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Abstract

The majority of the population living in the informal settlements of Windhoek, Namibia, have limited access to public municipal services. This paper integrates results from a sample of 97 randomly selected households, interviews with experts and community leaders and review of literature to describe and analyze the relationship between land tenure and municipal services in the informal settlements. Findings from our study show that formalized land tenure is a condition for households to access municipal services privately. However, 85% of the sample of the households in the informal settlements do not own land under current land tenure policy. Further, the need for communities “to own land” seemed more immediate and pressing compared to water access, which is seen as a way to govern themselves towards raising funds for land acquisition. But lack of land ownership remains a constraint.
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1. Introduction

Namibia gained independence in 1990 after being governed by two colonial regimes during most of the twentieth Century. During the colonial period, there were strict limitations on movement and the majority of the black people were confined to demarcated reservations across the country and within certain areas of the big cities (Karuaihe, et al., 2012; World Bank, 2002). After independence, demolition of “the former compounds” (where contract workers were accommodated to provide cheap labour), combined with the upgrading of the former “single quarters” and rural-urban migration, contributed to the creation of the informal settlements at the outskirts of the big cities, including the capital, Windhoek. This led to an increase in demand for accommodation, which could not be met by the existing structures and demographic setup prior to independence. Therefore, informal settlements are seen as an alternative cheap accommodation for those who cannot afford a place in the formal settlements.
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Frayne (2007) showed that over 40% of the rural-urban migration to Windhoek occurred during 1990-00. The majority of these people moved to Katutura\(^3\), a high density suburb where the majority of Blacks reside. This is the area where most of today’s informal settlements are located. Today, these informal settlements constitute what has been termed ‘the unconnected segment’ of the water market (Karuaihe, et al., 2012), which refers to consumers who access water and sanitation through communal facilities controlled by Water Point Committees (WPCs). The WPC belongs to a Water Point Association (WPA) and is self-organized and elected by the communities. Specifically, our study found that 82% of sampled households accessed water through a WPC, while 18% used prepaid metered cards. Even though this is a large proportion of people with access to mainly water, such access is limited due to lack of access to land. The main issue here is “land” and other services, since these households cannot have private services due to lack of a title on the land they put their dwellings.

There are a limited number of scientific studies about the informal settlements in Windhoek, covering a range of topics (Frayne, 2007; LaRRI, 2004; Bryant, et al., 2004; World Bank, 2002; de Vries and Lewis, 2009; Mooya and Cloete, 2007; Ulrich and Meurers, 2015). The contribution of this paper concerns access to utilities, especially water, by poor households in urban, informal settlements, in relation to land tenure. The paper integrates original data from a survey of 97 randomly selected households and a series of focus groups and key informant interviews conducted by one of the authors. In addition, grey literature and other documents are reviewed to describe and analyze the relationship between land tenure and municipal services in the informal settlements.

Poor households in the informal settlements of Windhoek don’t have access to land in the formal settlements of the city. In fact, almost all of the households living in informal settlements don’t own land. Specifically, we found only 15% of our sample households qualified to lease plots from the City Council, leaving 85% without any formal title on the land they occupy. This was due to a lack of proper legislation for council to allocate land. The proposed Urban and Regional Planning Bill has been in legislation (Ulrich and Meurers, 2015) for a long time since inception in the early 2000s. This left most residents of the informal

\(^3\) The term, Katutura (a segregated township by ethnic group during the colonial and Apartheid era) is derived from an Otjiherero phrase meaning “a place where people don’t want to live” – referring to the refusal of the Windhoek black people when they were forcefully removed from the “Old Location” (modern day Hocland Park, an upmarket suburb in Windhoek). Katutura was created in 1961 following their forced removal. (See, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Katutura).
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settlements in a kind of legal limbo, without clear title but provisionally tolerated by government. Mooya and Cloete (2007) described them as ‘extra-legal’ using the New Institutional Economics framework by de Soto. The lack of appropriate policy and regulatory framework affect land allocation in Namibia in general, and in the urban areas in particular, as government has been relying on an outdated colonial Act (Weylandt, 2018).

