

REFERENCES

- Apoux, F. and Bacon, S. P. (2004). Relative importance of temporal information in various frequency regions for consonant identification in quiet and in noise, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **116**(3): 1671-1680.
- Apoux, F. and Bacon, S. P. (2008). Differential contribution of envelope fluctuations across frequency to consonant identification in quiet, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **123**(5): 2792-2780.
- Apoux, F., Garnier, S. and Lorenzi, C. (2002). Consonant identification in noise: Effects of temporal asynchrony, noise fluctuations, and temporal envelope expansion, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **111**(5): 2432-2432.
- Arai, T. and Greenberg, S. (1998). Speech intelligibility in the presence of cross-channel spectral asynchrony, *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Seattle, WA*, pp. 933-936.
- Arora, K., Dawson, P., Dowell, R. and Vandali, A. (2009). Electrical stimulation rate effects on speech perception in cochlear implants, *International Journal of Audiology* **48**(8): 561-567.
- Baer, T. and Moore, B. C. J. (1993). Effects of spectral smearing on the intelligibility of sentences in noise, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **94**(3 Pt 1): 1229-1241.
- Baer, T. and Moore, B. C. J. (1994). Effects of spectral smearing on the intelligibility of sentences in the presence of interfering speech, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **95**(4): 2277-2280.
- Balkany, T. J. (2002). Modiolar proximity of three perimodiolar cochlear implant electrodes, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **122**(4): 363-369.
- Baskent, D. (2006). Speech recognition in normal hearing and sensorineural hearing loss as a function of the number of spectral channels, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **120**(5): 2908-2925.
- Baskent, D. and Shannon, R. V. (2003). Speech recognition under conditions of frequency-place compression and expansion, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **113**(4): 2064-2076.
- Baskent, D. and Shannon, R. V. (2004). Frequency-place compression and expansion in cochlear implant listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **116**(5): 3130-3140.

REFERENCES

- Baskent, D. and Shannon, R. V. (2005). Interactions between cochlear implant electrode insertion depth and frequency-place mapping, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **117**(3): 1405-1416.
- Baskent, D. and Shannon, R. V. (2006). Frequency transposition around dead regions simulated with a noiseband vocoder, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **119**: 1156-1163.
- Baskent, D. and Shannon, R. V. (2007). Combined effects of frequency compression-expansion and shift on speech recognition, *Ear and Hearing* **28**(3): 277-289.
- Baumann, U. and Nobbe, A. (2006). The cochlear implant electrode-pitch function, *Hearing Research* **213**(1-2): 34-42.
- Bingabr, M., Espinoza-Varas, B. and Loizou, P. C. (2008). Simulating the effect of spread of excitation in cochlear implants, *Hearing Research* **241**(1-2): 73-79.
- Blamey, P., Arndt, P., Bergeron, F., Bredberg, G., Brimacombe, J., Facer, G., Larky, J., Lindstrom, B., Nedzelski, J. and Peterson, A. (1996). Factors affecting auditory performance of postlinguistically deaf adults using cochlear implants, *Audiology and Neurotology* **1**(5): 293-306.
- Blamey, P. J., Dooley, G. J., Parisi, E. S. and Clark, G. M. (1996). Pitch comparisons of acoustically and electrically evoked auditory sensations, *Hearing Research* **99**(1-2): 139-150.
- Blamey, P. J., Dowell, R. C., Tong, Y. C., Brown, A. M., Luscombe, S. M. and Clark, G. M. (1984a). Speech processing studies using an acoustic model of a multiple-channel cochlear implant, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **76**(1): 104-110.
- Blamey, P. J., Dowell, R. C., Tong, Y. C. and Clark, G. M. (1984b). An acoustic model of a multiple-channel cochlear implant, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **76**(1): 97-103.
- Blamey, P. J., Martin, L. F. A. and Clark, G. M. (1985). A comparison of three speech coding strategies using an acoustic model of a cochlear implant, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **77**(1): 209-217.

