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Abstract

The structure–transport properties of mixed soft-segmented poly(urethane-imide) (MSPUI) membranes and their microstructures were investigated. Polypropylene glycol, polycaprolactone diol and bis(3-aminopropyl)-terminated polydimethylsiloxane were used as the soft segments in the membrane synthesis via a three-step polymerization reaction. The chemical structures of the MSPUI membranes were characterized using attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Morphology and surface properties of the membranes were studied using scanning electron and atomic force microscopy techniques. Surface energy measurements indicated the enrichment of the hydrophobic soft segment in the membranes. The amorphous nature of the polymers was analysed using wide-angle X-ray diffraction. The effect of morphology on the permeability and selectivity of the membranes is discussed. Finally, membrane structure–transport property relationships were correlated.
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INTRODUCTION

Mixed soft-segmented polymers are in great demand due to the possibility of having better control over properties for commercial applications. The soft segments in these polymers play an important role in determining the physical properties. The ability to tune the physical properties of polymeric membranes strongly depends on both the chemical structure and polar nature of the soft segments. Tereshatov et al.\textsuperscript{1} studied the properties of polyurethanes (PUs) containing polypropylene glycol (PPG) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) mixed soft segments. They reported that a higher PEG content increases the permeability of PU membranes without affecting the mechanical properties. PUs can be designed in such a way to have improved thermal and mechanical properties by changing the relative compositions of the soft segments.\textsuperscript{2,−6} The physical and chemical properties of PUs are directly related to the chemical composition of their backbones that are strongly dependent on the composition, type and molecular weight of the soft segments.

Generally, the character of the soft segments must be carefully adjusted to attain the required property profile for desired applications. To improve the physical properties, mixed or special types of polyols as soft segments were used in the preparation of PUs.\textsuperscript{7,−10} Separation of CO\textsubscript{2} is an emerging technology used to reduce the impact of fossil fuel combustion. Therefore, in the field of membrane technology, gas transport studies are undertaken with a clear idea to understand the transport behaviour of membranes. Recently, many researchers have explored the utility of organic–inorganic hybrid membranes, because of their extraordinary properties arising from the synergizing effect of both components, as promising systems for various applications.\textsuperscript{11−14} Membranes with inorganic fillers, like polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxone (POSS), embedded in polymer matrices have a great potential to provide high performance for gas separation, and also the preparation of these types of membranes has been found to be relatively easy.\textsuperscript{15} Further, the incorporation of nanosized inorganic particles in the membranes is one of the most interesting topics of research into gas-separation processes. This may be due to the incorporation of fillers by blending with polymers. This leads to the agglomeration of particles and formation of non-selective voids at the interface between the particles and the polymer matrix. Therefore, modification of fillers and matrices has become an expanding field of research, since the introduction of a variety of functional groups can improve the dispersion of fillers and change the chemical affinities of penetration in the membranes. Nanosized inorganic particles like POSS are reinforced with silica cages. POSS derivatives featuring Si–O linkages in the form of a cage present a silicon atom at each vertex, with substituents coordinating...
around the tetrahedral silicon vertices. POSS-embedded polymeric membranes show higher gas permeabilities but similar or even improved gas selectivities compared to the base polymeric membranes.\textsuperscript{16−21}

For the reasons mentioned above, we have designed mixed soft-segmented poly(urethane-imide) (MSPUI)−POSS membranes to determine the separation properties of gases. In the study reported in the present paper, four kinds of poly(urethane-imide)−POSS membranes with PPG or polycaprolactone diol (PCL) or bis(3-aminopropyl)-terminated polydimethylsiloxane (APPDMS) were synthesized. The main objective of the work was to improve the permeability, selectivity and thermal stability of the newly synthesized mixed soft-segmented polymeric membranes. The mixed soft-segmented PU membranes MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 showed higher selectivity than PUI membranes because of the dense packing of polymer matrices as a result of hydrogen bonds between ether/ester and urethane linkages in the membranes.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Heptacyclopentyl tricycloheptasiloxane triol (Cy-POSS) was synthesized in our laboratory, the experimental details having been given in our previous report.\textsuperscript{22} Hexamethylene diisocyanate (HMDI; Merck, 95\%) was used as received. Bis(hydroxyalkyl)-terminated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; $T_m = 5600$ g mol\(^{-1}\); Aldrich, 99\%), 4,4′-(hexafluoroisopropylidene)diphenyllic dianhydride (6FDA; Aldrich, 99\%), PPG ($T_m = 4000$ g mol\(^{-1}\)), PCL ($T_m = 1000$ g mol\(^{-1}\)) and APPDMS ($T_m = 2500$ g mol\(^{-1}\); Aldrich, 99\%) were purified under vacuum. Dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL; Aldrich, 95\%) and tetrahydrofuran (THF; Rankem) were distilled before use. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade and were used as received.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy was performed using an MB 3000 FTIR spectrometer (PIKE Technologies), equipped with an ATR Smart Avatar Miracle attachment (zinc selenide crystal). The measurements were conducted at 2 cm\(^{-1}\) nominal resolution and 20 scans were recorded per spectrum from 600 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). The data were collected and analysed using GRAMS AI 7.0 software from the manufacturer.

Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA of the samples was performed with a TGA Q50-TA (PerkinElmer) instrument at a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) up to 800 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The weight of the samples was ca 10 mg.

Scanning electron microscopy

SEM analysis was performed using a JEOL 400 microscope. Samples were prepared by cutting a 1 mm thick cross-section from a coated membrane using a diamond-wafering saw. This section was then cut into a small arc-shaped specimen of approximately 3 mm in length. The arc-shaped specimen was then placed on an aluminium SEM stub with carbon tape so that the coated surface of the membrane was pointing upwards. SEM images were obtained from the flat surface of the hybrid membranes.

Atomic force microscopy

AFM images were obtained using a Nanoscope III multimode atomic force microscope (Digital Instruments Inc.) in air, using the contact mode. A triangular Si$_3$N$_4$ NP probe (Veeco Instruments) with a nominal cantilever spring constant of 0.12 N m\(^{-1}\) and nominal frequency of 20 kHz was used. The tip height was 200 µm, with a nominal radius of 20 nm.

Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD)

WAXD patterns were obtained using an XRD-6000 X-ray diffractometer (Shimadzu, Japan) with a Cu target (40 kV, 15 mA) at a scanning rate of 88° min\(^{-1}\).

Surface energy measurements

The surface energy of the synthesized MSPUI membranes was measured using polar and non-polar solvents. The contact angles were measured at ambient temperature with the sessile drop method\textsuperscript{23} using a camera mounted on a microscope to record the drop image. A Digidrop (GBX) model goniometer was used with Windrop software. Double-distilled water and n-heptadecane were used as solvents for these studies. An average of the results obtained from three experiments was recorded for contact angle measurements.

Permeation measurements

Pure gas permeation properties of the MSPUI membranes were determined utilizing a variable pressure/constant volume apparatus. The upstream pressure was varied from 1 to 4 atm (101 to 405 kPa), while the downstream pressure was maintained at atmospheric pressure. The gas flow rates were calculated with a soap-film bubble flow meter. The temperature was maintained at 32 (±1) °C. The detailed experimental procedure has been described by Madhavan and Reddy.\textsuperscript{24}

Synthesis of prepolyurethane (PPU)

A predetermined quantity of OH:NCO groups (1:2 mol ratio) was placed in a beaker and an appropriate amount of THF solvent and two drops of DBTDL catalyst were added. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at 30 °C under nitrogen gas. Four PPU with different formulations were prepared. The experimental details are given in Table 1 and the synthetic strategy is shown in Scheme 1 (I).

Synthesis of prepolyurethane (PPU)

PPI containing a known quantity of terminal NCO groups and a measured quantity of PPI were placed in a 100 mL three-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a stirrer and spiral condenser with drying tube and were refluxed at 90 °C for 6 h (until complete evolution of CO\(_2\)). The detailed chemical compositions of PUI and MSPUIs are given in Table 1. Then, the resulting viscous solution was transferred to a Teflon-coated Petri dish and was kept overnight at 30 °C. In the dried membranes were kept in a hot-air oven at 150 °C for 7 h to remove the traces of THF. The synthetic route adopted is shown in Scheme 1 (A + I).
Scheme 1. Synthesis of mixed soft-segmented poly(urethane-imide)–polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane membranes.

Table 1. Chemical compositions of PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PPU (wt%)</th>
<th>HMDI</th>
<th>PDMS</th>
<th>PPG</th>
<th>PCL</th>
<th>APPDMS</th>
<th>PPI (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPUI-1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPUI-2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPUI-3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

Figure 1 shows the ATR-FTIR spectra of the MSPUI hybrid materials containing POSS and different soft segments (MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3). The appearance of stretching vibrations at 3315 and 1726 cm\(^{-1}\) for N–H groups and carbonyl groups, respectively, confirms the presence of urethane moieties.

