A TWO-COMPONENT PRODUCTION INVENTORY-ASSEMBLY SYSTEM

V.S.S. Yadavalli

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering University of Pretoria, South Africa <u>sarma.yadavalli@up.ac.za</u>

ABSTRACT

The paper considers a two-component continuous review inventory system where one of two components is produced by the organisation and the other is supplied by an outside supplier. The two components are assembled into an end product. There are two different product types. Demand occurs according to a Poisson process for each of the product types. It is assumed that product 1 is produced and its production time is arbitrarily distributed. Production is interrupted when the inventory level of product 1 reaches its maximum level. Replenishment of product 2 is done according to an adjustable reorder policy, and the lead-time follows an exponential distribution. Identifying the stochastic process as a semi-regenerative process, steady-state measures such as mean stationary rate of the number of demands lost, mean number of demands satisfied, mean number of replenishments made, are found. The total unutilised capacity of the production system is found, and a cost analysis is also studied. A numerical example is provided to illustrate the results obtained.

OPSOMMING

'n Voorraadsisteem wat kontinu hersien word vir twee verskillende komponenttipes word bestudeer. Die komponente word gebruik vir twee verskillende produktipes. Die verbruik van elke produktipe word beskryf deur 'n Poissonverdeling. Die produksietyd vir produktipe 1 is arbitrêr verdeel. Sodra die voorraadpeil van produk 1 die maksimum bereik, word produksie gestaak. Aanvulling van produktipe 2 word gedoen volgens 'n verstelbare herbestelbeleid met eksponensiele leityd. Identifisering van die stogastiese proses as half-regenererend lei vervolgens tot bepaling van gestadigde maatstawe soos gemiddelde aantal verlore eenhede, gemiddelde bevredigde vraag, en gemiddelde aantal aanvullings. Die totale ledigheid van die sisteem word bepaal en die gepaardgaande koste bereken. 'n Syfervoorbeeld word voorgehou om die resultate te bevestig.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are many organisations that manufacture some or all of the subcomponents of the assembly system they are dealing with. For example, in an automotive industry a car manufacturer needs chassis, steering, wheels, etc. to assemble a car. Some of the components, like tyres and electric bulbs, may be outsourced, while components like the engine, the chassis, etc. may be manufactured by the organisation itself. Not only must the inventory of outsourced components be controlled, but also the inventory of the different components that are self-manufactured. Further, the production system that is used to manufacture these components may also be subject to failure and repair. Arreola-Risa (1996) considered a multi-item production-inventory system, and obtained optimal base stock levels when the unit manufacturing times are either determinate or exponential.

Bourland *et al* (1996) studied a timely demand information model. According to this model, two factories use a standard periodic base-stock policy for one particular item, but the equal-length production cycles of the two factories do not necessarily coincide. A similar study was done by Arbib and Marinelli (2004) for a glass industry, using the 0-1 linear programming formulation based on the p-model. However, attention has not been paid so far in the literature to the continuous review of inventory systems (Raafat [1991], Yadavalli *et al* [2004]) where good control of the production process is required to maintain the inventory of the manufactured components. To fill the gap, an attempt is made in this paper to study a model of a two-component-assembly system in which one component is outsourced and the other is manufactured by the organisation itself, with the assumption that the life-time of the production system is an arbitrarily distributed random variable and that the system can be repaired after failure.

The organisation of the paper is as follows:

In section 2 the model, with its assumptions and the notation used in the paper, is presented. The auxiliary functions needed to derive the inventory level distribution are obtained in section 3. The inventory level distribution is discussed in section 4, and the limiting distribution of the inventory level is obtained in section 5. The system performance measures are found in section 6. In section 7, a numerical illustration is given to illustrate the results obtained.

2. THE MODEL ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATION

Consider a two-component-assembly system in which one component is outsourced and the other is manufactured by the organisation itself. To be specific, let the component manufactured by the organisation itself be called "component 1", and the outsourced one "component 2".

Assumptions:

1. The production time of component 1 is an arbitrary random variable with p.d.f.

g(.), c.d.f.G(.) and survivor function $\overline{G}(.)$.

