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When compared to the widerange ofatmosphericsensingtechniques, globalnavigationsatellite system (GNSS) offers the advantage of operating under all weather conditions, is continuous, with high temporal and spatial resolution and high accuracy, and has long-term stability. The utilisation of GNSS ground networks of continuous stations for operational weather and climate services is already in place in many nations in Europe, Asia, and America under different initiatives and organisations. In Africa, the situation appears to be different. The focus of this paper is to assess the conditions of the existing and anticipated GNSS reference network in the African region for meteorological applications. The technical issues related to the implementation of near-real-time (NRT) GNSS meteorology are also discussed, including the data and network requirements for meteorological and climate applications. We conclude from this study that the African GNSS network is sparse in the north and central regions of the continent, with a dense network in the south and fairly dense network in the west and east regions of the continent. Most stations lack collocated meteorological sensors and other geodetic observing systems as called for by the GCOS Reference Upper Air Network (GRUAN) GNSS Precipitable Water Task Team and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Preliminary results of calculated zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD) from the African GNSS indicate spatial variability and diurnal dependence of ZTD. To improve the density and geometry of the existing network, countries are urged to contribute more stations to the African Geodetic Reference Frame (AFREF) program and a collaborative scheme between different organisations maintaining different GNSS stations on the continent is recommended. The benefit of using spaced based GNSS radio occultation (RO) data for atmospheric sounding is highlighted and filling of geographical gaps from the station-based observation network with GNSS RO is also proposed.

1. Introduction and Background

Satellite-based remote sensing technologies are an integral part of the work of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) through the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS). The mandate of GCOS is to determine what data are needed for the monitoring of climate impacts. To this end, GCOS has identified 44 so-called Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) [1]. According to GCOS, progress in producing the forty-four ECVs has been lethargic to date. Nonetheless, the increasing importance of adaptation in terms of both costs and needs has heightened the interest in space-based operations [2].

Atmospheric water vapour is identified as one of the forty-four ECVs. Atmospheric water vapour is one of the most important components of the atmosphere, since it is the means by which moisture and latent heat are transported to influence weather; furthermore it is a greenhouse gas that plays an essential role in the global hydrological cycle and global climate system [3]. Most meteorological processes (convection, cloud formation, and precipitation) are influenced by the local as well as large-scale variability in atmospheric water vapour. Traditional methods of collecting data on atmospheric water vapour do not offer the spatial and temporal resolution necessary for in-depth studies of weather and climate [4]. A better understanding of climate and weather patterns requires data sets that are more comprehensive.

Global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) through a concept referred to as “GNSS meteorology” are a contender
for providing the water vapour knowledge that atmospheric scientists have been seeking. GNSS receivers/antennas do not require continual calibration as some sensors do for measurement drifts or biases although pressure sensors collocated at GNSS sites occasionally require calibration for instrument drift. Also, large numbers of GNSS measurements can be made temporally and spatially in all weather conditions. Due to the coverage and potential for near-real-time data transmission that GNSS water vapour estimates offer, GNSS could be used to determine the distribution of water vapour over a region of interest and thus help in the identification of potential severe weather activity [3, 5, 6].

The term GNSS meteorology relates to the utilization of the GNSS radio signals to deliver information about the state of the troposphere. This can be achieved from a satellite platform (GNSS radio occultation meteorology) [7, 8] and ground permanent stations (ground-based GNSS meteorology) [9, 10]. Continuous observations from GNSS receivers provide an excellent tool for studying the earth’s atmosphere. GNSS meteorology has transitioned from research into national and regional networks. The collaboration between the geodetic and meteorological communities has contributed to the meteorological community’s understanding of the GNSS representation of water vapour. These and other efforts have resulted in projects and collaboration between GCOS and the International GNSS Service (IGS) [11–13] focussed on the operational use of GNSS water vapour estimations [14].

The new technology of GNSS atmospheric remote sensing has several advantages over the conventional water vapour observing system, including global coverage, reliable and stable results, high measurement accuracy, all weather operability, and radio frequencies that can penetrate clouds and dusts [15]. In addition, since the majority of national geodetic institutions and scientific organisations install GNSS receivers in the different regions of the world with dense networks in order to do positioning, mapping, monitor tectonics, and so forth, through collaboration with those, data for zenith total delay (ZTD) estimation for meteorology can be obtained at a low or no cost. GNSS meteorology enables precipitable water vapour (PWV) to be inferred with the same accuracy as conventional meteorological measurements, such as radiosondes, water vapour radiometer (WVR), and microwave profiler (MWP) measurements, to about 1-2 mm PWV accuracy [16]. Comparative and validation results of GNSSZTD/PWV with existing numeric weather prediction (NWP) models such as the European Centre for Medium Weather Forecast (ECMWF) and the National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) are promising as seen from the works of, for example, Chen et al. [17], Kishore et al. [18], and Koulali et al. [19]. Also, Teke et al. [20], van Malderen et al. [21], and Bock et al. [22] have all reported the performance of GNSS against other geodetic techniques (namely, very long baseline interferometry (VLBI), Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS), and sun photometers from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET)) in the estimation of troposphere parameters with results showing strong correlation among them.

