

**THE SIGNIFICANCE OF DOMINANT BALL CARRYING COLLISIONS AS AN
INDICATOR OF SUCCESS IN RUGBY UNION AND THE BIOMECHANICAL
ANALYSIS THEREOF**

by

ASHLEY EVERT

**submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree**

DOCTORATE PHILOSPHIAE

in the

**Faculty of Humanities
Department of Biokinetics, Sport and Leisure Sciences
University of Pretoria**

February 2006

Pretoria

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my very special wife Michelle and our daughter Nicola, as well as my mother Aletta Evert and my grandparents Stan & Sue Evert who have always supported me and been there for me! You have all left an indelible mark on me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would appreciate the opportunity to thank the following people and institutions for their guidance and help in order to successfully complete this study.

Prof. P.E. Krüger (Promoter): Department Biokinetics, Sport and Leisure Sciences, University of Pretoria). For your time, guidance and continuous support. I have had many years of contact with you and it has been a privilege. I look forward to working with you in the future.

To my Family: Leta Evert, Stan & Sue Evert, John & Trinette Evert, Michelle, Lorraine & Monique Evert, Gerhard & Haricklia Roux, Jacques Roux. Thank you for your support and guidance during my studies.

Gerrie de Jongh: Thank you for your help with the statistical input and advice. You have been a wonderful friend and I am grateful that I could share my thoughts and ideas on this study with you knowing that we could together put together the scientific thinking necessary to complete this study.

The following friends at the Blue Bulls who are an inspiration and who have been the best of friends: Heyneke Meyer, John MacFarland, Johan van Graan, Dr Tommie Smook, Wynie Strydom, Hennie Kriel, Mike Bayly, Vlok Cilliers, Pote Human, Basil Carzis, Carlin Fullard, Daliah Hurwitz, Stephan Pretorius.

To my Colleagues who have always supported me and stood by me through many trials and challenges: Pieter Terblanche, Ian Schwartz, Nico Serfontein, Denzil Frans, Ernst Slabbert, Dean du Rand, Karin Visser, Andries Kabinde and the late Josiah Tshetlo.

To the following coaches and friends who have impacted on my coaching career: Neil de Beer, Pieter de Villiers, Dumisani Mhani, Neels Liebel, Dr Jason Suter, Oom Willem Boshoff, Danie du Toit, Arni van Rooyen, Chris Heunis.

To all the players of the following teams that I have been involved with and who have filled my life with the joy of coaching:

Blue Bulls U21	–	2001	(Currie Cup U21 Semi-Finalists);
Blue Bulls U21	–	2002	(Currie Cup U21 Champions);
Blue Bulls U20	–	2003	(Currie Cup U20 Champions);
Bulls Super 12	–	2004	
Blue Bulls U20	–	2004	(Currie Cup U20 Champions);
South Africa U21	–	2004	(3 rd Place at the IRB World Championship);
Blue Bulls Vodacom	–	2005	(Semi-Finalists);
Blue Bulls U21	–	2005	(Currie Cup U21 Champions); and
South Africa U21	–	2005	(IRB U21 World Champions).

Riël du Toit: Most of what I know about coaching is due to the time we spent working together as coaches and as friends. Thank you for your support and the coaching path we have taken thus far. Although we might not be coaching together at this stage, I know our paths will meet again on the rugby field. You are the most knowledgeable backline coach I know and I know I will still learn much more about this game from you in the future.

Oom Spiere van Rensburg: Thank you for everything you have done for me in regards to my coaching career since I started coaching in 1997. Your support and input has been greatly appreciated and I owe most of what I know about the “art” of coaching players to you. You are a wonderful man and a great friend.

Michelle Evert: Thank you for all your help with the design of the graphs, the scanning of the pictures for the document and for the detailed final touches of the document. Your support during the whole process of this study has been a wonderful aid and you are greatly appreciated! Without your continued love and support this study would never have been possible.