- 2. The life-time of the production system of component 1 is exponential with parameter α , and its repair time has an arbitrary distribution with p.d.f. g_r (.)
- 3. If the production system fails during the course of the production of a unit of component 1, the production starts afresh after completion of repair. After production completion, component 1 goes directly to the inventory.
- 4. The maximum inventory level of component 1 is S_1 .
- 5. When the inventory level of component 1 reaches the maximum level, the production is stopped; it restarts when a demand for the end-product is satisfied. During the production stoppage period, the production system cannot fail.
- 6. The maximum inventory level of component 2 is S_2 and it is reordered when its inventory level reaches s_2 , and is replenished according to an adjustable reordering policy. The lead-time is assumed to be exponentially distributed with parameter μ .
- 7. The demands for the end-products occur according to a Poisson process with parameter λ .
- 8. On the occurrence of a demand, the two components are instantly assembled and the demand is met. Even if one component is out of stock, the demand is lost and is not back-logged.

Notation:

 $L_i(t)$: The inventory level of the product *i* at any time *t*, $t \ge 0, i = 1, 2$.

 $X(t) = (L_1(t), L_2(t))$, the vector process representing the state of the system

- at time t.
- R_i : Event that a reorder is placed for product i, i = 1, 2.
- ℓ : Event that a demand is lost.
- *d* : Event that a demand is satisfied.
- *b*: Event that the production system fails.
- $N_{\eta}(t_1, t_2)$: Random variable representing the number of η events that

have occurred in the interval $(t_1 . t_2]$, where η can be any one of the types R_i , ℓ , d, b. $N_n(t) = N_n(0.t)$

 E_0 : Event that denotes the initial condition that the production for product 1 commences and the inventory level of product 2 is 0.

3. AUXILIARY FUNCTION

Consider the inventory system component 2 as a single product inventory system that has the function $\mu e^{-\mu t}$ as the p. d. f. of the lead-time, and is replenished according to an adjustable reorder policy. We define

$$\varphi(i, j, k, t) = Pr[L_2(t) = j, N_d(t) = k | L_2(0) = i].$$

We restrict the usage of this function to the period of production of a unit of component 1 or to the period of repair time of the production system.

Accordingly, to derive an expression for $\varphi(i, j, k, t)$, we consider the following cases separately:

Case 1:

$$0 \le i \le s_2$$

Using probabilistic arguments, we have:

$$\varphi(i, j, k, t) = \lambda e^{-(\lambda + \mu)t} \otimes \varphi(i - 1, j, k - 1, t) + \mu e^{-(\lambda + \mu)t} \otimes \varphi(S_2, j, k, t), i > 0, k > 1;$$
(1)

$$\varphi(0, j, k, t) = \mu e^{-ut} \otimes \varphi(S_2, j, k, t), k > 0$$

$$\tag{2}$$

$$\varphi(i, i, 0, t) = e^{-(\lambda + \mu)t}, i > 0$$
(3)

$$\varphi(0,0,0,t) = e^{-\mu t} \tag{4}$$

$$\varphi(0, S_2, 0, t) = e^{-\lambda t} (1 - e^{-\mu t})$$
(5)

Case 2:

 $i > s_2$

$$\varphi(i, j, k, t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \odot j(i-1, j, k-1, t), k > 0.$$
(6)

$$\varphi(i,i,0,t) = e^{-\lambda t} \tag{7}$$

4. INVENTORY LEVEL

Let $0 = T_0$, T_1 , T_2 ,..... denote the successive time points at which the production of a unit of component 1 is started, and let $X_n = X(T_n +)$, n = 0, 1, 2, be the inventory level of the system at T_n . Then $(X,T) = \{(X_n, T_n): n = 0, 1, 2,\}$ is a Markov renewal process with state space

$$E = \left\{ (i, j) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s_1, \dots, s_1; j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s_2, \dots, s_2 \right\}.$$

The semi-Markov kernel Q(i, j, t | l, m) of this process (see Cinlar [1975]) is the family of probabilities defined by

$$Q(i, j, t | l, m) = Pr \{X_{n+1} = (i, j), T_{n+1} - T_n \le t | X_n = (l, m) \},$$
(8)

where $(i, j), (l, m) \in E$. We write

$$Q(i, j, dt | l, m) = Pr\{X_{n+1} = (i, j), t < T_{n+1} - T_n < t + dt | X_n = (l, m)\}.$$

To derive an expression for the function Q we observe the following:

- (i) Some of the epochs T_n are also epochs of production completion. In such
- (ii) cases, $L_1(T_n +) > 0$.

