NATURAL CONVECTION HEAT AND MOMENTUM TRANSFER IN SQUARE CAVITIES DISCRETELY HEATED FROM BELOW AND COOLED FROM ABOVE AND ONE SIDE
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ABSTRACT
Laminar natural convection heat transfer inside rectangular enclosures partially heated from below and cooled at the top and one side, filled with either a gas or a liquid, is studied numerically. A specifically developed computer-code based on the SIMPLE-C algorithm is implemented for solving the coupled system of mass, momentum, and energy conservation equations. Numerical simulations are performed for representative combinations of (a) the heated fraction of the bottom wall, sweeping from 0.2 to 0.8, (b) the Rayleigh number based on the cavity width, ranging from $10^2$ to $10^7$, and (c) the Prandtl number, spanning from 0.7 to 700. Resorting on computed velocity and temperature fields, all possible heat transfer avenues are explored and analyzed in detail. It is found that the amount of heat transferred across the enclosure increases with increments in the Rayleigh number and/or the Prandtl number and/or the size of the heater. Dimensionless heat transfer correlating equations are developed for purposes of engineering design.

INTRODUCTION
Natural convection inside rectangular enclosures has been extensively studied both experimentally and numerically, owing to its importance in many engineering applications, e.g., heat transfer in buildings, solar energy collection, heat removal in micro-electronics, and cooling of nuclear reactors, to name a few.

Most of the papers on this topic are related to unidirectional heat flows, as pointed out by Ostrach [1] and Bejan [2], but real-life systems are more usually characterized by multidirectional heat flows, i.e., neither simply horizontal or vertical. Moreover, in many practical cases mixed thermal conditions on the same boundary wall may also be encountered, which is, e.g., what happens when a boundary wall is only partially heated or cooled. Focusing the interest on the heating-from-below situation several studies on this topic are readily available in the specialized literature [3-12]. Other investigations were also conducted to study the influence of the fluid on the natural convection performances in square and rectangular cavities [13-14].

In this background, the aim of the present paper is to carry out a study on natural convection heat and momentum transfer inside square cavities, partially heated at the bottom, and cooled at the top and one sidewall, using fluids with Prandtl number spanning from 0.7 to 700. In particular, the heater, which is placed in the middle of the bottom endwall, is assumed to be kept at uniform temperature. The remainder of the bottom wall and the other sidewall are assumed to be perfectly insulated.

Figure 1 Sketch of the geometry and coordinate system
NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless size of the heater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>[m²/s]</td>
<td>Gravity vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>[m²/s]</td>
<td>Gravitational acceleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>Height of the enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>[W/m K]</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>[W/m K]</td>
<td>Average coefficient of convection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>Length of the heater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Average Nusselt number based on $H = H/k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu^*$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Average Nusselt number based on $L = H/k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>[Pa]</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>[W/m]</td>
<td>Heat transfer rate per unit length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Rayleigh number based on $H = gH/(Pr/\nu)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra_c$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Rayleigh number based on $L = gH/(Pr/\nu)^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>[K]</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>X-wise dimensionless velocity component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Y-wise dimensionless velocity component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X,Y$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless Cartesian coordinates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>[m/s]</td>
<td>Thermal diffusivity of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>[1/K]</td>
<td>Coefficient of volumetric thermal expansion of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>[m²/s]</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>[kg/m³]</td>
<td>Density of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>Dimensionless stream function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscript</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>Cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Hot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$in$</td>
<td>Ingoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>Outgoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

A square enclosure of height $H$ is partially heated at the bottom, and cooled at the top and one sidewall. The middle portion of the bottom wall, of length $L$, is heated at uniform temperature $t_h$, while the cooled walls are kept uniform temperature $t_c$. The remainder of the bottom wall, as well as the cooled sidewall, are considered adiabatic, as sketched in Fig. 1, where the coordinate system adopted is also represented.

