COMPUTATION OF GAS AND MULTIPHASE SUPERSONIC JETS WITH NON-EQUILIBRIUM PROCESSES

Zavelevich F.S., Molchanov A.M.* and Ushakov N.N. *Author for correspondence Department of Aerospace Heating Engineering, Moscow Aviation Institute, 4, Volokolamskoe Shosse, Moscow Russia, E-mail: <u>alexmol_2010@mail.ru</u>

ABSTRACT

A computational model for simulation of compressible multiphase supersonic jets with non-equilibrium physical and chemical processes is developed. Compared with the others, this model is distinguishes by an extended system of non-equilibrium chemical reactions while taking into account the multiphase non-equilibrium. Lagrangian particle methodology was used. The particle phase change is simulated kinetically and included two solid Al_2O_3 crystalline structures: meta stable gamma and stable alpha forms. The simulation results were compared with the available results of the other authors. There was a satisfactory agreement regarding the results of the main gaseous component concentrations. Comparison of the simulation results with experimental data also showed their satisfactory agreement.

INTRODUCTION

Simulation of rocket exhaust flow fields is essential for assessments of the missile base heat transfer to ensure the motor's health and survival. Gas and multiphase gas/particle flow-field simulations are also an important element of efforts to predict liquid and solid-propellant rocket motor effluents for environmental studies including space debris.

The task for simulation of the gas-dynamic parameters of gas and two-phase jets was solved in the most rigorous formulation. Using the extended system of chemical reactions allowed us to obtain more accurate data on the concentrations of such important components of the gas mixture as NO, NO₂, CIO.

Usually at the altitudes below 15-20 km the assumption of equilibrium for a two-phase mixture and the chemical equilibrium is fairy true. In the range of heights 20-40 km the intensity of afterburning processes is drastically reduced. In this range there appears an effect of nonequilibrium flow of "gas + particle" mix. The non-equilibrium effects are greater if the combustion products mass flow (thrust) of the engines is lower.

Non-equilibrium flow of particles and gas delays the afterburning process and results in the shift of afterburning cessation. The use of the extended system of chemical reactions, i.e. introducing 12 reactions with chlorine components, results in temperature lowering in the jet and also in the shift of afterburning cessation. The lower mass flow, the lower is the altitude of afterburning cessation.

NOMENCLATURE

а	[m/s]	Speed of sound
DE		Backward and Forward reaction rate coefficients in
$\boldsymbol{D}_k, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k$		reaction k
Ε	$[m^2/s^2]$	Total energy
F_C, G_C		x and y direction inviscid fluxes
F_V, G_V		x and y direction viscous fluxes
Н	$[m^2/s^2]$	Total enthalpy
h	$[m^2/s^2]$	Enthalpy
h_I	$[m^2/s^2]$	Species enthalpy
I	$[kg/(m^2s)]$	Species diffusion flux
$J_{I,i}$	-	-
Κ	$[m^2/s^2]$	Turbulent kinetic energy
L	[-]	Number of groups of particles
M_T	[-]	Turbulent Mach Number
m_{α}	[kg]	The mass of one particle sized r_{α}
N_C	[-]	Number of species
n_{α}	$[1/m^3]$	number of particles sized r_{α} per unit volume
Pr_T	[-]	Turbulent Prandtl number
р	[Pa]	Pressure
R	[J/(kmol·K)]	Universal gas constant
r_{α}	[m]	The radius of particle group α
S		Source vector
S_I	$[kg/(m^3s)]$	Species rate of production
Sc_T	[-]	Turbulent Schmidt number
Т	[K]	Temperature
T_{α}	[K]	Temperature of particle group α
U		Conservative variable vector
и, v	[m/s]	Velocity components

u_{α}, v_{α}	[m/s]	Velocity components of particle group α	
$V_{_{cr}}$	[m/s]	Crystallization rate of Al ₂ O ₃	
W_I	[kg/kmol]	Species molecular mass	
<i>x</i> , <i>y</i>	[m]	Cylindrical coordinates for axisymmetric flow	
Y_I	[-]	Species mass fraction	
Special ch	aracters		
3	$[m^2/s^3]$	Dissipation rate	
$v'_{\scriptscriptstyle k\!\scriptscriptstyle I},v''_{\scriptscriptstyle k\!\scriptscriptstyle I}$	[-]	Species reactant and product stoichiometric coefficients	
ρ	[kg/m ³]	Density	
$\rho_{_{a}}$	$[kg/m^3]$	Density of particle group α	
ω	[-]	=0 for plain flow =1 for axisymmetric flow	

