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ABSTRACT

Purpose
The objective of this paper is to examine the effects of five determinates of performance in students (N = 677) who completed three years of financial accounting to obtain a Bachelor of Accounting Science (BCompt) degree by distance education through the University of South Africa.

Design/methodology/approach
Regression analysis is used on three yearly measures of performance with five independent variables (age, gender, prior accounting knowledge, mathematics background and academic aptitude).

Findings
Results show that mathematics background and academic aptitude are both significantly associated with
student performance, throughout the financial accounting subjects. Prior accounting knowledge is also important in the first year of study but not thereafter.

**Practical implications**

The results of this study have important implications for instructors, students and career advisers, particularly as research linking various factors with performance in accounting subjects over the length of a degree is limited.

**Research limitations/implications**

Prior research has found that other factors may influence student performance, and future studies which include these variables will add to these findings.

**Originality/value**

The current study considers the determinants of student performance over three financial accounting years (rather than one year or one subject) and from a distance education perspective. As distance education becomes a more important delivery method of study in the future, these results have important implications.

**Keywords:** accounting; student performance; age; gender; prior accounting knowledge; academic aptitude; mathematics background.

1. **Introduction**

While prior research has examined many determinants of student performance in accounting subjects (see for example, Baldwin and Howe, 1982; Doran, Bouillon and Smith, 1991; Eskew and Faley, 1988; Farley and Ramsay, 1988; Gul and Fong, 1993; Rohde and Kavanagh, 1996; Halabi, 2009), research has continually been prompted due to different and contradictory findings. An examination of studies into the determinates of student performance shows most of the existing studies involve face-to-face teaching, with performance being only examined in one or two accounting subjects. This study differs from previous
research as its objective is to examine the effect of a number of important variables on student performance in financial accounting modules across three years of a Bachelor of Accounting Science (BCompt) degree offered through distance education. Albrecht and Sack (2000) noted that as distance education becomes a more popular and efficient educational delivery system, research into these programs becomes very important, particularly in developing the channel of prospective chartered accountants (Olivier and Bulman, 2009). The variables examined in this study include age, gender, prior accounting knowledge, mathematics background and academic aptitude. The effect of these variables are analysed in relation to performance in eight financial accounting modules across a three year distance education degree.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews the prior research and the development of the research question. Section 3 summarises the research methodology. The results are presented in Section 4 and the findings discussed. Finally, Section 5 discusses the implications, the limitations and suggestions for future research.

2. Literature review

A primary aim of tertiary teaching is to encourage and facilitate student learning (Laurillard, 1993). While “learning” can also encompass an affective or psychomotor sort, it is the cognitive change and development that is the central aim of most instruction (Wild and Quinn, 1998). Once learning has occurred it is most common to have this evaluated through some assessment performance (Michlistsch and Sidle, 2002).

In the general education literature, it has been found that there are many determinates of student performance (Laurillard, 1993). Educational research into which factors effect performance have shown results that are mixed and inconsistent. The inconsistent findings have prompted on-going research in different settings and contexts. Previous accounting research has also noted that many different factors
could affect performance, however the literature does not always agree on how individual factors or indeed what set of factors influence performance (D’Souza and Maheshwari, 2010).

In order to contribute to the accounting education literature and knowledge, the present study examines five commonly used variables and their effect on performance. Unlike prior research however, these factors will be assessed over three years of financial accounting study and in a distance education setting. The variables selected were based on a number of factors including the conflicting prior research; their relevance to this particular study and positive theories which seek to explain and predict, rather than prescribe a particular approach (Deegan and Unerman, 2011). The five variables include age, gender, prior accounting knowledge, mathematics background and academic aptitude.

2.1 Age

General educational literature has noted that the impact that the variable age (a proxy for maturity) has on student performance has been inconclusive (Duff, 2004; Guney, 2009). In accounting, Bartlett, Peel and Pendlebury (1993) found that on average older students achieved lower grades in all examinations but significantly so in respect of their third year subjects. Similarly Koh and Koh (1999) found that younger students performed significantly better than older students in an accounting degree, whilst Müller, Prinsloo and Du Plessis (2007) found a similar result for performance in the first year of study. In contrast, Lane and Porch (2002) noted that older students performed better in accounting.

