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Abstract

Background: Insufficient training in infection control and occupational health among healthcare workers (HCWs) in countries with high human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and tuberculosis (TB) burdens requires attention. We examined the effectiveness of a 1-year Certificate Program in Occupational Health and Infection Control conducted in Free State Province, South Africa in an international partnership to empower HCWs to become change agents to promote workplace-based HIV and TB prevention.

Methods: Questionnaires assessing reactions to the program and Knowledge, Attitudes, Skills, and Practices were collected pre-, mid-, and postprogram. Individual interviews, group project evaluations, and participant observation were also conducted. Quantitative data were analyzed using Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Qualitative data were thematically coded and analyzed using the Kirkpatrick framework.

Results: Participants recruited (n = 32) were mostly female (81%) and nurses (56%). Pre-to-post-program mean scores improved in knowledge (+12%, p = 0.002) and skills/practices (+14%, p = 0.002). Pre-program attitude scores were high but did not change. Participants felt empowered and demonstrated attitudinal improvements regarding HIV, TB, infection control, and occupational health. Successful projects were indeed implemented. However, participants encountered considerable difficulties in trying to sustain improvement, due largely to lack of pre-existing knowledge and experience, combined with inadequate staffing and insufficient management support.

Conclusion: Training is essential to strengthen HCWs’ occupational health and infection control knowledge, attitudes, skills, and practices, and workplace-based training programs such as this can yield impressive results. However, the considerable mentorship resources required for such programs and the substantial infrastructural supports needed for implementation and sustainability of improvements in settings without pre-existing experience in such endeavors should not be underestimated.

1. Introduction

The global commitment to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 highlighted the need for “recruitment, development, training and retention of the health workforce in developing countries” [1]. Low-and-middle-income countries are faced with particularly severe healthcare worker (HCW) shortages caused by emigration and by the limited capacity to train. As fear of hospital-acquired infections, specifically serious ones such as tuberculosis (TB), is an important contributor to job dissatisfaction [2], one way to
contribute to the retention of HCWs and encourage new recruits is to strengthen occupational health (OH) and infection control (IC) practices. Universally recognized guidelines and codes of practice for IC have been articulated by various organizations [3], including guidelines to improve access to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and TB prevention, care, and support [4]. However, such measures are not implemented in many settings in low-income countries [5,6], including in South Africa [7,8] where there is a high population prevalence of TB, with some studies suggesting that HCWs are three times more likely to acquire TB than the general population [9].

The need to build capacity in OH and IC in low-and-middle-income countries is undeniable, yet there are few interventions described in the literature addressing either of these, let alone the two combined. Most training interventions are brief, cover only basic content, and are not reinforced over time, resulting in an inability to retain and translate knowledge to the workplace in a sustainable manner [10–12]. Nursing education in IC practices has also been found to be lacking due to limited teaching time dedicated to IC and the persistence of out-of-date IC policies used in teaching; furthermore, severe staff shortages and quick staff turnovers have led to groups of staff who have been practicing but without ever receiving designated IC training [13]. A literature review of IC education in IC [3,12] and IC interventions for OH and IC practitioners as well as participants who had responsibility in OH and IC such as health and safety committee members, managers, health program coordinators, and health students [18]. The recruitment of participants was facilitated by the Free State Department of Health, who contacted health facility managers across the province to gain support and to recommend participants for the program. A recruitment brochure was also distributed across local facilities. An overview of the Program design and timeline is provided in Fig. 1. Prospective participants were required to send a letter of interest, provide their curriculum vitae as well as an employer’s letter of support. The Certificate Program consisted of three 4-day, face-to-face modules and workplace-based group projects expected to address identified gaps in OH and IC, environmental arrangements, and/or policies/procedures. The program was intended to impart OH and IC knowledge, skills, and practices, including the basics of HIV and TB prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care, and support. It also provided an overview of healthcare workplace HIV and TB programs, the ethical and sociocultural issues related to workplace research, and relevant legislation, policies, and guidelines. Additionally, research methods involving program planning, implementation, and evaluation were taught. These included data collection methods such as survey design and administration, and focus group and key informant interview methods, along with data analysis methods. Attitudes and stigma related issues were also targeted, although less time was dedicated to this aspect.