The basic assumptions for the gas-dynamic model of the plume and simulation methods at the heights of 0-90 km are as follows:

1. The composite stream is replaced with an equivalent single axisymmetric jet.

2. The flow of gas in the jet is described by the complete system of Navier-Stokes [1] (see The governing gasphase equations);

3. The extended system of chemical reactions is used for non-equilibrium chemical processes (for a system that contains C, O, H, N, C1, consisting of 42 reactions) [1-4] (see Table);

4. Non-equilibrium of particles and gas is considered in the complete statement: temperature, speed, phase for particles (liquid and solid states (α -, γ -phase)), crystallization for particles (see Particle flow equations).

THE GOVERNING GAS-PHASE EQUATIONS

The set of Favre averaged equations for high Reynolds twodimension turbulent reacting flow can be cast in the following compact form:

 $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F_c}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G_c}{\partial y} + \frac{\omega}{y} H_c + \frac{\partial F_v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G_v}{\partial y} + \frac{\omega}{y} H_v = S, \quad (1)$ where

$$U = \left[\rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho E, \rho Y_{1}, ..., \rho Y_{Nc-1}, \rho K, \rho \varepsilon\right]^{T},$$

$$F_{c} = \begin{pmatrix}\rho u\\\rho u^{2} + p\\\rho uv\\u(\rho E + p)\\\rho uY_{1}\\...\\\rho uY_{Nc-1}\\\rho uK\\\rho u\varepsilon\end{pmatrix}, G_{c} = \begin{pmatrix}\rho v\\\rho uv\\\rho uv\\\rho v^{2} + p\\v(\rho E + p)\\\rho vY_{1}\\...\\\rho vY_{Nc-1}\\\rho vK\\\rho v\varepsilon\end{pmatrix}, H_{c} = \begin{pmatrix}\rho v\\\rho uv\\\rho uv\\\rho v^{2}\\v(\rho E + p)\\\rho vY_{1}\\...\\\rho vY_{Nc-1}\\\rho vK\\\rho v\varepsilon\end{pmatrix}$$



$$S = \left[0, S_{u}, S_{v}, S_{E}, S_{1}, ..., S_{Nc-1}, S_{K}, S_{E}\right]$$

The Reynolds stress tensors and the enthalpy, species, K, ε turbulent fluxes are closed by the classical Boussinesq-like formulation for non-zero divergence flows:

$$\begin{split} T_{xx} &= \frac{2\mu_r}{3} \left[2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\omega}{y} v \right] - \frac{2}{3} \rho K, \\ T_{yy} &= \frac{2\mu_r}{3} \left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\omega}{y} v \right] - \frac{2}{3} \rho K, \\ T_{xy} &= \mu_r \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right), \\ T_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{2}{3} \mu_r \left(-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\omega}{y} v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \rho K, \\ Q_x &= -\frac{\mu_r}{Pr_r} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \mu_r \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \left(\frac{1}{Pr_r} - \frac{1}{Sc_{r,i}} \right) h_i \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x}, \\ Q_y &= -\frac{\mu_r}{Pr_r} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + \mu_r \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \left(\frac{1}{Pr_r} - \frac{1}{Sc_{r,i}} \right) h_i \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial y} , \\ J_{i,x} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{Sc_{r,i}} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x}, \quad J_{i,y} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{Sc_{r,i}} \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial y} \end{split}$$
(4)
$$J_{Kx} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{\sigma_K} \frac{\partial K}{\partial y}, \quad J_{xy} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{\sigma_s} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} , \\ J_{Ky} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{\sigma_K} \frac{\partial K}{\partial y}, \quad J_{xy} &= -\frac{\mu_r}{\sigma_s} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Additional equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} Y_{i} = 1, \quad h = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} h_{i} Y_{i},$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} h_{i} Y_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \left(u^{2} + v^{2} \right), \quad E = H - \frac{p}{\rho}$$
(6)

For a high temperature, chemically reacting flow, the gas is assumed to be thermally perfect:

$$p = \rho TR \sum_{I=1}^{N_c} \frac{Y_I}{W_I}$$
(7)

