Thermochemical processing of a South African ultrafine coal fly ash using ammonium sulphate as extracting agent for aluminium extraction
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Highlights

- Aluminium was extracted from an ultrafine coal fly ash
- Extraction was achieved via thermochemical treatment followed by aqueous leaching
- The process used ammonium sulphate, a low-cost, recyclable extracting agent
- Extraction efficiencies amounted to 95.0% of aluminium from the amorphous phase
- Silicon from quartz, mullite and the amorphous phase was not extracted

Abstract

South African coal fly ash represents an untapped secondary resource of aluminium. Continuous research is conducted to develop suitable chemical and/or geometallurgical processes for aluminium extraction, preferably accompanied by minimal silicon extraction. The thermochemical treatment of a South African ultrafine coal fly ash was investigated to test the feasibility of recovering aluminium using ammonium sulphate, a widely available, low-cost, recyclable chemical agent. The optimum processing conditions were determined to be a temperature of 500°C and a fly ash to ammonium sulphate weight ratio of 2:6 when a reaction time of 1h was used. Water leaching of the reaction product obtained under these conditions resulted in the selective recovery of 95.0% aluminium from the amorphous phase, with less than 0.6% Si extracted. Mullite was unlikely to have reacted with the extracting agent. Except for Si, the process was not element-selective, but the extraction of iron could be minimized by increasing the
treatment temperature to 600°C without compromising Al extraction. Thermochemical treatment using ammonium sulphate may therefore represent a promising technology for extracting aluminium from coal fly ash, which could be subsequently converted to value-added products such as alumina.
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1. Introduction

Coal fly ash (CFA) is a solid incombustible residue that is mainly produced during the combustion of pulverized coal in thermoelectric power stations. Eskom, the main power generator in South Africa, consumed 124.7 Mt of coal producing 36.2 Mt of CFA in 2011 [1]. Only about 5% of CFA is currently recycled, mostly in the form of building materials such as bricks and as a cement extender, while the unused CFA is disposed of either in dry or slurry form in ash-handling dams which require maintenance and eventual rehabilitation. SASOL Synfuels generates an additional 4 million tons per annum [2].

Aluminium is typically the second most abundant element in CFA after silicon, which makes CFA a potential pre-mined substitute of bauxite for alumina production. This is of particular relevance to South Africa which has no mineable bauxite ore deposits, the raw material used to produce aluminium. The chemical extraction of aluminium from CFA has been well documented over the past 50 or so years, and the topic remains high on the R&D agenda [3-7]. It is generally performed using metallurgical methods, which can be categorized into three types of processes: (i) acid leaching, (ii) sintering, and (iii) HiChlor [8]. All the processes have their own advantages and drawbacks. Some of the processes are nevertheless in the early stages of commercialization, although they might not be currently economically viable in their own right. For this reason, researchers and companies are increasingly looking into aluminium recovery combined to potential additional revenue streams generated from the simultaneous recovery of other strategically-valuable elements [9].

Although South Africa has been actively involved in research and development for CFA beneficiation and/or utilization [2,10-16], research on the recovery of aluminium from South African CFA [5,17-19] is limited. It is also mostly restricted to acid leaching methods, which are unlikely to be adopted at large scale. The focus of our group was to identify and test a promising aluminium extraction process that
makes use of a widely available, low-cost, recyclable extracting agent. Ammonium sulphate salt \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) meets all three criteria [20], and its thermal solid-solid reactions with silicate and oxide minerals for elemental extraction have been extensively studied [21-26]. Its reaction with CFA has also recently emerged as an area of great interest in China, owing to its advantages over acidic, alkali, and acid-alkali methods [27-29]. Al extraction efficiencies greater than 85% were obtained from Chinese CFA, with Al being extracted from both the amorphous phase and the mullite component of CFA.

