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Executive summary

Globally, neonatal mortality, and still births are major public health problems. Though preventable, nearly three million babies die every year in their first month of life and a similar number are stillborn, accounting for 7% of global burden of disease, which is higher than the burden of Human Immunodeficiency Virus / Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS). Up to 50% of all deaths within the first month occur within the first 24 hours of life, and up to 75% occur in the first week.

Zimbabwe’s Neonatal Mortality Rate (NMR) rose from 33/1000 deaths per 1000 live births in 1990 to 39/1000 in 2012. The country is far from reaching Millennium Development Goal 4 (MDG4) on child survival as the pattern on rising NMR is evident in districts like Mutare. Though interventions like result based financing (RBF), increase in midwifery training, provision of Basic Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (BEMNOC) have been implemented in the district, the district has a high NMR of 55.2 deaths per 1000 live births.

This study aims to explore the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare facilities. The primary objective of the research is to determine if pregnancy outcomes differ by socio-economic, maternal, neonatal, delivery and health system factors. The study will employ a retrospective cross-section analytical approach. Records of pregnant women who delivered at 7 sampled facilities during the period January 2014 to June 2014 will be reviewed. The working definition for adverse pregnancy outcomes for this study will be women who had a fresh still birth or early neonatal deaths.

The results from the study will be presented as a report in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree on Master of Public Health by the University of Pretoria. A presentation of the results will be made to the Health Executive of Mutare districts as well as Manicaland Province. The results will also be published in a reputable journal and availed for public consumption.
Table of Contents
Declaration .................................................................................................................. ii
Acknowledgements .................................................................................................... iii
Dedication .................................................................................................................. iv
Executive summary .................................................................................................... v
List of Figures ............................................................................................................. 4
List of Tables .............................................................................................................. 4
List of Appendices ...................................................................................................... 5
PART ONE: RESEARCH PROTOCOL ...................................................................... 6
1.0 Introduction and literature review ...................................................................... 6
   Background information .......................................................................................... 6
      Definition and epidemiology of pregnancy outcomes ........................................... 6
      Global burden child mortality .............................................................................. 7
      Adverse pregnancy outcomes and burden to the health care ................................ 8
      Stillbirths and neonatal deaths ............................................................................ 8
      Risk factors/determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes ................................. 9
      Levels of prevention ............................................................................................ 9
   Literature review ..................................................................................................... 10
      Introduction .......................................................................................................... 10
      Maternal programs in Mutare District ................................................................... 11
      Defining the research problem .......................................................................... 12
      Conceptual framework .......................................................................................... 13
      Research problem ............................................................................................... 15
      Research question ............................................................................................... 15
      Relevance of study ............................................................................................. 15
2.0 Aims and objectives ........................................................................................... 15
## List of acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>Antenatal Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APH</td>
<td>Antepartum Hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEMNOC</td>
<td>Basic Emergency Maternal Neonatal Obstetric Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHE</td>
<td>District Health Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHIS</td>
<td>District Health Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENND</td>
<td>Early Neonatal Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAI</td>
<td>Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPAC</td>
<td>Integrated Management of Pregnancy And Childbirth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTp</td>
<td>Intermittent Preventive Treatment of malaria in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW</td>
<td>Low Birth Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDG</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICS</td>
<td>Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOHCC</td>
<td>Ministry of Health and Child Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRCZ</td>
<td>Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Neonatal Mortality Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>Normal Vertex Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE</td>
<td>Provincial Health Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIH</td>
<td>Pregnancy Induced Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Provincial Medical Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>Result Based Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>Reproductive Tract Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZDHS</td>
<td>Zimbabwe Demographic Health Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Figures

Figure 1: Definition of pregnancy outcomes: .............................................................. 7
Figure 2: Mosley and Chen Conceptual framework ............................................... 144

List of Tables

Table 1: Operational definitions and categorization of the variables.........................16
Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics............................................................36
Table 3: Reproductive, maternal, neonatal, and health system characteristics.........37
Table 4: Bivariate analysis for Socio-demographic characteristics..........................38
Table 5: Bivariate analysis for health care system factors......................................38
Table 6: Bivariate analysis for maternal, neonatal factors, reproductive..................39
Table 7: Multivariable analysis..............................................................................40
List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Study Gantt Chart.................................................................27
Appendix 2: Estimated Study Budget......................................................28
PART ONE: RESEARCH PROTOCOL
1.0 Introduction and literature review

Background information

Definition and epidemiology of pregnancy outcomes

Perinatal outcomes refer to life events that occur to a newborn infant from the age of viability (28 weeks) and the first week of life.¹ The transition of a fetus immersed in amniotic fluid and totally dependent on placenta to a squalling air-breathing baby is a source of wonder to the family.² However the transitional process is not always smooth and can result in adverse events to the mother or baby. Pregnancy outcomes vary from pregnancy to pregnancy and can be: a healthy live baby, a low birth weight baby (LBW), prematurity in the baby, a stillborn, intra-uterine fetal death, early neonatal death and late neonatal death. Usually the health of the mother and newborn are inseparable and the most severe adverse outcomes of pregnancy include:- the death of the baby or the mother and in some cases both mother and baby.

The ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision) classifies stillbirths as a loss of a fetus (≥500g) from natural causes or a loss after the 22nd week of pregnancy. Early neonatal deaths (ENND), is defined as deaths that occur within the first seven days of life. Zimbabwe registers and captures fresh stillbirths, macerated stillbirths and early neonatal deaths as pregnancy outcomes in District Health Information System version 2 (DHIS2). Due to limited data, the working definition for adverse pregnancy outcomes for this study includes fresh stillbirths and early neonatal deaths.

Every pregnancy intends for a child, however tragic events to the affected mothers and families like still births and neonatal deaths are common, especially in low and middle income countries. Nearly, 3 million third trimester stillbirths occur every year with low and middle-income countries bearing 98% of the burden.³ On the other hand, a similar number of children die within the first 28 days of life. While still births rates are less than 5 per 1000 live births in high income countries, these rates are at
least 25 deaths per 1000 live births in low and middle income countries. Of those that die within the first month of life, almost 50% die within 24 hours and 75% within first 7 days of life.4

The figure below highlights pregnancy outcomes.

![Figure 1: Definition of pregnancy outcomes: Defining stillbirths and associated pregnancy outcomes for international comparison: Definitions from ICD, tenth revision. ICD=International Classification of Diseases. The Lancet 2011; 377:1448-1463 (DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62187-3](image-url)

**Global burden child mortality**

Globally, under-five mortality has reduced by 47% from 90 (CI 89, 92) deaths per 1000 live births in 1990 to 48 (CI 46, 51) in 2012.5 However, this is far from achieving the MDG4 target of reducing under-5 mortality by two-thirds from the 1990 baseline. Furthermore, there is wide variability in the rates of reduction in under-5 mortality within regions and countries. While most regions have reduced under-5 mortality by at least 50%,1 sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) rates of decline were 35%. 6

While under-five mortality is on the decline globally, there is an increase in deaths during the neonatal period. The world's neonatal mortality rate declined from 33 deaths per 1000 live births in 1990 to 21 in 2012, a 37% decline compared to a
decline from 90 to 48 deaths per 1000 live birth in under 5 mortality a 47% decline. Consequently, the proportion of under-five deaths that occur within the first month of life (the neonatal period) has increased 19 percent since 1990, from 37 percent to 44 percent, because declines in the neonatal mortality rate are slower than those in the mortality rate for older children.\textsuperscript{5}

\textbf{Adverse pregnancy outcomes and burden to the health care}

Measurement of maternal, infant and child outcomes are basic indicators of a country’s socio-economic, and level of health care.\textsuperscript{7} Pregnancy monitoring from antenatal care, delivery and postnatal requires a complete health system from human resources, to governance and infrastructure. Because pregnancy complications (ante- and intra- partum) are often unpredictable they require a timely, rapid, skilled response and availability of tertiary obstetric services that are well coordinated by a team of midwife, obstetrician and paediatrician. Poor coordination of health activities, human and resources towards a pregnancy can result in adverse outcomes, e.g. stillbirths, neonatal and maternal deaths.

\textbf{Stillbirths and neonatal deaths}

Stillbirths and neonatal mortality are pregnancy outcomes of public health concern. Approximately 3 million\textsuperscript{8} stillbirths and a similar number of neonatal deaths are recorded worldwide yearly with low and middle income countries contributing, 98% of the cases. This accounts for about 7% of the global burden of disease, which is greater than that contributed from vaccine preventable diseases and malaria.\textsuperscript{9} Regional and inter-country still births and neonatal mortality rate variations are quite substantial. While, high income countries have a stillbirth rate of 4 deaths per 1000 live births, low and middle income countries have recorded nine times the rate. Inter-country variations have been recorded in Nigeria, where rural northern communities of Nigeria recorded higher stillbirths compared to teaching hospitals in southern Nigeria.\textsuperscript{10,11}

Though stillbirths and neonatal mortality contribute greatly to child mortality, there is low recognition of the problem by policy makers at national and international levels. Outreach, family-community and facility based care when universally available have been shown to avert a 42-75\% \textsuperscript{12} neonatal mortality worldwide yet the burden of stillbirths and neonatal mortality is on the increase.
Risk factors/determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes

Various factors which are of a public health concern have been shown to influence pregnancy outcomes. These can be divided into four major groups that are: socio-economic, maternal and health care factors.

