

**THE EFFECT OF AUSTENITISING AND TEMPERING
PARAMETERS ON THE MICROSTRUCTURE AND
HARDNESS OF MARTENSITIC STAINLESS STEEL AISI 420**

by

LILIAN D. BARLOW

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE (APPLIED SCIENCE): METALLURGY

**in the Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information
Technology**

University of Pretoria

Supervisor: Professor M du Toit

April 2009

ABSTRACT

The effect of austenitising and tempering practice on the microstructure and mechanical properties of two martensitic stainless steels was examined with the aim of supplying heat treatment guidelines to the consumer or fabricator that, if followed, would result in a martensitic structure with minimal retained austenite, evenly dispersed carbides and a hardness of between 610 HV and 740 HV (hardness on the Vickers scale) after quenching and tempering. The steels examined during the course of this examination conform in composition to medium-carbon AISI type 420 martensitic stainless steel, except for the addition of 0.13% vanadium and 0.62% molybdenum to one of the alloys. The effect of various austenitising and tempering heat treatments was examined. Steel samples were austenitised at temperatures between 1000°C and 1200°C, followed by quenching in oil. The as-quenched microstructures were found to range from almost fully martensitic structures to martensite with up to 35% retained austenite after quenching, with varying amounts of carbide precipitates. The influence of tempering, double tempering, and sub-zero treatment was investigated. Optical and scanning electron microscopy was used to characterise the as-quenched microstructures, and X-ray diffraction analysis was employed to identify the carbide present in the as-quenched structures and to quantify the retained austenite contents. Hardness tests were performed to determine the effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties. As-quenched hardness values ranged from 700 HV to 270 HV, depending on the amount of retained austenite. Thermodynamic predictions (using the CALPHAD™ model) were used to explain these microstructures based on the solubility of the carbide particles in the matrix at various austenitising temperatures. The carbide particles were found to be mainly in the form of M_7C_3 at elevated temperatures, transforming to $M_{23}C_6$ on cooling.

Keywords: martensitic, stainless, austenitising temperature, retained austenite, M_7C_3 , $M_{23}C_6$, carbide

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER 1 - BACKGROUND</u>		p. 1
1. INTRODUCTION		p. 1
2. LITERATURE SURVEY		p. 3
2.1 Martensitic stainless steels – an overview		p. 3
2.2 The influence of alloying elements on the microstructure of martensitic stainless steels		p. 4
2.2.1 Chromium		p. 4
2.2.2 Carbon		p. 5
2.2.3 Molybdenum		p. 6
2.2.4 Vanadium		p. 6
2.3 The effect of alloying elements on the formation and stability of carbides		p. 7
2.4 Heat treatment of martensitic stainless steels		p. 8
2.4.1 Annealing		p. 8
2.4.2 Austenitising		p. 9
2.4.3 Quenching		p. 18
2.4.4 Tempering		p. 19
2.4.5 Cryogenic or sub-zero treatment		p. 19
2.4.6 Multiple tempering steps		p. 20
3. HEAT TREATMENT OPTIMISATION		p. 20
<u>CHAPTER 2 – OBJECTIVES OF THE INVESTIGATION</u>		p. 21
1. BACKGROUND		p. 21
2. OBJECTIVES OF THE INVESTIGATION		p. 21
<u>CHAPTER 3 – OVERVIEW OF THE EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE</u>		p. 23
1. STAINLESS STEEL ALLOYS STUDIED IN THIS INVESTIGATION		p. 23
1.1 Chemical compositions		p. 23
1.2 Plant heat treatment		p. 23
2. HEAT TREATMENTS USED IN THIS INVESTIGATION		p. 24
3. METALLOGRAPHIC INVESTIGATION		p. 24
3.1 Austenite grain size		p. 24
3.2 The average carbide diameter and carbide density		p. 25
4. HARDNESS MEASUREMENTS		p. 25
5. X-RAY DIFFRACTION		p. 25
6. THERMODYNAMIC PREDICTIONS		p. 25
<u>CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u>		p. 27
1. AS-RECEIVED SAMPLES		p. 27
2. THE EFFECT OF AUSTENITISING TEMPERATURE ON THE AS-QUENCHED MICROSTRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES OF HEATS 1 AND 2		p. 29
3. THE EFFECT OF TEMPERING ON THE MICROSTRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES OF HEATS 1 AND 2		p. 46
3.1 Tempering after austenitising at 1150°C or 1175°C		p. 47
3.2 Double tempering		p. 48
3.3 Sub-zero treatments		p. 50
4. PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS		p. 56



<u>CHAPTER 5 – FINAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	p. 57
1. FINAL CONCLUSIONS	p. 57
2. RECOMMENDATIONS	p. 59
<u>CHAPTER 6 – REFERENCES</u>	p. 60
APPENDIX A – CARBIDE DIAMETER MEASUREMENTS	p. 62
APPENDIX B – ASTM GRAIN SIZE NUMBER	p. 64
APPENDIX C – VICKERS HARDNESS MEASUREMENTS	p. 67
APPENDIX D – ADDITIONAL MICROGRAPHS	p. 69

