ABSTRACT
A planar impinging air jet was experimentally investigated, with passive flow control as means of enhancement of impingement heat/mass transfer. The control was achieved by means of an array of small cylinders, fixed at the nozzle lips so as to bridge the nozzle exit gap. Experiments used mainly the naphthalene sublimation technique, with the resultant local mass transfer coefficient converted to prediction of the corresponding heat transfer by means of the heat/mass transfer analogy. Also measured were the local velocities. The experiment revealed the expected spatially periodical character of the flow field and heat/mass transfer distributions. To quantify the heat/mass transfer effects, three procedures are proposed. Compared with the standard planar impinging jet at the optimal nozzle-to-wall distance, the enhancement of the average heat/mass transfer was demonstrated to reach up to 8%.

INTRODUCTION
Fluid jets impinging on surfaces (IJs) are frequently used to achieve very intensive heat and mass transfer in many industrial applications such as cooling, heating and drying. The subject has been studied quite extensively, the majority of the references focusing on the continuous (steady) IJs case – see [1–9].

Although the continuous IJs can achieve a very high heat transfer rate, effects of unsteadiness can intensify the transport processes to even higher levels. For implementation of the unsteadiness, various methods of passive or active flow control can be used – see, e.g., Gad-el-Hak, [10]. The present study focuses on the passive flow control (sometimes called flow management rather than flow control – Fiedler and Fernholz, [11]). The advantage of the passive methods is that they require no auxiliary power – their action being based only on a purposeful modification of the nozzle geometry.

To generate a pulsatile flow character using a part of the power carried by the supplied fluid, various no-moving-part fluidic alternators can be used – see Tesář [12], and Seifert and Pastuer [13]. Well known are fluidic actuators generating jet flapping. They can be designed either with an external feedback loop (Viets [14], Raman et al. [15], Camci and Herr [16], Tesář et al. [17, 18]) or with an internal feedback action (Mi et al., [19]). The latter may be particularly effective if they utilise the intrinsic instability of shear flows, leading to self-sustained oscillation (e.g. by Nathan et al. [20] and Page et al. [21]).

NOMENCLATURE

\[ \begin{align*}
  b & \quad [m] \quad \text{jet nozzle width, see Fig. 1} \\
  B_0 & \quad \text{blockage ratio of the nozzle by the control cylinders, } B_0 = nd/S \\
  CSN & \quad \text{slot nozzle equipped with an array of the cross cylinders, see Fig. 1} \\
  d & \quad [m] \quad \text{diameter of the control cylinder, see Fig. 1} \\
  D_a & \quad [m^3/s] \quad \text{mass diffusion coefficient of naphthalene vapor in air} \\
  k & \quad [W/(m K)] \quad \text{local heat transfer coefficient} \\
  h_a & \quad [m/s] \quad \text{local mass transfer coefficient} \\
  H & \quad [m] \quad \text{nozzle-to-wall spacing, see Fig. 1} \\
  I & \quad \text{impinging jet} \\
  k & \quad [W/(m K)] \quad \text{thermal conductivity of the working fluid (air)} \\
  n & \quad \text{number of the control cylinders, see Fig. 1} \\
  N_a & \quad \text{local Nusselt number, } h_b/D_a \\
  N_{b0} & \quad \text{local Sherwood number} \\
  \nu & \quad \text{Prandtl number} \\
  Q & \quad [m^3/s] \quad \text{volume flow rate, measured by an orifice plate in the air supply} \\
  Re & \quad \text{Reynolds number of the plane jet, } U_s b/\nu \\
  Re_d & \quad \text{Reynolds number based of flow around the control cylinder, } U_s b/\nu \\
  S & \quad [m] \quad \text{test section span, see Fig. 1} \\
  Sc & \quad \text{Schmidt number for naphthalene vapor in air} \\
  Sh & \quad \text{local Sherwood number, } h_b/D_a \\
  Sh_0 & \quad \text{stagnation Sherwood number} \\
  SN & \quad \text{baseline slot nozzle} \\
  t & \quad [s] \quad \text{time} \\
  T_w & \quad [K] \quad \text{wall temperature} \\
  U_d & \quad [m/s] \quad \text{area-averaged nozzle exit velocity, } U_d = Q/[bS(1-B_0)] \\
  u & \quad [m/s] \quad \text{magnitude of the local velocity} \\
  x, y, z & \quad [m] \quad \text{Cartesian coordinates, see Fig. 1} \\
  \Delta \rho & \quad [Pa] \quad \text{pressure drop on the nozzle} \\
  \rho & \quad [kg/m^3] \quad \text{density} \\
  \nu & \quad [m^2/s] \quad \text{kinematic viscosity}
\end{align*} \]
The present paper focuses on the passive control method using vortex shedding from an obstacle inserted into the jet flow. In other words, the steady IJ is actuated by the periodic wake formed downstream from a bluff body in the jet. Several examples of this method can be found in literature. For an axisymmetric geometry, Herwig et al. [22] designed and experimentally tested an actuator producing three different variants of self-sustained periodical IJs. One of the arrangements (named the “Karman jet nozzle” [22]) used an axisymmetric nozzle with a ring obstacle inserted into the nozzle exit. Experiments verified the desirable heat transfer augmentation. A slight increase of the Nusselt number was at the stagnation point in the center, where the evaluated maximum increment effect was 10–15% – under the conditions of small nozzle-to wall distances (H/D = 2) and high Reynolds number (Re = 22000). The results were attributed to the unsteadiness involving additional turbulence generation in the nozzle exit. It has to be noted that the blockage of the nozzle exit produced a 13% increase of the remaining area-averaged nozzle exit velocity, when keeping constant volume supply flow rate. However the power increase necessary to overcome this blockage was not discussed in [22].

