Fischer mono and biscarbene complexes of tungsten with mono and dimeric heteroaromatic substituents
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Abstract

An electrochemical study of a series of mono and biscarbene complexes of tungsten pentacarbonyl with mono- and dimeric heteroarene substituents are reported and compared in CH$_3$CN and DCM. Results revealed that the order of oxidation (reduction) depends largely on the aryl substituent attached to the carbene carbon (2-thienyl, 2-furyl or 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl)). The order of oxidation (reduction) for monocarbene complexes containing a monomeric heteroarene substituent ((1) – (3)), a dimeric heteroarene substituent ((4) – (6)) or biscarbene complexes connected with a heteroarene substituent ((7) – (9)) is the same, namely 2-thienyl > 2-furyl > 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl). Carbene complexes containing a larger conjugated heteroarene substituent attached to the carbene carbon reduce more easily than the monomeric analogues. Tungsten biscarbene complexes exhibit two separate oxidation potentials for the two metal centres or one large oxidation peak, consistent with the simultaneous oxidation of the two W metal centres.

1. Introduction

Although the first Fischer carbene complex had been synthesized in 1964 already [1], the redox properties and activity of the generated radical species have only been considered more recently. Casy et. al. was the first to report experimental studies on the redox properties of group VI Fischer alkoxy carbene complexes, demonstrating the formation of a radical anion in the reduction of the carbene complex in the presence of Na/K alloy [2]. The possibility of employing these complexes in C-C bond formation reactions is expected, as the LUMO of electrophilic Fischer carbene complexes is carbon-centred [2]. After one electron reduction, a carbene radical is formed on the carbene carbon atom [3]. This allows for possible catalytic synthetic organic transformations. An in-depth article by Sierra et. al. explored the reactivity of Fischer carbene complexes in electron transfer processes and determined that both the nature of the metal and the stability of the radical species were important factors in determining the eventual reaction products [4].

Electrochemical studies previously reported on Fischer tungsten carbene complexes (Figure 1), focused on the influence of (a) different substituents on the carbene ligand (e.g. Y = 2-thienyl or 2-furyl [5], CH$_3$ [6], Ph [7], ferrocenyl [8]), (b) different heteroatom substituents (X = O vs N [5,6]) and (c) ligand-substitution on the metal (L = PPh$_3$, P(OPh)$_3$, dppe (1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane), the chelated product of ethylene diamine) [9] or $\eta^2$-N-allyl-N-allylamino [7].
In this study we focus on the influence of (d) dimeric heteroarene substituents \( Y = 2,2'-\text{bithienyl}, \ 2,2'-\text{thienylfuran}, \ N\text{-methyl-2-(2'-thienyl)pyrrole}, \ N,N'\text{-Dimethylpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyrrole} \) and trimeric terthienyl; and (e) another pentacarbonyl carbene unit (biscarbenes) on \( \text{W(0)} \) pentacarbonyl Fischer carbene complexes. The complexes of this study are shown in Scheme 1.

![Scheme 1. Fischer mono and biscarbene complexes of tungsten with mono and dimeric heteroarene substituents: \([\text{CO}]_5\text{W=C(OEt)Ar}] \) with \( \text{Ar} = 2\text{-thienyl} (1) \) and (7); 2-furyl (2) and (8); 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl) (3); 2,2'-bithienyl (4), (10) and (11); 2,2'-thienylfuran (5); N-methyl-2-(2'-thienyl)pyrrole (6); N,N'-Dimethylpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyrrole (9) and terthienyl (12).]