The risk factors include:

**Socio-demographic factors**: maternal and paternal education, 13,14 parity, 15,16,17 gravidity, age of sexual debut, marital status, 18 inter-pregnancy interval (IPI). 19-21

**Maternal factors**: age, 22-24 maternal medical history (obesity and diabetes, hypertension, HIV/AIDS), 16,25,26 pre-pregnancy weight, reproductive tract infection, malaria, smoking and alcohol consumption. 27

**Previous pregnancy outcomes**: previous spontaneous or induced abortion, 28

**Neonatal factors**: sex of the neonate, 29-31 gestational age, 16 birth weight, 5 minute apgar score,

**Socio-economic factors**: parental occupation, 32 household income, 13 education

**Health care factors**

Delivery factors: mode of delivery, 24,32 complications during delivery/ mother referred for delivery services, 24,32 births attended by a trained birth attendant, 33 place of delivery, 22,24,34 use of partograph, free delivery services. 24

Pre-delivery factors: availability and use of ante-natal care (ANC) services- prenatal care onset, frequency and timing of ANC, number of ANC visits, 35-38 booking status, 39 drug taking or use of plants during pregnancy. 40

**Levels of prevention**

The risk factors for pregnancy outcomes are multifactorial and only some of them are preventable or treatable. 16 Primary prevention of adverse outcomes include proper nutrition for the woman to minimize maternal obesity a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcome, cessation of factors like smoking and alcohol consumption.

During the entire period of pregnancy, methods that can be used to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes are available. These include: immunization against tetanus toxoid, folic-ferrous micronutrient supplementation, Intermittent Preventive Therapy (IPTp) for malaria. Routine screening of and treatment of reproductive tract infections (RTI), and syphilis can prevent adverse outcomes like preterm births. Identification
and early treatment of maternal malaria is important in prevention of severe outcomes like maternal deaths and stillbirths.

In Zimbabwe, adverse pregnancy outcome prevention strategies are through a continuum of care. These include; 4 focused antenatal visits for the pregnant women, integrated management of adulthood illness/ integrated management of pregnancy and childbirth (IMAI/IMPAC), deliveries assisted by skilled birth attendances, postnatal care visits at day 3,7. The country has also embarked on increased training of health workers in BEMNOC, training more midwives, building waiting mother’s shelter to ensure that pregnant women can easily access emergency services if need arises. Another strategy that has shown an increase in health facility deliveries is removal of maternity user fees.

**Literature review**

**Introduction**

Globally, neonatal mortality is a major public health problem. Though preventable, nearly three million babies die every year in their first month of life and a similar number are stillborn. Within the first month, up to one half of all deaths occur within the first 24 hours of life, and 75% occur in the first week. Neonatal mortality accounts for 7% of the global burden of disease which is higher than the burden of HIV/AIDS.

Sub-Saharan Africa has been termed the most dangerous continent for a baby to be born. The regional neonatal mortality for 2012 was 32 deaths per 1000 live babies contributing, 38% of global neonatal deaths. While 3 million neonates die globally, in Nigeria alone 255 000 neonates die a year. The highest NMR, 66 deaths per 1000 live births, has been recorded in Liberia. Half of Africa’s 1.16 million neonate deaths occur in just five countries – Nigeria, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, United Republic of Tanzania and Uganda.

Zimbabwe, has a rising NMR and is far from reaching MDG4 on child survival. Since 1990 to 2012 the NMR has increased from 33 per 1000 live birth to 39 per 1000 live births. According to the 2010/11 Zimbabwe Demographic Health Survey (ZDHS) the infant mortality rate was 57 deaths per 1,000 live births while the overall under-5 mortality rate for the period is 84 deaths per 1,000 live births. Sixty-eight percent of all deaths to children under-5 in Zimbabwe take place before a child’s first birthday, with 37 percent occurring during the first month of life.
The health structure in Zimbabwe is divided into primary, secondary, tertiary and quaternary levels. Administratively, the hierarchy is from facility, district, provincial and national level. For example, Mutare district is made up of 48 primary health centres, one secondary health facility (Sakubva maternity hospital) and one tertiary health facility (Mutare Provincial Hospital).

District mortalities have also shown an increasing perinatal mortality. Marondera, a district in Mashonaland East, one of the ten provinces of Zimbabwe, recorded an increase in perinatal mortality of 58.6/1000 and 64.6/1000 live births in 2007 and 2008 respectively. Mutare district recorded 534 (stillbirths + ENND) against 9673 (institutional live births) in 2013 which translated to 55.2 deaths per 1000 live births.

**Maternal programs in Mutare District**

Mutare district, one of the seven districts in Manicaland is managed by local authority (city), the government and rural district council. The city (local authority) has 9 primary health facilities and a population of 190,314 while district caters for 263,433 people. The expected births for Mutare City and district are 7900 and 10994 respectively.

Primary health care provision at the facilities includes general outpatient consultation, HIV testing and counselling among others. Reproductive health services include family planning services, antenatal care, delivery and postnatal care. Only two of the city clinics provide basic emergency obstetric services - BEMNOC and conduct deliveries while 44 of the facilities (includes 1 secondary and 1 tertiary institution- Mutare Provincial Hospital) provide BEMNOC.

Mutare district maternal services are subsidised through Results Based Financing (RBF) since 2011. Provision of funds through the results based financing program in Mutare district is set to improve the availability, accessibility and quality of key reproductive and child health services and their optimum utilization. RBF means that women can get maternal services at the facilities free of charge and the facility is re-funded through the program (RBF).

Various indicators meant to improve maternal and child survival are being tracked through RBF. Pregnancy indicators that are being monitored include antenatal care visits, pregnant women screened for syphilis, delivery attended by skilled health worker in health institutions and post natal care.
The theory of RBF is financing for results and is set to encourage managers to take responsibility. Health facility managers are empowered to find solutions to solve specific problems and they have the freedom to make decisions of how best to use their revenue, which inputs to buy and from which independent supplier. For example, some facilities decided to build waiting mothers homes as a means to ensure that pregnant women are close to the health facility and basic obstetric care. Despite all these interventions the district recorded a high number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths (55.2 deaths per live births).

RBF is also there to retain human resources for health. The staff receive 40% of the cash pay-out made to the facility as part of staff individual performance bonuses for results achieved. Though RBF was meant to improve the quality of services to pregnant women and improve pregnancy outcomes, the district had a high number of fresh stillbirths and early neonatal deaths (55.2/1000 deaths per live births) in 2013 which were mostly attributed to poor quality of services at the institutions.

**Defining the research problem**

Neonatal mortality remains a major contributor to death among children younger than 5 years in Zimbabwe. While under 5 mortality rate rose from 74/1000 live birth in 1990 to 90/1000 in 2012 the NMR increased from 31/1000 live births to 39/1000 in 2012. MICS 2014 showed a continued rising NMR trend from 20 deaths per 1000 live births in 2000 to 29 deaths per 1000 live births in 2014. The rising NMR in Zimbabwe despite interventions is a cause for concern as the country is far off from achieving MDG4 target of child survival.

The country embarked on various strategies which stretched throughout the continuum of care from pre-natal to post natal care. In order to improve access to pre-natal and delivery services by pregnant women, the country removed user fees. Peer reviews of maternal and perinatal audits were introduced as a way to improve the quality of antepartum services. Despite these efforts neonatal rates have been on the increase.

Manicaland province recorded the highest number of perinatal deaths in the country in 2013. According to DHIS2 data, the province recorded 1540 perinatal deaths, against 44 610 (42 875 Institutional and 1735 home) deliveries. However, this could be an underestimate due to the poor vital registry system.
DHIS2 data for the period January to June 2013, Manicaland province recorded 493 adverse pregnancy outcomes, against 20869 deliveries. Mutare district contributed close to 40% of the adverse pregnancy outcomes. The district recorded 4690 births out of expected births of 5497 and they also recorded 197 adverse pregnancy outcomes (stillbirths and fresh neonatal deaths).

Despite existing interventions to curb perinatal mortality data on the determinants of high perinatal mortality (adverse pregnancy outcomes) for the province and district is scanty. This study set out to establish the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare district, and therefore recommend interventions that can be adopted to improve pregnancy outcomes in the district.