These prior findings show that accounting research related to the determinant of age are mixed. Koh and Koh (1999) suggest that no definite theory exists to explain the directional effect of age on performance and thus reasons to corroborate findings vary. For example as students mature, they realize that completing their studies can be linked to financial success and as a result are more focussed. Guney (2009) suggests that, as students grow older, they become more disciplined and as a result achieve better marks. Bartlett et al. (1993) propose that students’ maturity equip them to deal with initial understanding but disadvantage them in the long run as they have to adapt to the routine of study and examination.
2.2 Gender

Accounting gender studies, have also found inconsistent performance results. Doran et al. (1991) reported that, on average, males had significantly higher examination scores than females in Accounting Principles I. Koh and Koh (1999) found that males performed significantly better than females throughout an accountancy degree programme. Gammie, Paver, Gammie and Duncan (2003) however, found that females outperformed their male counterparts in the first year accounting subject but no gender differences were found thereafter. Other studies reported that after controlling for ‘academic ability’ there was no evidence of gender differences in examination performance (Buckless, Lipe and Ravenscroft, 1991; Keef and Roush, 1997). Both McDowall and Jackling (2006) and Halabi, Essop, Joosub, Padia, Vawda and Yasseen (2010), when examining computer-based learning materials, found that gender did not affect performance, while Guney (2009) could not find a meaningful relationship between gender and performance.

The effect of gender on student performance remains inconclusive. This could be due to a number of factors, including institutional settings and research methodologies, or different learning strategies (De Lange and Mavondo, 2004).

2.3 Prior accounting knowledge

Cognitive entry behaviours, more commonly known as prior knowledge, are “those prerequisite types of knowledge, skills, and competencies which are essential to the learning of a particular new task or set of tasks” (Bloom, 1976, p.32). Early studies on prior knowledge, found that students who had followed an accounting course in high school performed no better than students who did not follow such a course (Baldwin and Howe, 1982) which was later confirmed by Byrne and Flood (2008). Most studies however have noted that prior accounting knowledge is a significant predictor of student performance, particularly in the first year of study (see Eskew and Faley, 1988; Farley and Ramsay, 1988; Gul and Fong, 1993; Rohde and Kavanagh, 1996; Rankin, Silvester, Vallely and Wyatt, 2003; Alcock, Cockcroft and Finn,
2008; Cheung and Wong, 2011). While students who studied accounting at high school performed significantly better, Eskew and Faley (1988) and Gul and Fong (1993) noted that over time, prior accounting knowledge did not appear to benefit students (see also Bartlett et al., 1993 and Doran et al., 1991). Keef (1992) sought to determine whether extra years of prior accounting study held any benefit to students, and found the results to be insignificant.

From these prior studies the influence of prior accounting knowledge on student performance is inconclusive. Reasons for the differences in results can be ascribed to a number of factors including research design, institutional settings and methods used (Eskew and Faley, 1988). For example, Baldwin and Howe (1982) indicated that they did not differentiate the amount of high school accounting taken by the student which in turn could have had an impact on the results reported.

2.4 Mathematics background

In accounting education, the influence of secondary mathematics as a determinant of student performance has also led to conflicting conclusions. Bartlett et al. (1993), found mathematics to be insignificantly linked to student performance. In contrast, Eskew and Faley (1988) and Gul and Fong (1993) reported that prior knowledge in mathematics was a significant predictor of student performance for introductory accounting students. Additionally, Wong and Chia (1996) found a significant relationship between students with a higher degree of proficiency in mathematics and performance in first year accounting (see also Yunker, Yunker and Krull, 2009) and throughout the accounting degree programme (Koh and Koh, 1999).

2.5 Academic Aptitude

Academic aptitude (also known as ‘prior academic performance/achievement’, ‘academic ability’, or ‘previous performance’) has been found to be an important determinant of performance (Byrne and Flood, 2008; Doran et al., 1991; Eskew and Faley, 1988; Koh and Koh, 1999). Standardised measures such as SAT (Scholastic Assessment Test), ACT (American College Test) and GPA (Grade Point Average) scores
have been used to measure academic performance (Koh and Koh, 1999). Mohrweis (2010) highlighted academic aptitude as a significant predictor of student performance. Guney (2009) found a positive relationship between previous academic success and student performance. Eskew and Faley (1988) and Doran et al. (1991) found that measures of academic performance and aptitude were the most important determinants of examination performance in Accounting Principles I and II, and academic performance overall (see Koh and Koh, 1999). Byrne and Flood (2008) confirmed ‘prior academic achievement’ was the most important variable in explaining first year academic performance of accounting students. In contrast to the above however Bartlett et al. (1993) found that ‘academic ability’ did not have a significant impact on university examination performance, but explained that one of the reasons for this finding could be due to the low variation in the A-level scores of the sample group used.