The primary objective of this paper was to demonstrate the usefulness of thermochemical solid-solid treatment of a South African classified CFA with \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\), coupled to aqueous dissolution, for the selective extraction of aluminium from the amorphous glass phase. A secondary objective was to test the selectivity of \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) for the preferential extraction of aluminium over silicon, since the latter is undesirable for high-purity alumina synthesis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials description, preparation and characterisation

A representative sample of a classified, ultrafine Class-F coal fly ash (CFA) was obtained from the Ash Resources Pty Ltd.’s ash beneficiation site at Eskom’s Lethabo Thermal Power station, which is located between Vereeniging and Sasolburg in the Free State province of South Africa. This commercial-grade CFA is air-classified on site and is specified to have a mean particle size between 3.9 and 5.0 µm, with more than 90% of the volume distribution of its particles having a diameter smaller than 11 µm. After classification it is marketed as a very fine, spherical, pozzolanic, and highly reactive aluminosilicate with low carbon content. The product currently finds application in the construction industry, but is also used in small quantities in the rubber and polymer industries.

Homogeneous sub-samples of the prepared batches were obtained with a sample splitter for future experiments. Particle size distribution (PSD) was obtained by laser diffraction (Malvern Mastersizer, 2000 fitted with a Hydro 2000G dispersion unit, Malvern Instrument Ltd. Worcester, UK) to confirm the fineness of the ash particles. Scattered light data were recorded from 2000 to 5000 snapshots of 10 µs. A polydisperse mode of analysis and a refractive index of 1.533 with an adsorption of 0.1 were chosen. Size data collection was performed at constant obscuration in the range 15–20%. 
The elemental and mineralogical compositions of the ash were analysed using XRF (ARL9400XP+ XRF spectrometer, Thermo ARL, Switzerland)) and XRD (PANanalytical X'Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer), respectively. Detailed description of these analyses was given elsewhere [30].

A Zeiss Ultra SS field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), operated at an acceleration voltage of 1 kV, was used under dry high-vacuum condition to observe differences in morphology of the CFA particles before and after treatment. For this purpose, the powder was mounted on a double-sided carbon tape by dipping carbon stubs into the samples. Excess material was removed by gentle blowing with compressed nitrogen. The sample was then sputter-coated with carbon in an Emitech K550X (Ashford, England).

Ammonium sulphate (reagent grade, 99.5%, Merck, South Africa), (NH₄)₂SO₄, was used as supplied in all experiments.

2.2. Methods and processes

2.2.1. Thermochemical processing of coal fly ash

The first step of the staged process involved the processing of CFA by thermochemical treatment using (NH₄)₂SO₄ as extracting agent. (NH₄)₂SO₄ is widely available at low-cost and offers the added advantage of being recyclable [20], in comparison to other activating agents such as NaOH and Na₂CO₃. Insight on the thermal decomposition of pure (NH₄)₂SO₄ over the temperature range studied was provided elsewhere [26]. CFA and (NH₄)₂SO₄ salt were thoroughly mixed at two mass ratios (2:3 and 2:6 m/m) in a fused quartz crucible. It was important to use a CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ mass ratio which gave a slight excess of SO₄ over Al (mole:mole), so that the amount of (NH₄)₂SO₄ did not represent a limiting factor for Al extraction. The 2:3 and 2:6 m/m ratios of CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ were equivalent to SO₄:Al mole ratios of 1.73 (SO₄ in slight excess over Al) and 3.47 (SO₄ in large excess over Al, to circumvent the fact that some SO₄ reacts with other elements contained in CFA (e.g. Ca, Fe, Ti)) respectively. The mixtures were subsequently inserted in a static muffle furnace operating at different reaction temperatures (400, 500 and 600°C) for 1h. The products of the reactions, which either had the appearance of sintered solid or were free-flowing powders (depending on the reaction temperature) were then subjected to a dissolution procedure for Al extraction.
2.2.2. *Dissolution experiments*

Chemical extraction of Al and other major elements from untreated and thermochemically-treated CFA was investigated in ultrapure water (3g/300ml) at 22°C. All treatment solutions were continuously stirred to maintain treated CFA particles in suspension throughout the experiment. The pH, electrical conductivity and temperature of the suspension were logged using a Hanna HI 2829 multiparameter logger at 30 s intervals to collect time-series data on the dynamics of the system. The reaction was terminated when the pH and electrical conductivity remained unchanged for 10–15 min. At completion of the dissolution experiment, the leachate was filtered under reduced pressure through 0.4 µm membranes filters (Whatman Nucleopore® Track-Etched polycarbonate, Whatman UK Ltd.) in closed polycarbonate filtration vessels (Sterifil, 47 mm Millipore). The concentrations of dissolved Al and other elements were determined by ICP-OES at an accredited laboratory (Waterlab Pty Ltd, Pretoria, South Africa). These ICP data were used to calculate elemental extraction efficiencies, *i.e.* the mass fraction of elements extracted as sulphates from the mass of these elements present in CFA, by combining them to the XRF data of untreated CFA (for aluminium, iron, calcium and titanium), and the estimated aluminium content of the mullite and amorphous phases (in the case of Al) in untreated CFA. The non-dissolved residues (CFAres) were rinsed thoroughly with ultra-pure water, oven-dried at 40°C up to constant weight. Their chemical and mineralogical compositions were also determined by XRF and XRD respectively.

