# THE KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE OF PEDIATRICIANS REGARDING THE DIAGNOSIS AND INTERVENTION OF INFANTS AND CHILDREN WITH A SENSORINEURAL HEARING LOSS

#### By Erna Slabbert

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of M.Communication Pathology

Department of Communication Pathology

Faculty of Humanities

University of Pretoria
December 2004

# **Acknowledgements**

In conducting this research project there were a few people who helped me in conducting the research. I wish to thank them for all their support and love throughout the years.

- 1. The most important inspiration was and still is the dear Lord for providing me with the strength and courage to complete this research.
- 2. My family for all their encouragement and support. Thanks for carrying me through the times when I really wanted to quit.
- 3. Mrs Venter, my supervisor, for her experience, support and encouragement throughout the study.
- 4. To all my friends and colleges, for your friendship, support and co-operation.

#### **SUMMARY**

TITLE

The knowledge and attitude of pediatricians regarding the

diagnosis and intervention of infants and children with a

sensorineural hearing loss

NAME

Erna Slabbert

**SUPERVISOR** 

Mrs Venter

**CO-SUPERVISOR** Mrs Pottas

DEPARTMENT

**Communication Pathology** 

UNIVERSITY

University of Pretoria

**DEGREE** 

M.Communication Pathology

The pediatric audiology landscape changed dramatically with the advances in screening and diagnostic procedures, amplification possibilities and early identification outcomes. Pediatricians play a key role in this rapidly developing field. The aim of this study was to investigate Pediatricians' knowledge and attitudes regarding the diagnosis and intervention of infants and children with a sensorineural hearing loss. A questionnaire was compiled to obtain the relevant empirical data. This was distributed to 257 pediatricians in the Gauteng Province. Of the 257 questionnaires only 47 could be utilised. According to the results obtained from the pediatricians it appears that the respondents possess adequate knowledge regarding the diagnosis and intervention of infants and children with sensorineural hearing loss. This is despite having received limited or no information and training on this subject. As is discussed in Chapter 4 it became evident that knowledge gaps were found to exist. The pediatrician is an important team member of the hearing intervention team. Their involvement is crucial and their referral can be the important stepping-stone for early identification and intervention. A lack of skills and proficient knowledge is a major constraint during the implementation of efficient primary health care services in developing countries. Throughout the

results of this study, it is found that pediatricians have a need for additional information and training in the intervention process of infants and children with sensorineural hearing loss, therefore showing a positive attitude towards continuous education. This is based on the results found throughout the study, in terms of a void in certain areas surrounding effective intervention of hearing loss. The aim of the study was to highlight areas of uncertainty that the respondents might experience and to provide educational programmes in order to equip them with the relevant knowledge with regards to sensorineural hearing loss. The findings of this study would hopefully encourage future research and a more in-depth study regarding this topic.

List of keywords: Amplification, Attitude, Continuous education, Developing countries, Diagnostic procedures, Educational programmes, Hearing intervention team Intervention, Knowledge, Primary health care, Screening procedures, Sensorineural hearing loss

#### **OPSOMMING**

TITEL Die kennis en houding van pediaters rakende die

diagnose en intervensie van neonate en kinders met

'n sensories-neurale gehoorverlies

NAAM

Erna Slabbert

STUDIE LEIER

Mev Venter

MEDE-STUDIE LEIER

**Mev Pottas** 

DEPARTEMENT

Kommunikasie Patologie

UNIVERSITEIT

Universiteit van Pretoria

**GRAAD** 

M.Kommunikasie Patologie

Pediatriese oudiologie het dramaties verander in terme siftings- en diagnostiese prosedures, gehoorapparaat (versterkings) moontlikhede en vroeë identifikasie van kinders. Pediaters vertolk 'n sleutel rol in die vinnig ontwikkelende veld. Die doel van die studie was om ondersoek in te stel na pediaters se kennis en houding rakende die diagnose en intervensie van babas en kinders met 'n sensories-neurale gehoorverlies. Daar is gebruik gemaak van 'n vraelys om al die relevante en verlangde inligting te verkry. Die vraelyste is uitgestuur na 257 pediaters regoor Gauteng Provinsie. Van die 257 vraelyste kon slegs 47 suksesvol aangewend word vir die studie. Volgens die resultate blyk dit dat die respondente oor genoegsame kennis beskik van die diagnose en intervensie van babas en kinders met 'n sensories-neurale gehoorverlies. Dit is ten spyte van beperkte of geen opleiding rakende die onderwerp. Soos volledig in Hoofstuk 4 bespreek word, het kennisleemtes egter wel in sekere van die vrae na vore gekom. Die pediater is 'n belangrike lid van die gehoor-intervensie span. Hul betrokkenheid is krities en hul verwysing na relevante persone is die begin punt vir vroeë identifikasie en intervensie. Beperkte kennis en vaardighede is een van die grootste beperkinge tydens die implementering van effektiewe primêre gesondheids dienste in ontwikkelende lande. Die implikasie hiervan dui uit die studie dat regtydige

