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The aim of the study was to gain knowledge on molecular techniques (spoligotyping and VNTR typing) in analysis of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and characterize $M$. bovis isolates available in Swaziland.

## Chapter 1

### 1.1 Introduction

Bovine tuberculosis (BTB) is a disease of cattle, characterised by progressive development of specific granulomatous lesions, or tubercles, in lung tissue, lymph nodes or other organs (Ayele et al. 2004). Mycobacterium bovis is the causative agent of BTB and belongs to the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC), a group of closely related bacteria from the genus Mycobacteria, family Mycobacteriaceae, causing tuberculosis in various mammalian hosts (Sahraoui et al. 2009).

For practical purposes, Mycobacteria are differentiated into two groups known as the MTBC and the 'non-tuberculous' or 'atypical' Mycobacteria. MTBC comprises M. tuberculosis, M. bovis, M. africanum, M .canettii, M. microti, M. pinnipedii, dassie bacillus, oryx bacillus and M. caprae.

The most important Mycobacterium species in animals is Mycobacterium bovis and essentially infects cattle, sheep, goats in domesticated species and has been demonstrated in wildlife species, especially buffalo, but also other wildlife species including predators (lion, leopard, cheetah), herbivores (kudu) and omnivores (baboon, bush pig) (Michel et al. 2009, Vosloo et al. 2001). As a result of the wide range of hosts of M. bovis, this pathogen is a concern in areas where there is a wildlife (buffalo), cattle and human interface (Vosloo et al. 2001).

Mycobacterial infections of animals are of primary importance for both economic and public health reasons, as many have been recognized as causes of zoonoses (Durr et al. 2000). In developing countries, like in Africa, BTB is widely distributed, control measures are not applied or are applied sporadically and pasteurization is rarely practised (Cosivi et al. 1998). This is a concern as it poses a high risk of transmission to the human population, especially with the added burden of increased susceptibility as a result of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in Africa.

Bovine TB is endemic in Swaziland (Cosivi et al. 1998) and control measures are hardly practised. Only in one abattoir in Swaziland is BTB specifically checked for at meat inspection. According to the 2008 annual report for the Ministry of Health and Social Welfare (MHSW), Swaziland National Tuberculosis Control Programme (SNTC), Swaziland is one of the countries with a high burden of human tuberculosis (TB). There has been a tenfold increase in cases from 1000 cases per year in 1987 to over 9,600 cases in 2007, with $79.6 \%$ of the TB cases also co-infected with HIV (MHSW, 2008). Bovine TB in Swaziland can only add to this problem since TB caused by $M$. bovis is clinically indistinguishable from TB caused by M. tuberculosis (Cosivi et al. 1998, Michel et al. 2010).

Since there is almost no control of BTB in Swaziland, there is a danger that the disease may be spreading in cattle herds and other animals. This is even more important in dairy herds where there is intensive rearing and thus easy spread. As a country it is important to control BTB, to protect the health of the human population and to ensure productivity of the cattle herds. This will ensure the country continues to export beef to the lucrative European market.

The objective of this research project is to gain knowledge of molecular techniques (Spoligotyping and Variable Number Tandem Repeat typing) in analysis of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. This will be done by genotypically characterizing M. bovis isolates available in Swaziland using these techniques.

### 1.2 Literature

Swaziland is one of the countries where BTB is enzootic as reported by Cosivi et al. 1998. BTB is only checked at the export abattoir in Matsapa. About $60 \%$ of tissue samples submitted from the export abattoir to the Central Veterinary Laboratory (CVL) with suspect TB lesions, turn out positive on acid-fast staining. The only evidence of BTB in Swaziland is on slaughtered cattle and no further work is carried out on acid-fast positive tissues.

In countries where BTB is uncontrolled, like in Swaziland, most human cases can occur resulting from drinking or handling contaminated milk, inhaling aerosols from infected animals and eating undercooked meat (Cosivi et al. 1998). Zoonotic tuberculosis caused by M. bovis is present in animals in most developing countries where surveillance and control activities are often inadequate or unavailable (Cosivi et al. 1998, Milian-Suazo et al. 2008). Mycobacteriosis is a major opportunistic infection in HIV-infected persons, with the vast number of people carrying this dual infection living in developing countries, especially SubSaharan Africa (Cosivi et al. 1998). Dual HIV and M. bovis infection has been reported in industrialized countries. As such the HIV epidemic in developing countries, particularly those that have $M$. bovis infections present in animals, makes zoonotic TB a serious public health threat to persons at risk (Cosivi et al. 1998). Until recently, the majority opinion held that human-to-human transmission of M. bovis occurred infrequently (Grange et al. 1987). Several examples of this occurrence have now been documented generally in HIV positive patients, and typing has proved essential in providing evidence for transmission (Durr et al. 2000). Mycobacterium bovis has an intrinsic resistance to pyrazinamide and common resistance to isoniazid, two of the first line drugs recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) for standard treatment of new TB cases in humans.

The primary mode of spread of BTB between herds is by introduction of infected animals into non-infected herds (Cousins 2001). The ability to detect and identify subtypes to distinct geographical regions is important in that it provides the advantage that if a particular type is isolated outside the area in which it predominates the investigation can focus upon the hypothesis of an introduced infection, and hence detection of the animal responsible for the introduction (Durr et al. 2000). Michel et al. (2009), using genotyping, suggested that kudus residing in Spioenkop Nature Reserve may have contracted $M$. bovis from cattle in adjacent farms and then transmitted the disease to a herd of newly introduced, BTB negative buffaloes. Furthermore this type of analysis has been used in the tracing of the origin of infected buffalo that have been moved to other parts of South Africa from their origin (Vosloo et al. 2001). The most important factors determining the occurrence and spread of tuberculosis within a herd of cattle are the number of infected individuals, the number of young stock exposed to the infected individuals, and the measures taken to prevent spread (Cousins 2001). Most cattle suffering from pulmonary BTB and tuberculous mastitis are infectious and milk, urine, vaginal secretions, semen or faeces in some infected individuals may also contain tubercle bacilli and act as means of disease transmission between individuals. However, M. bovis is primarily spread within cattle herds by inhalation of infectious aerosols, either from coughing or sneezing animals with open tuberculosis or from
infected dust particles (Cousins 2001). Since aerosol transmission predominates, infection is spread more rapidly in an intensive animal husbandry situation such as those in dairy establishments. Since aerosol transmission is effective over short distances ( 1 m to 2 m ), cattle density is a significant factor in the rate of transmission (Cousins 2001). Traditional diagnosis of BTB has been done by the delayed type hypersensitivity skin tests. Other tests that are used less frequently include bacteriological culture, antibody based Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), the bovine interferon-gamma assay, and molecular (nucleic acid-based) tests e.g. PCR. However, detailed epidemiological investigations of BTB have traditionally been hampered by the lack of easy-to-practice discriminating tests for typing $M$. bovis (Durr et al. 2000). Considerable advances have been made in developing techniques that discriminate between isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex, of which M. bovis is a member (Durr et al. 2000).

