies on these fungi novel species are described annually. Many regions of the world and host plants remain unexplored in terms of the Botryosphaeriacae. This study represents the first investigation of Botryosphaeriaceae species on native Myrtaceae trees, other than Syzygium cordatum, in South Africa and a first look at these species on the Myrtaceae in Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe. Thirteen Botryosphaeriaceae species were identified using multi-gene DNA sequence data. These include Diplodia sp., Neofusicoccum cordaticola, N. eucalyptorum, N. kwambonambiense, N. umdonicola, N. parvum, three novel Neofusicoccum species, two *Neofusicoccum* hybrids, *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis*, one *Lasiodiplodia* hybrid and Lasiodiplodia sp. 1. Some species in this study could not be classified to the species level due to icomplete data bases for some gene regions, or incongruency between different gene regions used. This study suggests that two gene regions are not sufficient for the identification of some species in the Diplodia genus. Furthermore, it suggests that for every novel species identified in the Botryosphaeriaceae a standard of four gene regions should be used to avoid incomplete data bases in the NCBI. Additonally, the study reports hybridization in the Neofusicoccum group for the first time.

Several novel host associations and and new geographical records of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species on native and non-native *Myrtaceae* were identified. *Neofusicoccum cordaticola* and *N. umdonicola* are reported for the first time on *S. cordatum* outside of southern Africa. Of the thirteen species identified in this study, *N. cordaticola*, *N. umdonicola* and the four novel *Neofusicoccum* species were found occurring exclusively on native *Myrtaceae* while the other species were isolated from both native and non-native hosts. Some of the species identified in this study are pathogenic to *Eucalyptus*, including *N. parvum* and *N. kwambonambiense* as shown in pathogenicity trials. Future studies should be focused on extensive sampling of *Botryosphaeriaceae* species that occur on other native *Myrtaceae* trees that are planted closely to *Eucalyptus* plantaions, particularly in regions outside South Africa.