2.6 Significance of the present study

In summary, prior accounting based research has shown that the five variables selected for this study have been inclusive as to their predictive value on performance. The variables discussed have been primarily limited to a single accounting course or a combination of one or two accounting courses (Koh and Koh, 1999). Extending the research from the first module through to the final module of an accounting degree would further provide important information and clarify prior research and the distance education nature of the way in which accounting subjects have been taught has not been previously examined.

2.7 The current setting

The curriculum for the BCompt degree at the University of South Africa comprises of 34 modules of which eight are financial accounting, spread over three years or levels. For the purposes of this study, student performance at each level will be used. Reference to student performance in the first two modules will be referred to as Accounting I; the second two modules as Accounting II; and performance in the final four modules as Accounting III.
2.8 Research questions and hypotheses

The research questions stated in null form are as follows:

H₁: Age has no significant effect on performance in Accounting I, II or III.

H₂: Gender has no significant effect on performance in Accounting I, II or III.

H₃: Prior accounting knowledge has no significant effect on performance in Accounting I, II or III.

H₄: Mathematics background has no significant effect on performance in Accounting I, II or III.

H₅: Academic aptitude has no significant effect on performance in Accounting I, II or III.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Data

This study was based on N = 677 students from the University of South Africa who completed all eight financial accounting modules in the BCompt degree in 2011. Data obtained (via the ethical clearance committee) contained the following information: student’s personal details, including date of birth and gender; student’s academic record indicative of marks obtained for all modules completed to obtain the degree; student’s academic record indicating the date each module was passed; and final year of schooling (Grade 12) results.

3.2 Model, variable definition and measurement

The regression model can be specified as:

\[
\text{ACCOUNTING I}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{AGE}_i + \beta_2 \text{GENDER}_i + \beta_3 \text{PRIOR ACCOUNTING KNOWLEDGE}_i \\
+ \beta_4 \text{MATHEMATICS BACKGROUND}_i + \beta_5 \text{ACADEMIC APTITUDE}_i + e_i
\]

\[
\text{ACCOUNTING II}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{AGE}_i + \beta_2 \text{GENDER}_i + \beta_3 \text{PRIOR ACCOUNTING KNOWLEDGE}_i \\
+ \beta_4 \text{MATHEMATICS BACKGROUND}_i + \beta_5 \text{ACADEMIC APTITUDE}_i + e_i
\]
\[ \text{ACCOUNTING III}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{AGE}_i + \beta_2 \text{GENDER}_i + \beta_3 \text{PRIOR ACCOUNTING KNOWLEDGE}_i \]
\[ + \beta_4 \text{MATHEMATICS BACKGROUND}_i + \beta_5 \text{ACADEMIC APTITUDE}_i + e_i \]

Where:

\( i \) = data for the \( i \)th student

\( e_i \) = a random error term

The definition and measurement of each variable is summarised in Table 1.

Table 2 specifically refers to Academic aptitude and Mathematics background variables.

**Table 1. Measurement of dependent and independent variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Definition/measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting I</td>
<td>Average mark obtained for the two financial accounting modules at level one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting II</td>
<td>Average mark obtained for the two accounting modules at level two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting III</td>
<td>Average mark obtained for the four accounting modules at level three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age of the student on completion of the final module in Accounting I, II and III ( \text{respectively} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1 = female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 = male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior accounting knowledge</td>
<td>1 = accounting taken as a subject in Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 = no accounting taken as a subject in Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics background</td>
<td>Achievement level (1–7) ( ^1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic aptitude</td>
<td>APS (admission point score) ( ^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The achievement level (see Table 2) obtained for mathematics in the final year of schooling (Grade 12) is used to measure mathematics background.

\(^2\) The APS score is calculated using the NSC achievement levels table (see Table 2). When standardised, the maximum APS that can be obtained is a score of 42 points.