vewysing en behandeling van die pasiënt met sensories-neurale gehoorverlies negatief beïnvloed kan word. Die resultate dui egter ook aan dat die respondente 'n behoefte het vir addisionele inligting en opleiding in die intervensie proses van neonate en kinders met 'n sensories-neurale gehoorverlies. Verder dui die studie op 'n positiewe houding aangaande deurlopende opleiding. Die doel van die studie was om areas van onsekerheid by die respondente uit te lig en om daarvolgens opleidings programme daar te stel om hulle sodoende met die relevante kennis te bemagtig. Die bevindinge van die navorsings studie moet toekomstige navorsing rakende die onderwerp aanmoedig.

Lys van sleutelwoorde: Deurlopende opleiding, Diagnostiese prosedures, Gehoorintervensie span, Houding, Intervensie, Kennis, Ontwikkelende lande, Opleidingsprogramme, Primêre gesondheids dienste, Sensories-neurale gehoorverlies, Siftings prosedures, Versterkings moontlikhede,

# TABLE OF CONTENT

<u>CONTENT</u> PA		<u>PAGE</u>
<u>CHA</u>	APTER 1	
INTE	RODUCTION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem statement, rationale and objective of the study	4
1.2.1	Problem statement	5
1.2.1.	1 Establishing the relationship between hearing loss and early sc	reening /diagnosi
	and intervention	5
1.2.1.	2 An integrated approach towards teamwork	6
1.2.1.	3 Typical clinical setting	7
1.2.1.	4 Factors that might lead to late diagnosis and intervention	8
1.2.1.	5 How audiologists are viewed by other professionals	11
1.2.2	Rationale underlying the study	12
1.2.3	Objective of the study	13
1.3	Discussion of relevant terminology	13
1.4	Divisions of chapters	16
1.5	Summary	18
<u>CHA</u>	APTER 2	
OVE	RVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF THE LITERATURE AND	
ISSU	ES SURROUNDING SENSORINEURAL HEARING LOSS	
IN IN	FANTS/CHILDREN RELEVANT FOR PEDIATRICIANS	IN
THE	SOUTH AFRICAN CONTEXT	19

2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	The anatomy and the structure of the (inner) ear	20
2.3	Overview on sensorineural hearing loss	21
2.4	The possible causes of sensorineural hearing loss	23
2.5	Assessment of sensorineural hearing loss	31
2.5.1	Automated Brainstem Response (ABR)	34
2.5.2	Oto-acoustic emissions (OAE)	34
2.5.3	Auditory steady-state evoked potentials (ASSEP)	34
2.6	Intervention methods most successful for sensorineural hearing loss	37
2.7	The importance of early diagnosis and rehabilitation	42
2.8	The importance of teamwork	44
2.8.1	The role of the pediatrician	46
2.8.2	The role of the audiologist	48
2.8.3	The role of the parents or caretakers	51
2.9	Contributing factors leading to the late diagnosis and intervention of	
	hearing loss in South Africa	52
2.10	Summary	58
<u>CHA</u>	PTER 3	
METI	HODOLGY	60
3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Aims of the research project	62
3.2.1	Main aim	62
3.2.2	Sub aims	62
3.3	Research design	63
3.4	Respondents	64
3.4.1	Selection criteria	64
3.4.1.1	Qualifications	64
3.4.1.2	2 Geographical area	64