Conceptual framework

The Mosley and Chen conceptual framework for the study of child survival in developing countries was adapted, based on available data from the registers used by the Ministry of Health and Child Care (MOHCC) in Zimbabwe. Figure 2 shows the framework used in this study along with the selected possible predictors of neonatal mortality in Zimbabwe.
Figure 2: Mosley and Chen Conceptual framework

Conceptual framework for factors influencing pregnancy outcome adopted from Mosley and Chen
Research problem
Mutare district has a high proportion (55.2 deaths per 1000 live births) of adverse pregnancy outcomes. High adverse pregnancy outcomes contribute to high infant mortality which might result in the country failing to meet MDG4 target by 2015.

Research question
The research sought to establish the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare district so as to inform the district to target their interventions in order to reduce the mortality.

Relevance of study
Maternity outcomes are indicative of the health care delivery system. A high rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes reflects a poor health delivery system and is therefore a public health concern. The study sought out to establish the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes and therefore, inform the district on appropriate strategies and interventions that can assist in reducing adverse pregnancy outcomes and thereby improve the services offered by the district.

Aims and objectives

Broad objective
The study aimed to explore the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare district, Manicaland Province, Zimbabwe.

Specific objective
The study aimed to investigate if pregnancy outcomes differ by socio-economic factors, maternal and neonatal factors, health system related and maternal medical history. Specifically:

i. Socio-economic factors (e.g. residential are, maternal education)
ii. Maternal factors (e.g. maternal age, obstetric history)
iii. Neonatal factors (e.g. sex, birth interval)
iv. Maternal prenatal history (e.g. number of ANC visits)
v. Delivery factors (e.g. birth attended by a skilled birth attendant)
vi. Post-natal services provided
Methods

Study design
A retrospective analytical cross-sectional study review was employed. A review of patient records of women who were attended at Mutare district facilities from January 2014 to June 2014 was done. Only relevant data was extracted for the study. Operational definition for adverse pregnancy outcome included fresh still births and early neonatal deaths.

Study variables
Study outcome definition: adverse pregnancy outcome referred to fresh still births and early neonatal deaths. A fresh stillbirth was a neonate with no respiratory or circulatory signs of life at birth after 28 weeks of gestation. Early neonatal mortality included any death in the first 24 hours of life. In descriptive statistics neonatal mortality was defined as the number of neonatal deaths per 1000 live births. The explanatory variables included socio-economic and proximal determinants covering maternal, neonatal, pre-pregnancy, pregnancy and post-pregnancy factors.

Table 1: Operational definitions and categorization of the variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>DEFINITION AND CATEGORIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOCIOECONOMIC DETERMINANTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential area</td>
<td>Residential area (1= urban 2= rural )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal marital status</td>
<td>Marital status of mother (1= currently married 2= not married)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal religion</td>
<td>Maternal religion (1= Christian 2= Moslem 3= Apostolic, 4= African Tradition )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>Maternal years of schooling (as continuous variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal occupation</td>
<td>Paternal years of schooling (as continuous variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal age when married</td>
<td>Paternal age when married (as a continuous variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROXIMAL DETERMINANTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>Maternal age at childbirth (as a continuous variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric history</td>
<td>Obstetric history (1= previous Caesarean section 2= previous risk factors like eclampsia, haemorrhage, 3= previous stillbirth/neonatal death; 4= none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal malaria</td>
<td>Malaria during pregnancy (1= yes; 2= no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal syphilis</td>
<td>Syphilis test results during pregnancy (1= positive; 2= negative; 3= not done)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Neonatal factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sex of neonate (1=female, 2=male)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>Birth weight of neonate (grams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth interval</td>
<td>Inter-pregnancy interval (number of years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Parity (Integers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>Gravidity (Integers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRE-DELIVERY FACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of ANC visits</th>
<th>Number of ANC visits (Integers)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timing of ANC visits</td>
<td>Timing of ANC visits (1= according to WHO recommendations, 2=not as WHO recommendations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DELIVERY FACTORS

| Delivery assistance           | Birth attendance during delivery (1=skilled health professional-midwife, obstetrician; 2=non-midwife health professional; 3=traditional birth attendant/other) |
| Delivery complications        | Complications during delivery (1=No; 2=Yes) |
| Mode of delivery              | Mode of delivery (1=NVD, 2= caesarean section 3= breech) |

### POSTNATAL SERVICES

| Post natal care               | Post natal services received by neonate (1=no; 2=yes) |

### Study setting

The study was conducted at Sakubva Maternity Hospital, Mutare district, Zimbabwe. The hospital receives referrals from the city and rural clinics.

### Study population

Records of all pregnant women who were attended to at Sakubva maternity hospital during the period January 2014 to June 2014 who met the inclusion criteria were considered for the study.

#### Inclusion criteria:

Women who had singleton deliveries at Sakubva maternity hospital, Mutare District during the period January to June 2014

- Women whose age is 18 years and above
- Women resident in Mutare District.

#### Exclusion criteria
• Women aged less than 17 years
• Women referred from other districts beside Mutare and referred from other provinces

**Sampling method**
A random sample of the women who delivered in Mutare district was considered for the study.

**Sample size calculation**
The Dobson’s formula was used to calculate the sample size

\[
n = \frac{z^2 p (1-p)}{\Delta^2}
\]

Where:
- \(n\) = sample size
- \(z\) = maximum allowable error risk
- \(p\) = proportion of women who have adverse pregnancy outcomes
- \((1-p)\) = proportion of women who do not experience any adverse pregnancy outcomes

And
- \(\Delta\) = absolute precision

Using a 95% confidence interval (\(z=1.96\)), \(\Delta =0.05\) and \(p= 0.18\)(where 18% of women had an adverse pregnancy outcome)\(^{15}\)

\[
= (1.96)^2 \frac{0.18 \times 0.82}{(0.05)^2} = 227
\]

Assuming a response rate of 80% (analogous to completeness of records)

\[
n = \frac{1}{0.8} \times 227 = 283
\]

the minimum sample which was required in the study is 300.

**Measurement**
Routine paper managed data from January to June 2014 was used in the study.
Records of women who were attended to at Sakubva maternity hospital from...
January to June 2014 were used so as to ensure validity of the study. Missing data was completed through calling the women via telephone.

**Data Management and Analysis**
Patient database in Mutare district is manual. Data, variables necessary for analysis were extracted from manual registers, perinatal deaths forms to Excel. Data was then imported to Stata 13 for analysis. The final analysis was under the guidance of the mentors.

Descriptive statistics were computed for all variables. STATA 13.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) was used to summarize data, compare variables and test hypotheses by generating means, frequencies, proportions, p-values and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Univariate and multivariable logistic regression was applied. The Univariate identified individual factors that influence the outcome of measure (Survive or died). Multivariate logistic regression was used to model the joint effects of all the factors that influence pregnancy outcome. The problem of multiplicity was addressed.

**Ethical considerations**
Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Pretoria Research Ethics Committee and Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ). No personal identifiers such as names and registration numbers of patients appeared in the final report to ensure confidentiality and anonymity. Identification (registration) numbers were used to aid in the analysis of data and as reference. Only the researchers directly involved in this study had access to the data and only for the purpose of this study. No physical harm was inflicted since no human specimens were extracted from participants.

**Permissions**
Permission to access records was obtained from the Provincial Medical Director: Manicaland Province and the District Medical Officer Mutare District.

**Logistics and time schedule**
The Gantt chart attached in Appendix 1 shows the management of the project with regards to time.
Budget/ resources
Appendix 2 shows and estimated budget of ZAR 21380.00 for the study which was funded by the researcher.

Reporting of results
The findings of this study were presented as a research report in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Public Health (MPH) at the University of Pretoria. A copy of the report was also be presented to the Health Executive of the District and Manicaland Province -DHE and PHE respectively. The results were forwarded to a reputable journal for peer review and publication and for general public.
Ms B. V. Chaibva was the first author and Prof Beke, Prof Olorunju and Dr Nyadundu were second, third and fourth authors respectively.
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## Appendix 1: Study Gantt Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final draft of protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation to UP Research Ethics Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval from UP REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation to Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe MRCZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval from MRCZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permission from PMD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation for data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection and entry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation for data analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First draft of final report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final draft of report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2: Estimated Study Budget / Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Unit Cost (ZAR)</th>
<th>Total Cost (ZAR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photocopying and Printing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research protocol (7 copies)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Copies</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research report</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CDs</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research report Paper</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Copies</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire – codebook</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Copies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toner</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Containers</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7180</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet and communication</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Months</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External hard drive &amp; USB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4300</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to and from site</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel- South Africa and Zimbabwe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9500</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dissemination of data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>21380</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Background: Perinatal deaths are adverse pregnancy outcomes that account for about 7% of global burden of disease, with developing countries contributing about 98% of deaths. This study aimed at determining the factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes among women at Sakubva hospital, Mutare district, Zimbabwe from January to June 2014.

Methods: A retrospective review of 346 patient records, of women who delivered at Sakubva hospital and those referred from Mutare district facilities to Mutare Provincial Hospital, between January and June 2014. Multilevel logistic regression using a backward hierarchical approach was performed to compare twenty-four variables associated with outcome. Variables with more than 80% data available were considered for analysis. Stata 12.0 was used to analyse the data.

