



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

ACOUSTIC MODELS OF COCHLEAR IMPLANTS

by

Trudie Strydom

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor (Biosystems)

in the

Faculty of Engineering, the Built Environment and Information

Technology

Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

NOVEMBER 2010

SUMMARY

ACOUSTIC MODELS OF COCHLEAR IMPLANTS

by

Trudie Strydom

Supervisor: Prof J.J. Hanekom

Department: Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering

University: University of Pretoria

Degree: Philosophiae Doctor (Biosystems)

Keywords: Acoustic model, acoustic simulation, current decay, current spread, spread of excitation, simultaneous stimulation, non-linear compression, speech intelligibility, consonant intelligibility, vowel intelligibility, feature transmission.

Acoustic models are useful tools to increase understanding of cochlear implant perception. Two particular issues in modelling cochlear implant perception were considered in the present study, which aimed at improving acoustic models. The first included an electrical layer in the model, while the second manipulated synthesis signal parameters.

Two parts of the study explored the effects of current decay, compression function and simultaneous stimulation, by including the electrical layer. The SPREAD model, which incorporated this layer, yielded the asymptote in speech intelligibility at seven channels observed in CI listeners. It was shown that the intensity of border channels was de-emphasised in relation to more central channels. This was caused by the one-sided effects

of current spread from neighbouring channels for the border channels, as opposed to the two-sided effects for the more central channels. It was theorised that more compressive mapping functions would affect spectral cues and consequently speech intelligibility, but speech intelligibility experiments did not confirm this theory. A simultaneous analogue stimulation (SAS) model, which modelled simultaneous stimulation, yielded intelligibility results that were lower than those of the SPREAD model at 16 channels. The SAS model also appeared to introduce more temporal distortion than the SPREAD model.

A third part of the study endeavoured to improve correspondence of acoustic model results with cochlear implant listener results by using nine different synthesis signals. The best synthesis signal was noise-band based. The widths of these increased linearly from 0.4 mm at the apical to 8 mm at the basal end. Good correspondence between speech recognition outcomes using this synthesis signal with those of CI listeners was found.

OPSOMMING

AKOESTIESE MODELLE VAN KOGLEËRE INPLANTINGS

deur

Trudie Strydom

Promotor: Prof J.J. Hanekom

Departement: Elektriese, Elektroniese en Rekenaaringenieurswese

Universiteit: Universiteit van Pretoria

Graad: Philosophiae Doctor (Biosisteme)

Sleutelwoorde: Akoestiese model, akoestiese simulاسie, stroomverval, stroomverspreiding, spraakherkenning, gelyktydige stimulasie, nie-lineêre samedrukking, spraakherkenning, konsonantherkenning, vokaalherkenning.

Akoestiese modelle word algemeen gebruik om die persepsie van inplantingsgebruikers beter te verstaan. Twee benaderings tot die modellering van kogleêre inplantingsgebruikerpersepsie is voorgestel om akoestiese modelle te verbeter. In die eerste benadering is die generiese model verbeter deur die byvoeging van 'n elektriese laag en in die tweede benadering is sinteseseinparameters gemanipuleer om die ooreenkoms met inplantingsgebruikersuitkomstes te verbeter.

Twee dele van die studie het die effek van stroomverspreiding, samedrukking-funksie en gelyktydige stimulasie ondersoek deur die insluiting van die elektriese laag. Die SPREAD-model het die asimptoot in spraakherkenning by sewe kanale getoon. Die intensiteit van grenskanale is onderbeklemtoon in verhouding met meer sentrale kanale. Dit is veroorsaak

deur die eensydige effekte van stroomverspreiding vir die grenskanale, teenoor die tweesydige effekte wat meer sentrale kanale tipies beïnvloed. Die model het gesuggereer dat meer samedrukkende funksies spektrale inligting sou affekteer, maar spraakherkenningsdata het nie hierdie teorie bevestig nie.

Die gelyktydige- analoogstimulasiemodel, wat gelyktydige stimulasie gemodelleer het, het soortgelyke tendense getoon, maar met meer temporale effekte as die SPREAD-model. Die gelyktydige- analoogstimulasiemodel-model se resultate was ook swakker by 16 kanale as die SPREAD-modelresultate.