The species specific heat and enthalpies are obtained from the following equations [9]:

$$\frac{C_{P,I}(T)}{R} = a_{1,I} + a_{2,I}T + a_{3,I}T^{2} + a_{4,I}T^{3} + a_{5,I}T^{4},$$

$$\frac{h_{I}(T)}{RT} = a_{1,I} + a_{2,I}\frac{T}{2} + a_{3,I}\frac{T}{3}^{2} + a_{4,I}\frac{T^{3}}{4} + a_{5,I}\frac{T^{4}}{5} + \frac{b_{1,I}}{T}$$
(8)

The members S_u, S_v, S_E comprised in the source S take into account the effect of particles on the gas parameters:

$$S_{u} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{L} f_{\alpha,x}; \quad S_{v} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{L} f_{\alpha,y};$$

$$S_{E} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{L} \left(q_{conv,\alpha} - f_{\alpha,x} u_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha,y} v_{\alpha} \right)$$
(9)

(described in Particle flow equations section).

The members $S_1, ..., S_{Nc-1}$ are defined by species rates of production (see Chemical Kinetics). And, at last, S_{κ}, S_{ϵ} are defined by the turbulence model (see Turbulence model).

TURBULENCE MODEL

The transport equations for *K* and ε for high Reynolds numbers are as follows [1]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\overline{\rho} \varepsilon \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\overline{\rho} \widetilde{u}_j \varepsilon \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_\varepsilon} + \mu \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right]$$
(11)

$$+\frac{1}{K}\left(C_{\varepsilon^{1}}P_{K}-C_{\varepsilon^{2}}\overline{\rho}\varepsilon\right)$$

which use standard constant pool:

$$\sigma_{\kappa} = 1; \quad \sigma_{\epsilon} = 1.3; \quad C_{\epsilon_1} = 1.44; \quad C_{\epsilon_2} = 1.92$$
(12)

In these equations P_K is the production term,

 M_T - Mach turbulent number

$$M_{T} = \frac{\sqrt{2K}}{a} \tag{13}$$

a- local sound speed. $\varphi(M_T)$ - compressibility function:

$$\varphi(M_{T}) = C_{M}M_{T} \tag{14}$$

This paper uses the modification of $K-\varepsilon$ turbulence model based on the analysis of transport equations for Reynolds stress.

Assuming that diffusion and convection are balanced in these equations, the following formula for turbulent viscosity can be obtained

$$\mu_{T} = \frac{\left(1 - C_{2}\right)}{C_{1}} \frac{V_{n}^{\prime \prime 2}}{K} \cdot \overline{\rho} \frac{K^{2}}{\varepsilon} = C_{\mu} \overline{\rho} \frac{K^{2}}{\varepsilon}, \qquad (15)$$

where

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{\left(1 - C_{2}\right)}{C_{1}} \frac{V_{n}^{\prime \prime 2}}{K},$$
(16)

 V_n'' - velocity fluctuation normal to the streamlines, for which it is true:

$$\frac{V_n''^2}{K} = \frac{2}{3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 - C_2)}{C_1} \left[1 + \varphi(M_T) \right] \right\},$$
(17)

The following numerical constants are used:

$$C_1 = 1.8; \quad C_2 = 0.6$$
 (18)

Thus, unlike standard $K_{-\varepsilon}$ turbulence model, the presented model firstly includes supplementary dissipation dependable on the turbulent Mach number M_T , and secondly the coefficient C_{μ} in formula (15) of turbulent viscosity is no longer constant but dependable on M_T as well.

The following constant is used in formula(14) for this

model:

$$C_{M} = 0.4$$
 (19)

CHEMICAL KINETICS

Finite rate chemical kinetics is used to track chemical reactions in the present work. This method is based on the Law of Mass Action.

The production rate of each species is given by:

$$S_{I} = W_{I} \sum_{k=1}^{k} (v_{kl}'' - v_{kl}') R_{k}$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The Law of Mass Action for k -th reaction is:

$$R_{k} = \left(F_{k}\prod_{I=A,B,\dots}^{N_{c}}[I]^{\nu_{kl}'} - B_{k}\prod_{I=A,B,\dots}^{N_{c}}[I]^{\nu_{kl}'}\right)$$
(21)

It is very important to select properly chemical reaction rate coefficients. The available data on these coefficients were obtained in the conditions that are considerably different from those of rocket engines jets having a greater dispersion characterized by the uncertainty factor.