The flow is assumed to be two-dimensional, laminar and incompressible, with constant fluid properties and negligible viscous dissipation and pressure work. The buoyancy effects on momentum transfer are taken into account through the customary Boussinesq approximation.

Once the above assumptions are employed in the conservation equations of mass, momentum and energy, the following set of governing equations is obtained:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} = -\nabla P + \nabla^2 \mathbf{V} - \frac{Ra}{Pr} \frac{T}{g} \mathbf{g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) T = \frac{1}{Pr} \nabla^2 T$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mathbf{V}$ is the velocity vector having dimensionless velocity components $U$ and $V$ normalized by $v/\alpha$, $T$ is the dimensionless temperature excess over the uniform temperature of the cooled sidewall normalized by the temperature difference $(t_h - t_c)$, $\tau$ is the dimensionless time normalized by $H^2/v$, $P$ is the dimensionless pressure normalized by $\rho v^2 H^2$, $g$ is the gravity vector, $Pr = v/\alpha$ is the Prandtl number, and $Ra$ is the Rayleigh number defined as:

$$Ra = \frac{gH(t_h - t_c)H^3}{\nu^2 \rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The other parameter which enters into this study is the dimensionless size of the heater:

$$E = \frac{L}{H} \hspace{1cm} 0.2 \leq E \leq 0.8$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The boundary conditions assumed are: (a) $T = 1$ and $\mathbf{V} = 0$ at the heated surface; (b) $T = 0$ and $\mathbf{V} = 0$ at the cooled surface; and (c) $\partial T/\partial n = 0$ and $\mathbf{V} = 0$ at the adiabatic surfaces, where $n$ denotes the normal to the surface.

The initial conditions assumed are fluid at rest, i.e., $\mathbf{V} = 0$, and uniform temperature $T = 0$ throughout the whole cavity.

COMPUTATIONAL PROCEDURE

The set of governing equations (1)-(3) with the boundary conditions stated above is solved through a control-volume formulation of the finite-difference method. The pressure-velocity coupling is handled by the SIMPLE-C algorithm introduced by Van Doormaal and Raithby [15], which is essentially a more implicit variant of the SIMPLE algorithm developed by Patankar and Spalding [16]. The QUICK discretization scheme proposed by Leonard [17] is used for the evaluation of the interface fluxes. A second-order backward scheme is used for time stepping. Starting from the assigned initial fields of the dependent variables across the cavity, at each time-step the discretized governing equations are solved iteratively through a line-by-line application of the Thomas algorithm, enforcing under-relaxation to ensure convergence.

Details on the SIMPLE procedure, as well as on enhanced variants of the basic algorithm, may be found in Patankar [18, 19].

The computational spatial domain is covered with a nonuniform grid, having a higher concentration of grid lines near the boundary walls and both ends of the heat source, and a uniform spacing throughout the remainder interior of the cavity. Time discretization is chosen uniform. Within each time-step, the spatial solution is considered to be converged when the maximum absolute values of both the mass source and the relative changes of the dependent variables at any grid-node from iteration to iteration are smaller than the prescribed values, i.e., $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-5}$ respectively. Time-integration is
stopped once steady-state is reached. This means that the simulation procedure ends when the relative difference between the incoming and outgoing heat transfer rates, and the relative changes of the time-derivatives of the dependent variables at any grid-node between two consecutive time-steps, are smaller than the pre-set values, i.e., $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$, respectively. Actually, a limited number of configurations related to high Prandtl and Rayleigh numbers are featured by unsteady non-periodic solutions, as it will be discussed later in more detail.