Results: Of the 346 women included in this study, 54 (15.61%) experienced an adverse pregnancy outcome (stillbirth or early neonatal death). Delivery by non-normal vertex method (caesarean section or breech presentation) has a four times odds of adverse pregnancy outcomes compared to those who delivered a cephalic presentation by normal vertex delivery (OR = 4.26; p<0.001). Experiencing pregnancy associated complications has a 5 times risk of an adverse pregnancy outcome compared to no complications (OR = 5.85; p<0.001). Neonatal birth weight of less than 2500 grams was marginally significantly (OR = 2.48; p=0.053) associated with adverse pregnancy outcome.

Conclusions: Clearly, the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare are; non-normal vertex delivery methods, complications during pregnancy/birth and low birth weight (<2500 grams). Firstly, identification of complications and breech presentation during the third trimester by midwives should be recognised as high risk and these are to be monitored closely or referred to gynaecologist for assistance. Secondly, management of low birth weight neonates has proven interventions which include special baby care unit and kangaroo care which Sakubva could implement to improve survival of these neonates. Lastly, further research is critical to identify the root cause of association of method of delivery (caesarean section) and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Key words: Perinatal deaths, adverse pregnancy outcomes, Mutare district, stillbirth
**Background**

Worldwide, nearly 3 million third trimester stillbirths occur every year and a similar number of children die within the first 28 days of life. These account for approximately 7% of global burden of disease which is higher than that from HIV/AIDS. Low and middle-income countries bear 98% of the burden with sub-Saharan Africa reporting the highest burden globally. In sub-Saharan Africa about 14% of all births could result in stillbirths. Most deaths (43%) among the under-fives occur within the first month of life (the neonatal period).

Zimbabwe’s 2010/11 Demographic Health Survey (ZDHS) reported an infant mortality rate of 57 deaths per 1,000 live births while the overall under-5 mortality rate for the period was 84 deaths per 1,000 live births. There has been an increase in neonatal mortality ratio (NMR) from 33 to 39 deaths per 1000 live births from 1990 to 2012. Zimbabwe Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) 2014 also showed an upward trend in NMR from 20 deaths per 1000 live births in 2000 to 29 deaths per 1000 live births in 2014. The rising NMR in Zimbabwe is occurring despite the various interventions that include: subsidising maternal services through Results Based Financing (RBF) which has resulted in removal of direct user fees. Peer reviews of maternal and perinatal audits were introduced as a way to improve the quality of services throughout the management of pregnancy. These efforts may be contributing factors towards the overall downward trend in under-five mortality.

However, Zimbabwe is far off from achieving the Millennium Development Goal 4 (MDG4) target of child survival due to the increasing neonatal mortality despite an overall decrease in under 5 mortality. Therefore an understanding of the risk factors and determinants of neonatal deaths would assist in addressing child survival challenges.

Risk factors that have been shown to influence adverse pregnancy outcomes, like neonatal deaths, can be categorized into socio-demographic factors, maternal factors, previous pregnancy outcomes, neonatal factors, socio-economic and health system related factors. There is sparse data on the prevalence and determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in sub-Saharan Africa.

This study’s operational definition for adverse pregnancy outcome included fresh and macerated still births and early neonatal deaths. The study aimed to identify the risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare district, Zimbabwe.
Identification of risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes may contribute to reduction in infant mortality by ascertaining factors that can be modified by appropriate public health interventions.

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

Mutare district population is served by a network of health facilities: primary, secondary and tertiary health centres. The study area was Sakubva and Mutare Provincial Hospital in Manicaland Province, Zimbabwe. The hospitals manage clients from Mutare’s urban and rural population. Sakubva hospital receives and manages clients from the rural and city primary facilities. It also, refers clients to Mutare Provincial Hospital a tertiary institution. All referrals from Sakubva were followed up at Mutare Provincial Hospital and considered as part of the study.

A retrospective cross-sectional analysis was done on the “delivery register” of childbirths in Mutare district, from the period January 1st to June 30th, 2014. Other records used were patient’s admission notes and antenatal (ANC) registers. All women who met the inclusion criteria and delivered within the period January to June 2014 were eligible to participate. For each birth record, information on socio-demographics, maternal factors like previous obstetric history, neonatal information (sex, birth-weight) and delivery factors which included attendance by a skilled health worker, mode of delivery) and post natal factors were extracted.

The main outcomes examined in this study were stillbirths and early neonatal deaths. Stillbirth was defined in accordance with World Health Organisation-agreed definition of stillbirth for international comparison as death of a fetus weighing at least 500 g or after 22 completed weeks of gestation occurring before the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother [ICD-10]. Early neonatal death was defined, for the purposes of this study, as death that occurred within the first seven days of life.

Data from registers was double entered into Excel, cleaned and transferred to Stata 12.0 (Stata Corp, Texas, and USA) for analysis. Descriptive statistics was used to analyse categorical data.

Chi2 tests were used for univariable comparisons of dichotomous data to measure association between outcome and variable data (more than five observation
expected in all cells) or Fisher’s exact test (five or fewer expected observations in one or more cells).

Mantel-Haenszel test of homogeneity was used to establish effect modification or confounding among variables. Univariable analysis was performed to examine the association of each variable with adverse pregnancy outcome. Factors significant at \( p = 0.05^{31} \) were considered into multivariate logistic. Multivariate regression was modelled using the backward stepwise approach. Evaluation of the model was done using the Pearson’s GOF, ROC curve analysis. Effects of outliers was analysed using the m-asymptotic residuals method. The model was checked for statistical interactions and adequacy before being approved as final. The \( p \) values for all hypothesis tests were two-sided and significance was set at \( p<0.05 \).

**Ethical approval**

The ethical clearance was granted by the University of Pretoria, Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee as well as the Medical and Research Council of Zimbabwe. Permission to conduct and access patient records was obtained from the Provincial Medical Directorate, Manicaland Province and the District Medical Office, Mutare District Zimbabwe. Confidentiality of records was adhered to and there were no personal identifiers used in the final report.

**Results and discussion**

The total number of records sampled was 427 of which 81 were discarded due to more than 80% of the data being missing. Of the discarded records, 3.2% had an adverse pregnancy outcome, 8.6% had complications and 6.2% had delivered by non-vertex delivery methods. Three hundred and forty six records were then analysed. The study was limited to Sakubva and Mutare Provincial hospitals due to logistical challenges. Of the 346 records sampled 54 (15.61%) records had an adverse pregnancy outcome (stillbirth or neonatal death) while 292 (84.39%) were live births.

**Background and characteristics of participants**

The age of the women in the study ranged from 17 to 43 with a mean age of 26 (SD: 6.42). Seventy two percent (72.43%) were aged between 20-34 years old and 13.78% were 35 years old and above. Majority of the women (62.10%) in the study sample attended to at Sakubva or referred to Mutare Provincial hospital (from Sakubva hospital) resided in urban areas, while 37.90% were resident from rural
areas and was mostly referred for maternal services. The majority of the women sampled (97.92%) were currently married while 2.08% were separated, divorced or single. Close to 98% of the study population were Christians (either Pentecostal or orthodox, apostolic sect), 1.38% Moslem and 0.46% belonged to the African Tradition religion. Though the data on education was minimal (maternal education n=73) it showed that education among the women was widespread with none of the women having had no form of education. Twenty two percent of the women had some form of primary education and more than 60% secondary education. Of the 346 women sampled only 12.7% were formally employed as teachers, cashier or nurse aide while 87.3% were involved in informal trading or were housewives. Paternal variables were not analysed due to unavailability of data.

Reproductive health characteristics

In terms of reproductive health characteristics, 67% had no history of previous complications while 33% had experienced a complication like stillbirth, neonatal death or abortion. Delivery by caesarean section in the previous pregnancy was recorded as previous complications. Also, 15% of the women sampled were HIV positive while 2% of the women had non-HIV chronic conditions like hypertension, asthma, and psychosis prior to pregnancy. Approximately 8% of the women had an episode of malaria (n=64) and 3% had tested positive for syphilis (n=34) during the duration of the pregnancy. The median parity and gravidity were 1 and 2 respectively. The inter-pregnancy interval between the delivery under review and the previous births was analysed with a median interval of 4 (IQR 2-7).

Neonate demographics

Approximately, fifty one percent of the neonates were female and 49% male. The interquartile weight range for the neonates was 2700 to 3400 grams with a mean weight of 3000g (SD= 599.26). The mean gestation age of the women was 37 weeks while the minimum and maximum were 24 and 43 respectively.