### 2. Material and methods

**General**

All compounds were synthesised and characterized under an inert atmosphere of argon or nitrogen gas, using standard Schlenk tube methods unless otherwise specified [10]. Solid reagents used in preparations (Merck, Aldrich and Fluka) were used without further purification. [11]. Triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate was prepared according to a literature procedure [12]. Thiophene was purified as described in literature, prior to use [11]. The following dimeric heteroarene precursors were synthesized according to known literature methods: 2,2'-Thienylfuran (A) [13] and...
N-methyl-2-(2'-thienyl)pyrrole (B) [13]. For the carbene complexes, well-known Fischer synthetic methodology was used [1]: [W(CO)3C(OEt)(2-thienyl)] (1) [14], [W(CO)3C(OEt)(2-furyl)] (2) [15], [W(CO)3C(OEt)(2-(N-methylpyrrolyl))] (3) [16], [W(CO)3C(OEt)(2,2'-bithienyl)] (4) [17], [W(CO)3C(OEt)(N-methyl-2-(2'-thienyl)pyrrole)] (6) [13], [[{W(CO)3C(OEt)}2(2,2'-thienyl)] (7) [18], [{W(CO)3C(OEt)}2(2,2'-furyl)] (8) [15], [{W(CO)3C(OEt)}2(2,2'-(N,N-dimethylpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyrrole)] (9) [19], [{W(CO)3C}2(OMe)(OC4H8OMe)(2,2'-bithienyl)] (10) [13], [{W(CO)3C(OC4H8OMe)}2(2,2'-bithienyl)] (11) [13], [W(CO)3C(OEt)(2-terthienyl)] (12) [20]. Characterization data of (1) – (4), (6) – (12) were in agreement with these literature reports, data of (5) is reported below. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum RXI FT-IR spectrophotometer using KBr pellets. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer. All the solvents were dried under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen gas following the conventional laboratory methods prior to use [21]. Chromatographic separations and purification were performed using nitrogen gas saturated kieselgel (0.063-0.200 mm).

**Synthesis**

[W(CO)3C(OEt)2,2'-thienylfuran] (5)

2,2'-Thienylfuran (0.38 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (30 ml) and the solution cooled to -20 °C. 1.7 ml (2.8 mmol) of a 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 minutes. The temperature of the cold bath was lowered to -40 °C and W(CO)6 (0.88 g, 2.5 mmol) was added in small portions. An immediate colour change was observed to dark brown. The mixture was stirred for a further 30 minutes after which time the cold bath was removed and the brown reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The brown residue was dissolve in dichloromethane and the reaction mixture cooled to -20 °C. 0.10 g (2.5 mmol) Et3OBF4, dissolved in 15 ml of dichloromethane (DCM), was added. The reaction mixture was stirred in the cold for 30 min and for a further 30 min at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography. The target complex was eluted from the column using hexane.

Complex (5): Yield = 76%; Red-orange colour. 1H NMR (δ(ppm), J(Hz), CDCl3): H8 8.10 (d), 4.4 Hz; H9 7.33 (d), 4.4 Hz; H12 6.81 (dd), 3.5, 0.7 Hz; H13 6.51 (dd), 3.5, 1.8 Hz; H14 7.51 (dd) 1.8, 0.7 Hz; H15 4.95 (q), 7.1 Hz; H15 1.63 (t), 7.1 Hz. 13C NMR (δ(ppm), CDCl3): C6 286.6, W(CO)5 202.6, 197.7, C8 142.7, C9 123.9, C12 109.7, C13 112.8, C14 143.9, C15 76.3, C16 15.2. IR (cm⁻¹, KBr): 2063 m (A''₁), 1935 s (A'_1), 1911 vs (E).
Crystallography

Data for (3) and (5) were collected at 150 K on a Bruker D8 Venture kappa geometry diffractometer, with duo Iμs sources, a Photon 100 CMOS detector and APEX II [22] control software using Quazar multi-layer optics monochromated, Mo-Kα radiation by means of a combination of φ and ω scans. Data reduction was performed using SAINT+ [22] and the intensities were corrected for absorption using SADABS [22]. The structure was solved by intrinsic phasing using SHELXTS [23] and refined by full-matrix least squares using SHELXTL [23] and SHELXL-2012 [23]. In the structure refinement all hydrogen atoms were added in calculated positions and treated as riding on the atom to which they are attached. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, all isotropic displacement parameters for hydrogen atoms were calculated as X × Ueq of the atom to which they are attached, X = 1.5 for the methyl hydrogens and 1.2 for all other hydrogens. Compound (5) crystallized as a twin crystal and was refined as such. The crystal data, data collection, structure solution and refinement details are available in each CIF. The crystallographic data for (3) and (5) are given in the supplementary material. ORTEP drawings [24] of the two structures are included in Figure 2 and Figure 3, showing the numbering system used with ADP’s at the 50% probability level.