**Table 2. National Senior Certificate achievement levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Achievement</th>
<th>Achievement level</th>
<th>Marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Outstanding achievement</td>
<td>80–100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Meritorious achievement</td>
<td>70–79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Substantial achievement</td>
<td>60–69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Adequate achievement</td>
<td>50–59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate achievement</td>
<td>40–49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elementary achievement</td>
<td>30–39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not achieved</td>
<td>0–29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable*</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student performance</strong>¹:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting I</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>68.83</td>
<td>11.022</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting II</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>60.20</td>
<td>8.2181</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting III</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>56.60</td>
<td>5.677</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average performance²</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>61.88</td>
<td>6.906</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong>³:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting I</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>4.216</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting II</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>4.455</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting III</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>26.31</td>
<td>5.102</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age⁴</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>4.473</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Academic aptitude</strong>⁵:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics background⁶:</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>1.715</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong>⁷:</td>
<td>677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>384 (56.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>293 (43.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior accounting knowledge</strong>⁸:</td>
<td>677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting in Grade 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>549 (81.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No accounting in Grade 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128 (18.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Higher variable values represent better student performance, academic aptitude and mathematics background.

¹ Student performance is measured by the student’s average mark obtained in Accounting I, II and III respectively.

² Average student performance is measured as the average mark obtained by the student in Accounting I, II and III.

³ Age is measured as the students’ age at the point that the student completed the final module in Accounting I, II and III respectively.

⁴ Average age of the student is calculated as the average age of the student during the period taken to complete Accounting I, II and III.
5. Academic aptitude is measured as the student’s admission point score (APS) on completing the final year of schooling (Grade 12). The APS score is calculated using the NSC achievement levels table (see Table 2). The maximum APS that can be obtained is a score of 42 points.

6. Mathematics background is measured as the achievement level (1–7, see Table 2) obtained by the student in the final year of schooling (Grade 12) for mathematics.

7. Gender is coded as “1” for female students and “0” for male students.

8. Prior accounting knowledge is coded as “1” for students who had accounting as a subject in the final year of schooling (Grade 12) and “0” for students who did not have accounting as a subject in the final year of schooling (Grade 12).

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Descriptive statistics

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics of the dependent and independent variables. Table 3 shows the mean students’ performance\(^1\) (dependent variable) decreases as students progress into the degree. In Accounting I the mean student performance was 68.83 (std. dev. = 11.022), Accounting II, 60.20 (std. dev. = 8.2181) and Accounting III, 56.60 (std. dev. = 5.677). The mean over the three years is 61.88 (std. dev. = 6.906). The mean age of students completing Accounting I, II and III is 21.77 (std. dev. = 4.216), 23.53 (std. dev. = 4.455) and 26.31 (std. dev. = 5.102) respectively, while the average age is 23.87 (std. dev. = 4.473). The mean for academic aptitude when completing Grade 12 is 30.14 (std. dev. = 6.612) and the mean mathematics score (range = 1 to 7) is 4.36 (std. dev. = 1.715). In terms of gender, 384 (56.7%) were female and 293 (43.3%) male, while N = 549 (81.1%) had studied accounting in their final year of schooling.

4.2 Regression analysis

Multiple regression analysis was used to evaluate how well the five independent variables predict performance. Table 4 shows that there is a significant relationship between the dependent variable (student performance) and the five independent variables. Accordingly, the model used is significant with a good

---

\(^1\) The study only includes successful students, thus, the minimum performance percentage obtained is 50%.
Table 4. Regression analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A: Performance in Accounting I</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Standardised coefficients</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>39.953</td>
<td>12.181</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1: Age</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>1.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: Gender</td>
<td>-.500</td>
<td>-.022</td>
<td>-.672</td>
<td>.502</td>
<td>1.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: Prior accounting knowledge</td>
<td>3.977</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>3.908</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4: Mathematics background</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>.177</td>
<td>3.411</td>
<td>.001*</td>
<td>2.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5: Academic aptitude</td>
<td>.582</td>
<td>.349</td>
<td>6.593</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>2.584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model F = 49.957 (p-value = .000); R-square = .271; adjusted R-square = .266

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel B: Performance in Accounting II</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Standardised coefficients</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>49.269</td>
<td>17.933</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1: Age</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>-.042</td>
<td>.966</td>
<td>1.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: Gender</td>
<td>.413</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.685</td>
<td>.494</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: Prior accounting knowledge</td>
<td>.501</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.613</td>
<td>.540</td>
<td>1.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4: Mathematics background</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>4.515</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>2.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5: Academic aptitude</td>
<td>.168</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>.020**</td>
<td>2.609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model F = 21.572 (p-value = .000); R-square = .138; adjusted R-square = .132