There have been several projects from several organizations on the different continents of the world to derive tropospheric zenith delay measurements from ground-based GNSS, for operational meteorological applications. Examples of such projects in Europe include the COST Action 716 (European Cooperation in the Field of Scientific, Technical Exploitation of Ground-Based GPS for Numerical Weather Prediction Application, 1998–2004) [23], TOUGH (Targeting Optimal Use of GPS Humidity Data in Meteorology, 2003–2006) [24], and E-GVAP (The EUMETNET GPS Water Vapour Programme, 2004) [25]. E-GVAP network consists of more than 1500 GNSS sites mainly in Europe; recently processing and distribution of global GNSS data have started, since many E-GVAP members run global NWP models. In Africa, the AMMA (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis Project, 1999–2005) [26, 27] worked on PWV estimation and analysis in the African monsoon region. In North America, the SuomiNet (named to honour meteorological satellite pioneer Verner Suomi) network of receivers provides real-time estimates of water vapour for a global network of receivers from zenith water vapour measurements which are mostly concentrated in the United States (see [4]). Additionally, UCAR’s (University Corporation for Atmospheric Research) COSMIC (Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere and Climate) program processes GPS data in PBO (Plate Boundary Observatory) GPS network, CocoNet (Caribbean Network), SuomiNet + NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), USCG/USACE (United States Coast Guard/US Army Corps of Engineers), DOT (Department of Transportation), and other sites to produce near-real-time (NRT) PWV estimates across North America [28]. The Japanese GEONET (GPS Earth Observation Network) has the largest array of over 1200 GPS stations in the world. It was established for earthquake hazard research and mitigation; scientific applications of the network have been expanded to include meteorology and ionospheric research. Currently, the GEONET contributes to the assimilation of GPS precipitable water vapour data into the JMA (Japan Meteorological Agency) Mesoscale Numerical Prediction Model [29]. Furthermore, two European institutes, Météo France and UK Met Office, use NRT ZTDs in their numerical weather predictions operations today, both reporting a positive impact from the use of ground-based GNSS delay data [30]. Utilizing its capability of water vapour estimation, GNSS has a potential to detect and track extreme changes in weather such as thunderstorms [29]. In addition, NRT GNSS water vapour monitoring for numerical weather prediction services is active in Germany and Austria [31].

All the foregoing discussions demonstrate that GNSS atmospheric remote sensing technology is a key method to improve spatial and temporal sampling of water vapour observation and that GNSS PWV should be a useful source of humidity information for NWP applications. However, there is still no operational GNSS network for GNSS meteorology in Africa, although the application has been investigated at the Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory in terms of South Africa only [32]. Thus, as a practical issue, it is important to build up a network of GNSS stations.
Table 1: GRUAN GNSS product requirements (modified after [14]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ZTD</th>
<th>ZWD</th>
<th>PWV</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>$T_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement range</td>
<td>1000–3000 mm</td>
<td>0–500 mm</td>
<td>0–8 mm</td>
<td>500–1100 hpa</td>
<td>20–300 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>4–6 mm</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>0.1 mm</td>
<td>0.01 hpa</td>
<td>0.2 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>4–6 mm</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>0.1 mm</td>
<td>0.5 hpa</td>
<td>0.1 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term stability</td>
<td>0.1–0.4 mm/dec</td>
<td>0.1–0.4 mm/dec</td>
<td>0.01–0.06 Kgm/dec</td>
<td>0.1 hpa/dec</td>
<td>0.05 K/dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal resolution</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data latency</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: IGS tropospheric products (http://igscb.jpl.nasa.gov/components/prods.html, assessed 20/02/14).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Latency</th>
<th>Updates</th>
<th>Sample interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final tropospheric zenith path delay</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>&lt;4 weeks</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrarapid tropospheric zenith path delay</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>2-3 hours</td>
<td>Every 3 hours</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

across Africa, which can routinely retrieve PWV for weather prediction, atmospheric research, and climate monitoring and prediction. This problem is the main motivation of this review. In view of the different requirements of GNSS meteorology as identified in Isioye [33] and the available GNSS CORS (Continuously Operating Reference Station) network in Africa, there is the need to look into this promising technology and create a working model to accommodate the existing facilities and the general requirement for operational meteorology. These challenges are addressed in this paper.

2. Operational Requirements and Standards for GNSS Meteorology

The IGS Troposphere Working Group [34] is currently collaborating with the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Reference Upper Air Network (GRUAN). The GRUAN is an international reference-observing network, designed to meet climate requirements and to fill a major void in the current global observing system [14, 35]. The GRUAN GNSS Precipitable Water (GNSS PW) Task Team was established in 2010 as one of six GRUAN task teams. Ground-based GNSS PW was identified as the utmost priority measurements for GRUAN, and GNSS PW Task Team’s goal is to develop explicit guidance on hardware, software, and data management practices to obtain GNSS PW measurements of consistent quality at all GRUAN sites. Both GRUAN and IGS have developed a requirement for GNSS products. A summary of GRUAN GNSS product requirements is presented in Table 1.

