Ozone Treatment of Alveolar Bone in the Cape Chacma Baboon Does Not Enhance Healing Following Trauma
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Abstract  In the international literature, the role of Ozone (O₃) in the advancement in alveolar bone healing in the absence of bone pathology was not tested before. The purpose of this study was to evaluate alveolar bone regeneration after a bone defect was created and treated with a single topical administration of O₃. Alveolar bone defects were created on five healthy chacma baboons. One side of the maxilla and mandible was topically treated with a single treatment of an O₂/O₃ mixture (3.5–4 % O₃), while the opposite sides were not treated and thus served as control. Regeneration was measured radiologically, using a standardized gray scale, as the increase in bone density in the treatment area at 3 and 6 weeks post-operative and was statistically analyzed using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). There were no significant differences in densities observed between the O₂/O₃ mixture treatment and the control (p > 0.05). A single O₃ treatment did not increase alveolar bone healing over a 3- and 6-week period in the mandible and the maxilla.
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Introduction

The use of ozone (O₃) in medicine and dentistry is well documented [1–6]. O₃ used for medicinal purposes consists of a mixture of pure oxygen (O₂ and pure O₃) in a ratio of 0.05–5 % of O₃ and 95–99.95 % of O₂ [1] and must be prepared and used immediately as the O₃ molecule is unstable [7] with a half-life of less than one minute in air [7]. O₃ can be administered topically or locally as a gas or as ozogenated water, olive oil or sunflower oil [8]. In some cases the O₃ is mixed with blood and the ozogenated blood then re-infused, i.e. a process called autohemotherapy [7]. O₃ is an intrinsically toxic gas with hazardous outcomes when used by laymen. This has led to a general antipathy towards O₃ therapy. Properly applied O₃ therapy, carried out by expert physicians, however, can be very useful as an alternative to conventional medicine where the latter is found to be inadequate [9].

Research in using O₃ in dentistry was mainly focused on its disinfectant properties and has been used in treating early carious lesions, in sterilization of restoration cavities before filling, in root canals and in the treatment of periodontal pockets [5] as well as to enhance epithelial wound healing in ulcerations and herpetic lesions [1]. It has also been used as a rinse for avulsed teeth and as a denture cleaner [10]. In maxillofacial and oral surgery, O₃ therapy was found to be beneficial in the treatment of refractory osteomyelitis in combination with antibiotic treatment, surgical interventions and hyperbaric oxygen [6]. Complete healing of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ), following bisphosphonate treatment, was achieved after a few applications of O₃ in combination with antibiotic therapy [11–14].
Patients with bilateral internal derangement of the temporomandibular joints were treated with O₃ gas injections in the joint, had a better clinical outcome than those who received non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and muscle relaxants [15]. The biological effects that O₃ has on blood cellular elements (erythrocytes, leucocytes, and platelets), and serum components (proteins, lipids, lipoproteins, glycolipids, carbohydrates, electrolytes) may be a contributing factor to its therapeutic value in patients with ONJ and or cases of multiple myeloma [16].

In a study using rats, hemostasis was achieved more rapidly when an O₂ and O₃ mixture was used in comparison with the use of only O₂ when an injury was inflicted. A visible membrane with the characteristics of a relatively firm fibrin layer consisting of a mixed clot formed within seconds on the surface of the blood following the application of the O₂ and O₃ mixture [17].

As the treatment mixture utilized on the baboons contains O₂ and O₃, the effect of O₂ on bone and wound healing needs to be considered. It is not yet certain that supplementary O₂ treatment on its own enhances wound healing and bone regeneration, although hyperbaric O₂ and topical O₂ therapy have been described to aid wound healing. However, there are no prospective case-controlled studies and the evidence as to the efficacy thereof is presently inconsistent [18, 19]. It has been suggested that O₂ is advantageous in wound healing in various ways, amongst others in the oxidative killing of bacteria, re-epithelialization, angiogenesis, and collagen synthesis [20], hence the application of O₂ as a therapeutic modality to aid wound healing. The understanding of how the different states of oxygenation (hypoxia, normoxia, and hyperoxia) affect wound healing and also during different stages of wound healing [21], the role of supplemental O₂ as an adjuvant therapy in wound healing remains to be clarified [18].