To my special friends who have always supported Michelle and I: Wessel & Anne-Audette Roux, Anton Ernst, Pieter & Lillian Terblanche, Jackie & Judie van der Westhuizen and Riel du Toit.

My Saviour Jesus Christ: My coaching career has been filled with many challenges. The mere fact that I have been able to complete this study is an indication of the wonderful grace that Jesus has bestowed upon me. His continued presence in my life has allowed me to achieve and complete those things so often taken for granted and to Him all the glory. Without His guidance and wisdom it would never have been possible to achieve the success I have been blessed to experience during my coaching career.

“I have strength for all things in Christ who empowers me, I am ready for anything and equal to anything through Him; I am self-sufficient in Christ’s sufficiency.”

Philippians 4:13

“You chart the path ahead of me, and tell me where to stop and rest,

Every moment you know where I am.

You know what I am going to say even before I say it, LORD.

You both precede and follow me.

You place your hand of blessing on my head.

Such knowledge is too wonderful for me, too great for me to know.”

Psalm 139 verse 3 - 6

SYNOPSIS

TITLE	The significance of dominant ball carrying collisions as an indicator of success in rugby union and the biomechanical analysis thereof.
CANDIDATE	Ashley Evert
PROMOTER	Prof. P.E. Krüger
DEGREE	DPhil

The goal of this study is to gain a better understanding of the factors that play a role in dominant collisions in rugby as well as the relative significance of dominant collisions as an indicator of success. By means of video footage of matches played during the 2003-2005 Super 12 competitions, notational analysis was performed and information was gathered in order to gain the relative data. The hypothesis stands that if a team is aware of the factors that lead to a dominant collision, are able to execute them in a match situation, that team should be more successful.

The following key performance measurements were evaluated in order to indicate how each factor affected the level of success of a team. They are as follows: average total number of collisions for a try to be scored, average total number of forced missed tackles for a try to be scored, ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed when a try is scored and average positive velocity change of dominant collisions resulting in a try being scored.

In order to prove the hypotheses a k -sample case will be used. The samples are related, thus the data used is interval and ratio. Therefore, the test used will be the repeated measures ANOVA test, a special form of n -way analysis of variance.

The statistical evaluation is the critical test value where the d.f values are as following: Key Measurement (3,8), Year Rating (2,8), Year Rating by Key Measurement (3,8). When comparing these with a statistical table for critical values of the F distribution for $\alpha = 0.05$, the critical values are as following: (3,8): 4.07, (2,8): 4.46, and (3,8): 4.07.

Thus, the statistical results are grounds for accepting all three null hypotheses and concluding that there is a statistical significance of at least 95% with an alpha of 0.05 between the means in all three instances. This shows that the data captured for the twelve teams for all tries scored by these teams over a period of three years and for the four key measurements, have a statistical significance of 95% for the readings respectively.

After evaluation of the data and making use of regression analysis and multiple regressions in order to establish the correlation between log position and the four key measurements there can be no doubt that the teams that finished higher on the log did indeed perform better according to the identified key performance measurements.

KEY WORDS: rugby, coaching, biomechanics, running lines, defensive lines, dominant collisions, video footage, notational analysis.

SAMEVATTING

TITEL	Die beduidendheid van dominante bal-draende- botsings as ‘n indikatie van sukses in rugby en die biomeganiese analise daarvan.
KANDIDAAT	Ashley Evert
PROMOTOR	Prof. P.E. Krüger
GRAAD	DPhil (MBK)

Die doel van hierdie studie is om die faktore wat ’n rol speel in dominante botsings in rugby te identifiseer, sowel as die relevante waarde van hierdie dominante botsings as ’n moontlike indikatie van sukses in rugby. Deur middel van video opnames van wedstryde wat gedurende die 2003 – 2005 Super 12 kompetisies gespeel is, is noterende analises van hierdie wedstryde gemaak en is die relevante inligting uiteengesit om die relatiewe data te verkry. Die hipotese is gestel dat ’n span wat bewus is van die faktore wat lei tot dominante botsings en die vermoë besit om hierdie botsings ook in ’n wedstrydsituasie uit te voer, behoort meer suksesvol te wees in die wedstryde wat hulle speel.