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel C: Performance in Accounting III</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Standardised coefficients</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>55.311</td>
<td>27.810</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1: Age</td>
<td>-.165</td>
<td>-.148</td>
<td>-3.573</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>1.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: Gender</td>
<td>-.160</td>
<td>-.014</td>
<td>-.380</td>
<td>.704</td>
<td>1.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: Prior accounting knowledge</td>
<td>-.574</td>
<td>-.040</td>
<td>-1.014</td>
<td>.311</td>
<td>1.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4: Mathematics background</td>
<td>.542</td>
<td>.164</td>
<td>2.891</td>
<td>.004*</td>
<td>2.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5: Academic aptitude</td>
<td>.127</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>2.508</td>
<td>.012**</td>
<td>2.670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model F = 20.050 (p-value = .000); R-square = .130; adjusted R-square = .123

* Significant at the 0.01 level
** Significant at the 0.05 level

To detect any multicollinearity issues, the variance inflation factor (VIF) is calculated. A common rule is that if the VIF is greater than five (5), multicollinearity is severe (Studenmund, 2001).
fit, and the R-square compares favourably with prior studies (see Koh and Koh, 1999). To detect any multicollinearity issues, the variance inflation factor (VIF) was calculated. A common rule of thumb is that if the VIF is greater than five (5), multicollinearity is severe (Studenmund, 2001, p.258). As can be seen from Table 4, no multicollinearity issues exist. An additional regression was run which excluded the effect of mathematics background from academic aptitude. The results (untabulated) remained unchanged.

4.3 Testing Hypothesis

$H_1$: age

Table 4 shows that age is not statistically significant in Accounting I and II, yet it is in Accounting III. Thus, the null hypothesis ($H_1$), namely age has no significant effect on student performance in Accounting I, II and III, can be partially rejected. The negative coefficients in Accounting II and III indicate that younger students perform better, and significantly so in Accounting III. A reason for this finding could be, for example, it can be assumed that students entering the BCompt degree are generally younger, therefore more motivated to pass their examinations as they may have less commitments (for example, time, work, family, financial) and consequently can focus more on their studies.

$H_2$: gender

Table 4 also illustrates that gender is not significantly associated with student performance in Accounting I, II or III. Thus, the null hypothesis ($H_2$), namely gender has no significant effect on student performance in Accounting I, II or III, cannot be rejected. The negative coefficient for Accounting I and III indicates that male students perform better than females but not significantly so; however, in Accounting II, the opposite applies. Koh and Koh (1999) proposed that for a more systematic study, student gender should not be identifiable from the examination answer scripts. This suggestion was addressed in the current study as a student number identifies students at Unisa resulting in examiners not knowing their gender. The
indifferent gender results could be indicative that gender no longer plays a role in success due to equal opportunities available to both genders in the modern world.

\textbf{H\textsubscript{3}: prior accounting knowledge}

Prior accounting knowledge is statistically significant in Accounting I but not so in Accounting II, and III (see Table 4). Thus, for Accounting I, the null hypothesis (H\textsubscript{3}), namely prior accounting knowledge has no significant effect on performance can be rejected. The positive coefficient for Accounting I indicates that students with prior accounting knowledge perform better than students without such knowledge. Reasons for these results can be ascribed to a number of factors such as research design, institutional settings and methods used. The results showing that prior accounting knowledge is only significantly associated with student performance in Accounting I (the student’s first year of study) in the BCompt degree may be that prior accounting knowledge gives those who have such knowledge an early advantage, however as the work becomes more integrated in the second and third year of studies, such prior knowledge is not enough to get them through the subject at the more advanced level.

\textbf{H\textsubscript{4}: mathematics background}

Table 4 confirms that mathematics background is statistically significant in Accounting I, II, and III. Thus, the null hypothesis, namely mathematics background has no significant effect on student performance in Accounting I, II or III, can be rejected. At all three levels, students with a stronger mathematics background perform better. These findings confirm the expectation that a strong mathematical background will have a positive effect on student performance in the accounting subject. A reason to substantiate this finding could be that as mathematics is a subject that requires a high level of logic and skill, accordingly a student who obtained a higher grade in mathematics will have an advantage over the student with a lower grade.
**H₅: academic aptitude**

The null hypothesis academic aptitude has no significant effect on student performance in Accounting I, II or III can be rejected as academic aptitude is statistically significant in Accounting I, II and Accounting III (see Table 4). The positive coefficient indicates that students with better academic aptitude scores perform better than students with lower scores. The findings show that academic aptitude is a strong predictor of performance and an important determinant of student performance. As indicated by prior literature, academic aptitude can be measured in various ways; however, regardless of the measure used academic aptitude is a strong indicator of success.