As part of the collaboration, expectations are as follows: (a) GRUAN stations should become IGS stations; (b) IGS helps to push GNSS based climate applications according to climatological requirements and process IGS data for climatological applications; (c) further, collaboration to establish central processing and analysis facilities of which IGS is already playing a leading role has been advocated. The IGS currently estimates ZTD and other atmospheric products through its global network. See Table 2 for a summary of IGS atmospheric products.

In addition, the IGS and EUREF [36, 37] have also developed useful guidelines for operational procedure for GNSS meteorology networks (within the COST716 action user requirements for the use of GNSS in meteorology) [38]. These procedures are summarised under four major component headings as shown in Figure 1. The guidelines from IGS in partnership with GRUAN and EUREF represent the standard for GNSS meteorology at the moment and are in agreement with those specified by WMO.

3. Status of the African GNSS Network

Networks of GNSS CORS exist in Africa, which contribute to the IGS and are established and managed by African entities and partners. Densification of IGS networks with its products in Africa is the first step towards the realization of the African Geodetic Reference Frame (AFREF) [39, 40]. Some station requirements and regional issues were discussed in detail by Combrinck and Chin [41, 42]. The densification requires that at least one GNSS CORS be established in every African country. Such networks of CORS are to form the basis of and act as focal points for the establishment of national GNSS networks; and where possible, more than one of such stations can be in a country. It is expected that, on the full realization of AFREF, every country will have a GNSS CORS network with capabilities to fully serve varied national needs and provide other useful products to users, for example, broadcasting differential corrections, and to refine the transformation parameters necessary to relate the national systems to ITRF (International Terrestrial Reference Frame). It is important to note that all IGS stations in Africa are AFREF stations, but not all AFREF stations are IGS stations as well. Furthermore, all AFREF stations are contributing to realize the various national reference frames but not all national GNSS stations are AFREF stations, as is the case already in South Africa, which currently has sixty-six GNSS stations in its national frame but only sixteen stations are AFREF and IGS stations. Figure 2 depicts the distribution of AFREF and IGS stations in Africa. The network is very sparse in the north and central African regions. Currently, there are about thirty-nine IGS stations operating on the African continent; most of the stations are concentrated in the southern part of the continent.
The GNSS meteorology network requirement specifies the following. (a) A network for GNSS meteorology should consist of more than one real network (e.g., a local network combined with IGS stations); (b) The density of the network should be 30–100 km, for numerical weather prediction, and 10–100 km, for nowcasting. A network should be large enough for absolute estimation of atmospheric delay (long baselines approximately 100 km). (c) At least one receiver in the network should be collocated with a radiosonde site for quality control. (d) Latency of data collection should be smaller than 20 minutes, for numerical weather prediction (assuming assimilation cycles of 3 hours), and smaller than five minutes, for nowcasting. (e) The network should be centred around a dense subnetwork, when it exists and a number of IGS stations should be included in the network for validation with other GNSS/GPS processing centres and the RINEX format should be used to exchange GPS observations.

The GNSS meteorology station requirement includes the station monument and hardware type; the guidelines for IGS are adopted, which represent the global standard.

The atmosphere observable should satisfy certain conditions such as the following: (i) hourly ZTD/IWV must be disseminated within 45 minutes, (ii) subhourly ZTD/IWV estimates should be disseminated within the length of the repetition cycle, and (iii) the accuracy of the ZTD should be 10–15 mm/IWV 1–2, for numerical weather prediction, and IWV be 1–3, for nowcasting.

The GNSS meteorology processing requirement is that GNSS processing should be performed using standard software. The processing should follow the following: (a) use of (ultrarapid), orbits (from, e.g., IGS) when necessary and (b) the processing time which should be such that the total latency is less than 45 minutes, for numerical weather prediction and less than the repetition cycle of the product, for nowcasting.

A quick check on the station availability of AFREF stations from the AFREF data web page (http://www.afrendata.org/) indicates that 81 stations are currently on the scheduled list for daily data logging from individual contributors (countries) in Africa. However, only 25 countries on the continent are currently contributing to the IGS and AFREF. The maximum daily data archive for AFREF in the last three years (2011, 2012, and 2013) to date (23/02/2014) stands at 54 stations per day. The reason for inconsistent data streaming from the various stations can obviously be due to communication problems, which has to do with internet connectivity, equipment failure, or in some cases electrical power problems, which is less often.