REFERENCES

- Boex, C., Baud, L., Cosendai, G., Sigrist, A., Kos, M. I. and Pellizone, M. (2006). Acoustic to electric pitch comparisons in cochlear implant subjects with residual hearing, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **7**(2): 110-124.
- Boëx, C., Kós, M. I. and Pelizzone, M. (2003). Forward masking in different cochlear implant systems, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **114**(4): 2058-2065.
- Boothroyd, A., Mulhearn, B., Gong, J. and Ostroff, J. (1996). Effects of spectral smearing on phoneme and word recognition, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **100**(3): 1807-1818.
- Briaire, J. J. and Frijns, J. H. M. (2000). Field patterns in a 3D tapered spiral model of the electrically stimulated cochlea, *Hearing Research* **148**(1-2): 18-30.
- Brusco, M., J (2004). On the concordance among empirical confusion matrices for visual and tactual letter recognition, *Perception and Psychophysics* **3**(66): 392-397.
- Buechner, A., Brendel, M., Krüeger, B., Frohne-Büchner, C., Nogueira, W., Edler, B. and Lenarz, T. (2008). Current steering and results from novel speech coding strategies, *Otology and Neurotology* **29**(2): 203-207.
- Buechner, A., Frohne-Buechner, C., Gaertner, L., Lesinski-Schiedat, A., Battmer, R. D. and Lenarz, T. (2006). Evaluation of Advanced Bionics high resolution mode, *International Journal of Audiology* **45**(7): 407-416.
- Buechner, A., Frohne-Buechner, C., Stoeber, T., Gaertner, L., Battmer, R. D. and Lenarz, T. (2005). Comparison of a paired or sequential stimulation paradigm with Advanced Bionics' high-resolution mode, *Otology and Neurotology* **26**(5): 941-947.
- Busby, P. A. and Clark, G. M. (2000). Pitch estimation by early-deafened subjects using a multiple-electrode cochlear implant, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **107**(1): 547-558.
- Busby, P. A., Whitford, L. A., Blamey, P. J., Richardson, L. M. and Clark, G. M. (1994). Pitch perception for different modes of stimulation using the Cochlear multiple-electrode prosthesis, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **95**(5): 2658-2669.
- Carlyon, R. P., Macherey, O., Frijns, J. H. M., Axon, P. R., Kalkman, R. K., Boyle, P., Baguley, D. M., Briggs, J., Deeks, J. M. and Briaire, J. J. (2010). Pitch comparisons

REFERENCES

- between electrical stimulation of a cochlear implant and acoustic stimuli presented to a normal-hearing contralateral ear, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **11**(4): 1-16.
- Carlyon, R. P., van Wieringen, A., Long, C. J., Deeks, J. M. and Wouters, J. (2002). Temporal pitch mechanisms in acoustic and electric hearing, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **112**(2): 621-633.
- Collins, L. M., Zwolan, T. A. and Wakefield, G. H. (1997). Comparison of electrode discrimination, pitch ranking, and pitch scaling data in postlingually deafened adult cochlear implant subjects, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **101**(1): 440-455.
- Dallos, P. and Cheatham, M. A. (1976). Production of cochlear potentials by inner and outer hair cells, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **60**(2): 510-512.
- Davidson, L. S., Skinner, M. W., Holstad, B. A., Fears, B. T., Richter, M. K., Matusofsky, M., Brenner, C., Holden, T., Birath, A. and Kettel, J. L. (2009). The effect of instantaneous input dynamic range setting on the speech perception of children with the Nucleus 24 implant, *Ear and Hearing* **30**(3): 340-349.
- De Balthasar, C., Boex, C., Cosendai, G., Valentini, G., Sigrist, A. and Pelizzone, M. (2003). Channel interactions with high-rate biphasic electrical stimulation in cochlear implant subjects, *Hearing Research* **182**(1-2): 77-87.
- Deeks, J. M. and Carlyon, R. P. (2004). Simulations of cochlear implant hearing using filtered harmonic complexes: Implications for concurrent speech segregation, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **115**(4): 1736-1746.
- Dorman, M. F. and Loizou, P. C. (1997). Changes in speech intelligibility as a function of time and signal processing strategy for an Ineraid patient fitted with continuous interleaved sampling (CIS) processors, *Ear and Hearing* **18**(2): 147-155.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C., Fitzke, J. and Tu, Z. (1998). The recognition of sentences in noise by normal-hearing listeners using simulations of cochlear-implant signal processors with 6–20 channels, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(6): 3583-3585.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C., Fitzke, J. and Tu, Z. (2000). Recognition of monosyllabic words by cochlear implant patients and by normal-hearing subjects listening to

REFERENCES

- words processed through cochlear implant signal processing strategies, *Annals of Otolaryngology, Rhinology and Laryngology Supplement* **185**: 64-66.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C. and Rainey, D. (1997a). Simulating the effect of cochlear-implant electrode insertion depth on speech understanding, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **102**(5): 2993-2996.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C. and Rainey, D. (1997b). Speech intelligibility as a function of the number of channels of stimulation for signal processors using sine-wave and noise-band outputs, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **102**(4): 2403-2411.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C., Spahr, A. J. and Maloff, E. (2002). A comparison of the speech understanding provided by acoustic models of fixed-channel and channel-picking signal processors for cochlear implants, *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research* **45**(4): 783-788.
- Dorman, M. F., Spahr, A. J., Loizou, P. C., Dana, C. J. and Schmidt, J. S. (2005). Acoustic simulations of combined electric and acoustic hearing (EAS), *Ear and Hearing* **26**(4): 371-380.
- Faulkner, A., Rosen, S. and Norman, C. (2006). The right information may matter more than frequency-place alignment: Simulations of frequency-aligned and upward shifting cochlear implant processors for a shallow electrode array insertion, *Ear and Hearing* **27**(2): 139-152.
- Faulkner, A., Rosen, S. and Stanton, D. (2003). Simulations of tonotopically mapped speech processors for cochlear implant electrodes varying in insertion depth, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **113**(2): 1073-1080.
- Fetterman, B. L. and Domico, E. H. (2002). Speech recognition in background noise of cochlear implant patients, *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* **126**(3): 257-263.
- Firszt, J. B. (2003). HiResolution™ Sound Processing, *Advanced Bionics white paper*, Advanced Bionics Corporation.
- Firszt, J. B., Holden, L. K., Reeder, R. M. and Skinner, M. W. (2009). Speech recognition in cochlear implant recipients: Comparison of standard HiRes and HiRes 120 sound processing, *Otolaryngology and Neurotology* **30**(2): 146-152.