The paucity of evidence from the literature on the regenerative effect of O₃ therapy on the bone of the mandible and maxilla motivated this study.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and compare alveolar bone regeneration after a single topical treatment with O₃, since no evidence could be found that it was investigated.

**Material and Methods**

Test Animals and Surgical Procedures

The study was approved by the Animal Use and Care Committee of two universities (University of Pretoria and the North-West University), and a subcommittee of the Committee for Research Ethics and Integrity of the University of Pretoria (Registration number: H019-09).

Five healthy Chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*) were used. The animals were anaesthetized with intravenous ketamine hydrochloride (dose: ~10 mg/kg) to enable handling. In order to control homeostasis and pain in the surgical area, 1.8 ml (9 mg) bupivacaine with 0.5 % epinephrine 1:200,000 (as bitartrate) (Novocol Pharmaceutical of Canada, Inc. Cambridge, Ontario, Canada) was infiltrated in the area.

The premolar area on each side of the maxilla and mandible was surgically exposed. Three alveolar bone defects (3 mm deep) were created on each side of the mandible and maxilla using a 3 mm diameter trephine bur fitted onto a straight surgical hand piece connected to a surgical drilling unit. The distances between the three defects were 2 mm (Fig. 1). Twelve alveolar bone defects were created for each animal. Since there is no edentulous area in the *Papio ursinus*, the premolar area was selected due to the thickness of alveolar bone in this particular area. Care was taken not to involve adjacent teeth.

**Preparation and Application of Ozone**

Ultra-pure oxygen (>99.5 % O₂, BOC Special Products, Johannesburg, South Africa) was used to prepare ozone. The oxygen was passed through a dry glass-isolated alternating current corona discharge ozonizer as described [22]. The O₃ generator was developed and built by the School for Physics of the North-West University (USA patent 09/914,199). A UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech Ultrospec 3000) was used to determine the O₃-concentration by measuring the absorbance of the gas mixture at 254 nm. A specially designed quartz cell was used to monitor the O₃-concentration of the O₃/O₂-gas mixture.

![Fig. 1 Intra-operative procedure creating bony defects in the alveolar bone of the Chacma baboon](image-url)
mixture delivered in real time during exposure to the bone defect. In order to deliver the O$_3$ gas mixture to the bone defect, the end of a glass pipe was inserted into each site where the defect was created. O$_3$ concentrations varied between 75 and 84 g/ml (3.5–4.0 % O$_3$). Before the mucosal flaps were closed, each animal had a single exposure of O$_3$ gas for 60 s to the bone defects on one side only, either left or right of the mandible and maxilla. The opposing sides served as the controls.

Radiology

Radiographs were taken pre-operatively, immediately post-operative and again after 3 and 6 weeks post-operatively from each of the quadrants (Fig. 2a–d). In order to ensure reproducibility, the following method was used:

- A #21 mandibular disposable impression tray (Wright Cottrell Co., Kingsway West, West Dundee, Dundee) was cut into half to create two separate impression trays;
- The sectional tray was adjusted to fit on as many teeth as possible in a particular quadrant for each animal;
- A XPC-DS Digital Position System (Gendex, Lake Zurich, Illinois) bite block was secured on the tray with a commercially manufactured self-tapping screw and cyanoacrylate cement;
- An aluminum step wedge, used for all the radiographs, was made out of commercially available aluminum as a 3 x 6 mm strip. Three steps were made 2 mm wide and 1 mm deep;
- The aluminum step wedge was placed on the bite block, parallel to the censor and touching it. The censor was a Gendex Visualix EHD Digital intra-oral x-ray unit—size 1 (universal size) (KaVoDental, Gendex Imaging, Via A. Manzoni, 44, 20095 Cusano, Milanino) with 25.6 line pairs/mm;
- Two small holes to fit the wire of a small paperclip were drilled through the aluminum and into the bite block;
- Pieces of a paperclip were cut and glued into these holes. This method enabled repeated removal of the aluminum step wedge and replacement in the same position. The procedure was repeated for every bite block secured to a Dentsply-Rinn apparatus (Dentsply, Elgin, Illinois), consisting of a metal ring holder and plastic positioning ring.