3. *Results and discussion*

3.1. Materials characterization

A detailed surface and bulk characterization of the classified, ultrafine Class-F coal fly ash (CFA) used in this study was performed and was reported elsewhere [30]. Briefly, the XRD pattern of CFA (Figure 1) demonstrated that the sample was predominantly composed of an amorphous alumina silica glass phase (62.1%), which co-existed with the two primary crystalline phases, mullite (Al₆Si₂O₁₃; 31.8%) and quartz (SiO₂; 6.1%). This mineralogy was confirmed by the XRF data, which indicated the presence of six major chemical constituents (SiO₂, 49.3%; Al₂O₃, 34.0%; Fe₂O₃, 5.8%; CaO, 5.1%; TiO₂, 2.0%; MgO, 1.0%). Additional features of the sample included a low total alkaline content (Na₂O + K₂O < 1%), a low total concentration of alkaline earth metals (CaO + MgO < 6%), and a low loss on ignition (LOI of 0.52%). The latter indicated a low percentage of moisture, sulphur, unburned carbon, carbonates and hydroxides.
3.2. Structural changes during thermochemical treatment of coal fly ash

Characterization of thermochemically-treated CFA using XRD, and FE-SEM was carried out in order to better understand the effect of \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) on CFA.

3.2.1. Mineralogical characterization

Figure 1 and Tables 1 to 3 report the crystalline phases present in CFA thermochemically-treated at 600°C, 500°C and 400°C respectively, where their amounts were normalized to 100%. The reasons for presenting normalized crystalline mineral contents were two-fold. First, the amorphous content of untreated and thermochemically-treated CFA remained constant at about 62 ± 1 % relative to the total mineralogical composition, which made it appropriate to compare normalized values of crystalline phases between samples obtained under varying experimental conditions. Second, since the amorphous content represented the same significant proportion of the total mineralogy for all samples, the normalized approach permitted to highlight the occurrence of new sulphate-bearing minerals present in minor amounts, but which were nevertheless important to stress since they represented several percents of the total crystallinity of generated samples (e.g. comparative content of millosevichite between Tables 2 and 3). For comparison purpose, the crystalline phases of untreated fly ash are also reported, and consisted of mullite (84.3%), quartz (15.5%) and a very small amount of lime (0.2%). Lime was very reactive and was not identified in any treated CFA samples, whereas mullite and quartz co-existed in all samples, albeit representing a much smaller proportion of crystalline minerals (15-45% for 500°C and 600°C) than in untreated CFA (99.8%) (Tables 1-3).
Figure 1  XRD analysis of untreated fly ash and fly ash thermochemically-treated at 400°C, 500°C and 600°C for 1h using a CFA:(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio of (a) 2:3 and (b) 2:6.
CFA processed at 600°C exhibited the least complicated XRD patterns and quantification of the crystalline phases was possible (Table 1). 100% of extracted Al crystallised in the form of water-soluble anhydrous aluminium sulphate (Al₂(SO₄)₃), also called millosevichite, which represented 46% and 67% of thermochemically-processed CFA for CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios of 2:3 and 2:6 respectively. The dissolution of formed Al₂(SO₄)₃ during the leaching step explains the measured acidity of the leachate solutions (Figure 2A). Calcium (Ca) was also extracted in significant amount, and crystallised in the form of anhydrous calcium sulphate (CaSO₄), also called anhydrite (7-9%). Since very little Ca was present in mineral forms in untreated CFA (only lime had been detected), it can be argued that most of the reacted Ca originated from the amorphous phase. Metals present in the glassy phase had therefore been very reactive at this temperature. This strongly suggested that most, if not all, Al which had been converted to Al₂(SO₄)₃ also originated from the amorphous phase. At this point, there is no evidence that mullite had reacted to any great extent with the chemical additive under the experimental conditions tested in this study. In principle, the change in the mullite:quartz ratio in CFA after thermochemical treatment (Tables 1 to 3) could have been an indication of the reactivity of mullite during thermochemical treatment. However, this reasoning does not apply, since the XRD data reported were not quantitative. Untreated CFA was micronized before XRD data collection and was characterized by particles smaller than 10 µm. However, thermochemically-treated CFA samples