REFERENCES

- Firszt, J. B., Koch, D. B., Downing, M. and Litvak, L. (2007). Current steering creates additional pitch percepts in adult cochlear implant recipients, *Otology and Neurotology* **28**(5): 629-636.
- Fishman, K. E., Shannon, R. V. and Slattery, W. H. (1997). Speech recognition as a function of the number of electrodes used in the SPEAK cochlear implant speech processor, *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research* **40**(5): 1201-1215.
- Formby, C. (1985). Differential sensitivity to tonal frequency and to the rate of amplitude modulation of broadband noise by normally hearing listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **78**: 70-77.
- Friesen, L. M., Shannon, R. V., Baskent, D. and Wang, X. (2001). Speech recognition in noise as a function of the number of spectral channels: Comparison of acoustic hearing and cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **110**(2): 1150-1163.
- Friesen, L. M., Shannon, R. V. and Cruz, R. J. (2005). Effects of stimulation rate on speech recognition with cochlear implants, *Audiology and Neurotology* **10**(3): 169-184.
- Frijns, J. H. M., Briare, J. J. and Grote, J. J. (2001). The importance of human cochlear anatomy for the results of modiolus-hugging multichannel cochlear implants, *Otology and Neurotology* **22**(3): 340-349.
- Frijns, J. H. M., de Snoo, S. L. and Schoonhoven, R. (1995). Potential distributions and neural excitation patterns in a rotationally symmetric model of the electrically stimulated cochlea, *Hearing Research* **87**(1-2): 170-186.
- Frijns, J. H. M., Klop, W. M. C., Bonnet, R. M. and Briare, J. J. (2003). Optimizing the number of electrodes with high-rate stimulation of the Clarion CII cochlear implant, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **123**(2): 138-142.
- Fu, Q. J. and Galvin III, J. J. (2001). Recognition of spectrally asynchronous speech by normal-hearing listeners and Nucleus-22 cochlear implant users, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **109**(3): 1166-1172.
- Fu, Q. J. and Nogaki, G. (2005). Noise susceptibility of cochlear implant users: The role of spectral resolution and smearing, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **6**(1): 19-27.

REFERENCES

- Fu, Q. J. and Shannon, R. V. (1998). Effects of amplitude nonlinearity on phoneme recognition by cochlear implant users and normal-hearing listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(5): 2570-2577.
- Fu, Q. J. and Shannon, R. V. (1999). Recognition of spectrally degraded and frequency-shifted vowels in acoustic and electric hearing, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **105**(3): 1889-1900.
- Fu, Q. J. and Shannon, R. V. (2000a). Effect of stimulation rate on phoneme recognition by Nucleus-22 cochlear implant listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **107**(1): 589-587.
- Fu, Q. J. and Shannon, R. V. (2000b). Effects of dynamic range and amplitude mapping on phoneme recognition in Nucleus-22 cochlear implant users, *Ear and Hearing* **21**(3): 227-239.
- Fu, Q. J., Shannon, R. V. and Wang, X. (1998). Effects of noise and spectral resolution on vowel and consonant recognition: Acoustic and electric hearing, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(6): 3586-3596.
- Galvin, J. J. and Fu, Q. J. (2005). Effects of stimulation rate, mode and level on modulation detection by cochlear implant users, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **6**(3): 269-279.
- Gantz, B. and Turner, C. W. (2003). Combining acoustic and electric hearing, *Laryngoscope* **113**(10): 1726-1730.
- Geurts, L. and Wouters, J. (2000). A concept for a research tool for experiments with cochlear implant users, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **108**(6): 2949-2956.
- Geurts, L. and Wouters, J. (2001). Coding of the fundamental frequency in continuous interleaved sampling processors for cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **109**(2): 713-726.
- Grant, K. W. and Van Summers, M. R. L. (1998). Modulation rate detection and discrimination by normal-hearing and hearing-impaired listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(2): 1051-1060.