The use of these techniques that enable the analysis of the genome of the pathogen combined with statistical methods for deriving relationships between them, is being used increasingly to understand their epidemiology (Vosloo et al. 2001). Romero et al. (2008) used these tools to provide valuable insight into the importance of different hosts in the maintenance and spread of the infection. Comparative analysis of the 'genetic fingerprints' of BTB isolates from buffalo and cattle has aided in tracing the original source of infection of buffalo populations in the Kruger National Park in South Africa and Donana National Park in Spain (Romero et el. 2008, Michel et al. 2009). In Algeria, molecular typing (genotyping) has been used to link Algerian and European strains of M. bovis (Sahraoui et al. 2009). By far the most common use of $M$. bovis genotyping has been to find evidence for the role of wildlife reservoirs in outbreaks of BTB among cattle herds (Durr et al. 2000). In Michigan (USA) the re-emergence of BTB in cattle is thought to have been due to a reservoir in whitetailed deer, while in the UK and Ireland, maintenance of $M$. bovis infection has been attributed to the presence of infected badgers (Romero et al. 2008). Genotyping can also be used to trace outbreak strains in herds caused by cattle movements (Michel, personal communication).

According to Cosivi et al. (1998), molecular techniques have been used to study the epidemiology and role of $M$. bovis in human TB patients in several countries in Africa. In Egypt, the proportion of sputum-positive TB patients infected with $M$. bovis recorded in three observations were, $0.4 \%, 6.4 \%$, and $5.4 \%$ and nine out of twenty randomly selected patients with TB peritonitis were infected with M. bovis. In Zambia, an association between BTB positive cattle and zoonotic TB was found (odds ratio $=7.6, \mathrm{p}=0.004$ ). In Mexico, Uganda and Ethiopia epidemiological links between $M$. bovis infections affecting humans and cattle populations have been established through genotyping (Michel et al. 2009). In Uganda and Tanzania, M. bovis accounted for $18-30 \%$ of all $M$. tuberculosis complex strains isolated from humans in rural settings as compared to low prevalence rates of $M$. bovis found in urban populations (Michel et al. 2009).

Molecular techniques have been useful in preventing disputes between trade partner countries. Milian-Suazo et al. (2008) used genetic fingerprints to prove that the USA had its own source of $M$. bovis infection, dairy cattle and wildlife, other than through infected cattle bought from Mexico.

### 1.2.1. Underlying principles of genotyping

The basic assumption underlying the practical use of genotyping is the clonal model resulting from asexual reproduction. It is assumed that when an infectious bacterium is passed to a second host, the bacterium retains the same genetic makeup (Durr et al. 2000).

When two individuals have isolates with different genotypes, then infection has not spread from one host to the other, even if the hosts have had close contact. Similarly, two infection events would have occurred if two genotypes are found in the same host.

Although the assumption of clonality is central to the use of genotyping, this must be treated as a biological approximation. All bacteria undergo mutation of DNA sequences, and if these mutations do not affect the functioning of essential enzymes or structural proteins, the daughter generation should survive. If the typing technique used, recognizes these changes then a new clone is identified. However, if the technique does not recognize these changes, the daughter generation is classified as being the same as the clone. Optimally, a technique should balance in not being too excessive in sensitivity to genetic change and being insufficient in discrimination. This may be achieved by using more than one technique, provided that the techniques used targeted different areas of the genome.

### 1.2.2. The genome of $M$. bovis

The grouping of mycobacterial species pathogenic to mammals into the M. tuberculosis complex is due to the fact that there is high genomic similarity between these organisms (Rastogi et al. 2001). The genome of this complex in general is relatively difficult to elucidate due to difficulties related to extremely slow growth, unique cell wall composition, the need to protect personnel and paucity of cloning vehicles (Durr et al. 2000).

The genome of $M$. bovis consists of a double strand of DNA, consisting of approximately 4.4 million base-pairs (bp). This is comparatively large for an intracellular bacterial pathogen (Durr et al. 2000). The DNA of the genome has a high guanine and cytosine content of approximately $65 \%$ (Durr et al. 2000). A large portion of the genome is made up of mainly enzymes for the metabolism of lipids like mycolic acids, which are part of the distinctive hydrophobic, waxy cell wall of the mycobacteria. The genome is also rich in gene regulatory circuits. This is important for the organism so as to selectively activate genes, for survival for prolonged periods in the host macrophages and outside environment during transmission stages (Durr et al. 2000).

The M. tuberculosis complex in general lacks inter-strain genetic diversity, reason of which may mean recent evolution as a pathogen. Alternatively, it may be due to lack of genetic exchange mechanism between pathogenic mycobacteria as neither conjugation nor transformation is known to occur. Much of the genomic polymorphism that has been detected is associated with mobile genetic elements, particularly insertion sequences (Durr et al. 2000). These are small segments of DNA that insert themselves at multiple sites within the genome. This may provide genetic variability by deactivating genes (Durr et al. 2000). Recent $M$. tuberculosis genome sequencing revealed that these are mainly clustered in intergenic and non-coding regions, in which case functional gene activation would be unlikely.

### 1.2.3. Genotyping techniques

The basic understanding of the $M$. tuberculosis complex genome has led to development of several typing techniques. These use specific genetic elements, upon which the techniques are classified. Broadly, the techniques can be classified into those that use the whole genome for typing and those that use only part of the genome.

