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INTRODUCTION

The objective is to engage pastoral ministry* within poor families and communities’ specific cultural and contextual settings. Transversal or ‘overlap and divergence’ will arise through concrete reflection on the particular contexts of poor families and communities (Osmer 2008:172).

Historical and contemporary ecclesiological and socio-economic challenges frame the context of this article. The pastoral theology of Louw, in the Dutch Reformed Church, is outlined. Missional pastoral ministry in the Black church is discussed; the role of religious pedagogy as a transversal model is illuminated and pastoral ethical engagement with pathological socio-economic, political and ecclesial conditions is proposed. The conclusion integrates the key constructs of this article.

INSTITUTIONAL METHODOLOGIES ENGAGING CONTEXTUAL CHALLENGES

Practical theology as a crisis science highlights the dwindling authority of Scripture, church and leadership in revealing a growing discontinuity between church and society (Heitink 1993:15). Theology should respond to the 21st century challenge of a changing culture (cf. Bosch 2005; Guder 1998; Keifert 2006; Roxburgh 2005). Few traditional churches have transformed and instead became functional poststructuralists (henceforth missional). The majority of churches remain static in their structuralist approach (henceforth maintenance) paradigm (cf. Keifert 2006) and have capitulated into pathological systems (Dingemans 1988:83, 91). Suffice it to argue that practical theology is ‘deeply contextual in terms of ecclesial, social, cultural interconnections, relationships and systems’ (Osmer 2008:17). The different disciplines, in this article, represent networks that transverse one another in sharing common epistemological resources. The objective is to engage pastoral ministry within poor families and communities’ specific cultural and contextual settings. Transversal or ‘overlap and divergence’ will arise through concrete reflection on the particular contexts of poor families and communities (Osmer 2008:172).


2. The concept interdisciplinarity refers to a ‘real discussion between theology and social sciences’. The term transdisciplinarity highlights a new relation between the two sciences. The reason for Van der Ven’s (1994:2ff) new approach lies in the fact that the social sciences do not perceive religion as part of the social reality and social sciences, especially within a secularized society. Practical theologians should integrate the methodologies of the social sciences in relation to practical theological questions and aims – in a concerted effort to ‘drink from our own wells’ (cf. Dingemans 1988:31ff).

3. Pastoral ministry in this article refers to a ‘broader’ perspective on pastoral care and counselling.

4. The concept missional is applied in reference to the critical response of contemporary Christianity to the traditional maintenance ecclesial paradigm.

5. This refers to the ‘scientific revolution’ that informed European comprehension that everything in the universe could be discovered by the laws (structures) that govern all physical phenomena (Thomas 2002).
the 21st century, particularly the conditions of poverty-stricken families and communities. The predicament of maintenance ecclesiologies is apparent in its inability to relate the church’s ministry to a changing culture, particularly pathological socio-economic conditions. The problem with maintenance ecclesiologies lies in its inability to support and energise its core ministries, such as pastoral ministry. Pluralism, multiculturalism, increasing levels of poverty, crime, xenophobia, alcohol and drug abuse and the HIV and Aids pandemic exacerbates the church’s challenges.

The inability of maintenance churches leads to various pathological psychosocial, systemic and socio-economic dysfunctions. Compassion fatigue, for example, among ordained and lay ministers is a direct result of the aforementioned (Böhmer & Spangenberg 2001; Swart, Fourie & Scheepers 2001). Compassion fatigue leads to a disempowering fatigued syndrome among church members and ministerial systems, which ultimately impacts on the needy and vulnerable. The article ‘The Aids fulcrum: The church in Africa seesawing between alienation, estrangement, prejudice and love’ substantiates this argument and contextualises it within the Africa context (Brown & Hendricks 2004). The aforementioned holds that the church has ‘missed the mark (and created the perception of being ‘cold, judgemental, uncaring and unloving’). Buffel (2006:1) contends that ‘the church has failed to position itself in line with the true nature of the church as the body of Christ’, with its inappropriate response to HIV and Aids.