Nevertheless, the Urban and Regional Planning Bill has been passed by Parliament in December 2017 (Beukes, 2017; Weylandt, 2018). We believe this legislation would enable government and the Windhoek City Council to regularize informal settlements and provide title for land ownership for households in those areas. This should ease the burden of access to services and provide room for individual municipal services. This is a potential area for future research to explore the impact of policy on access to service and resources including land.

2. Some Existing Literature

Informal settlements are characterised as areas where (i) inhabitants live with no security of tenure on the land they inhabit – with squatting or informal rental options; (ii) the neighbourhoods usually lack basic services and city infrastructure and; (iii) the housing may not comply with planning and building regulations, and is often situated in geographically and environmentally hazardous areas (UN Habitat, 2003). The emergence of informal settlements seems to be a common phenomenon in most African cities, many developing countries -- even in richer countries, though in qualitatively different degrees. A major factor in the creation of these informal settlements is the legacy of the limited access to urban land enforced by the colonial regimes. The labour laws of most colonial governments in Africa limited the number of indigenous Africans permitted in urban areas. This practice can be viewed as a ‘taking of land’ from the original occupants and vesting it in an elite few (Oladokun et al., 2010; Kironde (1995). The colonial regimes of Namibia, followed this policy -- particularly the capital of Windhoek -- leading to the post-colonial urban in-migration noted earlier. The consequence is a challenge for the current authorities to provide infrastructure for the numerous new settlers (Frayne, 2007; World Bank, 2002).

The legacy of colonial policy along with the rapid population expansion of the post-colonial period has intensified effective urban land shortages. The erratic settlement of the population
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influx, together with inadequate institutional structure, has resulted in insecure land tenancy. The urban poor have financial and other resource constraints that drive them to the informal land market (Brown-Luthango, 2010; Oladokun et al., 2010, Twarabamenye and Nyandwi, 2012; Duran-Lasserve, undated). This informal (grey) market works through ‘a simple sale contract’ between buyer and seller, without formal registration with the authorities. In many African countries, such informal trading often leads to the destruction of settlements in the name of ‘illegal land occupation or trespassing’ (Oladokun et al., 2010). Since the informal structures themselves are deemed illegal, this could lead to possibly fraudulent sale contracts. Therefore, it is necessary for African governments to enable land markets to become more transparent and efficient (Kironde, 2000; Oladokun et al., 2010; Twarabamenye and Nyandwi, 2012), for mutual benefits. Advocates suggest that the informal land market be institutionalised to a level which improves access to “legal” land in peri-urban areas (Twarabamenye and Nyandwi, 2012; Durand-Lasserve, undated). A similar approach is advocated by Kombe (2000), who suggests governments recognize the ‘flourishing’ informal land and housing market and identify the opportunities to improve such markets.

Unfortunately, effective government action is not easy, and sometimes government actions have made matters worse. For example, in Mali, Durand-Lasserve, et al, (2013), found that land acquisition, is a complex process involving various channels of ‘land transformation and administrative allocation of residential plots to households’. This complex process makes access to land costly and insecure, which results in negative social and economic impacts over the long term. Similarly, de Vries and Cloete (2009) found that the various Acts and regulations in efforts to regularize land in Namibia have generated complexity and resulted in ‘less of a solution for urban land tenure problems’. In South Africa, limited access to land is a major constraint for the urban poor to access housing and other economic opportunities despite several policy interventions by government (Brown-Luthango; 2010; Planact, 2007). They showed complexity of the role of government at different levels, with conflicts between the Provincial Government and the Johannesburg City Council, when it comes to land planning and development and their respective mandates and development objectives. This conflict over land allocation left the poor with very few options for residential settlement through the National Housing scheme under the Gauteng Provincial government (Planact, 2007). These findings are similar to the Windhoek experience, where the City Council blames the national government for lack of appropriate policy on land formalization, while central government
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relies on council for service provision and on the National Housing Enterprise (NHE) for housing loans to the urban poor.