REFERENCES

- Green, T., Faulkner, A. and Rosen, S. (2004). Enhancing temporal cues to voice pitch in continuous interleaved sampling cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **116**(4): 2298-2310.
- Greenwood, D. D. (1990). A cochlear frequency-position function for several species: 29 years later, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **87**(6): 2592-2605.
- Gstoettner, W. K. (1998). Speech discrimination scores of postlingually deaf adults implanted with the Combi 40 cochlear implant, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **118**(5): 640-645.
- Gstoettner, W. K. (2001). Perimodiolar electrodes in cochlear implant surgery, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **121**(2): 216-219.
- Gulick, W. L. (1971). *Hearing: Physiology and psychophysics*, Oxford University Press, London.
- Guyton, A. C. and Hall, J. E. (2006). *Textbook of medical physiology*, Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia.
- Hamvazi, J., Baumgartner, W. D., Pok, S. M., Franz, P. and Gstoettner, W. K. (2003). Variables affecting speech perception in post-lingually deaf adults following cochlear implants, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **123**(4): 493-498.
- Hanekom, T. (2001). Three-dimensional spiraling finite element model of the electrically stimulated cochlea, *Ear and Hearing* **22**(4): 300-315.
- Hanekom, T. (2005). Modelling encapsulation tissue around cochlear implant electrodes, *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* **43**(1): 47-55.
- Healy, E. W. and Bacon, S. P. (2002). Across-frequency comparison of temporal speech information by listeners with normal and impaired hearing, *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research* **45**(6): 1262-1275.
- Helms, J., Muller, J., Schön, F., Winkler, F., Moser, L., Shehata-Dieler, W., Kastenbauer, E., Baumann, U., Rasp, G. and Schorn, K. (2001). Comparison of the TEMPO+ ear-level speech processor and the CIS PRO+ body-worn processor in adult MED-EL cochlear implant users, *Journal for Otorhinolaryngology and Related Specialties* **63**(1): 31-40.

REFERENCES

- Henry, B. A., McKay, C. M., McDermott, H. J. and Clark, G. M. (2000). The relationship between speech perception and electrode discrimination in cochlear implantees, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **108**(3): 1269-1280.
- Hillenbrand, J., Getty, L., Clark, M. and Wheeler, K. (1995). Acoustic characteristics of American English vowels, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **97**(5): 3099-3111.
- Hochmair, I., Nopp, P., Jolly, C., Schmidt, M., Schöber, H., Garnham, C. and Anderson, I. (2006). MED-EL cochlear implants: State of the art and a glimpse into the future, *Trends in Amplification* **10**(4): 201.
- Holden, L. K., Skinner, M. W., Holden, T. A. and Demorest, M. E. (2002). Effects of stimulation rate with the Nucleus 24 ACE speech coding strategy, *Ear and Hearing* **23**(5): 463-476.
- Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **6**(2): 65-70.
- House Ear Institute and Cochlear Corporation (1996). *Minimum speech test battery for adult cochlear implant users CD*.
- James, C. J., Blamey, P., Martin, L., Swanson, B., Just, E. and Macfarlane, D. (2002). Adaptive dynamic range optimization for cochlear implants: a preliminary study, *Ear Hearing* **23**(1 Supplement): 49S-58S.
- Javel, E. and Shepherd, R. K. (2000). Electrical stimulation of the auditory nerve III. Response initiation sites and temporal fine structure, *Hearing Research* **140**(1-2): 45-76.
- Kasturi, K., Loizou, P. C., Dorman, M. and Spahr, T. (2002). The intelligibility of speech with holes in the spectrum, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **112**(3): 1102-1132.
- Kawano, A., Seldon, H. L., Clark, G. M., Ramsden, R. T. and Raine, C. H. (1998). Intracochlear factors contributing to psychophysical percepts following cochlear implantation, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **118**(3): 313-326.
- Kessler, D. K. (1999). The Clarion multi-strategy cochlear implant, *Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology* **108**(suppl 177): 8-16.

