

for glucose and reducing substances, to see if this concurs with the presumptive diagnosis of hyperglycaemia.

Clinicians should exercise caution when using POCT instruments, as they are intended to supplement, rather than replace laboratory testing.

Tahir S Pillay

*Department of Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria and Tshwane Academic Division, National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), Pretoria
tspillay@gmail.com*

Magdalena J Turzyniecka

Department of Chemical Pathology, School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal and NHLS Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital, Durban

1. Wittenberg DF, Terblanche A, Smuts I, Opperman J. Unsuitable blood glucose measuring devices in neonatal or paediatric acute care. *S Afr Med J* 2007;97(12):1231.
2. Jacobsz M, Dednam M. Pre-analytical, analytical and post-analytical considerations in glucose point-of-care testing. *S Afr Med J* 2007;97(9):805.
3. Henderson H, Leisegang F, Brown R, Eley B. The clinical and molecular spectrum of galactosemia in patients from the Cape Town region of South Africa. *BMC Pediatr* 2002;2:7. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-2-7>]

S Afr Med J 2013;103(6):356. DOI:10.7196/SAMJ.6918

Continuing danger of glucose point-of-care test devices in the neonatal setting

To the Editor: We write to alert medical and nursing staff to a continuing problem in the neonatal setting, which has featured in the correspondence pages of the *SAMJ* on at least two prior occasions.^[1,2] Recently, in KwaZulu-Natal province, at least 4 infants with galactosaemia have been affected by the phenomenon of galactose interfering with glucose meters and producing high readings, resulting in the misdiagnosis of hyperglycaemia.

Unlike some developed countries, there is no routine newborn screening programme for galactosaemia in South Africa (SA), with the result that the correct diagnosis is made only after significant illness has developed. A recent study in SA estimated the incidence in African patients to be approximately 1/14 400;^[3] accordingly, it is likely that many cases are undetected.

Often, blood sampling for important laboratory tests is technically extremely difficult in the sick neonate and point-of-care test (POCT) devices are used, which only require a few µl of whole blood. The most ubiquitous of these is probably the handheld glucometer (Roche Accucheck is the instrument typically supplied to public hospitals). Under optimal conditions of careful usage and quality control, such POCT devices are extremely useful for clinical management. However, many use the glucose dehydrogenase pyrroloquinoline quinone (GDH-PQQ)-based glucose measuring system. While physiological levels of plasma galactose (usually <0.5 mmol/l) do not affect the meter's readings, galactose, at the clinically relevant concentrations found in galactosaemia, is able to cause positive interference. The result is the misdiagnosis of hyperglycaemia. Levels of galactose >0.83 mmol/l will cause overestimation of glucose levels.

In the cases referred to above, neonates were judged to have 'hyperglycaemia' and, based on this finding, were treated with insulin, with disastrous consequences. Galactosaemia, in fact, often results in hypoglycaemia caused by hepatic accumulation of galactose-1-phosphate, which inhibits enzymes of glycogenolysis; including glucose-6-phosphatase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoglucomutase and glycogen phosphorylase. The inadvertent administration of insulin to such affected neonates, in whom blood glucose is already dangerously low, obviously has the effect of lowering glucose levels further.

It is of critical importance that high readings from POCT glucometers that suggest hyperglycaemia in neonates are confirmed by a formal measurement of glucose in the laboratory before treatment is instituted. The clinician is well advised to check the urine