Land allocation challenges are global, but often especially intense in the developing world given their low level of income and market inefficiencies, coupled with lack of appropriate policies to address the challenges. About 25% of developing countries have legal impediments preventing women from accessing land and finance in their name (UN, undated). Often women seem most burdened by lack of access to land and property. Developed countries ‘are not immune to urban disparities among their populations’ (UN Habitat III, 2015). Therefore, responses to the issues are also global. Thus, some of the European countries experienced increases in the rent costs for the urban poor who cannot afford decent housing, particularly in large cities – with 6% of their urban dwellers said to be living in very poor conditions (Economic Commission for Europe, 2008). The situation has been aggravated by migration in recent years. Further, evidence from other developed countries (Sweden and Denmark) showed that increased in income levels enabled women to acquire land and other property, which was supported by enabling legislation (UN, undated). One of the best models is the “Union de Vecinos”, from Los Angeles (LA), USA. This civil organisation was formed by women from two of the city’s poorest neighborhood, who responded to threats of demolition of their houses by the local government. Their efforts led to the adoption of a moratorium on the demolition of low income housing in LA (UN, undated).

Earlier, we discussed the central role of colonial heritage in creating these urban land access and poverty issues, but other factors have played important roles, most obviously, the accelerated population growth and rural-urban migration since the end of World War II. The increase in the number of people without access to basic services in urban areas is directly related to the rapid growth of slum populations in the developing world, and the inability (or unwillingness) of local and national governments to provide adequate water and sanitation facilities to these communities. The absolute number of slum populations increased from 650 million people in 1990, and is estimated to reach nearly 900 million by 2020 (UNESCO, 2015). This trend put pressure on service delivery despite some improvements, evidenced by the achievement of the global Millennium Development Goals (MDG) target for slum dwellers (UN, 2012). Further, over 90% of urban population growth comes from the developing world, with the world’s poorest regions expected to experience double population growth (World
Limited access to services for the urban poor

Bank, 2008). More than half the urban population of Africa live in slums, compared to Asia with 30% and Latin America at 24% (UN Habitat, 2014).

In Namibia, the Windhoek population was 325,858 in 2011, accounting for 15% of the total Namibian population of 2.1 million (Namibia Statistics Agency, 2013). According to the Windhoek City Council\(^4\) estimates, informal settlers constituted 29% of the Windhoek population in 2004. Further, Bryant et al., (2004), found that, in 1997, the City of Windhoek had 8,171 registered households from the informal settlements, of which 27% were serviced with communal services leaving the majority of these households without municipal services. These results and the poverty dynamics of informal settlements show that although council has been responding to the increasing demand for services due to population increases, the types of services, and thus, the quality offered to informal settlements remained relatively the same - poor. Mainly communal water, limited sanitation and street lights are offered to the urban poor. See Box 3 for comparison of infrastructure over a decade.

3. Methods and Data

The study used a sample frame from the Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS) of Namibia, based on the Enumeration Areas (EA’s) of the 2001 Census. Maps for each EA were obtained from the geographic information system (GIS) division in the CBS. The City of Windhoek is stratified by three levels of income: high, middle, and low based on the housing characteristics and geographic location, and we followed this stratification for our study. The primary sampling unit (PSU) was selected from the sample frame, while the second stage-sampling unit was selected from a complete list of households within the selected PSU. We prepared the household list during the fieldwork before the interviews (See Karuaihe et al., 2012 for details).

This paper\(^5\) uses primary data on a sample of 97 randomly selected households from informal settlements in Windhoek, Namibia, using quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection. The main source of data are interviews with the households in addition to key

\(^4\) This information is based on interviews we conducted with officials from the City Council in 2004.