were not micronized since they contained water-soluble sulphate-based species whose reactivity with ethanol used during micronisation was unknown, and were therefore simply ground with a pestle and mortar. As a result, the sizes of particles contained in the different samples (untreated and treated CFA) were very different, with treated CFA being composed of coarser particles than untreated CFA. This would have caused artefacts during XRD data collection, such as preferential orientation, a problem especially well-recognised with quartz. Increasing thermochemical reaction time and adjusting CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio may promote mullite reactivity, as observed for Chinese CFA [28-29], although this may not apply to South African CFA. Mullite crystals can be seen as inclusions within the CFA glassy matrix, and therefore some degree of reactivity of this matrix with (NH₄)₂SO₄ is paramount to permit direct contact between the extracting agent and mullite, which would then allow the reaction to occur. Our study demonstrated that little Si (≤0.6%) had been extracted, which indicated the absence of reactivity between the Si-O bonds in the glassy matrix of the tested CFA and (NH₄)₂SO₄. The reporting of Si extraction data for Chinese CFA is necessary for comparison between matrix reactivity for ashes from different sources.
Figure 2  Solution pH (a) and conductivity (b) profiles for the leaching of untreated and thermochemically-treated South African ultrafine coal fly ash (Chemical agent used: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$; Thermochemical treatment performed at 400°C, 500°C and 600°C for 1h; CFA:(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio of 2:3 (dashed line) and 2:6 (plain line)) in ultrapure water at ambient temperature.
Table 1  Rietveld quantitative phase analysis of untreated fly ash and fly ash thermochemically-treated at CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios of 2:3 and 2:6 and at a temperature of 600°C (Reaction time: 1h; Chemical agent used: (NH₄)₂SO₄; only the crystalline phases are reported and were normalized to 100%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Untreated fly ash</th>
<th>CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio 2:3</th>
<th>CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio 2:6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mullite</td>
<td>Al₆Si₂O₁₃</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>CaSO₄</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millosevichite</td>
<td>Al₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not detected

Although the amounts of Al extracted from CFA processed at 500°C and 600°C were identical for an CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ of 2:6 (Figure 3), its speciation was distinctively different. At 500°C, Al from the glass phase had crystallised to form two water-soluble species: NH₄Al(SO₄)₂, also called godovikovite, and Al₂(SO₄)₃ (Table 2). The CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio had a significant effect on the proportion between these two Al species, with a 2:6 ratio favouring the formation of NH₄Al(SO₄)₂ (46%) over Al₂(SO₄)₃ (20%), whereas a 2:3 ratio generated the two species in similar amount (21% and 26% respectively). CFA treated at 500°C was also composed of 19-27% CaSO₄, depending on the CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio used, which was more than at 600°C.
Figure 3  Aluminium extraction efficiency (A. expressed as % of total aluminium in CFA; B. expressed as % of Al in amorphous phase) at two different CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios (FA:AS of 2:3 and 2:6) and three activation temperatures (400°C, 500°C, 600°C) (n = 2).
Table 2  Semi-quantitative mineralogical analysis of fly ash thermoochemically-treated at CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios of 2:3 and 2:6 and at a temperature of 500°C (Reaction time: 1h; Chemical agent used: (NH₄)₂SO₄; only the crystalline phases are reported and were normalized to 100%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Untreated fly ash</th>
<th>CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio 2:3</th>
<th>CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio 2:6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mullite</td>
<td>Al₆Si₂O₁₃</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>CaSO₄</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millosevichite</td>
<td>Al₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godovikovite</td>
<td>NH₄Al(SO₄)₂</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not detected