Since T_{n+1} is an epoch of production commencement, the production of a unit that commenced at the epoch T_n is completed at T_{n+1} or the production systems fails in (T_n, T_{n+1}) and the repair is completed at T_{n+1} .

The inventory level of product 1 can reach the state $S_1 - 1$ at T_{n+1} + in the following ways:

 $L_1(T_n +) = S_1 - 2$ and the production then commenced is completed at T_{n+1} and no demand is satisfied in (T_n, T_{n+1}) .

- a. $L_1(T_n +) = S_1 1$ and the production system fails, and this repair is completed at T_{n+1} and no demand is satisfied in (T_n, T_{n+1}) .
- b. $L_1(T_n +) = S_1 1$, the production then commenced is completed prior to T_{n+1} , say in $(u, u + du).u < T_{n+1}$ and the production is stopped at u and the first demand after the stoppage of production occurs at T_{n+1} .

We have the following cases for $j, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, S_2$:

Case 1:

l = 0, i = 0

Since i = 0, we note that

(i) The epoch T_{n+1} cannot synchronise with production completion.

(ii) No demand can be satisfied.

Hence we have:

$$Q(0, j, t \mid 0, m) = \int_0^t \left\{ a^{-a u} \overline{G}(u) \otimes g_r(u) \right\} j(m, j, 0, u) du.$$
(9)

Case 2:

$$l = 0, i > 0$$

$$Q(i, j, t \mid 0, m) = \int_0^t g(u) e^{-au} (m, j, 0, u) du.$$
(10)

Case 3:

$$0 < l \le S_1 - 1, i = 0$$

As in case 1, the epoch T_{n+1} cannot be an epoch of production completion.

Accordingly we have:

$$Q(0, j, t | l, m) = \int_0^t \left\{ \alpha \ e^{-a u} \overline{G}(u) \odot g_r(u) \right\} \varphi(m, j, l, u) d u.$$
(11)

Case 4:

$$0 < l \le S_{1} - 2, 0 < i \le S_{1} - 1$$

$$Q(i, j, t | l, m) = \left[e^{-at} g(t)j(m, j, l - i + 1, t) + \left\{ae^{-at}\overline{G}(t) \odot g_{r}(t)\right\}j(m, j, l - i, t)\right]H(l - i)$$

$$+e^{-\alpha t} g(t)\varphi(m, j, 0, t)\delta_{i, l + 1}.$$
(12)

Where H(.) is a Heaviside function and d_{ij} is a Kronecker's delta function.

Case 5:

$$l = S_{1} - 1, i = S_{1} - 1$$

$$Q \left(S_{1} - 1, j, t \mid S_{1} - 1, m\right) = H \left(j - 1\right) \left\{ e^{-\alpha t} g\left(t\right) \odot \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \right\} \varphi \left(m, j, 0, t\right)$$

$$+ e^{-\alpha t} g(t) \phi(m, j, l, t) + \left\{ \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}(t) \right\} \varphi(m, j, 0, t).$$
(13)
The semi-Markov kernel of (X, T) is the matrix $Q \left(t\right) = \left[Q \left(i, j, t \mid l, m\right) \right]$

and is of order $(S_1 + 1)(S_2 + 1) \times (S_1 + 1)(S_2 + 1)$.

Next we define the Markov renewal function of (X, T) as

$$R(i, j, t \mid l, m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^{(n)}(i, j, t \mid l, m)$$
(14)

The Markov renewal kernel of (X, T) is the matrix $\mathbf{\bar{R}}(t) = [R(i, j, t | l, m)]$. From the theory of Markov renewal processes, we have $\mathbf{R}^{*}(s) = [I - Q^{*}(s)]^{-1}$. To study the distribution of the inventory level, we consider the vector process

$$X(t) = \left(L_1(t), L_2(t)\right), t \ge 0.$$

This process is a semi-regenerative process on the set E. It is clear the Markov renewal process (X, T) is embedded in Z(t) (see Cinlar [1975]).