A plane jet with a small circular cylinder placed into the potential core of the jet was investigated by Hsiao et al. [23]. They made hot-wire anemometric measurements, having focused their attention on fluid dynamics of the jet-on-cylinder impingement, shear layer instability, and cylinder wake shedding. A self-sustained oscillation was generated by these interactions. The oscillation frequency (with nearly constant Strouhal number value 0.2) was concluded to be the result of the feedback mechanism and hydrodynamic instability.

Haneda et al. [24] proposed another method for generation of unsteadily impinging two-dimensional jets. In their layout an elastically supported bluff body was kept in self-sustained mechano-fluidic oscillation by the action of the cross flow. The body was a small circular cylinder positioned into the jet potential core. The cylinder could be supported either rigidly or flexibly. A rigidly suspended cylinder was found to deteriorates the heat transfer at the stagnation point location, while an enhancement occurred outside of the stagnation region. A flexible (spring-loaded) suspension of the cylinder caused an additional enhancement effect. The interaction between the vortex shedding from the cylinder and the supporting spring mechanism induced cross-stream oscillation of the cylinder, causing an effective actuation of the main jet flow. Under small nozzle-to wall distances H/b = 3–5, the stagnation Nusselt number was enhanced by about 20% compared to the reference IJ without the cylinder.

Another interesting effect, which is found to result from an insertion of an obstacle into IJ [25], is the bistable and hysteretic behavior. The bistability is defined as the lack of unicity of the relevant solutions of the governing equations, with existence of two stable steady states. The boundary conditions alone cannot determine uniquely which of these two states will take place. The character of the flow depends on the previous history of the changes of variables, and the relationship between the variables forms the so called hysteretic loop. The bistability of IJs occurs when the recirculation bubble of the separated flow downstream from the obstacle is comparable in size with the nozzle-to-wall spacing so that the separation bubble is influenced by the presence of the impinging wall. Two typical cases are the axisymmetric and the planar IJs. The former case is the annular IJ [26–35], the latter case is the dual-jet impingement generated by the two-slot nozzle [36–38].