After convergence is attained, the average Nusselt numbers $\text{Nu}_H$ and $\text{Nu}_C$ of the heated and cooled boundaries, respectively, are calculated:

\[
\text{Nu}_H = \frac{h_H H}{k} = \frac{Q_{in} H}{k L (t_H - t_C)} = \frac{1}{E} \cdot \frac{Q_{in}}{k (t_H - t_C)} = \frac{1}{E} \cdot \frac{Q_{in}}{k (t_H - t_C)} = (6)
\]

\[
\text{Nu}_C = \frac{h_C H}{k} = \frac{Q_{out} H}{2 k H (t_C - t_H)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{Q_{out}}{k (t_C - t_H)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{Q_{out}}{k (t_C - t_H)} = (7)
\]

where $h_H$ and $h_C$ are the average coefficients of convection of the heated and cooled boundary surfaces, respectively, and $Q_{in}$ and $Q_{out}$ are the overall incoming and outgoing heat transfer rates, respectively. The temperature gradients at any boundary surface are evaluated through a second-order profile among each wall-node and the next corresponding two fluid-nodes. Of course, since at steady-state the incoming and outgoing heat transfer rates must be the same, i.e., $Q_{in} = -Q_{out} = Q$, in all the steady-state solutions the following relationship between $\text{Nu}_H$ and $\text{Nu}_C$ holds:

\[
\frac{\text{Nu}_H}{\text{Nu}_C} = \frac{2}{E}
\]

Tests on the dependence of the results on both grid-size and time-step have been performed for several combinations of the independent variables $E$, $Pr$ and $Ra$. The optimal grid-size and time-step used for computations, which represent a good compromise between solution accuracy and computational time, are such that further refinements do not yield for noticeable modifications neither in the temperature nor in the flow field. Typically, the number of nodal points lies in the range between $30 \times 30$ and $80 \times 80$, and the time stepping lie in the range between $10^3$ and $10^4$. Full details on the code validation are discussed in [20].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Numerical simulations are performed for different values of (a) the dimensionless size of the heater $E$ in the range between $0.2$ and $0.8$, (b) the Rayleigh number $Ra$ in the range between $10^5$ and $10^6$, and (c) the Prandtl number $Pr$ in the range between $0.7$ and $700$.

A selection of local results is presented in Figs. 2 to 7, where isotherm contours are plotted for different sets of values of $E$, $Ra$ and $Pr$, in order to highlight the effects of any independent variables on the temperature and flow fields. In the isotherm plots, the contour lines correspond to equipartition values of the dimensionless temperature $T$ in the range between 0 and 1. In the streamline plots, the contour lines correspond to equipartition values of the normalized dimensionless stream function $\frac{|\Psi|}{|\Psi|_{max}}$ in the range between 0 and 1, where $\Psi$ is defined as usual by $U = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y}$ and $V = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X}$.

As expected, the flow field consists of a rotating cell, that moves downwards along the cooled sidewall and rises along the opposite sidewall after being heated in the middle of the bottom wall. The intensity of the fluid motion, which depends on the relative importance of the buoyancy driving force and the viscous force: (a) increases as the heated fraction of the bottom wall increases, which may be derived by comparing Figs. 2, 3, and 4; (b) increases as the Rayleigh number $Ra$ increases, which is evident in Figs. 5, 6, and 7; (c) decreases as the Prandtl number $Pr$ increases, although it slightly affects the overall heat transfer performances.

For thick liquids, at high Rayleigh number, i.e. $10^6 - 10^7$, the low pattern changes into a two superimposed counter-rotating cells, as highlighted by the streamline plots in Figs. 8 and 9. These configurations, whose solutions at a given time are delineated by dashed lines, are not steady, with non-periodic cyclic fluctuations , as shown in Fig. 10, where six snapshots taken at same $\Delta t = 0.02$ are reported, for $E = 0.8$, $Ra = 10^6$, and $Pr = 7$.

The local Nusselt number $(\text{Nu}_H(X)) = \frac{x}{\partial T}{\partial T}$ along the heater is higher toward the cold sidewall, decreasing very steeply as one moves towards the adiabatic sidewall, as shown in Fig. 11 for $Pr = 7$, $E = 0.6$ and $Ra = 10^5$ to $10^6$, and Fig. 12 for $Ra = 10^5$, $E = 0.6$ and $Pr = 0.7$ to 700.