Define for any $(i, j), (l, m) \in E$,

$$P(i, j, t \mid l, m) = Pr\{X(t) = (i, j) \mid X_0 = (l, m)\},\$$
$$K(i, j, t \mid l, m) = Pr\{X(t) = (i, j), T_1 > t \mid X_0 = (l, m)\}$$

The *K* function describes the behaviour of the inventory level at any time $t < T_1$. Using probabilistic arguments, we have the following cases:

Case 1:

$$l = 0$$

$$K(i, j, t \mid 0, m) = \left\{1 - \delta_{i,1}\right\} \left[\overline{G}(t) e^{-\alpha t} + \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}(t) \odot \overline{G}_r(t)\right] \varphi(m, j, 0, t)$$
(15)

Case 2:

$$0 < l \le S_1 - 1, i = 0$$

$$K(0, j, t \mid l, m) = \left[\overline{G}(t) e^{-\alpha t} + \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}(t) \odot \overline{G}_r(t)\right] \varphi(m, j, l, t)$$
(16)

Case 3:

 $0 < l \le S_1 - 2, 0 < i \le S_1 - 1$

$$K(i,j,t|l,m)[\overline{G}(t)e^{-at}j(m,j,l-i+1,t) + \left\{ae^{-at}\overline{G}(t)\overline{\odot}\overline{G}_r(t)\right\}j(m,j,l-i,t)]H(l-i)$$
(17)

Case 4:

$$l = S_1 - 1, i = S_1 - 1$$

$$K\left(S_1 - 1, j, t \mid S_1 - 1, m\right) = \overline{G}(t)e^{-\alpha t} \varphi(m, j, 0, t) + \left\{\alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}(t) \odot \overline{G}_r(t)\right\}\varphi(m, j, 0, t)$$
(18)

Case 5:

$$i = S_{1}, l = S_{1} - 1$$

$$K(S_{1}, j, t | S_{1} - 1, m) = \left\{ e^{-at} g(t) \odot e^{-lt} j(m, j, 0, t) \right\}$$
(19)

Next, to obtain an expression for P(i, j, t | l, m) we condition on T_1 and use the regenerative property of X(t) to write

$$P(i, j, t \mid t, m) = K(i, j, t \mid l, m) = \sum_{(i_1, j_1) \in E} \int_0^t Q(i_1, j_1, du \mid l, m) P(i, j, t - u \mid i_1, j_1)$$
(20)

The above equation is a Markov renewal equation, the solution of which is given by

$$P(i, j, t \mid l, m) = \sum_{(i_1, j_1) \in E} \int_0^t R(i_1, j_1, du \mid l, m) K(i, j, t - u \mid i_1, j_1)$$
(21)

5. THE LIMITING DISTRIBUTION OF THE INVENTORY LEVEL

Consider the imbedded Markov chain $X_n = (L_1(T_n +), L_2(T_n +))$. Let the stationary distribution of the Markov chain X_n be

$$\overline{\pi} = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), \dots, (s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2 + 1), \dots, (s_1 - 1, s_2)\}$$

Solving the matrix equation $\overline{\pi} \ \dot{Q} = \overline{\pi}$ along with the normalizing condition

$$\overline{\pi} e = 1$$
 we obtain $\overline{\pi}$.

Next, we consider the mean sojourn time m(i, j) of the Markov renewal process (X, T) in the state (i, j). It is defined by

$$m(i, j) = E[T_{n+1} - T_n | X_n = (l, m)].$$
(22)

where $(l, m) \in E$. Using the theory of Markov renewal process, we have:

$$m(i, j) = \int_0^\infty \left[1 - \sum_{(i, j) \in E} Q(i, j, t \mid l, m)\right] dt.$$

Now we define $P(i, j) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(i, j, t | l, m)$, where $(i, j) \in E$. Then, by a theorem of semi-regenerative processes, we have:

$$P(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{(l,m)\in E} P(l,m) \int_0^\infty K(i, j, t \mid l, m) dt}{\overline{\pi}.\overline{m}}$$
(23)

The above equation gives the limiting distribution of the inventory level.