Die derde deel van die studie het gepoog om beter ooreenkoms tussen modeluitkomst en inplantingsgebruikeruitkomst te verkry deur nege verskillende sinteseseine te gebruik. Die beste sintesesein was die ruisband met veranderende wydte; hierdie wydte het verbreed vanaf 0.4 mm by die apeks tot by 8 mm by die basis. 'n Goeie ooreenkoms is verkry tussen modeluitkomst en inplantingsgebruikeruitkomst deur hierdie sintesesein te gebruik.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank my Heavenly Father for giving me a wonderful family to support me and giving me the intelligence, insights and perseverance to complete this study. This study made me realise that the human ear is indeed “fearfully and wonderfully made.”

Throughout seven years of study, my husband Gerrie has been a rock of support, empathy, encouragement and patience. He listened to theories, shared my enthusiasm for all the wonderful insights, encouraged me when my spirits were low, shared my joy and relief at papers being accepted and always assured me of his love.

My children helped in so many ways: Jaco and Louise supplied numerous dinners, Pieter wrote a song for the graduation ceremony (!) to keep me motivated and Chrissie and Madelein encouraged and listened endlessly to processed speech material. Suretha and Morné shared in my joy and despair and Christiaan provided warm hugs if things just became too much. Madelein imparted many hilarious moments in imitating the processed material. I would also like to thank my mother for her prayers and encouragement.

Thank you to all the people who sacrificed their time to listen to processed speech material; Tiaan and Tiaan, Renier, Johan and Michelle. I appreciate your willingness and enthusiastic participation and the many jokes.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Johan Hanekom, for his help in many aspects of the study. His tireless attention to detail and critical scrutiny of all arguments helped me grow in many ways.

The National Research Foundation of South Africa partly sponsored this study and I am thankful for this support.

Trudie Strydom



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACE	Advanced combination encoder
CI	Cochlear implant
CIS	Continuous interleaved sampling
EDR	Electrical dynamic range
IDR	Input dynamic range
PPS	Paired pulsatile stimulation
pps	Pulse per second
ppspch	Pulse per second per channel
PSD	Power spectral density
SAS	Simultaneous analogue stimulation
SD	Standard deviation
SNR	Signal-to-noise ratio
SPEAK	Spectral peak
TMTF	Temporal modulation transfer function
QPS	Quadrupolar pulsatile stimulation



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	RESEARCH PROBLEM	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT	5
1.3	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	6
1.4	APPROACH	8
1.5	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	8
1.6	OVERVIEW OF THESIS	9
CHAPTER 2	PARAMETERS THAT INFLUENCE PERCEPTION IN COCHLEAR IMPLANT AND NORMAL-HEARING LISTENERS	10
2.1	INTRODUCTION	10
2.2	GENERIC ACOUSTIC MODEL	11
2.3	CI PARAMETERS	15
2.3.1	Signal processing	16
2.3.2	Speech processing	18
2.3.3	Dynamic range compression	25
2.3.4	Insertion depth and frequency compression effects	28
2.3.5	Number and spacing of electrodes.....	30
2.3.6	Mode of stimulation	32
2.3.7	Rate of stimulation	34
2.4	PSYCHOACOUSTICS	38
2.4.1	Pitch.....	39
2.4.2	Intensity, loudness and dynamic range.....	41
2.5	ANATOMY	42



2.5.1	Overview	42
2.5.2	Speech intelligibility of normal-hearing listeners	42
2.5.3	Acoustic model considerations	43
2.6	ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY	44
2.6.1	Speech intelligibility of normal-hearing listeners	44
2.6.2	Acoustic model considerations	44
2.7	CONCLUSION	44
CHAPTER 3 FRAMEWORK FOR AN ACOUSTIC MODEL		47
3.1	INTRODUCTION	47
3.2	FRAMEWORK FOR ACOUSTIC MODELS	47
3.3	SYSTEM LAYERS	49
3.3.1	Signal- and speech-processing aspects	49
3.3.2	Physical implant aspects	49
3.3.3	Electrical aspects	49
3.3.4	Electrophysiological aspects	49
3.3.5	Perceptual aspects	50
3.4	SIGNAL PROCESSING	50
3.4.1	Block 1: Band-pass filter	51
3.4.2	Block 2: Extract envelope	51
3.4.3	Block 3: Compression	51
3.4.4	Block 4: Current spread	54
3.4.5	Block 5: Scaling of intensity	56
3.4.6	Block 6: Loudness growth function	58
3.4.7	Block 7: Synthesis signals	58