Delivery factors

The study was conducted at Sakubva hospital, due to the many referrals occurring from primary health care centres to Sakubva. Referrals out from Sakubva where followed up at Mutare Provincial hospital and therefore included in the study. Most of the adverse pregnancy outcomes in the district occur at the two hospitals. Seventy six percent of the women had a normal vertex delivery (NVD), 18%, caesarean
section and 5% breech presentation deliveries. Of these deliveries 63% experienced pregnancy associated complications like pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH), prolonged labour and foetal distress. Majority of deliveries (94%) were attended to by a skilled health professional, midwife or doctor and the rest by non-skilled professional. Skilled professional was defined as either a midwife or doctor as this could be identified from the register.

Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of women delivering at Sakubva hospital, January to June 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential area</td>
<td>n=343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>0.57 – 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>37.90</td>
<td>0.33 – 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>n= 288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.004 – 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>97.92</td>
<td>0.96 – 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal religion</td>
<td>n=217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Apostolic</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>66.36</td>
<td>0.60 -0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apostolic</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>33.64</td>
<td>0.27 – 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.05

Descriptive statistics was divided into:

Table 2: Socio-demographics

Table 3: Reproductive, maternal, neonatal and health system factors

The majority of the patients (88.15%) delivered at Sakubva hospital a secondary level facility while 11.85 were referred to Mutare Provincial Hospital, a tertiary level hospital for further management. Reasons for referrals included, complications of pregnancy, need for blood transfusion among others. Non-normal vertex delivery (NVD) in this study was categorised as caesarean section deliveries for various reasons (including breech) or delivery of a breech presentation (termed breech delivery).
Table 3: Reproductive, maternal, neonatal, and health system characteristics of women delivering at SDH, January- June 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>72.43</td>
<td>0.68 – 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>0.10 – 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>67.38</td>
<td>0.62 – 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>32.62</td>
<td>0.27 – 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>84.82</td>
<td>0.81 – 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>0.11 – 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>0.44 – 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>50.15</td>
<td>0.45 – 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2500g</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>0.11 – 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 – 4000g</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>83.54</td>
<td>0.79 – 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.004 – 0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥32</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>95.89</td>
<td>0.94 – 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.02 – 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>32.63</td>
<td>0.28 – 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>0.53 – 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>0.06 – 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>0.74 – 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.17 – 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth attendant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>94.66</td>
<td>0.92 – 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>0.31 – 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>63.77</td>
<td>0.59 – 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>76.92</td>
<td>0.72 – 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non - NVD</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>0.19 – 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p<0.05

NB: unskilled referred to any professionals who are not a doctor or midwife.
Test of association

An analysis of the association of variables using the chi square test and Fischer’s exact test showed significant association of some maternal, neonatal, reproductive and health system factors while none of the socio-demographic factors were significantly associated.

Logistic regression

The variables were analysed after grouping them into three broad categories: A socio-demographics, B: maternal (pre, intra, post-partum period) pre-pregnancy and delivery factors and C, neonatal and child related factors.

Table 4: Bivariate analysis (Crude Odds Ratio) for Socio-demographic characteristics associated with adverse outcomes among women who delivered at SDH, January – June 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude OR</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.49 – 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Apostolic</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apostolic</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.69 – 2.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05

All the socio-demographic factors were not significant on binary logistic analysis.

Table 5: Bivariate analysis for health care system factors associated with adverse outcomes among women who delivered at SDH, January- June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude OR</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth attendant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.15 – 2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non- NVD</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.83-9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.72 – 8.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05
Health facility type was not analysed due to the difference in levels of care between the two facilities. Tertiary level facilities manage patients that have been referred by secondary level while secondary manages those referred by primary level. Health system factors that were significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcome on bivariate analysis are: none normal vertex delivery (OR = 5.26; 95% CI: 2.83 – 9.78) and delivery complications (OR= 3.78; 95%CI: 1.72- 8.33). Birth attendant was not significant.

Table 6: Bivariate analysis for maternal, neonatal factors, reproductive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude OR</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-34 Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.10 – 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.52 – 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obstetric history (poor)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.38 – 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIV status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.31 – 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neonatal sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.73 – 2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neonatal birth weight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 - 4000 Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2500</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>1.82 – 7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000+</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.70 – 22.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥32 Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>3.19 – 32.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (nulliparous)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.48 – 1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td><strong>0.036</strong></td>
<td>1.06 – 6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gravidity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4 Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.88 – 3.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maternal age and HIV status were not significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes on bivariate analysis. Neonatal factors significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcome were gestation age less than 32 weeks (OR=
10.22; 95%CI: 3.19 –32.77) and birth weight less than 2500 grams (OR= 3.73, 95% CI 1.82 – 7.68). Parity and gravidity were not significant.

Hierarchical backwards approach was employed in multivariable logistic regression

Table 7: Multivariable analysis of factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-NVD</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>2.02 – 8.92</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications during pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>1.90 – 19.22</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal birth weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 – 4000</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2500</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.99 – 6.19</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 32 weeks</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 32 weeks</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.83 – 18.21</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.88 – 9.50</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables that were independently associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in our study were, other delivery methods that were not NVD (OR= 4.24; 95%CI 2.02 – 8.92), presence of complications during delivery (OR= 6.04; 95% CI; 1.90 – 19.22). Neonatal birth weight less than 2500g was marginally significant (OR: 2.48 p=0.053) Women who delivered a baby by other methods not normal vertex delivery section were 4.2 times more likely to experience an adverse pregnancy outcome (stillbirth or neonatal death) than those who delivered by normal vertex delivery. Also, experiencing complications like PIH, eclampsia during pregnancy/delivery had close to 6 times risk of an adverse pregnancy outcome compared to no complications. Lastly, the odds of a perinatal death were 2 times more on a neonate delivered weighing less than 2500g compared to a neonate of weight greater than 2500g.

Post regression tests carried out showed a ROC curve area of 0.80 indicating good predictive power of the model. There was good agreement between the model estimates/ predictions and the observed risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the
population. Analysis of the m-asymptotic residuals revealed that there were no model outliers influencing the parameter estimates unduly. Therefore the model was valid in estimating the risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes from socio-demographic, maternal and neonatal & child variables.

**Discussion**

Our study has shown that the prevalence of adverse pregnancy outcomes (stillbirths and early neonatal deaths) at Sakubva hospital in Mutare district for the period January to June 2014 was 15.61%. Method of delivery other than normal-vertex-delivery of cephalic presentation (i.e. caesarean section or breech presentation) and presents of complications during pregnancy/delivery (e.g. eclampsia, pregnancy induced hypertension) are important independent predictors of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Mutare district. Neonatal birth weight of <2500grams was marginally significant as a factor associated with adverse pregnancy outcome.

The observed adverse pregnancy outcome prevalence rate of 15.6% is comparable to other study findings conducted within the region.\(^{19,31}\) High neonatal mortality have been recorded in sub-Saharan Africa, Asia and Latin America, where about 25% of stillbirths are most likely to result from complications of birth.\(^{32}\) In Tanzania,\(^{13}\) the prevalence of stillbirths and intra-uterine deaths was reported as 18% while Nigeria\(^{20}\) reported a 7.9% prevalence of perinatal deaths. In addition, South Africa has a 13% prevalence rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes.\(^{33}\) The factors contributing to this high prevalence in low-to-medium countries in Africa are varying from human resources, nutrition and health systems. Shortages of an appropriate number of well performing health workers, (human resources for health), shortages of essential medicines, supplies and equipment\(^{34}\) could be possible reasons for high prevalence of adverse outcomes in Mutare. Other possible factors include, poor nutritional status, lack of antenatal care and a number of behaviours which are associated with low-socioeconomic status.\(^{35}\) Health system factors that might contribute to high prevalence of pregnancy outcomes include geographical barriers to health care (distance to nearest health facility), user fees and health care worker attitudes.\(^{36}\)

The independent predictors of adverse pregnancy outcomes identified in this study have been previously documented. There was a strong association between method
of delivery other than normal vertex delivery of a cephalic presentation (breech presentation delivery or caesarean section) and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Other studies have shown an increased risk of neonatal mortality and morbidity with delivery by either elective or emergency caesarean section,\textsuperscript{37,38,39} and delivery of a breech presentation by vaginal method.\textsuperscript{40} It is common in our low-resource setting for caesarean section to be instituted after prolonged and unsuccessful vaginal delivery which might increase the risk of adverse outcomes.\textsuperscript{41} Adverse pregnancy outcomes association with caesarean section delivery could also be due to the fact that many caesarean sections have been performed as emergencies without proper preparations.\textsuperscript{42} Also; caesarean section delivery has been associated with risks of pre-rupture of membranes and therefore contributes to the high perinatal deaths. Morbidity associated with caesarean section delivery is higher as the neonates require more oxygen compared to NVD.

Firstly, method of delivery (vaginal delivery of breech presentation, emergency or elective C/S) has been shown to contribute to outcomes that undermine early childhood development of the newborn.\textsuperscript{42} High adverse outcomes in vaginal delivery of a breech presentation have been associated with complications such as cord prolapse, aspiration of amniotic fluid, and complications associated with difficulties of delivering the after-coming resulting in greater risk among vaginal delivery in breech presentation compared with vaginal delivery in cephalic presentation.\textsuperscript{43} The caesarean section rate at Sakubva maternity hospital was 238 deliveries by caesarean section out of total deliveries of 1732 during the period, January to June 2014,\textsuperscript{44} which might be due to a high referral rate from other facilities.