The problem of the church’s response to contemporary issues lies in its maintenance paradigm. Christendom (Keifert 2006:30–31), the inculturation of Christianity into Western culture, with its modern scientific method, has influenced and structured the church in such a way that the church has lost its missional identity and ethical pedagogical character. Diatesda Oiknonia (2004) (a community service for wellness through a caring community; henceforth Diatesda) in the Western Cape, which was founded in 1994 as a witnessing instrument of God’s praxis, had to sever its links with a church that defaulted to a maintenance paradigm. Ministry on the margins become a meaningful encounter: ‘What pastors lost in hierarchical authority, they gained in access to everyday experiences and problems of ordinary people’ (Osmer 2008:19). Boff (1986:12, 33) refers to the prefiguring of a new social structuring of the church from a juxta modum (emerging) basic church communities perspective. A questioes disputaties (critical reflective) approach urges contextualisation, theologically sound churches and the reinvention of hope-giving ministries (Boff 1986:46–47). Life in Latin American was (and may still be) saturated with socio-economic and political questions and different perspectives. However, the bipolarity between ‘impasses and new pathways, with suffering and hope’ reveals the mystery of life within God’s praxis (Gutierrez 1985:25).

**PATHOLOGICAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS**

Pathologies faced by families or communities in poor local communities are complex and virtually non-transactional; ‘confronted with so much evil, deeply destructive of the human personality’ (Coene 1983:950). The church ought to be critical of the socio-economic and political conditions that oppress and dehumanise God’s people and create enormous crisis situations for Black people (Molla 1989:208). A radical position is reached in refusing to accept what Freire defined as (1978:9) ‘packaged or prefabricated solutions’. The church should, for example, call local governmental structures to task in providing transparent and quality services (cf. the Confession of Belhar in Botha & Naudé 1998).

South Africa’s liberation movement of the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s achieved only political freedom. ‘White monopoly capitalism’ continues, while poverty, unemployment and inequality are predominately confined to the Black majority (Cloete 2007:3). The new democratic South Africa does not resonate with the ideals of the Bill of Rights and the Constitution, regarding a free, fair, equal, non-racial, non-sexist and righteous society. Similarly, the Confession of Belhar was not allowed to foster a unified church or society for social and economic righteousness (Botha & Naudé 1998; Strauss 2005). The reproduction of hegemonic philosophical and theological apartheid praxis disempowers authentic denominational and socio-economic transformation (cf. Van Dijk 1993). The greatest challenge for all South Africans lies in the appropriate readressing of poverty and the quality of transformation (Sampson 2009:134). Superficial attempts by anyone to address these conditions should be viewed with suspicion. Social projects of some White churches may be equated to an attempt to silence a guilty consciousness for the inhuman apartheid atrocities. White communities benefited from the liberation movements’ political achievements through retaining key economic power and demographic privileges. New guises of economic superiority and the perpetuation of old imbalances are prevalent today. Property and economic development lures poor families and communities to sell their properties for redevelopment in favour of White families. Socio-economic conditions of families in poverty-stricken communities remain unchallenged with little hope for its transformation – these communities remain trapped as victims (Sampson 2009:135). Shabodien (2007:1) highlights this fact in referring to farm workers in the Western Cape as the most marginalised communities in South Africa – with ‘weak historical, social and political capital as a demographic group’.

Politicians, academics and public officials and the established churches of South Africa have become silent and allowed these disparities and gross discriminatory and disempowering practices to exist and grow. Shabodien (2007:1) critiques politicians in the Western Cape for a lack of land reform due to their reluctance to ‘tamper with this important sector for fear of declining provincial revenues’. It is destructive and breeds the old oppressive practices, which do nothing but exacerbating the plight of poorer communities. Freire (1978:3) therefore called for the death of self-interest and ‘to die as a class’ in being reborn in consciousness.

Some of the traditional churches in South Africa demonstrate an inability to ‘be reborn in consciousness’ and to ‘die as a class’. Furthermore, the situation benefits poorly about South Africa’s political transition, globalisation and postmodernity breed fundamentalism and individualism to the extent that a vision for the common public good of all suffers. The dilemma is that deep levels of distress develop among the majority of the poorest in the broader community. Pastoral counsellors may consequently encounter people with anxieties that do not stem from their personal lives, but from a ‘distress-effect’ in the broader community (Taylor 1984:229). The impotence of the poor has brought the need for poverty alleviation into sharper focus. This calls for a new focus in government in addressing poverty alleviation before reconciliation (Sampson 2009:141). It also calls churches to realign their programmes for reconciliation and restitution in addressing socio-economic conditions in poverty-stricken communities. The plight of poor communities from the health profession’s perspective is self-illuminating.

---


7. The term Black people will be applied in this article to refer to so-called Coloured and Black people in South Africa.