Prior to the first module, participants were sent background documentation and readings that included an overview of OH and IC guidelines, as well as other relevant materials. The first module focused on knowledge and skill building using a combination of didactic presentations and problem-based learning. At the end of the first module, participants were separated into eight groups, based on workplaces or positions, and were asked to prepare a project proposal to address a program-relevant issue that they identified so that it could be developed further at the second module. The resulting project proposals were each reviewed by several of the Canadian and South African mentors. The second module was project specific, and groups worked directly with their topic expert mentors to refine their proposals and present them to their classmates for feedback. Mentors attempted to keep group proposals close to the original goals and objectives, in line with Free State Department of Health overall needs, and conforming to the program mandate. During the rest of the second module, guest...
lecturers filled gaps in KASPs that were identified as weaknesses during program monitoring. The project teams then returned to their facilities to implement their proposals and collect data. Locally based mentors and graduate students followed up with participants at their facilities to assess their progress and provide support where needed. The final module focused on completing the data analysis and interpretation for the group projects and presenting the results. On the last day a graduation ceremony was held, during which the participants presented their projects to a larger audience of stakeholders from the provincial and national levels, as well as their friends and family.

2.2. Conceptual framework for evaluation

The program evaluation was guided by an adapted Kirkpatrick framework [19] for assessing training programs. This assessed: (1) reactions to the content and teaching methods of the module and reactions to the projects; (2) learning of KASPs regarding HIV and TB transmission and prevention, OH and IC policies and guidelines, research methods, program implementation and evaluation methods, as well as ethics; (3) behavior changes in work practices, application of knowledge, skills, and practices; and (4) outcomes that originated both from the participants’ reactions, learning, behavior and attitude changes, as well as their projects. The adapted framework considered these elements within the context of the processes and dynamics of the program, projects, and the wider context of the partnership and institutional frame. This mixed methods study was approved under University of British Columbia Ethics Certificate number H10-01879.

2.3. Questionnaires

Quantitative data were obtained from participant self-administered questionnaires and analyzed pre-, mid-, and post-program. Reactions to the program as well as KASPs were measured using a five-point Likert-style scale and true/false questions. Questionnaire items were summed into composite scores based on thematic similarity and congruency. Wilcoxon signed-rank test identified any significant differences between questionnaire results and background (preprogram questionnaire) characteristics. The same method was used to analyze the significance between the pre-, mid-, and postprogram results for the categories (KASPs) and subcategories of composite scores. In the case of multiple comparisons, a Bonferroni correction was applied according to the number of comparisons that were made.

2.4. Interviews, qualitative project evaluation, and participant observations

Semistructured interview guides were used to provide a richer analysis of participants and local mentors’ experiences. The midterm interviews were conducted with 27 of the remaining 28 participants (1 participant being unavailable at the time of interviews, and the remaining 4 having exited the program). Final interviews included 19 of the 28 participants (at which point data saturation was judged to have been reached); all of whom had also been interviewed in the midterm evaluation. Additionally, five of the 12 mentors, all South African, were conveniently sampled and interviewed at completion of the program. Participant observations complemented the data acquired from questionnaires and interviews, providing insight into the projects completed by participants, including understanding the dynamics of the program and shedding light on the challenges and barriers within the program. The interview data were transcribed verbatim into QSR International Nvivo 10 Software. Open coding was utilized for the initial inductive coding in Nvivo to generate a coding tree. The first 10 transcripts (random order) were split and coded with a second researcher. Codes from each were then compared, and differences were reconciled through discussion and reference to the literature. Themes were categorized to reflect the interview guides. Thematic analysis was used to identify and combine key emerging themes [20]. These were then applied to the Kirkpatrick framework to reflect the four thematic areas: learning, behavior, outcomes, and reactions. Detailed field notes were collected daily and analyzed using a wide and narrow perspective to understand viewpoints of individual participants and the context of the program and its institutional and environmental context [21]. A descriptive approach was used to evaluate the group projects at their distinct phases: planning, implementation, and evaluation.

