Synthesis and Microstructural Characterization of Kaolin–Polyethylene Composites
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In this article, the preparation and characterization of kaolin/polyethylene composites are presented. Microstructural characteristics of six different kaolin/polyethylene composites with varying percentage compositions of kaolin and polymer were produced using a modified melt compounding approach, was explored. The characterization methods employed are scanning electron microscopy (SEM), attenuated total reflectance mid Infrared (ATR-MIR), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TGA-DTA), compressive, flexural strengths and impact resistance analysis. The implications of the results are discussed for the design of kaolin/polymer composites for constructional purposes.

Introduction

Polymer-based nanocomposites have in recent years, generated a lot of interest in the field of nanotechnology because exfoliated clays, carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers, exfoliated graphite, and nanocrystalline metals can generate significant mechanical properties that are advantageous to the modification of polymeric systems Yaya et al. [1] and Bensah et al. [2]. Several composites have been developed for various applications (in cars, airplanes, etc) by adding reinforcing compounds to polymers to enhance their thermal, mechanical and barrier properties according to Nam et al. [3] and Alexandre et al. [4]. However, most of these reinforced materials have poor interactions at the interface with the matrix. Macroscopic reinforcing components usually contain defects, which become less important as the particles of the reinforcing are reduced in size as reported by Luduena et al. [5].

The significant advantages of using polymeric nanocomposites over conventional materials are that of processing ease, manufacturing versatility and low over-head production cost. Polymers are widely used due to their ease of production, light weight and ductile nature. In comparison with metals and ceramics, polymers are much more inferior in mechanical properties Anjana et al. [6]. The layered silicates (clay minerals) commonly used for the preparation of polymer composites belong to the family of 2:1 phyllosilicates, with kaolinite being one of the most common of such clay minerals Fowden et al., [7]. Their layered tetrahedral silica sheets are linked through oxygen atoms to the octahedral alumina sheets Fowden et al., [7]. These layers are separated by galleries where cations such as Na⁺, K⁺, etc., are present to counterbalance the negative charge of the aluminosilicate sheets arising from isomorphic substitution of Al or Si with other metals. The replacement of the cations by an appropriate surfactant (organic phase) renders the kaolinite hydrophobic, thus allowing it to interface with different polymer matrices according to Bertini et al., [8].

Polyethylene on the other hand has become one of the most widely used polyolefin polymers for grocery, piping and packaging bags, car fuel tanks, toys etc. Polyolefin polymers have no polar group in its structure; also the homogeneous dispersion of the kaolin in polyethylene is hardly realized. In this respect kaolin is normally modified organically to enhance its interaction with the polyethylene matrix but even organically modified clay does not disperse well in polyethylene due to its relatively hydrophobic nature according to Wang et al., [9]. Research has established that for polymer-layered silicate (clay) composites, the enhancement of the mechanical, thermal, barrier and microstructural properties for specific applications strongly depends on the attainment of an effective dispersion of the filler material in the polymer matrix (Mittal [10] and Heinemann et al. [11]).
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The main challenge however facing the preparation of polymer nanocomposite using nanoclay such as kaolin as reinforcement is that, clay is naturally hydrophilic whereas polyethylene has no polar group in their backbone and hence one of the most hydrophobic polymers. To overcome this difficulty and to ensure proper dispersion, organic modifiers such as oils or surfactants which contains organic cations like alkyl amonium, alkyl amine, etc., are used to replace the inorganic cations (Na⁺) of the clay (Lei et al., [12]). According to literature, the use of modified and unmodified kaolin has been studied extensively. For instance, a modified C₆₀ powder in Hydrochloric acid and benzalkonium chloride modifier can adequately modify Montmorillonite to perform a cation exchange between the clay and the modifier. Also, 4,5-imidazole dicarboxylic acid dissolved in 200 ml of deionized water is able to modify clays to perform an anion exchange between [LiAl₂]LDH and 4,5-imidazole dicarboxylic acid [13]. Nanocomposites containing polyethylene (PE) and montmorillonite clay organically modified (OMMT) with quaternary ammonium salts obtained via direct melt intercalation has also been reported by Araujo et al. [14]. In addition, a modified clay intercalated with a modifier having a conjugated double bond and capable of producing free radicals when heated according to [13] etc. However, limited information is available on the modification of the polyethylene to improve interfacial adhesion and improved dispersion of kaolin in a polyethylene matrix. The modification of the polyethylene may help in two basic ways. Firstly, it will reduce the viscosity of the polymer melt to enhance uniform dispersion of the filler and make processing of the composite in terms of molding of different shape forms, and secondly, to utilize the alkyl, hydroxyl, carboxylic and the ester components of the triglyceride molecules in the oil to bond the polyethylene and the kaolin particles. In addition, the triglyceride molecules through their hydrophilic functional groups favour the formation of a possible exfoliated structure and also offer the ability to control the number of polar groups through chemical modifications [11].