### 1.2.3.1. Whole genomic techniques

The whole genome techniques have an advantage that all potential genetic information is used. The only drawback is that these techniques are technically demanding and thus are less popular (Durr et al. 2000).
i) Restriction endonuclease analysis (REA).

This technique was first developed specifically for intraspecific typing of $M$. bovis (Collins \& de Lisle 1985). After cell extraction genomic DNA is digested with 3 restriction endonuclease enzymes, i.e BstEll, Pvull and Bcll. These cleave DNA strands at highly specific nucleotide sequences. The resulting fragments of DNA are separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Strains are characterized based on the patterns of segments in the gel. To visualize the patterns, a stain is used e.g. ethidium bromide. This is then recorded photographically after transillumination with ultraviolet light. The added disadvantage to this method is that fragment patterns are difficult to interpret, and that there is no method for numerically cataloging types thus limiting comparison between laboratories (Collins et al. 1993).
ii) Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

This technique produces few fragments compared to REA. All steps are similar to REA, except for the use of restriction endonuclease enzymes, to get few large DNA fragments. These fragments are not separated by conventional electrophoresis but are subjected to a constantly changing (pulsed) electrical field (Durrr et al. 2000). This technique has been rarely used for typing bacteria of the MTBC, due to its difficulty specifically regarding this pathogen.

### 1.2.3.2. Partial genomic techniques not based on the polymerase chain reaction

These techniques mainly use polymorphism within specific regions of the $M$. bovis genome, the earliest being restriction (RFLP) fragment length polymorphism analysis. DNA is digested by using a restriction enzyme, either (Pvull or Alul). The fragments are then separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA patterns are determined by using the Southern blot technique by using a nitro-cellulose or nylon filter upon which labeled/marked probes are added. The probes are complementary to a fraction of the DNA isolate, thus not all the fragments are visualized. The essential step is to select a probe that reveals DNA differences between isolates. Probes based upon repetitive DNA elements are preferred to ensure binding. The repetitive elements that have been used for RFLP include insertion
sequences, polymorphic G-C rich repeat sequences (PGRS) and the direct repeats (DR) sequence.

## i) RFLP using IS6110

This is the most important RFLP probe used in the analysis of the M. tuberculosis complex. It is a 1361 bp DNA fragment. It was also known as IS986 or IS987 (Poulet \& Cole 1995). Up to 20 copies of IS6110 are present in the genome of $M$. tuberculosis, but M. bovis generally has fewer copies of IS6110, majority having just a single copy especially isolates from cattle, and are located in the DR region (Collins et al. 1993). The current recommendation is that if strains contain more than three copies of the sequence, then IS6110- RFLP should be considered the method of choice.
ii) RFLP using IS 1081

In studies where IS 1081-RFLP was applied on M. bovis isolates, limited polymorphism was detected, thus strain differentiation was not possible (Collins et al. 1993). However, IS 1081-RFLP can distinguish between M. bovis BCG from other strains of M. bovis (Van Soolingen et al. 1992). This technique, however, is no longer used as PCR based tools can distinguish much easier between M. bovis and BCG.
iii) RFLP using GC-rich repeat sequences

Within the genome, short, repeated sequences with a GC composition in excess of $80 \%$ are present (Ross et al. 1992). These polymorphic GC rich sequences (GRS) occur in multiple clusters throughout the genome. The probe currently in use has a set of oligonucleotide primers comprising several PGRS sequences (Cousins et al. 1998). PGRS - RFLP for M. bovis resulted in good strain differentiation, when DNA was digested using Alul (Cousins et al. 1993). The strain differentiation was even higher than in spoligotyping, IS6110-RFLP or DR-RFLP for large sample of isolates (Cousins et al. 1998). PGRS -RFLP has been recommended as the method of choice when maximum strain differentiation is required and multiple copies of IS6110 are absent (Cousins et al. 1998). This technique is extremely complex and can only be analyzed manually due to the large number of bands.
iv) DR-RFLP

The DR cluster is unique to isolates of MTBC. The cluster consists of multiple 36bp 'DR' sequences interspersed with non-repetitive spacer sequences ('spacers'), from 35bp to 41bp in length. Strains vary in number of DRs and presence or absence of particular spacers (variable in length and sequence) (Durr et al. 2000).

Discrimination is similar to spoligotyping, consistent with the fact that both techniques target the same chromosomal locus (Cousins et al. 1998).

### 1.2.3.3. Partial genomic techniques based on the polymerase chain reaction

The techniques were developed out of the need for rapid automated techniques that will enable a high throughput of samples (Durr et al. 2000). These techniques depend on
the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), which is an essential method for amplification of sequences of DNA (Durr et al. 2000).
i) Random amplified polymorphic deoxyribonucleic acid analysis (RAPD)

The technique uses short oligonucleotide primers that target sequences randomly within a genome. This is also referred to as 'arbitrary primer PCR' or 'DNA amplification fingerprinting (Durr et al. 2000). Fragments are not all amplified with equal efficiency thereby raising concern about reproducibility and validity of methodology. The technique has been rarely used to type M. bovis, with poor differentiation between isolates.
ii) Ampliprinting using IS6110

The major polymorphic tandem repeat (MPTR) sequence was first described in 1992 (Hermans et al. 1992). It is structurally similar to the DR cluster, consisting 10bp DRs separated by 5bp DNA spacer. It is estimated there are eight copies of MPTR per genome. This sequence is not in M. tuberculosis complex only, but occurs in M. kansasii and M. gordonae. For typing of the M. tuberculosis complex MPTR has not been used alone, but with IS6110 in a unique typing technique named 'ampliprinting (Durr et al. 2000). The basis for this technique is the variable distance between IS6110 elements and copies of MPTR sequences, which are amplified by PCR (Durr et al. 2000). The technique is more sensitive in isolates with multiple copies of IS6110.