REFERENCES

- Kiang, N., Goldstein, M. and Peake, W. (1962). Temporal coding of neural responses to acoustic stimuli, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **8**(2): 113-119.
- Kiefer, J., Hohl, S., Sturzebecher, E., Pfennigdorff, T. and Gstoettner, W. (2001). Comparison of speech recognition with different speech coding strategies (SPEAK, CIS, and ACE) and their relationship to telemetry measures of compound action potentials in the nucleus CI 24M cochlear implant system, *Audiology* **40**(1): 32-42.
- Kiefer, J., Ilberg, C., Rupprecht, V., Hubnet-Egener, J., Baumgartner, W., Gstottner, W., Forgasi, K. and Stephan, K. (1997). Optimized speech understanding with the CIS speech coding strategy in cochlear implants: The effect of variations in stimulus rate and number of channels, *Vth International Cochlear Implant Conference, New York, NY*, pp. 1009-1020.
- Kileny, P. R., Zwolan, T. A., Telian, S. A. and Boerst, A. (1998). Performance with the 20+ 2L lateral wall cochlear implant, *American Journal of Otology* **19**(3): 313-319.
- Kós, M. I., Boëx, C., Sigrist, A., Guyot, J. P. and Pelizzone, M. (2005). Measurements of electrode position inside the cochlea for different cochlear implant systems, *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* **125**(5): 474-480.
- Kral, A., Hartmann, R., Mortazavi, D. and Klinke, R. (1998). Spatial resolution of cochlear implants: The electrical field and excitation of auditory afferents, *Hearing Research* **121**(1-2): 11-28.
- Kreft, H. A., Donaldson, G. S. and Nelson, D. A. (2004). Effects of pulse rate on threshold and dynamic range in Clarion cochlear-implant users (L), *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **115**(5): 1885-1888.
- Kwon, B. J. and van den Honert, C. (2006). Effect of electrode configuration on psychophysical forward masking in cochlear implant listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **119**(5): 2994-3002.
- Kwon, B. J., van den Honert, C. and Parkinson, W. (2003). Growth of interleaved masking patterns for cochlear implant listeners at different stimulation rates, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **113**(4): 2197-2198.
- Laneau, J. and Wouters, J. (2004). Multichannel place pitch sensitivity in cochlear implant recipients, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **5**(3): 285-294.

REFERENCES

- Laneau, J., Wouters, J. and Moonen, M. (2006). Factors affecting the use of noise-band vocoders as acoustic models for pitch perception in cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **119**(1): 491-506.
- Li, T. and Fu, Q. J. (2007). Perceptual adaptation to spectrally shifted vowels: Training with nonlexical labels, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **8**(1): 32-41.
- Li, T. and Fu, Q. J. (2010). Effects of spectral shifting on speech perception in noise, *Hearing Research* **270**(1-2): 81-88.
- Loizou, P. C. (1999). Introduction to cochlear implants, *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine* **18**(1): 32-42.
- Loizou, P. C. (2006). Speech processing in vocoder-centric cochlear implants, in Moller, A. (Ed.), *Cochlear and Brainstem implants. Advances in Otorhinolaryngology*, Karger.
- Loizou, P. C., Dorman, M. and Fitzke, J. (2000a). The effect of reduced dynamic range on speech understanding: implications for patients with cochlear implants, *Ear and Hearing* **21**(1): 25-31.
- Loizou, P. C., Dorman, M., Poroy, O. and Spahr, T. (2000b). Speech recognition by normal-hearing and cochlear implant listeners as a function of intensity resolution, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **108**(5): 2377-2386.
- Loizou, P. C., Dorman, M. and Tu, Z. (1999). On the number of channels needed to understand speech, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **106**(4): 2097-2103.
- Loizou, P. C., Dorman, M. F., Tu, Z. and Fitzke, J. (2000c). Recognition of sentences in noise by normal-hearing listeners using simulations of SPEAK-type cochlear implant signal processors, *Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology Supplement* **185**: 67-68.
- Loizou, P. C., Graham, S., Dickins, J., Dorman, M. and Poroy, O. (1997). Comparing the performance of the SPEAK strategy (Spectra 22) and the CIS strategy (Med-El) in quiet and in noise, *Conference on Implantable Auditory Prostheses, Asilomar, Monterey, CA*.

REFERENCES

- Loizou, P. C. and Poroy, O. (2001). Minimum spectral contrast needed for vowel identification by normal hearing and cochlear implant listeners, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **110**(3): 1619-1637.
- Loizou, P. C., Poroy, O. and Dorman, M. (2000d). The effect of parametric variations of cochlear implant processors on speech understanding, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **108**(2): 790-802.
- Loizou, P. C., Stickney, G., Mishra, L. and Assmann, P. (2003). Comparison of speech processing strategies used in the Clarion implant processor, *Ear and Hearing* **24**(1): 12-19.
- Marrinan, M. S., Roland Jr, J. T., Reitzen, S. D., Waltzman, S. B., Cohen, L. T. and Cohen, N. L. (2004). Degree of modiolar coiling, electrical thresholds, and speech perception after cochlear implantation, *Otology and Neurotology* **25**(3): 290-294.
- McKay, C. M. and Carlyon, R. P. (1999). Dual temporal pitch percepts from acoustic and electric amplitude-modulated pulse trains, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **105**(1): 347-357.
- McKay, C. M. and Henshall, K. R. (2002). Frequency-to-electrode allocation and speech perception with cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **111**(2): 1036-1044.
- McKay, C. M. and McDermott, H. J. (1998). Loudness perception with pulsatile electrical stimulation: The effect of interpulse intervals, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(2 Pt 1): 1061-1074.
- McKay, C. M., Remine, M. D. and McDermott, H. J. (2001). Loudness summation for pulsatile electrical stimulation of the cochlea: Effects of rate, electrode separation, level, and mode of stimulation, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **110**(3): 1514-1524.
- Meddis, R. and O'Mard, L. (1997). A unitary model of pitch perception, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **102**(3): 1811-1820.
- Mehr, M. A., Turner, C. W. and Parkinson, A. (2001). Channel weights for speech recognition in cochlear implant users, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **109**(1): 359-366.

