

**Development of formulations and delivery systems to
control economically important ticks with
entomopathogenic fungi**

FELIX NCHU

MSc (University of Limpopo, South Africa)

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Philosophiae Doctor (PhD)

Phytomedicine Programme, Department of Paraclinical Sciences, Faculty of
Veterinary Sciences, University of Pretoria



Promoter: Prof Kobus (JN) Eloff

Co-promoters ICIPE Nairobi, Kenya:

Dr Maniania Nguya Kalembe

Prof Ahmed Hassanali

November 2009

Declaration

The experimental material and results described in this thesis is my original work (except where the input of others is acknowledged), conducted in the Programme for Phytomedicine, Department of Paraclinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, and at the International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology, Nairobi, Kenya. This work has not been submitted in any other form to any other University or academic institution. I declare the above statement to be true.

Signed:

FELIX NCHU

Date:



Dedication

To my family

Acknowledgements

This study was made possible by the SII-Netherlands that provided funding via a fellowship awarded through the African Regional Postgraduate Program in Insect Science (ARPPIS) administered by the department of capacity building and institutional development, International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE). Additional funding came from the University of Pretoria.

The encouragement and emotional support from my wife Mande was immeasurable and was especially useful during difficult times. The birth of Tania Malong Nchu and Daryle Ibue Nkeng Nchu into our family inspired me immensely. My sincere gratitude goes to my uncle Mr Elias Nkeng Tabong, my Father Mr Nchu John Tazi, my Mum Mrs Tabong Susan Nchu, Mamy Christiana Ebong, my late grand mother Cicilia Ngu and my brothers (God'swill, Eric, Innocent, Emanuel and Njock) and my sister Christiana Ebong Nchu for standing by me. I also thank the late Maitre Nkengno Jean for his assistance.

I am grateful to my very close friend Dr Esona Dioh, who gave me the opportunity to pursue a postgraduate degree. I would like to thank Prof Magano Solly, who was my Msc research Supervisor. My gratitude goes to Dr Githiori John, who provided me with *icipe's* contact and information on ARPPIS fellowship.

Staff of the department of capacity building and institutional development *icipe* is acknowledged for their patience and assistance. I would like to express my special thanks to my promoters Dr Maniania Nguya Kalemba, Prof Ahmed Hassanali and Prof Kobus Eloff for their invaluable support, guidance and encouragement during the course of this study. I also acknowledge the technical assistance of Ms Elizabeth Ouna, Mr Richard Rotish and Mr Richard Ochieng.

The transport department *icipe* are acknowledged for their professionalism and assistance during the field experiments. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) Muguga centre allowed me to use their paddock for the field experiment of this study.

The Queen of Apostles Catholic Church Kasarani provided spiritual support to me during my stay at *icipe*. I thank God for the countless blessings.

List of Abbreviations used

AAAP	Attraction-Aggregation-Attachment Pheromone
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
DCM	Dichloromethane
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
ICIPE	International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology
LC ₅₀	Lethal concentration needed to kill fifty percent (50%) of ticks
SP	Direct inoculation and indirect substrate contamination of ticks for duration of 0-5 minutes
SS	Direct inoculation of ticks and indirect substrate contamination for duration of 12 hours (SS)
SW	Indirect inoculation by substrate contamination for a 12-hour duration
ULV	Ultra low volume
UV	Ultraviolet

Publications from this thesis

Published paper

F. Nchu, N.K. Maniania, A. Touré, A. Hassanali, J.N. Eloff

The use of a semiochemical bait to enhance exposure of *Amblyomma variegatum* (Acari: Ixodidae) to *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales). *Veterinary Parasitology* 160: 279-284

Manuscript in preparation

F. Nchu, N.K. Maniania, A. Touré, A. Hassanali, J.N. Eloff

Performance of *Metarhizium anisopliae*-treated semiochemical-baited trap in reducing *Amblyomma variegatum* population in the field. Submitted to *Veterinary Parasitology*.

F. Nchu, N.K. Maniania, A. Hassanali, J.N. Eloff

Laboratory screening of entomopathogenic fungi against *Rhipicephalus pulchellus* Gerstäcker (Acari: Ixodidae).

