The effect of normal saline instillation on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients
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Objective. The objective of this study was to describe the effect of normal saline instillation (NSI) on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients.

Methods. A comparative design was employed to meet the study objectives. Simple random sampling was used to assign patients to study groups, namely a research group (non-NSI) and a control group (NSI). The data-capturing tool was based on the literature review. Descriptive and comparative statistics were employed to analyse the data. Findings were assessed according to p < 0.05.

Results. Findings indicated that there were no statistically significant differences in heart rate, blood pressure, arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), arterial oxygen saturation as measured by blood gas analyser (SaO₂) and serum bicarbonate level (HCO₃⁻) when NSI was used or not used during endotracheal suctioning (p = 0.05). Statistically significant differences were found in pH and patient return rate to baseline arterial oxygen saturation as measured by pulse oximetry (SpO₂) after 30 minutes of suctioning: 63.6% of patients in the NSI group failed to return to baseline SpO₂ v. 37.5% of patients in the non-NSI group (χ² p = 0.035; Fisher’s exact p = 0.048). There was a decrease in pH when NSI was used during suctioning. Although these differences were statistically significant, clinically they were not significant.

Conclusion. It can be concluded that NSI had no effect on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients. Even though the patient population was at high risk of haemodynamic disturbance and hypoxia during this manoeuvre, there was no meaningful clinical effect; however, the sample size was too small to establish safety.


Endotracheal suctioning (ETS) is one of the most common procedures, routinely used in critical care to remove secretions that could potentially occlude the airway of critically ill and mechanically ventilated patients. The concomitant instillation of normal saline to enhance the effectiveness of suctioning has been debated for several years,[1,2] highlighting the detrimental effects of normal saline instillation (NSI). NSI involves instilling a bolus of normal saline ranging from 0.25 mL to 10 mL into the endotracheal tube (ET) just before suctioning to facilitate removal of secretions, thus preventing tube occlusion and atelectasis or alveolar collapse and improving oxygenation.[2,3] Furthermore, NSI reportedly eases catheter insertion, induces coughing and increases aspiration of secretions.[1,4] However, the evidence suggests that NSI has adverse effects on oxygenation, level of dyspnoea, blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR) and other vital parameters.[4,5] The risks with NSI seem to outweigh the perceived benefit of increased removal of secretions. In contrast, there are some studies that point to the reduction/ no increase in ventilator-acquired pneumonia due to the effects of saline on the quality and quantity of secretions.[6,7] There was, however, no difference in mortality, morbidity (atelectasis and endotracheal blockage), ventilator days and ICU length of stay. Increased frequency of nosocomial infections appears to be a better predictor of increased mortality, prolonged hospitalisation and increased healthcare costs.[6,8] Subjective patient reports of increased pain, discomfort and dyspnoea, and feelings of anxiety, dread and drowning are well documented in the literature.[3,6,9]

The theory behind the benefits of NSI is questionable and studies that have shown benefits of NSI for nursing practice have been criticised for methodological limitations and relevance of context.[3,8] The balance of probability leans towards more harm than good, but despite this NSI continues to be used in ICUs locally. The use of NSI during ETS seems to arise from conventional knowledge such as experience and traditional practice, not scientific evidence or research.[2,3]

In South Africa (SA), the practice of NSI appears to continue even where protocols for ETS have been amended to recommend non-use of NSI. NSI is implemented despite the associated risks and the fact that it is not recommended by current literature for safer ETS.[2,13,14] Considering the lack of support for the benefits of NSI, recommendations and risks associated with NSI, and its routine implementation in many SA ICUs, this study was conducted to determine the effect of NSI on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients.

Methods

Design

A prospective, randomised and comparative design was used to achieve the research objectives.

Population, sampling and sample size

The study was conducted in a surgical ICU of a private hospital in Gauteng that admits different surgical patients after coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), valve annuloplasty, repair or replacement,
heart or lung transplant, thoracotomies and thoracic aneurysm repairs.

The target population included all patients in the ICU who had cardiac surgery, including patients who were on cardiopulmonary bypass during open heart surgery (N=65). The following inclusion criteria applied: adults >18 years of age, intubation and mechanical ventilation, presence of an arterial line, continuous electrocardiograph and pulse oximetry (SpO2) monitoring. Simple random sampling was used to assign patients to their respective groups: Group 1 comprised patients who were suctioned without NSI (n=32); while group 2 comprised patients who were suctioned with NSI (n=33). Every second patient was assigned to group 1 after the first patient was assigned into group 2. The operating theatre lists were used as the sampling frame.