REFERENCES

- Middlebrooks, J. C. (2004). Effects of cochlear-implant pulse rate and inter-channel timing on channel interactions and thresholds, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **116**(1): 452-468.
- Middlebrooks, J. C. (2008). Cochlear-implant pulse rate and narrow electrode configuration impair transmission of temporal information to the auditory cortex, *Journal of Neurophysiology*: 92-107.
- Miller, G. A. and Nicely, P. E. (1955). An analysis of perceptual confusions among some English consonants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **27**(2): 338-352.
- Mishra, L. N. (2000). *Analysis of speech processing strategies for the Clarion implant processor*, Master's thesis, University of Texas, Dallas.
- Moller, A. R. (1983). *Auditory physiology*, Academic Press, New York.
- Moore, B. C. J. (2003). *An introduction to the psychology of hearing*, Academic Press, Amsterdam; Boston.
- Nie, K., Stickney, G. and Zeng, F. G. (2005). Encoding frequency modulation to improve cochlear implant performance in noise, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **52**(1): 64-73.
- Nilsson, M., Soli, S. D. and Sullivan, J. A. (1994). Development of the Hearing in Noise Test for the measurement of speech reception thresholds in quiet and in noise, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **95**(2): 1085-1099.
- Nogueira, W., Litvak, L., Edler, B., Ostermann, J. and Büchner, A. (2009). Signal processing strategies for cochlear implants using current steering, *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing* **2009**: 3-22.
- Oxenham, A. J., Bernstein, J. G. W. and Penagos, H. (2004). Correct tonotopic representation is necessary for complex pitch perception, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **101**(5): 1421-1425.
- Paglialonga, A., Fiochi, S., Ravazzani, P. and Tognola, G. (2010). Enhancement of neural stochastic firing in cochlear implant stimulation by the addition of noise: A computational study of the influence of stimulation settings and spontaneous activity, *Computers in Biology and Medicine* **40**(6): 597-606.
- Patrick, J. F., Busby, P. A. and Gibson, P. J. (2006). The development of the Nucleus (R) FreedomTM cochlear implant system, *Trends in Amplification* **10**(4): 175-200.

REFERENCES

- Patterson, R. D., Allerhand, M. H. and Giguère, C. (1995). Time-domain modeling of peripheral auditory processing: A modular architecture and a software platform, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **98**(4): 1890-1894.
- Pfingst, B. E., Franck, K. H., Xu, L., Bauer, E. M. and Zwolan, T. A. (2001). Effects of electrode configuration and place of stimulation on speech perception with cochlear prostheses, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **2**(2): 87-103.
- Pfingst, B. E., Xu, L. and Thompson, C. S. (2004). Across-site threshold variation in cochlear implants: Relation to speech recognition, *Audiology and Neurotology* **9**(6): 341-352.
- Pfingst, B. E., Zwolan, T. A. and Holloway, L. A. (1997). Effects of stimulus configuration on psychophysical operating levels and on speech recognition with cochlear implants, *Hearing Research* **112**(1-2): 247-260.
- Pretorius, L. L., Hanekom, J. J., Van Wieringen, A. and Wouters, J. (2006). 'n Analitiese tegniek om die foneem-herkenningsvermoë van Suid-Afrikaanse kogleëre implantingsgebruikers te bepaal (Analytical technique to determine the phoneme-recognition ability of South African cochlear implant users), *Die Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif vir Natuurwetenskap en Tegnologie* **25**(4): 195-207.
- Propst, E. J., Gordon, K. A., Harrison, R. V., Abel, S. M. and Papsin, B. C. (1996). Sound frequency discrimination in normal-hearing listeners and cochlear implantees, *University of Toronto Medical Journal* **79**(2): 100-106.
- Rattay, F. (1990). *Electrical nerve stimulation*, Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York.
- Rosen, S., Faulkner, A. and Wilkinson, L. (1999). Adaptation by normal listeners to upward spectral shifts of speech: Implications for cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **106**(6): 3629-3636.
- Rubinstein, J. T. and Hong, R. (2003). Signal coding in cochlear implants: Exploiting stochastic effects of electrical stimulation, *Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology Supplement* **191**: 14-19.
- Rubinstein, J. T. and Turner, C. (2003). A novel acoustic simulation of cochlear implant hearing: Effects of temporal fine structure, *Conference proceedings of the first*