F. Nchu, N.K. Maniania, A. Touré, A. Hassanali, J.N. Eloff

Optimizing modes of infection of *Rhipicephalus* ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) with mitosporic entomopathogenic fungus in the laboratory. Submitted to *Journal of Experimental and Applied Acarology*

Published book chapter

Maniania N.K., Nchu, F. and Ekesi, S. 2007. Fungal pathogen for biocontrol of ticks. In Ekesi S. and Maniania N. K. (eds). *Use of entomopathogenic fungi in biological pest management*. Research SignPost, Kerala, India. ISBN 978-81-308-0192-6.

Conference presentations

International Congress on Entomology, held in Durban South Africa from 6-12 June 2008.

Nguya Maniania, Felix Nchu, Susan Dimbi, Sunday Ekesi. 2008. Entomopathogenic fungi vs semiochemicals: Mutualistic affair against pests

World Conference on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (WOCMAP IV), held in Cape Town from 9-14 November 2008.

Felix Nchu, Maniania Nguya, Alione Touré, Ahmed Hassanali and Kobus Eloff. The use of a semiochemical bait to enhance exposure of *Amblyomma variegatum* (Acari: Ixodidae) to *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales)

SUMMARY

Due to the rapid development of tick resistance to synthetic chemical acaricides and the potential risk posed by these chemicals to non-target species, efforts are intensifying towards the development of entomopathogenic fungi as an environmentally benign alternative tick control strategy. The main objective of this study is the development of formulations and delivery systems for control of ticks with entomopathogenic fungi.

Screening of entomopathogenic fungal isolates is the first step towards the development of mycoacaricides. Twelve isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnik.) Sorok. and three isolates of *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) were evaluated for pathogenicity against unfed *Rhipicephalus pulchellus* Gerstäcker (Acari: Ixodidae) adults under laboratory conditions. Out of the 15 isolates screened, 4 isolates were virulent against *R. pulchellus*, inducing significantly (F value = 11.86; DF = 14, 75; $P < 0.0001$) higher tick mortalities; i.e. ICIPE 78 ($76.1 \pm 5.9\%$), ICIPE 69 ($62.6 \pm 5\%$), ICIPE 62 ($49.8 \pm 5.8\%$) and ICIPE 60 ($49.6 \pm 7.7\%$), four weeks post-treatment compared to the others. The results of this study demonstrate that *R. pulchellus* ticks were susceptible to some *M. anisopliae* isolates.

Since the process of strain selection is an important step in the development of insect pathogens for biological control, an appropriate bioassay technique for infecting different life stages of a host is of paramount importance. Bioassays were conducted in the laboratory to evaluate the efficacy of different methods of inoculation using *R. pulchellus* as a model. In a preliminary experiment, an oil-based formulation of *M. anisopliae* titred at 10^9 conidia ml⁻¹ was applied to *R. pulchellus* adults using a Burgerjon spray tower or a microapplicator. Inoculation by microapplicator yielded poor results (25.0% tick mortality) compared to Burgerjon's spray tower (52.3% tick mortality), although the mean number of fungal conidia on *R. pulchellus* adults was lower ($1.5 \times 10^4 \pm 1.1 \times 10^3$ conidia ml⁻¹) after spraying by Burgerjon's spray tower compared to 1×10^6 conidia ml⁻¹ obtained with the microapplicator. Thus, inoculation by Burgerjon's spray tower was selected for further investigations. Different modes of inoculation were tested and included direct spray of inoculum on the tick and substrate (SS), direct spray on the substrate and tick followed by transfer of the tick to clean uncontaminated Petri dish (SP) or indirect inoculation of ticks through substrate (SW). The LC₅₀ values following contamination of nymphs (LC₅₀ = 1.4×10^7 conidia ml⁻¹) and adults (LC₅₀ = 6.7×10^7 conidia ml⁻¹) in SS were significantly lower compared to SP; nymphs

($LC_{50} = 5.7 \times 10^8$ conidia ml^{-1}) and adults ($LC_{50} = 5.3 \times 10^9$ conidia ml^{-1}) and SW; nymphs ($LC_{50} = 5 \times 10^8$ conidia ml^{-1}) and adults ($LC_{50} > 10^{10}$ conidia ml^{-1}). Although the LC_{50} value in SS was the lowest, it recorded the highest tick mortality among control ticks (24.2% at two weeks post-treatment) and (23.3% at three weeks post-treatment) in nymphs and adults respectively compared to SP (2.5 and 5.8% respectively) and SW (0.0 and 0.0). Results show that among the modes of contamination tested, SP was the most appropriate for contaminating *R. pulchellus* adults. SW and SP were identified as appropriate techniques for infecting the *R. pulchellus* nymphs with conidia formulated in oil.