Patients' demographic data, baseline vital data and data on treatments considered for their possible extraneous influence were collected according to standard procedure from patients' ICU charts and admission records. Measurements of primary variables – peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2), arterial blood gas, HR and BP – were obtained 5 minutes pre suctioning and again at 1-, 5-, 10-, 30- and 60-minute intervals, post suctioning. Arterial blood gases were measured 5 minutes pre suctioning and 60 minutes post suctioning only. Patients were suctioned with or without NSI 6 hours post surgery and then 4 – 6 hours thereafter as per unit protocol. Patients were enrolled in the study for their first suctioning event, i.e. 6 hours post surgery.

**Group 1: without NSI**

Suctioning was performed according to standard principles. The patient was pre-oxygenated for a period of 1 minute before the procedure. Then, using a 14Fr catheter, the patient was suctioned for 8 seconds. Only three suctioning passes were made using an open suctioning technique in a single suctioning episode. After the suctioning procedure, the patient was again pre-oxygenated for a period of 1 minute. Then the oxygen setting was turned down on the ventilator before the SpO2 measurement was recorded.

**Group 2: with NSI**

The patient was pre-oxygenated for a period of 1 minute before the procedure. Then 5 mL of normal saline was instilled into the ET and the patient was reconnected to the ventilator and given a few breaths. A 14Fr catheter was used to perform the suctioning procedure for 8 seconds. The same procedures were carried out after suctioning, as was done with patients who did not receive NSI.

**Instrument**

Data were captured onto a researcher-developed tool based on a recent literature review. The data-capturing tool comprised four sections: (i) patients' demographic data: age, gender, smoking history and surgical procedure; (ii) baseline vital data: patients' fraction of inspired oxygen concentration (FiO2) and mandatory respiratory rate (RR); (iii) treatment: presence/absence of use of inotropes and sedatives/paralytic agents; (iv) study variables: SpO2, HR, pH, partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2), serum bicarbonate level (HCO3), arterial oxygen saturation as measured by blood gas analyser (SaO2), systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP). Codes were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic and baseline data of the sample (N=65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery bypass graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair ventricular septal defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (breaths/minute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inotropes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedated/paralysed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSI = normal saline instillation, FiO2 = fraction of inspired oxygen, RR = respiratory rate.
used instead of participants’ names to ensure anonymity and confidentiality.

Data analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to present and analyse the data; where applicable percentages, means and standard deviations (SDs) were used to summarise results. Fisher’s Exact and χ² tests were applied for all categorical variables and the two-sample t-test was used to test for the difference between the means of the two study groups. Testing was done at p<0.05.

Ethical considerations
The study was approved by the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) (reference M030976). In the hospital, permission to conduct the study was given by the Provincial Health Directorate, the hospital chief executive and the ICU managers. Participation was voluntary and patient participants signed a written informed consent preoperatively before inclusion in the study.

Results
Of the total sample, 41 (63%) were males and 24 (37%) were females in the age range 20 – 80 years. The mean (SD) age for group 1 was 55 (14) and 56 (14) years for group 2. Non-smokers dominated the sample (n=52; 80%) and were evenly distributed between the groups. The majority of the patients underwent coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) (55.39%), 13 patients (20.00%) underwent mitral valve repair, and 10 patients (15.38%) underwent aortic valve replacement.

Baseline data of the sample are summarised in Table 1. At the time of observation (5 minutes pre suctioning) 39 patients (60%) were on 0.4 FiO₂, 12 (18%) patients on 0.5 FiO₂ and 14 patients (22%) on 0.6 FiO₂. The FiO₂ was left constant throughout the period of observation. A larger percentage of patients being on 0.4 FiO₂ may indicate that the majority of patients did not have any problems with oxygenation. More than half (n=33; 51%) of the patients were ventilated on a rate of 12 breaths/minute (b/min), 26% (n=17) were on a rate of 14 b/min, 14% (n=9) on a rate of 16 b/min and 9% (n=6) on a rate of 10 b/min. Mean ventilation rate for group 1 was 13 (2.4) b/min, and for group 2 was 13 (1.5) b/min. The majority of patients (n=53; 82%) were on inotropes: more than half (n=37; 57%) received some form of sedation; 59% (n=19) and 55% (n=18) were in groups 1 and 2, respectively. There was no difference in the baseline variables, indicating that the two groups were comparable.

Peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂)
SpO₂ was observed at 5 minutes pre-suctioning and at 1, 5, 10, 30 and 60 minutes post suctioning for groups 1 and 2 (Fig. 1).

![Graph 1: Comparison of SpO₂ with non-use (non-NSI) and use (NSI) of normal saline.](image1.png)

![Graph 2: The effect of heart rate with non-use (non-NSI) and use (NSI) of normal saline.](image2.png)

![Graph 3: Comparison of pH values with non-use (non-NSI) and use (NSI) of normal saline.](image3.png)
A positive difference between SpO₂ at different observation times post suctioning indicates an increase in SpO₂, while a negative difference indicates a decrease in SpO₂. These results suggest that there was an increase in SpO₂ at all observation times except at 30 minutes post suctioning in group 1 and a decrease in SpO₂ at all observation times in group 2. Although these differences existed, they were not statistically significant as evidenced by the p-values.

Heart rate (HR)
HR was monitored at 5 minutes pre suctioning, and at 1, 5, 10, 30 and 60 minutes post suctioning for both groups 1 and 2. There was an overall increase in HR at all observation times in group 1 and an overall decrease in group 2 except at 1 minute. Again these changes were not statistically significant (Fig. 2).

Acid base status (pH)
The pH was examined at 5 minutes pre suctioning and 60 minutes post suctioning for both groups. The pH mean at 5 minutes was 7.37 (0.06) for group 1 and 7.38 (0.07) for group 2, while at 60 minutes the pH was 7.39 (0.51) for group 1 and 7.36 (0.05) for group 2 (Fig. 3). This increase in pH (by 0.01) in group 1 and decrease in pH (by 0.03) in group 2 was statistically significant at p=0.1 (within group 1), p=0.02 (within group 2) and p=0.04 (difference between groups) post suctioning. Similarly, the difference between pre- and post-suction pH levels for group 2 was significant (p=0.05), suggesting that the drop in pH in group 2 was statistically significant compared with the non-significant increase in pH in group 1.

Partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂)
The data in Fig. 4 show that there was a clear decrease in PaO₂ after the procedures. A t-test was used to compare PaO₂ means within groups and between groups. In both groups, there was a decrease in PaO₂ post suctioning (~4.1 mmHg for group 1 v. ~13.73 mmHg for group 2). These differences were not statistically significant. It can be noted that the decrease in PaO₂ was greater in group 2 (11%) than in group 1 (3%).

Standard bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻)
When the HCO₃⁻ levels with use of normal saline and non-use of normal saline were examined, there was a slight increase in
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HCO$_3^-$ in both groups, i.e. 0.7 mmol/L in group 1 vs. 0.3 mmol/L in group 2 (Fig. 5). These changes and differences were not statistically significant.

**Arterial oxygen saturation (SaO$_2$)**

ETS resulted in a decrease in SaO$_2$ levels. SaO$_2$ was monitored at 5 minutes and 60 minutes pre suctioning for both groups. A decrease in SaO$_2$ occurred in both groups (~0.6% in group 1 and ~1.7% in group 2) (Fig. 6). The changes and differences detected were not statistically significant.

BP (SBP, DBP and MAP) increased immediately (1 minute post suctioning) and over time returned to the baseline readings for both groups (Fig. 7). All p-values were >0.05, suggesting that these differences were not statistically significant.

**Discussion**

This study investigated the effects of NSI on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients. Patients were similar with respect to demographic and baseline data (mode of ventilation and RR) and treatment (presence/absence of use of inotropes and sedatives/paralytic agents). No significant differences were found when groups were compared in respect of these characteristics. These early postelective surgery patients were unlikely to have excessive or infected secretions.

NSI was accompanied by a slight decrease (~0.1 - 0.3%) in SpO$_2$ for up to 60 minutes post suctioning. When compared with baseline, this finding is supported in the study of Akgül et al. A secondary analysis was done to compare groups in respect of the return rate of SpO$_2$ to baseline level at 30 minutes post suctioning. These differences were statistically significant, suggesting that the use of NSI during ETS negatively influences oxygenation, which supports the growing literature against the use of NSI. The literature has suggested that NSI interferes with alveolar capillary oxygen exchange, resulting in decreased oxygen saturation.

This study did not observe whether the patients who failed to return to baseline SpO$_2$ after 30 minutes post suctioning ever returned to baseline at all or if they did return, how long it took.