\(^5\) This paper draws from a study that covered a sample of 330 households in Windhoek, looking at three different income categories (high, middle and low). This paper focuses on the low income households of the main study. The data were collected in 2004. The authors have been looking at water issues in Windhoek and continued to engage literature and some stakeholders on pertinent issues. Observations and literature show that urban poverty continues with informal settlements serviced with mainly water to date. We therefore believe that the data of 2004 and the issues raised then are still relevant to this paper.
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informant interviews with officials from the City Council. Further, we conducted a series of Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with communities in the informal settlements. For the purpose of this paper, we are reporting on responses from three FGDs with members of the WPCs, community members. The FGDs include; (i) a meeting with communities using a prepaid meter system (18% of the sample); (ii) an FGD with the WPC for communities accessing water through managed communal water points; and (iii) a meeting with a WPC managing water for communities who are leasing plots from council (15% of the sample). The last group organised themselves to acquire big plots, which they were able to subdivide among members for each household to lease a plot from council. This group paid N$85 in 2004 per month for lease and water, compared to the N$20 paid for water only. Noteworthy is that all households accessed communal standpipes including those using prepaid cards. The difference is that their access is not controlled by a WPC since they use the card to access water based on the units loaded. Figure 1 presents a photo of a prepaid water meter system.  

Figure 1: Prepaid Water Meter System  
Source: Karuaihe, 2004

6 These households buy water in advance using a card loaded with money for a certain amount of water as per user’s demand. It works like any prepaid service, e.g. electricity or mobile phone.  
7 Photo taken by author during data collection in 2004.
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The FGDs included both men and women, with two of the WPCs having women as active members of the community. Main information collected through FGDs was about the structures and the role of the WPCs. This provided in-depth information of work done by the WPCs versus work by council, particularly the collection of fees and controlling access times. A common feature among the respective WPCs is that all informal settlers access services through the WPCs. This implies that all communities operate through some form of institutional arrangements guided by a WPC irrespective of their mode of access, e.g. prepaid, communal or even those leasing plots from Council. This is an indication of effective institutional arrangements – elements of social cohesion and the need to belong to a group, as it provides collective benefits for communities. The informal settlements (see figure 2 for maps) covered in the study are: Hakahana (Prepaid meter area); Babylon, Okuryangava (Okahwe street), Havana, Okahandja Park (Helao Street) and One Nation.

**Figure 2a: Map of Windhoek Settlements**

**Figure 2b: Map of Informal Settlements**


Source: Shileka, 2008

**Figure 2: Maps of Windhoek Settlements**

The two photos presented in figure 3a and figure 3b show that there has been little progress in terms of development in the informal settlements between 2004 and 2014. The first photo was taken by author, Karuaihe in 2004 during data collection. The second photo comes from Nangombe (2014). Considering the little improvement in infrastructure and service delivery, we believe that the 2004 data still present issues worth investigating.
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The main group (82% of sample) access water through communal standpipes irrespective of their land tenure status. Informal settlement households covered in the study can be classified according to two types. (a) An ‘undeveloped area’ constitute two types: One area referred to as (i) “Resettlement” - refers to an area where households are resettled ‘non-voluntarily’ from another area due to the former area being upgraded for lease and other services, or due to those resettled households’ failure to honor their commitments towards monthly group contributions. Another area (ii) Non-lease group, where people settled by themselves and council was ‘obliged’ to provide basic services of water through communal points. (b) The developed unconnected segment of the water market consists of those households who raised enough funds to lease plots from council, either as individuals or as a group. Since the communities who are leasing plots from council seem to enjoy some other services like ‘serviced roads’ in a demarcated area, we classify such an area as developed, compared to the other areas. These groups or individual households can access water as a group through communal water points or prepaid meter systems.

4. Discussions

We found that 85% of the households had access to water through the WPC - and to limited sanitation. About 18% of the sampled households accessed water through prepaid meters. This implies that over 80% of the sampled households are serviced mainly with water and no other services are rendered, except public toilets in some areas. Comparing our results to Bryant et
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al., (2004) and other related studies, we show that there has been little or no progress in the type of services offered to informal settlements over time, despite the increase in coverage. This is an indication that council is only responding to an increase in demand, but the ‘quality and type of services’ offered remained relatively the same (see figure 3).

Communities in informal settlements in Windhoek access water through institutional arrangements, by a WPC. The role of the WPC is to collect monthly fees from their members, manage the communal water points and control access of members who belong to their WPA. The monthly fee was N$20 each per household in 2004 and it was understood to be mainly for water. In principle, the monthly fees and the rules that govern it are agreed upon by all members of the WPA. In our study, the general consensus was that all members support the institutional arrangement and its governance structure, despite some defaults on payments. The rules of governance also include agreement on how to handle surpluses or shortfalls in a particular month. Our findings show that the need for land ownership was more immediate and pressing compared to water access, which communities saw as a way to govern themselves towards land acquisition. In view of this, communities would agree to save any surplus towards land acquisition and/or organise themselves to save money towards land ownership.

