

# **Identification and characterization of genes involved in *Bacillus cereus* biofilm formation**

**by**

**J.M. Pretorius**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science  
in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences  
Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology  
University of Pretoria  
Pretoria

**2010**

## DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

Full names of student: .....

Student number: .....

### Declaration

1. I understand what plagiarism is and I am aware of the University's policy in this regard.
2. I declare that this thesis is my own original work. Where other people's work has been used (either from a printed source, internet, or any other source), this has been properly acknowledged and referenced in accordance with the departmental requirements.
3. I have not used work previously produced by another student or any other person to hand in as my own.
4. I have not allowed, and will not allow, anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as his or her own work.

SIGNATURE STUDENT: .....

DATE: .....

SIGNATURE SUPERVISOR: .....

DATE: .....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Prof. J. Theron my supervisor for encouragement and guidance during the course of this study.

Prof. V.S. Brözel my co-supervisor who initiated this project.

Dr. Marinda Oosthuizen for assistance with planning of the project.

Prof. A. Moir for providing us with pLTV1.

The National Research Foundation for funding.

## SUMMARY

### Identification and characterization of genes involved in *Bacillus cereus* biofilm formation

by

J.M. PRETORIUS

Supervisor:	Prof. J. Theron Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology University of Pretoria
Co-supervisor:	Prof. V.S. Brözel Department of Biology and Microbiology and Center for Infectious Disease Research and Vaccinology South Dakota State University

for the degree M.Sc

*Bacillus cereus* is a Gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium that is frequently identified as the causative agent of food-borne diseases and is also implicated in food spoilage of especially dairy products. The capacity of *B. cereus* to form biofilms on different substrata is of great concern in the food industry. Not only does biofilm formation cause economic loss by equipment failure, but contamination of food products via biofilm cells also raises safety concerns. Bacterial biofilms have been defined as structured multicellular communities that form through a complex developmental process. In contrast to Gram-negative bacteria, biofilm formation by Gram-positive bacteria has only recently been examined. Relatively few genes have been identified that are required for these bacteria to form biofilms and little is known about how they coordinate biofilm formation. In order to contribute to the advancement of knowledge regarding the process of biofilm formation in Gram-positive bacteria, the aim of this investigation was essentially to identify and characterize genes involved in *B. cereus* biofilm formation.

To investigate, *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 was subjected to transposon mutagenesis with the Tn917-LTV1 transposon. Screening of a collection of 3 500 insertional mutants for the ability