8. The Confession of Belhar publicly witnessed against an unjust system; cultivating a culture of change and may continue to be instrumental in the transformation of unjust and irreconcilable contemporary practices (like the HIV and Aids scenario) and cultures. This public missional witness, however, has lost its momentum, leaving the church in South Africa in the same disposition as Christendom worldwide, ‘collapsing into modernity’, cf. Smit 2007. A key answer to this dilemma for the church today lies in her ‘being missional and in attending to the lives and conditions of the vulnerable, less fortunate and poor on the periphery of society (Dames 2008:60).”

9. Suffice it to say that there are many churches that want to do something about it, but that they do not always know what to do or how to go about it (Taylor 1984:228).
In our country, the consequences of extreme levels of poverty and interpersonal violence bring people to the doors of our public health care system. The unexpected is the new ordinary. The fifth aspect should be added, namely a methodology for the deconstruction of pathological socio-economic, cultural, political and maintenance ecclesiological systems. The application of such a deconstruction methodology should function as an empowering process in the liberation from such pathological systems. A social contextual hermeneutical (critical action-reflection-action) approach by poor families and communities in pastoral ministry should be applied.

Socio-economic pathologies engender and perpetuate poverty, violence, alcohol and drug abuse and oppression. These crises pull the church from its traditional and sometimes privileged position to the margins of disempowerment and even systemic dysfunctionalities (Dames 2007, 2008). However, the dynamic between existential realities and God’s praxis lies at the heart of the quest for a relevant pastoral theology. Pastoral theology could therefore be defined as an existential action and reflection encounter between God, human beings and the environment (cf. Freire 1978:57).

It is not only individuals but whole communities that have to deal with challenging life issues (cf. Louw 2004:3, 2008:63). The existential reality of dysfunctional systems exacerbates these threats. A pastoral hermeneutical and cura vitae methodology may fail short in addressing the harsh realities of poor families and communities (Louw 2004:4–6).

A theology ‘from below’ and an ‘existential locus of God-language’ (Braaten 1989:19, cited in Louw 2004:4) should operate within ‘the overall plan for the society’ (Freire 1978:89). Liberation and especially transformation are of crucial importance for tangible change. Pastoral ministry as action-reflection-action science mediates the Christian faith in the praxis of society towards the transformation of these praxes (Heitink 1993:18).

Pastoral hermeneutics is a critical action-reflection-action process on how to shape faith practices in transforming life-threatening contexts (Louw 2004:5). Meaning making and identity formation cannot only focus on a mature faith, but ought to engender ethical practices. Contemporary pastoral ministry should function within community contexts with multiple existential threats. The realisation of any telic pastoral ministry model may foster individual piety instead. Heitink’s (1979) bipolarity thesis is of significance in its ability to juxtapose complex and opposing issues, for example personal spirituality and contextual solidarity for liberation.

The basic assumption of pastoral ministry is to change, comfort, sustain, guide, support and heal people as well as their communities (Louw 2004:7). Pastoral ministry as promissio therapy should not only focus on God’s fulfilled promises (2004:7); it must also engage existential pathologies in terms of God’s praxis for liberation. Promissio therapy distinguishes pastoral therapy from non-theological therapies and holds the potential to address critical existential human issues. Liberation therapy refers to liberation and transformation of existential and systemic pathologies. The uniqueness of pastoral ministry lies in its ability to provide transcendental and immanent hope for liberation (cf. Louw 2004:7). Promissio therapy offers meaning-imparting values. Liberation therapy seeks liberation practices and fosters values such as ethics, righteousness, reconciliation, reconstruction and the deconstruction of systemic pathologies. An eschatological, liberation and pneumatological perspective informs pastoral ministry (Louw 2004:8).

The notion of cura vitae becomes important for any pastoral theology that seeks to offer meaning and liberation. Existential challenges, such as socio-economic oppression, crime, HIV and
Aids and xenophobia could be addressed by a pastoral approach that acknowledges the liberation of pathological conditions from the perspective of an existential life (Louw 2008:11). Curare is a Christian spiritual and contextual embodiment of contemporary existential life for its liberation and the healing of life. Louw (2004:12) argues that the question in suffering is not whether you have suffering, but how you live your suffering. The mere ‘experiencing’ of suffering can be destructive and inhuman. Pathological socio-economic paradigms and practices render the notion to ‘live your suffering’ as an ideal. The ability to live suffering is a question of human and community dignity, or survival. There is no dignity in pathological socio-economic conditions (Ehrenreich 2007). The objective for pastoral theology in contemporary South African communities is to empower people with more than just ‘a vivid hope that fosters meaning and significance in life’ (Louw 2008:16). Louw attempts to correct his position by opting for a pastoral ministry of affirmation (2004:16, 30). However, the question remains as to how one can be affirmed within a pathological system that threatens and drains the very life and moral fibre of struggling families and communities.