Die volgende sleutelmetinge is geëvalueer om ’n indikatie te lewer van hoe elk van genoemde faktore die vlak van sukses van die spanne sal beïnvloed: die gemiddelde totale hoeveelheid botsings voordat ’n drie gedruk is, die gemiddelde totale hoeveelheid van geforseerde mislukte laagvatte voordat ’n drie gedruk is, die verhouding van dominante botsings teenoor aangeë uitgevoer voordat ’n drie gedruk is, en die gemiddelde positiewe snelheidsverandering van dominante botsings wanneer ’n drie gedruk is.

Om die hipotese te bewys word ’n *k*-monster gebruik. Die monsters is verwant, dus is die data wat gebruik word interval en verhouding. Dus, die toets wat gebruik word sal dus die herhalende metings ANOVA toets wees, ’n spesiale vorm van n-riktig analise van variasies.

Die statistiese evaluasie is die kritiese toetswaarde waar die d.f waardes as volg is: Sleutel Meting (3,8), Jaar Meting (2,8), Jaar Meting volgens Sleutel Meting (3,8). Wanneer die voorgaande vergelyk word met 'n statistiese tabel vir kritiese waardes van die F verspreiding vir $\alpha = 0.05$, is die kritiese waardes as volg: (3,8): 4.07, (2,8): 4.46, en (3,8): 4.07.

Dus, die statistiese resultate onderskryf die aanvaarding van al drie die nul hipoteses en bevestig dat daar 'n statistiese waarde van ten minste 95% met 'n alfa van 0.05 tussen die gemiddeldes van al drie gevalle voorkom. Dit bevestig dus dat die data wat versamel is vir die twaalf spanne en vir al die drieë wat deur hierdie spanne oor 'n tydperk van drie jaar gedruk is, en vir die vier sleutel metings, besit 'n statistiese waarde van 95% vir die lesings onderskeidelik.

Na evaluering van die data en die gebruikmaking van regressie analise en veelvoudige regressies ten einde die korrelasie tussen log posisie en die vier sleutel metings te bepaal, kan daar onomwonde verklaar word dat die spanne wat hoër op die punteleer geëindig het, inderdaad ook beter presteer het volgens die geïdentifiseerde prestasie metings.

SLEUTEL WOORDE: rugby, afrigting, biomeganika, hardloopyne, verdedigingslyne, dominante botsings, video-opnames, merkbare analise.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
SYNOPSIS	vi
SAMEVATTING	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 MOTIVATION AND BACKGROUND	2
1.3 FORMULATING THE RESEARCH PROBLEM	3
1.3.1 The unit of analysis	3
1.4 THE RESEARCH GOAL	4
1.4.1 The research strategy	5
1.5 METHODS OF RESEARCH	7
1.5.1 Data collection	7
1.5.2 Data organisation	8
1.5.3 Analysis and interpretation of data	8

CHAPTER 2: THE DEVELOPMENT OF RUGBY FOOTBALL

2.1 THE DEVELOPMENT OF RUGBY FOOTBALL	9
2.2 BACKGROUND TO THE SOUTH AFRICAN RUGBY FOOTBALL UNION (SARFU) AND SA RUGBY (PTY) LIMITED	12