After comparing the simulated and experimental data on the characteristics of jets of real and model rocket engines, the coefficients for reactions 1-20 were selected, within the limits of the uncertainty factor (see table), which provided the best agreement between the simulation and experimental data.

No.	Reaction	No.	Reaction
1	CO+OH=CO ₂ +H	22	N+O ₂ =O+NO
2	OH+H ₂ =H ₂ O+H	23	N+OH=H+NO
3	H+O ₂ =OH+O	24	H+O+M=OH+M
4	O+H ₂ =OH+H	25	N+O+M=NO+M
5	H+O2+M=HO2+M	26	$O_2+N_2=2NO$
6	OH+HO ₂ =H ₂ O+O ₂	27	HO ₂ +NO=NO ₂ +OH
7	H+HO ₂ =2OH	28	O+NO ₂ =NO+O ₂
8	O+HO ₂ =O ₂ +OH	29	NO ₂ +H=NO+OH
9	$2OH=O+H_2O$	30	ClO+NO=NO2+Cl
10	$H+HO_2=H_2+O_2$	31	Cl+O ₃ =ClO+O ₂
11	CO+O+M=CO ₂ +M	32	ClO+OH=HO ₂ +Cl
12	$2H+M=H_2+M$	33	Cl+HO ₂ =HCl+O ₂
13	H+OH+M=H ₂ O+M	34	HCl+OH=Cl+H ₂ O
14	$2HO_2 = H_2O_2 + O_2$	35	HCl+O=OH+Cl
15	$H_2O_2+M=2OH+M$	36	H ₂ +Cl=HCl+H
16	H ₂ O ₂ +H=HO ₂ +H2	37	H+Cl+M=HCl+M
17	H ₂ O ₂ +OH=H ₂ O+HO ₂	38	H+Cl ₂ =HCl+Cl
18	$2O+M=O_2+M$	39	M+2Cl=Cl ₂ +M
19	$H + H_2O_2 = H_2O + OH$	40	Cl ₂ +OH=HOCl+Cl
20	$O + H_2O_2 = OH + HO_2$	41	HOCl+OH=H ₂ O+ClO

The system of non-equilibrium chemical reactions

Table

Figure 1 shows a comparison of calculation results of the combustion products mass flow rates along the jet axis (solid lines) with the calculations given in [3] (dashed lines) for the intermediate-thrust rocket ~ 80 ton.



Figure 1 Axial distributions of species mass flow rates

There was a good agreement of the results for the main components of the jet. The non-compliance of NO and NO_X rates accounted for the differences in the set of the chemical reactions. The present method used the chemical reactions with chlorine-containing components from [3] (see table). However, this work did not take into account a number of reactions with component HO₂, provided in the table, which, according to the analysis, significantly impact on the concentration of molecules CIO (reaction 32). The developed method takes into account 12 reactions with HO₂. The concentration of molecules CIO impacts on the transfer process of molecules NO into NO₂ (reaction 30).

Figure 2 shows the additional comparison of the concentrations of HO₂, ClO, NO and NO₂. The dotted lines show the calculations with a modified system of reactions without HO₂ reactions and with rate coefficients taken from [3].



Figure 2 Axial distributions of species mass flow rates

As seen, there are significant differences from the basis computation of concentrations of HO₂, ClO, NO and NO₂. Comparing with [3] with the altered system of chemical reactions showed a good agreement of distribution of components mass flow rate of NO and NO_X (compare dashed lines NO_X in Figure 1 and NO, NO_X in Figure 2).

The use of the extended system of reactions led to the fact that a considerable part of components NO transfer into NO2 when molecules NO interacted with ClO in the jets of solid-fuel rocket engines containing chlorine-containing components (i.e., "Space-Shuttle" launch vehicle and "Titan" launch vehicle). This transfer of NO into NO₂ did not happen in the jets of liquid rocket engines (i.e., "Proton" launch vehicle) due to the absence of chlorine-containing components.

Based on the computation results of distribution of component concentrations in the end of the jet of "Space-Shuttle" and "Titan" Professor I.L. Karol performed computations of concentration component variance in the jet wake, which were presented in [5]. The results were compared with the data of [6] on the variance of Cl_2 concentration in the wake. For the "Titan" the computation results for night launch are shown in Figure 3. There was a good agreement with the variance of Cl_2 concentration (marked by (*) in Figure 3).