## iii) Spoligotyping

The technique is based on detection of DNA polymorphism within the DR locus, which is a relatively large tandem repeat (Le Fleche et al. 2002), and is the first PCR technique to be widely used for genotyping (Durr et al. 2000). The DR locus belongs to a class of genomic structures called clustered repetitive interspersed palindromic repeats (CRISPR), which have been shown to play a role in bacterial defence against viruses (Reyes \& Tanaka 2010). One half of the repeat is conserved, whereas the other half, called spacer element, is highly diverged (Le Fleche et al. 2002). The DR marker is more stable than the gold standard IS6110 marker (Haddad et al. 2004). The microevolution of this region is unidirectional i.e. oriented towards deletions accumulation, without acquisition of new spacers or recovery of previously lost spacers (Haddad et al. 2004). The polymorphism between two isolates is in the fact that one or more spacers can be absent/present in the DR region of one isolate and not of the other (Haddad et al. 2004). Spoligotyping takes advantage of these internal variations to distinguish the different alleles at this locus, which has been reported for in the M. tuberculosis complex. The amplified products are hybridized to a set of immobilized oligonucleotides, each corresponding to one of 43 potential unique spacer DNA sequences within the DR of $M$. tuberculosis complex. For each subspecies some spacers are constantly absent, allowing differential identification at the level of subspecies (Haddad et al. 2004). In the case of $M$. bovis, spacers 3, 9, 16, 39-43 are always lacking (Haddad et al. 2004). The potential polymorphism for $M$. bovis therefore is of $2^{35}$ different spoligotypes (Haddad et al. 2004). This technique is also known as 'reverse' line blot hybridization. Detection of hybridized DNA then follows, using chemiluminescent system. The output is the result of hybridization of the forty-three sequences as 'positive or negative' according to presence or absence of each of the spacers. A word processor is then used to compare the forty-
three results. The technique does enable the grouping of affected mammalian host species. Spoligotyping is recommended for rapid screening of isolates followed by a more discriminatory technique. Since this technique does not need large amounts of high quality DNA, it can be used directly on pathological samples, thus allowing for simultaneous diagnosis and typing of the bacterium (Durr et al. 2000, Haddad et al. 2004). Another advantage for this technique is the use of two primers, a and $b$, specific to the two extremities of the DR sequences (Haddad et al. 2004).

## iv) Variable Number of Tandem Repeat Typing

Genetic loci containing variable numbers of tandem repeats (VNTR loci), also called minisatellites (Le Fleche et al. 2002), form the bases for human gene mapping, forensic analysis and paternity testing (Durr et al. 2000). In mycobacteria, VNTR of different classes of interspersed genetic elements called mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units (MIRUs) is genotyped (Supply et al. 2006). DNA with variable numbers of tandem repeats is amplified by PCR and size of products determined by gel electrophoresis, capillary or non-denaturing, high-performance liquid chromatography. Most repeat units are more than 50 bps long and allele sizes rarely exceed 1000 bps , thus precision which can be obtained by ordinary agarose gel electrophoresis is sufficient to estimate the number of units in an allele (Le Fleche et al. 2002). The resolution of VNTR typing is cumulative i.e. the inclusion of more markers in the typing assay can, when necessary, increase the identification resolution (Le Fleche et al. 2002).

Traditionally, VNTR typing based on 12-loci has been used for M. tuberculosis isolates in combination with spoligotyping, though a proportion of unrelated isolates remained clustered (Supply et al. 2006). For improved resolution, Supply et al. (2006) described 24 -loci including the 12 , with a subset of 15 loci with the highest evolutionary rates. They proposed the 15 -loci system as the standard for routine epidemiological discrimination of M. tuberculosis complex and the 24 -locus system as a high-resolution tool for phylogenetic studies. Not all the 24 or 15 -loci are always necessary to define all the isolates in any given situation, as 9 loci from the 15 -loci set can be sufficient (Supply et al. 2006). Generally, loci with highest evolutionary rates within the set of 15 can be applied in the first place depending on the lineages prevalent in the population of interest. Variation is driven mainly by DNA replication slippage, causing changes in the numbers of repeats, a process that can be modeled using the stepwise mutation model (Reyes \& Tanaka. 2010). There may also be micro-deletions or insertions within some repeats (Le Fleche et al. 2002).

Since tandem repeats can be unstable, for particular strains under consideration in a geographical location, the markers must be evaluated using representative collections of strains before they can be used (Le Fleche et al. 2002). VNTR typing by PCR has several advantages (Frothingham et al. 1998). It is a rapid and reproducible method. It can be performed on mycobacteria killed by heat or alcohol, reducing biohazards also applicable to crude DNA extracts from early cultures (Supply et al. 2006). Results are intrinsically digital, simplifying the comparison of large numbers of strains.

## Chapter 2

### 2.1 Materials and methods

### 2.1.1 Sample collection

Tissue samples were collected at the Swaziland Meat Industries (EU-export) abattoir in Swaziland. This is the only abattoir in the country that screens for bovine TB and has the personnel capacity to do so. The sampling was opportunistic as it targeted the carcasses with specific lesions. A total of 39 tissue samples were used for this project owing to the frequency of lesions in the carcasses. These were mainly lymph nodes which showed characteristic BTB lesions upon meat inspection. The samples were collected from November 2008 to July 2010, mainly from mixed breed cattle slaughtered for beef. These animals came from different parts of the country i.e. Manzini region, Shiselweni region and Lubombo region as illustrated in figure 1 below.

Figure 1. Map of Swaziland showing dip tanks of origin of animals sampled


Numbers on the map represent different dip tanks.
Dip tank 749 from Shiselweni was represented by dip tank 686 on the map as a nearest dip tank

### 2.1.2 Tissue preparation, culture, identification of isolates and DNA extraction

The samples were first tested for presence of acid-fast bacilli using the Ziehl-Neelsen staining technique at Central Veterinary Laboratory in Manzini, Swaziland.