3. Results

3.1. Background characteristics and KASPs

Participants were mainly female (81%), and 44% were aged 40–49 years (Table 1). While participants were expected to predominantly be OH or IC professionals, only 56% reported being nurses that could hold these roles. Pre- to postprogram, significant changes in scores were observed among females (10%, \( p = 0.002 \)) but not among males; among healthcare professionals (12%, \( p = 0.003 \)); and among those who reported having had some training in three of the five training items (7%, \( p = 0.007 \))
3.2. Group projects and practice outcomes

An important feature of this certification program was the undertaking of practical research projects in the workplace, providing an opportunity to apply the knowledge being gained and learn from the challenges being encountered. The eight group projects took place in six distinct settings, where 544 participants (participants of program participants) were surveyed or directly received an intervention. In other cases, groups focused on OH and IC needs assessments or studying the feasibility of implementing a cough registry program and developing associated tools and procedures (Table 3). The projects yielded results affecting positive improvement in individual and organizational IC and OH awareness and practices but these positive results were not achieved without substantial mentor support. Group project documentation showed that participants had considerable difficulties in each distinct phase of their projects (planning, implementing, and evaluating) and that great mentor support was required at all project stages. Nonetheless, excellent projects were conducted. One of the projects resulted in a publication that highlighted approaches to blood and body fluid exposure in a small rural hospital [22], and all generated impacts in their facilities.

Table 1
Pre-, mid-, and postquestionnaire results (all KASPs Likert-style items combined)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Pre–Post Z (p)</th>
<th>Pre (n = 32) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Mid (n = 30) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Post (n = 24) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Pre–Mid Z Score (p)</th>
<th>Mid–Post Z Score (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>9 (22)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.030)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60.9 (9.6)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.09 (10.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>14 (44)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.161)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>72.0 (10.7)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>83.2 (7.6)</td>
<td>8 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>9 (28)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.021)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>65.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>84.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.109)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69.4 (9.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>3 (0.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 (81)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.002)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>66.5 (10.4)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>82.9 (8.4)</td>
<td>21 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.109)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77.0 (11.6)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelonomi Hospital</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.180)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86.5 (6.4)</td>
<td>7 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universitas Hospital</td>
<td>13 (41)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.009)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65.1 (8.4)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>13 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.285)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63.8 (12.5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>78.1 (8.4)</td>
<td>3 (0.080)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare professional</td>
<td>18 (56)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.003)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>65.1 (8.3)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81.4 (8.3)</td>
<td>17 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community level</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.003)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68.2 (8.5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.0 (11.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admin/1 management</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.248)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70.7 (12.4)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>87.4 (6.6)</td>
<td>6 (0.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District/provincial/academic</td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.285)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69.1 (15.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82.9 (7.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.007)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>70.0 (10.9)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>83.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>12 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24 (75)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.410)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64.2 (8.7)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>82.8 (8.0)</td>
<td>12 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Z scores and p values are the result of the Wilcoxon-signed rank test.
— denotes values that were not available due to a limited or absent population size.
SD, standard deviation.