to form biofilms at the solid-liquid-air interface of glass surfaces led to the identification of eight biofilm-impaired mutants. Each of the mutants contained a single transposon insertion, and no significant differences were observed in the planktonic growth rate between the *B. cereus* wild-type and biofilm-impaired mutant strains. The chromosomal transposon insertion in three of the mutants mapped to genes involved in purine biosynthesis (*purA*, *purC* and *purL*), while the transposon insertion in two other mutants mapped to the *ftsE* gene and to the promoter region of the *motA* gene, respectively. In one of the mutants the transposon was located in the intergenic region between two divergently transcribed genes, which encodes a murein hydrolase exporter and nucleoside hydrolase, respectively. In the final two biofilm-impaired mutants the transposon was respectively mapped to genes encoding a putative membrane spanning protein and a putative protein of unknown function. Results obtained by quantitative real-time PCR assays indicated that expression of each of the identified *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 genes, with the exception of the *motA* gene, was up-regulated in the biofilm population. In the case of *motA*, expression of the gene was down-regulated 3.2-fold in the biofilm population and results obtained during the course of this investigation indicated that motility, rather than the presence of flagella, is required for *B. cereus* biofilm formation. Although this result is in agreement with that reported previously for *B. subtilis*, none of the other genes identified in this investigation have previously been implicated in biofilm formation by Gram-positive bacteria.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	ii
SUMMARY .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES .....	xii
RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS.....	xiii
CHAPTER ONE .....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	1
1.1 General introduction.....	1
1.1.1 <i>Bacillus cereus</i> .....	1
1.1.2 <i>Bacillus cereus</i> ecology .....	2
1.1.3 <i>Bacillus cereus</i> pathogenesis.....	3
1.2 Bacterial biofilms .....	5
1.2.1 Biofilm formation by <i>Bacillus</i> species .....	6
1.2.2 Biofilm formation leads to modification of the bacterial transcriptome .....	7
1.2.3 Biofilm formation leads to modification of the bacterial proteome.....	8
1.2.4 Biofilm cells represent a distinct phenotype .....	9
1.3 Genes involved in biofilm formation by <i>B. subtilis</i> .....	9
1.3.1 Regulatory and associated genes.....	9
1.3.2 Genes involved in flagellar synthesis.....	10
1.3.3 Genes involved in the synthesis of biofilm matrix components .....	12
1.3.4 Other genes.....	14
1.4 Quorum sensing.....	17
1.4.1 Intraspecies communication via autoinducing peptides.....	18
1.4.2 Regulation of the PlcR regulon via a cell-cell signalling peptide .....	20
1.4.3 Interspecies signalling via the quorum sensing molecule AI-2.....	25
1.5 Regulation of biofilm formation by <i>B. subtilis</i> .....	32
1.5.1 Regulation of the <i>eps</i> operon.....	33
1.5.2 Regulation of the <i>yqxM</i> operon .....	35
1.5.3 Derepression of the <i>eps</i> and <i>yqxM</i> operons by SinI .....	37
1.5.4 Control of biofilm formation.....	38
1.6 Aims of this investigation .....	40
CHAPTER TWO.....	42
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	42
2.1 General procedures.....	42
2.1.1 Bacterial strains .....	42
2.1.2 Plasmid and transposon .....	42
2.1.3 Media and growth conditions .....	44
2.1.4 Statistical analysis .....	44
2.2 Isolation of pLTV1 .....	44
2.2.1 Plasmid extraction .....	44
2.2.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis .....	45
2.2.3 Restriction enzyme digestion .....	45
2.2.4 CsCl-EtBr density gradient centrifugation.....	45
2.3 Transformation of <i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 14579 .....	46
2.3.1 Preparation of electrocompetent cells .....	46

2.3.2	Dialysis of plasmid DNA .....	46
2.3.3	Electroporation .....	47
2.4	Construction and screening of <i>B. cereus</i> transposon mutant libraries .....	47
2.4.1	Transposon mutagenesis .....	47
2.4.2	Identification of biofilm mutants.....	47
2.4.3	Quantification of biofilm deficiency .....	48
2.5	Characterization of <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants .....	48
2.5.1	Growth curves of biofilm-impaired mutants .....	48
2.5.2	Stereomicroscopy of biofilm-impaired mutants.....	48
2.5.3	Motility assay .....	49
2.5.4	Transmission electron microscopy.....	49
2.5.5	Laser scanning confocal microscopy .....	49
2.6	Recovery of <i>B. cereus</i> chromosomal DNA flanking the transposon insertions.....	50
2.6.1	Isolation of chromosomal DNA from biofilm-impaired mutants .....	50
2.6.2	Preparation of competent <i>E. coli</i> HB101 cells .....	50
2.6.3	Cloning of the DNA flanking transposon insertions.....	51
2.6.4	Isolation of plasmid DNA from <i>E. coli</i> HB101 .....	51
2.6.5	Sequencing of flanking DNA.....	52
2.7	Characterization of <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants by Southern blot hybridization.....	53
2.7.1	Preparation of the labelled probe .....	53
2.7.2	Preparation of the membrane .....	54
2.7.3	Hybridization.....	54
2.7.4	Detection of the hybridized DNA probe .....	55
2.8	Relative expression of selected <i>B. cereus</i> genes .....	55
2.8.1	Primers .....	56
2.8.2	RNA isolation.....	57
2.8.3	cDNA synthesis.....	57
2.8.4	Reverse transcriptase (RT) negative control PCR.....	58
2.8.5	Quantitative real-time PCR .....	58
CHAPTER THREE	.....	60
RESULTS	.....	60
3.1	Isolation of pLTV1 .....	60
3.2	Transposon mutagenesis of <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579.....	61
3.3	Screening for <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants .....	62
3.4	Characterization of <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants by Southern blot analysis	64
3.5	Identification of disrupted genes in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants .....	65
3.6	Characterization of <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants .....	68
3.6.1	Growth curves .....	68
3.6.2	Microscopic analysis of biofilm phenotypes.....	68
3.6.3	Motility assay of the biofilm-impaired mutant Mut21 .....	71
3.6.4	Laser scanning confocal microscopy of the biofilm-impaired mutant Mut17.	73
3.7	Relative expression of selected genes in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilms.....	74
CHAPTER FOUR	.....	77
DISCUSSION	.....	77
4.1	Flagella-mediated motility is required in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation.....	77
4.1.1	<i>B. cereus</i> $\Delta$ motAB is biofilm-impaired.....	77
4.1.2	The motor complex of bacterial flagella .....	77
4.1.3	<i>B. cereus</i> requires flagella-mediated motility for biofilm formation .....	82
4.2	Purine biosynthesis is required in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation .....	83