On another hand, caesarean section delivery, when appropriately instituted has been shown to be protective of perinatal deaths.\textsuperscript{39} Good caesarean delivery practices require technical, appropriate and timely decision-making to produce favourable results. However these aspects of caesarean section delivery were not established in this study. Caesarean section challenges that contribute to adverse outcomes could occur before, during and after the surgical procedure. The current study however could not establish the timing of caesarean section from the registers. Therefore, this finding is difficult to interpret and calls for further studies to understand the root cause of delivery method being associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes.
Secondly, women who experienced complications (cord prolapse, mal-presentation, antepartum haemorrhage (APH), eclampsia, prolonged labour, and pregnancy induced hypertension) had a six times odds of an adverse pregnancy outcome compared to women who did not experience any form of complication. This finding is in consistence with an earlier study conducted in Marondera district, Zimbabwe\textsuperscript{45} and other studies in the region, Tanzania,\textsuperscript{46} Nigeria\textsuperscript{47} and globally China.\textsuperscript{48, 49} Pregnancy associated conditions like gestational diabetes or hypertension have well recognised adverse effects on pregnancy outcomes.\textsuperscript{50} Placental insufficiency in hypertension during pregnancy, cord prolapse, malpresentation, could explain the high risk of adverse pregnancy outcome among women who experienced complications. Also, intra-uterine bleeding due to antepartum haemorrhage are some of the causes of anaemia in pregnancy that result in neonatal death due to oxygen deficiency.\textsuperscript{51}

Lastly, low birth-weight (LBW) $<2500$ grams has been documented as a risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. Our study showed that neonates with a weight $<2500$grams were almost twice at risk of adverse outcomes (OR=2.48 $p=0.053$) though marginally significant. This finding has been established in previous studies.\textsuperscript{47} Neonates born with low birth weight are at increased risk of neonatal deaths due to hypoglycaemia, hypocalcaemia and hypothermia. Successful interventions to care for low birth weight and preterm babies include special baby care unit (SBCU), exclusive breastfeeding and skin-to-skin care “kangaroo mother care.” which is part of the care for these neonates at Sakubva hospital. Sakubva hospital has been practising kangaroo mother care since 2012 and therefore this needs to be intensified.\textsuperscript{44}

The current study did not show any association between socio-demographic factors: residential area (rural or urban), marital status and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Though residential areas of low-socio-economic\textsuperscript{52} status have been shown to influence delivery outcome, the current study did not gather information on economic status of the women.

The study suffered from the limitation of being facility based. Hospital based studies may underestimate the true perinatal mortality. A community study is recommended. The study being cross-sectional by design did not capture the events for the nine months duration of pregnancy. Another limitation was, as a
retrospective analysis, the study was limited to the available data in the delivery register which excluded such factors as paternal education and paternal age when married. Information of outcomes was also limited to the duration the neonate was at the facility and referrals out before seven days could not be followed up to ascertain if perinatal death occurred. A lot of the data was missing which is an indication of poor record keeping at the facility. Though malaria is endemic in the province (Manicaland) the study had a low sample size of 64 for this variable and therefore no meaningful conclusion could be established on the variable. The record of malaria were limited to the delivery time, therefore there is need for further studies to investigate specific variables like malaria and syphilis.

Calling of patients, health worker interview to fill in missing data might have subjected the study to recall bias. Our findings in Mutare cannot be generalised to the rest of the population due to selection bias, however can be generalised to similar hospitals within the province. Notwithstanding these limitations, the study identifies important factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in this low resource setting.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, early identification of complications of pregnancy during antenatal care visits is critical toward the reduction of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Breech presentation identification by midwives during the third trimester of pregnancy should be recognised as high risk and therefore must be closely monitored or referred for further management. Prioritization of admission to waiting mother’s shelter of women identified to have complications and those with breech presentation of neonate should be considered in the district. Furthermore high risk pregnancies should be referred to the obstetrician at the earliest time possible for further assistance. It is also recommended that partners support gynaecologists so that they can be available and improve management of complicated cases.

Further research on delivery method is recommended to understand the root causes of its association with adverse pregnancy outcomes.
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Section A – Socio-economic factors

Q1. Women residential area
   1 Rural
   0 Urban

Q2. Social/marital status of women
   1 Currently married
   0 Not married (divorced/ Separated / Widowed/ Single)

Q3. Maternal religion
   0 Non Apostolic
   1 Apostolic

Q4. What is the maternal education level – years of education

   1 None (0≤1)
   2 Primary (1-7 years)
   3 Secondary (7-12)
   4 Tertiary (≥13)

Q5. What is the maternal occupation
   1 Formal
   0 Informal

Q6. Paternal years of education – years of education

Q7. Paternal age when married

Section B-Proximal determinants

B1. Maternal factors

Q8. Maternal age at delivery
Q9. Obstetric history
   0  Nil
   1  Adverse obstetric history

Q10. HIV status
   0  Negative
   1  Positive

Q11. Malaria during pregnancy
   1  Positive
   0  Negative

Q12. Syphilis test results during pregnancy
   1  Positive
   0  Negative

B2. Section Child related
Q13. Sex of neonate
    1  Female
    0  Male

Q14. Birth weight of neonate
    0  2500-4000g
    1  <2500g
    2  >4000g

Q15. What was the gestation age of the infant
    1  < 32 weeks
    0  ≥32 weeks

Q16. Inter-pregnancy interval
    1  ≤2 years
Q17. Parity

0 >2 years
1 1, 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} parity
2 Nulliparous
2 ≥4 parity

Q18. Gravidity

1 < 4
0 ≥ 4

B4-Delivery factors

Q21. Birth attendance during delivery

1 Skilled health professional-midwife, obstetrician, doctor
0 Unskilled

Q22. Complications during delivery

0 None
1 Present

Q23. Mode of delivery

0 NVD
1 Non-NVD

Q25. Type of health facility

1 Secondary health institution
0 Tertiary institution

B5-Post natal services

Q26. Post natal services received by neonate

0 No
1 Yes
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I am a student with the University of Pretoria, pursuing my Master of Public Health Degree (MPH) Epidemiology and Biostatistics- Monitoring and Evaluation sub-track programme. I am working with Prof Andy Beke, my academic supervisor from the University of Pretoria, School of Health System and Public Health. I hereby make a request on behalf of all of us to conduct the above mention research at Facilities in Mutare facilities.

The research is a retrospective cross-sectional analytical study that involves access to patient clinical files, record book and databases with records from January 2014 to June 2014 on all pregnant women who were attended at health facilities.

We intend to publish the findings of the study in a professional journal and/or at professional meeting like symposia, congresses, or other meetings of such a nature.

We intend to protect the personal identity of the patients by assigning each patient a random code number.

We undertake not to proceed with the study until we have received approval from the Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee, University of Pretoria.

Yours sincerely

© University of Pretoria
Permission to do the research at Mutare Health Facilities and to access the information as requested, is hereby approved.

Name and title of Medical Officer: DR TALENT MAPHOSI
DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER

Signature of the DMO

© University of Pretoria
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Assistance with the process of manuscript preparation and submission is available from BioMed Central customer support team. See 'About this journal' for information about policies and the refereeing process. We also provide a collection of links to useful tools and resources for scientific authors on our page.

Criteria

Research articles should report on original primary research, but may report on systematic reviews of published research provided they adhere to the appropriate reporting guidelines which are detailed in our Editorial Policies. Please note that non-commissioned pooled analyses of selected published research will not be considered.

Submission process

Manuscripts must be submitted by one of the authors of the manuscript, and should not be submitted by anyone on their behalf. The submitting author takes responsibility for the article during submission and peer review.

Please note that BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth levies an article-processing charge on all accepted Research articles; if the submitting author’s institution is a BioMed Central member the cost of the article-processing charge may be covered by the membership (see About page for detail). Please note that the membership is only automatically recognised on submission if the submitting author is based at the member institution. To facilitate rapid publication and to minimize administrative costs, BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth prefers online submission.

Files can be submitted as a batch, or one by one. The submission process can be interrupted at any time; when users return to the site, they can carry on where they left off.

See below for examples of word processor and graphics file formats that can be accepted for the main manuscript document by the online submission system. Additional files of any type, such as movies, animations, or original data files, can also be submitted as part of the manuscript.
During submission you will be asked to provide a cover letter. Use this to explain why your manuscript should be published in the journal, to elaborate on any issues relating to our editorial policies in the 'About BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth' page, and to declare any potential competing interests. You will be also asked to provide the contact details (including email addresses) of potential peer reviewers for your manuscript. These should be experts in their field, who will be able to provide an objective assessment of the manuscript. Any suggested peer reviewers should not have published with any of the authors of the manuscript within the past five years, should not be current collaborators, and should not be members of the same research institution. Suggested reviewers will be considered alongside potential reviewers recommended by the Editorial team, Editorial Advisors, Section Editors and Associate Editors.