In this article therefore, we processed polyethylene-kaolin composites using vegetable oil and investigated the microstructural and mechanical properties of the unmodified kaolin and modified polyethylene composites. The melt compounding technique was adopted and the composites have been characterized using x-ray fluorescence (XRF), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The others included Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy ATR-MIR (FTIR), differential thermal and thermogravimetry (TGA/DTA) and mechanical testing techniques.

Materials and method

Low density polyethylene waste bags (polymer) with density 0.915–0.935 g cm⁻³, melt flow index (MFI) of 0.18, the degree of crystallinity between 40 and 50 were collected from households and litter bins of waste manage-
To investigate the thermal stability of the various phases, the composite samples were studied by DTA/TGA under air flow of 50 mL min$^{-1}$. The samples were thermally analyzed by placing 15.89 mg of the specimens in an alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) crucible (100 mg capacity), subjected to a linear heating ramp between 15 and 850°C at a rate of 10°C min$^{-1}$ and a cooling rate of 50°C min$^{-1}$, using a standard SDT Q600 (V20.9 Build 20) TG/DTA instrument. The test measurements were made for the mass change (loss) of the sample as a function of the temperature and the phase changes by the adsorption or the emission of energy. A sapphire standard was used to calibrate the thermal response due to heat flow as well as the temperature prior to analysis.

The hardness of each of the as-produced samples was carried out using the Vickers indentation hardness technique on a diamond indenter equipped Fun-700 (Future Tech Corporation) micro-hardness system. A load of 98 mN was applied for 30 s on all samples measured and the average values of a total of four indentations per sample were recorded.

Compressive tests were carried out on a C-46L2 (CONTROLS, Italy) Universal Materials Testing Apparatus equipped with a maximum 3 kN cell at room temperature following the ASTM D6641/D6641M – 09 standard. The as-produced samples (1.5 cm × 2.5 cm) were compressed at a constant compression rate of 1 mm min$^{-1}$ until the bodies were fragmented. The material’s response (maximum stress or fracture) to the applied load was measured for four (4) samples of each preparation batch and the average values recorded.

The impact resistance test was carried out using drop weight equipment with a hammer weighing about 14 kg. The hammer was twice released from a height of 380 mm to fall freely between two vertical guides, to crush the composite in the cup. The weights prior to the test were recorded. The crushed sample was sieved in 2.36 mm standard sieve and weighed. The difference between the sieved weight and the initial weight was determined and recorded. LOI 11.07.

The impact resistance test was carried out using drop weight equipment with a hammer weighing about 14 kg. The hammer was twice released from a height of 380 mm to fall freely between two vertical guides, to crush the composite in the cup. The weights prior to the test were recorded. The crushed sample was sieved in 2.36 mm standard sieve and weighed. The difference between the sieved weight and the initial weight was determined and recorded. LOI 11.07.

The impact resistance test was carried out using drop weight equipment with a hammer weighing about 14 kg. The hammer was twice released from a height of 380 mm to fall freely between two vertical guides, to crush the composite in the cup. The weights prior to the test were recorded. The crushed sample was sieved in 2.36 mm standard sieve and weighed. The difference between the sieved weight and the initial weight was determined and recorded. LOI 11.07.

The hardness of each of the as-produced samples was carried out using the Vickers indentation hardness technique on a diamond indenter equipped Fun-700 (Future Tech Corporation) micro-hardness system. A load of 98 mN was applied for 30 s on all samples measured and the average values of a total of four indentations per sample were recorded.

Compressive tests were carried out on a C-46L2 (CONTROLS, Italy) Universal Materials Testing Apparatus equipped with a maximum 3 kN cell at room temperature following the ASTM D6641/D6641M – 09 standard. The as-produced samples (1.5 cm × 2.5 cm) were compressed at a constant compression rate of 1 mm min$^{-1}$ until the bodies were fragmented. The material’s response (maximum stress or fracture) to the applied load was measured for four (4) samples of each preparation batch and the average values recorded.

\[ C.S = \frac{\text{Force}(S)}{\text{Area}(A_c)} \]  
(1)

where $S$ is the Average Compressive Force exerted on both samples and $A_c$ is the Area of composite sample.