Louw (2008:18) applies ontology11 in informing meaning and significance to the being functions of persons. Socio-economic being-functions of poor families and communities should form part of such an ontological perspective! Jesus’ incarnation of the word of God undergirds the essence of the pastor’s concrete being for God in pathological realities of life (Romans 1:4). Pastoral ministry of affirmation and ontology reconstructs the being status, dignity and identity of human beings (Louw 2008:30–32). Pastoral ministry as empowerment should incorporate a Christological and reconstructive understanding (Botman 1993) and fortological12 perspective (Louw 2008:31). The value of fortology lies in its redefinition or reconstruction of pathologies for transformational, empowerment, growth and healing possibilities. Fortological empowerment is crucial for missional pastoral ministry, especially in recurring and persisting pathological socio-economic contexts (cf. Louw 2008:31).

MISSIONAL PASTORAL MINISTRY IN BLACK COMMUNITIES

Missional theology is instrumental in attending to environmental, socio-economic and political challenges (Ballard & Pritchard 1996:3, 23). Missional pastoral methodology bridges the gap between a pastor-client approach and liberation pastoral ministry (Johnson 2004:480). Contemporary pastoral ministry is embedded in an individualised pastoral focus within a ‘crisis’ maintenance paradigm that can only be addressed through the continuing conversion of pastoral theology (cf. Guder 2000:150). Missional pastoral ministry is shaped by its role in God’s mission. The transformation and healing of a broken world is the objective – restoring it to God’s ultimate vision for the world (cf. Barrett et al. 2004:x). The missional13 pastoral vocation and ethos of the church should embody everything the church is and does. Pastoral theologians should review their ecclesiological presuppositions (Bosh 2005:370). Research indicates that some of the poor Black and White church communities in Southern Africa seem to be more ‘missional’ than some of their more affluent White counterparts (Van der Walt 2007). Our recent liberation struggle for democracy schooled Black churches in being missional (Institute for Justice and Reconciliation 2004). Missional churches present a critical approach towards factors they engage in and through the functions of sustaining and liberation (Wimberley 1979:19–20) focuses only on the last two functions, which dominate pastoral care in the black church. Reconciliation occupies a secondary position, while healing became difficult because of the racial climate. The sustaining function enables families in crisis ‘to transcend and endure conditions that are not immediately alterable’ (Wimberley 1979:20).

The guiding function helps families in making informed choices and in acting on it. Wimberley focuses also on the choices families make in coping with crises. The prophetic presence of Christians and pastors in crisis situations of victims characterises pastoral ministry (Molina 1989:205–206; Dames 1999:3; MacMaster 2003:61–66, 69; Pedro 2001:44). The symbolic significance of Black pastors is revealed in the manner in which they engage in and through the functions of sustaining and guiding (Wimberley 1979:20). Pastoral ministry is called upon to engage in eco-systemic liberation: ‘Racism and oppression have produced wounds in the Black community that can be healed only to the extent that they are visited’ (Wimberley 1979:20).

11 ‘Ontology is taken to mean a hermeneutical endeavour ... to link God to human life in order to deal with the spiritual dimension of significance and the question of the ultimate meaning of life in the face of evil and suffering’ (Louw 2008:18).
12 ‘Fortology represents a movement away from pathology to constructive enforcement and encouragement’ (Louw 2008:31) (see Strümpfer 2002).
13 ‘The missional model has the potential to turn the churches inside out rather than allowing them to focus inward thereby overlooking the human situation’ (Johnson 2004:477).

15 ‘Many persons may have the impression that pastoral care does not exist in the Black church [in South Africa] because very little has been written about it ...’ (Wimberley 1979:17–18).
16 Compare Heitink’s (1979:375) pastoral care model ‘in fellowship with the Church of Christ’.
that healing takes place in the structure of the total society’ (Wimberley 1979:21). Such liberation is justifiable: ‘For the slave, healing meant liberation from oppression; therefore, it was impossible to repair the damage done by slavery without manumission (freeing/freedom)’ (Wimberley 1979:29). The ecumenical movement in South Africa was and is still confronted with the anger of Black and the fear of White people. Pastoral facilitation of confession, restitution and reconciliation remains crucial today (Botman 1993:34).