3.4.8	Modulation of synthesis signal	58
3.5	POWER SPECTRAL DENSITIES (PSDS) OF PROCESSED SIGNALS	60
3.6	CONCLUSION	62
CHAPTER 4 MODELLING THE ELECTRICAL INTERFACE: EFFECTS OF ELECTRICAL FIELD INTERACTION		
4.1	INTRODUCTION	64
4.2	METHODS	68
4.2.1	Acoustic models	68
4.2.2	Experimental methods	76
4.3	RESULTS	78
4.3.1	Sentence intelligibility	79
4.3.2	Consonant intelligibility	81
4.3.3	Vowel intelligibility	84
4.3.4	Effect of modelled current decay	86
4.3.5	Effect of different compression functions	87
4.4	DISCUSSION	88
4.4.1	Asymptote in speech intelligibility	88
4.4.2	Comparison with other acoustic models	93
4.4.3	Comparison with CI listener results	94
4.4.4	Effect of modelled current decay	96
4.4.5	Effect of the compression function	96
4.5	CONCLUSION	97



CHAPTER 5	MODELLING THE ELECTRICAL INTERFACE: EFFECTS OF SIMULTANEOUS STIMULATION AND COMPRESSION FUNCTION.....	99
5.1	MODELLING SIMULTANEOUS STIMULATION	99
5.1.1	Introduction	99
5.1.2	Methods	100
5.1.3	Results	104
5.1.4	Discussion.....	108
5.2	MODELLING THE COMPRESSION FUNCTION	111
5.2.1	Introduction	111
5.2.2	Methods	112
5.2.3	Results	113
5.2.4	Discussion.....	114
5.3	CONCLUSION.....	116
CHAPTER 6	MODELLING THE PERCEPTUAL LAYER: EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT SYNTHESIS SIGNALS	117
6.1	INTRODUCTION	117
6.2	METHODS	121
6.2.1	Signal processing.....	121
6.2.2	Listeners	129
6.2.3	Speech material.....	130
6.2.4	Procedure	130
6.2.5	Performance measures.....	133
6.3	RESULTS	136
6.4	DISCUSSION	140



6.5	CONCLUSION.....	150
CHAPTER 7 DISCUSSION		152
7.1	MODELLING CURRENT DECAY	152
7.1.1	Asymptote at seven channels and quantitative agreement with CI listener results.....	153
7.1.2	Assumption of current decay of 7 dB/mm for all electrode separations	153
7.1.3	Input dynamic range	154
7.1.4	Electrical dynamic range	155
7.1.5	Modelling consonant intelligibility	156
7.1.6	Explicit modelling of current decay	156
7.1.7	Non-simultaneous stimulation and stimulation rate	157
7.2	MODELLING SIMULTANEOUS STIMULATION	157
7.3	MODELLING THE COMPRESSION FUNCTION.....	158
7.4	MODELLING PERCEPTION USING SYNTHESIS SIGNALS.....	159
7.4.1	Speech intelligibility and variable noise bands	159
7.4.2	Consonant intelligibility and modulated signals	160
7.4.3	Modelling analysis sampling rate.....	160
7.4.4	Modelling stimulation rate.....	161
7.4.5	Modelling phase-locking to electrical stimulation	162
7.5	COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTS.....	163
7.6	FRAMEWORK	163
7.7	CONCLUSION.....	164



CHAPTER 8	CONCLUSION.....	165
8.1	MODELLING CURRENT DECAY	165
8.2	SYNTHESIS SIGNALS	166
8.3	MODELLING SIMULTANEOUS STIMULATION	167
8.4	MODELLING DYNAMIC RANGE COMPRESSION.....	167
8.5	GENERAL COMMENTS	167
8.6	FUTURE WORK.....	168
8.7	CONCLUSION.....	169
REFERENCES	171