Figure 3 Variance of the combustion products components concentrations along the center line of a cold wake

PARTICLE FLOW EQUATIONS

Polydisperse mixture of particles is represented as a set of *L* groups of particles, each of which is characterized by the values of the radius r_a , density $\rho_a = n_a \cdot m_a$, velocity components u_a , v_a , and temperature T_a .

For each of *L* groups ($\alpha = 1, 2, ...L$), under the assumptions that the particles are spherical, chemically inert to the gaseous phase, and do not interact with each other, equations describing the motion of Al2O3 particles can be written as:

1) Particle continuity:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho_a u_a y \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\rho_a v_a y \right) = 0, \qquad (22)$$

2) Particle momentum in *x* direction:

$$\rho_{a}u_{a}\frac{\partial u_{a}}{\partial x} + \rho_{a}v_{a}\frac{\partial u_{a}}{\partial y} = f_{a,x}$$
(23)

3) Particle momentum in y direction ($\alpha = 1, 2, ...L$):

$$\rho_{\alpha}u_{\alpha}\frac{\partial v_{\alpha}}{\partial x} + \rho_{\alpha}v_{\alpha}\frac{\partial v_{\alpha}}{\partial y} = f_{\alpha,y}$$
(24)

4) Particle energy:

$$\rho_{\alpha}u_{\alpha}C_{s}\frac{\partial T_{\alpha}}{\partial x} + \rho_{\alpha}v_{\alpha}C_{s}\frac{\partial T_{\alpha}}{\partial y}$$

$$= q_{phase,\alpha} - q_{conv,\alpha} - q_{rad,\alpha}$$
(25)

As a result of crystallization, the following is true for a

heat flow:

$$q_{phase,\alpha} = 3q_{cr} \frac{r_{cr,\alpha}^{2}}{r_{\alpha}^{3}} V_{cr}$$
(26)

where q_{cr} - the heat of crystallization, V_{cr} - crystallization rate, $r_{cr,a}$ - the position of the crystallization front.

It is supposed that particles start crystallizing when their temperature is equal to $0.82T_L$ (T_L - the equilibrium melting point of γ -phase equal to 2300 K).

According to [7] the crystallization rate of Al₂O₃ particles is calculated by the formula

$$T_{cr} = 0.64 \cdot 10^{-6} \left(T_L - T_{\alpha} \right)^{1.8}, m/s$$
 (27)

and the position of crystallization front is defined from the equation

$$\frac{dr_{cr,a}}{dt} = -V_{cr} \tag{28}$$

(crystallization front moves toward the center of the particle).

The liquid phase is assumed to transfer initially to the metastable solid γ -phase for all conditions. The transition process from the γ -phase to the stable α -phase begins as soon as any portion of the γ -phase has appeared.

To determine the portion of solid substance in the α -phase (f_a) the following equation is applied [8]:

$$\frac{df_{\alpha}}{dt} = A \cdot \exp\left(-B/T_{\alpha}\right), \quad 0 < f_{\alpha} < 1$$
(29)

where $A=1.03 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$, B=58368 K.

PARTICLE EFFECT ON AFTERBURNING

Fig. 4 demonstrates the axis distributions of the estimated gas temperature with and without considering the particle effect in a jet of typical solid rocket engine with thrust 12 ton at the attitude of 31 km. At that attitude the estimated gas temperature without considering particle effect is so low that chemical reactions do not occur (see dashed line on fig. 4).

When considering the particle effect on gas, the gas estimated temperature increases, which leads to afterburning of products exhausting from the nozzle. At that attitude the effect of chemical reactions with chlorine-containing components on the gas temperature is also noticeable; considering those results in reducing the estimated temperature in the jet (see solid and dashed lines on Figure 4).





1 - taking into account the effect of particles and reactions without chlorine-containing components;

2 - taking into account the effect of particles and reactions with chlorine-containing components;

3 - taking into account the effect of particles without chemical reactions;

4 - excluding the effect of particles with and without chemical reactions

For an engine with thrust of 85 ton, non-equilibrium is noticeable at the attitudes H= 40-45 km, and particularly at the attitude H = 40 km (Figure 5), where the jet ceases burning without considering particles on the gas. This brings down the temperature drastically ($\Delta T \approx 300-600$ K) and considerably (in times and orders) change in combustion products concentration.