Figure 2. Perspective view of (3) with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.
Figure 3. Perspective view of (5) with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammogram (CV), square-wave voltammetry (SW) and linear sweep voltammogram (LSV) measurements were performed on 0.0005 mol dm⁻³ compound solutions in dry acetonitrile containing 0.1 mol dm⁻³ tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate, ([n(Bu₄)N][PF₆]), as supporting electrolyte and under a blanket of purified argon at 25 °C utilizing a BAS 100B/W voltammograph. All CVs were also obtained in dichloromethane (DCM) as solvent. A three-electrode cell, with a glassy carbon (surface area 7.07 x 10⁻⁶ m²) working electrode, Pt auxiliary electrode and an Ag wire reference electrode were used [25]. Scan rates were 0.050-5.000 V s⁻¹. Successive experiments under the same experimental conditions showed that all oxidation and formal reduction potentials were reproducible within 10 mV. All cited potentials were referenced against the FcH/FcH⁺ couple as suggested by IUPAC [26]. Ferrocene (FcH) exhibited a peak separation ΔEₚ = Eₚa – Eₚc = 0.069 V and iₚa/iₚc = 1.00 under our experimental conditions. Eₚa (Eₚc) = anodic (cathodic) peak potential and iₚa (iₚc) = anodic (cathodic) peak current. E°⁺ (FcH/FcH⁺) = 0.66(5) V vs SHE in [n(Bu₄)N][PF₆]/CH₃CN and 0.77(5) V vs SHE in [n(Bu₄)N]/DCM [27]. Decamethyl ferrocene (Fc*, -0.508 V vs FcH/FcH⁺ in [n(Bu₄)N][PF₆]/CH₃CN and -0.551 vs FcH/FcH⁺ in [n(Bu₄)N][PF₆]/DCM) were used as internal standard.
DFT calculations

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations of this study were performed with the hybrid functional B3LYP [28,29] (and uB3LYP for radical cations or anions) as implemented in the Gaussian 09 program package [30]. Geometries of the neutral and charged complexes were optimized in gas phase with the triple-ζ basis set 6-311G(d,p) on all atoms except tungsten, where def2tzvpp [31] was used.

3. Results and discussion

Synthesis, Characterisation and X-ray crystallography

The detailed synthesis and characterization of most of the complexes of this study have been reported previously [13-20]. Complex (5) was synthesized according to the analogous methoxy complex reported in literature [13] and characterization data are similar. The two crystal structures of (3) and (5) are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters for (3) and (5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexes</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-C6</td>
<td>2.234(19)</td>
<td>2.200(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-C1</td>
<td>2.058(17)</td>
<td>2.001(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-Ccis(ave)</td>
<td>2.03(4)</td>
<td>2.056(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-O6</td>
<td>1.13(3)</td>
<td>1.319(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-C7</td>
<td>1.56(2)</td>
<td>1.446(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-C6-O6</td>
<td>144.8(16)</td>
<td>129.2(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-C6-C7</td>
<td>111.7(15)</td>
<td>124.8(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6-C6-C7</td>
<td>103.5(15)</td>
<td>106.0(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsion angle (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6-C6-C7-N1/S1</td>
<td>0.000(3)</td>
<td>-1.4(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1-C6-C7-N1/S1</td>
<td>180.000(2)</td>
<td>177.0(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selected structural parameters of importance are summarized in Table 1. Both complexes crystallized in the syn conformations observed for the heteroatom orientation of the two carbene substituents. This W-C(carbene) distance of 2.234(19) Å in (3) is similar to that observed in (5), 2.200(13) Å, although the W1-C1 bond (trans W-C(carbene)) distances differed by 0.057 Å, the shorter distance being observed in (3). The carbene substituents in both (3) and (5) are planar, and
in both compounds bisect the O=C=W=C=O bonds by 46° (C3-W1-C6-O6) and 54° (C5-W1-C6-O6) respectively. There is an unusually large discrepancy in the size of the W1-C6-O6 angles. The angle observed in (3) (144.8(16)°) reflects the shorter O6…N1 distance of 2.598 Å when compared with the distance of 2.660 Å observed in (5). Furthermore, in compound 3 a hydrogen bond of 2.048 Å is observed between O6 and H13A. This may account for the significant distortion of the bond angles around the carbene carbon atom, C6 (see Table 1).