From the foregoing, the African GNSS network can be seen to have three particularities: (i) sparseness going by the requirement for meteorology, (ii) absence of weather sensors and radiosondes at GNSS sites, and (iii) inconsistency in data streaming from operators due to lack of internet connectivity for data transmission, thereby creating data gaps. The sparseness of the African network implies long interstation distances; this could also lead to a lack of constraints on the orbit solution established by the IGS. Hence, the orbit errors could be more important over Africa than in places with a denser IGS network. These orbit errors would then
Table 3: Comparison of ZTD estimation using different orbit products (the ZTD estimates obtained using IGS final orbits were used as reference to compute the other result) (after [33]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbit type</th>
<th>RMS (mm)</th>
<th>Standard deviation (mm)</th>
<th>Mean difference (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum difference (mm)</th>
<th>Minimum difference (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGS rapid</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>−0.079</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>−1.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGS ultrarapid</td>
<td>1.876</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>−0.185</td>
<td>29.435</td>
<td>−19.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPL 15 min</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.511</td>
<td>−0.197</td>
<td>9.435</td>
<td>−11.560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

limit baseline precisions on the long baselines typically found in the African GNSS network. Therefore, the application of GNSS for weather studies will be more limited in Africa; this slight limitation is however not critical as the global orbit adjustments and solutions basically avoid the problem. Thus, the present conditions fall slightly short of international requirements as discussed in the preceding section of this review. The addition of corner cube reflectors on future and current GNSS satellites will ensure Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) calibration of the satellite orbits; expansion of the SLR network from the southern part of Africa is required to fully exploit benefits that can be had.

For operational weather service, GNSS PWV estimates are required to be in NRT. The NRT data processing involves acquiring and processing of GNSS and ancillary observations to yield signal delay or PWV estimates within a single numerical NWP assimilation cycle. In operational weather prediction, meteorological observations are typically assimilated every 6 hours. With the new generation of meteorological satellite observations provided at intervals of 1 hour 40 minutes, the assimilation delay currently required by the World Meteorological Observation is approximately 2 hours 15 minutes or 3 hours 20 minutes at most. In the case of the Rapid Update Cycle, running operationally for Environmental Prediction, the assimilation delay is approximately 75 minutes [44]. In order to ensure that one cycle of observations is processed before the next satellite orbit is completed, GNSS PWV must be calculated in NRT with a minimum loss of accuracy if they are to be a useable data source in NWP models. To fulfill this objective, the issue of the use of real-time orbits is necessary and must be addressed in the context of the African network. Preliminary investigation into the issue was reported by Isioye [33] in an experiment that utilised GNSS data for three days (GPS week 1578, days 1–3, which correspond to days of years 95–97, 2010) collected from ten IGS stations of the African GNSS network and processed with the IGS final, rapid, and ultrarapid orbits and JPL 15 min orbits. Table 3 is adapted from Isioye [33] and summarises results of a comparison of the different orbit solutions.

The results obtained using the IGS final orbits were taken as reference and all other results were compared to these. These results clearly demonstrate the potential of GNSS for NRT ZTD estimation and consequently NRT PWV. Further, this confirms that the IGS ultrarapid orbits and the JPL 15 min orbits could be used for NRT GNSS water vapour estimation, since the RMS of the ZTD estimations is 1.9 mm and 1.5 mm, respectively. It is expected that estimated PWV will be less than 1 mm, which is quite acceptable for meteorological applications.

4. Preliminary Results on Monitoring of GNSS ZTD Variability on the African GNSS Network

4.1. GNSS Data Processing and Tropospheric Product Descriptions. Twelve of the forty-four GNSS stations indicated earlier as IGS stations on the African continent were selected for processing and discussion. Data from the period of 2010–2014 were analysed. The selection of the stations for this discussion was based on the availability and continuity of data at a particular station in relation to other stations in the network. Hence, the temporal connectivity weights ($T_i$) of the stations in the network were computed. Each station was assigned a temporal connectivity weight equal to

$$T_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n_k} \cdot \delta(k),$$

where $N$ is the total number of days of interest, $n_k$ is the number of data for the $k$th day, and $\delta(k) = 1$ if station has data for the $k$th day and 0 otherwise. Table 4 highlights all IGS stations adopted in the network with associated temporal connectivity weights for the years under review (2010–2014).

The GNSS data analysis has been performed with MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) GAMIT/GLOBK software package (GAMIT version 10.3 [45]). The software has the capability to determine positional information alongside tropospheric parameters, which comprises the ZTD and atmospheric gradient components.

Firstly, to process ZTD from GAMIT, we used final (precise) orbit solution for the satellites from the IGS, adjusting the orbital parameters to avoid day boundary problems. Antenna phase correction is applied following the IGS recommendations [46]. The coordinates of the GNSS stations were heavily constrained to their ITRF 2008 [47] values. Instead of using a single parameter form for the tropospheric parameters, we used a piecewise linear function of ZTD over the session with the number of zenith delay parameters set at thirteen, thus establishing two-hour intervals. The constraint used for zenith delay was 0.2 metres as it is recommended to set it loose enough to encompass any error in wet delay [45]. The variation is defined as parameters of a first-order Gauss Markov process and the zenith variation between points was given as 0.10 m with 100 hrs of correlation time. The a priori tropospheric delay was calculated according to Saastamoinen [48] based on a standard atmosphere value from the global temperature pressure model (GPT 50). We used the Vienna mapping function [49] and the GNSS data are used down to a cutoff elevation angle of 10°.
The calculated ZTD comprises the hydrostatic (ZHD) and wet (ZWD) component of the delay [31] as shown in

$$ZTD = ZHD + ZWD.$$  \hfill (2)

The wet component of the ZTD is the foundation for estimation of water vapour content in the atmosphere. The relation between ZWD and the water vapour content in atmosphere is expressed by IWV (integrated water vapour) and thus there are many regional/local variants of the model [52]. A more recent product with NRT capability for estimating $T_m$ is from ECWMF operational analyses provided by the Technical University of Vienna (information online at http://ggosatm.hg.tuwien.ac.at/DELAY/ETC/TMEAN/).