Acid-fast samples were then cultured at the Referral Hospital (human) Laboratory, Tuberculosis division. Specimens were first homogenized manually using a mortar. The samples were then decontaminated and digested by adding equal volume to the sample ( 5 ml ) of a mixture of sodium hydroxide and trisodium citrate. This mixture was prepared by mixing equal quantities of $4 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and $2.9 \%$ sodium citrate, in a volume that was needed for that day. 5 ml of this mixture was added to each sample. To achieve a final concentration of $0.5 \%, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ NALC powder was then added per 100 ml of the mixture. Phosphate buffer, pH6.8, was added up to a total volume of 50 ml . After centrifugation, 2 ml of phosphate buffer was added to the sediments. A total of $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the resultant solution was cultured in Modified Middlebrook 7H9 broth. Added into this was $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a mixture of growth supplements (bovine albumin,dextrose, catalase, oleic acid and polyoxyethyline state) and antimicrobial agents (polymixin B, amphotericin B, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim and azlocillin). This was incubated in a MGIT 960 incubator until growth was observed or for a maximum of 42 days. Growth was automatically indicated by the machine on fluorescence in the tube. Presence of Mycobacterium spp was checked by M. tuberculosis complex gene probe. The protocol used was that provided with the commercial kit for the AccuPROBE Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Probe kit, 102896 Revision L, by GEN-PROBE ${ }^{\oplus}$.

DNA extraction from positive samples was done using a commercial kit, Extract-NAmp ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Tissue PCR Kit, from SIGMA. The protocol used was provided by the manufacturer with the kit.

### 2.1.3 Spoligotyping

A commercial spoligotyping kit by ISOGEN Biosolutions was used, which included a protocol by the manufacturer. The PCR reactions were performed as follows: initial denaturing at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min}, 30$ cycles of $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec , followed by $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The PCR product was then hybridized to a set of 43 immobilized oligonucleotides. The patterns were identified photographically and analysed in comparison with data from other publications, especially that by Michel et al. (2008).

### 2.1.4 Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) typing

VNTR typing was performed according to the method of Frothingham et al. (1998). A set of 9 primers as published by Frothingham et al. (1998) and Supply et al. (2006) were used (Table 1a). The primer pairs used were ETR loci A, B, C and E, MIRU loci 16, 23 and 26, M. tub 21 and Qub 11b. Each PCR reaction consisted of $12.5 \mu$ Fermentas mastermix, $0.5 \mu$ l of each of the primers for each locus and $2 \mu$ l of DNA sample in a final volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Following a denaturing step at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , each sample was subjected to 40 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}, 62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 sec . This was followed by a final extension annealing at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . A known positive isolate (7472B) from South Africa was used as a positive control. PCR products were separated using agarose gel electrophoresis. Copy numbers for each locus in an isolate were interpreted based on the product length using tables published by Le Fleche et al. (2002) as shown in table 1 b .

Table 1a. Primers and their sequences

| Primer | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| ETR A | AAATCGGTCCCATCACCTTCTTAT (fwd) <br> CGAAGCCTGGGGTGCCCGCGATTT (rev) |
| ETR B | ATGGCCACCCGATACCGCTTCAGT (fwd) <br> CGACGGGCCATCTTGGATCAGCTAC (rev) |
| ETR C | CGAGAGTGGCAGTGGCGGTTATCT (fwd) <br> AATGACTTGAACGCGCAAATTGTGA (rev) |
| ETR E | ACTGATTGGCTTCATACGGCTTTA (fwd) <br> GTGCCGACGTGGTCTTGAT (rev) |
| MIRU 16 | TCGGTGATCGGGTCCAGTCCAAGTA (fwd) <br> CCCGTCGTGCAGCCCTGGTAC (rev) |
| MIRU 23 | CTGTCGATGGCCGCAACAAAACG (fwd) <br> AGCTCAACGGGTTCGCCCTTTTGTC (rev) |
| MIRU 26 | TAGGTCTACCGTCGAAATCTGTGAC (fwd) <br> CATAGGCGACCAGGCGAATAG (rev) |
| Qub 11b | CGTAAGGGGGGATGCGGGAAATAGG (fwd) <br> CGAAGTGAATGGTGGCAT (rev) |
| M tub 21 | AGATCCCAGTTGTCGTCGTC (fwd) <br> CAACATCGCCTGGTTCTGTA (rev) |

Table 1b. Product length and locus copy number

| Locus | Product Length (copy number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETR A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 247 \\ & (1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322 \\ & (2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 397 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $472$ (4) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 547 \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 622 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 697 \\ & (7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 772 \\ \hline(8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 847 \\ & (9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 922 \\ & (10) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ETR B | $178$ (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 235 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 292 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | $349$ <br> (4) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 406 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 463 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $634$ <br> (9) |  |  |  |  |
| ETR C | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 288 \\ & (3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 346 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 404 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 462 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 520 \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 684 \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ETR E | $\begin{aligned} & 545 \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 598 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 651 \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 704 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 757 \\ & (5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 863 \\ & (7) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MIRU 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 618 \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 671 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 724 \\ \text { (3) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 777 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 829 \\ & \text { (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 882 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1147 \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MIRU 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 607 \\ & (1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 661 \\ & \text { (2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 714 \\ & (3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 767 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 820 \\ & (5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 873 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 926 \\ & (7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $979$ <br> (8) |  |  |  |
| MIRU 26 | $511$ (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 613 \\ & (3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $664$ <br> (4) | $715$ (5) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 766 \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $817$ <br> (7) | $868$ <br> (8) | $\begin{aligned} & 919 \\ & \text { (9) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mtub 21 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 149 \\ (1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 263 \\ \text { (3) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 320 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 377 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 434 \\ (6) \end{array}$ | 491 <br> (7) | $548$ <br> (8) | $\begin{aligned} & 605 \\ & \text { (9) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 662 \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 719 \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Qub } 11 \\ & \text { b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & \text { (1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274 \\ & (3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 343 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 412 \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 826 \\ (11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 3

### 3.1. Results

### 3.1.1. Spoligotyping

The spoligotype patterns of all 39 M . bovis DNA isolates were found to be representative of M. bovis strains as they had the characteristic deletions in loci 3,9,16, 39-43 (Haddad et al. 2004) as shown in Figure 2. As shown in Table 2 (page 21), 12 different spoligotypes were identified from the 39 M . bovis isolates analysed in this study. The most frequently observed spoligotype, SMD 1, which accounted for 23 ( $59 \%$ ) of the 39 samples, lacked spacers 6, 8, 10,11 and 12 in addition to the typical $M$. bovis deletion pattern. All the other types were less frequently observed with the next highest, SMD 3, accounting for only 3 ( $8 \%$ ) of the 39 M. bovis DNA isolates done. Sample 13 and 30 had a pattern similar to the M. bovis BCG P3 control supplied with test kit.