**CV and DFT study**

The electrochemical studies presented here were carried out in CH₃CN with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [⁴(Bu₄N)][PF₆] as supporting electrolyte. Due to the poor solubility of some of the complexes, the studies were repeated in dichloromethane (DCM) as solvent. DFT calculations on the neutral and charged species support the experimental observation. The voltammograms of carbene complexes (1) – (12) of this study are given in Figure 4 and the data summarized in Error! Reference source not found. (data of complexes (1) and (2) in CH₃CN are from reference [5]). The main redox events generally observed for (1) – (12), are an oxidation process at potentials higher than 0.2 V versus Fc/Fc⁺, and a

![Cyclic voltammograms](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Cyclic voltammograms of ca. 0.0005 mol dm⁻³ solutions of (1) – (12) in (a) CH₃CN / 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [⁴(Bu₄N)][PF₆] and (b) DCM / 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [⁴(Bu₄N)][PF₆], on a glassy carbon-working electrode at a scan rate of
0.100 V s\(^{-1}\). CV peaks due to the internal standard used, are marked Fe* (decamethyl ferrocene) or FeH (ferrocene). Scans initiated in the positive direction, starting to the left of the internal standard.

Reduction process at potentials lower than -1.0 V versus Fe/Fe\(^{+}\). Computational chemistry studies on tungsten carbene complexes presented by us [5,9] and other researchers [6,7], showed that the oxidation process involves a two electron W-metal based oxidation and that the one electron reduction occurs at the carbene carbon with the formation of a radical anion with electron density distributed over the carbene ligand.

The oxidation peaks for (1)–(12) are considered irreversible, since no reduction peak related to the reduction of the oxidized species is observed, not even at scan rates as high as 1 V s\(^{-1}\), see Figure 5 for (3) as example. The reduction peaks for (1)–(9), (12) are considered to be electrochemically reversible since the re-oxidation peak intensifies at higher scan rates with peak current separation of less than 0.090 V. The reduction of (10) and (11) with peak current separation of ca. 0.115 V is considered electrochemically quasi reversible. Electrochemical reversible redox processes are characterized by a peak potential separation of 0.059 V (\(\Delta E = E_{pa} - E_{pc}\)). \(\Delta E_p\) values up to 0.090 V is generally considered as indicative of an electrochemically reversible couple, since the experimental peak separation is often found to be larger than the Nernstian value of 0.059 V (for a one electron process) due to uncompensated ohmic drops in the cell [32,33]. Chemical reversible redox processes are characterized by a peak current ratio \(i_{pc}/i_{pa} = 1\) (when the diffusion coefficients of the oxidized and reduced forms of the couple are identical or near identical) [34-36].