CHAPTER 3

3.1	THE NECESSITY OF FEEDBACK FROM NOTATIONAL ANALYSIS	14
3.2	TYPES OF FEEDBACK	15
3.3	THE ROLE OF THE COACH USING FEEDBACK	15
3.4	THE NEED FOR OBJECTIVE INFORMATION	17
3.5	NOTATIONAL ANALYSIS – A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	20
3.6	THE DEVELOPMENT OF SPORT - SPECIFIC NOTATION SYSTEMS (HAND NOTATION)	23
3.7	A HISTORICAL REVIEW OF HAND NOTATION SYSTEMS FOR RUGBY UNION	23
3.8	THE USE OF COMPUTERISED NOTATION	27
3.9	THE USE OF COMPUTERISED NOTATION IN RUGBY UNION	29
3.10	THE FUTURE OF NOTATIONAL ANALYSIS IN SPORT	31

CHAPTER 4

4.1	DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS	33
4.2	THE PILLARS OF DEFENCE	34
4.2.1	DEFENSIVE ORGANISATION	34
4.2.1.1	Man-to-man overlap defence	35
4.2.1.2	Man-to-man isolation defence	36
4.2.1.3	One-out defence	38
4.2.2	THE DEFENSIVE SHAPE	40
4.2.3	THE DEFENSIVE ZONES	41
4.2.4	DEFENSIVE SPACING	42
4.2.5	THE EXECUTION LINE	43
4.2.6	ATTITUDE	44
4.2.7	DRIFT DEFENCE AS A CONCEPT	45
4.2.8	SECOND PHASE DEFENCE	46

4.2.9	THIRD AND SUBSEQUENT PHASE DEFENCE	48
4.2.10.	RUSH DEFENCE AS A CONCEPT	49
4.2.10.1	Speed off the line	50
4.2.10.2	The alignment of each defender	50
4.2.10.3	Focus on the ball	52
4.2.10.4	Maintenance of effective width	53
CHAPTER 5		
5.1	ATTACKING BACKLINE PLAY	55
5.1.1	Attacking teams running lines	56
5.1.2	The aim of backline play	57
5.1.3	The key factors associated with backline play	57
5.1.4	Attacking backline play philosophy	58
5.2	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S CREATORS	59
5.3	THE ALIGNMENT OF THE ATTACKING BACKLINE FROM THE FACET	60
5.4	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S ATTACKING WIDTH	70
5.5	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S CHANGE IN INITIAL STARTING POSITION	75
5.6	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S ANGLES OF RUNNING	77
5.7	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S DECOY RUNNERS	80
5.8	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S MANIPULATION OF THE OPPOSITION THROUGH NUMBERS	89
5.9	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S MANIPULATION OF THE OPPOSITION THROUGH ADDITION	89
5.10	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S MANIPULATION OF THE OPPOSITION THROUGH SUBTRACTION	91
5.11	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S STRIKER	92
5.12	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE’S TIMING OF THE MOVEMENT OF THE ATTACK	93
5.12.1	The initial starting position of the first receiver	94

5.12.2	The alignment of the attacking unit from the facet	94
5.12.3	The timing of the movement of attack	94
5.13	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S STRIKE ON THE DEFENSIVE LINE	97
5.14	THE STRIKER'S SPEED VERSUS QUICKNESS	100
5.15	SPEED CONCEPTS SPECIFIC TO RUGBY	100
5.16	THE STRIKER'S RUNNING SPEED	101
5.17	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S PASSING SPEED	102
5.18	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S THOUGHT SPEED	103
5.19	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S STRIKE AREA	104
5.20	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S TRAILING SUPPORT RUNNERS	106
5.21	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S FIRST WAVE OF SUPPORT RUNNERS	107
5.22	THE ATTACKING BACKLINE'S SECOND WAVE OF SUPPORT RUNNERS	108
5.23	THE STRIKER'S ANGLE OF RUN AFTER A SUCCESSFUL STRIKE HAS BEEN MADE	110
5.24	THE ATTACKING TEAM'S CLEANING UNITS	111
5.25	THE ATTACKING TEAM'S COMMUNICATION	111
5.26	THE ATTACKING TEAM'S DECISION-MAKING	112
5.27.1	PICK AND DRIVE FORWARD BALL CARRIES NEAR THE FRINGES OF THE RUCK	116
5.27.2	"ONE OFF RUNNERS" ONE PASS OFF THE RUCK	116
5.27.3	FORWARDS RUNNING OFF SHORTENED LINEOUTS OR ANY OPEN PHASE PLAY SITUATIONS	117
5.28	CONCLUSION	117
 CHAPTER 6		
6.1	INTRODUCTION TO BIOMECHANICAL ASPECTS	118
6.2	KINEMATICS	118