CFA processed at 400°C exhibited a much more complex mineralogical composition containing a mixture of unreacted parent silicate minerals (Al₆Si₂O₁₃ + SiO₂), and new crystalline Al- (Al₂(SO₄)₃ + NH₄Al(SO₄)₂) and Ca- (CaSO₄) containing phases. These minerals were embedded in a matrix composed of two products of thermal decomposition of (NH₄)₂SO₄ (i.e. NH₄HSO₄ + (NH₄)₃H(SO₄)₂) that had yet to react with CFA (Table 3). Since these unreacted ammonium-based sulphate compounds are water-soluble, they most certainly contributed to the high electrical conductivity values measured in their corresponding leachates (Figure 2B). Anhydrite represented no more than 6% of the crystalline structure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Untreated fly ash</th>
<th>CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio 2:3</th>
<th>CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio 2:6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mullite</td>
<td>Al$_6$Si$<em>2$O$</em>{13}$</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>CaSO$_4$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millosevichite</td>
<td>Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godovikovite</td>
<td>NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letovicite</td>
<td>(NH$_4$)$_3$H(SO$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium hydrogen sulphate</td>
<td>NH$_4$HSO$_4$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not detected

3.2.2. Morphological characterisation

The morphology of thermochemically-treated CFA was observed by FE-SEM. For comparison, untreated CFA particles were also examined (Figure 4a). The latter was composed of individual spherical or “ball-bearing” particles of varying sizes, as well as clusters of particles. The surface topography of CFA spheres was relatively smooth at the scale of observation allowed by the FE-SEM, regardless of the particle size. On the other hand, thermochemically-treated CFA consisted of spherical CFA particles surrounded by numerous newly-formed hexagonal structures that were mostly interlocked to form clusters of varying sizes (Figure 4b). Depending on the treatment temperature, these hexagonal structures may have been millosevichite (Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$) and/or godovikovite (NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$) as identified by XRD, since these minerals are crystals of hexagonal configuration (millosevichite [31]; godovikovite [32]). At high magnification, the hexagons exhibited cracked surfaces (Figure 5a) with plate-like shapes (Figure 5b). Other high-magnification micrographs (Figures 5c-d) also showed the occurrence of orthorhombic or cubic lattices.
Figure 4  FE-SEM micrographs of (a) untreated fly ash, and (b) fly ash thermochemically-treated at 600°C using CFA: \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) ratio of 2:6. Acceleration voltage: 2kV; Magnification: 5000x.

Figure 5  High-magnification FE-SEM micrographs of hexagonal (75000x (a), 95000x (b)) and orthorhombic/cubic (30000x (c), 45000x (d)) structures present in fly ash sample thermochemically-treated at 600°C (acceleration voltage: 2kV).
in the clusters made up of hexagonal structures and CFA particles, with sides of about 1 µm. These lattices corresponded most probably to the anhydrite mineral (CaSO₄) identified by XRD (Tables 1-3), since the crystal structure system of anhydrite is orthorhombic, which can also result in cleavage in smaller cubes [33].

3.3. Effect of thermochemical processing of coal fly ash on aluminium extraction

The chemical extraction of Al from untreated and thermochemically-treated CFA dispersed in ultra-pure water (i.e. under uncontrolled pH conditions) was investigated by initially monitoring the profile of two solution parameters over time: pH and conductivity (Figure 2). For untreated CFA, solution pH increased rapidly before progressively plateauing and stabilizing at 9.61. This was accompanied by a slight increase in solution conductivity (ca. 290 µS/cm). These observations could be ascribed to some leaching of alkalis and alkaline earths and other metal ions from the glass phase [34]. For instance, the content of calcium (Ca) in CFA exerts a strong influence on the pH of the ash-water system.