Figure 2. Spoligotype patterns detected among 39 M. bovis DNA isolates from cattle in Swaziland


Columns represent the 43 spoligo loci and rows represent isolates. Bottom $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ rows represent the positive control isolates from the kit used (M. tuberculosis strain H37Rv and BCG P3 respectively).

Table 2. Spoligotypes detected among Swazi M. bovis isolates

| Spoligo type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB } \\ & \text { CODE* } \end{aligned}$ | Deleted loci | \% | Region/-Frequency |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Manzini | Shiselweni | Lubombo |
| SMD 1 | SB 0140 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,8,10,11, \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 16 | 3 | 3 |
| SMD 2 | SB 1184 | 6,11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| SMD 3 | SB 0121 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| SMD 4 | SB 0265 | 6,21 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| SMD 5 | SB 1269 | 10,14,15,21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SMD 6 | SB 0332 | 14,15,21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SMD 7 | SB 0290 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,8,10,11, } \\ & 12,14,15 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SMD 8 | SB 1324 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SMD 9 | BCG | 3,9,16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| SMD 10 | SB 0127 | 14,15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SMD 11 | SB 0130 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SMD 12 | SB 0678 | 11,15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Spoligotypes of 39 M . bovis isolates and their geographical distribution are listed. All the samples have the typical $M$. bovis deleted loci at $3,9,16,39-43$ (Haddad et al. 2004). *http://www.mbovis.org. SMD - Swaziland Mycobacterium Division. Isolate 26 was SMD 1 but had no information on region of origin.

### 3.1.2. VNTR typing

After amplification and electrophoresis, bands for the different VNTR loci were photographed as in Figure 3a, ETR E loci. For all other loci the results are in the annex (Figures 4 -11). According to the VNTR patterns of the 9 chosen loci, 19 VNTR types were identified for 38 isolates as shown in table 5 . Isolate number 7 did not produce any amplification product for all the primer sets and thus was not included. The most dominant type, VNTR type 1, accounted for 11 (29\%) of the 38 isolates analysed, while the next highest, VNTR type 4, was represented in $4(11 \%)$ isolates. When only 4 loci were used (ETR A, B, C, E), only 11 VNTR patterns emerged, with the dominant type accounting for 23 ( $63 \%$ ) of 38 isolates analysed. When only the 3 MIRU loci (MIRU 16, 23, 26) were used, 6 VNTR patterns emerged, with the dominant pattern accounting for $15(39 \%)$ isolates.

Figure 3a. PCR amplification of the ETR E marker from 28 bovine M. bovis isolates
$28272625242322212019181716151413121110987654321 L$


The ladder ( L ) indicates that the DNA bands are 600bp and 700bp long, indicating that the isolates either had 2 copies or 4 copies of tandem repeat sequences in the allele at the ETR E locus, as indicated in Table 1b.

Table 3. VNTR allelic profiles detected among Swazi M. bovis isolates

| Isolate | ETR Locus |  |  |  | MIRU Locus |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{l} \text { M. } \\ \text { tub } \end{array} \\ \hline 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Qub } \\ \hline \text { 11b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | E | 16 | 23 | 26 |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 18 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 20 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 26 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 28 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 30 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 31 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 32 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 35 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 36 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 37 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Allelic Profiles of 38 M . bovis DNA isolates depicted by their copy numbers of VNTR loci.

Table 4. VNTR types detected among Swazi M. bovis isolates

| VNTR type | ETR |  |  |  | MIRU |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.tub } \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qub } \\ & \text { 11b } \end{aligned}$ | Freq | \% | Colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | E | 16 | 23 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 29 |  |
| 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 8 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 10 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 15 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 16 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 |  |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |

Summary of the different VNTR types for the 38 M . bovis DNA isolates depicted by colour and their copy numbers at the different VNTR loci analysed.

Figure 3b. Geographical distribution of VNTR types


The different colours on the map represent the different VNTR types as shown above

### 3.1.3 VNTR/Spoligotying

When the outcomes of both typing methods results, VNTR typing and spoligotyping (Table 5), were analysed in parallel, 21 V-S types emerged (Table 6) for the 38 isolates tested with both techniques. The most dominant type was V-S type 3 , with 7 ( $18 \%$ ) of the samples. This was followed by type 4 with 4 ( $10.5 \%$ ) of isolates. V-S type 6 was from isolate 7 and as already mentioned it does not have a defined VNTR genotype but it is spoligotype 5. The discriminatory power of combining the techniques was better than spoligotyping alone, but was comparable with VNTR typing.

Table 5. Combined analysis of VNTR and Spoligotyping results by region and dip tank

M- Manzini $\quad$ H- Hhohho S-Shiselweni L-Lubombo U- unknown

| Isolate | VNTR type | Spoligo (SMD) | Region | Dip tank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 7 | MZ | 432 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | MZ | 432 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | L | 192 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 6 | 8 | 3 | L | 201 |
| 7 | U | 5 | L | 818 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 10 | 9 | 6 | MZ | 438 |
| 11 | $\frac{5}{7}$ | 1 | MZ | 439 |
| 12 | 7 | 1 | MZ | 438 |
| 13 | 12 | 9 | L | 248 |
| 14 | 5 | 1 | L | 201 |
| 15 | 11 | 4 | L | 201 |
| 16 | 10 | 4 | MZ | 432 |
| 17 | 5 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | SH | 749 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | SH | 749 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 209 |
| 22 | 6 | 8 | MZ | 432 |
| 23 | 4 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 24 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 25 | 4 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 26 | 1 | 1 | U | U |
| 27 | 1 | 1 | L | 233 |
| 28 | 1 | 1 | MZ | 402 |
| 29 | 14 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 30 | 14 | 9 | L | 201 |
| 31 | 17 | 1 | MZ | 432 |
| 32 | 4 | 1 | SH | 706 |
| 33 | 18 | 10 | MZ | U |
| 34 | 16 | 11 | L | 201 |
| 35 | 15 | 2 | L | 201 |
| 36 | 15 | 2 | L | 201 |
| 37 | 17 | 1 | MZ | U |
| 38 | 19 | 12 | MZ | U |
| 39 | 13 | 1 | L | 201 |