6.3	SCALARS AND VECTORS	119
6.4	DISTANCE AND DISPLACEMENT	119
6.5	NEWTON’S LAWS OF UNIFORM MOTION IN A RUGBY CONTEXT	121
6.5.1	NEWTON’S FIRST LAW	121
6.5.2	NEWTON’S SECOND LAW	122
6.5.2.1	Acceleration, Speed, and Position: Kinematics	123
6.5.2.2	Figuring out the force of a “Big Hit”	123
6.5.2.3	A Force to be reckoned with!	125
6.5.3	NEWTON’S THIRD LAW	126
6.5.3.1	Momentum and Impulse	126
6.6	BASIC TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH BIOMECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF RUGBY SITUATIONS	128
6.6.1	Principle 1 – STABILITY	130
6.6.2	Principle 2 – GROUND REACTION FORCES	131
6.6.3	Principle 3 – DIRECTION OF THE GROUND REACTION FORCES	132
6.6.4	Principle 4 – EFFICIENT USE OF GROUND REACTION FORCES	135
6.7	THE ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE OBSERVED COLLISIONS	136
6.7.1	The science of ball carrying collisions	136
6.7.2	Principle 5 – COLLISION STABILITY	136
6.7.3	The effective body positioning required for entering the collision site	137
6.7.4	Principle 6 – EFFECTIVE MOMENTUM GENERATION	137
6.7.5	Principle 7 – EFFECTIVE BODY TECHNIQUE USAGE	138

CHAPTER 7: METHODS, THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND THE RELEVANT PROCEDURES

7.1	METHOD	139
7.2	PRE-CONTACT SITUATIONS BEFORE THE COLLISION TOOK PLACE – BALL CARRIER/S	140
7.3	PRE-CONTACT SITUATIONS BEFORE THE COLLISION TOOK PLACE – THE DEFENDER/S	144
7.4	KEY FACTORS PRESENT AT THE IN-CONTACT SITUATIONS AS THE COLLISION TAKES PLACE	146
7.5	THE VELOCITY CHANGE COMPARISON BETWEEN THE BALL CARRIER/S AND THE DEFENDER/S, AND THE RELEVANT COLLISION ANGLES	149
7.6	THE POST-CONTACT EVALUATION OF THE TRY SCORED	150

CHAPTER 8: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

8.1	ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	152
8.1.1	Average total number of collisions for a try to be scored	152
8.1.2	Average total number of forced missed tackles for a try to be scored	152
8.1.3	Ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed when a try is scored	153
8.1.4	Average positive velocity change of dominant collisions resulting in a try being scored	153
8.2	THE STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DATA	153
8.3	THE STATISTICAL TESTING PROCEDURE	154
8.4	THE TEST OF SIGNIFICANCE	154
8.5	THE SELECTION OF A STATISTICAL TEST	155
8.6	<i>k</i> – SAMPLE RELATED CASE FOR INTERVAL / RATIO DATA	156