In the axisymmetric geometry case, Maki and Yabe [26] experimentally investigated an annular IJ, and identified four flow regimes of the flow field. Three of these regimes were characterized as a recirculating unsteady flow. The other experimental study of the same authors [27], based on the hot-wire and naphthalene experiments and pressure distribution measurements, have revealed three flowfield patterns. However, no hysteresis was identified by Maki and Yabe [26, 27]. On the other hand, bistability and associated hysteretic character of an annular IJ was predicted by means of numerical simulations by Kokoshima et al. [28]. A hysteretic behavior of annular IJs known to be present in swirling jets and tornadoes were described, e.g., by Shtern and Hussain [29, 30], and Vanierschot and Van den Bulck [31].

Tesař and Trávníček in [32] discussed annular impinging jets by analyzing the results of numerical flowfield computations, in which five different flow regimes were identified at different wall-to-nozzle distances. Bistability of the demarcation boundary between two of these regimes was demonstrated. However, no hysteresis was identified in the flows with the used geometry.

Bistable annular IJs under an active flow control were studied by Peszyński [33] and Trávníček et al. [34]. The hysteretical behavior was identified. Unfortunately, in this case it was an unwanted effect because it complicated controllability of the flow. Therefore, passive flow control was used by which the hysteresis was completely suppressed [34].

Another variant of an annular impinging jet was investigated experimentally by means of mass transfer (naphthalene sublimation) and wall pressure measurements by Trávníček and Tesař in [35]. The existence of the bistable and hysteretic behavior was confirmed. While a flow pattern A was characterized by small size of the recirculation bubble of the separated flow immediately downstream from the nozzle, the other pattern B exhibited a large recirculation region of the separated flow, reaching up to the impingement wall.

For the planar geometry, the phenomena of bistability and hysteresis of IJs were investigated experimentally by Trávníček and Křížek [36]. They measured a two-dimensional IJ issuing from a two-slot nozzle, i.e. from a slot divided into two by a central inserted fixed obstacle. An advanced variant of this geometry was studied by Trávníček and Maršík [37], and the hysteretic behavior was identified at a relatively large range of the nozzle-to wall-distances 6.5b–10.0b (where b is the sum of two widths of both nozzle halves).

Akiyama et al. [38] investigated the flow field and heat transfer in another variant of the dual-jet impingement, incorporating a periodic injection-suction forcing at the nozzle lips. They chose for their tests a rather small nozzle-to-wall distance, H/b = 2, and therefore they could not find the hysteresis and bistability. Obviously, they focused on the
EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND METHODS

Figure 1 shows the schematic view of the configuration tested in the present study. Experiments were conducted with air as the working fluid. The air was supplied by blowers (driven by 2 kW electric motor with speed control) and passed through an orifice plate used for flow rate measurement, into the large settling chamber. This was shaped as a vertical cylinder of 1 m x 1 m diameter x length size. The air then passed through series of screens and the honeycomb before entering the test section through the investigated nozzle. Figure 1 shows the nozzle, having the exit width \( b = 10.0 \) mm while the length, equal to the width of the test chamber downstream, was \( S = 130 \) mm. This means the nozzle aspect ratio was \( S/b = 13.0 \). This simple baseline slot nozzle is referred to by the abbreviation SN.

In the nozzle version for the passive flow control, there were fixed at the nozzle lips an array of \( n = 6 \) control cylinders (of 2.0 mm diameter each) spanning the exit gap at equidistant spacings 20 mm – see Fig. 1. The blockage of the baseline SN caused by the presence of these control cylinders was \( B_N = n d/S (= 9.2\%) \). The nozzle equipped with this array of cylinders is referred to by the abbreviation CSN.

A plane impingement wall was inserted opposite to the nozzle exit. The wall was the top surface of naphthalene plate as described below. To ensure nominal two-dimensionality, the test chamber was bounded at the front and rear by the pair of identical Perspex endwalls, seen in Fig. 1. Because of this arrangement, the entrainment from the ambient atmosphere could occur only in the direction normal to the nozzle lips. The endwalls covered the region from \( x = -200 \) mm to \( x = +200 \) mm. Shown in Fig. 1 is also the used \( x, y, z \) coordinate system.