6. MEASURES OF SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

The performance of the system can best be studied by considering the measures such as the mean number of reorders for product 2, the mean number of lost demands, and the mean number of times the system goes for repair. To obtain these measures, we require the corresponding first-order product density

$$h_{\eta}(t) = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} Pr\left\{ N_{\eta}(t, t + \Delta) \ge 1 \middle| E_{0} \right\} / \Delta,$$

where η is any one of the various events of the system. Then the mean number of η -events occurring in the interval (0,t] is given by

$$E\left[N_{\eta}(t)\right] = \int_{0}^{t} h_{\eta}(u) du$$

and the stationary mean-rate of η -events by

$$E\left[N_{\eta}\right] = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} E\left[N_{\eta}(t)\right] = \lim_{t \to \infty} h_{\eta}(t).$$
(24)

6.1 Mean stationary rate of replenishments for product 1

Since a replenishment can occur only when the inventory level of product $1 \le s_1$, and that the lead-time rate is μ , the mean-stationary rate of product 1 is given by

$$E R1 = \lim_{t \to \infty} h_{R_1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \sum_j P(i, j) \mu.$$
(25)

6.2 Mean stationary rate of reorders for product 2

Since a reorder for product 2 can only be made when a demand is satisfied and the inventory level of product 2 reaches s_2 , we have the mean-stationary rate of reorder for product 2 given by

$$E R2 = \lim_{t \to \infty} h_{R_2}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{S_1} P(i, s_2 + 1)\lambda$$
(26)

6.3 Mean-stationary rate of lost demands

$$E\left[N_{\ell}\right] = \lim_{t \to \infty} h_{\ell}\left(t\right) \left\{\sum_{j} P\left(0, j\right) + \sum_{i} \left(i, 0\right)\right\} \lambda.$$
(27)

6.4 Mean-stationary rate of repair of production system:

$$E(r) = \lim_{t \to \infty} h_r(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} R(i, j, t \mid l, m) \odot \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}(t) = \frac{\alpha G(\alpha)}{\overline{\pi} \cdot \overline{m}}$$
(28)

The following measures are also useful in the study of the system performance.

6.5 Total unutilized capacity

If A(t) be defined by A(t) = Pr { the production system is idle at time $t|E_0$ }. Then the mean-fraction of unutilized time of the production system is given by

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} A(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{S_2} P(S_1, j).$$
(29)

6.6 Total repair time

If B(t) denotes the probability that the production system is under repair at time t, then the mean-stationary rate of the repair-time is given by

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} B(u) du = \lim_{t \to \infty} B(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} R(i, j, t | l, m) \odot \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \overline{G}_{r}(t)$$

$$= \frac{a \overline{G}^{*}(a) \overline{G}_{r}^{*}(a)}{\overline{p} . \overline{m}}$$
(30)

6.7 Numerical illustration

Assuming $g(t) = a e^{-at}$, $g_r(t) = b e^{-bt}$, and considering the following values for the parameters: $\lambda = 4.00$, $\mu = 50.00$, $\alpha = 0.005$, a = 0.0001, b = 10.0, $S_1 = 5$, $S_2 = 4$, $s_1 = 3$, $s_2 = 3$, we present in Tables 1 to 5 the values of the mean-stationary rate of (i) the reorder for the product 2 (ER2), (ii) the lost demands (EL) (iii) repair production systems (ERP), and (iv) total repair time TRPT (using the equations (24)-(30)).