The impact resistance test was carried out using drop weight equipment with a hammer weighing about 14 kg. The hammer was twice released from a height of 380 mm to fall freely between two vertical guides, to crush the composite in the cup. The weights prior to the test were recorded. The crushed sample was sieved in 2.36 mm standard sieve and weighed. The difference between the sieved weight and the initial weight was determined and calculated as a percentage of the original weight. Two tests were carried on the same sample type and the average values determined as the impact values using:

\[ IR = \left( 1 - \frac{W_i}{W_f} \right) \times 100\% \]  
(2)

where $IR$ is the impact resistance in per cent, $W_i$, $W_f$ are the per cent loss of material after test and weight of composite before test respectively. The three-point bending tests of the samples were carried out following standard ISO/ASTM procedures and recommendations (ISO 14125, ASTM C99/C99M-09). The flexural tests were performed on an Instron 5900 universal testing machine equipped with a Test Star II (MTS) controller and a 5 kN load cell under $\approx 1$ mm min$^{-1}$ displacement cross-head feed rate.

Four test bar specimens from each batch with dimensions of 10 mm × 10 mm × 200 mm were prepared for the flexural tests and the average values were determined according to Eq. 3, where $F$ is load in Newton’s, $d$ is breadth, $h$ is depth, $L$ is distance between supports used.

\[ \sigma = \frac{3FL}{2dh^2} \]  
(3)

**Results and Discussion**

**XRF and XRD Analysis**

Table 1 presents the X-ray fluorescence (XRF) data of the kaolin. The data indicates Silica to Alumina ratio of 1.16, which is very close to the ISO standard of 1.18. This also indicates the extent to which kaolin is able to bond successfully with low density polyethylene and enhances its suitability as a reinforcement material. A strong interaction between the dispersed clay particles and the polymer matrix are indications of good thermal and mechanical properties in the composite sample according to Liqiang [17].

The quality (order–disorder) of the kaolin sample was characterized from the XRD pattern shown in Fig. 1 using the Hinckley index (HI) Hinckley [18]. The Hinckley’s index (HI) which is used in the determination of degree of crystallinity is the ratio of (i) the sum of the heights of the (110) and (111) (23.28° and 26.79°, respectively) diffraction peaks measured from the inter-peak background, and (ii) the height of the (110) peak measured from the general background. It is generally known that as the crystallinity decreases, the proportion of random shifts in kaolinites between adjacent layers by $\pm nb\beta$ increases, resulting in a decrease in resolution of neighboring peaks and an hence an increase in the inter-peak diffraction intensity. Simultaneously, an increase in the frequency of defects would decrease the absolute intensity of the (110) peak. The arithmetical blend of these two estimates (intensity of peaks and the inter-peak background) yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxides</th>
<th>Composition (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>45.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>39.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>11.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the dimensionless number (index) which normally varies between \(\approx 0.2\) and \(\approx 1.5\); the larger the value of the index, the greater the “crystallinity.” The Kibi kaolin is found to possess a well crystallized lattice structure with minimal lattice defects. This is supported by the sharp, narrow and intense XRD peaks as shown in Fig. 1a–f with a Hinckley’s index of (0.9). Furthermore, the average crystallite size of 447.25 Å for the kaolin sample was calculated by the Scherrer broadening method using the basal 002 reflection with peak position at (31.28°) according to Scherrer [19].