The mean nozzle velocity \( U_N \) was evaluated from the volume flow rate \( Q \), measured by the above mentioned orifice plate, as \( U_N = Q/\left[bS(1-B_N)\right] \). Obviously, the velocity of the baseline SN without blockage was \( U_N = Q/bS \). The Reynolds number of the jet flow was defined as \( Re = U_N b/\nu \), where \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity of air. The Reynolds number based on the control cylinder is defined as \( Re_d = U_N b/\nu \). The investigated nozzle-to-wall distance was mostly \( H = 10.0 b \). It should be noted that this is the optimal value of \( IJ \) for the baseline SN (Martin [4]). The range of the Reynolds numbers was \( Re = 13300–20400 \) and \( Re_d = 2700–4100 \).

Experiments were performed inside the test chamber: width 1.3 m x depth 0.8 m x height 1.8 m. The main part of the present experimental investigations was made by the naphthalene sublimation method. This is the reason why the test chamber space was well ventilated to outside atmosphere. Measurements of the local velocity were performed by means of the three-hole cylindrical probe of 2.3 mm diameter, connected to an electronic manometer. Moreover, the pressure

![Figure 1](image-url)
The drop $\Delta \rho$ on the SN and CSN was measured by means of another electronic manometer.

Distribution of the local mass transfer coefficient was measured using the naphthalene sublimation method. The test specimen, produced by naphthalene casting, was of 100 mm x 420 mm active surface region. Following the descriptions by Trávníček et al. [34] and Goldstein and Cho [39], the mass transfer coefficient is evaluated as

$$h_m = \rho_n R_n T_w \Delta y / \left( p_{sat} \Delta t \right),$$

where $\rho_n$ is the density of solid naphthalene, $R_n$ is the gas constant for naphthalene vapor, $T_w$ is the surface temperature, $\Delta y$ is the net local sublimation depth, $p_{sat}$ is the saturated vapor pressure of naphthalene at $T_w$ [38], and $\Delta t$ is the run duration.

The non-dimensional parameter used to characterize the mass transfer coefficient is the Sherwood number, $Sh = h_m b/D_n$, where $b$ is the nozzle exit width and $D_n$ is the mass diffusion coefficient of naphthalene vapor in air, calculated for measured temperature and pressure conditions [39]. Uncertainty analysis was performed according to Kline and McClintock’s [40] method for a single sample experiment. The uncertainty of the mass transfer coefficient and the Sherwood number was within 6% and 9%. A more detailed description of the experimental method and its uncertainties is available in Trávníček et al. [34].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first experimental investigations studied the properties of the baseline SN nozzle. Measurements of the velocity profiles in the exit of this nozzle have shown satisfactory uniformity. Presented in Fig. 2 is the time-mean velocity profile in the test section, measured by traversing the probe in the central plane III (as it is shown in Fig. 1). The otherwise practically constant velocity decreases – of course – in the boundary layers that developed on the Perspex endwalls.

The analogous velocity profiles obtained with CSN, presented also in Fig. 2, show the spatially periodic character of the velocity magnitude: the time-mean velocity is seen to decrease in the wakes downstream from each of the control cylinders (planes II). The flowfield in these wakes is of oscillatory character – in the turbulence there is a significant coherent component resulting from the vortex shedding from the cylinders. The Reynolds numbers and cylinder-to-wall distance are $Re = 18400–19100$, $Re_d = 3800$ and $H/b = 10$, i.e. $H/d = 50$.

The local time-mean mass transfer coefficient was evaluated by means of naphthalene sublimation experiments using Eq. (1). Following the heat/mass transfer analogy [4, 5, 39], the result was converted into the corresponding Nusselt number ratio $Nu/Pr = Sh/Sc^2$ to predict the heat transfer distribution. The exponent was taken to be $n = 0.4$ in this study, in agreement with [4, 5, 39].