![Cyclic voltammograms of ca. 0.0005 mol dm\(^{-3}\) solutions of (3) in CH\(_3\)CN / 0.1 mol dm\(^{-3}\) [\(\text{t}^6(\text{Bu}_4\text{N})\][\text{PF}_6]\] on a glassy carbon-working electrode at a scan rate of at scan rates of 0.050 (smallest currents) till 0.500 V s\(^{-1}\) in 0.050 V increments, 1.000 V s\(^{-1}\) (indicated in red). Decamethylferrocene, Fe* was used as internal standard. The small reduction
peaks are ascribed to decomposition products that are generated during W oxidation since these peaks are absent if the
scans are initiated in the negative direction. Scans initiated in the positive direction from -0.700 V.
The profile of the oxidation and reduction processes obtained in CH$_3$CN and DCM as solvent, are
similar, although the electrochemical HOMO-LUMO gap, the difference between the W-oxidation
and the C$_{\text{carbene}}$-reduction potential, is higher in DCM than in CH$_3$CN, see Figure 6 for an overlay

![Figure 6: Overlay of the cyclic voltammograms of (1) – (12) in CH$_3$CN (blue) and DCM (red) with 0.1 mol dm$^{-3}$
$[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]$ as electrolyte, on a glassy carbon-working electrode at a scan rate of 0.100 V s$^{-1}$. The peaks indicated
with Fc$^*$ and FcH are due to the internal standard. (For interpretation of the references to color in text, the reader is
referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

of the CVs in CH$_3$CN and DCM. The peak oxidation potential, $E_{\text{pa}}$, of the oxidation process in
DCM is 0.05-0.20 V higher (more positive) than $E_{\text{pa}}$ in CH$_3$CN, while the reduction process in
DCM is observed at a potential more than 0.05 - 0.43 V lower (more negative) than in CH$_3$CN. This
result is in agreement with the reported formal oxidation potential of ferrocene that is 0.11 V higher
(more positive) in DCM than in CH$_3$CN as solvent [27]. The algebraic difference between the first
oxidation and reduction potentials is reflected in the DFT calculated HOMO-LUMO gaps of
complexes (1) – (9), (12), see Figure 7 with the data summarized in Error! Reference source not
found.. The biscarbene complexes (10) and (11) deviated from the fit, with oxidation potentials
similar to the oxidation potential of (1).
Tungsten monocarbene complexes with monomeric heteroaromatic substituents, 1 - 3

Complexes (1) – (3) can be used to study the influence of the five-membered heteroaromatic rings on the carbene ligand: 2-thienyl (1), 2-furyl (2) and 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl) (3). The oxidation potential of the oxidation process decreases (becomes less positive) for \( [\text{W(CO)}_5\{\text{C(OEt)Ar}\}] \) with substitution of the aryl group from 2-thienyl (1) to 2-furyl (2) and 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl) (3). The same trend is observed for the reduction potential of the reduction of the carbene ligand (more negative). This trend is not in the order of the electronegativity of the O (3.44) > N (3.04) > S (2.58), although it is possible that the CH\(_3\) group (\( \chi_{\text{CH}_3} = 2.34 \)) donates electron density to N, rendering (NCH\(_3\)) less electronegative than N and possibly than S. Connor and Jones [38] studied a number of heteroaromatic chromium carbene complexes (CO\(_5\))CrC(Y)X to determine the extent to which the electron-donating ability of the heteroaromatic ring aids in stabilizing the empty p-orbital on the carbene carbon atom. The order of increasing electron-donating ability was found to be Y = 2-furyl < 2-thienyl < 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl). This implies that the 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl) ring donates more electron density, in this case via conjugation to W, making W in complex 3 relatively more electron rich and thus easier to oxidise (at a lower less positive potential) than W in complex (1) or (2). The order observed for the oxidation of (1) with a 2-thienyl group versus (2) with a 2-furyl group is discussed previously [5]: “The same “reverse” trend is obtained for the oxidation potential.
of the related Cr-alkoxycarbene complexes [Cr(CO)₅{C(OEt)Ar}], Ar = thienyl ($E^0^′$ = 496 mV) or furyl ($E^0^′$ = 454 mV) [9,39] and for the Ti⁴/³ couple of β-diketonato-titanocene complexes [Cp₂Ti(CF₃COCHCOR)]⁺ with Cp = cyclopentadienyl and R = thienyl ($E^0^′$ = -619 mV) or furyl ($E^0^′$ = -625 mV) [40]. On the other hand, the oxidation potential for Cr-aminocarbene complexes [Cr(CO)₅{C(NH₂)Ar}], Ar = thienyl or furyl were the same [39] while for Cr-aminocarbene complexes [Cr(CO)₅{C(N(CH₃)₂)Ar}], the oxidation potential of the complex with Ar = thienyl < Ar = furyl [41]. These results show that the electronegativity of O and S alone, cannot forecast the order of oxidation of thienyl or furyl-containing complexes" [5].