All the hybrids are characterized by the characteristic bands at 1725 and 1777 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to the symmetric and asymmetric stretching of imide C=O groups. A shoulder peak at 1710 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the hydrogen bonded urethane C=O. Figure 1 shows the characteristic C–N stretching vibrations of imide at 1385 and 1244 cm\(^{-1}\). Coleman \textit{et al.}\(^{25}\) and Zharkov \textit{et al.}\(^{26}\) reported that the carbonyl moiety of the urethane group associates with the ether group of the soft segment domains or at the interface shown by the absorption band at 1729 or 1733 cm\(^{-1}\). The broad band at 1116 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the stretching vibration of Si–O–Si groups of PDMS/POSS and the band at 1027 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the stretching vibration of C–O–C groups of PPG. The spectrum of the MSPUI-2 membrane shows a sharp absorption peak at 1690 cm\(^{-1}\) for (ester) C=O bond. The disappearance of the characteristic peak for isocyanate groups around 2250–2275 cm\(^{-1}\) confirms the completion of the crosslinking reaction between the macromers and the isocyanate groups.
TGA of PUI and MSPUIs

The thermal stability of PUI and MSPUI (MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3) membranes were investigated using TGA. The plots are shown in Fig. 2. The TGA curve of PUI (single soft segment) is compared with those of MSPUIs (mixed soft segments). The mixed soft-segment-based PU containing APPDMS, namely MSPUI-1, is found to have improved thermal stability compared to MSPUI-2 containing PCL and MSPUI-3 containing PPG as soft segments. This may be due to the incorporation of thermally stable siloxane segments in the membranes. The thermal stability of MSPUI-2 is higher than that of MSPUI-3 due to the stronger interaction between the ester group of PCL and the –NH of the urethane group. The proper introduction of PPG or PCL or APPDMS into PUI matrices clearly indicates that their thermal stabilities mainly depend on the phase separation of PDMS with APPDMS or polyether (PPG) or polycaprolactone (PCL). It is also observed that the thermal stability is higher for PUI containing siloxane when compared to the other MSPUIs reported here. The TGA results indicate that the thermal stability of the single-segmented and mixed soft-segmented PUIs are similar up to 350 °C. The 50% thermal decomposition of the polymeric membranes is given in Table 2.

WAXD of PUI and MSPUIs

The WAXD patterns of PUI and MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 hybrid membranes containing POSS and mixed soft segments are shown in Fig. 3. Here, broad diffraction intensities are observed around 2θ = 15–17°, which is due to the amorphous soft segments present in the matrices. A halo peak in the range 2θ = 21.2–23.4° reflects the amorphous PU groups present in the membrane matrix. After hybridization, the crystalline peaks of bulky POSS groups are absent in the patterns of the membrane matrices. This shows that the membranes are highly amorphous in nature.

Bragg’s equation was used to calculate the interchain distance in the amorphous systems by measuring θ at maximum intensity in the scattering region. The WAXD peaks in amorphous polymer spectra are frequently used to estimate the average interchain spacing distance. The first d-spacing values are found to be 5.6, 5.62, 5.76 and 5.92 Å for PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3, respectively. The second d-spacing values observed for the hybrids are found to be 4.08, 4.04 and 3.97 Å for MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3, respectively. A significant change in the interchain distance is observed as a result of introducing various soft segments, APPDMS, PCL and PPG, into the hybrid membranes.

SEM analysis

The microphase-separated morphologies of PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 hybrid membranes were studied using SEM analysis.
Figure 4. SEM images of PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 membranes.

SEM images are shown in Fig. 4. The hybrids MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 show interesting, more heterogeneous phase-separated morphologies and become dense and smooth by the aggregation of POSS nanomaterial. Aggregation is observed on the surface of the membranes due to the retardation of the mobility of the soft segments and POSS. The amount of phase separation for the synthesized MSPUI-3 based on PPG is more than that for MSPUI-2 based on PCL. This may be due to the different structures of PCL and PPG chains. The presence of methyl groups in the side chains of PPG prevents chain mobility and therefore increases the microphase separation compared to the PCL chains. The greater phase separation in the morphology of PPG-based PU, compared to the other PCL-, PDMS- and APPDMS-based MSPUIs, is observed in AFM analysis. Similar observations were reported by Srividhya and Reddy. This reveals that the highly hydrophobic nature and low surface energy of POSS molecules mainly contribute to the formation of POSS aggregates on the surface of the hybrid membranes. The phenomena of urethane/imide aggregation and microphase separation are possibly due to the incompatibility between the polar groups (urethane and imide groups) and the hydrophobic PDMS, APPDMS and POSS. In the phase images, the light regions correspond to the hard-phase material (POSS) and the darker regions correspond to the soft-phase material (PDMS, PCL, PPG). From the AFM analysis of the membranes, visual evidence for the appearance of microphase-separated structures is observed.