λ	ER2	EL	ERP	TRPT	
4.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	119.419200	
8.000000	7.720486	0.089022	5677.691000	567.769200	
12.000000	11.417040	0.191839	13632.240000	1363.224000	
16.000000	15.013690	0.335029	25047.580000	2504.758000	
20.000000	18.512960	0.519991	39669.600000	3966.960000	
24.000000	21.913290	0.750279	57234.630000	5723.463000	
28.000000	25.220760	1.026292	77550.370000	7755.037000	

 Table 1: Increasing demand rate

μ	ER2	EL	ERP	TRPT
50.000000	9.578207	0.136292	9191.772000	919.177200
100.000000	9.439519	0.152779	6925.245000	692.524500
150.000000	9.531513	0.123656	7342.198000	734.219800
200.000000	9.616023	0.999907	7881 .722000	788.172200
250.000000	9.677223	0.083293	8315.302000	831.530200
300.000000	9.722398	0.071252	8627.994000	862.700400
350.000000	9.756836	0.062171	8860.721000	886.072100
400.000000	9.783290	0.055248	9109.823000	910.982300

 Table 2: Increasing mean lead time

В	ER2	EL	ERP	TRPT
10.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	119.419200
20.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	59.709590
30.000000	3.919132	0.024450	1203.044000	40.101460
40.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	29.854790
50.000000	3.919307	0.024306	1201.919000	24.038370
60.000000	3.919132	0.024450	1203.044000	20.050730
70.000000	3.919307	0.024306	1201.919000	17.170270
80.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	14.927400
90.000000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	13.268800

 Table 3: Increasing repair rate of production system

А	ER2	EL	ERP	TRPT	
0.000100	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	119.419200	
0.001100	3.885011	0.031607	74.788610	7.478861	
0.002100	3.860875	0.037080	30.009070	3.000907	
0.003100	3.840271	0.042133	16.885130	1.688513	
0.004100	3.824512	0.046133	11.450830	1.145083	
0.005100	3.811974	0.049424	8.719021	0.871902	
0.006100	3.801872	0.052130	7.176444	0.717644	
0.007100	3.793521	0.054414	6.193297	0.619330	
0.008100	3.786610	0.056331	5.518878	0.551888	
0.009100	3.780837	0.057954	5.032046	0.503205	

 Table 4: Increasing failure rate of production system

α	ER2	EL	ERP	TRPT
0.005000	3.918395	0.024532	1194.192000	119.419200
0.010000	3.816794	0.050205	1712.152000	171.215200
0.015000	3.779261	0.059615	2906.625000	290.662500
0.020000	3.762943	0.063705	4272.139000	427.213900
0.025000	3.753937	0.065960	5734.917000	573.491700
0.030000	3.748250	0.067382	7218.313000	721.831200
0.035000	3.744323	0.068365	8723.482000	872.348300
0.040000	3.741594	0.069047	10221.340000	1022.134000
0.045000	3.739684	0.069525	11703.230000	1170.32300
0.050000	3.738422	0.069840	13155.550000	1315.55500

 Table 5: Increasing rate of production

7. CONCLUSIONS

A two-component production inventory system is studied, in which one component is produced by the organization itself, and the other by the outside supplier. Various measures of system performance have been obtained analytically, and the results are illustrated numerically. From Table 1 of the numerical illustration, we observe that as λ increases, the mean rate of reorder level of product 2, lost demands, repair of production system, and total repair time increases for a fixed reorder level of product 1. From Table 2, it can be seen that as μ increases, the mean rate of reorder level 2 decreases, whereas other measures increase with a fixed reorder level of product 1. Similar observations can be made from the other Tables.

Acknowledgements: The author wishes to thank the referees for their valuable comments.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] **Arbib, C and Marinelli, F,** 2004. A *p*-Median model for Assortment and Trim loss minimization with an application to the glass industry. Unpublished paper.
- [2] **Arreola-Risa, A,** 1996. Integrated multi item production inventory systems. *European Journal of Operational Resources*, Volume 89, pp 326-340.
- [3] **Bourland, K E, Powell, S G, and Pyke, D F.**, 1996. Exploiting timely demand information to reduce inventories. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Volume 92, pp 239-253.
- [4] **Cinlar, E,** 1975. *Introduction to stochastic processes*. Prentice Hall.
- [5] **Raafat, F** 1991. Survey of literature on continuously deteriorating inventory models. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, Volume 2, pp 81-91.
- [6] Yadavalli, V S S, Anbazhagan, N, and Arivarignan G, 2004. A two commodity stochastic assembly system with lost sales. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, Volume 22, pp 479 494.