Tungsten carbene complexes with dimeric heteroaromatic substituents, 4 - 6

Complexes (4) – (6) each contain a dimeric heteroaromatic ring, the first ring directly bonded to the carbene carbon a thienyl, and the second ring 2-thienyl (4), 2-furyl (5) and 2-(N-methylpyrrolyl) (6). Both the oxidation potential of the W-metal oxidation and the reduction potential of the carbene ligand reduction of (4) – (6) follow the same order as was found for (1) – (3), see the dotted lines in Figure 4. The same order of oxidation and reduction is also found for the biscarbene complexes (7) – (9), where the two W(CO)₅=C(OEt) units are connected with a heteroaromatic ring(s), 2-thienyl (7), 2-furyl (8) and 2-(N,N'-dimethylpyrrolo[3,2-b]pyrrolyl) (9).

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Cyclic voltammograms of ca. 0.0005 mol dm⁻³ solutions of the bis-metal-W-carbene complex (9) in DCM / 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [t̅(Bu₄)N][PF₆] on a glassy carbon-working electrode at a scan rate of at scan rates of 0.050 (smallest currents) till 0.500 V s⁻¹ in 0.050 V increments, 1.000 V s⁻¹ (indicated in red). Ferrocene, FcH was used as internal standard. The small reduction peaks are ascribed to a decomposition product that are generated during W oxidation since these peaks are absent if the scans are initiated in the negative direction. Scans initiated in the positive direction from -0.400 V.
**Tungsten biscarbene complexes, 7 – 11**

For each of the biscarbene complexes (7) – (9), two oxidation and two or more reduction processes are observed, see **Figure 8** for an overlay of various scan rates for (9) in DCM as example (100 mV s⁻¹ scans of (7) – (9) is in **Figure 4**). The linear sweep voltammogram (LSV) for (9) shown in **Figure 8** indicates two 2e⁻ oxidation processes and two 1e⁻ reduction processes. The first oxidation process is interpreted as the 2e⁻ oxidation of the one metal centre and the second oxidation as the 2e⁻ oxidation of the second metal centre. Different oxidation potentials for two similar redox active centers in the same molecule are often observed in systems that allow electron delocalization [42]. Once the first W-centre is oxidized to W(II), it withdraws electron density via conjugation from the other W-centre. The other W-centre thus becomes more positive and relative more difficult to oxidize than the first W-centre. This interpretation is also consistent with the fact that the HOMO (closed shell, containing an α and a β dπ electrons) of the DFT calculated optimized neutral geometry of (7) – (9), lies on the one W and the HOMO-1 (closed shell, containing an α and a β dπ electrons) on the other W-centre, see **Figure 9** for (7) as example. The character of the HOMO

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** DFT calculated frontier MOs complex (7) with q = 0 and structure of q = 4. Each MO contains an α and a β electron. The MO plots use a contour of 60 e/nm³. Bottom right: The geometry of the q = 4 complex, highlighting the agostic C-H-W interaction. Color code of atoms (online version): W (orange), C (black), O (red), H (white), S (yellow).
shows where the first two electrons will be removed during oxidation of the one W-centre to form a mixed valent carbene W(II)-W(0) complex. Removal of two more electrons (from the HOMO-1 of the original neutral complex) leads to the second oxidation, at a higher potential, with formation of the W(II)-W(II) complex of charge +4. The octahedral arrangement of the ligands round the two metal centers in the electrochemically unstable oxidized W(II)-W(II) complex is distorted, see Figure 9. For each W atom a short interaction is observed to a hydrocarbon of the ethoxy group. The d(H—W) of ca. 2.2 Å and the W-H-C angle of ca. 120° fall well in the range of 1.8 - 2.3 Å and 90 - 140°, typical for a C-H—Metal agostic interaction [43].