The relationship between council and the WPC is quasi-formal and not legal or binding in any way. The City Council charges a flat rate per cubic meter ($^3$) for water in the informal settlements, with the first 6$m^3$ provided for free$^8$ to households in these areas given their low income levels. Council argued that it ‘was going out of its way’ to assist the communities where possible, especially in guiding them with governance of the institutional arrangements, such as monthly meetings, record keeping and reporting. The monthly fee of N$20 was understood to be sufficient to cover the municipal bill from both the WPC and council. According to council, ‘they were assisting’ the communities despite the lack of a proper enabling framework or policy, due to lack of the Urban and Regional Planning Bill, which was only enacted in December 2017 (Beukes, 2017; Weylandt, 2018).

Council seems to be putting the blame at central government due to lack of policy, while government leaves the responsibility of service delivery to the City Council. Despite the back-and-forth between the different layers of government, land tenure formalisation is a

---

$^8$ This is part of the Free Basic Services provided to low income households by the City Council.
Limited access to services for the urban poor

requirement for the communities to access private municipal services. Where council provide private municipal services to households, such households would receive a municipal bill and pay the fees to council. But, in the case of informal settlements, communities use their own resources to ensure that the collective bill for communal water is paid by all members who access that water point. The City Council finds this method more efficient and reliable than dealing with individual households. This is due to the fact that it is less costly and more convenient for council to deal with the WPC than with individual households, who may fail to honor water payments given their low levels of income. The WPC approach is also efficient in ensuring that water payment is done on time, without council having to cut off households who fail to pay. It is in view of this that we believe, the WPC performs the duty of council of collecting fees from its members and pay the total for a collective water bill to council. While there could be mutual benefits in this arrangements, such as council acting as a banker for the urban poor - in terms of savings for their contributions towards leasing of plots - it is clear that the WPC is carrying that burden of ensuring that monthly water fees are paid on time. In cases where the collected amount is greater than the monthly water bill (based on meter readings by council), the WPC pays all the money collected and keeps a surplus with council.

According to the WPCs, having a surplus with council is more common than having a shortage for water payment. This implies that communities entrust their money with the WPC, which in turn relies on trust from council instead of saving the extra money collected with banks to earn interest. The City Council uses the surplus payment from one month to cover ‘any shortage’ in future months, where the bill exceeds the monthly contributions. In some cases, the extra funds collected are believed ‘to be kept with council as savings for securing land in future’. While such arrangements may be working, the implications are that council ‘acts as the bank for these communities.’ However, council has control over the bill as well as the allocation of the extra funds as it deems fit. This shows there is uneven power in decision making between communities and council. Communities do the work of collecting the fees and managing the water points, but they have limited say in the allocation of the money collected.

Unlike the WPC in rural communities where members are paid for work done, members of the urban WPC are volunteers and are not paid. In rural Namibia -- as in some other parts of the developing world -- power has been devolved to the regions, giving community institutions like the WPCs some formal recognition. Thus, in the rural areas of Namibia, the WPCs are recognized as formal structures of the Regional Councils and, therefore, members have more
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power to manage the rural water points. However, in Windhoek and other major cities, the urban WPCs are self-organized and quasi-formal. Although they manage the water points like in the rural areas, they are not a formally recognized part of local governance. As a result, the City Council takes advantage of this arrangement and the lack of policy to accelerate the upgrading of informal settlements. It is therefore necessary for this informal structures to be recognised and formalised into local government structures, not only to acknowledge the role of the WPCs, but also to ensure sustainability of the communal water points with rewarding systems (for the WPC) in place. Formalisation of the community informal structures and self-selected institutional arrangements can enhance better working relations between council and the communities for mutual benefits. With the enactment of the Urban and Regional Planning Bill of 2017, we believe the City Council now has an enabling framework to accelerate the upgrading of informal settlements and to address the issue of land tenure to these communities as it remains a binding constraint for them to access other services.