Assistance with the process of manuscript preparation and submission is available from BioMed Central customer support team.

We also provide a collection of links to useful tools and resources for scientific authors on our Useful Tools page.

File formats

The following word processor file formats are acceptable for the main manuscript document:

- Microsoft word (DOC, DOCX)
- Rich text format (RTF)
- Portable document format (PDF)
- TeX/LaTeX (use BioMed Central's TeX template)
- DeVice Independent format (DVI)

TeX/LaTeX users: Please use BioMed Central's TeX template and BibTeX stylefile if you use TeX format. During the TeX submission process, please submit your TeX file as the main manuscript file and your bib/bbl file as a dependent file. Please also convert your TeX file into a PDF and submit this PDF as an additional file with the name 'Reference PDF'. This PDF will be used by internal staff as a reference point to check the layout of the article as the author intended. Please also note that all figures must be coded at the end of the TeX file and not inline.

If you have used another template for your manuscript, or if you do not wish to use BibTeX, then please submit your manuscript as a DVI file. We do not recommend converting to RTF.

For all TeX submissions, all relevant editable source must be submitted during the submission process. Failing to submit these source files will cause unnecessary delays in the publication procedures.
Publishing Datasets

Through a special arrangement with LabArchives, LLC, authors submitting manuscripts to BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth can obtain a complimentary subscription to LabArchives with an allotment of 100MB of storage. LabArchives is an Electronic Laboratory Notebook which will enable scientists to share and publish data files in situ; you can then link your paper to these data. Data files linked to published articles are assigned digital object identifiers (DOIs) and will remain available in perpetuity. Use of LabArchives or similar data publishing services does not replace preexisting data deposition requirements, such as for nucleic acid sequences, protein sequences and atomic coordinates. Instructions on assigning DOIs to datasets, so they can be permanently linked to publications, can be found on the LabArchives website. Use of LabArchives’ software has no influence on the editorial decision to accept or reject a manuscript.

Authors linking datasets to their publications should include an Availability of supporting data section in their manuscript and cite the dataset in their reference list.

Preparing main manuscript text

General guidelines of the journal’s style and language are given below. Overview of manuscript sections for Research articles

Manuscripts for Research articles submitted to BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth should be divided into the following sections (in this order):

- Title page
- Abstract
- Keywords
- Background
- Methods
- Results and discussion
- Conclusions
- List of abbreviations used (if any)
- Competing interests
- Authors' contributions
- Authors' information
- Acknowledgements
- Endnotes
- References
- Illustrations and figures (if any)
- Tables and captions
- Preparing additional files

The Accession Numbers of any nucleic acid sequences, protein sequences or atomic coordinates cited in the manuscript should be provided, in square brackets and include the
corresponding database name; for example, [EMBL:AB026295, EMBL:AC137000, DDBJ:AE000812, GenBank:U49845, PDB:1BFM, Swiss-Prot:Q96KQ7, PIR:S66116].
The databases for which we can provide direct links are: EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database (EMBL), DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ), GenBank at the NCBI (GenBank), Protein Data Bank (PDB), Protein Information Resource (PIR) and the Swiss-Prot Protein Database (Swiss-Prot).

You can download a template (Mac and Windows compatible; Microsoft Word 98/2000) for your article.

For reporting standards please see the information in the About section.

Title page
The title page should:

- provide the title of the article
- list the full names, institutional addresses and email addresses for all authors
- indicate the corresponding author

Please note:

- the title should include the study design, for example "A versus B in the treatment of C: a randomized controlled trial X is a risk factor for Y: a case control study"
- abbreviations within the title should be avoided

Abstract
The Abstract of the manuscript should not exceed 350 words and must be structured into separate sections: Background, the context and purpose of the study; Methods, how the study was performed and statistical tests used; Results, the main findings; Conclusions, brief summary and potential implications. Please minimize the use of abbreviations and do not cite references in the abstract. Trial registration, if your research article reports the results of a controlled health care intervention, please list your trial registry, along with the unique identifying number (e.g. Trial registration: Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN73824458). Please note that there should be no space between the letters and numbers of your trial registration number. We recommend manuscripts that report randomized controlled trials follow the CONSORT extension for abstracts.

Keywords
Three to ten keywords representing the main content of the article.

Background
The Background section should be written in a way that is accessible to researchers without specialist knowledge in that area and must clearly state - and, if helpful, illustrate - the background to the research and its aims. Reports of clinical research should, where
appropriate, include a summary of a search of the literature to indicate why this study was necessary and what it aimed to contribute to the field. The section should end with a brief statement of what is being reported in the article.

Methods
The methods section should include the design of the study, the setting, the type of participants or materials involved, a clear description of all interventions and comparisons, and the type of analysis used, including a power calculation if appropriate. Generic drug names should generally be used. When proprietary brands are used in research, include the brand names in parentheses in the Methods section.

For studies involving human participants a statement detailing ethical approval and consent should be included in the methods section. For further details of the journal's editorial policies and ethical guidelines see 'About this journal'.

For further details of the journal's data-release policy, see the policy section in 'About this journal'.

Results and discussion
The Results and discussion may be combined into a single section or presented separately. Results of statistical analysis should include, where appropriate, relative and absolute risks or risk reductions, and confidence intervals. The Results and discussion sections may also be broken into subsections with short, informative headings.

Conclusions
This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance. Summary illustrations may be included.

List of abbreviations
If abbreviations are used in the text they should be defined in the text at first use, and a list of abbreviations can be provided, which should precede the competing interests and authors' contributions.

Competing interests
A competing interest exists when your interpretation of data or presentation of information may be influenced by your personal or financial relationship with other people or organizations. Authors must disclose any financial competing interests; they should also reveal any non-financial competing interests that may cause them embarrassment were they to become public after the publication of the manuscript.
Authors are required to complete a declaration of competing interests. All competing interests that are declared will be listed at the end of published articles. Where an author gives no competing interests, the listing will read 'The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests'.

When completing your declaration, please consider the following questions:

Financial competing interests

• In the past five years have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? Is such an organization financing this manuscript (including the article-processing charge)? If so, please specify.
• Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organization that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future? If so, please specify.
• Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript? Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript? If so, please specify.
• Do you have any other financial competing interests? If so, please specify.

Non-financial competing interests

Are there any non-financial competing interests (political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, intellectual, commercial or any other) to declare in relation to this manuscript? If so, please specify.

If you are unsure as to whether you, or one your co-authors, has a competing interest please discuss it with the editorial office.

Authors' contributions

In order to give appropriate credit to each author of a paper, the individual contributions of authors to the manuscript should be specified in this section.

According to ICMJE guidelines, An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; 3) have given final approval of the version to be published; and 4) agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Each author should have participated
sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not justify authorship.

We suggest the following kind of format (please use initials to refer to each author’s contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

All contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section. Examples of those who might be acknowledged include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, or a department chair who provided only general support.

Authors’ information
You may choose to use this section to include any relevant information about the author(s) that may aid the reader's interpretation of the article, and understand the standpoint of the author(s). This may include details about the authors' qualifications, current positions they hold at institutions or societies, or any other relevant background information. Please refer to authors using their initials. Note this section should not be used to describe any competing interests.
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Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.
The role of a scientific (medical) writer must be included in the acknowledgements section, including their source(s) of funding. We suggest wording such as 'We thank Jane Doe who provided medical writing services on behalf of XYZ Pharmaceuticals Ltd.'

Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements section.

Endnotes
Endnotes should be designated within the text using a superscript lowercase letter and all notes (along with their corresponding letter) should be included in the Endnotes section. Please format this section in a paragraph rather than a list.

References
All references, including URLs, must be numbered consecutively, in square brackets, in the order in which they are cited in the text, followed by any in tables or legends. Each reference must have an individual reference number. Please avoid excessive referencing. If automatic numbering systems are used, the reference numbers must be finalized and the bibliography must be fully formatted before submission.

Only articles, datasets, clinical trial registration records and abstracts that have been published or are in press, or are available through public e-print/preprint servers, may be cited; unpublished abstracts, unpublished data and personal communications should not be included in the reference list, but may be included in the text and referred to as "unpublished observations" or "personal communications" giving the names of the involved researchers. Obtaining permission to quote personal communications and unpublished data from the cited colleagues is the responsibility of the author. Footnotes are not allowed, but endnotes are permitted. Journal abbreviations follow Index Medicus/MEDLINE. Citations in the reference list should include all named authors, up to the first 30 before adding 'et al.'..

Any in press articles cited within the references and necessary for the reviewers' assessment of the manuscript should be made available if requested by the editorial office.