During reduction of the biscardene complexes (7) – (9), an electron is added to the LUMO of the complex. The character of the LUMO shows where reduction takes place. The DFT calculated LUMO (closed shell, containing an α and a β electron) and LUMO + 1 are both distributed over the two carbene carbons and the aryl group, and the LUMO+2 on the CO-groups. The first two reduction processes observed for the biscardene complexes (7) – (9), at potentials < -0.5 V, are thus associated with the carbene centre’s. The first reduction process has an influence on the second one, due to electron delocalisation via the bridging unit. The first reduction process of the biscardene complexes (7) – (9), occurs at a less negative potential than the first reduction process of the related monocarbene complexes (1) – (3) (see the dotted lines in Figure 4).

The biscardene complexes (10) and (11), containing two thienyl bridging units, exhibit one large oxidation peak, consistent with the simultaneous oxidation of the two W metals. The larger more flexible bridging unit makes it possible for the two W-metal centers to be in simultaneous contact with the electrode to be oxidized simultaneously. The oxidation potential of (10) and (11) is very similar to the oxidation potential of the related monocarbene complex (1), see the blue dotted lines in Figure 10. The oxidation potentials of (4) (two thienyl rings) and (12) (three thienyl rings) are also within a few millivolt of the oxidation potential of (1) (one thienyl ring), illustrating the fact that the W-based oxidation is largely influenced by the first aryl ring attached to the carbene carbon.

The first reduction of biscardene complexes (7), (10) and (11), however, occurs much easier, at a ca. 0.5 V less negative potential than the first reduction of the related monocarbene complex 1, see Figure 10. From a quantum chemistry point of view, the LUMO energy of (7), (10) and (11) is much lower than that of complex (1), making the reduction of (7), (10) and (11) easier than that of (1). The added electron during the reduction of (10) and (11) can be distributed over two thienyl units, making the reduced complex relative more stable to such an extent that a re-oxidation peak of the reduction process is observed, with ΔE ≈ 0.115 V in CH₃CN, making the reduction process
Figure 10. Comparative cyclic voltammograms of ca. 0.0005 mol dm$^{-3}$ solutions of the thienyl-containing complexes.

quasi reversible. A similar phenomenon is observed when the reduction of (1) (one thienyl ring) to (4) (two thienyl rings) to (12) (three thienyl rings) is compared; the re-oxidation peak of the reduction process is more prominent and $\Delta E$ in CH$_3$CN decreases from 0.086 V to 0.074 V to 0.064 V, since the added electron is distributed over more thienyl units. The delocalization of the added electron can be visualized by the spin density plot of the reduced complexes, see Figure 11.

![Figure 10](image1)

**Figure 11.** DFT calculated Mulliken spin density plots of the optimized reduced radical anion (q = -1, S = $\frac{1}{2}$) of complexes (1), (4), (11) and (12). The spin density plots use a contour of 6 e/nm$^3$. Color code of atoms (online version): W (orange), C (black), O (red), H (white), S (yellow).

For the dimeric complex (7) with a direct thienyl ring as linker, two reduction peaks, associated with the reduction of the two carbene carbons, are observed, while dimeric complexes (11) and (12)
with two thienyl rings as linker, produce only one reduction peak; proposed to be the simultaneous reduction of the two carbene carbons. A similar observation was made for the oxidation of the W centers, where (7) showed two (near overlapping) oxidations and (11) and (12) only one large simultaneous oxidation of the W centers (discussed above).