In order to acquire images, the constructed film holder was loaded with laboratory putty (Coltene/Whaledent, Switzerland), a silicone base and polysiloxane activator and positioned in the quadrant to include as much alveolar bone as possible. While the laboratory putty was still in the soft stage of the setting process, an x-ray was taken to

Fig. 2 Radiographs taken pre-operatively (a), immediately post-operative (b) after 3 weeks (c) and 6 weeks post-operatively (d) from each of the quadrants
ensure that the sensor was correctly positioned (Fig. 3). The position of the ring on the holder, and the position in the bite block, was identical for all the radiographs. The method used is fully described [23]. A Planmeca Intra Wallmount x-ray unit was used to acquire the radiographs. The radiographs were acquired at 8 mA with 63 kV and an exposure time of 0.08 s. A Toshiba D-0711 SB x-ray tube was used and the focal spot was 0.7 x 0.7 mm, the focal distance for all the images was 110 mm. Radiological analysis was done using the Gendex VixWin Pro computer software which is designed to analyze and manipulate radiographs [23].

Radiological Analysis

Analysis of the radiographs was blind as none of the authors knew the identity of the animals, or which side of the mandible or maxilla was treated with O3. The code was only broken after the radiological analysis was completed. Sixteen radiographs were acquired per animal. They were imported into Adobe Photoshop (V6.0; Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA) for analysis. The pre-operative radiograph was displayed on the PC screen. The lasso tool of the program was used to select an area of interest on the step wedge (Fig. 4) and also on each of the alveolar defect areas (Fig. 5) on all the radiographs that were acquired post-operatively [23]. Before the gray scale values for each biopsy site were determined, the values of the selected images on the step wedge of the four images in a quadrant were standardized using the histogram, contrast and brightness tools of the Photoshop software [24]. This was done for all four radiographs that were acquired. Therefore, the gray scale values of all radiographs were comparable. The average gray scale value for each of the three bone defects on the image was determined and recorded and the percentage change in density for the different time intervals was calculated (Table 1) [23].

Statistical Analysis

A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test whether the O3 treated biopsy areas healed faster than the control areas. Results in the text are calculated as the mean ± 1SEM.

Results

The data was analysed using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) to compare the effect of the O3 treatment (treated vs. control) adjusting for the effect of pre-operative values on the measurement. The percent change in gray scale value was calculated as the decrease in density as a result of the surgical lesion and presented as a mean ± 1SEM. The rate of healing was calculated as: density at 3 or 6 weeks minus density post-biopsy divided by density pre-biopsy times 100. By using this approach, we minimized the pre-operative differences. The decrease in the directly post-operative gray scale value from the pre-operative value, as a result of the surgical defect, is about 50 % in all cases. There were no significant differences in alveolar bone density (p [ 0.05) between the treated and untreated values at 3 and 6 weeks. The result is the same when the mandible and maxilla were tested separately. There were no significant differences in the gray scale values measured between the O3 treatment and the control (p [ 0.05) (Table 1).

Discussion

The aim of the study was to assess alveolar bone regeneration after a single exposure for 1 min to O3 gas post-operatively, before surgical closure of the wound, directly to the surgical site. There is a lack of evidence in the literature on the effect of O3 on bone regeneration. Reports on this aspect can be found mainly in literature dealing with alternative medicine [25, 26]. Non-scientific papers promote the use of O3 gas and O3 water beneficial to bone healing, as a single treatment, after minor oral surgery. There is also insufficient evidence in the literature to evaluate the effect of O3 in oral surgery and implantology as a stand-alone therapy, without the combination thereof with other therapeutic agents or measures [2]. Most studies have focused more on the disinfectant properties of O3 in dentistry. The results obtained from this study did not indicate any advantage of using O3 to enhance alveolar
bone regeneration. The fact that a single exposure to O3 was used in this study, could possibly explain the differing results obtained as compared to other studies, which used multiple exposures to O3 and which focused on the antimicrobial properties of O3 [12–14, 27]. Presently it is not practical or realistic to use multiple applications of O3 to stimulate bone regeneration in oral surgery, since surgical areas are usually closed by an oral-mucosal flap.