Style files are available for use with popular bibliographic management software:

- BibTeX
- EndNote style file
- Reference Manager
- Zotero

Examples of the BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth reference style are shown below. Please ensure that the reference style is followed precisely; if the references are not in the correct style they may have to be retyped and carefully proofread.
All web links and URLs, including links to the authors' own websites, should be given a reference number and included in the reference list rather than within the text of the manuscript. They should be provided in full, including both the title of the site and the URL, in the following format: The Mouse Tumor Biology Database [http://tumor.informatics.jax.org/mtbwi/index.do]. If an author or group of authors can clearly be associated with a web link, such as for weblogs, then they should be included in the reference.

**Examples of the BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth reference style**

*Article within a journal*

*Article within a journal supplement*

*In press article*

*Published abstract*

*Article within conference proceedings*

*Book chapter, or article within a book*

*Whole issue of journal*

*Whole conference proceedings*
Complete book

Monograph or book in a series

Book with institutional author

PhD thesis

Link / URL
The Mouse Tumor Biology Database [http://tumor.informatics.jax.org/mtbwi/index.do]

Link / URL with author(s)

Dataset with persistent identifier
Zheng, L-Y; Guo, X-S; He, B; Sun, L-J; Peng, Y; Dong, S-S; Liu, T-F; Jiang, S; Ramachandran, S; Liu, C-M; Jing, H-C (2011): *Genome data from sweet and grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor)*. *GigaScience Database*. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100012].

Clinical trial registration record with persistent identifier

Preparing illustrations and figures
Illustrations should be provided as separate files, not embedded in the text file. Each figure should include a single illustration and should fit on a single page in portrait format. If a figure consists of separate parts, it is important that a single composite illustration file be submitted which contains all parts of the figure. There is no charge for the use of color figures.

Please read our *figure preparation guidelines* for detailed instructions on maximising the quality of your figures.

Formats
The following file formats can be accepted:
• PDF (preferred format for diagrams)
• DOCX/DOC (single page only)
• PPTX/PPT (single slide only)
• EPS
• PNG (preferred format for photos or images)
• TIFF
• JPEG
• BMP

Figure legends
The legends should be included in the main manuscript text file at the end of the document, rather than being a part of the figure file. For each figure, the following information should be provided: Figure number (in sequence, using Arabic numerals - i.e. Figure 1, 2, 3 etc); short title of figure (maximum 15 words); detailed legend, up to 300 words.

Please note that it is the responsibility of the author(s) to obtain permission from the copyright holder to reproduce figures or tables that have previously been published elsewhere.

Preparing tables
Each table should be numbered and cited in sequence using Arabic numerals (i.e. Table 1, 2, 3 etc.). Tables should also have a title (above the table) that summarizes the whole table; it should be no longer than 15 words. Detailed legends may then follow, but they should be concise. Tables should always be cited in text in consecutive numerical order.

Smaller tables considered to be integral to the manuscript can be pasted into the end of the document text file, in A4 portrait or landscape format. These will be typeset and displayed in the final published form of the article. Such tables should be formatted using the 'Table object' in a word processing program to ensure that columns of data are kept aligned when the file is sent electronically for review; this will not always be the case if columns are generated by simply using tabs to separate text. Columns and rows of data should be made visibly distinct by ensuring that the borders of each cell display as black lines. Commas should not be used to indicate numerical values. Color and shading may not be used; parts of the table can be highlighted using symbols or bold text, the meaning of which should be explained in a table legend. Tables should not be embedded as figures or spreadsheet files.
Larger datasets or tables too wide for a portrait page can be uploaded separately as additional files. Additional files will not be displayed in the final, laid-out PDF of the article, but a link will be provided to the files as supplied by the author.

Tabular data provided as additional files can be uploaded as an Excel spreadsheet (.xls) or comma separated values (.csv). As with all files, please use the standard file extensions.

Preparing additional files

Although *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* does not restrict the length and quantity of data included in an article, we encourage authors to provide datasets, tables, movies, or other information as additional files.

Please note: All Additional files will be published along with the article. Do not include files such as patient consent forms, certificates of language editing, or revised versions of the main manuscript document with tracked changes. Such files should be sent by email to editorial@biomedcentral.com, quoting the Manuscript ID number.

Results that would otherwise be indicated as "data not shown" can and should be included as additional files. Since many weblinks and URLs rapidly become broken, *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* requires that supporting data are included as additional files, or deposited in a recognized repository. Please do not link to data on a personal/departmental website. The maximum file size for additional files is 20 MB each, and files will be virus-scanned on submission.

Additional files can be in any format, and will be downloadable from the final published article as supplied by the author. We recommend CSV rather than PDF for tabular data.

Certain supported files formats are recognized and can be displayed to the user in the browser. These include most movie formats (for users with the Quicktime plugin), mini-websites prepared according to our guidelines, chemical structure files (MOL, PDB), geographic data files (KML).

If additional material is provided, please list the following information in a separate section of the manuscript text:

- File name (e.g. Additional file 1)
- File format including the correct file extension for example .pdf, .xls, .txt, .pptx (including name and a URL of an appropriate viewer if format is unusual)
- Title of data
- Description of data
Additional files should be named "Additional file 1" and so on and should be referenced explicitly by file name within the body of the article, e.g. 'An additional movie file shows this in more detail [see Additional file 1]'.

Additional file formats
Ideally, file formats for additional files should not be platform-specific, and should be viewable using free or widely available tools. The following are examples of suitable formats.

- Additional documentation
  - PDF (Adobe Acrobat)
- Animations
  - SWF (Shockwave Flash)
- Movies
  - MP4 (MPEG 4)
  - MOV (Quicktime)
- Tabular data
  - XLS, XLSX (Excel Spreadsheet)
  - CSV (Comma separated values)

As with figure files, files should be given the standard file extensions.

Mini-websites
Small self-contained websites can be submitted as additional files, in such a way that they will be browsable from within the full text HTML version of the article. In order to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Create a folder containing a starting file called index.html (or index.htm) in the root.
2. Put all files necessary for viewing the mini-website within the folder, or sub-folders.
3. Ensure that all links are relative (ie "images/picture.jpg" rather than "/images/picture.jpg" or "http://yourdomain.net/images/picture.jpg" or "C:\Documents and Settings\username\My Documents\mini-website\images\picture.jpg") and no link is longer than 255 characters.
4. Access the index.html file and browse around the mini-website, to ensure that the most commonly used browsers (Internet Explorer and Firefox) are able to view all parts of the mini-website without problems, it is ideal to check this on a different machine.
5. Compress the folder into a ZIP, check the file size is under 20 MB, ensure that index.html is in the root of the ZIP, and that the file has .zip extension, then submit as an additional file with your article.

Style and language

General
Currently, *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* can only accept manuscripts written in English. Spelling should be US English or British English, but not a mixture. There is no explicit limit on the length of articles submitted, but authors are encouraged to be concise.

*BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* will not edit submitted manuscripts for style or language; reviewers may advise rejection of a manuscript if it is compromised by grammatical errors. Authors are advised to write clearly and simply, and to have their article checked by colleagues before submission. In-house copyediting will be minimal. Non-native speakers of English may choose to make use of a copyediting service.

**Language editing**

For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central recommends Edanz. BioMed Central has arranged a 10% discount to the fee charged to BioMed Central authors by Edanz. Use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of acceptance for publication. Please contact Edanz directly to make arrangements for editing, and for pricing and payment details.

**Help and advice on scientific writing**

The abstract is one of the most important parts of a manuscript. For guidance, please visit our page on [Writing titles and abstracts for scientific articles](#).

Tim Albert has produced for BioMed Central a [list of tips](#) for writing a scientific manuscript. *American Scientist* also provides a list of resources for science writing. For more detailed guidance on preparing a manuscript and writing in English, please visit the [BioMed Central author academy](#).

**Abbreviations**

Abbreviations should be used as sparingly as possible. They should be defined when first used and a list of abbreviations can be provided following the main manuscript text.

**Typography**

- Please use double line spacing.
- Type the text unjustified, without hyphenating words at line breaks.
- Use hard returns only to end headings and paragraphs, not to rearrange lines.
- Capitalize only the first word, and proper nouns, in the title.
- All lines and pages should be numbered. Authors are asked to ensure that line numbering is included in the main text file of their manuscript at the time of submission to facilitate peer-review. Once a manuscript has been accepted, line numbering should be removed from the manuscript before publication. For authors submitting their manuscript in Microsoft Word please do not insert page breaks in your
manuscript to ensure page numbering is consistent between your text file and the PDF generated from your submission and used in the review process.

- Use the BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth reference format.
- Footnotes are not allowed, but endnotes are permitted.
- Please do not format the text in multiple columns.
- Greek and other special characters may be included. If you are unable to reproduce a particular special character, please type out the name of the symbol in full. Please ensure that all special characters used are embedded in the text, otherwise they will be lost during conversion to PDF.

Units

SI units should be used throughout (liter and molar are permitted, however).