Black pastoral ministry differs from pastoral care in White mainline Protestant churches (Wimberley 1979:22). ‘Psychotherapists [and pastoral therapists] often have difficulties in relating to and understanding clients who are socio-economically and culturally different’ (Wilson & Lyles 1984:133). Healing has been the dominant pastoral function in traditional White churches17 due to the absence of economic, political and social oppression.

However, Black pastors have persistently demonstrated a rare creativity in meeting the needs of families, whilst pastoral care and counselling of White churches was inadequate in providing communal pastoral ministry (Wimberley 1979:23). The Black church utilised significant supportive resources in enabling families to overcome and endure life crises exacerbated by oppression (Wimberley 1979:23–24, 27). Black churches became an alternative society as a community resource in sustaining Black families’ self-esteem in the midst of powerlessness, whilst substituting families for economic and political participation in the wider society (Wimberley 1979:30). The need for a prophetic missional ministry in the Black church has become urgent again (Wimberley 1979:34). The transformation of pathological socio-economic and systemic factors in society is part of the church’s mission (Wimberley 1979:92).

The time is ripe for the missional ministry of the Black church to readdress socio-economic and political pathologies that inhibit psychosocial and economical development of poor families and communities (Wimberley 1979:92–93). ‘Changes in the structures of our economic relationships will lead to changes in social relationships; and in all this the churches have an important part to play’ (Taylor 1984:229). The Black church should engage in influencing government policies in ensuring job creation for the poor, job skills training and economic security. Parental energy and time constrains are transformed into pedagogical and wellness spaces. Parental energies can thus focus on creating atmospheres of support for their children in developing a basic sense of trust and security (Wimberley 1979:93).

The pastoral ministry models of Louw, Coene and Wimberley could inform a missional approach to transform disempowered ecclesial systems. The empowerment of pastoral ministry within and outside the church becomes crucial in addressing pathologies. Keifer’s (2006:48–50) diffusion-of-innovation methodology in transforming the culture of maintenance churches provides a possible solution (future research should attend to this aspect). The objective in this article is to arrive at an appropriate innovation for missional pastoral and ecclesial systemic transformation. Empowerment for meaningful, soulful life care and total liberation is urgently required for suffering families and communities.

A TRANSVERSAL MODEL OF CROSS-DISCIPLINARITY: TOWARDS A PASTORAL PEDAGOGY FOR EMPOWERMENT


Struggling families and communities need to be guided to a better comprehension, liberating action and appropriate ethical discernment regarding existential socio-economic and systemic pathologies (cf. Ploeger 1995:62). The spiritual-diagonal methodology fosters ethical values such as solidarity, independence and self-sufficiency. Reciprocal socio-economic and political learning fosters the formation of new meaning and engenders liberating practices in transforming pathologies (cf. Ploeger 1995:59, 216–220). Reciprocal hermeneutics, according to Freire (1973:54–55, 72ff), informs an emancipatory methodology towards a praxis of freedom. Ploeger’s reciprocal and circular hermeneutical and communicative action (Ploeger 1995:333–339) undergirds eco-theology (Boff 1986),18 which is crucial to discern and inform the authentic existential experiences of poor families and communities in relation to God’s praxis (Diringmans 1996:90). Schrage (1988:83) underlines the fact that ‘[t]he neighbour in need is the only place where we find God in the world’. Ploeger’s methodology could be instrumental for missional pastoral ministry, especially in contemporary local and national contexts, by empowering families and communities in their socio-economic distress (Molla 1989:198). Missional pastoral ministry from a pedagogical perspective forms empowered, liberated, well-integrated and functioning families or communities (Wimberley 1979:78–79; cf. Conyne 2004).

Müller (2005:73) holds that pastoral theology ‘happens whenever and wherever there is a reflection on practice, from the perspective of the experience of the presence of God’. The moment of praxis is ‘always local, embodied and situated’ (Müller 2005:73).19 The ‘local and informal levels’ in pastoral theologies refer to a rediscovery of the basic forms of pastoral theology as being reinvented as postfoundationalist20 (Müller 2005:74; cf. Boff 1986). However, in the context of this article, a new praxis,21 namely missional contextual pastoral theology for liberation, is preferred (cf. Boff 1986:32). Missional pastoral-pedagogical ministry requires ethical leadership that transforms individualised needs and institutional agendas (cf. Botman 1993:5). It focuses intentionally on the collective responsibility.

17...healing could become a tradition in the mainline Protestant white churches because there were economic resources to provide clinical training for pastors, whereas the Black church had to rely upon a tradition of sustaining and guiding fashioned in response to oppression’ (Wimberley 1979:22–23).