Figure 3 presents an example of the transfer coefficient measurements with the baseline SN at the nozzle-to-wall spacing $H/b = 4$ and 10. The symmetry of the profiles indicates a very good symmetry of the whole flow field. The highest transfer rate values occur at the central stagnation line. For the larger $H/b = 10$, $Nu(x)$ is seen in Fig. 3 to decrease in a monotonous, “bell-shaped” manner with the distance from this line. For the smaller $H/b = 4$, the so-called “secondary peaks” of the heat transfer distribution occur distinctly at $x/b = 7–8$. These results agree well with the data in literature [1–7].

To verify the present method and setup, the maximum heat transfer rate at the central stagnation line is compared with three representative correlation equations as they were proposed by Korger and Křižek [1], Gardon and Akfirat [2], and Kumada and Mabuchi [3]. For the comparison purposes, the experimental correlation of [1] was modified (taking into account different Reynolds number definition and slightly different material properties) into the following form

$$Sh_0 = 0.679 \left( H/b \right)^{-0.66} Re^{0.66} Sc^{1/3},$$

which is valid in the range of $H/b = 8.5–40$ and $Re = 6400–38000$.

Table 1 compares the three above mentioned predictions of the stagnation heat/mass transfer with the present experimental result from Fig. 3 at $H/b = 10$ (the optimum for IJ from SN [4])

![Figure 2](image-url) Streamwise velocity component for a measurement along plane III at $H/b = 10$, and $Re = 18400$ (SN) and 19100 (CSN)

![Figure 3](image-url) Local heat/mass transfer distribution, baseline SN
and $Re = 18500$. The differences between the values $Sh/Sc^a = Nu/Pr^a$ of the correlation Eqs. (2), [2], and [3] and the present experiment are $\sim 5\%$, $+2\%$, and $+8\%$, respectively. This reasonable agreement indicates acceptability of the present method. Another verification based on the average heat/mass transfer is presented at the end of this article as Fig. (8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Comparison of the stagnation heat/mass transfer for $H/b = 10$ and $Re = 18500$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (2), modified from Korger &amp; Križek [1]</td>
<td>naphthalene sublimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardon &amp; Akfirat [2]</td>
<td>Garden gage (heat-flow transducer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumada &amp; Mabuchi [3]</td>
<td>naphthalene sublimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present experiment</td>
<td>naphthalene sublimation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next Fig. 4 shows the local heat/mass transfer distributions on the impingement wall obtained with the investigated CSN at $H/b = 10.0$ and $Re = 20000$. The results are shown in the shape of the three-dimensional projection of the ratio $Nu/Pr^a = Sh/Sc^a$ above the impingement wall. The highest heat/mass transfer rate occurs along the stagnation line $x = 0$. Moreover, the spanwise wavy character well corresponds to the spatially periodic character of the flow field (cf. Fig. 2) in this direction. The velocity decrease in the wake downstream from the control cylinder (plane II) qualitatively agrees with the decrease of the local heat/mass transfer there. To show this result in more detail, Fig. 5 presents the distribution of heat/mass transfer rate along the stagnation line (plane III) and along the parallel planes. This result confirms the findings from Fig. 4, i.e. the spatially periodic character in the spanwise direction (i.e. the direction of the nozzle slit length). Moreover, a non-monotonous character of the heat/mass transfer distribution in the cylinder wake along the plane II is revealed: instead of the simple local minimum at the plane II (as could be expected from a rough traversing grid in Fig. 4), the much finer traversing grid of Fig. 5 reveals a small local maximum there. It may indicate a complex character of flow around this place. It is possible to speculate that a saddle point and a reverse stagnation point (in terms of Maki and Yabe [26]) may occur near the plane II on the impingement wall. However, details of the surface streamline topology lay outside of the scope of the present study.

The impingement heat transfer obtained with CSN may be compared with the results of the baseline SN. For this reason, three cases of the baseline SN are considered, related to three comparison procedures A, B, and C. The comparisons are performed at:

A, identical mass flux, $Q\rho$
B, identical pumping power as the product of the volume flux and pressure drop, $Q\Delta p$
C, identical area-averaged nozzle exit velocity $U_N$

Note that the comparison procedure A is highly popular, see e.g. Herwig et al. [22] and Huang and El-Genk [41]. However, the present authors prefer the variant B, because it avoids too optimistic conclusions. The passive flow control devices in general increase the pressure drop, and keeping the constant mass flux (according to A) increases the pumping power. In other words, the passive flow control can yield seemingly enhancement effects, but the real effect is clouded by the penalty of the pumping power increase.