Importantly, meteorological units installed at or near GNSS sites need to be calibrated occasionally for instrument drift and biases, and also surface pressure observations should be reduced to GNSS antenna height [53]. Reduction of surface pressure to GNSS antenna height is possible with the hypsometric equation as follows [53]:

$$P_{\text{GNSS}} = P \cdot e^{-\left[p_{\text{sat}} / (T_h^c T)^{\alpha} \right]}.$$  \hfill (5)

In (5), $P_{\text{GNSS}}$ is the pressure at GNSS antenna height (hpa), $R_d = 287,053$ [J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$] is the gas constant for dry air, $P$ is the pressure at the height of the pressure sensor (hpa), $\Delta H = H_{\text{GNSS}} - H_S$ is height difference in metres, $T$ is the actual mean temperature of the layer between the GNSS antenna and the meteorological sensor in Kelvin.

The ZHD can be calculated using empirical models such as the Saastamoinen, Hopfield, Berman, Davis, Ifadis, and Askne models, as described in Tuka and El-Mowafy [54]. The Saastamoinen model is the most used in geodetic applications and its accuracy has been widely reported [55]. The Saastamoinen model for zenith hydrostatic delay (ZHD), in metres, is expressed as in

$$ZHD = 0.002277 \cdot \frac{P}{1 - 0.00266 \cos(\phi) - 0.28 \cdot 10^{-6} h^2},$$  \hfill (6)

where $P$ is the surface pressure in mbar, $\phi$ is latitude in radians, and $h$ is the height of the surface above the ellipsoid (in metres).

### Table 4: GNSS sites and associated temporal weights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station ID</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Latitude (deg.)</th>
<th>Longitude (deg.)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Temporal connectivity weight (2010–2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADIS</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>9.0351</td>
<td>38.7663</td>
<td>2439.1540</td>
<td>212.4104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJCO</td>
<td>Republic of Benin</td>
<td>6.3847</td>
<td>2.4500</td>
<td>30.6000</td>
<td>203.1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGN</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>10.1231</td>
<td>9.1183</td>
<td>916.6953</td>
<td>67.0596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAKR</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>14.7212</td>
<td>342.5605</td>
<td>51.0000</td>
<td>27.3604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRAO</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-25.8901</td>
<td>27.6870</td>
<td>1414.3000</td>
<td>232.6287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAR</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>-0.6016</td>
<td>30.7379</td>
<td>1337.6533</td>
<td>130.7619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKLG</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>0.3539</td>
<td>9.6721</td>
<td>31.4800</td>
<td>214.2571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURK</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>-1.9446</td>
<td>30.0899</td>
<td>1485.0000</td>
<td>181.8869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RABT</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>33.9981</td>
<td>353.1457</td>
<td>90.1000</td>
<td>215.7409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUTH</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-32.3802</td>
<td>20.8105</td>
<td>1799.7660</td>
<td>227.5124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKRO</td>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>6.8706</td>
<td>354.7599</td>
<td>270.0000</td>
<td>79.9242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAMR</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>-15.4255</td>
<td>28.3110</td>
<td>1324.914</td>
<td>98.2366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The accuracy of PWV is strongly dependent on the accuracy of ZTD values. That is, nearly all of the error in PWV is introduced during the ZTD estimation [10]. The major sources of error in ZTD are GNSS antenna phase centre offsets (PCOs) and phase centre variations (PCVs) and uncertainties in mapping functions. The PCVs and PCOs can together yield systematic effects from 0.3 to 1 mm and seasonal effects < 0.1 mm (maximum amplitude). Similarly, the mapping functions can have systematic effects of 0.1–0.5 mm and seasonal effects of 0.1–0.5 mm. However, most of these errors are rigorously handled within precise GNSS analysis software.

The accuracy of ZHD is greatly influenced by errors in surface pressure and can be approximated by [56]

\[ \sigma_{ZHD} = 0.002277 \cdot \sigma_p. \]  

Figure 3: Daily variation of ZTD estimates over Africa in 2013 (days 1–365).

In our processing, the a priori constraint for the gradients was set at 0.01 m in the solution gradient and was thus tightly constrained. The variations for the gradients were defined again as parameters of a first-order Gauss Markov process and the gradient variations between points were given as 0.01 m with 100 hours of correlation time.

4.2. GNSS ZTD Variability on the African GNSS Network

For the long-term trend analysis in climatic changes, a continuous record for at least thirty years is usually necessary [59]. Climate requires a long time series of observations. The GNSS data from the African network cannot yet provide such a long time series. Nevertheless, the four-and-half-year, twelve times per day database of ZTD over twelve stations in the African GNSS network available for this review provides a unique resource for providing insights into the stability and pattern of distribution of ZTD short-term temporal variations (diurnal variation) of the ZTD distribution above Africa.