Dispersing thermochemically-treated CFA in ultra-pure water introduced very distinct temporal changes to the pH and conductivity of the solution when compared to those observed with untreated CFA. For instance, the rapid decrease of the solution pH below 4 immediately upon addition of all treated CFA was the first indication that CFA had undergone significant structural changes. This low pH could not be solely explained by the presence of excess (NH₄)₂SO₄ remaining in activated CFA since (NH₄)₂SO₄ solutions typically have a pH in the range of 5 to 6, and most of the salt is expected to be decomposed at temperatures ≥ 500°C. However, it represented the first indirect evidence that water-soluble metal sulphate-based compounds (M-SO₄²⁻) and/or double salts (i.e. ammonium-metal sulphate-based compounds; NH₄⁺-M-SO₄²⁻) may have formed during the thermochemical process since chemical species such as Al₃(SO₄)₃ are very acidic. The CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratio had little effect on solution pH under the experimental conditions tested (Figure 2A). In contrast, the temperature used during thermochemical processing played a significant role (Figure 2A), with a temperature of 400°C promoting a lower pH (1.61-1.74) than elevated temperatures (2.91-2.95 at 500°C; 3.31-3.49 at 600°C). In a similar manner, leaching of CFA thermochemically-treated at 400°C resulted in higher solution conductivity (> 8000 µS/cm) than for CFA treated at higher temperatures (3157-3430 µS/cm at 500°C; 1822-2470 µS/cm at 600°C). In addition, greater CFA:(NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios led to higher conductivity values (Figure 2B). This observation was particularly dominant at 400°C, which suggested that an excess of (NH₄)₂SO₄ had been
used at this temperature and was still present in the reaction product because it had most probably not fully reacted with CFA and it had not fully decomposed into volatile gases. It is therefore conceivable that increasing the duration of the thermochemical processing step at this temperature may have a positive effect on the extent of Al extraction. No \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) or associated decomposition species (e.g. \(\text{NH}_4\text{HSO}_4\)) were expected to be present in the solids generated at higher temperatures. As a result, the difference in solution conductivity observed for the two CFA:/(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 ratios at higher temperatures can most likely be explained in terms of differences in dissolved Al and other metals. In particular, the positive effect of increased CFA:/(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 ratio on the extent of Al extraction appeared to be greater at 600°C than at 500°C.

Extraction of Al from untreated and thermochemically-treated CFA was also evaluated. The total content of Al in as-received, untreated CFA was 34.0% (expressed as Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)), which corresponds to 17.99g Al/100g CFA. The speciation of Al in CFA was two-fold. CFA contained a crystalline mullite phase (Al\(_6\)Si\(_2\)O\(_{13}\); 31.8%), which is theoretically composed of 38% of Al, and a glass phase which comprised the remaining Al. This implied that 11.47g of Al was trapped in the potentially unreactive mullite fraction and 6.52g of Al was distributed in the structure of the presumably more reactive glass phase. It was anticipated that Al present in the glass phase would react more extensively with \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) and/or its derived species generated during its thermal decomposition (e.g. \(\text{NH}_4\text{HSO}_4\), \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{H(SO}_4)_2\), \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5\); \cite{26}) than the Al confined in the mullite component. For this reason, Al extraction efficiency was calculated both as a percentage of total Al \((\text{Al}_{\text{tot}})\) present in CFA (Figure 3A) and as a percentage of Al present in the amorphous phase \((\text{Al}_{\text{glass}})\) (Figure 3B).

(a) Untreated CFA – Dissolution of untreated CFA in ultra-pure water was minimal. In particular, little Al (0.2% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{tot}}\); 0.6% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{glass}}\)) had been released to solution; this was in agreement with the low electrical conductivity value measured (ca. 290 μS/cm).

(b) Thermochemically-treated CFA – The extent of aqueous Al extraction from CFA improved substantially following its thermochemical processing using \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) and was greater than 21.0% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{tot}}\) or 65.4% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{glass}}\) for all experimental conditions studied (Figure 3). Different combinations of processing temperature and CFA:/(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 ratio resulted in varying concentrations of Al in the filtrates. For the duration of the thermochemical processing step used in this study (i.e. 1h) and at a CFA:/(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 ratio of 2:6, an improvement in Al extraction efficiency was observed when the temperature had been increased from 400°C (21.8% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{tot}}\); 67.9% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{glass}}\)) to 500°C (30.5% of \(\text{Al}_{\text{tot}}\);
95.3% of Al\textsubscript{glass}). A further increase to 600°C did not increase the extent of Al extraction (30.5% of Al\textsubscript{tot}; 95.1% of Al\textsubscript{glass}). Reducing the CFA:(NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} ratio from 2:6 to 2:3 had no effect on the concentration of dissolved Al for CFA activated at 400°C. This was a strong indication that the chemical additive was present in excess for the two investigated conditions. Since less Al was extracted at this temperature than at 500/600°C and no other easily leachable elements were present in sufficiently large quantity in CFA, the higher solution conductivity measured for the 400°C treatment was most probably generated by the release of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} ions from unreacted water-soluble (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and/or its derived species generated during its thermal decomposition after the 1h activation step. Reducing the CFA:(NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} ratio from 2:6 to 2:3 had a measurable negative effect on the concentration of dissolved Al for CFA thermochemically-treated at elevated temperatures (500°C and 600°C). The lower extraction of Al for CFA treated at 600°C compared to that treated at 500°C suggested that at a CFA:(NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} of 2:3 the kinetics of thermal decomposition and volatilisation of (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} at 600°C had been more rapid than the rate of reaction between the extracting agent and CFA.