Figure 5 Distribution of gas temperature along jet axis of solid rocket engine with thrust 85 ton at attitude of 40 km:

1 - taking into account the effect of particles and reactions with chlorine-containing components;

2 - taking into account the effect of particles and reactions without chlorine-containing components;

3 - excluding the effect of particles on the gas

For very large missiles, such as "Space Shuttle", afterburning does not cease during the mid-course burn of rocket engine (H < 50 km). According to computations taking into account the effect of particles, the concentrations of gas components changed by 25-30 %.

Particles intensify afterburning process in the jets and lead to the increase in the attitude of afterburning cessation by 5-10km depending on engine thrusts; on the contrary chemical reactions with chlorine-containing components lead to the decrease in the attitude of afterburning cease by 1-5 km depending on the engine trust.

Conclusions

A computational model for simulation of compressible multiphase supersonic jets with non-equilibrium physical and chemical processes is developed.

The simulation results were compared with the available data obtained by the other authors specializing in chemically non-equilibrium jets. There was a satisfactory agreement of the results by the basis gas components of the jet. Comparing the simulation results with the experimental data also showed their good agreement.

Using the extended system of chemical reactions allowed us to obtain more accurate data on the concentrations of such important components of the gas mixture as NO, NO2, CIO.

The range of altitudes (H = 30-50 km) was determined where the particles and chlorine-containing components reactions effect on the parameters of the gas phase is the most essential. It was shown that the particles intensify the process of burning in jets and increase the altitude of afterburning cessation (5-10 km, depending on the engine thrust); and on the contrary, the chlorine-containing components chemical reactions reduce the altitude of afterburning cessation (1-5 km depending on the engine thrust).

In this altitude range the effect of particles on the gas flow-field can lead to substantial increase (\sim 300-600 K) of the jet temperature and great (in times and orders) changes in component concentrations.

The altitude range where the effect of particles on temperature and species concentrations is significant is highly dependent on the combustion products mass flow. The lower mass flow, the lower is the altitude of afterburning cessation. For very large rockets, such as the "Space Shuttle", the gas-particle interaction causes the change of the component concentrations by 25-30%.

REFERENCES

[1] Molchanov A.M., Numerical Simulation of Supersonic Chemically Reacting Turbulent Jets, 20th AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference 27-30 June 2011, Honolulu, Hawaii, AIAA Paper 2011-3211, 37p.

- [2] Gardiner, W.C. Jr. (ed.), Combustion chemistry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984, 509 p.
- [3] Denison, M.R., Lamb, J.J., Bjorndahl, W.D., Wong, E.Y., Lohn, P.D., Solid Rocket Exhaust in the Stratosphere: Plume Diffusion and Chemical Reactions, *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*. Vol. 31. № 3. 1994m .pp. 435-442.
- [4] Hemsh, M.J., Nielsen J.N. (ed), Tactical missile aerodynamics, AIAA, N.Y., 1986, 858p.
- [5] Karol, I.L., Ozolin, Yu.E., Zavelevich, F.S., Oushakov, N.N., Rozanov, E.V.. Space Rocket Exhaust Effect on Stratospheric Composition and Ozone. 51st International Astronautical Congress 2-6 Oct 2000/Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- [6] Ross, M. N., J. O. Ballenthin, R. B. Gosselin, R. F. meads, P. F. Zittel, J. R. Benbrook, and W. R. Sheldon, In-situ measurement of Cl2 and O3 in a stratospheric solid rocket motor exhaust plume, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 14, 1755-1758, 1997..
- [7] Plastinin, Yu.A., Sipachev H.Ph., Karabadzhak G.F., Khmelinin B.A., Khlebnikov A.G., Shishkin Yu.N., Influence of Alumina Particles' Phase Transition on Its Radiation in the Middle Infrared and Ultraviolet Regions of Spectrum. AIAA 2000-0735.
- [8] Rodionov A.V. A Godunov's method modification for prediction of supersonic reacting turbulent jets. *La Recherche Aerospatiale*, 1995, No 4, p.p. 263-276.
- [9] McBride B.J., Gordon S., Reno M.A., Coefficients for Calculating Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Individual Species, *NASA Technical Memorandum* 4513, October 1993.