8.7	MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS	161
8.8	CROSS TABULATION OF THE DATA	182

CHAPTER 9

9.1	INTERPRETATION OF THE DATA	187
9.2	PHYSICS VS ABILITY: WHAT IS THE LINK?	191
9.3	WHERE COACHING COMES IN: THE EFFECTIVE USE OF CENTER OF MASS AND TORQUE	191
9.4	SPEED, AGILITY, QUICKNESS AND THE ABILITY TO BEAT THE DEFENDER WITH FOOTWORK	198
9.5	THE ABILITY TO RUN OVER THE DEFENDER	201
9.5.1	A FULL-ON DEFENDER BEATING COLLISION	201
	9.5.1.1 Attacking from quick or slow ball	202
	9.5.1.2 The ball carriers ability to hit the collision line at maximum speed when running onto the ball	203
	9.5.1.3 The level of effective footwork ahead of the collision so that the ball carrier dominates the collision site	205
	9.5.1.4 Manipulation of the defender so that he is flat footed	208
	9.5.1.5 The defender is forced to tackle making use of his weaker shoulder	209
	9.5.1.6 The defender has been manipulated into over tracking by the probe used by the attacking backline and the ball carrier hits the line using the effective running line	216
	9.5.1.7 The ball carrier enters the collision site with his full mass moving through the line of application of the defender	216
	9.5.1.8 The ball carrier is physically bigger and more powerful than the defender	217
	9.5.1.9 The ball carrier has a player/s leached to him thus doubling the mass of the ball carrier into the	

collision	218
9.5.2 THE REPEATED EXECUTION OF COLLISIONS THAT IN EFFECT SOFTEN UP THE OPPOSITION BEFORE THE FINAL KNOCK-OUT BLOW IS ISSUED	219
9.5.2.1 Dominating ball carrying collisions that lead to a ruck being formed	219
9.5.2.2 Dominating ball carrying collisions that lead to the defender being bumped off	220
9.5.2.3 Dominating ball carrying collisions where the ball carrier is able to give an effective off-load to a support player	223
9.6 CONCLUSION	224

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
Figure 1.1:	Graph showing the relative percentages of teams winning matches by scoring more tries than the opposition	6
Figure 3.1:	A schematic diagram representing how the coaching process can be improved by means of feedback	16
Figure 3.2:	A schematic diagram representing the coaching process	17
Figure 3.3:	A schematic diagram representing the coaching process, utilising some of the computer-aided analysis and feedback technology	19
Figure 3.4:	A schematic diagram representing a hand notation system used during the 1995 Rugby World Cup	26
Figure 4.1:	Man-to-man overlap defence from a scrum	35
Figure 4.2:	Man-to-man isolation defence	36
Figure 4.3:	One-out defence	39
Figure 4.4:	Defensive shape	41
Figure 4.5:	Key for Rush defence diagrams	49
Figure 4.6:	Basic annotation of the “Rush” defensive system	50
Figure 4.7:	Basic annotation of the “Rush” defensive system focussing on the effective alignment of each defender	52

Figure 4.8:	Basic annotation of the “Rush” defensive system focussing on the ball	53
Figure 4.9:	Basic annotation of the “Rush” defensive system indicating effective width	54
Figure 5.1:	Alignment, angle, speed and penetration in attack	58
Figure 5.2:	Attacker pulling the first defender out of alignment while the second defender marks his opposite attacker running at him	61
Figure 5.3:	Attacker being pulled away from his defensive channel	62
Figure 5.4:	Outside attackers hold their line but adjust their rate of Advance	63
Figure 5.5:	“Deep” and “Flat” alignment versus “Shallow” and “Steep” Alignment	66
Figure 5.6:	Working space in attacking play	67
Figure 5.7:	The way to hit a space in attacking play	86
Figure 5.8:	Pass to an extra player too early and too far back	69
Figure 5.9:	Wide alignment attack	73
Figure 5.10:	(a) Necessary accuracy for a wide pass, (b) poor accuracy of a wide pass	74
Figure 5.11:	Channel running in attacking play	75