19. ‘With all the emphasis, and justly so, on the scientific quality of [pastoral theology], a disregard has developed for the many levels and various forms of the practice of [pastoral theology] on the local as well as informal level’ (Müller 2005:73).

20.A postfoundationalist or poststructuralist approach departs from a predominantly maintenance (structuralist or foundationalist) paradigm. This perspective takes seriously the challenges of poststructuralism and postmodernism, and its attempt to secure true knowledge through foundationalist strategies. It acknowledges that knowledge is constructed on the basis of social practices, language, and values that are local and contextual’ (Osmer 2008:308ff).

21. ‘The basic church communities are helping the whole church in the process of decolonialization, by restoring to the People of God, the faithful, the rights of which they have been deprived in the linear structure’ (Boff 1988:32).
for the common good of entire communities (Freire 1978:8). Missional pastoral ministry within communities should co-exist in a ‘delicate tension’ within appropriate ethical principles and practices in fostering the spiritual and civic virtue of authentic transformational leadership: ‘We have a responsibility to contribute to the “common good” in our contexts …’ (Dreyer 2010:3). Missional pastoral-ethical leadership should become a prerequisite in pastoral theology (cf. Bellah et al. 1988, cited in Bass & Steidlemeier 1999). Maintenance pastoral ministry is called to repentance and total obedience ‘grounded in a spirituality of servant leadership’, embodying its mission as a sign and witness of God’s grace and self-giving love (Osmer 2008:129). This is an ethical question, specifically in the light of the pathological conditions that poor and vulnerable families and communities have to endure. Such obedience implies a responsibility and demands a comprehensive new attitude of repentance, conversion, a change of course and a new orientation (Botman 1993:102ff; Schrage 1988:40–41).

Coene’s work is, therefore, a fascinating and inspiring example of how a clinical methodology could be transformed to fit the needs within pathological contexts (Coene 1983:90, 92). Her being with poor people and listening to their needs was her way of

allowing her/herself to enter deeply into their lives (pains, joys),

making herself vulnerable by reaching them in ‘situating her/herself

among them with an attitude of openness, listening, empathy and

compassion … to read below, deep below the surface of the reality of a people living in a depressed area, wrapped in a “culture of silence … I learned to enter into the mystery of incarnation and understand the poverty-stricken people from within.

(Connee 1983:92, 95–96, 97)

The Diatesda model was founded as a pastoral response to pathological socio-economic challenges. It fostered a communal pastoral ministry for church members and more importantly for the broader community. Diatesda became an integrated, multifaceted and cross-disciplinary pedagogical and missional pastoral ministry. It could be concurred that it became ‘involved in the Black community’s fight for economic and political lobbying, community organization around selected issues, [the Reconstruction and Development Programme], sponsorship of economic ventures, and other means’ (Wimberley 1979:94). Diatesda initiated and continues to create an environment vital for growth in a community facing recurring and persisting existential socio-economic pathologies. It has become a missional communal place and space of soul care, life healing, liberation and transformation – without the church!

Spendthrift generosity (the giver’s own blood) brought fruition to the oppressed people of Latin America in confronting their institutionalised churches. It was a ‘demanding, but at the same time, most promising moment in its history’ (Gutierrez 1983:25).

Political oppression in Southern Africa’s recent history caused enough bloodshed. Any form of socio-economic and cultural oppression or suffering today should be rejected. Practical theology should be a reciprocal process of reflecting on the Praxis of the Trinity in and through the transformation of the church’s praxis towards the liberation of the world’s praxis (Dames 2009:84).

**CONCLUSION**

Pathological conditions remain a way of life for most of the poverty-stricken families and communities in Southern Africa. Missional pastoral ministry should engage in the liberation from and transformation of pathologies in the daily lives of suffering families and communities. Liberation ‘from below’ proposes to transform oppressive conditions into God’s preferred praxis of total liberation:

Prophets need not advocate a revolution to overthrow an unprincipled regime. Commitments to a new understanding [how to live and transform suffering] undermine the allegiances to old realities and assure the collapse of [pathological socio-

**economic, political and even ecclesial] structures that are at odds with the new vision.**

(Simmons 1989:521)

Christians are to be signs of hope, salt and light in the world, pointing to the moral influence that should be exercised in transforming pathologies, deconstructing and reconstructing public policy and ecclesial maintenance they ought to be guided and sustained by a commitment to justice, human rights, dignity and religious liberty, which is conspicuously absent from Christendom’s moral priorities.22
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