Figure 4. Evidently, it appears that there is strong dependence of elevation on elevation; after all, it is the water vapour integrated from the observation site to the top of the atmosphere, and if the observation site is higher there will be less atmosphere and hence less water vapour above it. Most sources of water vapour are at the earth’s surface. The dependence on elevation is found to be different than that of the major constituents of the dry atmosphere, and it falls off more quickly with elevation than would be expected from total pressure.
To gain insight into the diurnal characteristics of the sites, we identified the dominant periods (or frequencies) of the time series at each site using periodograms. A periodogram for each station based on two-hourly data from 2010–2014 is shown in Figure 5 and, also, the average two-hourly data plot over the same period is presented in Figure 6. The periodograms illustrate the relative importance of possible frequency values that might explain the oscillation pattern of the calculated ZTDs. An examination of the plots as presented in Figure 5 reveals that all sites show a diurnal dependence of ZTD when averaged over a long time period. It is also possible that a site may show a diurnal dependence at one time of the year and not during another as a result of seasonal changes.

From the foregoing spatial and temporal variability in GNSS ZTD across Africa is identified, as the different stations tend to exhibit distinct trends in GNSS ZTD variability. Though, further processing, analysis, and modelling of ZTD time series for the purpose of identifying seasonal/annual trends, testing for stationarity (stochastic properties), and homogeneity of ZTD data are needed. The potential of GNSS as a tool for meteorology cannot be overemphasized as the variability identified at the different stations can be correlated with other atmospheric parameters which can serve as indicators for the monitoring and predicting of the weather at such places. Furthermore, GNSS meteorology offers the advantage of ZTD time series being used in NWP models and also ZTD/PWV maps used for nowcasting applications.

5. Steps to Improve GNSS Meteorology within the African GNSS Network

The following solutions are offered in view of the peculiarities of the African GNSS network as identified in the preceding section.

5.1. Densification of GNSS Networks through Collaborative Initiatives. There are other initiatives in Africa that utilize GNSS data, apart from AFREF; to realize their objectives. This includes the International Space Weather Initiative (ISWI) and the Scintillation Receiver Network (SCINDA) (http://www.fas.org/spp/military/program/nssrm/initiatives/scinda.htm), which both have a strong interest in populating their observing capability in the equatorial regions of Africa. The AMMA GPS project is primarily a meteorological project aimed at West Africa using GPS as a supporting observing technique [26, 27]. The Africa Array, currently focusing on seismology, uses GPS as a positioning tool for seismic deployment and exploration surveys (http://www.africaarray.psu.edu/). Some of the Africa Array GNSS stations are contributing to AFREF. Furthermore, African Meridian B-Field Education and Research (AMBER) (http://www.igpp.ucla.edu/public/ekassie/AMBER.html) and African GPS Receivers for Equatorial Electrodynamics Studies (AGREES) (http://www.igpp.ucla.edu/public/ekassie/AGREES.html) all use GNSS data to accomplish their objectives which are specific scientific studies.

Many national agencies in Africa still do not make GNSS data available to the public or to AFREF. In Ethiopia and Malawi there is a dense GNSS network for tectonics monitoring. South Africa has a national network (TRIGNET) of 66 stations. Ghana, Algeria, Egypt, Morocco, and Eritrea have national networks of GNSS stations, but information and data are not yet publicly available to AFREF. In addition, UNAVCO archive data for an ample number of permanent GNSS stations in Africa for different agencies and private organizations. Figure 7 shows the position of different stations in the networks discussed under this section.

Collaboration in terms of data sharing and access between the different initiatives and AFREF operational data centres will go a long way towards improving the density of the current network to enhance meteorological applications.

5.2. Meteorological Parameter Modelling from Global/Regional Weather Models. A few continuous GNSS stations are equipped with meteorological sensors on the African network as shown in Figure 2. Meteorological sensors provide the unique advantage of obtaining on-site meteorological data directly at the continuous GNSS station. The primary disadvantage of GNSS meteorology packs is the lack of vertical temperature profiles necessary for computing the mean atmospheric temperature ($T_m$) and they often become noisy when left uncalibrated. Radiosondes offer the advantage of providing surface and vertical profiles of temperature, but only a few radiosondes exist close to stations within the existing African GNSS network. Radiosondes are expensive to launch and performance is hindered under bad weather condition. However, there are varieties of reanalysis models from which to obtain such data, which include the following.

(i) The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) 40-year reanalysis (ERA-40) from 1957 to 2002 is based on the ECMWF three-dimensional variational assimilation system and makes use of both conventional and satellite observations [60]. The ERA-40 is a grid data set with a spectral resolution of $1.125^\circ \times 1.125^\circ$, at 60 hybrid vertical levels, at 0000, 0600, 1200, and 1800 UTC daily.