Table 2
Pre-, mid-, and postquestionnaire results by category of items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of items</th>
<th>Pre–Post Z score (p)</th>
<th>Pre (n = 32) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Mid (n = 30) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Post (n = 24) μ (SD) (%)</th>
<th>Pre–mid Z Score (p)</th>
<th>Mid–post Z Score (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>3.1 (0.002)</td>
<td>67.8 (14.6)</td>
<td>86.5 (9.5)</td>
<td>79.7 (9.6)</td>
<td>4.5 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills/practice</td>
<td>3.6 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>57.0 (2.3)</td>
<td>76.3 (11.6)</td>
<td>71.4 (12.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes</td>
<td>0.1 (0.930)</td>
<td>84.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>85.8 (8.9)</td>
<td>81.5 (12.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.240)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.079)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True/False</td>
<td>1.9 (0.054)</td>
<td>68.0 (18.2)</td>
<td>85.4 (18.9)</td>
<td>74.5 (17.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcategories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational health/infection control</td>
<td>2.0 (0.050)</td>
<td>72.8 (17.9)</td>
<td>87.2 (12.1)</td>
<td>81.7 (9.3)</td>
<td>4.0 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>2.5 (0.013)</td>
<td>82.3 (14.6)</td>
<td>93.3 (9.3)</td>
<td>91.1 (12.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.001)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.270)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>2.9 (0.004)</td>
<td>68.9 (19.4)</td>
<td>90.5 (10.2)</td>
<td>82.7 (15.3)</td>
<td>4.4 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/TB co-infection</td>
<td>2.7 (0.007)</td>
<td>67.5 (23.7)</td>
<td>92.7 (9.8)</td>
<td>81.7 (18.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational policies, and procedures</td>
<td>3.2 (0.002)</td>
<td>59.2 (18.6)</td>
<td>81.5 (13.7)</td>
<td>71.3 (13.2)</td>
<td>4.5 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/project implementation</td>
<td>3.8 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>52.5 (14.9)</td>
<td>73.7 (13.1)</td>
<td>69.4 (13.2)</td>
<td>4.7 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.063)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Z scores and p values are the result of the Wilcoxon-signed rank test.
HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; SD, standard deviation; TB, tuberculosis.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Topics/objectives</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Results and key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Improving utilization of workplace occupational health (OH) and infection control (IC) services</td>
<td>Assess HCWs views on the OH services and IC potential breaches of confidentiality and lack of awareness</td>
<td>Training recommended for OH services staff and reduce workplace stigma. Low baseline knowledge on IC. Use of PPE and hand hygiene enforcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Reducing the risk of HIV/AIDS among HCWs</td>
<td>Two-day training (informed by baseline questionnaires)</td>
<td>Training recommended for OH services staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Creating a safe environment for patients and staff in the hospital</td>
<td>Training session (informed by baseline questionnaires)</td>
<td>Awareness campaign among HCWs to promote the OH services and reduce workplace stigma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Investigating TB infection control knowledge, practices, and environment</td>
<td>Information pamphlet produced and distributed</td>
<td>Training successfully improved TB knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs regarding TB IC practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Strengthening the occupational health clinic for the management of TB and HIV in the workplace</td>
<td>Environmental risk assessment</td>
<td>Administrative controls and use of PPE were lacking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Infection control and workplace safety: knowledge and practices in a large laundry facility</td>
<td>Information pamphlet produced and distributed</td>
<td>BBF, blood body fluids; DOTS, directly observed treatment, short-course; HCW, healthcare worker; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; OH, occupational health; IC, infection control; PPE, personal protective equipment; TB, tuberculosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Reactions and challenges

Participant reactions to the program and its various components were mixed but predominantly conveyed satisfaction with the program. Reaction item scores from the questionnaires showed that seven of the eight sets of items were given scores > 75%. One of the reactions most often mentioned was "eye-opening", and its ambitiousness often recognized as seen in this quote by a hospital nurse: "I've never been involved in such a constructive research programme, because the ones we did at university or the ones that I was involved in were not this huge, this project is really huge for the future."

Barriers to implementing workplace interventions could be categorized into those related to: (1) time, resources, and logistics, and (2) institutional capacity. The most often cited challenge was limited time availability.

3.4. Program-wide learning

The two major themes that emerged under the learning domain were: (1) OH and IC knowledge and skills; and (2) research and workplace intervention knowledge and skills. Responses indicated that OH and IC knowledge, skills, and practices were practical and easy to apply in the workplace. Participants reported being better able to identify workplace hazards, and stated that the Program reinforced their existing knowledge. Some participants discussed a new appreciation for and the realization of the interconnectedness of OH and IC. An IC nurse described this realization in this way: "Now, if I identify a hazard, I involve both the patient and the HCW. [Before], I had a demarcation that [it] does not involve me if it [concerns] a HCW, it is [an] occupational health problem [...]. I only identify now with this programme; that it is very important for IC and OH [to work together]."

Many participants also highlighted the value of the program for demystifying the research process and giving them confidence to conduct research. Furthermore, the benefit of the practical component of the program was also apparent, as described by an assistant nurse manager in the following quote: "I learned about research before but when put in practice, it becomes real. There is no replacement for real world experience."

3.5. Behaviour and workplace practices

Under the behaviour domain, respondents expressed improved collaboration between their peers and mentors, and engagement with managers and unions. The following quote from a nongovernmental organization project manager describes this improvement: "this project has made me come closer to my manager, especially the deputy director. [Management is] so supportive and so interested in what I am doing." Participants also reported improved IC behaviours among themselves and their colleagues by using correct personal hygiene and personal protective equipment procedures and by advising and promoting these to their colleagues. Participants also reported a heightened awareness for environmental and procedural IC hazards. An assistant nursing manager described such changes in this way: "when we cough, we follow the [cough] etiquette now and [we are] also opening the windows when we sit in an office."