To advocate our approach and to elucidate the differences in the procedures A, B, and C, the following quantifications are made with all three procedures. The evaluation is performed for $Re = 20000$. For this reason, the original data from Fig. 5 for $Re = 19400$ were slightly corrected, based on the proportionality $Nu_0 \sim Re^{0.66}$ of Eq. (2), which results in the correction coefficient $(20000/19400)^{0.66} = 1.020$. Similar correction was applied to the present SN data ($Re = 18500$, Fig. 3) with three correction coefficients being 1.000, 1.037, and 1.066 for A, B and C procedure, respectively. The resultant comparison of the heat/mass transfer along the stagnation line (plane III) is shown in Fig. 6. The distribution relating to CSN varies in the oscillatory manner along the level relating to the
baseline SN. However, no significant enhancement effect is indicated there. This comparison is summarized in Table 2. The comparison procedures A, B, C yield a negligibly small average gain in the stagnation line: +3%, −1%, and −4%, respectively.

### Table 2 Comparison of heat/mass transfer at the stagnation line for the investigated CSN and baseline SN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Sh/Sc^{0.4}</th>
<th>Gain of CSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSN</td>
<td></td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>18500</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>19600</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>20400</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another presentation of the data from Fig. 4 is shown in Fig. 7. The results were corrected again for $Re = 20000$, and transformed into the three curves: the planes I and II represent an average of measurements along four of these planes, i.e. between the cylinders and in their wakes, respectively. The curve labeled AV in Fig. 7 represents the result of averaging across the entire span of the test section. For comparison purposes, Fig. 7 shows the heat/mass transfer distribution of the baseline SN from Fig. 3 (corrected into $Re = 19600$, which corresponds to the procedure B according to Table 2). Fig. 7 shows a slight enhancement effect, about 13% at $x/b = 2.6–2.8$.

To quantify the integral heat/mass transfer, the local distribution is integrated into the average one as

$$ Nu_L = \left( \frac{1}{L} \right) \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} Nu(x) \, dx. \quad (3) $$

Figure 8 compares the average heat/mass transfer of CSN and SN showing that the maximum gain is 8% at $x/b = 3.1–3.6$, as is illustrated by the two arrows. Note that the most optimistic comparison by the procedure A gives an even higher value of 12%.

It is worthy to note here that the comparison is made purposely at the nozzle-to-wall distance $H/b = 10$, which is the optimum value for the baseline SN – see Martin [4]. It is possible to evaluate whether the present passive control method can yield even higher heat transfer rate values at distances different from what is the optimal arrangement for the baseline SN.

To verify once more the present experimental method and setup, the comparison in Fig. 8 is completed by addition of the reference correlation equation by Martin [4]. The differences are satisfactorily small, with the maximum 9% at the lower border of the validity range of [4], $x/b = 4$. For higher $x/b$ the differences are smaller, though not negligible.
CONCLUSIONS
The planar impinging air jet was experimentally investigated. A passive flow control was applied in a form of an array of control cylinders inserted into the nozzle exit across the nozzle slit. The aim of the geometry modification was an enhancement of the impingement heat/mass transfer rate.

The present experiments demonstrate that the control cylinders generate an array of wakes. This causes a spatially periodic character of the flow field. Similarly, the impingement heat/mass transfer distribution is spatially periodic as well. To quantify the resultant enhancement effects, three procedures are proposed and discussed. They are based on the (A) identical mass flux, (B) identical pumping power (product of the volume flux and pressure drop), and (C) identical area-averaged nozzle exit velocity. The authors prefer B variant; the reasoning for this choice is presented.

The data show that the maximum enhancement of the average heat/mass transfer can reach the value 8% compared with the optimal baseline plane impinging jet at the identical pumping power.
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