Figure 2, shows the SEM micrographs of the various composites and that of the pristine polyethylene polymer (Fig. 2a1 and a2) with different magnifications (1000X) and (30,000X). It is observed that, the pristine polymer had a uniform composition with reference to the polymer/kaolin compositions. The micrographs show clearly at lower kaolin percentage, that there is a homogeneous dispersion of kaolin in the polymer matrix and a low tendency toward agglomerate formation as compared to samples with greater kaolin contents beyond 40 wt% as seen in CS50-50 (Fig. 2e1 and e2) and CS40–60 (Fig. 2f1 and f2). This is due to the poor affinity of the polar kaolin to the polyethylene. Hence, as the amount of clay becomes higher, the clay particles gain much higher tendency to agglomerate and forming clusters compared to when the clay content is lower, a trend observed virtually in all the SEM images (Fig. 2b1–f2) starting from a lower to higher kaolin content in the polyethylene matrix. The ATR-MIR spectra for the various kaolin/polymer composites as shown in Fig. 3 exhibit characteristic vibrational modes. The bands at 3,620 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the inner OH stretching, 3,695 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the in-phase stretching of the three inner-surface OH groups; bands at 3,669 and 3,652 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the anti-phase stretching modes of the inner-surface OH groups. The bands around 1,008 and 1,032 cm\(^{-1}\) can also be attributed to the anti-symmetric stretching of the equatorial Si–O–Si bonds whereas those around 1,112 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the symmetric stretching of the equatorial Si–O–Si bonds, all attributed to kaolinite.

The functional groups responsible for absorption at each frequencies of coconut oil spectrum are as follows: the asymmetrical stretching vibration of methyl (\(\sim\)CH\(_3\)) causes a shoulder at wavenumber of 2,954 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas the symmetrical vibration of methyl band is not observed. Either asymmetrical or symmetrical stretching vibration of methylene band has been observed at \(\sim\)2,924 cm\(^{-1}\) and near 2,852 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively by Guillen and Cabo, [20]. The absorption of carbonyl (C=O) groups from ester linkage of triglycerol of coconut oil is usually observed around 1732 cm\(^{-1}\) with a strong intensity due to the great difference in electronegativity between the carbon and hydrogen atoms as reported by Pavia et al., [21]. The band with medium intensity around 1465 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the methylene (\(\sim\)CH\(_2\)) bending vibration around 1,417 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the rocking vibrations of C–H bonds in alkenes. The vibration of CH\(_3\)– groups on symmetrical bending mode can be seen around wavenumber 1,377 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands around 1,228, 1,155, and 1,109 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed from the vibrations of stretching mode from the C–O group in esters, Silverstein and Webster [22]. An absorption band around frequency of 962 cm\(^{-1}\) is related to bending vibration of cis-disubstituted olefinic groups. Finally, absorption peak around frequency 721 cm\(^{-1}\) is resulted from the rocking vibrations of methylene group which overlaps with bending vibration on out of plane mode of alkenes with cis-disubstituted Guillen and Cabo, [20]. The most intense band for kaolinite is the 636.5 cm\(^{-1}\) mode. This band has been attributed to a Si–O–Si stretch Lazarev [23]. The bands around 426,469 and 509 cm\(^{-1}\) have been attributed to the Si–O bending vibration according to Farmer [24]. Also, the 3,620 cm\(^{-1}\) IR band for kaolinite has been assigned to the inner sheet hydroxyl as reported by Ledoux; Wada; White and Rouxhet [25–28]. The characteristic peaks of polyethylene are around 2,918, 2,850, 1,473, 730, and 719 cm\(^{-1}\). On our as-produced composites, these stretching modes are ascribed to 2,920 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching); 2,851 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching); 1,473 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) bending); 1,465 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) bending), 1,176 cm\(^{-1}\) (wagging of CH\(_2\)), 1,050 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) twisting), 730 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) twisting), 720 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) rocking).

**Thermal Characteristics of Composites**

The results of differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the composites in Fig. 4 show a glass transition temperature ranges of between 280 and 400°C. It is observed that sample CS60-
Fig. 2. SEM images showing the surface morphologies of the composite batch compositions: (a₁-a₃) CS100-0, (b₁-b₃) CS80-20, (c₁-c₃) CS70-30, (d₁-d₃) CS60-40, (e₁-e₃) CS50-50 and (f₁-f₃) CS40-60 at 1KX and 30KX, respectively.
40 showed the highest glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of 390°C whereas the other samples showed their $T_g$'s between 280 and 300°C. This is attributed to a more uniform mix between the kaolin and the polyethylene evolving into a strengthened composite making it able to withstand a higher thermal stress.