The integrated use of semiochemicals and entomopathogenic fungus (hypocreales) may optimise the performance of a fungus as a biocontrol agent against ticks. Initially, experiments were conducted to evaluate the attraction of the hunter tick *Amblyomma variegatum* Fabricius (Acari: Ixodidae) to semiochemicals. In one of the experiments, the simultaneous release of 1-octen-3-ol and AAAP together with CO_2 from a trap in simulated field plots attracted up to $94 \pm 6\%$ of adult ticks from a distance of 6 m, and up to $24.0 \pm 5.1\%$ from 8 m. Formulations of *M. anisopliae* (dry powder, oil, and emulsifiable) applied within the trap baited with AAAP and 1-octen-3-ol resulted in high levels of contamination of the ticks attracted to the traps. However, 48 hr after autoinoculation, 89.1 and 33.3% of conidia were lost in dry powder and oil formulations, respectively. Emulsifiable formulation lost the lowest number of propagules (17.1%). Samples of ticks attracted to the baited traps were transferred to plastic basins containing grass and maintained for 5 weeks. The experiment was conducted in the rainy and dry seasons. The emulsifiable formulation gave the highest relative reduction in tick numbers in both seasons: 54.7 and 46.5% in the rainy and dry seasons, respectively, followed by the oil formulation (32 and 23.8%) and the powder formulation (38.0 and 24.4%).

Following the high attraction and contamination of *A. variegatum* under semi-field conditions, experiments were done to evaluate the efficacy of *M. anisopliae*-treated semiochemical-baited traps for control of *A. variegatum* under field conditions. Unfed *A. variegatum* adults (118) were seeded in each 100-m plot. An emulsifiable formulation of *M. anisopliae* (consisting of 49.5% sterile distilled water, fungal conidia, 49.5% corn oil [CHEF cooking oil, Premier Oil Mills LTD] and 1% Tween 80) titrated at 10^9 conidia ml^{-1} was applied in a semiochemical-baited traps (900 cm^2) which were placed at 5 spots within the plot. The control and fungal treatments were repeated after 14 and 28 days soon after rotating

the traps clockwise (45°) in order to cover different sections of the plot. In the control plots, traps baited with semiochemicals only were used. Six weeks after the initiation of the experiments, five semiochemical-baited traps (untreated) were deployed in each plot for 3 successive days to trap ticks in the treated and control plots. The percentage of ticks recovered in the fungus-treated plots were significantly lower ($31.1 \pm 5.2\%$) than in the control plots ($85.6\% \pm 3\%$) ($P < 0.001$), which represented a relative tick reduction of 63.7%. Mortality of $93.8 \pm 2.3\%$ was observed among the ticks that were recovered from the field and maintained in the laboratory for two weeks; while only $3.3 \pm 0.9\%$ died from the control plots.

The results of this study open up the possibility of developing an environmentally friendly, low cost product to control these economically important ticks.

Table of contents

Title page.....	i
Declaration.....	ii
Dedication.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
List of abbreviations.....	v
Publications.....	vi
Conference presentation.....	vii
Summary.....	viii
Table of Contents.....	xi
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Figures.....	xvi

CHAPTER ONE General Introduction, Background/Literature Review,

Hypotheses and Objectives.....	1
1.1 General Introduction.....	1
1.2 Background/Literature Review.....	3
1.2.1 Project conception.....	3
1.2.2 Tick biology.....	5
1.2.2.1 Tick classification.....	5
1.2.2.2 Life cycle of ixodid ticks and development patterns.....	6
1.2.2.3 Morphology of ixodid ticks and adaptation.....	6
1.2.3 <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i> Gerstäcker, 1873 (Zebra tick).....	7
1.2.4 <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> Fabricius, 1794 (Bont tick).....	8
1.2.5 Economic importance of ticks.....	10
1.2.6 Tick Control.....	10
1.2.6.1 Chemical control.....	10
1.2.6.2 Vaccination.....	11
1.2.6.3 Plant extracts.....	11
1.2.6.4 Entomopathogenic fungi.....	12
1.2.6.4.1 General biology.....	12
1.2.6.4.2 Mode of infection.....	12