3.4.1.3	3 Language ability	65
3.4.1.4	4 Willingness	65
3.4.2	Selection procedures	65
3.4.3	Description of the respondents	66
3.5	Material and equipment	67
3.5.1	Cover letter	67
3.5.2	Instruction sheet	67
3.5.3	The questionnaire	68
3.5.3.1	Motivation for the use of the questionnaire as data collection instrument	68
3.5.3.2	2 Design of the questionnaire	69
3.5.3.3	3 Content of the questionnaire	70
3.5.3.4	1 Completion of the questionnaire	73
3.6	Data collection procedures	74
3.6.1	Preliminary study	74
3.6.2	Data collection procedures	75
3.6.3	Data analysis procedures	76
3.6.4	Feedback to the respondents	77
3.6.5	Ethical issues	77
3.7	Summary	78
<u>CHA</u>	PTER 4	
DESC	CRIPTION AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS	<b>7</b> 9
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Description and discussion of the biographical and educational	
	background	79
4.3	Discussion of the respondent's knowledge of risk factors	85
4.4	Discussion on the symptoms and characteristics of infants and	
	children who are diagnosed with sensorineural hearing loss	87
4.5	Description and discussion of the identification methods used for	
	infants and children with a sensorineural hearing loss	89

4.6	Description of the management procedures used by pediatricians	91
4.7	A discussion on the knowledge and attitude of pediatricians regarding	
	the intervention methods available for sensorineural hearing loss	93
4.8	Discussion of the attitudes of pediatricians regarding the role and	
	efficiency of audiologists	100
4.9	Discussion of respondent's need for involvement and further education	104
4.10	Conclusion	107
4.11	Summary	109
СНА	PTER 5	
CIIA	<u>d TERS</u>	
CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	110
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Evaluation of the research methodology	110
5.2.1	Strengths of the study	110
5.2.2	Limitations of the study	111
5.3	Summary and conclusive discussion of findings of the study	112
5.3.1	Theoretical and clinical implications of the results	114
5.4	Recommendations for future research	115
5.5	Concluding remarks	116

### REFERENCES

### **APPENDIXES**

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	The role of hearing in normal development	2
Figure1.2:	The effects of hearing-impairment on development	3
Figure 2.1:	The anatomy of the inner ear	20
Figure 2.2:	A representation of the structures and functions of the auditory system	21
Figure 2.3:	Pediatric audiometric flow chart	36
Figure 2.4:	Flow chart of the rehabilitative audiology process	41
Figure 2.5:	The interdisciplinary model	46
Figure 3.1:	A schematic representation of the survey process	61
Figure 4.1:	Years of experience as a practicing pediatrician	80
Figure 4.2:	Current instance of situation	82
Figure 4.3:	Received education	84
Figure 4.4:	Knowledge of risk factors	85
Figure 4.5:	Symptoms and characteristics of sensorineural hearing loss	88
Figure 4.6:	Age of identification of hearing loss	90

Figure 4.7:	Preferences of referrals to an audiologist	92
Figure 4.8:	Hearing tests preferred by respondents for infants and children	94
Figure 4.9:	Efficiency of hearing aids	95
Figure 4.10:	Efficiency of cochlear implants	96
Figure 4.11:	Selection criteria for cochlear implants in the pediatric population	98
Figure 4.12:	Age for intervention to take place	99
Figure 4.13:	Consultation with professionals at hearing programmes or hearing clinics	101
Figure 4.14:	Opinions concerning hearing clinics and programmes for children with hearing loss	103
Figure 4.15:	Needs of involvement	104
Figure 4 16.	Need for further information	105

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	Median age, in months, for an age of suspicion, diagnosis	
	and hearing instrument fitting for children with and without	
	known risk factors. Also included are lag times between	
	diagnosis and hearing instrument fitting	9
Table 1.2:	Reasons for not detecting hearing impaired children (birth-	
	contingent 1995-1999)	11
Table 2.1:	Differences between sensory hearing loss and neural hearing loss	22
1able 2.1.	Differences between sensory hearing loss and ficular ficaling loss	22
Table 2.2:	Possibility for defects at different stages of the pregnancy	25
		4.0
Table 2.3:	Functions of the audiologist	49
Table 2.4:	An overview of the selection criteria for candidates from	
	1985 – 2000	56
Table 2.5:	The current selection criteria according to Cochlear Corporation	57
1 4016 2,3;	The current selection efficial according to Coefficial Corporation	31
Table 3.1:	Reasons for not participating	66

#### **APPENDIXES**

Appendix A: Approval of appropriate ethical procedures

Appendix B: Request to participate in the study (Cover letter)

Appendix C: Instruction sheet

Appendix D: Questionnaire