(ii) The National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) global reanalysis products (NNR-40) are
Figure 5: Periodogram graph of ZTD time series.
available from 1948 to present. The NNR-40 is again a grid data set having grid size $1.875^\circ \times 1.875^\circ$, at 28 hybrid vertical levels, available at 0000, 0600, 1200, and 1800 UTC daily [61].

(iii) The ECMWF Interim reanalysis from 1979 to present was only just recently released in 2011, based on the ECMWF4D variational assimilation systems in preparation for the reanalysis to replace ERA-40 [62]. The ERA-Interim is a grid data set with a spectral resolution of $0.75^\circ \times 0.75^\circ$, having 37 vertical pressure levels. The gridded data products include a large variety of 3-hourly surface parameters, describing...
weather as well as ocean-wave and land-surface conditions, and 6-hourly upper-air parameters covering the troposphere such as temperature and relative humidity. Vertical integrals of atmospheric fluxes, monthly averages for many of the parameters, and other derived fields have also been produced.

The recent release of ERA-Interim provides a clear advantage over ERA-40 [62]. Furthermore, ERA-Interim does not suffer the temporal limitations of ERA-40 thus making it currently the best source for surface pressure and vertical troposphere profiles of temperature and relative humidity necessary for GNSS meteorological calculation. There is a need to assess the applicability of these products in relation to Africa or to develop a regional model for GNSS applications.

5.3. Filling the Gaps with GNSS Radio Occultation (RO). GNSS radio occultation (RO) is a kind of novel method for indirect measurement of temperature, pressure, and water vapour in the stratosphere and the troposphere. The technique is based on using the radio signals continuously broadcasted by the GNSS satellites orbiting the globe at an altitude of approximately 20000 kilometres above the surface from a high precision GNSS receiver mounted on a low earth orbiting (LEO) satellite [18]. A GNSS radio occultation event (ROE) occurs when a GNSS satellite is setting or rising behind the earth’s limb as seen by a GNSS receiver aboard a LEO satellite. During an ROE the transmitted signals from the GNSS satellite travelling to the LEO satellite are delayed because of the impacts of the atmosphere and ionosphere [63, 64]. This delay can be precisely measured and converted to a vertical profile of bending angles and consequently processed to derive a refractivity profile by applying a series of transformation steps [65]. The refractivity is a part of the electron density in the ionosphere and the temperature, pressure, and water vapour in the troposphere. Hence, the GNSS RO technique can offer useful information about the structure and dynamics of the ionosphere, stratosphere, and troposphere.

The RO technique exhibits several beneficial features. First, RO measurements can be obtained under all weather conditions and are available globally. Furthermore, the retrieved atmospheric profiles have high agreements with atmospheric profiles provided by other methods in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) region, and the data also have high vertical resolution in the same atmospheric regions [66]. Secondly, its measurements are self-calibrated and have long-term stability, which makes RO data highly consistent and can be combined together without the need for intercalibration [67].

Due to these features, the GPS RO technique has become a useful instrument for climate monitoring and has been widely applied in the subject area of the earth’s climate and weather. For instance, studies have shown the potential of GNSS RO profiles for characterizing troposphere structures and changes (e.g., [68]) and the obtained results are found to be uniform with other observation data records. The RO data have also been employed for the study of the tropopause (e.g., [69]); atmospheric boundary layer (e.g., [70]); diurnal tides (e.g., [71]); the global gravity wave signatures in the upper troposphere and stratosphere can be obtained from GNSS RO profiles (e.g., [72]). The RO data is also useful for severe weather prediction (e.g., [73]). The RO data have also shown their usefulness in NWP systems. Research indicates that, after the RO data are ingested in the NWP systems, the quality of the weather forecast products is improved (e.g., [74]). In summation to the uses in neutral atmosphere, RO data have been successfully applied to the subject of the ionosphere and also space weather (e.g., [75]).

Many works have shown that the GNSS RO earth atmospheric observational technique can overcome the restrictions of current observing techniques, such as a poor sampling distribution, the low resolution of radiosondes (from land stations, ships, and airplanes), and a low vertical resolution of down-looking satellites [72]. Table 5 contains a comparison of the GNSS RO technique with ground-based GNSS receivers, radiosondes, VLBI, DORIS, and remote sensing methods with regard to atmospheric observations. The outstanding technique for each category is highlighted in italics. The GNSS RO demonstrates significant advantages over the other three techniques.