Figure 5.12: (a) Tacklers everywhere and (b) tacklers contained	75
Figure 5.13: The 90° passing rule	79
Figure 5.14: Stair passing showing the full peripheral vision for all the attackers	79
Figure 5.15: Indicating the use of an “O,I” decoy line and the support lines created through its use after a clean break has been achieved	82
Figure 5.16: Indicating the use of an “O,I” decoy line where the striker offloads to a trailer coming in, and the support lines created after the line break has been achieved	83
Figure 5.17: Indicating an “O,I” decoy line where the trailer becomes the primary cleaner with the previous ball carrier on the inside after an attempted line break has been unsuccessful	84
Figure 5.18: Indicating the concept of a “One-out” decoy line ending in a score	87
Figure 5.19: Indicating a decoy runner on the inside accompanied by a “One-out” decoy on the outside with resultant trailing lines that are created	88
Figure 5.20: (a) Addition through a circle ball, (b) Addition through an extra player entering the line	90
Figure 5.21: Subtraction through committing two tacklers	92
Figure 5.22: A front on tackle	104

Figure 5.23:	From the side tackle situation	105
Figure 5.24:	Inside and outside first wave supporting running lines	107
Figure 5.25:	Concentration on inside supporting lines	109
Figure 5.26:	Second wave supporting running lines after a line break	110
Figure 6.1:	Diagram indicating the distance covered by a player moving from A to B	118
Figure 6.2:	Diagram indicating a player moving forwards from position A towards position B, then being tackled backwards to the initial starting position	118
Figure 6.3:	The effect of force	122
Figure 6.4:	The effect of a torque	122
Figure 6.5:	The velocities of both players are indicated for before and after the collision. Momentum is conserved in the collision	126
Figure 6.6:	Indication of centre of mass in various positions	127
Figure 6.7:	Stable and unstable positions when the ball carrier and defender meet	129
Figure 6.8:	Relationship of stride rate, stride length and running velocity	130
Figure 6.9:	Use of ground reaction forces to cause lateral motion	132

Figure 8.1:	Data table for the key performance measurements	161
Figure 8.2:	Average number of forced missed tackles vs total average number of collisions	163
Figure 8.3:	Average number of forced missed tackles vs average positive velocity change of dominant collisions	165
Figure 8.4:	Average number of forced missed tackles vs ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed	167
Figure 8.5:	Average number of collisions vs average positive velocity change of dominant collisions	169
Figure 8.6:	Average number of collisions vs ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed	171
Figure 8.7:	Ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed vs average positive velocity change of dominant collisions	173
Figure 8.8:	Average total number of collisions for a try to be scored (2003, 2004 and 2005)	175
Figure 8.9:	Average total number of forced missed tackles for the Try to be scored (2003, 2004 and 2005)	177
Figure 8.10:	Ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed when a try is scored (2003, 2004 and 2005)	179
Figure 8.11:	Average positive velocity change of dominant collisions resulting in a try being scored (2003, 2004 and 2005)	181

Figure 9.1:	Distribution of tries scored – 2003	188
Figure 9.2:	Distribution of tries scored – 2004	189
Figure 9.3:	Distribution of tries scored – 2005	190
Figure 9.4:	Comparison between dominant and non-dominant collisions when Placed according to log position – 2003, 2004 and 2005	193
Figure 9.5:	Player on the left lowers his centre of mass and drives up and through the ball carrier on the right	195
Figure 9.6:	Lateral forces are less effective at destabilising a player whose stance is low to the ground	197
Figure 9.7:	Percentage of tries scored where footwork was used when scoring the try	199
Figure 9.8:	Velocity vectors before ($V_1 \rightarrow$) and after ($V_2 \rightarrow$) the player moves	200
Figure 9.9:	Average momentum of ball carriers in the collision when the try is scored – 2003, 2004 and 2005	204
Figure 9.10:	Side-step as a percentage of total footwork when a try is scored – 2003, 2004 and 2005	207
Figure 9.11:	Distribution of tries scored for 2003 – scrum	209
Figure 9.12:	Distribution of tries scored for 2004 – scrum	210
Figure 9.13:	Distribution of tries scored for 2005 – scrum	211