4.2.1	<i>B. cereus pur</i> mutants are biofilm-impaired.....	83
4.2.2	<i>B. cereus</i> requires extracellular DNA for biofilm formation .....	84
4.3	A predicted ABC transporter, FtsEX, is required in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation....	85
4.3.1	<i>B. cereus ΔftsEX</i> is biofilm-impaired .....	85
4.3.2	FtsEX is required for divergent activities in different bacteria.....	86
4.3.3	<i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation is facilitated by FtsEX.....	89
4.4	Different hydrolase enzymes may be required in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation .....	90
4.4.1	Inosine-uridine preferring nucleoside hydrolases play a role in purine salvage in protozoan parasites, and spore germination in <i>B. thuringiensis</i> .....	91
4.4.2	Murein hydrolases are involved in eDNA release and biofilm formation .....	92
4.4.3	Additional evidence for a link between <i>B. cereus</i> eDNA and biofilm formation .....	93
4.5	Proteins of unknown function are required in <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm formation .....	95
CHAPTER FIVE.....		97
CONCLUDING REMARKS .....		97
REFERENCES.....		101
Appendix 1 .....		121

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Absorbance
AI-2	autoinducer-2
bp	base pair
<i>bla</i>	$\beta$ -lactamase gene
<i>ca.</i>	approximately
<i>cat</i>	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene
°C	degrees Celsius
cDNA	complementary DNA
<i>cfp</i>	cyan fluorescent protein gene
cm	centimetre
CP	crossing point
CsCl	cesium chloride
CSF	competence and sporulation factor
CTAB	hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide
d	day
DEPC	diethyl pyrocarbonate
dH <sub>2</sub> O	distilled water
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxyribonucleoside-5'-triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid
<i>e.g.</i>	for example
<i>erm</i>	erythromycin resistance gene
EtOH	ethanol
Fig.	figure
<i>gfp</i>	green fluorescent protein gene
$\times g$	centrifugal force
h	hour
IPTG	isopropyl $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside
<i>i.e.</i>	that is
kb	kilobase pairs

KOAc	potassium acetate
<i>lacZ</i>	$\beta$ -galactosidase gene
LB	Luria-Bertani
l	litre
M	molar
mg	milligram
min	minute
ml	millilitre
mM	millimolar
Mut	mutant
ng	nanogram
nM	nanomolar
nm	nanometer
nt	nucleotide
ORF	open reading frame
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PVC	polyvinylchloride
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RT	reverse transcriptase
s	second
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
TE	Tris-EDTA
2-DE	two-dimensional electrophoresis
U	units
$\mu$ g	microgram
$\mu$ l	microlitre
$\mu$ M	micromolar
UV	ultraviolet
v.	version
V	volts
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside
<i>yfp</i>	yellow fluorescent protein gene