The standardised betas (see Table 4), further indicate that academic aptitude is the most important determinant of student performance in Accounting I followed by mathematics background; while in Accounting II and III mathematics background is the strongest determinant.

**5. Implications, limitations and conclusion**

Factors influencing performance in accounting have been extensively researched over the past few decades. The effect that such factors have on performance in a distance education environment, over the duration of a course, and in a South African context are however limited, which prompted the motivation for the current study.

The results show that of the five variables tested, mathematics background and academic aptitude are the two most important determinants of performance in Accounting I, II and III. The findings thus confirm that a strong mathematical background will have a positive effect on performance (see Koh and Koh, 1999; Eskew and Faley, 1988; Gul and Fong, 1993; Wong and Chia, 1996). Similar to prior literature (Byrne and Flood, 2008; Duff, 2004; Guney, 2009; Koh and Koh, 1999; Mohrweis, 2010), these findings also show
that academic aptitude is a strong predictor of performance. The present study also clarified the issue with respect to prior accounting knowledge, with results showing this factor is only significantly associated with performance in Accounting I and that such knowledge does not appear to benefit students over time (Eskew and Faley, 1988; Farley and Ramsay, 1988; Gul and Fong, 1993; Rohde and Kavanagh, 1996; Alcock et al., 2008). In relation to age, this study finds this factor to be pertinent to younger students performing significantly better in later years, which reinforces the findings of Bartlett et al. (1993), Koh and Koh (1999) and Müller et al. (2007). Finally, similar to studies by McDowall and Jackling (2006), Guney (2009) and Halabi et al. (2010), this study found no meaningful relationship between gender and performance.

The results showing the significance of academic aptitude, has important implications for educators and policy makers. Admission to the BCompt degree through Unisa has traditionally not been assessed using an admission point system. The implication and recommendation of this research is that Unisa examine the possibility of applying admission requirements to their BCompt degrees. The introduction of a minimum admission point system should increase student success and, as a result, throughput rates.

The findings also emphasise the importance of mathematics as a pre-requisite for admission to an accounting degree. An implication here is that student counsellors and student advisers, at the high school level in particular, should highlight this finding when advising prospective students who would like to pursue a career in accounting. A good mathematical knowledge developed at school will likely advantage students throughout their university accounting studies.

Prior accounting knowledge was found to be significantly associated with student performance in the first year of study but not thereafter. An implication is that this can also assist counsellors when advising students of career choices that prior accounting knowledge does not necessarily equip them to pass all the
accounting modules in an accounting degree. This could possibly widen the pool of prospective students taking accounting at university – that you don’t need to complete accounting at high school to be successful overall. Additionally the findings show that educators should caution students in accounting degree programs beyond the first year, that having studied accounting as a subject in their final year of school does not guarantee that they will succeed in second- and third-year university accounting.

Finally, the findings also have implications for professional bodies. Accounting degrees are usually the starting point for students who want to qualify as chartered accountants and it is important that professional bodies be aware of the results that show that academic aptitude and mathematics background are strong indicators of success. As professional bodies are continuously involved in ensuring universities adhere to a high standards of training, a recommendation would be to introduce a minimum admission point system for admission to a distance education accounting degree. This could increase the quality and throughput of students who eventually qualify as chartered accountants.

While statistical significance has been found in many of the variables tested, there are a number of limitations that should be borne in mind. These limitations however can be used to address further research. While the present study tested five variables, prior research has found that numerous other factors such as learning styles, student perceptions, motivation, home language, and schooling may influence student performance (Lane and Porch, 2002; Guney, 2009). Due to fact that this study was designed to rely only on institutionally available data, these factors were not included in this study. Including these or perhaps other factors in future studies will add to findings pertaining to determinants of student performance. Byrne and Flood (2008) also noted that, if a greater understanding of academic performance is to be achieved, future research should aim to combine background variables (for example study effort, part-time work commitments and family circumstances) with others. Additionally Arquero, Hassall, Joyce
and Donoso (2007) state “Accounting is about measuring and communicating” and emphasize the importance of communication apprehension. Extending the current study to include communication skills will contribute to the current literature. Finally, only students at one university were included in this study; thus, the generalisability of the results to other institutions therefore may be limited, and future research could be extended to other distance education institution providers in South Africa and internationally.
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