1.2.6.4.3 Natural infection of ticks by fungal pathogens.....	13
1.2.6.4.4 Potential of fungal pathogens for tick control	13
1.2.6.4.5 Factors that influence efficacy of fungal pathogens.....	14
1.2.6.4.5.1 Environmental factors.....	14
1.2.6.4.5.2 Effects of culturing conditions.....	15
1.2.6.4.5.3 Host specificity.....	16
1.2.6.4.5.4 Screening bioassay.....	17
1.2.6.4.5.5 Formulations.....	18
1.2.6.4.5.6 Application strategies.....	18
1.2.6.5 Tick pheromones and host kairomones.....	19
1.2.6.5.1 Optimization of pheromone attraction of <i>A. variegatum</i>	20
1.2.6.5.2 Potential of semiochemicals in integrated tick control.....	20
1.3 Hypothesis.....	22
1.4 Overall aim of study.....	22
1.4.1 Specific Objectives.....	22
CHAPTER TWO Laboratory screening of entomopathogenic fungi against <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i> Gerstäcker (Acari: Ixodidae).....	23
2.1 Introduction.....	23
2.2 Materials and methods.....	24
2.2.1 Ticks.....	24
2.2.2 Fungi.....	24
2.2.3 Bioassays.....	24
2.2.4 Data analyses.....	26
2.3 Results.....	27
2.4 Discussion.....	29
CHAPTER THREE Optimizing techniques of inoculation of <i>Rhipicephalus</i> ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) with mitosporic entomopathogenic fungus in the laboratory.....	30
3.1 Introduction.....	30
3.2 Materials and methods.....	32
3.2.1 Tick.....	32
3.2.2 Fungus.....	32
3.2.3 Inoculation procedures.....	32
3.2.3.1. Microapplication.....	33



3.2.3.2 Burgerjon’s Spray Tower.....	34
3.2.4 Data analyses.....	35
3.3 Results.....	36
3.3.1 Preliminary experiment comparing different application methods.....	39
3.3.2 Nymph assays.....	40
3.3.3 Adult assays.....	40
3.4 Discussion.....	42
CHAPTER FOUR The use of a semiochemical bait to enhance exposure of <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> (Acari: Ixodidae) to <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Ascomycota: Hypocreales).....	45
4.1 Introduction.....	45
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	47
4.2.1 Tick.....	47
4.2.2 Fungus.....	47
4.2.3 Source of semiochemical candidates.....	47
4.2.4 Optimization of semiochemical blend.....	47
4.2.5 Attraction of ticks to semiochemical-baited trap in experimental field plots...	49
4.2.6 Relative Efficacy of different fungal formulations on tick reduction under field conditions.....	50
4.2.7 Effect of fungal formulation on tick infection.....	52
4.2.8 Data analysis.....	52
4.3 Results	54
4.3.1 Optimization of semiochemical blend.....	57
4.3.2 Attraction of adult <i>A. variegatum</i> to semiochemical-baited trap in field plots.....	57
4.3.3 Relative efficacy of different fungal formulations on tick reduction under field conditions.....	57
4.3.4 Effect of fungal formulation in contaminating ticks.....	58
4.4 Discussion.....	59



CHAPTER FIVE Performance of <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>-treated semiochemical-baited trap in reducing <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> population in the field.....	62
5.1 Introduction.....	62
5.2 Materials and Methods.....	63
5.2.1 Field site.....	63
5.2.2 Tick.....	63
5.2.3 Fungus.....	63
5.2.4 Semiochemicals and traps.....	64
5.2.5 Treatments.....	65
5.2.6 Evaluation of the efficacy of treatments.....	65
5.2.7 Fungal Persistence.....	65
5.2.8 Data analysis.....	66
5.3 Results.....	67
5.4 Discussion.....	70
CHAPTER SIX General Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations.....	71
6.1 General Discussion.....	71
6.2 Conclusion.....	75
6.3 Recommendations.....	75
References.....	77