Table 6. Different V-S genotypes detected among Swazi M. bovis isolates

| V-S <br> genotype | Pattern |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | VNTR | Spoligo | Freq |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2,4 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | $3,8,9,18,19,20,21,24,26,27,28$ |  |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | $5,23,25,32$ |  |
| 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 6 |  |
| 6 | $U$ | 5 | 1 | 7 |  |
| 7 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 10 |  |
| 8 | 5 | 1 | 3 | $11,14,15$ |  |
| 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 12 |  |
| 10 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 13 |  |
| 11 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 15 |  |
| 12 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 16 |  |
| 13 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 22 |  |
| 14 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 29 |  |
| 15 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 30 |  |
| 16 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 31,37 |  |
| 17 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 33 |  |
| 18 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 34 |  |
| 19 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 35,36 |  |
| 20 | 19 | 12 | 1 | 38 |  |
| 21 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 39 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

V-S genotype - genotype identified by parallel analysis of VNTR types and Spoligotypes

## Chapter 4

### 4.1 Discussion

The present study is the first to investigate DNA polymorphism among M. bovis isolates from cattle in Swaziland. Two commonly used molecular techniques, spoligotyping and VNTR typing, were used for this purpose. The techniques used differed in terms of their discriminatory level and reproducibility. With the exception of isolate 29 and 30, VNTR typing was equal to or more discriminatory than spoligotyping, as observed by Michel et. al 2008. In the present study, combining the two methods did not provide a significant improvement from the discrimination provided by VNTR typing alone.

Though spoligotyping was less discriminatory than VNTR typing, it was useful to classify all the isolates as $M$. bovis DNA, which VNTR typing could not do for isolate 7 . This again is testimony that spoligotyping is simple, robust and does not need a high quantity or quality of DNA (Haddad et al. 2004). The most dominant spoligotype, SMD1, which accounted for 23 isolates, was further discriminated into 6 different genotypes by VNTR typing i.e. VNTR type $1,4,5,13$ and 17.

As seen in Table 5, VNTR typing also clustered isolates from epidemiologically unrelated outbreaks. Since movement of cattle between dip tanks is regulated and thus minimal, a disease outbreak in one dip tank is unlikely to be related to another outbreak in a geographically different dip tank. This is seen with isolates 29 and 30 which were clustered into VNTR type 14, but were classified as spoligotypes 1 and 9 respectively and subsequently into 2 different V-S types (Table 6). These isolates came from dip tank 432 and 201 respectively, which are in 2 different regions (Figure 3b).

Spoligotyping was able to discriminate isolates with more than one deletion, forming patterns which are not found in the South African isolates. This is especially true for type SMD 8 and 10. SMD 10 had a deletion at locus14, and SMD 8 had a deletion at locus 6 only, which none of the South African isolates had. These strains most probably either originated from within Swaziland and have evolved within the country over time, or could, alternatively, have been eradicated or remained undetected to date in South Africa. However SMD 1, 2, 7, 11 and 12 were related to isolates from the Kruger area in South Africa because of loss of spacer 11. The phylogenetically oldest isolate here, which has the least deletions with only one deletion at spacer 11, originated from Lubombo, dip tank 201 (Figure 1). SMD 3, 4, 5 and 6 were related to the Hluhluwe area in South Africa because of loss of spacer 21. The phylogenetically oldest isolate here, with only one deletion at spacer 21, came from Manzini (dip tank 432) and Lubombo (dip tank 201 and 192) (Figure 1).

The isolates analysed in this study were not related to the African 1 (deletion in spacer 30) or African 2 (deletion in spacer $3-7$ ) clonal complexes dominant in East Africa and West Africa, as shown by previous studies (Berg et al. 2011; Muller et al. 2009). However, some of the isolates were related to the clonal complex Europe 1 (deletion in spacer 11). This is in line with findings from Smith et al. (in press) who classified an isolate from Swaziland into this genotype, and also found the genotype in former British colony areas. Swaziland, as a former British colony, may thus have received this genotype through cattle imports from Britain.

The pattern of deletion also classified the isolates according to age with the oldest having the least deletions e.g. SMD 11 (isolate 34 with one deletion at spacer 11) was older than SMD 1, 2, 7 and 12. SMD 3 (isolate 2, 4, 6 with one deletion at spacer 21) was older than SMD 1, 4, 6 and 5. (Haddad et al. 2004).

The pattern of spoligotype distribution in the regions, as depicted in Table 6, suggests that only the dominant spoligotype (SMD1/SB0140) is widely distributed in the country. According to this study this genotype was found mainly in Manzini, but was also found in Shiselweni and Lubombo. SMD8/BCG genotype was only found in Lubombo region for the isolates done in this study. The BCG genotype was found only in Lubombo region, but in different dip tanks i.e. 201 and 248 (Figure 1).

All the chosen loci for VNTR typing were discriminatory for the set of isolates, except for the ETR B locus, where amplification of the product was not obtained for any of the isolates (Table 3). The problem was unlikely to be due to reagent or technical failure since the positive control, which was a South African isolate, did amplify. This may be due to a complete deletion of the locus in the local strains or that the marker sequence is short at this locus, as a result of micro-deletion within the repeat (Le Fleche et al. 2002), especially at the point where it complements the primer, thus no annealing and no amplification was possible. The VNTR pattern of distribution follows that of spoligotyping in that the most dominant pattern, VNTR type 1 was found in all the regions (Figure 3b, Table 5). VNTR 5 was found in dip tanks both in Lubombo and Manzini. VNTR type 8, 11 and 12 were found only in Lubombo and VNTR 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 were only found in Manzini in this study.