It is well known that O2 is required for wound healing. Wound healing is a dynamic interactive process that
Ozone was used in several studies to assess its effect in areas of beneficial effects of topical O2 on wound healing [28]. In areas of bone regeneration after surgery. There are indications that O3 auto-chemotherapy combined with pharmaco-therapeutic treatment improve the regeneration of bone in post-menopausal osteoporosis after ten treatments [30]. Multiple O3 treatments in conjunction with antimicrobials, is proposed in the treatment of bisphosphonate osteonecrosis after oral surgery [16]. Ozonated water reduces post-operative infection when it was used as an irritant and coolant where mandibular third molars were surgically removed [31]. Another study indicated that the use of topical gaseous O3 has no specific effect on alveolar osteitis and to prevent wound infection compared to chlorhexidine and control groups after mandibular impacted third molar surgery [27]. When ozonized water was used on a daily basis, it accelerated the healing of the oral mucosa following surgery with the effect being most evident during the first 2 days postoperative [32]. Although not measured specifically, this finding was confirmed by our study where accelerated mucosal healing was observed on the test side after 3 weeks after the usage of O3, as opposed to the control side. However, it did not appear that healing of alveolar bone in the maxilla or mandible after bone defects were produced, was significantly enhanced on the side that was treated with O2. This is in accordance with previous studies where the antimicrobial effect of O3 was tested in infected human root canals where the application of O3 had no improved effect in the antimicrobial properties compared to conventional treatments [33–35].

A limitation of the study was the absence of an edentulous area in the maxilla or mandible of the baboon to create a defect in the bone without involvement of teeth. Although care was taken not to disturb the roots of neighboring teeth during the surgical procedure, it appears on the radiograph that some tooth roots were involved in the defect area. Therefore, to limit the effect of a single defect area and root involvement on the results, it was decided to create three defect areas in each quadrant and use the average value in the calculation for changes in density. The alveolar bone overlying the teeth is of adequate thickness to demonstrate radiological changes in bone density over time, as demonstrated in the results. It was postulated that the influence of the granulation tissue on the changes of density, when a root was involved, will be similar in the areas of interest for all the defect areas. Furthermore, the root area is small relative to the total alveolar bone area in the defect area. It can therefore be assumed that the effect of the tissue in the root (with the defect) to the changes in density will be very small, if not negligible. The standardization of the radiographs also assisted in demonstrating changes in density of alveolar bone, regardless of tooth involvement, as the post-operative values were expressed as changes from the pre-operative value. The use of 3D images from a computed tomography scan would have been ideal to monitor changes in bone density. However, the costs involved, as well as logistical difficulties, made it principally impossible to utilize in this study. Therefore, we used standard dental radiological imaging, as it is relatively inexpensive and available in dental surgeries.

Table 1 Percentage change in density over time, as represented by gray scale values of radiographs in the ozone treated and untreated mandibular and maxillary alveolar bone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative</td>
<td>109 ± 7.02</td>
<td>87 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directly post-operative</td>
<td>52 ± 8.1</td>
<td>45 ± 8.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>56 ± 8.0</td>
<td>49 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>61 ± 7.6</td>
<td>58 ± 10.2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative</td>
<td>85 ± 6.2</td>
<td>59 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directly post-operative</td>
<td>41 ± 5.3</td>
<td>29 ± 7.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>50 ± 5.0</td>
<td>33 ± 7.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>55 ± 4.8</td>
<td>36 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla-Mandible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative</td>
<td>97 ± 5.1</td>
<td>73 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directly post-operative</td>
<td>46 ± 4.9</td>
<td>37 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>53 ± 4.7</td>
<td>41 ± 5.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>58 ± 4.4</td>
<td>47 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

A single dose of O₃ for 1 min immediately after alveolar bone biopsy had no significant effect on bone regeneration in the maxilla and mandible as monitored over a 6-week period through radiographic determination of bone density at the site. As such the question arises, whether there should have been a different outcome if different concentrations and multiple exposure of O₃ gas were used as opposed to a single concentration and a single dose. However, no recommended standardization in concentration of O₃ or the time exposure of ozone to bone could be found in the literature. Furthermore, the period of investigation was 6 weeks, which is the average period recommended for immobilization of a fracture. Although not directly applicable to this situation, it has been found that up to 70 days may be required before an extraction socket is filled with bone [36]. In addition, the clinical measurement of soft tissue healing after one exposure to O₃ needs further investigation. Further studies in this regard are therefore justified in improving healing and therefore the quality of life during the post-surgical recovery period.
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