When HR was observed in this study, it was found that HR means were slightly increased at 1 minute post suctioning with and without NSI, unlike the Akgül et al. study where HR only increased at 4 - 5 minutes in the postsuctioning NSI group. In this study, HR mean pressures were increased until 60 minutes post suctioning in the non-NSI group, while they decreased from 5 minutes to 60 minutes post suctioning in the NSI group. This difference was statistically significant in the Akgül et al. study, while in this study no statistically significant differences were found.

All three aspects of BP (SBP, DBP and MBP) were addressed in this study. It was found that there was an increase in BP immediately post suctioning in both groups, and over time the BP stabilised towards baseline value. The increase in BP immediately post suctioning may be attributed to stress and anxiety caused by the ETS procedure itself rather than the effects of NSI. Thus a factor other than NSI such as inadequate patient preparation may have led to BP increase. No previously published studies seem to have addressed the effects of ETS with or without NSI on BP.

Together with SpO$_2$, PaO$_2$, and SaO$_2$ are direct measures of oxygenation. No statistically significant differences were found when groups were compared in respect of PaO$_2$ and SaO$_2$. It was noted that the decrease in PaO$_2$ was greater in the NSI group (11%) than the non-NSI group (3%). These results correlate to the findings by Bostick and Wendelgass, i.e. both results suggest NSI may have a negative effect on oxygenation. A small variation in SaO$_2$ was found pre and post suctioning with or without NSI. In both cases, there was a slight decrease in the SaO$_2$ means after suctioning (~0.6% decrease in the non-NSI group and 0.7% decrease in the NSI group). These differences in SaO$_2$ were not statistically significant.

As part of the arterial blood gases, pH and HCO$_3^-$ were assessed in this study. There was a decrease in pH when normal saline was used compared with an increase in pH when not used; the decrease in pH in the NSI group was found to be statistically significant. Statistically significant results were also found when pH values were compared between groups. In the study by Akgül et al., the rise in pH when NSI was used was statistically significant; however, the differences in pH were not statistically significant between groups. The results do not correspond to the findings of this study.

The change in pH could have been due to interruption of ventilation during suctioning or other factors not related to suctioning. This study did not address the effects of ETS on CO$_2$ with or without NSI. Akgül et al. found no significance difference in CO$_2$ when NSI was used or not used during suctioning. In this study, there were small increases in HCO$_3^-$ levels before and after suctioning with use of normal saline (0.7 mmol/L in group 1 and 0.3 mmol/L in group 2). Presumably this could also be related to the decrease in pH.
These differences were not statistically significant in this study and correspond to the findings of Akgül et al.\textsuperscript{11,12}

**Study limitations**

The findings of this study are limited to a homogenous population of adults who underwent open heart surgery and were ventilated for short-term purposes. The sample size was reduced from 74 to 65; 74 was initially the appropriate sample for this study according to statistical determination. Due to lack of subjects volunteering, only 65 patients were included in the study after 8 months of data collection. We acknowledge that the small sample size may have influenced the ability of this study to generate sufficient statistical significance. In hindsight we see another limitation in the fact that our data collection tool was developed from the literature and as such the effect of PaCO\textsubscript{2} level was not captured in this study. Furthermore, collection of adults who underwent open heart surgery and were ventilated for short-term purposes. The sample size was reduced from 74 to 65; 74 was initially the appropriate sample for this study according to statistical determination. Due to lack of subjects volunteering, only 65 patients were included in the study after 8 months of data collection. We acknowledge that the small sample size may have influenced the ability of this study to generate sufficient statistical significance. In hindsight we see another limitation in the fact that our data collection tool was developed from the literature and as such the effect of PaCO\textsubscript{2} level was not captured in this study. Furthermore, this study did not observe the return rate of the SaO\textsubscript{2} to baseline after ETS. Based on our findings, we can hypothesise that SaO\textsubscript{2} may be slow to recover in the NSI group. We recommend that this be followed up and included in future studies.

**Conclusions**

It can be concluded that NSI has no effect on cardiorespiratory parameters in intubated cardiothoracic patients. Yet the literature suggests that NSI has adverse effects on physiological parameters.\textsuperscript{7,8,9} The only extrapolation that can be made from this study is that further studies need to be done. We can, however, speculate that myocardial ischaemia in CABG patients is unlikely to be precipitated by suctioning or instilling normal saline. Our findings could have been affected by beta blockade in the CABG patients.
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