3.6. Program-wide outcomes

The outcome domain highlighted improvements beyond the individual level changes reported. Participants often reported that they were able to make changes in their workplaces themselves or that subsequent to recommendations to management, corrective
measures were applied. The most reported changes in the application of knowledge were with regards to IC measures and practices. Participants noted improvements in the safe collection of sputum samples; appropriate use of personal protective equipment; and use of environmental controls and application of algorithms to identify and segregate high-risk patients (e.g., identification and spatial separation of the coughing patient, offering testing to all patients for HIV on admission). An example of such changes is described in the following quote from a geriatrics nurse: “Normally, we didn’t isolate the patients that were coughing. [Since the program], now we are isolating them and we take the sputum. If they are positive, they will start treatment and remain in isolation. We didn’t isolate until this course and we would also sometimes discharge patients that have a cough without testing. Now if there is a cough, they get tested.”

Another outcome that was often raised by participants was the role of the Program in changing their attitudes by: (1) empowering them and elevating their morale, improving confidence and motivation; and (2) increasing their awareness. Participants reported that their knowledge and skills had: empowered them to voice their opinions and take actions; built their confidence to discuss and present their findings from the projects; and built their confidence to engage in further research, project design and implementation.

In some cases, program participants were also able to change the attitude of their colleagues. In the following quote by an OH nurse, fellow employees are described as being comfortable using the OH services at their hospital rather than going to an HIV-dedicated center outside the hospital (Centre for Excellence): “[the] project has some positive impact for the hospital, people with HIV are now able to go to the OHs here, while before they would have to go to the Center for Excellence [specialist care for persons with HIV].”

3.7. Mentor perspective

Many mentors discussed the value in training participants who were working “on the ground”, using words such as motivated, committed, and energetic to describe the participants. The mentors generally expressed the view that participants’ weaknesses were mostly related to not having sufficient baseline knowledge in research methods, writing and computer skills to implement projects without considerable assistance.

3.8. Participant observations

The lack of basic computer skills left many participants unable to easily implement the practical component of the Program. The limited baseline knowledge and skills in designing and implementing workplace interventions negatively affected the ability of some participants to grasp some of the topics and materials related to research and workplace intervention methods. Participants often deferred decisions to mentors and researchers, not seeming to trust that they would meet expectations on their own, and preferring to follow instructions. As such, participants and groups required a large amount of mentor time and resources to assist them in implementing their workplace-based intervention projects.

4. Discussion

The study responds to the call for more evaluative studies on how to improve OH and IC [23,24]. This training program resulted in improving KASPs in OH and IC, which is consistent with findings of other researchers [25,26]. More specifically, Gammon and colleagues [27] found that compliance with IC measures does improve following a structured intervention, but their review of the literature concluded that research fails to indicate the duration of the impact. Another key factor in the uptake of IC measures is management commitment to health and safety [28]. Our findings support the importance of a high level of management support when undertaking such initiatives in order for them to be successful.

Participants in our program were able to successfully apply their KASPs through workplace interventions, although this varied, as did the extent to which meaningful and sustained changes seemed likely. Although a decline was found between mid- and postprogram questionnaire scores, pre- to postprogram questionnaire scores significantly increased. The decrease from mid- to postprogram questionnaire scores is thought to be attributable to participants’ initially rating themselves high, but after experiencing difficulties during the project implementation phase of the program and after receiving feedback from mentors, they may have realized the more accurate extent of their knowledge, skills, and practices. Similar changes in perceived learning have also been observed elsewhere [29]. Another potential factor in this effect is the long time that elapsed between the first module and final module. While the first module was dominated by didactic teaching that focused on most of the subjects assessed in the questionnaire, the final module participants after a period in the Program changed largely on project implementation, for which there were fewer assessment items in the questionnaires. The evaluation extended to 2 months after completion of the Program, and, as such, long-lasting changes could only be inferred from participants’ reports on their intentions to continue to use their capacity to affect further change.