Surface free energy of hybrid membranes

The surface energy of the hybrid membranes was calculated and the results are listed in Table 2. PUI shows the highest surface energy of 39.21 mN m$^{-1}$ because of the presence of single soft segment of siloxane. MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 show surface energies of 37.09, 36.36 and 35.71 mN m$^{-1}$, respectively. This may be due to organic hydrocarbons such as PPG or PCL which are separated on the surface of the hybrid membranes. This confirms that the increase in the surface energy correspondingly decreases the phase separation of the mixed soft-segment membranes; the SEM results support this observation. Lin et al. and Liu et al. reported similar observations. As observed from the AFM images, the surfaces of MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 are distorted on changing the soft segments.

Effect of different soft segments on permeability of PUI and MSPUI hybrid membranes

The $N_2$, $O_2$ and $CO_2$ gas permeability of PUI and MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 hybrid membranes is given in Table 3. The permeability behaviour of the MSPUIs is dependent on the type of soft segments present in the polymer matrix. The
PPG-based membrane (MSPUI-3) has a lower permeability than the other polymeric membranes. Similar permeability changes were reported by Wolinska-Grabczyk and Jankowski. The PUI membrane shows a higher permeability coefficient than MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3. Based on this observation, it is predicted that the penetrant molecules diffuse more favourably through the PDMS and APPDMS soft-segmented membranes. The higher permeability of PUI may be due to the presence of POSS and PDMS in the polymer matrix. This leads to an increase in the chain gap for better penetration of gas molecules, thereby acting as molecular spacers and chain stiffeners in the polymer. The SEM and AFM images confirm the protrusion of one phase and the surface roughness in the mixed soft-segmented membranes. This could be attributed to the existence of non-compatible phases. The permeability of CO₂ gas is quite different, and increases with increasing pressure as evident from Table 3. This may be due to the more condensable nature of CO₂ gas molecules.

**Selectivity of PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 hybrid membranes**

The O₂/N₂ and CO₂/N₂ gas-pair selectivities of membranes containing various types of soft segments under 1–4 atm (101–105 kPa) pressure are given in Table 4. The gas-pair selectivities of PUI, MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 membranes are found to increase from 1.82 to 2.30 for O₂/N₂ and from 8.66 to 11.10 for CO₂/N₂.
at 1 atm. The O2/N2 gas-pair selectivity of the PUI membrane is lower than that of the other MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 hybrid membranes. The PDMS in the PUI membrane leads to less control of sieving small gases such as N2 and O2. The mixed soft-segmented MSPUI-1, MSPUI-2 and MSPUI-3 membranes show higher selectivity than the PUI membrane which indicates closer packing of polymer chain matrices as a result of hydrogen bonds between ether linkages of PPG or ester linkages of PCL and urethane linkages in the membranes.

CONCLUSIONS

A facile synthesis of mixed soft-segmented PUI–POSS membranes bearing different soft segments, i.e. APPDMS, PCL and PPG, was carried out. The influence of the mixed soft segments and POSS on the thermal and morphological properties was investigated using TGA, SEM, AFM and WAXD. The microscopic analysis of the MSPUIs confirmed the occurrence of phase separation of PCL in MSPUI-2 and of PPG in MSPUI-3. The surface energy estimation clearly showed the presence of hydrophobic groups on the surface of all the systems. The gas permeability and selectivity were considerably dependent on its change of morphology as well as on its complex molecular arrangement. This suggested that the synthesized polymeric membranes displayed considerable improvement in thermal and transport properties compared with the PU control.
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APPENDIX: LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

6FDA 4,4’-(hexafluoroisopropylene)diphthalic dianhydride
AFM atomic force microscopy
APPDMS bis(3-aminopropyl)-terminated polydimethylsiloxane
ATR-FTIR attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
DBTDL dibutyltin dilaurate
HMDI hexamethylene diisocyanate
MSPUI mixed soft-segmented polyurethane-imide
PCL polycaprolactone diol
POSS polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane
PPG polypropylene glycol
SEM scanning electron microscopy
TGA thermogravimetric analysis
WAXD wide-angle X-ray diffraction
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