A CFA:(NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} of 2:6 at 500°C was the optimal condition for the selective extraction of Al from the amorphous phase of CFA when a 1h-long thermochemical treatment was used. Significant extraction of Al from the mullite component appeared unlikely under the experimental conditions tested since FE-SEM observations of treated CFA did not provide any evidence of mullite crystals having been exposed to the extracting agent, although it could not be unambiguously demonstrated at this stage. This is currently being investigated in greater details in our laboratories.

3.4. Simultaneous extraction of other elements

Under all experimental conditions tested, the process permitted to selectively extract Al over Si, with less than 0.6% Si having been released from quartz, mullite and the amorphous glass phase to solution. This was not specific to the mineralogy of CFA, and a similar observation was also made for other aluminosilicate materials (e.g. 0.8% from Portuguese serpentinite [35]). However, tailings associated with the mining of Platinum Group Metals (PGM) have been shown to release significant amounts of Si to solution (18%; Mohamed et al., 2016) following similar thermochemical processing with (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. The minimal extraction of Si from CFA was an important finding, since some applications require Al sources which are Si-depleted.
Figure 6  Iron (A.), calcium (B.), magnesium (C.) and titanium (D.) extraction efficiency (expressed as % of total element in CFA) at two different CFA:$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ ratios (FA:AS of 2:3 and 2:6) and three activation temperatures (400°C, 500°C, 600°C) ($n = 2$).
However, apart from Si, the process was not element-specific, and the chemical affinity between (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and other major elements under thermal conditions led to the co-extraction of calcium, magnesium, iron and titanium (Figure 6). Similar observation of combined metal extraction was previously made for a range of thermochemically-treated silicate and oxide minerals [26,35-36]. In the present study, the extraction of Ca, Ti and Fe was found to decrease with increasing temperature, which represented an opposite trend to that of Al. Although optimal Al extraction was obtained when using a CFA:(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ of 2:6 at 500°C, ca. 8% Fe was co-extracted. Increasing the treatment temperature to 600°C reduced Fe extraction down to ca. 2%, presumably due to varying thermodynamic control of occurring reactions at various temperatures, with no negative effect on Al extraction. This information may be significant for applications where the presence or contamination by Fe may be problematic. However, elevated temperatures during thermochemical treatment lead to (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ reagent losses due to its excessive decomposition (i.e. loss as SO$_x$), which must be minimized to ensure a closed loop process with recoverable reagent [37]. Changes to key process variables for thermochemical treatment below 500°C are currently being investigated, with the aim of minimizing reagent loss with minimal negative impact on Al extraction, although higher Ca and Ti extraction efficiencies are anticipated under these temperature conditions.