- International IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society conference on Neural Engineering, 2003*, pp. 142-145.
- Rubinstein, J. T., Wilson, B. S., Finley, C. C. and Abbas, P. J. (1999). Pseudospontaneous activity: stochastic independence of auditory nerve fibers with electrical stimulation, *Hearing Research* **127**(1-2): 108-118.
- Shannon, R. V. (1983). Multi-channel electrical stimulation of the auditory nerve in man. II. Channel interaction, *Hearing Research* **12**(1): 1-16.
- Shannon, R. V. (1985). Threshold and loudness functions for pulsatile stimulation of cochlear implants, *Hearing Research* **18**(2): 135-143.
- Shannon, R. V., Galvin III, J. J. and Baskent, D. (2002). Holes in hearing, *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **3**(2): 185-199.
- Shannon, R. V., Zeng, F. G., Kamath, V., Wygonski, J. and Ekelid, M. (1995). Speech recognition with primarily temporal cues, *Science* **270**(5234): 303-304.
- Shannon, R. V., Zeng, F. G. and Wygonski, J. (1998). Speech recognition with altered spectral distribution of envelope cues, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **104**(4): 2467.
- Sit, J. J., Simonson, A. M., Oxenham, A. J., Faltys, M. A. and Sarpeshkar, R. (2007). A low-power asynchronous interleaved sampling algorithm for cochlear implants that encodes envelope and phase information, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **54**(1): 138-149.
- Skinner, M. W., Holden, L. K., Whitford, L. A., Plant, K. L., Psarros, C. and Holden, T. A. (2002). Speech recognition with the Nucleus 24 SPEAK, ACE, and CIS speech coding strategies in newly implanted adults, *Ear and Hearing* **23**(3): 207-223.
- Souza, P. E. and Boike, K. T. (2006). Combining temporal-envelope cues across channels: Effects of age and hearing loss, *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research* **49**(1): 138-149.
- Spahr, A. J., Dorman, M. F. and Loisel, L. H. (2007). Performance of patients using different cochlear implant systems: Effects of input dynamic range, *Ear and Hearing* **28**(2): 260-275.

REFERENCES

- Stickney, G. S., Zeng, F. G., Litovsky, R. and Assmann, P. (2004). Cochlear implant speech recognition with speech maskers, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **116**(2): 1081-1091.
- Stollwerck, L. E., Goodrum-Clarke, K., Lynch, C., Armstrong-Bednall, G., Nunn, T., Markoff, L., Mens, L., McAnallen, C., Wei, J. and Boyle, P. (2001). Speech processing strategy preferences among 55 European Clarion cochlear implant users, *Scandinavian Audiology* **30**(Suppl 52): 36-38.
- Stone, M. A. and Moore, B. C. J. (2003). Effect of the speed of a single-channel dynamic range compressor on intelligibility in a competing speech task, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **114**(2): 1023-1034.
- Strydom, T. and Hanekom, J. J. (2011a). An analysis of the effects of electrical field interaction with an acoustic model of cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* (accepted for publication).
- Strydom, T. and Hanekom, J. J. (2011b). The performance of different synthesis signals in acoustic models of cochlear implants, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **119**(2): 920-933.
- Stypulkowski, P. H. and Van den Honert, C. (1984). Physiological properties of the electrically stimulated auditory nerve. I. Compound action potential recordings, *Hearing Research* **14**: 205-223.
- Theunissen, M., Swanepoel, D. and Hanekom, J. J. (2008). A comparison of list compilation methods in a test of sentence recognition in noise, *XXIXth International Congress of Audiology in Hong Kong, Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre, Wanchai, Hong Kong*.
- Throckmorton, C. S. and Collins, L. M. (2002). The effect of channel interactions on speech recognition in cochlear implant subjects: Predictions from an acoustic model, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **112**(1): 285-296.
- Throckmorton, C. S., Selin Kucukoglu, M., Remus, J. J. and Collins, L. M. (2006). Acoustic model investigation of a multiple carrier frequency algorithm for encoding fine frequency structure: Implications for cochlear implants, *Hearing Research* **218**(1-2): 30-42.