Some workplace projects set simple measurable objectives, while others had more complex objectives, sometimes moulded by mentors to fit into larger collaborative projects between the Canadian and South African researchers [15,30–33]. Despite the good intentions on the part of the mentors, those groups with simple, measurable objectives that were not part of larger endeavours, were most confident, had the least difficulty with their projects, and seemed to learn the most.

It is well established that the successful implementation of IC practices by HCWs is influenced by individual, organizational, and environmental factors [34]. Others have emphasized the importance of social environments, peer group pressure, their own experiences, modeling [35], as well as management support [35]. While improvements in interpersonal skills, teamwork, and group dynamics were identified as important outcomes of this Certificate Program, power relations and institutional challenges remained. While many OH and IC trainings have been described in the literature [9–11], they have tended to focus on specific KASPs that are necessary to follow OH and IC guidelines, and have often neglected the upstream factors that lead HCWs to falling back to inappropriate OH and IC practices.

A lesson learned here is that a capacity-building program such as this one must be based on a realistic appraisal of the baseline knowledge and skills of participants. This is critical if what is being taught is to be implemented and the learning sustained. It is noteworthy that those who reported to have previously had relevant training showed a statistically significant increase in KASP questionnaire items pre- to postprogram when compared with those who did not report relevant training. These results point to the importance of considering participants’ baseline capacities in the development of such programs. Questionnaire results further showed that while participants were found, for example, to have significantly increased their knowledge and skills in the category of research and program implementation, postprogram results still found that participants still rated themselves at a low absolute level of 69%. The apparent difference between women in comparison to...
the small number of men in the course disappeared in a gendered analysis of the results that recognized that men and women had different occupations in the health sector, and observed no male—female differences among those who had previously received training or were health professionals, which were the characteristics rather than sex that were associated with the differences observed.

The challenge of this capacity building program was further complicated by impacts of the legacy of apartheid and neoliberalism [36,37] that intensiﬁed the weaknesses being addressed. During the apartheid period, the country experienced extreme racial discrimination, high income inequalities, devastation of families, contrived labor migrations, and substantial violence [38]. The educational and health systems, which provided the focus of this study, were particularly affected and were left with deep scars that have persisted into the present-day post-apartheid period. These sectors were expressly segregated by race, with nonwhite health and education services systematically underfunded and undermined, resulting in systematic disenfranchisement of the majority people and their institutions [38].

This legacy has greatly affected the education levels of workers in the healthcare system and throughout society, rendering inabilities in various workplaces to provide recommendations and inform policy decisions; and a feasibility study for a cough registry program and the subsequent development of tools and procedures for the detection of TB among HCWs and concomitant training of operational managers in the use of these tools and procedures. While further research is necessary to determine whether the considerable resources invested justify the outputs, the magnitude of the problem of HIV and TB in HCWs is such that efforts such as this one need to continue. The complexity of issues involved in successful implementation suggests the desirability of North–South–South collaborations [15] with a strong southern partner that not only can provide technical support during the capacity building program but also help sustain gains made. The strong southern partner, working with the northern partner can facilitate adoption in the weaker southern setting of effective strategies drawn from broader knowledge and experience. However, adequate resources need to be planned to facilitate such collaborations, if they are to be effective.

A workplace-based capacity building Certiﬁcate Program can impart improvements in the KASPs needed by HCWs in the areas of OH and IC. Additionally, such a program is able to affect meaningful change within workplaces through the agency of empowered participants leading workplace interventions. Such improvements on a personal and organizational level contribute to increasing HCW health and well-being through improved recognition, job satisfaction, morale, and safety in their workplaces. By improving OH and IC practices, such a program could also help reduce nosocomial infection, and increase HIV and TB care, leading to a healthier, more resilient healthcare workforce. While this study provides evidence to support OH and IC training programs in high-risk, low-resource settings, a set of basic requirements is needed to increase the likelihood of sustainable success. These include, first, ensuring that participants chosen for such programs have a strong enough knowledge and skill foundation to be able to meet the objectives of the program, or be prepared to provide the extensive mentorship needed. Second, appropriate organizational resources and equipment in place, including commitment from management to spend the needed time to implement the interventions. Third, clear national and regional policies and guidelines must be in place to support implementation of OH and IC interventions. Last, the overall context, with its unique historical, political and economic realities, must ﬁgure prominently in the planning of such programs.
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