Furthermore, the communities also establish committees for land, which are responsible for collecting voluntary monthly contributions from community members. In some cases, the funds raised for collective land acquisition are saved at local banks towards the purchase of plots from council. Although the communities understand the savings is for purchase of plots from council, the lack of appropriate policy does not allow communities to hold a title deed on the land. However, this arrangement allows council to lease plots to individuals or groups who have raised enough money to serve as collateral instead of selling them for private ownership. Since only a few households can be resettled to an upgraded area, some of the households living in an undeveloped area can be relocated to another settlement depending on ‘their standing with the community’ - based on whether their payments are up-to-date. Those who are in good standing can qualify to be relocated to upgraded settlements where they can lease plots from council through group savings or they can qualify for a loan. According to community respondents, those households who are not in ‘good standing’ can be relocated “forcefully” to undeveloped areas identified by council.

This situation is challenging since the WPC has the responsibility of identifying the households to be relocated. Forceful relocation seems to be well understood by the communities, and households already know who are likely to be relocated. For those individuals who are willing and able to buy plots individually, council can sell them plots in the formal settlement, and as a result relocate them out of the group to the connected water segment of the market. The
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problem with this arrangement is that the poorer residents find it costly to relocate to the ‘formal or upgraded settlements’ and fear that they might be facing higher costs in the new settlements. This is what Berner (2000) referred to as losing advantage as informal settlers, who could occupy the land “illegally.” Residents who wish to improve their circumstances have only two options: to ‘live within a group’ (belong to a community association), or face the higher costs that comes with land tenure formalization if one relocates.

The present fuzzy land policy is advantageous to council, reducing the incentive for reform. The Namibian central government relies on the City Council for services provision and the NHE for the provision of low-cost housing loans to the communities. It is not clear, whether the lack of a coordinated approach by central government is due to its inability to perform its function or whether the lack of policy is the main factor. In turn the City Council relies on the self-organized WPCs to administer many aspects of water delivery in the informal settlements. Even access to loans through the NHE requires collateral that would enable them to qualify for group loans. However, many are later evicted when they are unable to honor their monthly installments (Maletski, 2001). Nevertheless, council allows households to organize themselves into groups and contribute towards land acquisition – known as Shack Dwellers Associations. Experience from the Shack Dwellers International (SDI) show that a proactive approach from the communities works better than waiting for government (Narismulu, 2003). This is similar to the initiative taken by some household groups in the informal settlements covered in this study.

Finally, it is important to note the active role that women play in the WPCs. Buruah (2004) argue that in many parts of the world women tend to be marginalized and excluded from the formal regulations of land and that policy makers should take into account the role of women when formalizing land tenure. The complex role of the WPCs illustrates why authors such as Durand-Lasserve (1996) argue that it is important for authorities to understand the complex social structures of informal settlements before implementing upgrading projects. Policy makers also need to get communities involved in the design of the municipal services including community understanding and “buy-in” to the management costs the local residents will bear so that services can be affordable to them (Fourie, 2001).

Even though the focus of our paper is not directly on land, but on municipal services that depend on land tenure, the debate on access to land and related services needs to be given
attention, both in terms of policy as well as academic discourse. The issues of land access in general and for the urban poor in particular, have recently made news headlines in Namibia. Some critics are calling for government to pay more attention to the land debate. The recent debate on land allocation came to the fore under the rubric of “Affirmative Repositioning (AR)”, which mobilizes the youth and other Namibians to ‘occupy’ land, seen as ‘illegal and destabilising’ by the Namibian Authorities (Goeieman, 2016; Cloete, 2016, a, b; Disho, 2015; News24, 2015). The above news headlines and debates bring the issues of land allocation and access for the poor to academic discourse, calling for closer robust debates around the issue. Since the issue of land is seen as an urgent social developmental need, it needs serious consideration by the authorities and all the relevant stakeholders. Therefore, there is a need for more scientific studies to strengthen the debate on access to resources, such as land and municipal services and to provide research based evidence, which is part of the contributions by this paper.