Figure 9.14: Distribution of tries scored for 2003 – lineouts	212
Figure 9.15: Distribution of tries scored for 2004 – lineouts	213
Figure 9.16: Distribution of tries scored for 2005 – lineouts	214
Figure 9.17: Missed tackles as a percentage of defensive errors committed 2003, 2004 and 2005	222

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
Table 1.1:	Table indicating the percentage of teams winning matches by scoring more tries than the opposition	6
Table 8.1:	Criteria for relevant hypotheses testing	154
Table 8.2:	Data table for the key performance measurements	156
Table 8.3:	Data table summary for the key performance measurements	157
Table 8.4:	Model summary	158
Table 8.5:	Total number of forced missed tackles vs total average number of collisions	160
Table 8.6:	Total number of forced missed tackles vs average positive velocity change of dominant collisions	162
Table 8.7:	Average number of forced missed tackles vs ratio of dominant collision versus passes executed	164
Table 8.8:	Total average number of collisions vs average positive velocity change of dominant collisions	166
Table 8.9:	Total average number of collisions vs ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed	168
Table 8.10:	Ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed vs average positive velocity change	170

Table 8.11:	Average total number of collisions for a try to be scored	172
Table 8.12:	Average number of forced missed tackles for the try to be scored	174
Table 8.13:	Ratio of dominant collisions versus passes executed when a try is scored	176
Table 8.14:	Average positive velocity change of dominant collisions resulting in a try being scored	178
Table 8.15(a):	Rate of change in collisions between teams ranked from position 1 through to 6; 2003-2005	181
Table 8.15(b):	Rate of change in collisions between teams ranked from position 7 through to 12; 2003-2005	182
Table 8.16:	Changes in collisions 2003 – 2005 between nations	183
Table 9.1:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage – 2003	188
Table 9.2:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage – 2004	189
Table 9.3:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage – 2005	190
Table 9.4:	Comparison between dominant and non-dominant collisions when placed according to log positions	192
Table 9.5:	Percentage of tries when footwork was used when scoring a try	198
Table 9.6	Average momentum of ball carriers in the collision when a try is scored	203

Table 9.7:	Side-step as a percentage of total footwork when a try is scored	206
Table 9.8:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage: 2003 – scrums	209
Table 9.9:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage: 2004 – scrums	210
Table 9.10:	Distribution of tries scored as a percentage: 2005 – scrums	211
Table 9.11:	Tries scored as a percentage: 2003 – lineouts	212
Table 9.12:	Tries scored as a percentage: 2004 – lineouts	213
Table 9.13:	Tries scored as a percentage: 2005 – lineouts	214
Table 9.14:	Missed tackles as a percentage of defensive errors committed	221

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
APPENDIX 1:	Super 12 log – 2003	245
APPENDIX 2:	Super 12 log – 2004	246
APPENDIX 3:	Super 12 log – 2005	247
APPENDIX 4:	Coaching staff (2003 – 2005)	248
APPENDIX 5:	Clean break vs Collision tries scored (2003, 2004 and 2005)	249
APPENDIX 6:	Clean break vs Collision tries scored according to nations, Clean break vs Collision tries scored – Australia, Clean break vs Collision tries scored – New Zealand, Clean break vs Collision tries scored – South Africa	250
APPENDIX 7:	Average mass of ball carriers during the collision (2003, 2004 and 2005)	252
APPENDIX 8:	Average mass of ball carriers during the collision according to nations	253
APPENDIX 9:	Forward vs Back scored tries (2003, 2004 and 2005)	254
APPENDIX 10:	Forward scored tries 2003 - 2005, Back scored tries 2003 – 2005	255

APPENDIX 11:	Distribution of tries scored according to log position 2003 – 2005 (Position 1 – 12 and average)	256
CD APPENDIX:	Notational Analysis Sheet S12 – 2003 Notational Analysis Sheet S12 – 2004 Notational Analysis Sheet S12 – 2005	CD