All the samples showed no significant difference in the crystallization temperature ($T_c$) and melting temperature ($T_m$). Samples CS50-50 and CS40–60 improved retardation to combustion compared to sample CS100-0 which is mainly due to the high kaolin content which act as combustion suppressant as reported by Bensah et al., [2]. The pristine batch compositions CS100-0 was completely burnt out at 500°C due to the organic nature of compositions leaving behind a residue of 0.89% of the starting material. However, batch samples CS80-20, CS70-30, CS60-40, CS50-50, and CS40–60 had residues of 6.5, 10.0, 20.97, 44.22, and 70.45%, respectively at 500°C close to their $T_m$ points and the corresponding exothermic peaks indicating that the losses are mainly due to the removal of organic compounds and gaseous materials by combustion rather than a decomposition reaction.

**Mechanical Properties of Composites**

Figure 5a shows the effect of filler content on the impact resistance and hardness of the polymer ceramic composite. The calculated impact resistance ranged from 97% for CS 60–40 to 78% for CS 80-20. Generally, the composites showed gradual decrease in impact resistance from the pure polymer until 40 wt% kaolin (the highest recorded filler content with increased strength). Beyond 40 wt% kaolin content, there was a sharp decrease in the impact resistant values to below 84%. A similar trend was observed in the hardness profile except that, there was a continuous increase in hard beyond 40 wt%. This was because, there was an improved dispersion in the melted polyethylene and a homogenous mix was achieved in CS 60–40. However at higher kaolin loadings, there was a depletion of binder in the composite which led to limited polymer-kaolin intercalations. The improved mechanical properties were largely dependent on a higher degree of bonding at the matrix–particle interfaces and also due to the kaolin powder’s ability to distribute the applied stress over a large volume. This helped to prevent propagation of cracks by carrying large part of the load, Mustapha [30]. Generally, high kaolin content causes the composites to improve in strength but with a reduction in ductility.

Figure 5b and c present the effect of filler content on the compressive and flexural strength of the composites. It can be seen that, the compressive and flexural strengths of the composites experienced gradual increase in strength when the kaolin content was increasing until beyond 40 wt%. The CS60-40 sample recorded the highest compressive and flexural strengths in both profiles as observed in the impact resistance graphs. This observation can also be attributed to the uniform dispersion of the filler in the matrix and improved bonding by the polyethylene. However, further increase in kaolin content above 40 wt% recorded a decreasing trend with low strength values of about 3.6 MPa. This was because, the amount of polymer compared to kaolin had reduced which led to a reduction in the binding effect between the two and resulted in the formation of agglomerates of kaolin, and polyethylene. The segregation of the polymer from the kaolin, and the formation of clusters in the composite led to the formation of pores as reported by Jordan et al., [31] and Domka et al., [32]. The overall strength of the composite reduced sharply because, stresses tend to concentrate at points around the agglomerates. This was in agreement with the report by Boriek et al., [29] on the stress distribution of particle reinforced composites where they showed that high packing factor leads to a low magnitude of setting stresses. Thus, samples with higher compressive strengths will have higher packing factors which lower the magnitude of the setting stresses in the composite. Higher packing factor also indicate an increase in porosity in the polymer matrix and enhance homogenous dispersion of the filler. The flexural strengths were generally observed to be low due to the fact that the sample became more brittle at high loading. Inherent defects in the kaolin and the uneven stress distribution led to the agglomerates bearing most of the applied load.

**Conclusion**

XRD and SEM micrographs of the composite formulations indicate that high packing factor leads to a low magnitude of setting stresses and a more homogeneous mixing and higher compressive strength values in agreement with literature data. The XRD peaks showed that the Kibi kaolin possesses a well crystallized lattice
Fig. 4. Presents DSC-TGA thermographs of: (a) Pristine kaolin, (b) CS100-0, (c) CS80-20, (d) CS70-30, (e) CS60-40, (f) CS50-50, and (g) CS40–60. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
structure with minimal lattice defects and the average crystallite size of 44.7 nm.

The TG-DTA curve revealed that CS60-40 has the highest feasible processing temperature of 390°C among the six formulations tested. Other formulations had glass transition temperatures \( T_g \), ranging between 280 and 300°C. However, there were no significant changes in crystallization and melting temperatures of the composites. The mechanical properties of the composites showed a high compressive and impact strength. For example CS 60-40 showed an optimum impact resistance of 97%, compressive strength of 8.7 MPa and a flexural strength of 2.5 MPa as a result of highly dispersed kaolin in the polymer matrix.

From the observed microstructural properties, thermal resistance, and mechanical characteristics, we propose that these vegetable oil based composites could be used in large scale production techniques of composites for application in the construction industry based on a 60/40 threshold polymer/filler amount.
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