**Conclusions**

The issue of lack of access to land and its effect on access to municipal services is one of the key findings of this paper. However, whether land formalization through policy will improve access to municipal services, remains an empirical issue that requires further research. Poor households may still need to acquire loans and credit to buy land, which can become another constraint given their low income and limited employment opportunities. de Vries and Lewis (2007:1116) noted that “the degree of regulation and regularization is perhaps not so much a solution for urban land tenure problems, but more of a problem itself”. The problem is aggravated by the fact that some of the national policies and regulatory frameworks are centralized at national level, while implementation is at the local level where the City Council has to play a role.

Further, there are other benefits of belonging to a community group association, including collective security through membership and information sharing. Such benefits were also observed in other studies on Windhoek informal settlements. For example, members share information on available plots for sale (Mooya and Cloete, 2007). With respect to the role of the Namibian government with regard to the upgrading of settlements, it is not clear. It appears that communities have to deal mainly with the City Council except that those who can afford
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to buy legal plots through credit can deal with the NHE. The resulting situation is complex and ambiguous. Namibia’s situation is similar to others in Africa and elsewhere. For example, Durand-Lasserve (undated) found that the declining trend of serviced land and public housing in most Africa countries is due to a number of factors, such as lack of political will, corruption and capacity challenges in the public sectors. Experience from other countries have shown that conflicting mandate at different levels of government can be a challenge. Some of the proposed alternative solutions to the land debate in Africa include public-private-partnership in land provision, while others suggest that African governments should consider allocating funds for collective land acquisition to improve access to land for the poor. For example, the Tanzanian government land policy is aimed for the poor, yet they still lack access to land (Kironde, 1995).

The land debate and access to land for the poor is a big issue for many African countries, and remains a challenge many years after colonization. While Namibia is one of the youngest democracies in Africa, addressing its land question would assist the government to achieve more in terms of nation building through the attainment of the national development goals. With the land allocation debate, including ‘urban land’ becoming an issue of contentment – especially to the youth, the Namibian government needs to reconsider its approach and update or introduce relevant policies to address it and fulfil the aspirations of independence for its people.

The fact that formalised land tenure is a condition for households to access municipal services privately, while the majority of the households in the informal settlements do not own land, is a challenge to economic development. This remains the main constraint for the affected households and also to the Namibian government, both for moral-ethical reasons and for the practical issues of facilitating economic development. In the Namibian situation, it appears that the way the majority of communities can fulfil their dream of owning land is to self-organize – to establish local institutions and gather individual contributions to buy land collectively. These findings suggest that successful government programs should support groups rather than individual approach to supplying services for the urban poor. However, group approaches impose costs on individual households who incur “costs” of distance and waiting time in accessing communal services rather than residential point of municipal services. Costs can also include safety issues for vulnerable groups like women and children when walking to access services at night and other odd times. Without supervision of the WPC the system is also subject to vandalism.
We conclude with some policy recommendations and observations. For the affected communities to benefit from upgrading of their settlements, all stakeholders including government and the private sector should participate in the program. If the Namibian government wants to improve the living conditions of the urban poor, they need to introduce policies that recognize the complex nature and relations of informal settlements. Certainly the availability of credit is a factor. In Namibia, some urban poor can eventually get loans from the NHE, based on collateral. One approach might be to establish a partnership between the affected communities, the loan and service providers (including the private sector) as well as government, after establishing clearly the rates that are affordable to these communities and the term of payments (Fourie, 2001).

The current study is based on a survey that addressed access to water and other services by the low-income communities of Windhoek, Namibia. There is a need for future research to focus on specific issues of settlement upgrading and its implications for service delivery. Nevertheless, the main issue to resolve ‘the current empirical puzzle’ lies in the formalization of the land policy for informal settlements in Windhoek. In general there seems to be mutually expected benefits among the communities and even with the City Council. While such benefits might be more in favor of council, it is beyond the scope of this study to determine the impact of the institutional arrangements. We believe the new policy would guide all parties towards clear mutual benefits, which will be sustainable in the long term.
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