**Relationships involving 36 structurally modified Fischer carbene complexes of tungsten**

Oxidation involves the removal of an electron from the HOMO and the reduction process the addition of an electron to the LUMO. The potentials of the first oxidation and first reduction of a complex can thus be correlated to the energies of its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), respectively. These two relationships for a series of 36 Fischer carbene complexes of tungsten over a large potential range (0.91V for the oxidation and 1.6 V for the reduction), are presented in Figure 12 (see supporting information).

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** Linear relationship between the DFT calculated energies and experimental potentials of complexes (1) – (36) (see the supporting information Scheme S1 for the structures of (13) – (36)) of $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ and the oxidation potential $E_{\text{pa}}$ of the first oxidation process (left) and $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ and the reduction potential $E_{\text{pc}}$ of the first reduction process (right). Data are in Table 2 and from reference [5] and [9]. Experimental potentials are measured in 0.1 mol dm$^{-3}$ [Bu$_4$N]PF$_6$/CH$_3$CN and reported relative to the FcH/FcH$^+$ couple.

Scheme S1 for the structures of complexes (13) – (36)). The relationships include a variety of modified Fischer carbene complexes of tungsten containing

(a) different heteroarene substituents on the carbene ligand (Fu, Th or NMe-pyrrole)

(b) different heteroatom substituents (O vs N [5])

(c) different ligands directly attached to the metal (PPh$_3$, P(OPh)$_3$, dppe (1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane), or the chelated product of ethylene diamine [9])

(d) different dimeric heteroarene substituents and
Table 1. Cyclic voltammetry data of ca. 0.0005 mol dm\(^{-3}\) solutions of (1) – (12) in DCM or CH\(_3\)CN containing 0.1 mol dm\(^{-3}\) [N("Bu)\(_4\)][PF\(_6\)] as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.100 V s\(^{-1}\) and 20 °C. Potentials are reported in V relative to the FeH/FcH\(^+\) couple.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental in CH(_3)CN</th>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental in DCM</th>
<th></th>
<th>DFT calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>reduction 1</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
<td>reduction 1</td>
<td>Oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E(_{\text{pa(ox)}}) / V</td>
<td>E(_{\text{pa(red)}}) / V</td>
<td>ΔE / V</td>
<td>E(_{\text{pc(red)}}) / V</td>
<td>E(_{\text{pc(ox)}}) / V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>-1.478</td>
<td>-1.564</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>-1.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>-1.559</td>
<td>-1.645</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>-1.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>-1.932</td>
<td>-1.994</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>-1.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>-1.374</td>
<td>-1.448</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>-1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>-1.363</td>
<td>-1.435</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-1.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>-1.486</td>
<td>-1.552</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>-1.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.761; 1.011</td>
<td>-0.843</td>
<td>-0.913</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.712; 0.738</td>
<td>-0.952</td>
<td>-1.020</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>-0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.523; 0.710</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>-1.037</td>
<td>-1.153</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>-1.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>-1.027</td>
<td>-1.141</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>-1.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>-1.341</td>
<td>-1.405</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>-1.373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(e) another pentacarbonyl carbene unit (biscarbenes) on W(0) pentacarbonyl Fischer carbene complexes.

We further observe that the tungsten pentacarbonyl biscarbenes complexes (7), (8), (10) and (11) presented in this study, where the two units are connected with a furyl or one or more thienyl linkers, exhibit the highest first reduction potentials measured for tungsten Fischer carbene complexes to date. Complex (7) exhibit the highest first oxidation potential measured for tungsten Fischer carbene complexes to date.

4. Conclusion

An electrochemical study of the first oxidation and first reduction of (1) – (12) revealed that the ease of oxidation and reduction is related to the influence of the heteroarene ligand attached to it, irrespective whether the carbene complex contains a mono- or a dimeric heteroarene ligand or if it is a biscarbenes complex. The order obtained within a series of related carbenes, is, higher oxidation and higher reduction potential Th > Fu > (NMe)py.
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