3.5. Characterisation of non-dissolved residues (CFA$_{res}$)

XRD revealed the presence of mullite and quartz, the two primary crystalline phases present in untreated CFA, in all CFA$_{res}$ samples (Figures 7a and b). It was discussed earlier that thermochemical treatment of CFA had induced the formation of several mineralogical phases (Figure 1), which included anhydrite CaSO$_4$ and millosevichite Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ (Tables 1 to 3), godovikovite NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$ (Tables 2 and 3), and letovicite (NH$_4$)$_3$H(SO$_4$)$_2$ and ammonium hydrogen sulphate NH$_4$HSO$_4$ (Table 3). Four of the newly-formed species (anhydrite, millosevichite, letovicite and ammonium hydrogen sulphate) were absent from the XRD patterns of all CFA$_{res}$ (Figures 7a and b), which indicated that they had dissolved readily during the timeframe of the experiments. In contrast, godovikovite was identified in all CFA$_{res}$ originating from MT$_{tct}$ that had contained the mineral (Figures 7a and b). This indicated that godovikovite had not, or only partially, dissolved during leaching. This phase may also have transformed, in part, to the intermediate species tschermigite (NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$.12H$_2$O, which was identified in the XRD patterns of CFA$_{res}$ for experiments where the thermochemical step made use of a CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio of 2:6 (Figure
Figure 7  XRD analysis of untreated fly ash and non-dissolved residues generated following aqueous leaching of fly ash thermochemically-treated at three temperatures (400, 500 and 600°C) at the two CFA: (NH₄)₂SO₄ ratios of (a) 2:3 and (b) 2:6.
It is therefore recommended to select thermochemical conditions that favour the formation of millosevichite over that of godovikovite.

CFA_{res} contained less Al, Ca and Fe, but more Si, than untreated CFA (Table 4). This observation was in agreement with the trends observed in elemental extraction efficiencies discussed in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. The content of SO$_3$ was low in CFA$_{res}$ originating from thermochemical treatment at 600°C followed by dissolution ($\leq 0.80\%$). In contrast, it amounted to 1.40-1.43\% when a CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio of 2:3 had been used, whereas the values were much greater (3.99-6.95\%) when a larger excess of (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ had been utilised at 400°C and 500°C (Table 4). The observation of elevated amounts of SO$_3$ appeared to relate positively with the presence of godovikovite and tschermigite in the XRD patterns of CFA$_{res}$. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Untreated fly ash</th>
<th>CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio 2:3</th>
<th>CFA: (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ ratio 2:6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400°C 500°C 600°C</td>
<td>400°C 500°C 600°C</td>
<td>400°C 500°C 600°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>49.30 58.80 58.50</td>
<td>57.90 55.50 60.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>34.00 27.10 29.20</td>
<td>26.80 23.80 26.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>5.78 3.46 3.56</td>
<td>2.85 3.42 3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.06 3.52 3.40</td>
<td>3.07 3.25 3.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.01 2.14 2.07</td>
<td>1.71 1.96 2.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.99 0.96 0.78</td>
<td>0.86 0.88 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.87 0.70 0.66</td>
<td>0.76 0.55 0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.59 0.66 0.70</td>
<td>0.25 0.44 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td>0.24 1.43 0.37</td>
<td>3.99 6.95 0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>0.52 0.52 0.28</td>
<td>1.23 2.60 0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOI values were fairly similar to that for untreated CFA, except for the samples where a greater SO$_3$ had been found, which were characterised by a LOI varying between 1.23 and 2.60 (Table 4). Higher LOI values were most probably the result of the dehydration of tschermigite, which contains 12 molecules of water.

4. Conclusions

The potential for selectively extracting Al from the amorphous glass phase of an ultrafine coal fly ash was demonstrated in this study, with extraction efficiencies of up to 95.0% achieved. Since Al was most probably not extracted from the mullite component of CFA, different coal ashes could be ranked on the basis of their mullite and amorphous contents. The process involved thermochemical treatment using (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, a widely available, low-cost, recyclable extracting agent, followed by aqueous dissolution at ambient temperature. The process was not element-selective, and the chemical affinity between (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and a number of elements (e.g. calcium, magnesium, titanium) under thermal conditions led to the formation of several water-soluble sulphate-metal species. However, thermochemical treatment at 600°C permitted the selective extraction of aluminium (ca. 95%) over iron (ca. 2%) and silicon (< 0.6%), which may be important for some applications. This extraction process offers the significant advantage of recovering aluminium from CFA in a readily-available soluble form, which could be subsequently converted to value-added products. The latter could enable the economical usage and therefore viability of ash management via valorisation. The process yields a silicon-rich residue, which could be used as a secondary source of e.g. nano-silica. The envisaged modes of use of ash could substantially reduce the volumes of ash dams, and could contribute to the sustainability of the South African aluminium sector and to reduction of penalties imposed on power generators for ash accumulation.
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