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A general model for peptide-mediated quorum sensing in Gram-positive bacteria .....	18
Figure 1.2: The <i>B. subtilis</i> ComP/ComA quorum sensing system for control of sporulation and competence .....	20
Figure 1.3: Genomic region of <i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 14579, containing the <i>plcR</i> and <i>papR</i> genes .....	23
Figure 1.4: Structure of PapR5:PlcR and higher order structures formed in the presence of increasing concentrations of PapR5 .....	24
Figure 1.5: AI-2-dependant quorum sensing in <i>V. harveyi</i> .....	27
Figure 1.6: Biosynthesis of AI-2 from the precursor S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) .....	28
Figure 1.7: Expression of a <i>sspB-lacZ</i> and a <i>luxS-lacZ</i> fusion by <i>B. subtilis</i> colonies grown on agar containing X-Gal .....	30
Figure 1.8: Genetic organization and sequence analysis of the <i>lsr</i> region in <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 10987 and <i>E. coli</i> K-12 .....	31
Figure 1.9: Model for Lsr-mediated internalization and processing of AI-2 in <i>E. coli</i> .....	32
Figure 1.10: Effect of mutations in <i>sinR</i> , <i>sinI</i> and <i>epsH</i> on chain bundling, pellicle formation, colony morphology and swarming motility .....	34
Figure 1.11: Structure and organization of SinR and AbrB recognition sequences in the promoter regions of the <i>epsA-O</i> and <i>yqxM-sipW-tasA</i> operons .....	36
Figure 1.12: Regulation of <i>Bacillus</i> multicellular behaviour .....	40
Figure 2.1: Restriction map of pLTV1 .....	43
Figure 2.2: Hypothetical chromosomal insertion of Tn917-LTV1 .....	44
Figure 3.1: Characterization of purified pLTV1 plasmid DNA .....	61
Figure 3.2: Biofilm formation by wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 and the derived Tn917-LTV1 transposon mutants .....	63
Figure 3.3: Southern blot analysis of chromosomal DNA extracted from <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants .....	65
Figure 3.4: Locations of the Tn917-LTV1 insertions in the <i>B. cereus</i> biofilm-impaired mutants isolated in this study .....	67
Figure 3.5: Growth curves of wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 and biofilm-impaired mutants in LB broth at 37°C .....	69
Figure 3.5 (continued): Growth curves of wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 and biofilm-impaired mutants in LB broth at 37°C .....	70
Figure 3.6: Phenotypes of the biofilms formed at the air-liquid interface on glass slides by wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 and the biofilm-impaired mutants .....	71
Figure 3.7: Motility assays for wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 (WT) and the <i>motAB</i> mutant strain Mut21 .....	72
Figure 3.8: Representative transmission electron micrographs of negatively-stained cells from wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 (WT) and the <i>motAB</i> mutant strain Mut21 .....	73
Figure 3.9: Representative laser scanning confocal micrographs of planktonic cells from wild-type <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 and the <i>ftsEX</i> mutant strain Mut17 .....	74
Figure 3.10: Whisker-box plot indicating the ratio of gene transcripts in a biofilm to the gene transcripts in the planktonic population quantified by quadruplicate real-time PCR reactions using the 16S rRNA gene as endogenous control .....	76
Figure 4.1: Schematic representation of the structure and components of the <i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium flagellum .....	78

Figure 4.2: Alignment of amino acid sequences of the <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 stator components with the amino acid sequence of MotA, MotB, MotP and MotS of <i>B. subtilis</i> .....	81
Figure 4.3: Transcriptional organization of the <i>motAB</i> operon of <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579.....	82
Figure 4.4: ClustalW2 alignment of amino acid sequences of <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579 BC5133 and <i>S. aureus</i> MW2 CidA .....	95

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Real-time PCR primers used for relative quantification of gene expression in biofilm versus planktonic cells.....	56
Table 3.1: Descriptive statistics of real-time PCR reactions.....	76

## RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS

### Papers published:

1. Vilain, S., **Pretorius, J.M.**, Theron, J. and Brözel, V.S. (2009). DNA as an adhesin: *Bacillus cereus* requires extracellular DNA to form biofilm. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 2861-2868.

### Conference contributions:

1. **Pretorius, J.M.**, Brözel, V.S. and Theron, J. (2003). Identification of genes involved in *Bacillus cereus* biofilm formation. 18<sup>th</sup> Congress of the South African Society of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (SASBMB), 6-9 July 2003, Pretoria, South Africa.
2. **Pretorius, J.M.**, Brözel, V.S. and Theron, J. (2004). Identification of genes involved in *Bacillus cereus* biofilm formation. Joint Congress of the South African Microbiology Society and South African Genetics Society, 4-7 April 2004, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
3. **Pretorius, J.M.**, Brözel, V.S. and Theron, J. (2006). Characterisation of *Bacillus cereus* biofilm-impaired transposon mutants. 14<sup>th</sup> Biennial Congress of the South African Society for Microbiology, 9-12 April 2006, Pretoria, South Africa.