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The binary Fe-Cr phase diagram.	p. 2
Figure 1.2	Optical micrograph of AISI 420 in the spheroidise annealed form. The microstructure consists of carbides in a ferrite matrix. Etchant: Vilella's reagent ¹⁶ .	p. 9
Figure 1.3	Scanning electron micrograph of AISI 420 annealed at 750 °C for 2 hours. The microstructure consists of carbides in a ferrite matrix. Etchant: Vilella's reagent ¹⁵ .	p. 9
Figure 1.4	The influence of the heating rate on the A_{cc} temperature ¹⁶ .	p. 12
Figure 1.5	The area percentage of carbides after quenching as a function of austenitising temperature ¹⁶ .	p. 13
Figure 1.6	Vickers hardness after austenitising for 60 seconds at various temperatures ¹⁶ .	p. 13
Figure 1.7	The effect of austenitising temperature on as-quenched hardness (from De Andrés ¹⁶ , Candelaria ¹⁹ and Latrobe (labelled Timken) ¹⁸).	p. 15
Figure 1.8	Optical photomicrograph of X45CrMoV14 in the as-quenched condition (austenitised at 1100 °C). The structure consists predominantly of carbides in a fine martensitic matrix. The hardness is 670 HV ⁶ .	p. 16
Figure 1.9	Optical photomicrograph of X45CrMoV14 in the as-quenched condition (austenitised at 1150 °C). The structure consists predominantly of carbides in a fine martensitic matrix. The hardness is 630 HV ⁶ .	p. 16
Figure 1.10	Optical photomicrograph of X45CrMoV14 in the as-quenched condition (austenitised at 1200 °C). The structure consists predominantly of retained austenite and some undissolved carbides in a fine martensitic matrix. The hardness is 580 HV ⁶ .	p. 16
Figure 1.11	Optical photomicrograph of X45CrMoV14 in the as-quenched condition (austenitised at 1250 °C). The structure consists predominantly of retained austenite in a fine martensitic matrix. The hardness is 580 HV ⁶ .	p. 16
Figure 1.12	Effect of austenitising temperature on the carbide volume fraction in martensitic stainless steels ⁶ .	p. 17
Figure 1.13	Retained austenite volume fraction as a function of the austenitising temperature ⁶ .	p. 18
Figure 4.1	The as-received microstructure of HEAT 1, consisting of coarse $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a ferrite matrix (hardness: 209±7 HV). (Magnification: 50x).	p. 28
Figure 4.2	The as-received microstructure of HEAT 2, consisting of coarse $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a ferrite matrix (hardness: 195±4 HV). (Magnification: 100x).	p. 28
Figure 4.3	Scanning electron micrograph of the as-received microstructure of HEAT 2. The microstructure consists of coarse, globular $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a ferrite matrix.	p. 28
Figure 4.4	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising for 15 minutes at <u>1000 °C</u> , followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of coarse $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a fine martensite matrix. (Hardness: 639±10 HV).	p. 31
Figure 4.5	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at <u>1050 °C</u> , followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a fine martensite matrix. (Hardness: 678±9 HV). (Magnification: 200x).	p. 32
Figure 4.6	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at <u>1075 °C</u> , followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a martensite matrix. (Hardness: 684±10 HV).	p. 33
Figure 4.7	Thermodynamic prediction of the equilibrium phase diagram of two heats of AISI 420 at an austenitising temperature of 1075 °C.	p. 34
Figure 4.8	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at <u>1100 °C</u> , followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a martensite matrix containing 23% retained austenite. (Hardness: 653±8 HV).	p. 35
Figure 4.9	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising for 15 minutes at <u>1100 °C</u> , followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in a martensite matrix containing 12% retained austenite. (Hardness: 639±15 HV).	p. 36