As far as the overall results are concerned, the heat transfer performance of the whole cavity is expressed in terms of $\text{Nu}_C$, which is considered more appropriate to this purpose than $\text{Nu}_H$. In fact, as said above, once $Ra$ is assigned, the amount $Q$ of heat transferred across the enclosure increases with increasing the heated fraction $E$ of the bottom endwall. Correspondingly, a Nusselt number which would represent the thermal behavior of the cavity "at a glance" should increase with increasing $E$. On the other hand, according to eq. (6), it is $\text{Nu}_H \sim Q/E$. This means that $\text{Nu}_H$ may either increase or decrease with $E$, depending on whether $Q/E$ is positive or negative. In contrast, according to eq. (7), $\text{Nu}_C \sim Q$, which implies that $\text{Nu}_C$ unequivocally increases with $E$. For this same reason, the effectiveness of heat removal from the bottom surface is described through an alternative Nusselt number $\text{Nu}^*$ which uses $L$ instead of $H$ as characteristic length:
**Figure 2** Isotherms for \( Ra = 10^5 \), \( Pr = 0.7 \) and \( E = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 \)

**Figure 3** Isotherms for \( Ra = 10^5 \), \( Pr = 7 \) and \( E = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 \)

**Figure 4** Isotherms for \( Ra = 10^5 \), \( Pr = 700 \) and \( E = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 \)

**Figure 5** Isotherms for \( Pr = 0.7 \), \( E = 0.4 \) and \( Ra = 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6 \)
Figure 6  Isotherms for Pr = 7, E = 0.4 and Ra = 10^3, 10^4, 10^5,10^6

Figure 7  Isotherms for Pr = 700, E = 0.4 and Ra = 10^3, 10^4, 10^5,10^6

Figure 8  Streamlines for E = 0.4, Ra = 10^6 and Pr = 0.7, 2, 7, 700

Figure 9  Streamlines for E = 0.8, Ra = 10^6 and Pr = 0.7, 2, 7, 700
In fact, on account of eqs. (4)-(5) and (9), the following relationship holds:

$$Ra_L = Ra E^3 \quad (10)$$

Therefore, $Ra_L$ increases with $Ra$ and $E$, which is exactly what happens for the heat transfer rate across the cavity, as discussed earlier, thus implying that $Ra_L$ can be used for a more synthetic first-approach "description" of the problem treated here.
Indeed, the numerical results obtained for \( \text{Nu}^* \), and then for \( \text{Nu}_c = 0.5 \text{Nu}^* \), can be expressed by the following correlating equation:

\[
\text{Nu}^* = A \left( \frac{\text{Ra}}{\text{Pr} - 0.1} \right)^b
\]  \quad (11)

where

\[
A = -0.11 \cdot \log(\text{Ra}) + 1.31
\]  \quad (12)

\[
b = 0.02 \cdot \log(\text{Ra}) + 0.082
\]  \quad (13)

for \( 0.2 \leq E \leq 0.8, 0.7 \leq \text{Pr} \leq 700, \) and \( 10^4 \leq \text{Ra} \leq 10^7 \), with a 5.39\% standard deviation of error, and a \( \pm 10\% \) range of error with a 96\% level of confidence, as shown in Fig. 15.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Natural convection inside square enclosures, filled with both liquids and gases, discretely heated at the bottom and cooled from above and one side, has been studied numerically, for different values of the heater size, the Rayleigh number, and the Prandtl number.

It has been found that, as long as the flow field is steady, the flow structure is a single cell. At high Rayleigh numbers in thick liquids, an unsteady non-periodic counter-rotating cell appears just under the cold upper endwall. The intensity of the fluid motion, and then the consequent heat transfer rate across the enclosure, increases with increasing the heater size and the Rayleigh number, and decreases with increasing the Prandtl number, although it has little influence on the heat transfer performances.

Finally, the effectiveness of heat removal from the partially heated bottom wall is described better through a Nusselt number based on the length of the heater.
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