The study revealed a high genetic diversity among the 38 M . bovis isolates, since according to Michel et al. (2008), strains with different VNTR patterns most likely represent genetically distinct strains. This may not be expected in a country as small as Swaziland and especially using such small number of isolates. Michel et al. (2008), using isolates from South Africa, found 12 spoligotypes from 50 isolates and 13 VNTR types from 43 isolates from six provinces. This study revealed there were 12 spoligotypes and 19 VNTR types in Swaziland. An important aspect in understanding the reason for this high diversity is the immigration rate (introduction of infected animals from different sources) and population structure and type (Reyes and Tanaka 2010). As such, the diversity may be due to purchase of infected animals from different areas, or, alternatively persistence and evolution of "old" M. bovis strains within the cattle population in the country (Michel et al. 2008). Historically , especially in the last 60 years, cattle import records showed that Swaziland has almost exclusively received import cattle, both beef and dairy, from South Africa (Eastern Cape, Gauteng, KwaZulu Natal and Mpumalanga), with no evidence of export in the opposite direction. In this study, four spoligotypes, which includes the dominant and second dominant types, as shown in the Table 7 below, are the same as those classified by Michel et al. (2008) from different areas and outbreaks in South Africa. Michel et al. (2008) classified a 1996 isolate from Swaziland into the SP 8 group from South Africa. Although the four shared spoligotypes are not unique to South Africa but occur in many countries worldwide, these findings would suggest that these isolates may have come with infected cattle imports from South Africa or via South Africa, when this country imported large numbers of cattle from Australia, Europe and Madagascar long before the TB control scheme was implemented in 1969 (Michel et al. 2008).

When analysing the VNTR genotypes and spoligo genotypes in parallel, the 21 " V -S" types that emerged were similar to the VNTR type patterns, except for the two isolates which were clustered by VNTR typing and the one isolate which did not amplify for any of the chosen loci as already mentioned (Table 5). This suggests that VNTR typing was sufficient in classifying the isolates and the V -S typing may not be necessary given the extra effort needed to do it.

Table 7. Similar spoligotypes (Swaziland and South Africa)

| Spoligotype |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Swaziland | South <br> Africa | SB CODE | Area in S.A | Deleted loci (3, 9, 16, 39 - <br> 43) |  |
| SMD 1 | SP 8 | SB 0140 | EC, WC | $6,8,10,11,12$ |  |
| SMD 3 | SP 1 | SB 0121 | LP, GP, MP | 21 |  |
| SMD 4 | SP 9 | SB 0265 | MP, WC | 21,6 |  |
| SMD 11 | SP 4 | SB 0130 | KZN, MP, GP | 11 |  |

EC- Eastern Cape WC- Western Cape LP- Limpopo Province GP- Gauteng Province MPMpumalanga Province KZN- KwaZulu Natal

### 4.2 Conclusion

Practical knowledge and application of the two molecular techniques, spoligotyping and variable number tandem repeat typing, used in this study was adequately gained. All the 39 isolates used in this study were successfully characterised using these techniques.

Though spoligotyping was less discriminatory, it can be used as a diagnostic and screening tool for M. bovis in Swaziland. For more detailed epidemiological studies like molecular characterisation, e.g. intra strain differentiation, the VNTR typing technique could be used. The VNTR typing technique was very discriminatory for the chosen isolates, though the ETR $B$ locus needs to be investigated further for suitability in isolates from Swaziland.

The pattern of strain distribution in the regions was expected because the largest ranch (dip tank 432) which has a feedlot from which the surveyed abattoir collects cattle from, is located in Manzini (Figure 3b). Therefore 73\% (16/22) of the dominant spoligotype and 73\% (8/11) of the dominant VNTR type originate from Manzini. Lubombo also has another large ranch (dip tank 201) with a high diversity of strains (Figure 3b). Both these ranches keep large numbers of cattle from all over the country and from South Africa, for purposes of breeding and feedlotting. This is believed to account for the high strain diversity in these two regions.

It can also be concluded that the polymorphism pattern indicates that Swaziland and South Africa do share at least four spoligotypes suggesting either a common source of infection or movement of infected cattle between the two countries. The data is also suggestive that Swaziland received infected cattle from Europe at some point in the past, a point which is supported by her colonial history. The high genetic diversity, in such a small country, points to inadequate control measures to an extent that the disease in Swaziland is allowed to evolve into new strains or there is continued importation of BTB from outside the country.

Currently legislation on BTB control is inadequate and there are no active programmes on the ground to control the transmission of this disease.

Only 39 samples were used in this study and further work should continue so that more strains can be isolated and characterised to obtain a better understanding of the epidemiology of the disease in Swaziland. Spoligotyping on human TB cases can now be proposed to determine the presence and a potential link of BTB with zoonotic TB in Swaziland.

## Chapter 5

## Annex

Figure 4. MIRU 26 and M. tub 21 primer products (isolates 1-28)
L 123456789101112131415 L161718 L20212223 2425262728 - + L


MIRU 26 (top row), M. tuberculosis 21 (bottom row)MIRU 26-2 copies (550bp) M. tuberculosis 21 - 2 and 3 copies (200bp and 250bp).

Figure 5. MIRU 16 and MIRU 23 primer PCR products for isolates 1 - 28



MIRU 16 - 3 copies ( 750 bp ) MIRU 23 - 1 copy ( 350 bp ). MIRU 16 (top row), MIRU 23 (bottom row)

Figure 6a. ETR B (top row) primer products (isolates 1-28)


Figure 6b. ETR C (bottom row) primer products (isolates 1 - 28)


L 12345678910112131415 L 161718192021222324262728 - + L
ETR C -3 and 6 copies ( 300 bp and 450 bp )

Figure 7a. Qub 11b primer products (isolates 1 - 28)
L 123456789101112131415 L 16171819202122232425262728 - +


Qub $11 \mathrm{~b}-3$ and 4 copies (200bp and 400bp). Qub 11b (top row, samples $1-28$ ).

Figure 7b. ETR A primer PCR products (isolates 1-39)


L 12344506789101112131415 L $16171819202122232425262728+3031323334353637$
ETR A primer PCR products (bottom row). ETR A - 6 and 7 copies (600bp and 700bp).

Figure 8 ETR A and ETR B primer PCR products (isolates 29 - 39, 7)


ETR A (top), ETR B (bottom) primer PCR products.

Figure 9. ETR C, ETR E and M. tub 21 primer PCR products (isolates 29-39, 7)


Figure 10. MIRU 26, MIRU 23, MIRU 16 primer PCR products (isolates 29 -39, 7)


Figure 11. Qub 11 b primer PCR products (isolates $29-39,7$ )
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