Figure 4.10	Thermodynamic prediction of the equilibrium phase diagram of two heats of AISI 420 at an austenitising temperature of 1100°C.	p. 36
Figure 4.11	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of M ₂₃ C ₆ carbides in a martensite matrix containing 25% retained austenite. (Hardness: 474±7 HV).	p. 37
Figure 4.12	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising for 15 minutes at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of M ₂₃ C ₆ carbides in a martensite matrix containing 15% retained austenite. (Hardness: 620±4 HV).	p. 38
Figure 4.13	Thermodynamic prediction of the equilibrium phase diagram of two heats of AISI 420 at an austenitising temperature of 1130°C.	p. 38
Figure 4.14	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at 1150°C, followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of a small number of residual M ₂₃ C ₆ carbides in a martensite matrix containing 27% retained austenite. (Hardness: 308±6 HV). (Magnification: 100x).	p. 39
Figure 4.15	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising for 15 minutes at 1175°C, followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of martensite and 29% retained austenite. (Hardness: 279±4 HV).	p. 40
Figure 4.16	Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising for 15 minutes at 1175°C, followed by oil quenching. The microstructure consists of martensite and 21% retained austenite. (Hardness: 488±3 HV).	p. 40
Figure 4.17	Thermodynamic prediction of the equilibrium phase diagram of two heats of AISI 420 at an austenitising temperature of 1175°C.	p. 41
Figure 4.18	The influence of austenitising temperature on the carbide density (number of carbides per mm ²) in HEATS 1 and 2.	p. 42
Figure 4.19	The influence of austenitising temperature on the as-quenched hardness of HEATS 1 and 2 (with 95% confidence interval).	p. 44
Figure 4.20	The influence of austenitising temperature on the as-quenched retained austenite content of HEATS 1 and 2.	p. 44
Figure 4.21	The influence of austenitising temperature on the average ASTM grain size of HEATS 1 and 2.	p. 45
Figure 4.22	The influence of austenitising temperature on the average carbide diameter in HEATS 1 and 2.	p. 46
Figure 4.23	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising at 1175°C, followed by oil quenching and tempering at 550°C for 30 minutes. The microstructure consists of martensite and retained austenite. (Hardness: 284±14 HV). (Magnification: 100x).	p. 48
Figure 4.24	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising at 1150°C, followed by oil quenching and double tempering at 650°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and retained austenite. (Hardness: 500±9 HV). (Magnification: 100x).	p. 49
Figure 4.25	The effect of sub-zero tempering on the hardness of HEATS 1 and 2 after austenitising at temperatures between 1100°C and 1175°C.	p. 51
Figure 4.26	Optical micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching and sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen. The microstructure consists of martensite and a small amount of retained austenite. (Hardness: 741±18 HV). (Magnification: 200x).	p. 51
Figure 4.27	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and 3% retained austenite. (Hardness: 673±6 HV). (Magnification: 200x).	p. 52
Figure 4.28	Optical micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising at 1150°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and 5% retained austenite. (Hardness: 651±14 HV). (Magnification: 200x).	p. 52

- Figure 4.29** Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 1 after austenitising at 1175°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite, 8% retained austenite and networks of intergranular $M_{23}C_6$ carbides. (Hardness: 649±9 HV). p. 53
- Figure 4.30** Optical micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and 1% retained austenite. (Hardness: 626±4 HV). (Magnification: 200x). p. 54
- Figure 4.31** Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising at 1150°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and 3% retained austenite. (Hardness: 644±9 HV). p. 54
- Figure 4.32** Scanning electron micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising at 1175°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 550°C. The microstructure consists of martensite, 4% retained austenite and networks of intergranular $M_{23}C_6$ carbides. (Hardness: 647±12 HV). p. 54
- Figure 4.33** Optical micrograph of HEAT 2 after austenitising at 1130°C, followed by oil quenching, sub-zero treatment in liquid nitrogen and tempering at 700°C. The microstructure consists of martensite and retained austenite. (Hardness: 333±2 HV). (Magnification: 500x). p. 55

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Typical chemical composition of AISI 420 martensitic stainless steel (weight percentage, balance Fe) ⁷ .	p. 4
Table 1.2	The chemical compositions (wt %) of X45Cr13 martensitic stainless steel ⁶ , AISI 420 ¹⁷ , LSS 420 HC ¹⁸ and a Ni-Mo martensitic steel ¹⁵ , balance Fe (nr = not reported).	p. 11
Table 3.1	Chemical composition of the AISI 420 heats examined during the course of this investigation (weight %, balance Fe).	p. 23
Table 4.1	A summary of the results obtained for HEAT 1 and HEAT 2 after various austenitising heat treatments.	p. 30
Table 4.2	The effect of tempering on the hardness of HEATS 1 and 2 after austenitising at 1150°C and 1175°C.	p. 48
Table 4.3	The effect of double tempering on the hardness of HEATS 1 and 2 after austenitising at 1150°C and 1175°C.	p. 49
Table 4.4	The effect of sub-zero treatment and tempering on the hardness of HEATS 1 and 2 after austenitising at 1130°C, 1150°C and 1175°C.	p. 55

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to the following people and companies for their assistance during the course of this project:

- My parents, Len and Julia Helfrich, and my children, Nicholas, Nadine, Bryan and Marianka, for their patience, love, support and their faith in me.
- Professor Madeleine du Toit for her patience, supervision and invaluable advice.
- Professor Chris Pistorius for his advice.
- Columbus Stainless Steel for financial sponsorship of the project.
- The University of Pretoria for providing laboratory facilities.
- My colleagues and friends in the Department of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering, in particular Prof Andrie Garbers-Craig, Mrs Sarah Havenga and Mr Markus Erwee, for their help and continuous encouragement.