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Origins of <i>M. anisopliae</i> and <i>B. bassiana</i> isolates that were assayed against <i>R. pulchellus</i>	26
Table 2.2 Percentage mortality (mean \pm SE) of adults of <i>R. pulchellus</i> induced by conidia of fungal isolates formulated in oil at 1×10^9 conidia ml ⁻¹ 4 weeks post-treatment.....	28
Table 3.1 Percentage mortality (mean \pm SE) of <i>R. pulchellus</i> nymphs to varying concentrations of oil-based formulation of <i>M. anisopliae</i> following different methods of exposure to conidia, 14 days post-treatment.....	37
Table 3.2 Number of <i>M. anisopliae</i> spores (mean \pm SE) on nymphs and adults of <i>R. pulchellus</i> after application of oil suspension of 10^{10} conidia ml ⁻¹ by different modes of exposure to conidia.....	38
Table 3.3 Percentage mortality (mean \pm SE) of <i>R. pulchellus</i> adults to varying concentrations of oil-based formulation of <i>M. anisopliae</i> following different methods of exposure to conidia, 21 days post-treatment.	39
Table 4.1 Doses of semiochemicals that were evaluated in T-tube Olfactometer.....	48
Table 4.2 Percentage relative attraction of <i>A. variegatum</i> adults (pooled data for males and females) to semiochemicals in a two-choice olfactometer.....	54
Table 4.3 Relative attraction of adult <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> to a trap baited with a blend of AAA pheromone at dose of 22 μ g, 1-octen-3-ol at dose of 0.016 μ g and CO ₂ under field conditions when placed at different distances from the trap.....	55
Table 4.4 Percent relative tick reduction (mean \pm SE) following exposure to grass treated with different formulations of <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> applied in pheromone-baited areas against <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> during the first and second trials over the controls	55
Table 4.5 Mean \pm SE number of spores carried on ticks immediately post-contamination (day 0) in the pheromone-baited trap and two days post-contamination (day 2). Data of two experiments were pooled.....	56
Table 4.6 Percentage of spore germination (mean \pm SE) recorded on ticks, 2 days post-contamination (day 2) in pheromone trap in the 2 nd trial.....	56
Table 5.1 The number of <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> ticks recovered from plots containing control and fungus-treated traps, 6 weeks post-treatment and mortality of recovered ticks from control and fungus-treated plots that were maintained under laboratory conditions for 2 weeks.....	67

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Adult female (left) and adult male (right) <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i>	8
Figure 1.2 Distribution of <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i> in Africa.....	8
Figure 1.3 Female (left) and male (right) <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i>	9
Figure 1.4 Distribution of <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> in Africa.....	9
Figure 2.1 The point of inoculation of <i>R. pulchellus</i> with fungal conidia using a microapplicator	25
Figure 2.2 Mycosed <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i> cadaver following infection by <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> in the laboratory	27
Figure 3.1 Inoculating tick with fungus using a microapplicator in the laboratory ...	34
Figure 3.2 Burgerjon’s spray tower used for infecting <i>Rhipicephalus pulchellus</i> in the laboratory.....	35
Figure 3.3 Mortality (%) of adult <i>R. pulchellus</i> following inoculation with oil suspension of <i>M. anisopliae</i> titred at 1×10^9 conidia ml ⁻¹ applied by Burgerjon’s spray tower, or using a microapplicator at 4 weeks post-treatment.....	36
Figure 4.1 Two-choice olfactometer.....	49
Figure 4.2 A fungus-treated semiochemical-baited trap. Note the high volume pressurized (HV) sprayer located to the left of the trap.....	51
Figure 4.3 ULV micron applicator	51
Figure 4.4 Plastic basins containing planted grass <i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i> into which fungus or control-treated ticks were transferred. The nets prevent ticks from escaping.	52
Figure 5.1 Mean percentage of ticks recovered from fungus-treated traps and control plots, 6 weeks after spraying	67
Figure 5.2 Percent mean tick mortality of ticks recovered ticks from control and fungus-treated plots. Collected ticks were maintained in the laboratory, for two weeks to ascertain mortality levels.....	68
Figure 5.3 Percentage germination of <i>M. anisopliae</i> conidia applied to foliage in emulsifiable formulation immediately after application (day 0) and 14 days later (day14).....	68
Figure 5.4 <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> conidia developing on <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> cadaver; viewed from the dorsal (left) and ventral (right) sides. Symptoms developed when ticks were held under high conditions of humidity after collection from the field.....	69