REFERENCES

- Tierney, J., Zissman, M. A. and Eddington, D. K. (2004). Digital signal processing applications in cochlear-implant research, *The Lincoln Laboratory Journal* **7**(1): 31-62.
- Turner, C. W., Gantz, B. J., Vidal, C., Behrens, A. and Henry, B. A. (2004). Speech recognition in noise for cochlear implant listeners: Benefits of residual acoustic hearing, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **115**(4): 1729-1735.
- Tykocinski, M., Saunders, E., Cohen, L. T., Treaba, C., Briggs, R. J., Gibson, P., Clark, G. M. and Cowan, R. S. (2001). The contour electrode array: Safety study and initial patient trials of a new perimodiolar design, *Otology and Neurotology* **22**(1): 33-41.
- Tyler, R. S., Preece, J. and Tye-Murray, M. (1986). The Iowa audiovisual speech perception laser videodisc, *Laser Videodisc and laboratory report*, Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics, Iowa City, IA.
- van den Honert, C. and Stypulkowski, P. H. (1987a). Single fiber mapping of spatial excitation patterns in the electrically stimulated auditory nerve, *Hearing Research* **29**(2-3): 195-206.
- van den Honert, C. and Stypulkowski, P. H. (1987b). Temporal response patterns of single auditory nerve fibers elicited by periodic electrical stimuli, *Hearing Research* **29**(2-3): 207-222.
- Van Immerseel, L., Peeters, S., Dykmans, P., Vanpoucke, F. and Bracke, P. (2005). SPAIDE: A real-time research platform for the Clarion CII/90K cochlear implant, *EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing* **18**: 3060-3068.
- van Wieringen, A. and Wouters, J. (1999). Natural vowel and consonant recognition by Laura cochlear implantees, *Ear and Hearing* **20**(2): 89-103.
- Vandali, A. E., Whitford, L. A., Plant, K. L. and Clark, G. M. (2000). Speech perception as a function of electrical stimulation rate: using the Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system, *Ear and Hearing* **21**(6): 608-624.
- Verschuur, C. (2007). *Acoustic models of consonant recognition in cochlear implant users*, Doctoral thesis, University of Southampton.

REFERENCES

- Verschuur, C. (2009). Modeling the effect of channel number and interaction on consonant recognition in a cochlear implant peak-picking strategy, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **125**(3): 1723-1736.
- von Ilberg, C., Frankfurt, U. and für Hals-Nasen-Ohrenheilkunde, K. (1999). Electric-acoustic stimulation of the auditory system new technology for severe hearing loss, *Logo* **61**(6): 334-340.
- Wakefield, G. H. and Viemeister, N. F. (1990). Discrimination of modulation depth of sinusoidal amplitude modulation (SAM) noise, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **88**(3): 1367-1373.
- Whitmal III, N. A., Poissant, S. F., Freyman, R. L. and Helfer, K. S. (2007). Speech intelligibility in cochlear implant simulations: Effects of carrier type, interfering noise, and subject experience, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **122**(4): 2376-2388.
- Wilson, B. S. and Dorman, M. F. (2008). Cochlear implants: Current designs and future possibilities, *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* **45**(5): 695-730.
- Wilson, B. S., Schatzer, R., Lopez-Poveda, E. A., Sun, X., Lawson, D. T. and Wolford, R. D. (2005). Two new directions in speech processor design for cochlear implants, *Ear and Hearing* **26**(4): 73S-81S.
- Wilson, B. S., Sun, X., Schatzer, R. and Wolford, R. D. (2004). Representation of fine structure or fine frequency information with cochlear implants, *International Congress Series* **1273**: 3-6.
- Xu, L., Thompson, C. S. and Pfingst, B. E. (2005). Relative contributions of spectral and temporal cues for phoneme recognition, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **117**(5): 3255-3267.
- Xu, L. and Zheng, Y. (2007). Spectral and temporal cues for phoneme recognition in noise, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **122**(3): 1758-1764.
- Yukawa, K., Cohen, L., Blamey, P., Pyman, B., Tungvachirakul, V. and Leary, S. (2004). Effects of insertion depth of cochlear implant electrodes upon speech perception, *Audiology and Neurotology* **9**(3): 163-172.
- Zeng, F. G. (2002). Temporal pitch in electric hearing, *Hearing Research* **174**(1-2): 101-106.

REFERENCES

- Zeng, F. G. (2004). Trends in cochlear implants, *Trends in Amplification* **8**(1): 1-34.
- Zeng, F. G. and Galvin, J. J. (1999). Amplitude mapping and phoneme recognition in cochlear implant listeners, *Ear and Hearing* **20**(1): 60-74.
- Zeng, F. G., Grant, G., Niparko, J., Galvin, J., Shannon, R., Opie, J. and Segel, P. (2002). Speech dynamic range and its effect on cochlear implant performance, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **111**(1): 377-386.
- Zeng, F. G. and Shannon, R. V. (1992). Loudness balance between electric and acoustic stimulation, *Hearing Research* **60**(2): 231-235.
- Zimmerman-Phillips, S. and Murad, C. (1999). Programming features of the Clarion Multi-Strategy cochlear implant, *Annals of Otology, Rhinology and Laryngology Supplement* **108**(4 Pt. 2): 17-21.
- Zwolan, T. A., Collins, L. M. and Wakefield, G. H. (1997). Electrode discrimination and speech recognition in postlingually deafened adult cochlear implant subjects, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **102**(6): 3673-3685.
- Zwolan, T. A., Kileny, P. R., Smith, S., Waltzman, S., Chute, P., Domico, E., Firszt, J., Hodges, A., Mills, D. and Whearty, M. (2005). Comparison of continuous interleaved sampling and simultaneous analog stimulation speech processing strategies in newly implanted adults with a Clarion 1.2 cochlear implant, *Otology and Neurotology* **26**(3): 455-465.