From the foregoing, the GNSS RO technique is seen as quite promising and stands to fill the existing gaps in atmospheric observation in Africa. It has brought a new impetus for scientists interested in Africa, where traditionally there have been very limited weather observations available. Many countries, such as the USA, Germany, Austria, the Russian Federation, Finland, Italy, Denmark, Argentina, and Brazil, have established experimental GNSS RO meteorology projects and launched a number of LEO experimental...
Table 5: Comparison of GNSS RO with other atmospheric sounding techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Water condition</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Stability</th>
<th>Calibration</th>
<th>Layers of atmosphere</th>
<th>Stability</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-based GNSS</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>All weather capability</td>
<td>Relatively cheap</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNSS RO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inhomogeneous</td>
<td>Depending on LEO orbit design</td>
<td>Unavailable in extreme weather conditions</td>
<td>Low in a long term</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Necessary and important</td>
<td>From the surface up to 30 km</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaced based and limited by spatial accessibility</td>
<td>Ground-based and limited by spatial accessibility</td>
<td>Inhomogeneous</td>
<td>Depending on instrument design</td>
<td>Unavailable in extreme weather conditions</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Necessary and important</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiosonde</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>2–4 times per day</td>
<td>Significantly affected by cloud and particles in the atmosphere</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Calibration needed for different instruments</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite remote sensing</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>Depending on LEO orbit design</td>
<td>Significantly affected by cloud and particles in the atmosphere</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Calibration needed for different instruments</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBI</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Calibration needed for different instruments</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORIS</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>Very expensive</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Necessary and important</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Advances in Meteorology

The development of GNSS RO technique, such as the design of GNSS receivers and the LEO-LEO technique, offer unprecedented opportunities to obtain a large amount of high-quality and high-resolution observations of the earth's atmosphere [77]. In the near future, with more GNSS RO satellites becoming available, both the weather and climate studies in previously data-sparse areas will be significantly improved. The GNSS RO missions will provide uniform global coverage observations and fill the geographical gaps from the station-based observation networks. Furthermore, the technique is “mission calibration free” and continuous quality data can be expected over a long term from GNSS RO missions. This is an important improvement for climate studies such as trend analyses.

With the era of GPS modernization and significant expansion of other GNSS, new satellites with new signals have been developed. Within the next decade, about one hundred new GNSS satellites will be launched and thus new signals will be transmitted from them. These satellites will incorporate modernized GPS, GLONASS systems, and the newly designed Galileo. There are also some regional GNSS such as QZSS from Japan, BeiDou from China, and the Indian IRNSS. The next generation RO missions (e.g., FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2) will be equipped with new GNSS RO receivers, which are designed to receive signals from these new satellites [76]. The horizontal and temporal resolution of RO profiles will be significantly improved due to the increased number of satellites and the improved signal strength and signal spectrum. The quality of the RO technique will also be improved due to the increased number of radio frequencies available for the retrieval process. These new GNSS will utilize different radio frequencies and hence future GNSS RO missions will take advantage of the different signals to improve the retrieval process for better data quality. The continuous and accurate measurements of the atmospheric profiles with good spatial and temporal resolutions from GNSS RO will be available and new opportunities for better understanding of the earth's atmosphere and ionosphere will follow [78]. Many meteorological and climate related applications, such as numerical weather prediction analysis, climate studies, and ionosphere and space weather conditions, will be based on these new GNSS and LEO satellite programs. Therefore, Africa stands to profit immensely from these future GNSS advancements, as they do not require African funding as in the case of ground-based GNSS receivers, yet coverage will be the same over Africa as everywhere else with minor variations in latitude.

6. Concluding Remarks

The theory and practice of ground-based GNSS meteorology have been systematically discussed and demonstrated in this paper. This is an attempt to analytically investigate ground-based GNSS meteorology issues in Africa. Ground-based GNSS meteorology is a very promising application, which could significantly improve numerical weather and storm predictions. Furthermore, it is of great benefit in climate applications. The application of GNSS meteorology has the benefit of being a more economical and efficient approach. It can be unattended for a long period of time with high reliability and with low telemetry data transmission cost. The approach also has a high temporal and spatial resolution when compared with conventional weather balloons. Notwithstanding the scientific and societal applications of GNSS meteorology, most GNSS sites and networks on the African continent as identified in this study do not meet the standards required by the IGS, GRUAN, and the WMO. South Africa is performing pretty well as a number of GNSS stations in the country have meteorological sensors collocated with them; the compactness of the GNSS network in South Africa appears to be well enough for GNSS meteorology, though more effort is still required. In particular, the Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory (HartRAO) in South Africa appears to be the only supersite that collocates other earth observation systems (seismometer, accelerometer, and gravimeter) with GNSS stations (HRAO) and other space geodetic techniques, that is, VLBI, DORIS, WVR, and Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR). However, hope is not lost for other African countries going by the different challenges that are unique for the individual countries, as solutions were proferred in this review. Opportunities such as the African VLBI Network (AVN) [79] can be exploited for synergistic collocations where GNSS and other geodetic as well as geophysical equipment can be collocated. It is suggested that regional/global meteorological model (i.e., NCEP/NCAR and ERA reanalysis) can be investigated for their accuracy on the continent and a suitable interpolation algorithm needs to be developed to enable interpolation from such meteorological models to GNSS observation stations owing to the fact that there are no meteorological sensors installed at most GNSS sites in Africa. Finally, as a step towards attaining an operational GNSS service on the African GNSS network, there is a need for clear policies on data sharing and collaboration among the different organisations that operate GNSS stations on the continent; the idea of collaboration is to add significant extra value to these existing infrastructures. Other potential applications and other techniques of GNSS measurements to meteorology should be explored, that is, GNSS-RO.
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